

Reconstructing 4Q208–4Q209 as an Astronomical Artefact

It is probable that 4QZodiac Calendar may be a Jewish-Aramaic descendant of similar late Babylonian zodiacal calendar texts with which it bears close structural similarities.

See Also: [Zodiac Calendars in the Dead Sea Scrolls and Their Reception](#) (Brill Academic Pub, 2014).

By Helen R. Jacobus

Department of Hebrew and Jewish Studies
University College London
July 2015

Nowhere in the ancient Mesopotamian and Mediterranean worlds have as many variant and different calendars been found as at Qumran. The diversity within the 364-day Hebrew calendar corpus is a continuing subject of research. Here, the focus is on two proposed Aramaic zodiac calendars: 4Q318 (*4QZodiac Calendar and 4QBrontologion*, registered as *4QZodiology and Brontology*) and 4Q208–4Q209 (*4QAstronomical Enoch*^{a-b}). It is argued that these texts are related and that by adopting this model it should be possible to place some of the hitherto unplaced fragments from 4Q209.

Expansion of Helen R. Jacobus, ***Zodiac Calendars in the Dead Sea Scrolls and Their Reception: Ancient Astronomy and Astrology in Early Judaism*** (Leiden: Brill, 2014), pp. 305–311

1. Background

The proposed Aramaic calendar concerned that arguably is closely related to 4Q208–4Q209 is 4Q318 which is composed of a zodiac calendar, or “selenodromion” that situates the moon’s position in the zodiac for each day of the year in each month. It has a connected, “brontologion,” a zodiacal thunder omen text that yields a Mesopotamian-style prediction that is based on the zodiac sign of the moon on the day of the month when thunder occurs. The title of 4Q318 is registered as *4QZodiology and Brontology* (critical edition: Greenfield and Sokoloff 1995, reproduced with revisions in 2000). The texts are all fragmentary (see also Wise 1994, Albani 1993, 1994, 1999, Jacobus 2010, 2011, 2014a).

Following Geza Vermes who gave 4Q318 the title “A Zodiacal Calendar with a Brontologion” (1997, 361), I suggest that *4QZodiac Calendar and 4QBrontologion* is a more useful designation because scholars need to be able to discuss either unit separately in a clear way. This essay concerns *4QZodiac Calendar* without the brontologion in relation to the extremely fragmentary Aramaic text 4Q208–4Q209 (*4QAstronomical Enoch^{a-b}*) (critical editions: Milik 1976, Tigchelaar and García Martínez, 2000, Drawnel 2011).

4Q208–4Q209 comprise formulaically written texts that are part of the so-called *Aramaic Astronomical Book of Enoch* from Qumran. The fragments of 4Q209 (*4QAstronomical Enoch^b*) and 4Q208 (*4QAstronomical Enoch^a*) as far as

is known do not appear in the classical Ethiopic Ge'ez version of *1 Enoch*, although not all of the many Ethiopic manuscripts have been examined (for the Ge'ez manuscript history of *1 Enoch* see Knibb 1978, 1–46; VanderKam 2012, 335–352; Erho and Stuckenbruck, 2013). Neither 4Q318 nor 4Q208–4Q209 were known before the discovery of the Dead Sea Scrolls. I have suggested that in order to reconstruct 4Q208–4Q209 both mathematically and materially (as far as is reasonably possible) the texts should be considered as branches from the same source as *4QZodiac Calendar* (Jacobus 2011, 2014a).

2. 4Q318. 4QZodiac Calendar

The calendar of 4Q318 states the moon's schematic position in the zodiac on any day of the year according to a calendar of 360 day years, that is, a year composed of 12 months consisting of 30 days each. This is a well-known year-length in Mesopotamian divinatory literature (Brown 2000, 113-122; Heessel 2010, Oppenheim 1974, Williams 2002) and as an ideal, administrative calendar in the third millennium BCE in Mesopotamia (Brack-Bernsen 2007, Steele 2011).

