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Abstract—Objective: Measures of Transfer Entropy (TE) quantify the direction and strength of coupling between two complex systems. Standard approaches assume stationarity of the observations, and therefore are unable to track time-varying changes in nonlinear information transfer with high temporal resolution. In this study, we aim to define and validate novel instantaneous measures of transfer entropy to provide an improved assessment of complex non-stationary cardio-respiratory interactions.

Methods: We here propose a novel Instantaneous point-process Transfer Entropy (ipTE) and validate its assessment as applied to cardiovascular and cardio-respiratory dynamics. In particular, heartbeat and respiratory dynamics are characterized through discrete time series, and modeled with probability density functions predicting the time of the next physiological event as a function of the past history. Likewise, non-stationary interactions between heartbeat and blood pressure dynamics are characterized as well. Furthermore, we propose a new measure of information transfer, the instantaneous point-process Information Transfer (ipInfTr), which is directly derived from point-process-based definitions of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance.

Results and Conclusion: Analysis on synthetic data, as well as on experimental data gathered from healthy subjects undergoing postural changes confirms that ipTE, as well as ipInfTr measures are able to dynamically track changes in physiological systems coupling.

Significance: This novel approach opens new avenues in the study of hidden, transient, non-stationary physiological states involving multivariate autonomic dynamics in cardiovascular health and disease. The proposed method can also be tailored for the study of complex multi-system physiology (e.g., brain-heart or, more in general, brain-body interactions).
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I. INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular structure and functions, including vascular anatomy, electrical conduction, heart rate and blood-pressure variability, as well as cardio-respiratory dynamics, are associated with complex spatial and temporal patterns that can be quantified through methodological approaches derived from the theory of complex dynamical systems [1]–[4]. These approaches go beyond standard time and frequency domain analyses, as they account for the nonlinearity relationship between the magnitude of physiological system responses and the strength/amplitude of the system input [5]–[9].

To this extent, measures of entropy have been widely used to quantify the randomness and regularity of a physiological system given the analysis of time series originated by it [10]–[12]. More specifically, during the last decades, application of entropy measures to heart rate variability (HRV) series has been proven very effective in characterizing healthy and pathological states involving cardiovascular control [4], [9], [13]–[25]. Heartbeat dynamics and its spontaneous fluctuations result from complex interactions between the sympathetic and parasympathetic (vagal) limbs of the autonomic nervous system (ANS) [26], as well as from multiple self-regulating, adaptive biochemical processes [26].

A significant contribution to heartbeat complex oscillations is given by a dynamical, mutual interplay with numerous other physiological subsystems (e.g., endocrine, neural, and respiratory) [13]–[15]. Main phenomena refer to Respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA), i.e., the modulation of HR due to respiratory drive to cardiac vagal motor neurons, and the baroreflex, i.e., changes of heart rate due to blood pressure and related cardiovascular mechanics [3], [27], [28]. In this context, transfer entropy (TE) [29] is a mathematical construct devised to measure the nonlinear directional amount of information transfer from one physiological variable to the other.

In the frame of cardiovascular research, TE measures have been successfully applied for assessing the baroreflex functions [30], [31], aging-related changes [32], and brain-heart interactions [33] (see also [34]–[41] for methodological variants and references therein).

Nevertheless, all TE-related estimates proposed so far are unable to finely track the non-stationary information transfer from one system to another, with a high-resolution in time. A limitation of TE is that its estimation requires the data to be stationary within a short-time window. Furthermore, the
intrinsic unevenly sampled nature of heartbeat events is often neglected, thus leading to the application of preliminary interpolation procedures that could affect complexity measures.

In this study, we overcome these limitations by proposing a new definition of TE having time-varying properties, and no need of interpolation techniques on the original physiological time series. The new definition relies on the theory of probabilistic point-processes applied to cardiovascular dynamics [42], [43]. Briefly, given a series of RR intervals, it is possible to estimate PDFs describing and predicting each heartbeat event considering short-time recordings. For physiological and computational reasons, a good choice for these PDFs is represented by Inverse-Gaussian distributions whose first-order autoregressive model and a bivariate model which includes, e.g., respiratory dynamics.

