

24 Email: jennifer.copeland@uleth.ca Phone: (403)317-2804

25 ***What is already known?***

- 26 - Sedentary time is associated with an increased risk of mortality and cardiometabolic
27 disease in older adults.

28 ***What are the new findings?***

- 29 - Self-report tools underestimate total sedentary time in older adults, but they provide
30 context to the behaviour.
- 31 - There are specific associations of sedentary time with geriatric-relevant health outcomes
32 such as physical function, cognitive function, mental health, and quality of life, but the
33 relevant evidence base is modest and derived primarily from cross-sectional data.
- 34 - Some cognitively engaging sedentary behaviours – reading, using the internet, socializing
35 – may benefit geriatric-relevant health outcomes.
- 36 - Interventions that target reducing sedentary time in healthy, community-dwelling older
37 adults appear to be feasible, but few have appropriately assessed the impact on geriatric-
38 relevant health outcomes.

39

40 **ABSTRACT**

41 Sedentary time (ST) is an important risk factor for a variety of health outcomes in older adults.
42 Consensus is needed on future research directions so that collaborative and timely efforts can be
43 made globally to address this modifiable risk factor. In this review we examined current
44 literature to identify gaps and inform future research priorities on ST and healthy ageing. We
45 reviewed three primary topics: (1) the validity/reliability of self-report measurement tools, (2)
46 the consequences of prolonged ST on geriatric-relevant health outcomes (physical function,
47 cognitive function, mental health, incontinence, and quality of life), and (3) the effectiveness of
48 interventions to reduce ST in older adults.

49 **Methods:** A trained librarian created a search strategy that was peer-reviewed for completeness.

50 **Results:** Self-report assessment of the context and type of ST is important but the tools tend to
51 underestimate total ST. There appears to be an association between ST and geriatric-relevant
52 health outcomes, although there is insufficient longitudinal evidence to determine a dose-
53 response relationship or a threshold for clinically relevant risk. The type of ST may also affect
54 health; some cognitively engaging sedentary behaviours appear to benefit health, while time
55 spent in more passive activities may be detrimental. Short-term feasibility studies of individual-
56 level ST interventions have been conducted; however, few studies have appropriately assessed
57 the impact of these interventions on geriatric-relevant health outcomes, nor have they addressed
58 organization or environment level changes. Research is specifically needed to inform evidence-
59 based interventions that help maintain functional autonomy among older adults.

60 INTRODUCTION

61 Sedentary behaviour is defined as any waking behaviour in a seated or reclining posture,
62 with a low energy expenditure (≤ 1.5 METS).(1) The time spent in these behaviours, that is,
63 sedentary time (ST), has emerged as an important determinant of health in the last decade.(2)
64 Among older adults ST is high, with the majority accumulating 8 or more hours/day. (3, 4) A
65 systematic review of studies from 10 countries found that older adults accumulate an average of
66 9.4 hours/day of ST.(5) Based on current evidence, older adults are the most sedentary of any
67 other age group.(6, 7) While a considerable amount of research has been done to identify the
68 determinants of ST among older adults,(8) more work is needed to understand the effect of ST
69 on healthy ageing. We sought to develop an international consensus statement to summarize the
70 current state of the evidence and guide future research in the area of ST and healthy ageing. As
71 part of this process, a review of the literature was conducted to help inform the consensus
72 statement.(9)

73 Several longitudinal studies of older adults have demonstrated that all-cause mortality has
74 a graded, inverse relationship with self-reported total ST and TV time.(10) Keadle et al.(11)
75 found that older adults who watched 5 or more hours/day of TV had a 28% higher risk of
76 mortality over 6.6 years than those who watched less than 3 hours/day. There is also a growing
77 body of cross-sectional evidence that indicates an association between ST and cardiometabolic
78 risk factors such as metabolic syndrome and obesity; these associations have been previously
79 reviewed.(10) While these outcomes are important, the major categories of impairment in older
80 adults are not cardiometabolic in nature.

81 The term “geriatric syndromes” refers to multifactorial conditions that are common
82 among older adults but do not fit clearly into specific categories of disease. These include

83 instability and falls (mobility impairment), frailty, cognitive impairment, dizziness, urinary
84 incontinence, and depressive symptoms.(12-15) These geriatric syndromes have a major impact
85 on quality of life, independence, and longevity.(12, 13, 16) Bowling et al. (16) conducted a
86 longitudinal examination of nondisease-specific geriatric syndromes including cognitive
87 impairment, depressive symptoms, falls, and impaired mobility. They found a graded increase in
88 hazard ratios for all-cause mortality with each additional condition that was present. (16)
89 Recently, Koroukian et al. (14) examined the combinations of chronic conditions, functional
90 limitations, and geriatric syndromes that predict poor health in older adults. Using a
91 representative sample of more than 16,000 older adults, they showed that functional limitations
92 and geriatric syndromes were stronger predictors of poor self-reported health and 2-year
93 mortality than the presence of chronic conditions such as diabetes or heart disease.(14) Thus,
94 these nondisease outcomes are just as relevant to an older population.

95 While the association of ST with mortality and chronic disease has been reviewed
96 elsewhere,(10) the association between ST and geriatric-relevant health outcomes is relatively
97 unexplored. Furthermore, the evidence on ST interventions has not been previously reviewed.
98 Thus, the goal of this review was to explore the consequences of prolonged ST on geriatric-
99 relevant health outcomes and the effectiveness of interventions to reduce ST among older adults.
100 In this context, accurate measurement of ST is critical; thus, we also reviewed the evidence of
101 the accuracy of self-report ST measures among older adults.

102

103 **METHODS**

104 Although this is a narrative review, the literature was searched systematically. An
105 experienced librarian created a search strategy that was reviewed for completeness and accuracy

106 by an independent librarian using Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies. A search was
107 conducted in Sport Discus, CINAHL, Medline, Embase, and PsycINFO on November 9th, 2015
108 and the search was repeated on August 27th, 2016. Studies were excluded if they were a
109 conference proceeding, abstract, thesis, report, systematic review or qualitative study design.
110 Studies were included if the study population was ≥ 60 years which is consistent with previously
111 published reviews in this area.(10, 17) The United Nations defines an older person as 60+ years
112 of age.(18)

113 A two-phase screening process was used. In phase I, titles and abstracts were screened
114 and classified as relevant, possibly relevant or irrelevant. In phase II, full text articles of possibly
115 relevant articles were reviewed to determine whether they were relevant or irrelevant. All
116 screening was done by the first (JLC) and last author (SD). All relevant articles were organized
117 according to the three areas: validity and reliability of self-report measures, geriatric-relevant
118 health outcomes, and ST interventions. Within the geriatric- relevant health outcomes, articles
119 were categorized into physical function, cognitive function, mental health, incontinence, quality
120 of life/wellbeing, and sleep. We also investigated age, sex, and gender differences in the
121 associations between ST and health in older adults.

122 It is important to note that ST is distinct from physical inactivity, which refers to a lack of
123 moderate to vigorous physical activity(1). Thus, studies were included if they specifically
124 measured ST or participation in specific sedentary behaviours; they were excluded if they only
125 assessed physical activity, even if they defined the lack of activity as “sedentary”. Studies of
126 short-term bed-rest were also excluded.

127
128

129 **Validity and Reliability of Self-Report Measures of ST in Older Adults**

130 To assess the effectiveness of interventions and the longitudinal associations between ST
131 and health outcomes, valid measurement tools that are sensitive enough to capture changes in
132 ST, and to measure ST duration and type accurately, are needed. While device-based measures
133 of ST such as accelerometers or inclinometers have many advantages, such as being more
134 objective and less prone to bias, self-report tools are more practical for population-based studies.
135 Self-report is also valuable for providing context to the ST that is accumulated, and to identify
136 specific sedentary behaviours. This is important as time spent in cognitively engaging sedentary
137 behaviours, such as reading, socialising, or computer use, could have different effects on health
138 outcomes compared to more passive sedentary behaviours, such as watching television.