I have argued that it is a working luni-solar calendar (Jacobus 2010, Jacobus 2011, 2014a); in such a calendar, an extra lunar month is added (intercalated) at fixed intervals because the lunar year of 354 days falls behind the solar year of 365¼ days by 11¼ days. A 30-day lunar month, a 13th lunar month, is added

onto the standard lunar year of 354 days — consisting of 12 months — at repeated two and three year frequencies (see Rochberg 1995). Therefore, to have a calendar with a lunar date that recurs in the same season a leap month must be regularly intercalated in a fixed cycle.

In a schematic 360-day calendar a 30-day lunar month could have been added every six years, as it would fall behind the solar year by $5\frac{1}{4}$ days each year, according to (Brack-Bernsen 2007, 89), though scholars are divided as to how the 360-day calendar may have been instituted in practice. Britton states that the 360-day calendar was “devoid of intercalations” (2007, 117).

The moon in *4QZodiac Calendar* changes zodiac signs in a fixed sequence of two days, two days, and then three days in a recurring arrangement. It passes through all 12 signs plus the one it started out from in 30 days in each of its 12 months. The month-names are the Aramaic translations of the Babylonian months used in the late biblical books, and have remained so-named in the Jewish calendar.

It is probable that *4QZodiac Calendar* may be a Jewish-Aramaic descendant of similar late Babylonian zodiacal calendar texts with which it bears close structural similarities. These cuneiform texts substitute months and zodiac signs for corresponding consecutive numbers; for example, number 1 represents the Month I, and the first zodiac sign, Aries (Brack-Bernsen and

Steele, 2004, Steele 2015, 188, 209, 210). Since the month-names in *4QZodiac Calendar* are Aramaic versions of the Babylonian months-names it is highly likely that the calendar is closely related to its Mesopotamian cousins.

3. 4Q208-4Q209 as a second Aramaic zodiac calendar in the Dead Sea Scrolls

a. In relation to Ethiopic Enoch

I have also argued that 4Q208–4Q209 (*4QAstronomical Enoch^{a-b}*) follows a similar pattern to 4Q318: that it is basically a luni-solar calendar with the major modification that the cosmological ‘gates’ numbered 1 to 6 in the text should be identified as the zodiac signs, similar to the system of number-month-sign substitution in late Babylonian astrological texts (Jacobus 2011, 2014a, 2014b; see Brack-Bernsen and Steele 2004, Steele 2015, op cit).

This hypothesis is based on directly relating the ordinal numbers of the heavenly gates in *1 Enoch*, Chapter 72, the first chapter of the Ethiopic *Book of Luminaries* (*1 En. 72–82*), not only as months but to corresponding zodiac signs. *1 En. 72* is concerned with the daylight lengths of two “solar” months opposite each other in a 364-day calendar beginning with the spring equinox. One ‘gate’ represents two months and, it is argued, the two zodiac signs with which they are cognate. See Table 1 for the description of the sun’s journey throughout the year in *1 En. 72* with the numbered gates, the months to which they correspond, and the zodiac signs that correspond with the months.




Table 1. A basic representation journey of the sun in *1 En. 72* beginning with sunrise at the Spring Equinox in Gate 4, Month 1, Aries (the 1st sign of the zodiac); Gate 4 also represents the Month 6, Virgo (the 6th sign of the zodiac)

Neugebauer defined the heavenly gates in *1 En. 72* as the sun's rising and setting points on the horizon during the year: the sun rises in Gate 4 at the spring and autumn equinoxes (Month 1 and Month 6), and so on (Neugebauer 1964, 1981). He rejected the interpretations of the earlier translators and commentators of *1 Enoch* that the 'gate' numbers represented signs of the zodiac corresponding to the months (Neugebauer 1979, 156–161; for the scholarly history of the zodiac hypothesis, see VanderKam 2012, 371–373; Jacobus 2014a, 263–268).

3b. 4Q208-4Q209 as a calendar

Milik described the astronomical scheme in these Aramaic fragments as a “synchronistic calendar,” maintaining that the material related to the sun and the moon in the text were related to a luni-solar calendar of a three-year cycle, a triennial cycle (Milik 1976, 274–275).