Within a point-process framework, we have Inverse-Gaussian PDFs describing and predicting each heartbeat event. These PDFs can indeed be parametrized through a linear combination of past heartbeat events (monovariate autoregressive model) or through a linear combination of past heartbeat events and a linear combination of past respiratory events (bivariate autoregressive model). Then, the proposed instantaneous transfer entropy measure \(ipTE\) is directly derived from the TE classical definition in terms of conditional PDFs, whereas the proposed instantaneous measure of information transfer \(ipInfTr\) refers to the instantaneous estimation of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distances between PDFs from these mono- and bivariate models.

Mathematical and algorithmic details follow below, focusing on the specific derivation of instantaneous information transfer from respiration (RP events) and heart rate (RR events) through \(ipTE_{RR\rightarrow RR}\) and \(ipInfTr_{RR\rightarrow RR}\). A similarity procedure yields the instantaneous information transfer from blood pressure (BP events) and heart rate (RR events), \(ipTE_{BP\rightarrow RR}\) and \(ipInfTr_{BP\rightarrow RR}\), whose derivation is omitted for brevity.

### A. Point-Process Models of Heartbeat Dynamics

Given the R-wave events \(\{u_j\}_{j=1}^k\) detected from the electrocardiogram, and RR event \(RR_j = u_j - u_{j-1} > 0\) as the \(j^{th}\) R-R interval, the generic probability distribution of the waiting time \(t-u_j\) until the next R-wave event given the information available at time \(t'\) is modeled as an Inverse-Gaussian model [42]:

\[
f(t|\mathcal{H}_{t'},\xi(t')) = \left[\frac{\xi_0(t')}{2\pi(t-u_j)^3}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\xi_0(t')(t-u_j-\mu(t',\mathcal{H}_{t'},\xi(t')))^2}{\mu(t',\mathcal{H}_{t'},\xi(t'))^2(t-u_j)}\right\},
\]

for \(t > u_j\). The associated cumulative distribution function is defined as:

\[
F(t|\mathcal{H}_{t'},\xi(t')) = \int_{u_j}^{t} f(\tau|\mathcal{H}_{t'},\xi(t')) d\tau.
\]

For \(t \in (0,T]\), and \(0 \leq u_1 < \ldots < u_k < u_{k+1} < \ldots < u_K \leq T\) the times of the events, it is possible to define \(N(t) = \max\{k : u_k \leq t\}\) as the sample path of the associated counting process. Its differential, \(dN(t)\), denotes a continuous-time indicator function, where \(dN(t) = 1\) when there is an event, or \(dN(t) = 0\) otherwise. The left continuous sample path is defined as \(\hat{N}(t) = N(t^+) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow t^-} N(\tau) = \max\{k : u_k < t\}\).

Assuming history dependence, the instantaneous first-order moment (mean) \(\mu_{RR}\) of the distribution \(f^a(t|\mathcal{H}_{t'},\xi^a(t))\) can be defined as:

- A monovariate, discrete-time, linear autoregressive system:
  \[
  \mu_{RR}(t, \mathcal{H}_{t'},\xi^a(t)) = \gamma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \gamma_i(1, t) RR_{N(t)-i}
  \]

  where \(\mathcal{H}_{t'}^a = (u_j, RR_j, RR_{j-1}, ..., RR_{j-p+1})\) is the history of the past heartbeat events, \(\xi^a(t) = [\xi_0^a(t), \gamma_0(t), \gamma_1(1, t), ..., \gamma_1(p, t)]\) is the vector of the
time-varying parameters, and $\xi_0^b(t) > 0$ is the shape parameter of the Inverse-Gaussian distribution.

Likewise, assuming history dependence, the instantaneous first-order moment (mean) $\mu_{IP-RR}$ of the distribution $f^b(t|H^b_t, \xi^b(t))$ can be defined as:

- A bivariate, discrete-time, linear system including heart-beat and respiratory dynamics.

$$
\mu_{IP-RR}(t, H^b_t, \xi^b(t)) = \phi_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \phi_1(i, t) \text{RR}_{N(t)-i} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \phi_2(i, t) \text{RP}_{N(t)-q} \quad (4)
$$

with RP values are values from respiration dynamics sampled at R-wave times, $\text{RR}_t = (\text{RR}_1, \text{RR}_{-1}, ..., \text{RR}_{-p+1}, \text{RP}_1, \text{RP}_{-1}, ..., \text{RP}_{-q+1})$ the history of the past heartbeat and respiratory events, $\xi^b(t) = [\xi^b_0(t), \phi_0(t), \phi_1(1, t), ..., \phi_1(p, t), \phi_2(1, t), ..., \phi_2(q, t)]$ the vector of the time-varying parameters and $\xi^b_0(t) > 0$ and $\xi^b_0(t) > 0$ the shape parameters of the Inverse-Gaussian distribution.

