

**Therapeutic D2/3 receptor occupancies and response with low amisulpride blood concentrations in very late-onset schizophrenia-like psychosis (VLOSLP)**

Suzanne Reeves\* <sup>1,2</sup>, Kate Eggleston <sup>2</sup>, Elizabeth Cort <sup>2</sup>, Emma McLachlan <sup>2</sup>, Stuart Brownings<sup>1</sup>, Akshay Nair MBBS <sup>1</sup>, Suki Greaves <sup>3</sup>, Alan Smith<sup>3</sup>, Joel Dunn <sup>4</sup>, Paul Marsden <sup>4</sup>, Robert Kessler <sup>5</sup>, David Taylor <sup>4</sup>, Julie Bertrand, <sup>6</sup> Robert Howard <sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division of Psychiatry, University College London, UK

<sup>2</sup> Department of Old Age Psychiatry, Kings College London, UK

<sup>3</sup> South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

<sup>4</sup> Division of Imaging Sciences, St Thomas Hospital, London, UK

<sup>5</sup> University of Alabama, Birmingham, US

<sup>6</sup> UMR 1137 IAME INSERM University Paris 7, France and Genetics Institute, University College London, UK

\*Corresponding author. Clinical Senior Lecturer in Old Age Psychiatry, Division of Psychiatry, Faculty of Brain Sciences, University College London, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor, Maple House, 149 Tottenham Court Road, London W1T 7NF. Contact telephone 020 7679 9477 (office)/07947036513 (mobile); Fax 020 7679 7611

**Running Head:** Amisulpride PK, D2/3 occupancy and response in VLOSLP

**Keywords:** [<sup>18</sup>F]fallypride, D2/3 occupancy, amisulpride, VLOSLP, antipsychotic,

**Key points:**

- The study aimed to investigate amisulpride dose- concentration (Coverage), D2/3 receptor occupancy and outcome in VLOSLP and compare this with Alzheimer's disease (AD)

- Clinically relevant responses were observed at 50-100mg/day, Coverage 41-129ng/ml), with mild EPS emerging at 96ng/ml (In AD, severe EPS emerged at 60ng/ml)
- Caudate occupancy was 44-59% in 3 patients imaged at Coverage 41-70ng/ml) (In AD, caudate occupancy 58-74% the same Coverage range)
- Further investigation of age and diagnosis-specific threshold sensitivities for EPS is warranted and therapeutic drug monitoring studies are a potentially feasible future approach

### **Acknowledgements**

The project and salary of SR was funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) (PCDKBRA) during the course of the study. RH is also supported by the NIHR University College London Hospital Biomedical Research Centre (UCLH BRC). We would like to acknowledge participants, carers and clinical teams in Mental Health for Older Adult services in the South London and Maudsley (SLaM) NHS Foundation Trust who made this possible; the research team; the central research network who facilitated recruitment; radiographers and radiochemists at St Thomas' Hospital PET Centre (KCL); the London Pharmacometric Interest Group (UCL); and Hiroyuki Uchida, for generously sharing his ideas on antipsychotic drug sensitivity in later life. Demographic and pharmacokinetic data obtained in 20 elderly healthy volunteers were provided by SANOFI-AVENTIS R&D, from the report entitled "Amisulpride: study of pharmacokinetics and safety following a single oral administration (50 mg tablets) in the elderly" (SYNTHELABO report n° 95-00596-EN-00). These data were supplied by SANOFI-AVENTIS R&D in the interest of supporting medical research in very elderly patients. Sanofi does not support any use of the medicine outside the locally approved labeling.

## Abstract

**Objective:** Antipsychotic drug sensitivity in very late-onset schizophrenia-like psychosis (VLOSLP) is well documented, but poorly understood. This study aimed to investigate blood drug concentration, D2/3 receptor occupancy, and outcome in VLOSLP during open amisulpride prescribing, and compare this with Alzheimer's disease (AD).

**Methods:** Blood drug concentration, symptoms (suspiciousness, unusual thought content, hallucinations) and extrapyramidal side-effects (EPS), were serially assessed during dose titration. [<sup>18</sup>F]fallypride imaging was used to estimate D2/3 receptor occupancy. Average steady state drug concentration (C<sub>average</sub>) was estimated by incorporating pharmacokinetic (PK) data into an existing population PK model (25 AD participants, 20 healthy older people).

**Results:** Eight patients (original target 20) were recruited (6 women; 76 ± 6 years), 6 of whom complied with treatment: blood samples were obtained from 5; and paired imaging data from 3 participants. Mean±SD reduction in symptoms was 74 ± 12% (C<sub>average</sub> 92.5±39.4ng/ml). Mild EPS (Simpson Angus Scale, SAS, score of 4), which did not lead to treatment withdrawal, emerged at C<sub>average</sub> 96ng/ml (in AD, severe EPS, SAS score of 15, emerged at 60ng/ml). In 3 participants, imaged at an optimal dose of 50mg/day (C<sub>average</sub> 41-70ng/ml), caudate occupancy was 44-59% (compared to 58-74% in AD).