He contended that the synchronistic calendar of 4Q208–4Q209 was the equivalent mathematically to 364 days of three (‘solar’) years equalling three lunar years of 354 days, each consisting of alternate 29 and 30 day months, with the addition of an intercalary lunar month of 30 days (364 days x 3 = 354 days x 3+30 days).

Milik reasoned that the manuscript of 4Q209 consisted of one 354-day lunar year of this cycle that was 10 days shorter than the schematic ‘solar’ year. (The term ‘solar year’ for the 364-day, {according to Milik} schematic year is misleading since the solar year is about 365.24 days but it is used to distinguish it from the schematic lunar year).

Some later scholars have argued that the synchronised schematic ‘solar’ year would be 360 days, as it is in the zodiac calendar of 4Q318 and in the Mesopotamian background of the *Book of Luminaries* (Albani 1993, 27–35, 1994, 82–83; see also Jacobus 2014a, 334–340). Others, that although the

synchronised year of 360 days had been expanded to 364 days at an unspecified very early stage in its redaction (Ben Dov 2008, 37, 282), 4Q209 cannot support a triennial cycle mathematically (Ben Dov 2008, 129–132). Unlike the calendar in the *Book of Jubilees*, the calendar of 4Q208–4Q209 does not mention Sabbaths, days of the week, or festivals as do some of the 364 day Hebrew calendars of the priestly courses at Qumran.

Drawnel (2011) rejects Milik's model of the luni-solar synchronistic calendar, proposing instead that 4Q208–4Q209 is a lunar table denoting the varying periods of lunar visibility during the day and night. He argues that the sun's presence in the text constitute scribal insertions that refer to the sun's movements during the night, rather than to a calendrical component (Drawnel 2011, 297–300). Milik's data have been accepted by Tigchelaar and García Martínez (2000); Duke and Goff have modified the synchronistic calendar scheme to incorporate Drawnel's thesis (2014).

4Q208–4Q209 contain the day of the lunar month and proportions of the moon's "shining" and "concealment" and other words to describe the moon's phases in increments of half-sevenths. There are also different verbs that describe its waxing and waning (Drawnel 2011, 237–301). Drawnel's reconstruction of the fractions of the moon's light in all the fragments as far as possible, and his contextualising this information within a 29-day or 30-day

month scheme, often from extremely scanty text, is a valuable assistance to scholars.

The second column of the largest fragment (4Q209, fragment 7 column iii in the critical editions, renumbered as Fragment 1 in the *Leon Levy Dead Sea Scrolls Digital Library* website) is a key textual unit that supports the interpretation that the numbered 'gates' in the formulaic structure of the Aramaic text through which the sun and moon rise and set correspond to the zodiac signs.

The data in 4Q209 fragment 7, column iii describe the sun's movements in Gate 1 in the schematic 'solar' year coinciding with Night 8, the moon's movements from Nights 8–10, setting in Gate 5 during Night 9 of a lunar month, see Figure 1.




Figure 1: Basic diagram to illustrate moonrise data in 4Q209 column iii: the days of the month are in the inner circle. The text describes the waxing movements of the moon on Nights 8 to 10, entering Gate 5 (days shaded). The Sun travels in Gate 1 at the same time. The moon enters Gate 5 after sunset on Night 9 and rises in Gate 5 on Day 10

Converting the gate numbers into their cognate zodiac signs, and taking into account the lunar fractions in the text (from which one can identify the phase of the moon) would mean that the sun enters the zodiac sign of Capricorn (Gate 1) and the moon enters the sign of Taurus (Gate 5) after sunset on Night 9, rising in Gate 5 on Day 10 (see Table 1 for corresponding months and zodiac

signs). The sun, which takes a month to travel through one zodiac sign, moves from Sagittarius into Capricorn, the winter solstice.

Milik referred to the date in the unknown lunar month as the 8th Tevet (“the 8th Tebeth”) using the Aramaic month name for the 10th month in a Babylonian calendar, although the triennial cycle does not exist in such a calendar (Milik 1976, 283).

