# **Late Pleistocene demography and the appearance of modern human behavior**

Adam Powell<sup>1,3</sup>, Stephen Shennan<sup>2,3</sup>, Mark G. Thomas<sup>1,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Department of Genetics, Evolution and Environment, University College London, Wolfson House, 4 Stephenson Way, London NW1 2HE, UK;

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Archaeology, University College London, 31-34 Gordon Square, London WC1H 0PY, UK;

AHRC Centre for the Evolution of Cultural Diversity, Institute of Archaeology, University College London, 31-34 Gordon Square, London WC1H 0PY, UK

To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: **m.thomas@ucl.ac.uk** 

Demographic constraint on the transmission of culturally inherited skills in the late Pleistocene provides a plausible explanation for geographic variation in the timing of the appearance of modern human behavior.

#### **Abstract**

The origins of modern human behavior are marked by increased symbolic and technological complexity in the archaeological record. In western Eurasia this transition, the Upper Palaeolithic, occurred ~45,000 years ago but many of its features appear transiently in southern Africa ~45,000 years earlier. We show that demography is a major determinant in the maintenance of cultural complexity, and that variation in regional subpopulation density / migratory activity results in spatial structuring of cultural skill accumulation. Genetic estimates of regional population size over time show that densities in early Upper Palaeolithic Europe were similar to those in sub-Saharan Africa when modern behavior first appeared. Demographic factors can thus explain geographic variation in the timing of the first appearance of modern behavior without invoking increased cognitive capacity.

#### **Main Text**

The Upper Palaeolithic (UP) transition, which occurred in Europe and western Asia around 45 thousand years ago (ka) (*1, 2*) and later in southern and eastern Asia (*3, 4*), Australia (*5, 6*), and Africa (*7*), is seen by many as marking the origins of modern human behavior. UP material culture, usually referred to as the Late Stone Age (LSA) in Africa, is characterized by a significant increase in technological and cultural complexity, including the first consistent presence of symbolic behavior, such as abstract and realistic art and body decoration (e.g., threaded shell beads, teeth, ivory, ostrich egg shells, ochre, tattoo kits); systematically produced microlithic stone tools (especially blades and burins); functional and ritual bone, antler, and ivory artefacts; grinding and pounding stone tools; improved hunting and trapping technology (e.g. spear throwers, bows, boomerangs and nets); an increase in the long-distance transfer of raw materials; and musical instruments, in the form of bone pipes (*1, 2, 5, 7-9*).

In Europe and western Asia the UP transition happened relatively rapidly, with most of the characteristic features listed above appearing (the 'full package'), and is thought to coincide with the appearance of anatomically modern humans (AMH) in a region previously occupied by Neandertals (*10*). In southern Siberia and northeast Asia microlithic technology appears between 43 and 27 ka (*11*) but a fuller UP package is not evident until ~22 ka (*12*). The evidence from south and southeast Asia and Australia also points to a more gradual accumulation of modern behavioral traits (ornamentation, use of ochre, and possibly rock art) (*3-6*). These are thought to first appear soon after the initial expansions of AMH into the regions but only become widespread later on; around  $\sim$  30 ka (*4*) and ~20 ka if not later (*5*) in south Asia and Australia, respectively. In Africa the idea of a single transition has been contested (*9*) as there is strong evidence for the sporadic appearance of many markers of modern behavior at multiple sites as early as 70 – 90 ka (*2, 9, 13*), and possibly as far back as 160 ka (*14*). The African Middle Stone Age (MSA) sites of Katanda, D.R. Congo (~90 ka) (*9*), Klasies River mouth (Howieson's Poort and Still Bay industries), South Africa (~65 – 70 ka) (*9, 15*), and, in particular, Blombos Cave, South Africa (~75 ka) (*10, 13*), present a striking array of modern traits including the earliest evidence of abstract art (*8, 13*), as well as geometric blades, barbed bone harpoon points (*9*), bone awls and marine shell personal ornaments (*10*). However, these markers are intermittent and disappear between ~75 and 60 ka before making a more stable and widespread reappearance in the LSA starting around ~40 ka (*7, 10, 13*).