**Conclusions:** Despite small sample size, our findings are highly relevant and suggest that, similar to AD, 50mg/day amisulpride is associated with clinically relevant responses and occupancies >40% in VLOSLP. Although the two groups overlap in terms of minimum clinically effective dose, the AD group were more susceptible to emergent EPS. It is unclear, due to small sample size, whether these differences are accounted for by a steeper concentration-occupancy curve in AD. Therapeutic drug monitoring studies of amisulpride offer a potentially feasible approach to future investigation of age and disease-specific threshold sensitivities for EPS. Alongside this, research should focus on understanding and addressing barriers to service engagement in VLOSLP

## Introduction

Older people with very late- (>60 years) onset schizophrenia-like psychosis (VLOSLP) (Howard et al., 2000), are amongst the most challenging mental health patient groups to engage and treat (Sin Fai Lam et al., 2016). Typically presenting with systematised persecutory beliefs, they generally lack insight into their illness or the potential benefits of treatment (Howard et al., 1994) and are unwilling to engage with older adult mental health teams (Sin Fai Lam et al., 2016). In those who initially accept antipsychotic drug treatment, heightened susceptibility to extrapyramidal side effects (Howard et al., 2000; Uchida et al., 2008) only increases the likelihood of non-compliance and subsequent disengagement from treatment and follow-up (Reeves et al., 2002; Hudson et al., 2004).

The mechanisms underlying antipsychotic drug sensitivity in VLOSLP are poorly understood, as research in older people with schizophrenia rarely distinguishes between patients on the basis of age of illness onset (Jeste and Maglione, 2013; Uchida et al., 2008), and the focus of research is increasingly directed towards those with dementia, who are at greatest risk of antipsychotic related morbidity and mortality (Jennum et al., 2015; Jeste et al., 2008; Maust et al., 2015; Howard et al., 2016). Age-related changes in pharmacokinetics (PK), which reduce drug clearance, contribute to side effects by increasing blood drug concentration for a given dose (Feng et al., 2008; Uchida et al., 2009b). However this effect is not consistent across all antipsychotics (Bigos et al., 2008) and fails to account for the heightened sensitivity of people with dementia and VLOSLP to EPS (Howard et al., 2000) compared to people with an earlier onset of schizophrenia.

Since the therapeutic window of striatal dopamine D2/3 receptor occupancy was first described for antipsychotic drugs (Farde et al., 1992; Kapur et al., 1995), positron emission tomography (PET) has been used to guide safe and effective prescribing in young people (Uchida et al., 2011; Sparshatt et al., 2009; Lako et al., 2013). In contrast, there is a relative paucity of imaging data in older clinical populations. Occupancy studies carried out in older patients with schizophrenia prescribed risperidone and/or olanzapine, have consistently reported EPS at lower than anticipated threshold occupancies (Uchida et al., 2009a; Graff-

Guerrero et al., 2015; Uchida et al., 2014). These data suggest that age-related pharmacodynamic changes, which increase functional outcomes for a given D2/3 receptor occupancy, contribute to antipsychotic drug sensitivity.

We have recently used an adapted [<sup>18</sup>F]fallypride imaging protocol (Clark-Papasavas et al., 2014; Dunn et al., 2013) to investigate the relationship between dose, blood drug concentration and central D2/3 receptor occupancy in older patients with Alzheimer's disease (AD) and VLOSLP who were prescribed amisulpride off label to treat psychosis symptoms, as part of an open treatment study. Amisulpride dose-concentration (Reeves et al., 2016), and concentration-occupancy profiles across a 25-75mg/day dose range have been previously described in the AD group (Reeves et al., 2017). Low amisulpride blood concentrations (9-109ng/ml), which in all but one individual were below the recommended optimal therapeutic range (100-319 ng/ml) (Hiemke et al., 2011; Sparshatt et al., 2009) for the treatment of positive symptoms in schizophrenia, were associated with high D2/3 receptor occupancies (43-84% in the caudate), symptomatic improvement and emergent EPS (Reeves et al., 2017).

Our findings suggest that increased occupancy for a given blood drug concentration plays a key role in antipsychotic drug sensitivity in older people with AD, and implicate the blood brain barrier, which controls central drug access. However, the relative importance of the contribution of age and AD-specific changes to increased D2/3 receptor occupancy is unclear. The current study involves the analysis of data from VLOSLP participants in the study, and aims to explore amisulpride dose-concentration-occupancy relationships and compare this with the situation in AD.

## **Methods**

### **Sample**

Patients with VLOSLP were recruited from the South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust immediately prior to commencing amisulpride treatment. Participants were included on the basis of meeting diagnostic criteria for VLOSLP (Howard et al., 2000), and having no

previous history of psychotic illness (prior to 60 years), traumatic brain injury, epilepsy, significant cardiorespiratory disease, needle phobia, any contraindication to amisulpride, or features suggestive of dementia. Patients were excluded if antipsychotic medication had been prescribed in the past 2 weeks (6 weeks if prescribed depot medication), or if unable to give informed consent. The study was approved by Berkshire Research Ethics Committee (REC reference 11/SC/0486).

### **Additional data sources**

In order to estimate average steady state concentration of amisulpride across the 24 hour dosage interval, PK data from patients with VLOSLP were combined with PK data from:

- (i) AD participants from the open study, which included 41 blood samples taken from 25 participants during steady state amisulpride treatment with 25-75mg/day (8 (32%) men; 82  $\pm$  6.6 years) (Reeves et al., 2016).
- (ii) A richly sampled phase I study carried out in healthy older people, each sampled 14 times over 72 hours following a single oral 50mg amisulpride dose (10 (50%) men; age = 68.7  $\pm$  4.1 years) (Hamon-Vilcot et al., 1998). Venous blood samples (n=280) were obtained from 20 healthy older participants. Amisulpride (racemate) concentrations were determined using a validated HPLC (high performance liquid chromatography) method (detection limit 0.5 ng/ml).