139 Nine studies that directly compared self-reported ST to device-based measures were
140 identified through the search. Four of the studies were conducted in Europe, (19-22) three in
141 Australia,(23-25) one in the USA, (26) and one in Brazil.(27) Cultural norms could influence
142 perceptions of “sedentary behaviour” and should be considered in research using self-report.

143 Six studies used an ActiGraph accelerometer (20-22, 25-27) and one used an Actiheart
144 accelerometer.(19) It should be noted that accelerometers cannot provide information about
145 posture, which is an important part of the definition of ST. Thus, accelerometers also only
146 provide an estimate of ST by quantifying lack of movement, and may not be an ideal criterion
147 measure. An inclinometer can measure time spent sitting, lying, and standing, and was used by
148 two studies.(23, 24) In most studies, lying time associated with sleep was excluded; this is
149 important as the definition of ST refers specifically to waking activity.

150 Each study assessed a different questionnaire. For the Epic Physical Activity
151 Questionnaire (men n=813; women n=876), which assesses physical activity in four domains to

152 estimate physical activity energy expenditure and sedentary time (defined as ≤ 1.5 METs), only
153 weak correlations (men: 0.17; women: 0.18) were observed with sedentary time in hours per
154 day.(19) This tool underestimated ST more in women (34%) than in men (26%) when compared
155 to a heart rate and movement sensor (Actiheart). A questionnaire using self-reported frequency
156 and duration of sedentary behaviours in the past 7 days (n=442), was found to underestimate ST
157 when compared to an accelerometer (ActiGraph); however, it overestimated ST among those
158 who accumulated 640 minutes/day.(20) Of note, test-retest reliability was acceptable for TV
159 viewing, computer use, driving, and total sitting time. Further, the correlations between the
160 questionnaire and accelerometer data were stronger in older men than older women.(20) For a
161 similar questionnaire on time spent in 10 sedentary behaviours on a regular weekday and regular
162 weekend (n=83), total self-reported ST was underestimated, and correlated moderately (0.35)
163 with accelerometer (ActiGraph) measured ST.(22) The reliability of six individual activities
164 ranged from 0.31 (talking) to 0.85 (napping) in this study. For the Measuring Older Adults'
165 Sedentary Time questionnaire (n=48), validity was acceptable (0.30) and test-retest reliability
166 ranged from 0.90 for computer use to 0.45 for transport.(25) Self-reported ST underestimated
167 accelerometer (ActiGraph) measured ST by 3.6 hours/day among those with average ST.(25)
168 The Physical Activity Survey for Older Adults and the Community Health Activities Model
169 Program for Seniors (CHAMPS) are widely used tools but both questionnaires were found to
170 underestimate ST when compared to accelerometer data (ActiGraph). The CHAMPS
171 questionnaire (n=58) underestimated ST by 5.21 hours/day.(26) The Human Activity Profile
172 Questionnaire includes 94 activities that have variable energy requirements (low to high); it had
173 a strong correlation (-0.47) with accelerometer (ActiGraph) measured ST (n=120).(27) For a
174 questionnaire on hours/week spent in specific sedentary behaviours (n=1377), correlations with

175 accelerometer (ActiGraph) measured ST were weak; this was particularly true for men over the
176 age of 80 years. Here again, the questionnaire underestimated daily ST (by 5.38 hours/day).(21)

177 Only two studies compared self-report to measured sitting time from an inclinometer. A
178 7-day recall questionnaire on sedentary behaviours in five contexts, was found to underestimate
179 ST in older adults (65-89 years) by approximately 3 hours/day when compared to an
180 activPAL3™ inclinometer.(24) Furthermore, validity was found to be lower for adults aged 75
181 and older compared to those aged 65 to 74 years.(24) Aguilar-Farias et al.(23) assessed two
182 different self-report tools in a small sample of older adults. They found that a single item
183 question on total sitting time had a weak association ($r = 0.13-0.33$) with ST measured from an
184 activPAL3™ inclinometer, and it underestimated ST. They also examined a 24-hour recall
185 computer-delivered Multimedia Activity Recall for Children and Adolescents (MARCA), and
186 found that in older adults it overestimated ST, and had a moderate correlation ($r = 0.49-0.67$)
187 with measured ST from the activPAL3™ inclinometer.(23)

188 Conclusions: Self-report measures of ST for older adults

189 Generally, self-reported measures of ST underestimated total ST when compared to
190 measured ST. Validity and reliability for some sedentary behaviours (eg. TV time and napping)
191 was better than others, and data suggest that there may be age and sex differences in accuracy of
192 self-reported ST. It is important to note that questionnaires do not specifically ask about posture
193 when engaging in certain behaviours and it is therefore simply assumed that when one is
194 watching TV or reading that they are in a seated or reclined position. Furthermore, all of these
195 studies only assessed the validity of self-report as measured against total ST, and none assessed
196 movement throughout the 24 hours, that is, no measures obtained information on sleep, ST, and
197 light to vigorous intensity physical activity, despite all these behaviours being interrelated and

198 having implications for health outcomes. Thus self-report tools should be validated for different
199 movement behaviours across the 24 hours. Furthermore, the context of ST is crucial, as different
200 types of sedentary behaviours may have different associations with geriatric relevant health
201 outcomes; some could even be beneficial to outcomes such as cognitive function. It is unknown
202 how accurate self-report tools are for identifying participation in different types of behaviours;
203 unfortunately, currently available tools such as accelerometers cannot assess specific behaviours
204 for validation. However, some combination of device-based and self-report measures might be
205 able to address this limitation. Advances in technology are allowing the development of novel
206 approaches to assessing the context of ST (ie: wearable cameras), but more research is needed to
207 assess feasibility in larger studies.

208 **Associations of Sedentary Time with Geriatric-Relevant Health Outcomes**

209 *Physical Function*

210 Mobility limitations have a significant impact on quality of life and independence, and
211 can also result in functional limitations, and ultimately, disability (13). Impaired mobility is
212 highly prevalent and is associated with more than double the risk of mortality among older
213 adults.(16) In fact, functional limitations have been shown to be a stronger predictor of mortality
214 than chronic conditions.(14) Nineteen studies were identified that examined the relationship
215 between ST and function, with a variety of outcomes used to represent “function”. Most of these
216 were cross-sectional studies of performance on functional tests (such as the timed up-and-go or
217 chair rise test)(28-33), laboratory-based strength assessments (such as grip strength or leg
218 power),(34, 35) or a combination of both.(36-38) Other outcomes were self-reported limitations
219 within activities of daily living (ADL),(39-44) or falls.(45, 46) Only three of the studies were
220 longitudinal.(41, 44, 46) For the assessment of ST, five studies used self-reported ST,(30, 36, 41,

221 45) several measured ST using accelerometers or similar devices,(28, 29, 31, 32, 35, 37-40, 42-
222 44, 46) and two used both.(33, 34)

223 The majority of cross-sectional studies that used functional testing found that ST was
224 inversely related to performance (28, 30-33, 37) or muscle strength.(37, 38) One study found no
225 relationship between ST and grip strength (34) while others found that the observed relationship
226 between ST and function was not significant after adjustment for moderate-vigorous intensity
227 physical activity.(29, 38) In contrast to the majority of findings, one study reported a positive
228 association between ST and lower leg extensor power;(35) it was suggested that this was due to
229 the potential training stimulus provided by the higher body mass index observed in more
230 sedentary participants. The pattern of ST accumulation may also be important; more breaks in
231 ST are associated with better performance on functional fitness tests(28, 32) and lower odds of
232 limitations in instrumental activities of daily living (IADL).(43)