The first night in question, “8th Tevet” (4Q209, fragment 7 column iii, line 1) coincides with the winter solstice in some years in the Babylonian horoscopes in the Mesopotamian calendar (Rochberg 1998, 78; Jacobus 2011, 100, 194–200; 2014a 291–311). The calendar of 4Q208–4Q209 may well follow a 19-year luni-solar cycle known from late Babylonian texts (Rochberg 1998, Steele 2007) and the Greek Metonic cycle dated to 432 BCE (Pritchett and Neugebauer 1947, 1–14, Hannah 2005, 55–58).

4. Findings and Conclusion

It is possible to substitute the existing numerical data of the ‘gates’ in the fragments in the synchronistic calendar of 4Q208–4Q209 with their corresponding zodiac signs. If we also followed a schematic two and three-day arrangement of the moon’s stay in each zodiac sign based on a similar arrangement in *4QZodiac Calendar* and the increments of half-sevenths of the

moon's waxing and waning for 29 and 30-day months reconstructed by Drawnel, we could theoretically reproduce the lunar year in 4Q208–4Q209 from the larger extant and reconstructed fragments, see Table 2. In the next table, the zodiac signs and the gate numbers are placed together using the existing and restored text, in order to illustrate the reconstruction fully, see Table 3. As can be seen, the suggested model begins with on Day 1, Month 1, moonrise in Aries, Gate 4, a 30-day month, waxing moon at 0.5/th, and the signs of the zodiac follow in their consecutive order according to a schematic arrangement. No two nights in the year can have the same data.

	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI	XII	29	30
1	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	.5	
2	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	1	.5
3	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	1.5	1
4	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	2	1.5
5	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	2.5	2
6	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	3	2.5
7	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	3.5	3
8	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	4	3.5
9	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	4.5	4
10	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	5	4.5
11	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	5.5	5
12	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	6	5.5
13	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	6.5	6
14	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	(7)	6.5
15	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	6.5	(7)
16	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	6	6.5
17	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	5.5	6
18	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	5	5.5
19	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	4.5	5
20	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	4	4.5
21	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	3.5	4
22	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	3	3.5
23	ሃ	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	2.5	3
24	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	2	2.5
25	፳	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	1.5	2
26	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	1	1.5
27	ዳ	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	.5	1
28	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ		.5
29	ሃ	ደ	II	ፎ	ዒ	ጠ	ቦ	ጢ	ጸ	ሃ	፳	ዳ		
30	ሃ		II		ዒ		ቦ		ጸ		፳			

Table 2: Reconstruction of 4Q209 lunar year substituting gate numbers for zodiac signs

Top row: months; left column: days of month. The moon's fractions in half-sevenths of waxing and waning for 29 and 30-day months are in the two far-right hand columns. The shaded areas are the existing or reconstructed fragments with 'gate' numbers

Key: Aries ♈: Gate 4; Taurus ♉: Gate 5; Gemini ♊: Gate 6; Cancer ♋: Gate 6; Leo ♌: Gate 5; Virgo ♍: Gate 4; Libra ♎: Gate 3; Scorpio ♏: Gate 2; Sagittarius ♐: Gate 1; Capricorn ♑: Gate 1; Aquarius ♒: Gate 2; Pisces ♓: Gate 3

	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI	XII	29	30
1	4 ♈	5 ♉	6II	6 ♋	5♌	4♍	3♎	2 ♏	1♐	1 ♑	2♒	3♓	.5	
2	4 ♈	5 ♉	6II	6 ♋	5♌	4♍	3♎	2 ♏	1♐	1 ♑	2♒	3♓	1	.5
3	5 ♉	6II	6 ♋	5♌	4♍	3♎	2 ♏	1♐	1 ♑	2 ♒	3♓	4 ♈	1. 5	1
4	5 ♉	II	6 ♋	5♌	4♍	3♎	2 ♏	1♐	1 ♑	2 ♒	33 ♓	4 ♈	2	1. 5
5	6II	4 ♋	5♌	4♍	3♎	2 ♏	1♐	1 ♑	2 ♒	3♓	4 ♈	5 ♉	2. 5	2
6	6II	6 ♋	5♌	4♍	3♎	2 ♏	1♐	1 ♑	2 ♒	3♓	4 ♈	5 ♉	3	2. 5
7	6 ♋	5♌	4♍	3♎	2 ♏	1♐	1 ♑	2 ♒	3♓	4 ♈	5♉	6II	3. 5	3
8	6 ♋	5♌	4♍	3♎	2 ♏	1♐	1 ♑	2 ♒	3♓	4 ♈	5♉	6II	4	3. 5
9	6 ♋	5♌	4♍	3♎	2 ♏	1♐	1 ♑	2 ♒	3♓	4 ♈	5♉	6II	4. 5	4
1	6♌	4♍	3♎	2	1♐	1	2	3♓	4	5	6II	6	5	4.