### **Baseline and Follow up Assessments**

Clinical assessments were carried out at baseline and every 14  $\pm$  7 days during dose titration, and included i) Psychotic symptoms were rated using the summed total score of 3 items from the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) which are most relevant for very late-onset SLP: unusual thought content, suspiciousness, and hallucinations (Overall, 1988). Each item is scored on a 7 point scale, where 1 indicates 'symptom not present' and 7 'extremely severe'

(ii) EPS were indexed as present or absence by scores of 3 or more on the clinician-rated

Simpson-Angus Scale (SAS) (3-5=mild; 6 or more =severe) (Simpson and Angus, 1970) and/or 2 or more on the Barnes Akathisia scale (Barnes, 1989).

### **Amisulpride dose titration and blood sampling**

Patients commenced amisulpride treatment at 50mg/day and were followed up every 14±7 days, until an optimum dose was achieved (defined as >25% reduction in BPRS summed 3 item total scores). Compliance with medication (pill counts, discussion with a carer), concomitant medication and clinical outcome (symptom ratings, side effects) were recorded at each visit. Amisulpride venous blood concentration was measured prior to each dose increase, with the timing of blood samples coinciding with follow-up assessments and/or imaging. Blood samples were analysed in a secure, CPA accredited laboratory (Clinical Toxicology Unit, Kings College Hospital). Amisulpride (racemate) blood concentrations were determined using validated LC-MS/MS (liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry) method, with a detection limit of 9ng/ml. Prolactin concentration was measured using chemiluminescence immune assay (Siemens Advia Centaur XP assay), with a detection limit of 6.4 mIU/L (0.29 ng/ml).

### **PET Procedure**

Participants were scanned on a GE (GE Healthcare, Hatfield, UK) VCT Discovery PET-CT camera (FWHM 5mm), at St Thomas' Hospital PET Centre, using an interrupted [<sup>18</sup>F]fallypride imaging protocol adapted specifically for use in older patients (Clark-Papasavas et al., 2014; Dunn et al., 2013) . [<sup>18</sup>F]fallypride was administered via a single bolus intravenous injection of 250MBq. Each scan consisted of three 20-minute dynamic scans (3D mode, each preceded by low dose CT for attenuation correction) at baseline (0-20, 70-90, 220-240 minutes) and post-treatment (0-20, 40-60, 130-150 minutes); shorter post-treatment scan duration reflecting the fact that amisulpride occupancy of D2/3 receptors reduces the time required for [<sup>18</sup>F]fallypride to achieve a transient equilibrium (Kegeles et al., 2008; Vernaleken et al., 2011). [<sup>18</sup>F]fallypride binding potential BP<sub>ND</sub> (Innis et al., 2007) was quantified using a simplified

reference tissue model with cerebellar reference region (Lammertsma and Hume, 1996). Preprocessing was performed using statistical parametric mapping version 8 ([www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm](http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm)) and all other analyses using Matlab ([www.mathworks.com](http://www.mathworks.com)). Non-attenuation corrected, 3 dimensional iteratively reconstructed PET scans (GE 'VuePoint') were used for frame-by-frame realignment. Transformations were applied to attenuation corrected, filtered back projected PET images which were used for quantification, and attenuation corrected-VuePoint PET images used for warping atlases. The cerebellum was defined using the Automated Anatomical Labeling atlas (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002) and regions of interest (caudate, putamen, thalamus) were defined using the Tziortzi atlas (Tziortzi et al., 2011). Atlases were warped to subject space via a [<sup>18</sup>F]fallypride template in standard space (Dunn et al., 2013). Percentage reduction in BP<sub>ND</sub> between pre (BP<sub>PRE</sub>) and post (BP<sub>POST</sub>) treatment scans was used to estimate occupancy, as follows:  $[(BP_{PRE}-BP_{POST})/BP_{PRE}]*100$ .

### **Statistical analysis**

Demographic and clinical data were described using SPSS (version 22.0). Values are shown as mean ± SD. The original recruitment target was 20 patients, to allow direct comparison with AD patients and to control for age. Blood drug concentration is highly dependent on the timing of blood sampling and, to control for this, average steady state drug concentration across the dosage interval (C<sub>average</sub>) was estimated by incorporating PK data into a previously described, oral 2 compartment population PK model for amisulpride, developed from 25 AD and 20 healthy older participants (Reeves et al., 2016) (see additional data sources). The model described 5 parameters (i) an absorption constant (k<sub>a</sub>), (ii) a central compartment (V<sub>1</sub>), (iii) a peripheral compartment (V<sub>2</sub>), (iv) an inter-compartmental distribution constant (Q), (v) an elimination constant (CL), which includes renal and systemic clearance. Initial parameter estimates were based on previously described values (Reeves et al., 2016), and incorporated covariate effects (age and a scaling factor based on standard 70kg body weight) on CL.

The population approach uses nonlinear mixed effects (NLME) modelling to examine dose-concentration relationships, identify sources of variability and make predictions for a typical



person in the population of interest (Ette et al., 2004; Duffull et al., 2011). NLME modelling, implemented using Monolix software (version 4.33; [www.lixoft.eu](http://www.lixoft.eu)), simultaneously estimates fixed effects (value for the average subject in the sample), and random effects (inter-individual variability, IIV) variance for parameters describing dose-concentration relationships and residual errors variance (unexplained variability, reflecting system noise, dosage history errors and/or model misspecifications). IIV was estimated using an exponential model  $P_i = P_{TV} \times e^{\eta_i}$  where  $P_i$  is the parameter estimate for the  $i$ th individual, and  $P_{TV}$  is the typical value for the parameter at the population level. Random effects between  $i$ th individual and population parameter values ( $\eta$ ,  $\eta_p$ ), was assumed to be normally distributed (mean of 0, variance  $\omega_{\eta}^2$ ). As described previously (Reeves et al., 2016), residual error was modelled separately for healthy older people and participants in the clinical study, to account for inter-study differences; and was described using a proportional residual error model ( $y_{ij} = \hat{y}_{ij} (1 + \epsilon_{ij})$ ), where  $y_{ij}$  and  $\hat{y}_{ij}$  represents the  $j$ th observed concentration of the  $i$ th subject and corresponding model-predicted concentration; and  $\epsilon_{ij}$  was assumed to be normally distributed (mean of 0, variance  $\sigma^2$ ). The model was evaluated using goodness-of-fit criteria, including diagnostic scatter plots, visual predictive checks, degree of shrinkage model precision, and likelihood ratio. Individual parameter estimates from the model included Coverage.