233 In terms of ADL, four cross-sectional studies found that greater ST was associated with
234 greater limitations in ADL(39, 40, 43, 44) while one found that measured ST was not a predictor
235 of risk of losing independence.(42) The only longitudinal study unexpectedly found that
236 watching TV was protective against functional loss over 8 years, which is not consistent with the
237 majority of literature on TV viewing.(41) Perhaps some types of TV, such as educational
238 programming, provides stimulation that is beneficial to functional outcomes, although this
239 question has not been addressed in any studies to date. This discrepant finding may also simply
240 reflect a measurement issue, as TV time was not assessed with a validated measure, nor was total
241 time spent watching TV assessed.(41)

242 A cross-sectional analysis of falls found that self-reported prolonged sitting (>8
243 hours/day) was independently associated with falls in the past 12 months and also mediated the

244 positive association between obesity and fall risk.(47) Accelerometer-measured ST was
245 associated with fear of falling (33)and with risk of falls.(46) Jefferis et al.(46) conducted a 1-year
246 prospective study of falls in older men and found that greater ST was related to higher risk of
247 falls in a dose-dependent manner. This relationship was observed among men with mobility
248 limitations but was not significant among men without mobility limitations.(46)

249 Women live longer than men on average, and have lower absolute strength/fitness than
250 men. Thus older women are more likely to live with functional impairments; this interaction
251 between age and sex with physical function was confirmed by several studies.(32, 41, 42) To
252 account for this, most studies of the relationship between ST and physical function adjusted their
253 analyses for age and/or sex,(28, 31, 32, 36, 38, 40, 43) while others examined men and women
254 separately or tested for a sex interaction (34, 35) or examined only one sex.(37, 44, 46) Several
255 studies noted some important differences. Dunlop et al.(39) found a stronger relationship
256 between ST and disability in ADL in older individuals and women. Chastin et al.(35) found an
257 association of ST and breaks in ST with muscle function that was significant in older men but
258 not older women. Marques et al.(42) found that based on self-reported ability to do ADLs and
259 advanced activities (eg: vigorous sports/exercise activities), the risk of losing independence
260 increased with age and was higher in women, but ST was not a significant predictor. They did
261 find a significant interaction of both age and sex with moderate to vigorous intensity physical
262 activity to predict loss of independence, such that physically active men have better odds of
263 living independently than physically active women. In general, the relationship between ST and
264 physical function may be greater in women and the oldest old. However, sex and age may not be
265 the main modifiers, it may be that individuals with the greatest mobility limitations are more
266 susceptible to the detrimental effects of ST.

267 Cognitive Function

268 Cognitive impairment is a prevalent geriatric syndrome; it is estimated that globally, 5-
269 7% of people ≥ 60 years suffer from dementia (48). There is great interest in identifying
270 preventative strategies and both physical activity(49) and engaging cognitive activities(50) may
271 help prevent cognitive decline. The role of ST in cognitive impairment is unclear and studying
272 the effect of ST on cognitive function is complicated by the fact that many cognitively engaging
273 activities are sedentary in nature.

274 Fourteen studies of ST and cognitive function were identified; five were longitudinal or
275 prospective study designs.(51-56) The cognitive outcome variables that were assessed included
276 dementia or mild cognitive impairment (51, 54, 57) or performance on neurocognitive tests such
277 as the mini mental state exam or memory tests.(33, 52, 56, 58-64) There were also three studies
278 that measured brain structure or brain activity.(55, 65, 66) ST was assessed with an
279 accelerometer in four of the studies(33, 55, 65, 66) while the others used self-report. However,
280 not all reported total ST as an independent variable; four studies examined self-reported time
281 spent watching TV(33, 56, 57, 63) while five simply asked about participation in a variety of
282 sedentary pastimes, including reading, handcrafts, and visiting with friends.(51, 54, 58, 60, 62)
283 While most studies controlled for age and sex in the analyses, none commented on whether
284 interactions of ST with age or sex were significant.

285 Greater total ST was associated with cognitive decline over 8 years (52) and with 5-year
286 decline in white matter volume.(55) Cross-sectional data also show an inverse association
287 between ST and white matter integrity.(66) In contrast, two studies found that more self-reported
288 total ST was associated with *better* cognitive function (33, 61). However, Rosenberg et al. (33)
289 noted the size of the effect was small and only present in one of two cognitive tests. Furthermore,

290 Vance et al. (61) included sleep time in their measure of ST and sleep has a positive association
291 with cognitive function.(67) This highlights the importance of separating sleep time in studies of
292 sedentary behaviour. One study found that total ST was unrelated to brain activity.(65)

293 Time spent watching TV was negatively associated with cognitive function in most
294 studies.(54, 58, 63) One study found that higher TV time was associated with lower odds of mild
295 cognitive impairment (MCI), although this finding was based on self-reported TV time from
296 individuals with MCI, which may present issues with validity.(57) More cognitively engaging
297 sedentary pastimes such as reading, using computers or doing puzzles may be associated with
298 better cognitive performance(56, 60) and lower risk of dementia,(51, 54) although it is important
299 to note that in most of these studies the dose of the activities was not defined. It is not known if
300 the association between cognitive leisure activities and cognitive function is causal; it could be
301 that higher socioeconomic status (SES) is associated with these activities and confounding the
302 relationship. However, a longitudinal study showed that participation in leisure activities was
303 associated with lower risk of developing dementia over 5 years independent of education
304 level.(51)They suggested that participation in engaging leisure activities could increase cognitive
305 reserve, thus delaying loss of cognitive function.(51) Conversely, one study found that greater
306 frequency of socially or cognitively engaging pastimes was associated with lower executive
307 function,(62) although TV time was included as one of the sedentary pastimes which may be
308 influencing those results. Clearly, more research is needed to determine if different sedentary
309 behaviours have differential effects on cognitive function.

310

311 *Incontinence*

312 Urinary incontinence (UI) is a common geriatric syndrome that has a significant impact
313 on quality of life and disability.(13) Obesity and poor physical function are known risk factors
314 for UI.(68) One study has examined the relationship between self-reported total ST and UI in
315 older women and found no association.(68) This is an area that requires future research.

316 Mental Health

317 Moderate to severe depressive symptoms is a common geriatric syndrome that negatively
318 impacts both functional abilities and quality of life.(14) Five studies examined the relationship
319 between ST and various aspects of mental health in older adults; four were cross-sectional (33,
320 63, 69, 70) and one was a longitudinal analysis with a 2-year follow-up.(71) Four of these studies
321 used a self-report measure of ST and one used both an accelerometer and self-report.(33) The
322 longitudinal study(71) found that total ST was not a significant predictor of depression diagnosis
323 or increased depressive symptoms at 2-year follow-up.

324 A cross-sectional analysis found that some sedentary behaviours, such as watching
325 television, were associated with higher risk of adverse mental health outcomes while more
326 cognitively engaging sedentary behaviours, such as using the internet or reading, were not.(69)
327 However, even cognitively engaging sedentary behaviours were associated with higher odds of
328 psychological distress if they exceeded 3 hours/day.(69) Two studies found no relationship
329 between weekly TV time or total ST and either depression or anxiety.(63) Finally, one study
330 found that sedentary behaviours such as watching TV and listening to the radio, were associated
331 with lower depression in older men and women,(33, 70) however, it is important to note they did
332 not assess the amount of time spent in these activities, only the types of leisure activities in
333 which people participated. A dose-response relationship between ST and mental health outcomes

334 was either not evident (63) or the analysis strategy did not allow examination of that
335 question.(33, 69-71)

336 All the studies adjusted for age and sex. Gautam et al.(70) analyzed Nepalese men and
337 women and found that while TV viewing was associated with lower risk of depression in both
338 men and women, other behaviours, such as saying prayers, were only significant in men. They
339 concluded that social and cultural norms about social behaviour are distinctly different and thus
340 examining genders separately is important.