B. Parameter Estimation, Model Selection, Goodness-of-Fit

The parameter vectors $\xi^a(t)$ and $\xi^b(t)$ are estimated using the Newton-Raphson procedure to maximize the local likelihood [43]. Because there is significant overlap between adjacent local likelihood intervals, the Newton-Raphson procedure starts at time $t$ with the previous local maximum-likelihood estimate at time $t - \Delta$, with $\Delta = 0.005s$. The time-varying estimation of $ipTE$ and $ipInfTr$ starts from window length $W = 90s$, thus proving an instantaneous complexity assessment right after few seconds of observations [9]. We determine the optimal orders $(p, q)$ based on the model goodness-of-fit tools [42], which are based on the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test and associated KS statistics [42]. Autocorrelation plots are also considered to test the independence of the model-transformed intervals [42]. Once the order $(p, q)$ is determined, the initial model coefficients are estimated by the method of least squares [42]. The recursive, causal nature of the estimation allows to predict each new observation, given the previous history, independently at each iteration. The model and all its parameters are therefore also updated at each iteration without priors.

C. Definition of Instantaneous Point-process Transfer Entropy

The proposed instantaneous point-process transfer entropy $ipTE(t)$ has its foundation in the theoretical definition of transfer entropy, which in its standard form can be considered as a non-parametric nonlinear extension of Granger causality [44]. Considering the classical definition:

$$
TE_{X \rightarrow Y}(t) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \log \frac{f_{Y|Y_{-},X_{-}}(y_t|y_{-},x_{-})}{f_{Y|X_{-}}(y_t|y_{-})} \right] \quad (5)
$$

where $X_{-}$ and $Y_{-}$ denote the past history of the processes $X$ and $Y$, respectively, and $f_{Y|Y_{-},X_{-}}(y_t|y_{-},x_{-})$ and $f_{Y|X_{-}}(y_t|y_{-})$ are the conditional PDFs. We conceptually map $f_{Y|Y_{-},X_{-}}(y_t|y_{-})$ with $f^a(t|H^a_t, \xi^a(t))$ and $f_{Y|X_{-}}(y_t|y_{-})$ with $f^b(t|H^b_t, \xi^b(t))$. Then, we obtain:

$$
ipTE_{RR-R}(t) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \log \frac{f^b(t|H^b_t, \xi^b(t))}{f^a(t|H^a_t, \xi^a(t))} \right] \quad (6)
$$

which, for Inverse-Gaussian PDFs, considering the equivalence with Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence, can be derived in a closed form as follows (see full derivation in Appendix):

$$
ipTE_{RR-R}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \ln \frac{\xi_{0}^{RR}}{\xi_{0}^{IP}} + \frac{\xi_{0}^{RR}}{\xi_{0}^{IP}} - 1 + \frac{\xi_{0}^{IP}}{\xi_{0}^{RR}}(\mu_{RR} - \mu_{IP})^2 \right] \quad (7)
$$

D. Definition of Instantaneous Measures of Information Transfer

The KL-divergence can be interpreted as a measure of statistical distance. A natural extension to the theory presented above is to consider other measures. A statistical distance which is particularly relevant in the case of point processes is the KS-distance, defining a new information transfer measure $ipInfTr$ as follows:

$$
ipInfTr(t) = k \max_{r \leq t} \left| F^a(\tau|H^a_t, \xi^a(t)) - F^b(\tau|H^b_t, \xi^b(t)) \right| \quad (8)
$$

Given $F^a(t|H^a_t, \xi^a(t))$, which is parameterized in $\mu_{RR}(t, H^a_t, \xi^a(t))$ and $\xi^a_0(t)$, and $F^b(t|H^b_t, \xi^b(t))$, which is parameterized in $\mu_{IP-RR}(t, H^b_t, \xi^b(t))$ and $\xi^b_0(t)$. The $ipInfTr(t)$ definition is thus concerned with the maximum vertical distance between the cumulative distribution function of the Inverse-Gaussian distribution $F^a(t|H^a_t, \xi^a(t))$, which is related to the past heartbeat events exclusively, and the cumulative distribution function of the Inverse-Gaussian distribution $F^b(t|H^b_t, \xi^b(t))$, which is related to the past heartbeat and respiratory events. In this study, $ipInfTr(t)$ estimates were obtained setting an arbitrary value of $k = 3$.