0				ጢ		ሃ	፳		ሃ	ሄ		፩		5
1 1	6፩	4ጠ	3፬	2 ጢ	1፳	1 ሃ	2 ፳	3ዳ	4 ሃ	5 ሄ	6፱	6 ፩	5. 5	5
1 2	4ጠ	3፬	2 ጢ	1፳	1 ሃ	2 ፳	3ዳ	4 ሃ	5 ሄ	6፱	6፩	5፩	6	5. 5
1 3	4ጠ	3፬	2 ጢ	1፳	1 ሃ	2 ፳	3ዳ	4 ሃ	5 ሄ	6፱	6፩	5፩	6. 5	6
1 4	3፬	2 ጢ	1፳	1 ሃ	2 ፳	3ዳ	4 ሃ	5 ሄ	6፱	6 ፩	5፩	4ጠ	(7)	6. 5
1 5	3፬	2 ጢ	1፳	1 ሃ	2 ፳	3ዳ	4 ሃ	5 ሄ	6፱	6 ፩	5፩	4ጠ	6. 5	(7)
1 6	3፬	2 ጢ	1፳	1 ሃ	2 ፳	3ዳ	4 ሃ	5 ሄ	6፱	6 ፩	5፩	4ጠ	6	6. 5
1 7	2 ጢ	1፳	1 ሃ	2 ፳	3ዳ	4 ሃ	5 ሄ	6፱	6 ፩	5፩	4ጠ	3፬	5. 5	6
1 8	2 ጢ	1፳	1 ሃ	2 ፳	3ዳ	4 ሃ	5 ሄ	6፱	6 ፩	5፩	4ጠ	3፬	5	5. 5
1 9	1፳	1 ሃ	2 ፳	3ዳ	4 ሃ	5 ሄ	6፱	6 ፩	5፩	4ጠ	3፬	2 ጢ	4. 5	5
2 0	1፳	1 ሃ	2 ፳	3ዳ	4 ሃ	5 ሄ	6፱	6 ፩	5፩	4ጠ	3፬	2 ጢ	4	4. 5
2 1	1 ሃ	2 ፳	3ዳ	4 ሃ	5 ሄ	6፱	6 ፩	5፩	4ጠ	3፬	2ጢ	1፳	3. 5	4
2 2	1 ሃ	2 ፳	3ዳ	4 ሃ	5 ሄ	6፱	6 ፩	5፩	4ጠ	3፬	2ጢ	1፳	3	3. 5
2 3	1 ሃ	2 ፳	3ዳ	4 ሃ	5 ሄ	6፱	6 ፩	5፩	4ጠ	3፬	2ጢ	1፳	2. 5	3
2 4	2 ፳	3ዳ	4 ሃ	5 ሄ	6፱	6 ፩	5፩	4ጠ	3፬	2 ጢ	1፳	1 ሃ	2	2. 5
2 5	2 ፳	3ዳ	4 ሃ	5 ሄ	6፱	6 ፩	5፩	4ጠ	3፬	2 ጢ	1፳	1 ሃ	1. 5	2
2 6	3ዳ	4 ሃ	5 ሄ	6፱	6 ፩	5፩	4ጠ	3፬	2 ጢ	1፳	1ሃ	2 ፳	1	1. 5