341 Quality of Life and Wellbeing

342 Seven studies were identified that examined the relationship between ST and quality of
343 life (QOL) or wellbeing; only one(72) was longitudinal. Five studies used self-reported sitting
344 time or sedentary leisure behaviour as a predictor of QOL,(72, 73) satisfaction with life, (70, 74)
345 and successful ageing.(75) Two studies used device-based measures of ST and examined the
346 relationship with both physical and psychosocial wellbeing.(76, 77)

347 In cross-sectional analyses, more ST was associated with lower QOL and lower
348 satisfaction with life (73, 74) as well as less successful ageing.(75) Conversely, Gautam et al.(70)
349 found that watching TV as a leisure activity was associated with greater life satisfaction in
350 women, but not in men, although there was no dose of TV time established or analysed. There
351 was one study that found no significant relationship between measured ST and subjective
352 wellbeing,(76) although it is worth noting that those participants had very high ST with an
353 average of more than 11 hours/day of ST. Meneguci et al.,(73) found individuals who sat more
354 than 5 hours/day had lower scores in both physical and social domains of QOL.

355 A longitudinal study found that self-reported sitting time at baseline was inversely related
356 with health-related QOL at 6-year follow-up, in a dose-response fashion.(72) Isotemporal

357 substitution analysis was used to show that replacing 30-60 minutes of sitting time/day with
358 activity is associated with improved QOL(72) and psychosocial wellbeing.(77)

359 Dogra and Stathokostas (75) found that sedentary behaviours were more likely to be
360 associated with social wellbeing outcomes in women than in men. No other age or sex
361 differences were noted and all studies adjusted for age and sex.

362 Sleep

363 Sleep complaints are highly prevalent in older adults and associated with depression, and
364 cardiovascular disease, as well as cognitive and functional impairment. (78) One intervention
365 and three cross-sectional studies have examined the relationship between sleep and ST. Madden
366 et al.(79) found a significant inverse relationship between ST and sleep efficiency, but the effect
367 was small, and likely of little clinical importance. Others found no relationship between either
368 accelerometer-measured or self-reported ST and insomnia, sleep disturbances, daytime
369 drowsiness, or poor sleep quality (33, 80) Asaoka et al.(81) conducted an intervention with 8
370 older adults, and had them restrict their TV time to only 0.5 hours/day, for one week. While
371 weekly TV time was 95% lower during the intervention week, there was no change in sleep-
372 wake patterns or total sleep time during the intervention. No sex or age differences were
373 examined in any study.

374 Conclusions: ST and geriatric-relevant health outcomes

375 Overall there is sufficient evidence on relationships of ST with geriatric-relevant health
376 outcomes to guide further research. It is apparent that there is an association between ST and
377 physical function among older adults, however, our understanding of this association is
378 hampered by the fact that the data are almost exclusively cross-sectional. The pattern of ST may
379 also be important, with some cross-sectional studies showing that a more fragmented

380 accumulation of ST is positively associated with physical function; this is consistent with what
381 has been shown in cross-sectional studies of disease risk factors and outcomes.(82, 83)

382 Conclusions about relationships of ST with cognitive impairment and depressive
383 symptoms are limited by the inconsistent measurement of ST in those studies and reliance on
384 self-report methods that did not always quantify the volume of ST. Studies of well-being and
385 quality of life have also been almost exclusively cross-sectional. Furthermore, the type of ST
386 may be an important factor in these relationships, with time spent in cognitively engaging
387 behaviours appearing to be beneficial and more passive activities being detrimental to all
388 outcomes. More research is needed to determine if this is a causal relationship or whether
389 extraneous variables, such as SES, are confounding the association.

390 The predominance of cross-sectional evidence also makes it difficult to rule out reverse
391 causality; it is possible that poor cognitive function, impaired mobility, or poor mental health
392 lead to an increase in ST, and not the other way around. There are only a limited number of
393 prospective studies that suggest ST precedes poor health.(44, 46, 52, 72) In light of these
394 limitations, there is insufficient evidence to identify a dose-response relationship between ST and
395 geriatric-relevant health outcomes.

396 Another issue that should be considered is the interaction between ST and physical
397 activity. Both ST and physical activity are often simultaneously included in statistical models to
398 determine if ST has an independent effect on health. Many of the studies presented here (~65%)
399 adjusted their models for moderate to vigorous intensity physical activity (MVPA), although
400 other approaches were used, including examining ST as a mediator(47) or using isotemporal
401 substitution (77). Many studies simply analyzed ST and/or MVPA separately. Older adults spend
402 a significant proportion of a 24 hour period in behaviours other than ST and MVPA, such as

403 sleep and light intensity physical activity, which may also have independent effects on health.
404 (77) Maher et al. (84) posit that models should account for total physical activity instead of only
405 MVPA. The type of adjustment that should be made, or whether an adjustment should be made
406 at all, depends on a number of factors and assumptions, such as study design, collinearity
407 between independent variables, the temporal and/or causal relationship between ST and physical
408 activity, and whether there are independent biological mechanisms by which ST and physical
409 activity influence the health outcomes being studied. (85) There is limited research in older
410 adults that has addressed these issues, although some studies have examined the interaction of
411 ST and physical activity. For example, Pavey et al.(86) showed that the association between ST
412 and mortality in older women was only significant in those who were not physically active. More
413 work is needed that considers all movement behaviours and intensities in a day, and the balance
414 between them.(87, 88)

415 **Effectiveness of Interventions on Older Adults Sedentary Time**

416 The evidence summarized in the previous section suggests that reducing ST could have
417 beneficial effects on health in older adults. One could speculate that replacing ST with standing
418 and light activity is a more feasible goal than increasing MVPA. However, intervention research
419 in this population is limited. There are a variety of possible approaches to reducing ST in older
420 adults. Some focus specifically on reducing ST while others focus on increasing physical
421 activity, on the assumption that people will reallocate leisure time they normally spend sedentary
422 to physical activity. Interventions may target individual behaviour or environmental and
423 organization level policies that tend to inadvertently promote ST.

424 Of the available intervention studies in older adults, five were randomized trials
425 presented in six papers (89-94) and seven were quasi-experimental pre-post design or feasibility

426 studies.(81, 95-100) In four studies, the intervention was a physical activity intervention (89, 91,
427 93, 94) while the others either focused only on ST (81, 95-99) or on both ST and physical
428 activity.(92, 100) Notably, all of the intervention studies were conducted on relatively young and
429 healthy older adults who were able to exercise independently.

430 The interventions varied considerably in length, and all targeted individual behaviour
431 change; no interventions focused on the environment or organization level. Some studies
432 assessed the impact of their intervention on ST within 1-8 weeks (81, 95-100) while other
433 interventions lasted six months to a year.(89, 91, 93, 94) The intervention strategies included
434 one-time consultations,(81, 95, 97) consultations with follow-up support in person or by
435 telephone, (92, 99) and mailed written information.(98) More details on the interventions can be
436 seen in supplemental Table 1.

437 Changes in ST were reported as either changes in total ST, changes in prolonged ST, or
438 changes in time spent in specific sedentary behaviours. Three studies did not find a statistically
439 significant reduction in total sitting time.(89, 92, 93) From the studies that reported changes, the
440 reduction in total ST ranged from approximately 51 minutes per day (99)in studies using an
441 inclinometer to as much as 120 minutes/day(94) in studies using self-report. One study used an
442 inclinometer to evaluate an intervention and found a decrease in sitting and lying time of 25
443 minutes/day; however, they did not exclude sleep time from their analysis which limits any
444 potential conclusions about the benefits of the intervention.(97) Other interventions focused on
445 specific behaviours such as television viewing; one of these reported that TV time was
446 significantly reduced by 32 minutes/day.(99) In another study where older adults were
447 specifically told to restrict TV time to 30 minutes/day, TV time decreased from 322 minutes/day
448 to 16 minutes/day.(81) Finally, three studies reported an increase in the number of breaks in

449 ST(95, 99) or sit to stand transitions.(96) In most of the studies the intervention also resulted in a
450 significant increase in physical activity, particularly when assessed by self-report. Two studies
451 that used an inclinometer found sitting time was primarily replaced with standing as opposed to
452 stepping.(96, 99) The potential health benefits of more standing for older adults are not known.