## Results

### VLOSLP sample characteristics

Eight patients (6 women; age  $76 \pm 6$  years) were recruited, 2 of whom were withdrawn due to lack of compliance with study procedures. Demographic and clinical data are presented in Table 1. In the 6 patients who complied with treatment, baseline BPRS 3 item total score was  $18.3 \pm 2.2$  ( $6.5 \pm 0.6$  unusual thought content;  $6.8 \pm 0.4$  suspiciousness;  $5.0 \pm 2.0$  hallucinations). Following treatment with 50-100mg/day amisulpride, there was a  $74 \pm 12\%$  reduction in BPRS 3 item total scores ( $76 \pm 15\%$ , unusual thought content;  $68\% \pm 20\%$ , suspiciousness; and  $69\% \pm 34\%$ , hallucinations), with complete resolution of symptoms (BPRS score of 3) in 2 participants (Table 1). Mild EPS which did not lead to treatment

discontinuation (SAS scores 3, and 4), emerged in 2 participants; and 1 patient developed hyponatraemia secondary to syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone secretion, (SIADH), which led to withdrawal from the study.

### **Pharmacokinetic, prolactin, imaging and clinical outcome data**

Mean  $\pm$ SD amisulpride blood concentration (n=5) was 69.5 $\pm$ 34.9 ng/ml; and corresponding PRL concentration (5.6 $\pm$ 1.5 pre-treatment), was 95 $\pm$ 41ng/ml (15 $\pm$ 3 hours post dose) after 21-191 days of treatment (*PRL 88 $\pm$ 72ng/ml in AD*). When PK data were incorporated into the existing PK model (Table 2), parameters estimates were consistent with those previously described (Reeves et al., 2016), and estimated Coverage was 92.5 $\pm$ 39.4 ng/ml (range 41.5-129ng/ml). Mild EPS, (SAS score of 4), emerged at a lower threshold Coverage of 96ng/ml (75mg/day), and one participant developed akathisia (Barnes score of 3) at 129ng/ml. *In previously described AD participants, severe EPS (SAS scores of 11, 15 and 16) emerged at Coverage of 60, 61 and 62 ng/ml in 3 participants* (Reeves et al., 2017). Figure 1 shows Coverage, plotted against SAS scores for a) AD and b) VLOSLP groups, separated on the basis of mild (scores of 3-5) and severe (scores of 6 or above) EPS.

Technical issues meant that it was only possible to carry out 5 pre-treatment scans (mean $\pm$ SD binding potential, BP<sub>PRE</sub>: 16.31  $\pm$  1.32, caudate; 20.58  $\pm$  2.0, putamen; 1.49  $\pm$  0.17, thalamus), and of 5 post-treatment scans were attempted, only 3 produced data of sufficient quality due to technical issues (n=1) or tolerability (n=1) (mean $\pm$ SD binding potential, BP<sub>POST</sub>: 7.59  $\pm$  1.56, caudate; 11.95  $\pm$  3.94, putamen; 0.72  $\pm$  0.25, thalamus). Coverage and corresponding caudate occupancy in 3 participants with paired imaging data, imaged at an optimum 50mg/day dose, was 41ng/ml (44%), 63ng/ml (51%) and 70ng/ml (59%). *In AD, caudate occupancy ranged from 58-74% across Coverage 41-70ng/ml*. Coverage, plotted against caudate occupancy is shown for VLOSLP and AD participants in Figure 2.

### **Discussion**

This study aimed to characterise amisulpride dose-PK-occupancy relationships in VLOSLP, using an adapted imaging protocol. Carrying out imaging studies in older people is highly

challenging, particularly when combined with an active drug treatment, and participation requires a degree of insight and a level of engagement rarely achieved in people with VLOSLP (Howard et al., 1994; Sin Fai Lam et al., 2016). As a consequence, recruitment and retention were poor and, in addition, technical issues meant that it was only possible to obtain a complete dataset in 3 participants. Heightened sensitivity to EPS is well documented in VLOSLP (Howard et al., 2000; Uchida et al., 2008; Jeste and Maglione, 2013) and open label trial data suggests that ~100mg/day (range 50-200mg/day) amisulpride is efficacious in VLOSLP (Psarros et al., 2009), compared to older patients with an earlier illness onset, who tolerate doses up to 400mg/day (Riedel et al., 2009). Our findings were consistent with this, as clinically relevant responses were observed in VLOSLP patients at 50-100mg/day, and correspondingly low blood drug concentrations. Small sample size meant that it was not possible to fully characterise the non-linear PK-occupancy curve in VLOSLP. However, our findings suggest that 50mg/day amisulpride is associated with occupancies which achieve the therapeutic window (>40%) required to treat positive symptoms in young adults with schizophrenia (Sparshatt et al., 2009).