Notwithstanding the over-simplifications made in the above outline, any adequate account of the emergence of modern behavior would need to explain not only the transition itself but also its heterogeneous spatial and temporal structuring (*2*) and earlier transient appearance in sub-Saharan Africa (*9, 10, 13*). It is now widely accepted that AMH evolved in Africa around 160 to 200 ka (*9, 16- 18*) and expanded into most habitable parts of the Old World between 90 and 40 ka (*19-21*). If, as some have suggested (*22-24*), the main cause of behavioral modernity is heritable biological change just prior to the UP / LSA then any such mutation(s) would have had to rise to significant frequencies after human populations had dispersed out of Africa; implying either their rapid spread around the world in the last 45 k years or, potentially, geographic structuring of cognitive capacity. Furthermore, it is difficult to account for the southern African evidence with a late, biologically determined cognitive advance. Many authors have argued that AMH (*1, 9, 10, 17, 20*), and possibly even Neandertals (*8, 10*), possessed the requisite capacities long before the UP / LSA. This raises the further question of why there was a delay of some 100k years between anatomical modernity and perceived behavioral modernity (*1, 17*). A number of mechanisms triggering the expression of modern behavior have been proposed, many of which invoke demographic change as a causal factor. These include: expansion into new environments necessitating the invention of new technologies (*25*); increased subpopulation density escalating inter-group resource competition (*1, 25*) or social organisation (*1*); increased intergroup interaction requiring various cultural signalling mechanisms (*6, 25, 26*), and increased stimulus for exoteric language (*23, 27,* also see *28*). Two recent cultural evolutionary models (*29, 30*), which explicitly demonstrate the positive effect of increasing population size on the accumulation of beneficial culturally inherited skills, have been proposed as an integral explanatory component of the appearance of modern behavior (see also *17*). Here we adapt and extend Henrich's transmission model (*30*) into a more realistic structured metapopulation, which reflects plausible late Pleistocene

conditions, in order to investigate the effects of demographic factors on the accumulation (or loss) of cultural complexity.

Henrich's model (*30*) demonstrates that under certain critical conditions directly biased transmission can lead to cumulative adaptation of a culturally inherited skill, even when the transmission process is inaccurate. Each individual in a population of size *N* has a *z*-value, *zi*, that measures their level of ability at some cultural skill or in some cultural domain. Members of this population attempt to learn from the maximally skilled individual (i.e. direct bias), but an imperfect learning process leads on average to a loss of skill (a reduction in *z*-value), determined by the parameter  $\alpha$ . However, individual errors or 'inaccurate inferences' during transmission (the extent of which are governed by a parameter b) occasionally allow some learners to acquire a *z*-value greater than that of their model. Henrich shows that as population size, *N*, increases the more likely it is that the positive combined effect of these occasional inaccurate inferences and the selective choice of cultural model to copy will outweigh the degrading effect of low-fidelity transmission. This results in an increase in the mean level of skill in the population,  $\overline{z}$ . He terms this 'cumulative adaptive evolution' and derives the critical population size necessary,  $N^*$ , for this to occur for specific ratios of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  (30, also see 38).

We introduce a stochastic transmission model analogous to the one presented by Henrich that incorporates both vertical and skill-level dependent oblique learning processes. We place individuals in *G* subpopulations, each of size *N*, in a simulation world at a density *D*. These subpopulations are connected by Gaussian random-walk migratory activity, with standard deviation *Msd*, such that the mean global migration rate approximates the subpopulation density, *D* (see *38*). Where possible we use parameter values from ethnographic and comparative behavioral studies that approximate presumed late Pleistocene demography (*38*). We initialize simulations by giving all adults in all subpopulations a z-value of 10.0 and run forward for 100 generations. The mean level of cultural skill accumulation,  $\overline{z}$ , is measured by averaging *z*-values across all individuals in all subpopulations. If the mean *z*-value in the final generation is greater than 10.0 (i.e.  $\Delta \overline{z} > 0$ ) then the result was deemed 'cumulatively adaptive'. To account for stochastic variation in simulation outcomes we performed 100 iterations and average results across these.