453 Several studies found decreases in ST that could theoretically be clinically important.
454 Based on a cross-sectional analysis, Rosenberg et al. (33) observed that for every 1 hour increase
455 in ST, older adults had a 21-second increase in time to complete a 400 m walk test and a 0.55
456 lower score in the short physical performance battery. Both of these differences would be
457 considered clinically meaningful. While several of the intervention studies reviewed here found
458 decreases in ST that exceeded an hour, few studies reported on changes in health outcomes as a
459 result of the intervention. One study found that reduced sitting time was associated with telomere
460 lengthening in blood cells.(90) Barone Gibbs et al.(92) found that participants in the ST
461 reduction group had significant improvements in the physical function and the pain component
462 of a quality of life scale, despite the fact that total ST did not change. Finally, in a study
463 assessing the impact of TV time restriction on sleep, no changes were noted in sleep-wake
464 patterns as a result of the intervention.(81) It is important to note that most of the intervention
465 studies in older adults were short-term and none were longer than a year. Thus, the available
466 evidence does not clarify if intervening to reduce ST in older adults will be beneficial for health
467 outcomes. Long-term follow-up studies with sustained behaviour change are needed to determine
468 if reducing sedentary time will have an effect on health.

469 Conclusions: Reducing ST in older adults

470 It appears that reducing ST in older adults is feasible through ST and physical activity
471 interventions. A meta-analysis of 33 studies conducted by Prince et al.(101) indicated that among

472 adults, interventions that specifically target ST are more effective at reducing ST than physical
473 activity interventions; however, there are insufficient studies to date to allow us to draw a
474 conclusion specifically for older adults. From the studies reviewed here, all interventions that
475 had non-significant findings were either physical activity interventions or a combination of
476 physical activity and ST interventions. RCT studies using sufficiently large sample sizes are
477 needed to determine how best to reduce ST and to better understand the effects of ST on changes
478 in geriatric-relevant health outcomes. Furthermore, few intervention studies addressed sex and
479 gender differences which could be important as differences between men and women in
480 functional fitness and patterns of ST may impact intervention effectiveness.

481

482 **OVERALL CONCLUSIONS**

483 The available self-report tools consistently underestimated total sitting time. However, it
484 is evident that both the dose and the type of sedentary behaviour is important to health outcomes,
485 as some sedentary behaviours, such as reading or use of computers, could benefit older adults.
486 Therefore, tools are needed to accurately quantify the context of ST, including both the dose and
487 the type.

488 While effects of ST on chronic disease and all-cause mortality are important, more
489 research is needed on the major categories of impairment among older adults as they
490 significantly impact independence and quality of life. These categories of impairment better
491 speak to the multi-morbidity and mobility impairment that older adults experience and this is an
492 issue that also needs to be addressed through ST intervention research. While several feasibility
493 studies and RCTs have successfully reduced ST in older adults, few have assessed the impact of
494 such changes on health outcomes and impairments. Furthermore, all intervention studies to date

495 have focused on the individual-level change; there are no studies assessing the impact of
496 environmental or organizational interventions on ST reduction. There is limited research on
497 adults over the age of 80, those in assisted living facilities, or those with mobility impairments.
498 Finally, there are potential age, sex, and gender differences in ST and health outcomes that have
499 not been adequately addressed. At this critical point in time, as research on ST and healthy
500 ageing research is just beginning, and the ageing population is growing dramatically, consensus
501 is needed on future research priorities.

502

503 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

504 Funding for this consensus statement was provided by the Canadian Institutes for Health
505 Research, Institute of Gender and Health, Planning and Dissemination Grant– Institute
506 Community Support, and the Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology.

SUMMARY BOXES FOR EACH SECTION OF THE REVIEW

Summary Box 1: Measurement of Sedentary Time
Available self-reported sedentary time measurement tools underestimate total sedentary time.
Self-report is needed to provide context to sedentary behaviour; however, self-report of some sedentary behaviours is more accurate than others.

Summary Box 2: Sedentary Time and Geriatric-Relevant Health Outcomes
<i>Physical Function*</i> Sedentary time is inversely associated with physical function and fall risk. Older women may be particularly susceptible to losses in physical function related to sedentary time.
<i>Cognitive Function*</i> Total sedentary time is inversely associated with cognitive function; however, the association depends on the specific type of sedentary behaviour. Some cognitively engaging sedentary behaviours may have benefits, while more passive behaviours may be detrimental to cognitive function. Studies of sedentary time and cognitive function in older adults used inconsistent measures of sedentary time.
<i>Urinary Incontinence</i> There is no evidence of a significant association between sedentary time and urinary incontinence at this time. However, the potential impact of sedentary time on the strength of pelvic floor muscles provides biological plausibility for an association.
<i>Depressive Symptoms and Overall Mental Health*</i> There is minimal evidence of a significant association between sedentary time and depression or other mental health outcomes at this time. Studies of sedentary time and mental health in older adults used inconsistent measures of sedentary time.
<i>Well-Being and Quality of Life*</i> Sedentary time is inversely associated with quality of life and psychosocial well-being. This association may be stronger in women than in men.

*These statements are based primarily on cross-sectional evidence.

Summary Box 3: Interventions to Reduce Sedentary Time
Interventions to reduce sedentary time by targeting individual level behaviour change appear to be feasible. Most of the studies to date have been short-term.
There is limited evidence on the effectiveness of reducing sedentary time on geriatric-relevant health outcomes.

So What?
Sedentary time may be associated with physical and cognitive function among older adults, both of which could affect functional autonomy.
Short-term reduction in sedentary time is feasible among older adults.
<i>Conclusion:</i> There is limited evidence of a relationship between prolonged sedentary time and geriatric-relevant health outcomes; the dose of sedentary time associated with clinically relevant risk is not known at this time. More longitudinal research is needed to determine if

sustained changes in sedentary behaviour among older adults are feasible, and if reducing sedentary time will positively impact mobility, quality of life, and healthy ageing.

REFERENCES

- 507 1. Sedentary Behaviour Research N. Letter to the editor: standardized use of the terms
508 "sedentary" and "sedentary behaviours". *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.* 2012;37(3):540-2.
- 509 2. Owen N, Healy GN, Matthews CE, Dunstan DW. Too much sitting: the population health
510 science of sedentary behavior. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev.* 2010;38(3):105-13.
- 511 3. Harvey JA, Chastin SF, Skelton DA. Prevalence of sedentary behavior in older adults: a
512 systematic review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2013;10(12):6645-61.
- 513 4. Copeland JL, Clarke J, Dogra S. Objectively measured and self-reported sedentary time
514 in older Canadians. *Prev Med Rep.* 2015;2:90-5.
- 515 5. Harvey JA, Chastin SF, Skelton DA. How Sedentary are Older People? A Systematic
516 Review of the Amount of Sedentary Behavior. *J Aging Phys Act.* 2015;23(3):471-87.
- 517 6. Colley RC, Garriguet D, Janssen I, Craig CL, Clarke J, Tremblay MS. Physical activity
518 of Canadian adults: Accelerometer results from the 2007 to 2009 Canadian Health Measures
519 Survey. *Health Rep.* 2011;22(1).
- 520 7. Matthews CE, George SM, Moore SC, Bowles HR, Blair A, Park Y, et al. Amount of
521 time spent in sedentary behaviors and cause-specific mortality in US adults. *Am J Clin Nutr.*
522 2012;95(2):437-45.
- 523 8. Chastin SF, Buck C, Freiburger E, Murphy M, Brug J, Cardon G, et al. Systematic
524 literature review of determinants of sedentary behaviour in older adults: A DEDIPAC study. *Int J*
525 *Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2015;12(133).
- 526 9. Dogra S, Ashe M, Biddle S, Brown W, Buman M, Chastin S, Gardiner P, Inoue S,
527 Jeffries B, Oka K, Owen N, Sardinha L, Skelton D, Sugiyama T, Copeland, J.L. . Sedentary time
528 in older men and women: a consensus statement on measurement, health, interventions, and
529 research priorities. *Br J Sports Med.* 2017.
- 530 10. de Rezende LF, Rey-Lopez JP, Matsudo VK, do Carmo Luiz O. Sedentary behavior and
531 health outcomes among older adults: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health.* 2014;14:333.
- 532 11. Keadle SK, Arem H, Moore SC, Sampson JN, Matthews CE. Impact of changes in
533 television viewing time and physical activity on longevity: a prospective cohort study. *Int J*
534 *Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2015;12(1):156.
- 535 12. Flacker JM. What is a geriatric syndrome anyway? *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2003;51(4):574-6.
- 536 13. Inouye SK, Studenski S, Tinetti ME, Kuchel GA. Geriatric syndromes: Clinical, research,
537 and policy implications of a core geriatric concept. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2007;55(5):780-91.
- 538 14. Koroukian SM, Schiltz N, Warner DF, Sun JY, Bakaki PM, Smyth KA, et al.
539 Combinations of Chronic Conditions, Functional Limitations, and Geriatric Syndromes that
540 Predict Health Outcomes. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2016;31(6):630-7.
- 541 15. Rockwood K, Mitnitski A. Frailty in relation to the accumulation of deficits. *J Gerontol*
542 *Ser A-Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2007;62(7):722-7.