Occupancy data during low dose (50-100mg/day) amisulpride treatment is highly variable in young adults, ranging from below 10% (Martinot et al., 1996; Xiberas et al., 2001) to 40% (la Fougere et al., 2005; Meisenzahl et al., 2008) in the striatum. This is partly accounted for by methodological differences (tracer, imaging modality, timing of the scan in relation to dose, scan duration) (Meisenzahl et al., 2008), but also reflects the steeper gradient of the occupancy slope at low blood drug concentrations (Vernaleken et al., 2004). Our findings suggest that, similar to AD, 50mg/day (Caverage (41-70ng/ml) was associated with occupancies at least as high as those observed in young adults (Bressan et al., 2003; Lako et al., 2013; Sparshatt et al., 2009). As discussed previously (Reeves et al, 2017), tracers with higher affinity (low dissociation constant) such as [18F]fallypride require higher concentrations of competing drug to displace them from receptor sites than those of lower affinity, leading to lower apparent occupancy (Seeman, 2011; Seeman, 2014; Seeman and Van Tol, 1995). In vivo, this is particularly problematic if there is insufficient imaging time for the tracer to achieve

equilibrium in the D2/3 rich striatum (Olsson and Farde, 2001; Xiberas et al., 2001; Vernaleken et al., 2011). Although sampling times for the current study were guided by previous post-treatment [<sup>18</sup>F]fallypride protocols (Kegeles et al., 2008; Kessler et al., 2006), we cannot rule out the possibility that we have under-estimated the true extent of the differences between young adults previously studied and those with AD and VLOSLP.

Previous imaging studies have estimated occupancy in (risperidone and/or olanzapine) treated older patients with schizophrenia, by comparing D2/3 receptor availability with a healthy older control group, and described a therapeutic window of occupancy (50-60%) compared to young adults (Graff-Guerrero et al., 2015; Uchida et al., 2014); findings that are not explained by differences in D2/3 receptor availability between 'antipsychotic free' patients and healthy older people (Nakajima et al., 2015). Importantly, the above studies observed no difference in concentration-occupancy relationships between young and older adults.

It is possible that our finding of higher than anticipated occupancy in both AD and VLOSLP compared to young adults may reflect the unique properties of amisulpride (lower lipophilicity, poor blood brain barrier (BBB) penetration relative to other antipsychotics) (Natesan et al., 2008), which make the drug a more sensitive tool with which to explore BBB integrity in older people. It will thus be important to use comparable methodology (drug, tracer, sampling times, method of analysis) in future studies. Other central mechanisms also need to be considered, as we cannot rule out the possibility that age and/or disease-specific changes in distribution and clearance of amisulpride *within* the central nervous system (Uchida et al., 2009b), and/or reduced competition due to lower endogenous dopamine levels (Volkow et al., 1994) in those of extreme age contributed to higher occupancies.

Our findings suggest there is some overlap between AD and VLOSLP in terms of minimally clinically effective dose, but there are also clear differences in sensitivity to side effects, as severe EPS emerged in AD at very low threshold drug concentrations (60ng/ml). Differences between the two groups were not accounted for by variability in the timing of blood samples, as the PK model allowed estimation of average concentration across the dosage interval for each individual, accounting for the impact of age and body weight. It is tempting to speculate

that the exquisite sensitivity in AD is explained by higher occupancy for a given for a given amisulpride blood concentration, due to greater disruption in BBB integrity ( Zeevi et al., 2010). However, it is equally possible that the observed differences simply reflect the inherent variability in concentration-occupancy relationships, or that other confounding factors, such as age, gender, or concomitant medication explain the heightened susceptibility of older people with AD to side effects.

Non-adherence is another potential source of error when attempting to estimate dose-PK-response relationships. Although compliance was facilitated and closely monitored, tablet counts and self-report are not wholly reliable, (Blaschke et al., 2012) and may have contributed to inter-individual variability in parameter estimates, and residual error. The issue of diagnosis is important when psychosis is present in the context of a dementia, and careful screening was thus carried out to exclude patients with suspected Lewy Body Dementia, included dopamine transporter imaging where appropriate (McKeith et al., 1996). While we cannot completely rule out the possibility that Lewy body pathology may have contributed to the observed drug sensitivity in AD group, EPS are sufficiently explained by higher than anticipated occupancies which only exceeded the 60% threshold in the AD group.

## **Conclusion**

Despite the limitations of the clinical dataset, this study indicates that target concentrations to treat psychosis in AD and VLOSLP overlap, and are lower than the currently recommended target range (100-320ng/ml), due to higher than anticipated central D2/3 receptor occupancies. Our preliminary findings also argue strongly for further investigation of disease-specific differences in antipsychotic drug sensitivity, as the target therapeutic range for amisulpride is broader in VLOSLP, possibly due to differences in the PK-occupancy slope. However, these findings are preliminary and should be interpreted cautiously, given the limited sample size. In young adults, it has been shown that amisulpride blood drug concentration is a more sensitive predictor of EPS than D2/3 receptor occupancy (Sparshatt et al., 2009).