We first explored effects of varying the number of subpopulations in our simulation world, *G*, on the mean level of cultural skill accumulated,  $\overline{z}$ . For values of *G* > ~50 the  $\overline{z}$  value did not increase much further across the entire range of subpopulation densities,  $D$ , and skill complexities,  $\alpha$  (Fig. S1). Fig. 1 illustrates that the degree of skill accumulation increased with increasing subpopulation density and decreasing skill complexity. These results indicate that the accumulation, or maintenance, of culturally inherited skill is not dependent on the absolute metapopulation size, but rather on the degree of interaction of the constituent subpopulations, given population substructure and that *G* > ~50. However, when *G* < ~50 then skill accumulation will, to an extent, be dependent on *G*, and thus the size of the metapopulation. This result may have some bearing on debate concerning the erosion of cultural complexity in Holocene Tasmania *(see 30, 31, 32).* As a conservative measure we fixed *G* at 100 in all subsequent simulations.



Fig. 1: Mean *z*-values in the final (100<sup>th</sup>) generation, averaged over 100 iterations, for a range of values of skill complexity,  $\alpha$ , and subpopulation density, *D*.

A key feature of the UP is the geographic heterogeneity in apparent onset times, despite different regions being mutually accessible with modest migration activity. To investigate if skill accumulation can be spatially structured as a result of different subpopulation densities we partitioned our simulation world into two regions differing in density by an order of magnitude, *Dhigh*, and *Dlow*. We retain  $M_{sd}$  at 1.0, but as a proportion of the mean nearest neighbour distance,  $\overline{r}_r$ , in the lower density region (*38*). This ensured that sufficient subpopulations were connected by migratory activity – including across the partition – for the migration rate to approximate the density in each region. We set  $D_{high}$  = 0.02 and  $D_{low}$  = 0.002, and simulated a range of  $\alpha$  values (2.0 to 4.0). For all  $\alpha$  values we find that skill accumulation is consistently higher in the *Dhigh* region even though the two regions were contiguous. As an example, when we fixed  $\alpha$  = 3.0 this difference in mean regional *z*-values, averaged over 100 iterations, was maintained over the entire duration of the simulation (Fig. 2). Fig. 3 and Mov. S1 provide an illustration from a single iteration of the spatial structuring of skill accumulation.



**Fig. 2:** Regional mean z-values (averaged over 100 iterations) over 100 generations in a heterogeneous subpopulation density world. 95% confidence intervals for each region are given as dotted lines.



**Fig. 3:** An illustration, from a single iteration and shown at 25-generation intervals, of the spatial structuring of skill accumulation in a heterogeneous subpopulation density world. The left-hand side of each subplot is populated at density  $D_{high}$  (0.02) and the right-hand side at density  $D_{low}$  (0.002). Each subpopulation is marked by a circle, centred on the spatial location of the group and with diameter proportional to its mean *z*-value. Regional mean *z*-values are also given at the top of each subplot.

We would also expect heterogeneity in migratory range during the late Pleistocene due to, for example, differing terrains, vegetation, or subsistence strategies (*4*). To investigate whether this could result in spatial structuring of skill accumulation we populated the simulation world at a constant subpopulation density  $D = 0.01$  and partitioned it into two regions with differing  $M_{sd}$  values (38); *M<sub>sd,high</sub>* = 1.0 and *M<sub>sd,low</sub>* = 0.1, allowing migratory activity across the partition. Similarly to the heterogeneous density world, we find that skill accumulation was consistently higher in the wellconnected  $M_{sd,high}$  region across all  $\alpha$  values simulated (2.0 to 4.0). An example, with  $D = 0.01$  and  $\alpha$ = 2.9, is given in Fig. S2, with mean regional *z*-values averaged over 100 iterations. Fig. S3 and Mov. S2 provide a spatial illustration from a single iteration.