- 543 16. Bowling CB, Booth JN, Safford M, Whitson HE, Ritchie C, Wadley VG, et al.
544 Nondisease-Specific Problems and All-Cause Mortality in the REasons for Geographic and
545 Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2013;61(5):739-46.
- 546 17. Harvey JA, Chastin SFM, Skelton DA. Prevalence of sedentary behavior in older adults:
547 a systematic review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2013;10.
- 548 18. United Nations DoEaSA, Population Division. *World Population Ageing 2015.* 2015.
- 549 19. Espana-Romero V, Golubic R, Martin KR, Hardy R, Ekelund U, Kuh D, et al.
550 Comparison of the EPIC Physical Activity Questionnaire with combined heart rate and
551 movement sensing in a nationally representative sample of older British adults. *PLoS ONE.*
552 2014;9(2):e87085.
- 553 20. Van Cauwenberg J, Van Holle V, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Owen N, Deforche B. Older
554 adults' reporting of specific sedentary behaviors: validity and reliability. *BMC Public Health.*
555 2014;14:734.
- 556 21. Jefferis BJ, Sartini C, Ash S, Lennon LT, Wannamethee SG, Whincup PH. Validity of
557 questionnaire-based assessment of sedentary behaviour and physical activity in a population-
558 based cohort of older men; comparisons with objectively measured physical activity data. *Int J*
559 *Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2016;13:14.
- 560 22. Visser M, Koster A. Development of a questionnaire to assess sedentary time in older
561 persons--a comparative study using accelerometry. *BMC Geriatr.* 2013;13:80.
- 562 23. Aguilar-Farias N, Brown WJ, Olds TS, Geeske Peeters GM. Validity of self-report
563 methods for measuring sedentary behaviour in older adults. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2015;18(6):662-6.
- 564 24. Clark BK, Lynch BM, Winkler EA, Gardiner PA, Healy GN, Dunstan DW, et al. Validity
565 of a multi-context sitting questionnaire across demographically diverse population groups:
566 *AusDiab3.* *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2015;12:148.
- 567 25. Gardiner PA, Clark BK, Healy GN, Eakin EG, Winkler EA, Owen N. Measuring older
568 adults' sedentary time: reliability, validity, and responsiveness. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*
569 2011;43(11):2127-33.
- 570 26. Gennuso KP, Matthews CE, Colbert LH. Reliability and Validity of 2 Self-Report
571 Measures to Assess Sedentary Behavior in Older Adults. *J Phys Act Health.* 2015;12(5):727-32.
- 572 27. de Carvalho Bastone A, de Souza Moreira B, Alvarenga Vieira R, Noce Kirkwood R,
573 Domingues Dias JM, Corrêa Dias R. Validation of the Human Activity Profile Questionnaire as
574 a measure of physical activity levels in older community-dwelling women. *J Aging Phys Act.*
575 2008;22(3):348-56 9p.
- 576 28. Sardinha LB, Santos DA, Silva AM, Baptista F, Owen N. Breaking-up sedentary time is
577 associated with physical function in older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.*
578 2015;70(1):119-24.
- 579 29. Ortlieb S, Dias A, Gorzelniak L, Nowak D, Karrasch S, Peters A, et al. Exploring
580 patterns of accelerometry-assessed physical activity in elderly people. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.*
581 2014;11(1):28.
- 582 30. Martinho KO, Dantas EH, Longo GZ, Ribeiro AQ, Pereira ET, Franco FS, et al.
583 Comparison of functional autonomy with associated sociodemographic factors, lifestyle, chronic
584 diseases (CD) and neuropsychiatric factors in elderly patients with or without the metabolic
585 syndrome (MS). *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2013;57(2):151-5.
- 586 31. Santos DA, Silva AM, Baptista F, Santos R, Vale S, Mota J, et al. Sedentary behavior
587 and physical activity are independently related to functional fitness in older adults. *Exp Gerontol.*
588 2012;47(12):908-12.

- 589 32. Davis MG, Fox KR, Stathi A, Trayers T, Thompson JL, Cooper AR. Objectively
590 measured sedentary time and its association with physical function in older adults. *J Aging Phys*
591 *Act.* 2014;22(4):474-81.
- 592 33. Rosenberg DE, Bellettiere J, Gardiner PA, Villarreal VN, Crist K, Kerr J. Independent
593 Associations Between Sedentary Behaviors and Mental, Cognitive, Physical, and Functional
594 Health Among Older Adults in Retirement Communities. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.*
595 2016;71(1):78-83.
- 596 34. Bann D, Hire D, Manini T, Cooper R, Botosaneanu A, McDermott MM, et al. Light
597 Intensity physical activity and sedentary behavior in relation to body mass index and grip
598 strength in older adults: cross-sectional findings from the Lifestyle Interventions and
599 Independence for Elders (LIFE) study. *PLoS ONE.* 2015;10(2):e0116058.
- 600 35. Chastin SF, Ferriolli E, Stephens NA, Fearon KC, Greig C. Relationship between
601 sedentary behaviour, physical activity, muscle quality and body composition in healthy older
602 adults. *Age Ageing.* 2012;41(1):111-4.
- 603 36. Gianoudis J, Bailey CA, Daly RM. Associations between sedentary behaviour and body
604 composition, muscle function and sarcopenia in community-dwelling older adults. *Osteoporos*
605 *Int.* 2015;26(2):571-9.
- 606 37. Ikezoe T, Asakawa Y, Shima H, Kishibuchi K, Ichihashi N. Daytime physical activity
607 patterns and physical fitness in institutionalized elderly women: an exploratory study. *Arch*
608 *Gerontol Geriatr.* 2013;57(2):221-5.
- 609 38. Cooper AJ, Simmons RK, Kuh D, Brage S, Cooper R, scientific N, et al. Physical
610 activity, sedentary time and physical capability in early old age: British birth cohort study. *PLoS*
611 *ONE.* 2015;10(5):e0126465.
- 612 39. Dunlop DD, Song J, Arnston EK, Semanik PA, Lee J, Chang RW, et al. Sedentary time
613 in US older adults associated with disability in activities of daily living independent of physical
614 activity. *J Phys Act Health.* 2015;12(1):93-101.
- 615 40. Gennuso KP, Gangnon RE, Matthews CE, Thraen-Borowski KM, Colbert LH. Sedentary
616 behavior, physical activity, and markers of health in older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*
617 2013;45(8):1493-500.
- 618 41. d'Orsi E, Xavier AJ, Ramos LR. Work, social support and leisure protect the elderly from
619 functional loss: EPIDOSO study. *Rev Saude Publica.* 2011;45(4):685-92.
- 620 42. Marques EA, Baptista F, Santos DA, Silva AM, Mota J, Sardinha LB. Risk for losing
621 physical independence in older adults: the role of sedentary time, light, and moderate to vigorous
622 physical activity. *Maturitas.* 2014;79(1):91-5.
- 623 43. Chen T, Narazaki K, Haeuchi Y, Chen S, Honda T, Kumagai S. Associations of
624 Sedentary Time and Breaks in Sedentary Time With Disability in Instrumental Activities of
625 Daily Living in Community-Dwelling Older Adults. *J Phys Act Health.* 2016;13(3):303-9.
- 626 44. Cawthon PM, Blackwell TL, Cauley JA, Ensrud KE, Dam T-T, Harrison SL, et al.
627 Objective Assessment of Activity, Energy Expenditure, and Functional Limitations in Older
628 Men: The Osteoporotic Fractures in Men Study. *The Journals of Gerontology Series A:*
629 *Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences.* 2013;68(12):1518-24.
- 630 45. Gomes Gde C, Teixeira-Salmela LF, Fonseca BE, Freitas FA, Fonseca ML, Pacheco BD,
631 et al. Age and education influence the performance of elderly women on the dual-task Timed Up
632 and Go test. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr.* 2015;73(3):187-93.