Given the challenges faced when attempting occupancy studies in older people, large scale amisulpride therapeutic drug monitoring studies offer a feasible, cost effective alternative approach, and one which will directly inform age- and disease-specific dose adjustments. Alongside this, research needs to be directed towards improving service engagement in people with VLOSLP.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors report no competing interests

## References

- Barnes TR. (1989) A rating scale for drug-induced akathisia. *Br J Psychiatry* 154: 672-676.
- Bigos KL, Pollock BG, Coley KC, et al. (2008) Sex, race, and smoking impact olanzapine exposure. *J Clin Pharmacol* 48: 157-165.
- Blaschke TF, Osterberg L, Vrijens B, et al. (2012) Adherence to medications: insights arising from studies on the unreliable link between prescribed and actual drug dosing histories. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 52: 275-301.
- Bressan RA, Erlandsson K, Jones HM, et al. (2003) Is regionally selective D2/D3 dopamine occupancy sufficient for atypical antipsychotic effect? an in vivo quantitative [123I]epidepride SPET study of amisulpride-treated patients. *Am J Psychiatry* 160: 1413-1420.
- Clark-Papasavas C, Dunn JT, Greaves S, et al. (2014) Towards a therapeutic window of D2/3 occupancy for treatment of psychosis in Alzheimer's disease, with [18F]fallypride positron emission tomography. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 29: 1001-1009.
- Duffull SB, Wright DF and Winter HR. (2011) Interpreting population pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic analyses - a clinical viewpoint. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 71: 807-814.
- Dunn JT, Clark-Papasavas C, Marsden P, et al. (2013) Establishing test-retest reliability of an adapted [(18)F]fallypride imaging protocol in older people. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 33: 1098-1103.
- Ette EI, Williams PJ and Lane JR. (2004) Population pharmacokinetics III: design, analysis, and application of population pharmacokinetic Studies. *Ann Pharmacother* 38: 2136-2144.
- Farde L, Nordstrom AL, Wiesel FA, et al. (1992) Positron emission tomographic analysis of central D1 and D2 dopamine receptor occupancy in patients treated with classical neuroleptics and clozapine. Relation to extrapyramidal side effects. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 49: 538-544.
- Feng Y, Pollock BG, Coley K, et al. (2008) Population pharmacokinetic analysis for risperidone using highly sparse sampling measurements from the CATIE study. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 66: 629-639.
- Graff-Guerrero A, Rajji TK, Mulsant BH, et al. (2015) Evaluation of Antipsychotic Dose Reduction in Late-Life Schizophrenia: A Prospective Dopamine D2/3 Receptor Occupancy Study. *JAMA Psychiatry* 72: 927-934.
- Hamon-Vilcot B, Chaufour S, Deschamps C, et al. (1998) Safety and pharmacokinetics of a single oral dose of amisulpride in healthy elderly volunteers. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 54: 405-409.
- Hiemke C, Baumann P, Bergemann N, et al. (2011) AGNP Consensus Guidelines for Therapeutic Drug Monitoring in Psychiatry: Update 2011. *Pharmacopsychiatry* 44: 195-235.

- Howard R, Almeida O and Levy R. (1994) Phenomenology, demography and diagnosis in late paraphrenia. *Psychol Med* 24: 397-410.
- Howard R, Costafreda SG, Karcher K, et al. (2016) Baseline characteristics and treatment-emergent risk factors associated with cerebrovascular event and death with risperidone in dementia patients. *Br J Psychiatry* 209: 378-384.
- Howard R, Rabins PV, Seeman MV, et al. (2000) Late-onset schizophrenia and very-late-onset schizophrenia-like psychosis: an international consensus. The International Late-Onset Schizophrenia Group. *Am J Psychiatry* 157: 172-178.
- Hudson TJ, Owen RR, Thrush CR, et al. (2004) A pilot study of barriers to medication adherence in schizophrenia. *J Clin Psychiatry* 65: 211-216.
- Innis RB, Cunningham VJ, Delforge J, et al. (2007) Consensus nomenclature for in vivo imaging of reversibly binding radioligands. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 27: 1533-1539.
- Jennum P, Baandrup L, Ibsen R, et al. (2015) Increased all-cause mortality with use of psychotropic medication in dementia patients and controls: A population-based register study. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 25: 1906-1913.
- Jeste DV, Blazer D, Casey D, et al. (2008) ACNP White Paper: update on use of antipsychotic drugs in elderly persons with dementia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 33: 957-970.
- Jeste DV and Maglione JE. (2013) Treating older adults with schizophrenia: challenges and opportunities. *Schizophr Bull* 39: 966-968.
- Kapur S, Remington G, Zipursky RB, et al. (1995) The D2 dopamine receptor occupancy of risperidone and its relationship to extrapyramidal symptoms: a PET study. *Life Sci* 57: PL103-107.
- Kegeles LS, Slifstein M, Frankle WG, et al. (2008) Dose-occupancy study of striatal and extrastriatal dopamine D2 receptors by aripiprazole in schizophrenia with PET and [18F]fallypride. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 33: 3111-3125.
- Kessler RM, Ansari MS, Riccardi P, et al. (2006) Occupancy of striatal and extrastriatal dopamine D2 receptors by clozapine and quetiapine. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 31: 1991-2001.
- la Fougere C, Meisenzahl E, Schmitt G, et al. (2005) D2 receptor occupancy during high- and low-dose therapy with the atypical antipsychotic amisulpride: a 123I-iodobenzamide SPECT study. *J Nucl Med* 46: 1028-1033.
- Lako IM, van den Heuvel ER, Knegtering H, et al. (2013) Estimating dopamine D(2) receptor occupancy for doses of 8 antipsychotics: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 33: 675-681.
- Lammertsma AA and Hume SP. (1996) Simplified reference tissue model for PET receptor studies. *Neuroimage* 4: 153-158.
- Martinot JL, Paillere-Martinot ML, Poirier MF, et al. (1996) In vivo characteristics of dopamine D2 receptor occupancy by amisulpride in schizophrenia. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 124: 154-158.
- Maust DT, Schneider LS and Kales HC. (2015) Estimating Mortality Associated With Antipsychotics and Other Psychotropics-Reply. *JAMA Psychiatry* 72: 1058.
- McKeith IG, Galasko D, Kosaka K, et al. (1996) Consensus guidelines for the clinical and pathologic diagnosis of dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB): report of the consortium on DLB international workshop. *Neurology* 47: 1113-1124.
- Meisenzahl EM, Schmitt G, Grunder G, et al. (2008) Striatal D2/D3 receptor occupancy, clinical response and side effects with amisulpride: an iodine-123-iodobenzamide SPET study. *Pharmacopsychiatry* 41: 169-175.
- Natesan S, Reckless GE, Barlow KB, et al. (2008) Amisulpride the 'atypical' atypical antipsychotic--comparison to haloperidol, risperidone and clozapine. *Schizophr Res* 105: 224-235.
- Olsson H and Farde L. (2001) Potentials and pitfalls using high affinity radioligands in PET and SPET determinations on regional drug induced D2 receptor occupancy--a simulation study based on experimental data. *Neuroimage* 14: 936-945.