In other words, the $ipInfTr(t)$ computation embeds a measure of the KS distance between two Inverse-Gaussian distributions whose first-order moments are parameterized as a monovariate and bivariate autoregressive functions, respectively.

Note that the use of an Inverse-Gaussian distribution is justified by physiological and computational reasons. In fact, Inverse-Gaussian functions are associated with an integrate-and-fire model of cardiac contraction [42], and with better goodness-of-fit [42]. Since this function is formally defined at each moment in time, it is possible to obtain an instantaneous estimate of $\mu_{RR}(t, H^a_t, \xi^a(t))$ and $\mu_{IP-RR}(t, H^b_t, \xi^b(t))$ at a very fine timescale (with an arbitrarily small bin size $\Delta$), requiring no interpolation between the arrival times of two beats.
E. Other Instantaneous Heartbeat Dynamics Measures

For the sake of conciseness, we here reference to our previous publications for the description of other instantaneous heartbeat dynamics measures than $ipTE$ and $ipInfTr$. In the general sense, in fact, our framework allows for a quantitative characterization of many heartbeat dynamics based on instantaneous time-, and frequency domain estimations [42], as well as complex (e.g., ipApEn [9]) and multivariate (e.g., RSA [45]) measures. Specifically, the time-domain characterization is based on the first and the second order moments of the underlying probability structure. Namely, given the time-varying parameter set $\xi(t)$, the instantaneous estimates of mean $\mu_{RR}(t, H_t, \xi(t))$, R-R interval standard deviation $\sigma_{RR}^2(t, H_t, \xi(t))$, and heart rate standard deviation $\sigma_{HR}(t, H_t, \xi(t))$ can be derived at each moment in time as follows [42], [43].

The linear power spectrum estimation reveals the linear mechanisms governing the heartbeat dynamics in the frequency domain. In particular, given the model of $\mu_{RR}(t, H_t, \xi(t))$, we can compute the time-varying parametric (linear) autospectrum [42], [43]. By integrating this autospectrum in each frequency band, we compute the indices within the low frequency (LF = 0.04-0.15 Hz), and high frequency (HF = 0.15-0.45 Hz) ranges, along with their ratio (LF/HF).

The instantaneous monovariate heartbeat complexity estimation, ipApEn, just like the hereby proposed $ipTE$ and $ipInfTr$, relies on the distance calculation of heartbeat-related PDFs in the phase space [9]. Of note, ipApEn modeling is based on the Laguerre expansion of a nonlinear Wiener-Volterra representation of complex heartbeat dynamics. Finally, it is worthwhile mentioning that estimation of RSA [45] is derived from the transfer function between $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ of eq. 4.

III. Experimental Setup

In this Section, we report on mathematical details of the model generating synthetic cardio-respiratory data, as well as on the experimental protocol involving healthy subjects undergoing postural changes.

A. Synthetic Data

In this study, synthetic data refers to the output of a recently proposed model of cardio-respiratory dynamics, through which it is possible to compute the exact theoretical values of standard TE measures for the simulated dynamics [46].

Briefly, the model is based on vector autoregressive processes devised to reproduce realistic cardiorespiratory interactions:

$$RP_n = a_1 RP_{n-1} + a_2 RP_{n-2} + \epsilon_n$$

$$RR_n = \sum_{k=1}^{4} b_k RR_{n-k} + c(RP_{n-1} - RP_{n-2}) + \zeta_n$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where the processes RP and RR represent the respiration and heartbeat dynamics, respectively. The terms $\epsilon_n$ and $\zeta_n$ are independent Gaussian white noises with variances set to 2 and 1, respectively. Oscillations in the two processes at the typical frequencies of cardiorespiratory variability are ensured by placing pairs of complex-conjugated poles of magnitude $\rho$ and phase $2\pi f$ in the complex plane representation of the processes. In particular, for the RR process, we initially set very low frequency (VLF) oscillations with $\rho_{VLF} = 0.2$, $f_{VLF} = 0.03$, and low frequency (LF) oscillations with $\rho_{LF} = 0.8$, $f_{LF} = 0.1$, whereas for the RP process we initially set $\rho_{HF} = 0.9$ and $f_{HF} = 0.3$.