- 633 46. Jefferis BJ, Merom D, Sartini C, Wannamethee SG, Ash S, Lennon LT, et al. Physical
634 activity and falls in older men: the critical role of mobility limitations. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*
635 2015;47(10):2119-28.
- 636 47. Mitchell RJ, Lord SR, Harvey LA, Close JC. Obesity and falls in older people: mediating
637 effects of disease, sedentary behavior, mood, pain and medication use. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.*
638 2015;60(1):52-8.
- 639 48. Prince M, Bryce R, Albanese E, Wimo A, Ribeiro W, Ferri CP. The global prevalence of
640 dementia: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Alzheimer's & dementia : the journal of the*
641 *Alzheimer's Association.* 2013;9(1):63-75.e2.
- 642 49. Sofi F, Valecchi D, Bacci D, Abbate R, Gensini GF, Casini A, et al. Physical activity and
643 risk of cognitive decline: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *J Intern Med.* 2011;269(1):107-
644 17.
- 645 50. Wang H-X, Jin Y, Hendrie HC, Liang C, Yang L, Cheng Y, et al. Late life leisure
646 activities and risk of cognitive decline. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2013;68(2):205-13.
- 647 51. Verghese J, Lipton RB, Katz MJ, Hall CB, Derby CA, Kuslansky G, et al. Leisure
648 activities and the risk of dementia in the elderly. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;348(25):2508-16.
- 649 52. Lee S, Yuki A, Nishita Y, Tange C, Kim H, Kozakai R, et al. Relationship between light-
650 intensity physical activity and cognitive function in a community-dwelling elderly population-An
651 8-year longitudinal study. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2013;61(3):452-3.
- 652 53. Kesse-Guyo E, Charreire H, Andreeva VA, Touvier M, Hercberg S, Galan P, et al. Cross-
653 sectional and longitudinal associations of different sedentary behaviors with cognitive
654 performance in older adults. *PLoS ONE.* 2012;7(10):e47831.
- 655 54. Blasko I, Jungwirth S, Kemmler G, Weissgram S, Tragl KH, Fischer P. Leisure time
656 activities and cognitive functioning in middle European population-based study. *Eur Geriatr*
657 *Med.* 2014;5(3):200-7.
- 658 55. Arnardottir NY, Koster A, Domelen DR, Brychta RJ, Caserotti P, Eiriksdottir G, et al.
659 Association of change in brain structure to objectively measured physical activity and sedentary
660 behavior in older adults: Age, Gene/Environment Susceptibility-Reykjavik Study. *Behav Brain*
661 *Res.* 2016;296:118-24.
- 662 56. Kaup AR, Nettiksimmons J, Harris TB, Sink KM, Satterfield S, Metti AL, et al.
663 Cognitive resilience to apolipoprotein E epsilon4: contributing factors in black and white older
664 adults. *JAMA Neurol.* 2015;72(3):340-8.
- 665 57. Zhao X, Yuan L, Feng L, Xi Y, Yu H, Ma W, et al. Association of dietary intake and
666 lifestyle pattern with mild cognitive impairment in the elderly. *J Nutr Health Aging.*
667 2015;19(2):164-8.
- 668 58. Heisz JJ, Vander Morris S, Wu J, McIntosh AR, Ryan JD. Age differences in the
669 association of physical activity, sociocognitive engagement, and TV viewing on face memory.
670 *Health Psychol.* 2015;34(1):83-8.
- 671 59. Hamer M, Stamatakis E. Prospective study of sedentary behavior, risk of depression, and
672 cognitive impairment. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2014;46(4):718-23.
- 673 60. Nadel JL, Ulate D. Incidence and risk factors for cognitive impairment in rural elderly
674 populations in Costa Rica. *Rev Biol Trop.* 2014;62(3):869-76.
- 675 61. Vance DE, Wadley VG, Ball KK, Roenker DL, Rizzo M. The effects of physical activity
676 and sedentary behavior on cognitive health in older adults. *J Aging Phys Act.* 2005;13(3):294-
677 313.

- 678 62. Steinberg SI, Sammel MD, Harel BT, Schembri A, Policastro C, Bogner HR, et al.
679 Exercise, sedentary pastimes, and cognitive performance in healthy older adults. *Am J*
680 *Alzheimers Dis Other Demen.* 2015;30(3):290-8.
- 681 63. Da Ronch C, Canuto A, Volkert J, Massarenti S, Weber K, Dehoust M, et al. Association
682 of television viewing with mental health and mild cognitive impairment in the elderly in three
683 European countries, data from the MentDis_ICF65+ project. *Ment Health Phys Act.* 2015;8:8-
684 14.
- 685 64. Hayes SM, Alosco ML, Hayes JP, Cadden M, Peterson KM, Allsup K, et al. Physical
686 Activity Is Positively Associated with Episodic Memory in Aging. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc.*
687 2015;21(10):780-90.
- 688 65. Burzynska AZ, Wong CN, Voss MW, Cooke GE, Gothe NP, Fanning J, et al. Physical
689 activity is linked to greater moment-to-moment variability in spontaneous brain activity in older
690 adults. *PLoS ONE.* 2015;10(8):e0134819.
- 691 66. Burzynska AZ, Chaddock-Heyman L, Voss MW, Wong CN, Gothe NP, Olson EA, et al.
692 Physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness are beneficial for white matter in low-fit older
693 adults. *PLoS ONE.* 2014;9(9):e107413.
- 694 67. Landry GJ, Liu-Ambrose T. Buying time: a rationale for examining the use of circadian
695 rhythm and sleep interventions to delay progression of mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer's
696 disease. *Front Aging Neurosci.* 2014;6:325.
- 697 68. Moreno-Vecino B, Arija-Blazquez A, Pedrero-Chamizo R, Alcazar J, Gomez-Cabello A,
698 Perez-Lopez FR, et al. Associations between obesity, physical fitness, and urinary incontinence
699 in non-institutionalized postmenopausal women: The elderly EXERNET multi-center study.
700 *Maturitas.* 2015;82(2):208-14.
- 701 69. Kikuchi H, Inoue S, Sugiyama T, Owen N, Oka K, Nakaya T, et al. Distinct associations
702 of different sedentary behaviors with health-related attributes among older adults. *Prev Med.*
703 2014;67:335-9.
- 704 70. Gautam R, Saito T, Kai I. Leisure and religious activity participation and mental health:
705 gender analysis of older adults in Nepal. *BMC Public Health.* 2007;7:299.
- 706 71. Wassink-Vossen S, Noorthoorn EO, Collard RM, Comijs HC, Oude Voshaar RC,
707 Naarding P. Value of Physical Activity and Sedentary Behavior in Predicting Depression in
708 Older Adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2016;64(3):647-9.
- 709 72. Balboa-Castillo T, Leon-Munoz LM, Graciani A, Rodriguez-Artalejo F, Guallar-
710 Castillon P. Longitudinal association of physical activity and sedentary behavior during leisure
711 time with health-related quality of life in community-dwelling older adults. *Health Qual Life*
712 *Outcomes.* 2011;9:47.
- 713 73. Meneguci J, Sasaki JE, da Silva Santos A, Scatena LM, Damiao R. Socio-demographic,
714 clinical and health behavior correlates of sitting time in older adults. *BMC Public Health.*
715 2015;15:65.
- 716 74. O'Neil C, Dogra S. Different Types of Sedentary Activities and Their Association With
717 Perceived Health and Wellness Among Middle-Aged and Older Adults: A Cross-Sectional
718 Analysis. *Am J Health Promot.* 2015.
- 719 75. Dogra S, Stathokostas L. Sedentary behavior and physical activity are independent
720 predictors of successful aging in middle-aged and older adults. *J Aging Res.* 2012;2012:190654.
- 721 76. Withall J, Stathi A, Davis M, Coulson J, Thompson JL, Fox KR. Objective indicators of
722 physical activity and sedentary time and associations with subjective well-being in adults aged
723 70 and over. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2014;11(1):643-56.