- Overall CM, Gorham, D. R. (1988) The Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS): recent developments in ascertainment and scaling. *Psychopharmacol Bull* 24: 97-99.
- Psarros C, Theleritis CG, Paparrigopoulos TJ, et al. (2009) Amisulpride for the treatment of very-late-onset schizophrenia-like psychosis. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 24: 518-522.
- Reeves S, Bertrand J, D'Antonio F, et al. (2016) A population approach to characterise amisulpride pharmacokinetics in older people and Alzheimer's disease. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 233: 3371-3381.
- Reeves S, McLachlan E, Bertrand J, et al. (2017) Therapeutic window of dopamine D2/3 receptor occupancy to treat psychosis in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain* In Press.
- Reeves S, Stewart R and Howard R. (2002) Service contact and psychopathology in very-late-onset schizophrenia-like psychosis: the effects of gender and ethnicity. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 17: 473-479.
- Riedel M, Eich FX and Moller HJ. (2009) A pilot study of the safety and efficacy of amisulpride and risperidone in elderly psychotic patients. *Eur Psychiatry* 24: 149-153.
- Seeman P. (2011) All roads to schizophrenia lead to dopamine supersensitivity and elevated dopamine D2(high) receptors. *CNS Neurosci Ther* 17: 118-132.
- Seeman P. (2014) Therapeutic occupation of dopamine D2 antipsychotic receptors in Alzheimer's disease. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 29: 1096.
- Seeman P and Van Tol HH. (1995) Deriving the therapeutic concentrations for clozapine and haloperidol: the apparent dissociation constant of a neuroleptic at the dopamine D2 or D4 receptor varies with the affinity of the competing radioligand. *Eur J Pharmacol* 291: 59-66.
- Simpson GM and Angus JW. (1970) A rating scale for extrapyramidal side effects. *Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl* 212: 11-19.
- Sin Fai Lam CC, Reeves SJ, Stewart R, et al. (2016) Service and treatment engagement of people with very late-onset schizophrenia-like psychosis. *BJPsych Bull* 40: 185-186.
- Sparshatt A, Taylor D, Patel MX, et al. (2009) Amisulpride - dose, plasma concentration, occupancy and response: implications for therapeutic drug monitoring. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 120: 416-428.
- Tziortzi AC, Searle GE, Tzimopoulou S, et al. (2011) Imaging dopamine receptors in humans with [<sup>11</sup>C]-(+)-PHNO: dissection of D3 signal and anatomy. *Neuroimage* 54: 264-277.
- Tzourio-Mazoyer N, Landeau B, Papathanassiou D, et al. (2002) Automated anatomical labeling of activations in SPM using a macroscopic anatomical parcellation of the MNI MRI single-subject brain. *Neuroimage* 15: 273-289.
- Uchida H, Kapur S, Mulsant BH, et al. (2009a) Sensitivity of older patients to antipsychotic motor side effects: a PET study examining potential mechanisms. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 17: 255-263.
- Uchida H, Mamo DC, Mulsant BH, et al. (2009b) Increased antipsychotic sensitivity in elderly patients: evidence and mechanisms. *J Clin Psychiatry* 70: 397-405.
- Uchida H, Suzuki T, Graff-Guerrero A, et al. (2014) Therapeutic window for striatal dopamine D(2/3) receptor occupancy in older patients with schizophrenia: a pilot PET study. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 22: 1007-1016.
- Uchida H, Suzuki T, Mamo DC, et al. (2008) Effects of age and age of onset on prescribed antipsychotic dose in schizophrenia spectrum disorders: a survey of 1,418 patients in Japan. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 16: 584-593.
- Uchida H, Takeuchi H, Graff-Guerrero A, et al. (2011) Dopamine D2 receptor occupancy and clinical effects: a systematic review and pooled analysis. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 31: 497-502.
- Vernaleken I, Peters L, Raptis M, et al. (2011) The applicability of SRTM in [(18)F]fallypride PET investigations: impact of scan durations. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 31: 1958-1966.
- Vernaleken I, Siessmeier T, Buchholz HG, et al. (2004) High striatal occupancy of D2-like dopamine receptors by amisulpride in the brain of patients with schizophrenia. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 7: 421-430.



Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Fowler JS, et al. (1994) Imaging endogenous dopamine competition with [11C]raclopride in the human brain. *Synapse* 16: 255-262.

Xiberas X, Martinot JL, Mallet L, et al. (2001) In vivo extrastriatal and striatal D2 dopamine receptor blockade by amisulpride in schizophrenia. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 21: 207-214.

Zeevi N, Pachter J, McCullough LD, et al. (2010) The blood-brain barrier: geriatric relevance of a critical brain-body interface. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 58: 1749-1757.