From the above results it is clear that migratory activity among a set of subpopulations can have the same effect on skill accumulation as increasing the size of a single population (*30*). This is because it increases the within-group variance in skill levels, *zi,* which feeds the selective directly biased transmission process and offsets the eroding effect of low-fidelity transmission. We therefore sought to quantify the effect of increasing migration activity in terms of the effective number of adult individuals available as transmission models within each subpopulation. To achieve this we inverted the previous simulation process; for given values of  $\alpha$  and *D* we simulated widely over *N* in order to find the minimum number of adults,  $N_{min}$ , needed in each subpopulation for adaptive cumulative evolution to occur (Fig. 4). We repeat this process for the same range of  $\alpha$  but with no migratory process operating, to obtain the minimum number of adults required for skill accumulation in an isolated subpopulation,  $N_0$ , for each value of  $\alpha$  (Fig. 4) (this is directly equivalent to Henrich's analytical result (*30*) but using the extended transmission process presented in our study). We then calculated the effective increase in *N* due to migratory activity by finding the difference between the  $N_{min}$  we expect for given values of  $\alpha$  and *D*, and the  $N_0$  we expect for the same value of  $\alpha$ . As can be seen from Fig. 4, not only does increasing migratory activity have the same effect as increasing the size of an isolated population (30), but this effect is greater for higher skill complexities,  $\alpha$ .



**Fig. 4:** The effective increase in adult subpopulation size due to migratory activity. (A) the minimum number of adults required for 'adaptive cumulative evolution' to occur, *Nmin*, for a range of values for subpopulation density, *D*, and skill complexity,  $\alpha$ . (B) the minimum number of adults needed in a single isolated population,  $N_0$ , for the same range of  $\alpha$  values. (C) the effective increase in adult subpopulation size, N, due to migratory activity for this range of  $D$  and  $\alpha$ , calculated by subtracting surface (A) from the curve (B) extended along the *D*-axis. The axes of (C) have been rotated for display purposes.

Our simulation results demonstrate that the influence of demography on cultural transmission processes provides a mechanism to explain three key features of the emergence of modern behavior in the archaeological record: the early appearance, and subsequent disappearance, of many modern traits in Southern Africa 90 to 70 ka; geographical heterogeneity in the timing of the UP outside Africa; and the delay between the emergence of AMH as a species and the material expression of modern behavioral traits. If, as proposed here, demographic factors are fundamental in shaping the evolution of human behavior, then well-supported estimates of late Pleistocene regional population densities will be crucial to understanding the UP / LSA. Recent estimates of population size changes in the late Pleistocene – based on a Bayesian coalescent inference method with a global dataset of codingregion mtDNA sequences (*33*) – permit some comparisons of relative effective population densities in different regions of the world and at different times.

By setting the UP transition in Europe at 45 ka (*1, 2*), we can infer the critical effective population size, and therefore density, necessary for the accumulation of markers of modern behavior. While this transition is closely associated with the initial colonization by AMH, the rapid rise in skill levels under favorable demographic conditions that we observe in our simulations indicates that cultural intensification would be largely insensitive to the time since first occupation. We assume that the habitable area of Europe would not have included most of Scandinavia, resulting in an estimated area of 8.883m km<sup>2</sup>. The median effective population size estimate in Europe at ~45 ka is 2,905 [with 95% highest posterior density interval of 280.4 to 15,933.9], giving an effective population density of around 3.2714 x 10<sup>-4</sup>km<sup>-2</sup>. The time at which this density would have been reached in sub-Saharan Africa (estimated area ~ 24.270m km<sup>2</sup>) is ~101 ka. Whilst this is a relatively crude date estimate, and ignores the importance of the likely large heterogeneity in population densities at the local level, it does correspond well with the first appearance of modern behavioral traits in the region (*9, 13*). Furthermore, applying this estimation method to the Middle East and North Africa region (estimated area ~ 13.588m  $km^2$ ) gives a date of ~40 ka at which the critical density is reached, relatively consistent with the first evidence of modern behavior in the Levant and North East Africa (*2, 7*).