We investigated standard TE and the proposed $ipTE$ and $ipInfTr$ measures by varying the simulation parameters according to the following conditions:

- the coupling $c$ was changed from 0 to 1 to simulate an increasing RSA. This setting causes an increase of the HF power in the spectral density of the simulated RR interval series.
- the pole $\rho_{LF}$ was changed from 0 to 0.8, with coupling $c = 0.8 - \rho_{LF}$, to simulate a shift in the sympathovagal balance toward sympathetic activation and vagal deactivation. This setting causes an increase of the LF power, and a simultaneous decrease in the HF power, in the spectral density of the simulated RR interval series.

Further details on the resulting model coefficients, and the theoretical calculation of standard TE measures can be found in [46].

In order to demonstrate that proposed $ipTE$ and $ipInfTr$ measures are able to identify the directionality of systems coupling, we also gathered estimates from the following system:

$$RP_n = a_1 RP_{n-1} + a_2 RP_{n-2} + c_\tau RR_{n-1} - RR_{n-2} + \epsilon_n$$

$$RR_n = \sum_{k=1}^{4} b_k RR_{n-k} + \zeta_n$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

in which the information transfer is from RR to RP through the coupling coefficient $c_\tau$ from 0 to 1.

B. Experimental Data

To show the applicability of $ipTE$ and $ipInfTr$ measures in actual heartbeat data, we fitted the monovariate and bivariate point-process models (see eqs. 3 and 4, respectively) using RR interval series gathered from 16 healthy subjects (10 males, range: 24–34 yr; 28.6±2.9 yr, no known history of cardiovascular disease) undergoing a tilt-table protocol. Each subject, initially lying horizontally in a supine position, is then passively tilted to the vertical position according to the following protocol: 4 min in early supine position, 5 min tilted head-up to an angle of 70° and 4 min back to later supine position. Transitions from supine-to-upright and from upright-to-supine lasted about 20 s each. Throughout the experiment, a 12-lead ECG was recorded using a Biopac MP150 system, with a sampling frequency of 1000 Hz. Respiratory signal was recorded with a sampling frequency of 125 Hz, by using TSD201 transducer which measures thoracic expansion while breathing, giving a measure correlated with lung volume changes. Arterial pressure was measured at the finger with a non-invasive device (Finometer, inopress Medical System). Further details can be found in [47], [48].
Fig. 1. Simulation results using the synthetic models of cardiorespiratory dynamics. Top row panels show results associated with change in the cardiorespiratory coupling \(c = [0, 1]\) (eq. 9), middle row panels show results associated with change in the pole \(\rho_{LF} = [0, 0.8]\) (eq. 9), whereas bottom row panels show results associated with change in the cardiorespiratory coupling \(c_r = [0, 1]\) (eq. 10). From the left, the theoretical TE, the proposed \(ipTE\) and \(ipInfTr\), and the model parameter changes as a function of the time are shown. Plots of \(ipTE\) and \(ipInfTr\) show instantaneous group-wise statistics expressed as Median(\(X\)) (black lines) Median(|\(X - \text{Median}(X)\)|) (grey area), calculated using 100 simulations. Theoretical values of TE are superimposed in the \(ipTE\) plots (red dashed lines).

IV. RESULTS

Given a generic index variable \(X\), group-wise results are expressed as Median(\(X\)) ± Median(|\(X - \text{Median}(X)\)|). Results obtained by processing synthetic cardiorespiratory data, as well as real heartbeat data follow below.

A. Synthetic Data

We obtained \(ipTE\) and \(ipInfTr\) estimations by fitting the monovariate (eq. 3) and bivariate (eq. 4) point-process models on the synthetic \(RR\) and \(RP\) series derived from eq. 9 and 10. For the model in eq. 9, series of length 1000 seconds were generated 100 times for each of the two considered conditions: \(c = [0, 1]\), and \(\rho_{LF} = [0, 0.8]\), whereas for the model in eq. 10, series of length 1000 seconds were generated 100 times for \(c_r = [0, 1]\). The model orders were set as \(p = 7, q = 2\) according to a preliminary KS plots goodness-of-fit analysis [42]. The resulted \(ipTE\) and \(ipInfTr\) series along with the respective theoretical TE are shown in Fig. 1, and summarized in Table I.