- 724 77. Buman MP, Hekler EB, Haskell WL, Pruitt L, Conway TL, Cain KL, et al. Objective
725 light-intensity physical activity associations with rated health in older adults. *Am J Epidemiol.*
726 2010;172(10):1155-65.
- 727 78. Vaz Fragoso CA, Gill TM. Sleep complaints in community-living older persons: a
728 multifactorial geriatric syndrome. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2007;55(11):1853-66.
- 729 79. Madden KM, Ashe MC, Lockhart C, Chase JM. Sedentary behavior and sleep efficiency
730 in active community-dwelling older adults. *Sleep Sci.* 2014;7(2):82-8.
- 731 80. Vaz Fragoso CA, Miller ME, Fielding RA, King AC, Kritchevsky SB, McDermott MM,
732 et al. Sleep-wake disturbances in sedentary community-dwelling elderly adults with functional
733 limitations. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2014;62(6):1064-72.
- 734 81. Asaoka S, Fukuda K, Tsutsui Y, Yamazaki K. Does television viewing cause delayed
735 and/or irregular sleep-wake patterns? *Sleep Biol Rhythms.* 2007;5(1):23-7.
- 736 82. Bankoski A, Harris TB, McClain JJ, Brychta RJ, Caserotti P, Chen KY, et al. Sedentary
737 activity associated with metabolic syndrome independent of physical activity. *Diabetes Care.*
738 2011;34(2):497-503.
- 739 83. Manns P, Ezeugwu V, Armijo-Olivo S, Vallance J, Healy GN. Accelerometer-Derived
740 Pattern of Sedentary and Physical Activity Time in Persons with Mobility Disability: National
741 Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2003 to 2006. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2015;63(7):1314-23.
- 742 84. Maher C, Olds T, Mire E, Katzmarzyk PT. Reconsidering the sedentary behaviour
743 paradigm. *PLoS ONE.* 2014;9(1):e86403.
- 744 85. Page A, Peeters G, Merom D. Adjustment for physical activity in studies of sedentary
745 behaviour. *Emerging Themes in Epidemiology.* 2015;12(1):10.
- 746 86. Pavey TG, Peeters GG, Brown WJ. Sitting-time and 9-year all-cause mortality in older
747 women. *Br J Sports Med.* 2015;49(2):95-9.
- 748 87. Chastin SFM, Palarea-Albaladejo J, Dontje ML, Skelton DA. Combined Effects of Time
749 Spent in Physical Activity, Sedentary Behaviors and Sleep on Obesity and Cardio-Metabolic
750 Health Markers: A Novel Compositional Data Analysis Approach. *PLoS ONE.*
751 2015;10(10):e0139984.
- 752 88. Pedišić Z. Measurement issues and poor adjustments for physical activity and sleep
753 undermine sedentary behaviour research—the focus should shift to the balance between sleep,
754 sedentary behaviour, standing and activity. *Kinesiology.* 2014;46:11.
- 755 89. Fanning J, Porter G, Awick EA, Wojcicki TR, Gothe NP, Roberts SA, et al. Effects of a
756 DVD-delivered exercise program on patterns of sedentary behavior in older adults: a randomized
757 controlled trial. *Prev Med Rep.* 2016;3:238-43.
- 758 90. Sjogren P, Fisher R, Kallings L, Svenson U, Roos G, Hellenius ML. Stand up for health--
759 avoiding sedentary behaviour might lengthen your telomeres: secondary outcomes from a
760 physical activity RCT in older people. *Br J Sports Med.* 2014;48(19):1407-9.
- 761 91. Burke L, Lee AH, Jancey J, Xiang L, Kerr DA, Howat PA, et al. Physical activity and
762 nutrition behavioural outcomes of a home-based intervention program for seniors: a randomized
763 controlled trial. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2013;10:14.
- 764 92. Barone Gibbs B, Brach JS, Byard T, Creasy S, Davis KK, McCoy S, et al. Reducing
765 Sedentary Behavior Versus Increasing Moderate-to-Vigorous Intensity Physical Activity in
766 Older Adults: A 12-Week Randomized, Clinical Trial. *J Aging Health.* 2016.
- 767 93. Lee RE, King AC. Discretionary time among older adults: how do physical activity
768 promotion interventions affect sedentary and active behaviors? *Ann Behav Med.*
769 2003;25(2):112-9.

- 770 94. Kallings LV, Sierra Johnson J, Fisher RM, Faire U, Stahle A, Hemmingsson E, et al.
771 Beneficial effects of individualized physical activity on prescription on body composition and
772 cardiometabolic risk factors: results from a randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev*
773 *Rehabil.* 2009;16(1):80-4.
- 774 95. Gardiner PA, Eakin EG, Healy GN, Owen N. Feasibility of reducing older adults'
775 sedentary time. *Am J Prev Med.* 2011;41(2):174-7.
- 776 96. Rosenberg DE, Gell NM, Jones SM, Renz A, Kerr J, Gardiner PA, et al. The Feasibility
777 of Reducing Sitting Time in Overweight and Obese Older Adults. *Health Educ Behav.*
778 2015;42(5):669-76.
- 779 97. Fitzsimons CF, Kirk A, Baker G, Michie F, Kane C, Mutrie N. Using an individualised
780 consultation and activPAL™ feedback to reduce sedentary time in older Scottish adults: results
781 of a feasibility and pilot study. *Prev Med.* 2013;57(5):718-20.
- 782 98. Matei R, Thune-Boyle I, Hamer M, Iliffe S, Fox KR, Jefferis BJ, et al. Acceptability of a
783 theory-based sedentary behaviour reduction intervention for older adults ('On Your Feet to Earn
784 Your Seat'). *BMC Public Health.* 2015;15:606.
- 785 99. Lewis LK, Rowlands AV, Gardiner PA, Standage M, English C, Olds T. Small Steps:
786 Preliminary effectiveness and feasibility of an incremental goal-setting intervention to reduce
787 sitting time in older adults. *Maturitas.* 2016;85:64-70.
- 788 100. Chang AK, Fritschi C, Kim MJ. Sedentary behavior, physical activity, and psychological
789 health of Korean older adults with hypertension: effect of an empowerment intervention. *Res*
790 *Gerontol Nurs.* 2013;6(2):81-8.
- 791 101. Prince SA, Saunders TJ, Gresty K, Reid RD. A comparison of the effectiveness of
792 physical activity and sedentary behaviour interventions in reducing sedentary time in adults: a
793 systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. *Obes Rev.* 2014;15(11):905-19.