In southern Asia, our predicted time for the UP transition  $(-52 \text{ ka})$  considerably predates the first archaeological evidence for modern behavior at ~30 ka (*3*). Similarly, the date estimate for northern and central Asia (~40 ka) predates that of the first full UP site found at ~22 ka (*12*). One possible explanation lies in the choice of the regions used in the analysis presented by Atkinson *et al.* (*33*). An important assumption of the ancestral population size estimation method used is that samples are taken from unstructured (i.e. randomly mating) populations. While multiple loci clustering analysis (*34, 35*) broadly supports this assumption for most of the other regions, it clearly does not for either the southern Asian or the north and central Asian geographic regions (*33*). Performing Bayesian coalescent inference (*33*) on such structured data sets is likely to have resulted in an overestimation of the effective population size and the time at which population expansion took place. In addition, coalescence date estimates for major mtDNA haplogroups in southern Asia have been interpreted as reflecting an initial phase of population growth somewhat later (*36*). A second possible explanation for this anomalous result is that while population density may have been sufficiently high for behaviorally modern traits to otherwise accumulate, the migratory range may have been insufficient to allow widescale interaction between subpopulations. This may have been the case in southern Asia during the later Pleistocene (*4*).

Although the inferred population densities (*33*) could account for the early appearance of behaviorally modern traits in sub-Saharan Africa and the Middle East – given our demographic model of cultural skill accumulation – they cannot explain the subsequent absence of these features between 70 and ~40 ka as no population size reduction during this period is inferred [see Fig.1 in (*33*)]. However, the method of coalescent inference used (Bayesian skyline analysis) may be unable to accurately reconstruct more complex demographic histories when using sequences sampled from a single locus (*37*) – so repeated bottlenecks and / or expansions, which would have a significant bearing on the accumulation of culturally inherited skills (*17*), may not be recaptured. Palaeoclimatic data does indicate worsening conditions during oxygen isotope stage 4 (~75 – 60 ka) (*21*) – possibly leading to population decline, fragmentation and range contractions – during this period (*20, 21*). Lahr and Foley (*20*) suggest that continent-wide secondary population bottlenecks may have occurred ~70 ka, and there is some evidence that the sites of the South African Howieson's Poort industries became effectively depopulated by ~60 ka (*15, 20*).

We would expect a degree of positive feedback on population density following the accumulation of culturally inherited skills; the development of more advanced technologies, and possibly social organisation, would likely lead to population growth. Furthermore, we would expect to see more artefactual evidence of behavioral modernity in higher population density contexts, through increased deposition. Whilst the model we have presented does not accommodate these processes or explain the necessary cognitive developments that make possible the invention or improvement of complex behavioral traits, it does provide a demographic mechanism for limiting the degree to which early human populations would have accumulated these culturally inherited skills over time. Our model provides a plausible explanation for the spatial and temporal structuring of the markers of modern behavior in the palaeoanthropological record, even if all AMH had the requisite biologically determined cognitive capacities from the time of origin some 160 to 200 ka.