For \(c = [0, 1]\), theoretical TE and \(ipTE\), as well as \(ipInfTr\) increase according to \(c\). Statistically, during the last 500s of simulation, all of these measures significantly increased with respect to the ones in the first 500s (\(p < 2*10^{-17}\) from non-parametric Wilcoxon test for paired data with null hypothesis of equal medians). For \(c_r = [0, 1]\), theoretical TE is null, with stationary \(ipTE\) values of 0.0317 ± 0.0038, and \(ipInfTr\) of 0.2692 ± 0.0173.

The proposed \(ipTE\) and \(ipInfTr\) are therefore able to track the complex directional information transfer of the simulated physiological systems at each moment in time, being in agreement with theoretical TE estimates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modulation Parameter</th>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Time [s]</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[0-500)</td>
<td>[500-1000]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>(TE)</td>
<td>0.2330</td>
<td>0.6997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho_{LF})</td>
<td>(ipTE)</td>
<td>0.1564±0.0512</td>
<td>0.4472±0.1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ipInfTr)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4057±0.0860</td>
<td>0.7477±0.0633</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p-values from non-parametric Wilcoxon test for paired data with null hypothesis of equal medians.

B. Experimental Data

In 12 out of 16 recordings, KS plots and autocorrelation samples fell within 95% confidence intervals, whereas in the remaining 4 KS plots were slightly outside the boundaries.
KS distance analysis reveals a very satisfactory goodness-of-fit, being as low as 0.0390±0.0078. According to KS analysis, we selected a order of $p=7$, and $q=2$. Results from univariate, non-parametric statistical analysis of instantaneous features related to linear and nonlinear heartbeat dynamics are summarized in Table II. Noticeably, trends in total RR mean and variability, HF and LF/HF ratio, as well as in RSA are in agreement with the current knowledge associated with supine to upright changes (i.e., a reduced vagal activity and RSA is associated with upright position). Instantaneous statistics averaged among all subjects are shown in Fig. 2. Concerning the instantaneous complexity-related measures, results show a significant decrease in the inhomogeneous point-process approximate entropy, $ipApEn$, confirming previous results demonstrating that upright position is associated with a decreased heartbeat complexity (see also Fig. 2). Therefore, by comparing $ipTE$ and $ipInfTr$ with its purely monovariate counterpart $ipApEn$, we showed similarities in the dynamics of the supine phases, and differences throughout the upright phase. A plateau, in fact, is shown by $ipTE$ and $ipInfTr$ dynamics (see Fig. 3) despite the higher variability of $ipApEn$ measures (see Fig. 2).

Instantaneous $ipTE_{RP\rightarrow RR}$ and $ipInfTr_{RP\rightarrow RR}$ statistics averaged among all subjects are shown in Fig. 3, whereas instantaneous $ipTE_{BP\rightarrow RR}$ and $ipInfTr_{BP\rightarrow RR}$ statistics averaged among all subjects are shown in Fig. 4. As expected, $ipTransfEn_{RP\rightarrow RR}$ and $ipInfTr_{BP\rightarrow RR}$ significantly decreases in upright conditions, whereas $ipTE_{BP\rightarrow RR}$ and $ipInfTr_{BP\rightarrow RR}$ increase. However, $ipTE_{BP\rightarrow RR}$ and $ipInfTr_{BP\rightarrow RR}$ trends do not reach statistical significance due to a high inter-subject variability, although it is possible to appreciate a clear variable increase for around 60s following postural changes (see Fig. 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Autonomic Index</th>
<th>Supine</th>
<th>Upright</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{RR}$ [ms]</td>
<td>984.11±57.66</td>
<td>772.51±97.29</td>
<td>4.4e-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{RR}$ [ms]</td>
<td>829.78±461.84</td>
<td>293.93±233.55</td>
<td>9.73e-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{RR plead/beat}$ [ms]</td>
<td>3.57±2.04</td>
<td>2.80±2.05</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$HF$ [ms$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>1233.80±677.83</td>
<td>966.15±470.70</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$LF$ [ms$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>344.57±161.12</td>
<td>185.37±148.90</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$LF/HF$</td>
<td>2.00±1.09</td>
<td>11.17±7.92</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ipApEn$</td>
<td>0.34±0.042</td>
<td>0.271±0.042</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA</td>
<td>0.064±0.025</td>
<td>0.020±0.012</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ipTE_{RP\rightarrow RR}$</td>
<td>0.721±0.520</td>
<td>0.997±0.056</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ipTE_{BP\rightarrow RR}$</td>
<td>0.0082±0.0064</td>
<td>0.0089±0.0045</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ipInfTr_{BP\rightarrow RR}$</td>
<td>0.469±0.267</td>
<td>0.308±0.138</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ipInfTr_{BP\rightarrow RR}$</td>
<td>1.30±0.079</td>
<td>1.18±0.041</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p-values from non-parametric Wilcoxon test for paired data with null hypothesis of equal medians