### **Figure 1. Model estimated Coverage and extrapyramidal side effects**

Estimated average steady state amisulpride blood concentrations (Coverage) across a 25-100mg dose titration range are plotted against corresponding Simpson Angus Scale scores, and separated on the basis of absent (white circle), mild (black and white circle) and severe (black circle) extrapyramidal side effects (EPS) in VLOSLP (left) AD (right).

### **Figure 2. Coverage, D2/3 receptor occupancy and extrapyramidal side effects**

Coverage is plotted against corresponding D2/3 receptor occupancy in the caudate, for 3 VLOSLP participants, none of whom experienced extrapyramidal side effects (EPS) (shown as a dot with outer circle); superimposed on data from AD participants, separated on the basis of presence (black circle) or absence (white circle) of any EPS (SAS>3)

|                                                                     |               |                 |                |              |                  |                  |                  |         |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| <b>Age (years)</b>                                                  | 68            | 77              | 71             | 73           | 89               | 76               | 76               | 76      |
| <b>Gender</b>                                                       | Female        | Female          | Female         | Male         | Female           | Female           | Female           | Male    |
| <b>Place of birth</b>                                               | Nigeria       | Cyprus          | Pakistan       | Ireland      | UK               | Greece           | Sri Lanka        | Nigeria |
| <b>Living status</b>                                                | Alone         | Alone           | With family    | Alone        | Residential Care | With husband     | With family      | Alone   |
| <b>Weight (kg)</b>                                                  | 58            | 72              | 62             | 90           | 55               | 70               | 42               | 92      |
| <b>Baseline BPRS score</b>                                          | 13            | 21              | 19             | 17           | 18               | 15               | 20               | 19      |
| <b>Radioactivity (MBq); Specific Activity (GBq/μmol); mass (μg)</b> | 250; 100; 0.7 | 250; 19.1; 1.7  | 243; 45.9; 0.8 | 248; 113;0.8 | 247; 135;0.7     | NA               | NA               | NA      |
| <b>Pre-treatment prolactin (ng/ml)</b>                              |               | 3.5             | 5.5            | 8.1          | 5.9              | 5.1              | -                | -       |
| <b>Pre-treatment BPND</b>                                           | 14.58         | 17.15           | 17.94          | 15.56 *      | 16.30            | NA               | NA               | NA      |
| <b>Maximum dose (mg/day)</b>                                        | nc-           | 75 <sup>a</sup> | 50             | 100          | 50               | 100 <sup>a</sup> | 100 <sup>b</sup> | nc      |
| <b>Coverage</b>                                                     |               | 96              | 41.5           | 102.1        | 69.6             | 172.0            | 114.5            |         |
| <b>Optimum dose (mg/day)</b>                                        | -             | 50              | 50             | 100          | 50               | 75               | -                | -       |
| <b>Post-treatment BPRS score</b>                                    | -             | 3               | 4              | 5            | 7                | 6                | 3                | -       |
| <b>Estimated Coverage (ng/ml)</b>                                   | -             | 63              | 41.5           | 102.1        | 70               | 129.3            | -                |         |
| <b>Post-treatment prolactin (ng/ml)</b>                             | -             | 50.0            | 82.7           | 92.8         | 163.5            | 89.1             | -                | -       |
| <b>Simpson Angus Score</b>                                          | -             | 2               | 0              | 1            | 1                | 3                | -                | -       |
| <b>Radioactivity (MBq); Specific Activity (GBq/μmol); mass (μg)</b> |               | 248; 24.0; 1.8  | 250; 156; 0.6  | -            | 250;179; 0.5     |                  |                  |         |
| <b>Post-treatment BPND</b>                                          | -             | 8.39            | 9.95           |              | 6.73             | ≠                | -                | -       |

|                                     |   |      |      |   |      |   |   |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|------|------|---|------|---|---|---|
| <b>Post-treatment occupancy (%)</b> | - | 51.1 | 44.5 | - | 58.7 | - | - | - |
|-------------------------------------|---|------|------|---|------|---|---|---|

BPRS –brief psychiatric rating scale score reflects summed total of 3 items (unusual thought content, suspiciousness, hallucinations)

NA -not imaged due to technical difficulties

≠ - unable to tolerate imaging

<sup>a</sup> Mild extrapyramidal side effects (EPS) (SAS score 4)

<sup>b</sup> Withdrawal from study due to hyponatraemia

nc – non-compliant, withdrawn from study

\*Had been previously treated with olanzapine (discontinued ~

| <b>Parameter</b>            | <b>Estimate (RSE%)</b> | <b>IIV% (RSE%)</b> |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| ka (/hr)                    | 0.83 (18)              | 47 (23)            |
| Cl (L/hr) <sup>a, b</sup>   | 51.5 (7)               | 38 (14)            |
| V1 (L)                      | 440 (16)               | 42 (25)            |
| Q (L/hr)                    | 111 (16)               | 64 (19)            |
| V2 (L)                      | 741 (12)               | 46 (17)            |
| <b>RUV%</b>                 | <b>Estimate (RSE%)</b> |                    |
| Healthy older people (n=20) | 13 (6)                 | na                 |
| AD and VLOSLP (n=31)        | 47 (20)                | na                 |

Parameters: ka, absorption rate constant; V1, volume of distribution of central compartment; V2, volume of distribution of peripheral compartment; Q, inter-compartmental clearance; CL, renal and systemic clearance

<sup>a</sup> allometric scaling (power 0.75) fixed for weight on CL; weight centred at 70kg

<sup>b</sup> power effect of -3.11 estimated for age on CL (p=2.3 e-008); age centred at 77 years.

Other abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; VLOSLP, very late-onset schizophrenia-like psychosis; IIV, inter-individual variability; RUV, residual unexplained variability; RSE, relative standard error; na, not applicable