### **References and Notes**

- 1. P. Mellars, *Evolutionary Anthropology* **14**, 12 (2005).
- 2. O. Bar-Yosef, *Annual Review of Anthropology* **31**, 363 (2002).
- 3. M. D. Petraglia, in *Rethinking the Human Revolution,* P. Mellars, K. Boyle, O. Bar-Yosef, C. Stringer, Eds. (McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, 2007), pp. 383-394.
- 4. H. V. A. James, M. D. Petraglia, *Current Anthropology* **46, Supplement, Dec., 2005**, 3 (2005).
- 5. A. Brumm, M. W. Moore, *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* **15**, 157 (2005).
- 6. J. F. O'Connell, J. Allen, in *Rethinking the Human Revolution,* P. Mellars, K. Boyle, O. Bar-Yosef, C. Stringer, Eds. (McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, 2007), pp. 395-410.
- 7. S. H. Ambrose, *Journal of Archaeological Science* **25**, 377 (1998).
- 8. F. d'Errico *et al.*, *Journal of World Prehistory* **17**, 1 (2003).
- 9. S. McBrearty, A. S. Brooks, *J Hum Evol* **39**, 453 (2000).
- 10. J. Zilhão, *Journal of Archaeological Research* **15**, 1 (2007).
- 11. P. J. Brantingham, A. I. Krivoshapkin, L. Jinzeng, Y. Tserendagva, *Current Anthropology* **42**, 735 (2001).
- 12. P. J. Brantingham, K. W. Kerry, A. I. Krivoshapkin, Y. V. Kuzmin, in *Entering America: Northeast Asia and Beringia Before the Last Glacial Maximum,* D. B. Madsen, Ed. (University of Utah Press, Salt Lake City, 2004), pp. 255-283.
- 13. C. Henshilwood *et al.*, *Science* **295**, 1278 (2002).
- 14. C. W. Marean *et al.*, *Nature* **449**, 905 (2007).
- 15. Z. Jacobs *et al.*, *Science* **322**, 733 (2008).
- 16. I. McDougall, F. H. Brown, J. G. Fleagle, *Nature* **433**, 733 (2005).
- 17. C. Stringer, in *Rethinking the Human Revolution,* P. Mellars, K. Boyle, O. Bar-Yosef, C. Stringer, Eds. (McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, 2007).
- 18. T. D. White *et al.*, *Nature* **423**, 742 (2003).
- 19. N. Ray, M. Currat, P. Berthier, L. Excoffier, *Genome Res* **15**, 1161 (2005).
- 20. M. M. Lahr, R. A. Foley, *Am J Phys Anthropol* **Suppl 27**, 137 (1998).
- 21. S. H. Ambrose, *J Hum Evol* **34**, 623 (1998).
- 22. R. G. Klein, *Evolutionary Anthropology* **9**, 17 (2000).
- 23. N. Chomsky, *Linguistic Enquiry* **36**, 1 (2005).
- 24. S. J. Mithen, *The Prehistory of the Mind: A Search for the Origins of Art, Religion, and Science*. (Thames and Hudson, London, 1996).
- 25. M. C. Stiner, S. L. Kuhn, *Human Ecology* **34**, 693 (2006).
- 26. M. Vanhaeren, in *From Tools to Symbols from Early Hominids to Humans,* F. d'Errico, L. Blackwell, Eds. (Wits University Press, Johannesburg, 2005), pp. 525-553.
- 27. J. Bolender, *Biology and Philosophy* **22**, 383 (2007).
- 28. D. Everett, *Current Anthropology* **46**, 621 (2005).
- 29. S. J. Shennan, *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* **11**, 5 (2001).
- 30. J. Henrich, *American Antiquity* **69**, 197 (2004).
- 31. D. Read, *American Antiquity* **71**, (2006).
- 32. J. Henrich, *American Antiquity* **71**, 771 (2006).
- 33. Q. D. Atkinson, R. D. Gray, A. J. Drummond, *Mol Biol Evol* **25**, 468 (2008).
- 34. N. A. Rosenberg *et al.*, *Science* **298**, 2381 (2002).
- 35. J. Z. Li *et al.*, *Science* **319**, 1100 (2008).
- 36. C. Sun *et al.*, *Mol Biol Evol* **23**, 683 (2006).
- 37. A. J. Drummond, in *http://bioinf.cs.auckland.ac.nz/index.php/2008/03/02/the-coalescent-forbottlenecks/*. (2008).
- 38. Materials and Methods are available as supporting material on Science Online.
- 39. We thank J. Henrich, P. Richerson, K. Laland, A. Bentley, T. Kivisild, T. Sumner, F. d'Errico and C. Stringer for discussion, and Q. Atkinson for making data available. This work was supported by an AHRC Centre for the Evolution of Cultural Diversity studentship awarded to AP and a Wissenschaftskolleg zu Berlin fellowship awarded to MGT.

## **Supporting Online Material**

www.sciencemag.org Materials and Methods Figs. S1 to S3 Movies S1 and S2 References