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Inspired by the standard, theoretical definition of transfer entropy (TE), we propose two novel measures of information transfer: the instantaneous point-process transfer entropy ($ipTE$), and the instantaneous point-process Information Transfer ($ipInfTr$). Remarkably, these measures are able to provide estimates of information transfer between two dynamical systems with a high-resolution in time, therefore tracking two physiological systems in non-stationary conditions. The mathematical definition, embedded into the point-process framework, ensures continuous estimates in time without the use of any interpolation procedure.

The rationale behind $ipTE$ and $ipInfTr$ definitions relies on the non-parametric estimation of TE as a nonlinear extension of Granger causality. Leveraging on the point-process theory for cardiovascular dynamics, which associates an Inverse-Gaussian PDF to each heartbeat events, we aimed at quantifying the distances between two distributions parametrized...
with the past heartbeat events (monovariate model), and the past heartbeat and respiratory/blood pressure events (bivariate model). While \( \text{iP} \text{TE} \) derives from the direction application of TE over the estimated Inverse-Gaussian PDFs, \( \text{iPInfTr} \) is derived from Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance calculations of PDFs from monovariate and bivariate models. It should be noted that a shifting window approach to estimate Granger causality would only allow “discrete” estimates in time that would work exclusively at the time scale of the observations. In addition, the limited number of observations within the windowed data need to be compensated by an appropriate model in order to predict the nonlinear dynamics (and their evolution) with sufficient accuracy. To this extent, our approach combines causality with a proper mathematical framework ensuring that the estimates can actually be derived in the “continuous” time \( t \), as reported in the PDF formulation, thus increasing the number of observation points to a wider range of time scales, and also defines a powerful underlying model coupled with a clear goodness-of-fit assessment that allows to test the most appropriate structures \( f(t|H_U, \xi(t')) \) for \( t > u_j \).

For these reasons, the proposed indices could provide a more meaningful quantification than traditional directional entropy measures. Moreover, all other advantages of the point-process framework, e.g., goodness-of-fit measures such as KS distance and autocorrelation plots that quantitatively allow to verify the model fit and to choose the proper model order, are embedded in the \( \text{iP} \text{TE} \) and \( \text{iPInfTr} \) definitions.

Validation on synthetic, physiologically plausible cardiorespiratory data confirmed that the proposed \( \text{iP} \text{TE} \) and \( \text{iPInfTr} \) are able to track the theoretical TE changes with a high-resolution in time. Note that the constant bias shown in Fig. 1 for \( \text{iPInfTr} \) values in case of uncoupled systems is due to the specific choice of \( k \) in eq. 8. Nonetheless, we demonstrated how to finely track changes in the directional cardiorespiratory coupling, as well as changes in the sympathovagal balance.

Once validated, we investigated \( \text{iP} \text{TE} \) and \( \text{iPInfTr} \) dynamics in actual heartbeat data gathered from healthy subjects undergoing postural changes. By grand-averaging along the time, our experimental results are consistent with previous experimental TE estimates during postural changes [30], [31], [34–38]. Estimates of \( \text{iP} \text{TE}_{RP \rightarrow RR} \) and \( \text{iPInfTr}_{RP \rightarrow RR} \) clearly reveal trends associated with decreasing cardiorespiratory information transfer and increasing cardiovascular information transfer during the transition from supine to upright position. These trends are in agreement with those observed previously using standard linear and nonlinear measures of TE and Granger causality [30], [31], [36], [49]. In addition, the high temporal resolution of the proposed estimates allowed us to track specific trends, such as those related to the prompt response to tilt of \( \text{iP} \text{TE}_{RP \rightarrow RR} \) and \( \text{iPInfTr}_{RP \rightarrow RR} \), which decrease rapidly and are kept at low values throughout the test (Fig. 3), or the different response of \( \text{iP} \text{TE}_{BP \rightarrow RR} \) and \( \text{iPInfTr}_{BP \rightarrow RR} \), which raise with a certain latency and is not stable throughout the test (Fig. 4). Differently from \( \text{iP} \text{TE}_{RP \rightarrow RR} \) and \( \text{iPInfTr}_{RP \rightarrow RR} \) trends, we found that \( \text{iP} \text{TE}_{BP \rightarrow RR} \) and \( \text{iPInfTr}_{BP \rightarrow RR} \) estimates are associated with a high inter-subject variability. Particularly, during the upright phase, \( \text{iP} \text{TE}_{BP \rightarrow RR} \) and \( \text{iPInfTr}_{BP \rightarrow RR} \) reach a maximal value after about 1 minute from tilting. However, both \( \text{iP} \text{TE}_{BP \rightarrow RR} \) and \( \text{iPInfTr}_{BP \rightarrow RR} \) start increasing after about 30s. These dynamics are consistent with the fact that each subject transitioned from supine to the upright position in about 20s, and that the characteristic autonomic response generates oscillations at around 0.1Hz, i.e., 10s.

From a physiological point of view, we have shown that \( \text{iP} \text{TE} \) and \( \text{iPInfTr} \) promisingly provides helpful multivariate time-varying and adaptive assessment for real-time monitoring of sympathovagal dynamics, which have also been proven in agreement with previous works [50]. Furthermore, \( \text{iP} \text{TE}_{RP \rightarrow RR} \) and \( \text{iPInfTr}_{RP \rightarrow RR} \) are here applied to cardiorespiratory dynamics, and it can consequently be linked to respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA). It is also known that RSA interacts with the baroreflex, as confirmed by previous studies highlighting the causal relation between them [51]. As a matter of fact, this study indeed shows that \( \text{iP} \text{TE}_{BP \rightarrow RR} \) and \( \text{iPInfTr}_{BP \rightarrow RR} \) dynamics follow a similar behaviour as it has been observed for baroreflex sensitivity [24]. We observed different dynamics on group-wise statistics of \( \text{iP} \text{TE} \) and \( \text{iPInfTr} \) between the supine-to-upright phase and upright-to-supine phase. Differences between these two phases have already been highlighted in the literature (see, e.g., [24], [52–55]). Consistently with this literature, sympathetic withdrawal and the restoring of resting-state vagal activity levels during the upright-to-supine transition seem to occur with delayed, slower dynamics, clearly different than the supine-to-upright phase.

We have also proved that our novel \( \text{iP} \text{TE} \) and \( \text{iPInfTr} \) measures are able to overcome some of the inter-individual variability shown by a monovariate complex HRV assessment (e.g., \( \text{iPApEn} \)).

Similarly to the recently proposed complexity variability framework [8], the proposed entropy measures also allow for the study of multivariate complexity variability, i.e., the analysis of coupled interacting complex systems, referring to the fluctuations in multivariate complexity instead of analysis of central tendency exclusively. We remark that the proposed methodology has been derived to quantify the statistical coher-
ence between nonlinear systems evolving in time. Nevertheless, from a theoretical (and philosophical) perspective its not straightforward to discern behaviours of physiological nonlinearity from non-stationarity [56]. It could be possible, in fact, to consider simple, possibly multivariate, linear models with non-stationary transition dynamics [57], or a single nonlinear model with multiple operating regimes [58]. Our approach concerns multivariate, non-stationary physiological systems as modelled through multivariate linear equations, therefore complying with non-stationarity, linear physiological systems, or nonlinear physiological systems whose nonlinearity is derived from non-stationarity. Indeed, a single nonlinear model with multiple operating regimes could be approximated with a linear non-stationary model. Moreover, our use of linear parametric models to predict non-Gaussian (i.e., Inverse-Gaussian) statistics should capture some of the cardiovascular system nonlinearity. This is different from the approach proposed in most of our previous studies (e.g., [8], [9], [17], [59]) which dealt with monovariate nonlinear, non-stationary physiological systems.

To conclude, the proposed methodology offers a promising mathematical tool for the dynamic analysis of a wide range of applications and to potentially study any physical and natural stochastic discrete process (e.g. [43]). We envisage significant avenues in the study of hidden, transient, non-stationary physiological states involving multivariate autonomic dynamics in health and disease. Furthermore, the flexible definition of $i^{p}TE$ and $i^{p}InT^{F}r$, which is not limited to bivariate formulations or strictly linked to specific physiological systems, allows for future tailoring of the model to the definition of fully multivariate instantaneous measures of information transfer and to the study of complex multi-system physiology such as brain-heart interactions or, more in general, brain-body interactions.
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