2 7	3✠	4 ♈	5 ♉	6Ⅱ	6 ♊	5♌	4♍	3♎	2 ♏	1♐	1♑	2 ♒	.5	1
2 8	4 ♈	5 ♉	6Ⅱ	6 ♊	5♌	4♍	3♎	2 ♏	1♐	1 ♑	2♒	3✠		.5
2 9	4 ♈	5 ♉	6Ⅱ	6 ♊	5♌	4♍	3♎	2 ♏	1♐	1 ♑	2♒	3✠		
3 0	4 ♈		6Ⅱ		5♌		3♎		1♐		2♒			

Table 3. Reconstruction of 4Q208-4Q209 lunar year, with zodiac signs corresponding to the ‘gate’ numbers

(Compare Figure 1: Nights 8–10, the moon waxes from 4/7ths to 5/7ths, it sets in Gate 5 on Night 9 and rises in Gate 5 on Day 10. Milik (1976, 283) calculated that the lunar data described the 8th day of the 10th lunar month {“Tebeth”})

The shaded areas described below represent fragments with existing or reconstructed gate numbers based on textual data. These may be identified as describing dates in Month 2, Month 9, Month 10, and Month 12. The selected, abbreviated data for the shaded areas are as follows (see Drawnel 2011 for the fragment numbering and text, and restoration {in square brackets}).

Month 2, 4Q209 fragment 16. Night 25: the moon is hidden for 5/7ths; it shines for 2/7ths. Night 26: the moon is hidden for 5.5/7ths (based on the fractions, it is a 30-day month). Therefore, the moon must be in Gate 3 (Pisces) (line 2, part-reconstructed).

Month 9, 4Q209 fragment 7, column ii: Nights 23 [the moon sets and enters Gate 3 (Libra)] to Night 27. The moon sets and enters and rises in Gate 2 (Scorpio) on Night 25, (lines 8, 10) (a 30-day month).

Month 10, 4Q209 fragment 3: Night 4, the moon is 5/7ths dark, and Night 5 [the moon leaves Aquarius], the moon sets and enters Gate 3 (Pisces) (line 7); it is light for 2.5/7ths (a 29-day month)

Month 10, 4Q209 fragment 7, column iii: Nights 8 to Day 10. The sun rises in Gate 1 (Sagittarius to Capricorn); the moon rises in Gate 5 (Taurus) (a 29-day month), *op. cit.*

Month 12, 4Q208 fragment 24, column i: Night 2 to Day 6; Night 3: the moon is 1.5/7ths light and dark for 5.5/7ths. The moon must enter Gate 4 (Aries) (line 8, reconstructed) (a 29-day month).

Using this theoretical system, it may be possible eventually to reconstruct the calendrical cycles of the Aramaic zodiacal calendars from Qumran with more precision. The implication of this research is that it is likely that in Second Temple Judaism groups used different calendars for separate purposes. There is no evidence to suggest that Aramaic calendars were of less importance than the sacred 364-day calendars at Qumran. They may have been learned within the framework of angelic instruction known from the “Enochic” corpus, and represented another form of calendrical knowledge that

had been modified from its Mesopotamian roots for use within the complex culture of early Judaism.

References

Albani, M. 1993. "Der Zodiakos in 4Q318 und die Henoch-Astronomie."

Mitteilungen und Beiträge der Forschungsstelle Judentum der Theologischen Fakultät 7: 3–42.

Albani, M. 1994. *Astronomie und Schöpfungsglaube: Untersuchungen zum astronomischen Henochbuch*. Wissenschaftliche Monographien zum Alten und Neuen Testament 68; Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener.

Albani, M. 1999. "Horoscopes." Pages 279–330 in P.W. Flint and J.C.

VanderKam, eds. *The Dead Sea Scrolls After Fifty Years: A Comprehensive Assessment*. Vol. 2. Leiden: Brill.

Ben Dov, J. 2008. *Head of All Years: Astronomy and Calendars at Qumran in their Ancient Context*. STDJ 78. Leiden: Brill.

Brack-Bernsen L. and J.M. Steele. 2004. "Babylonian Mathemagics: Two Astronomical- Astrological Texts." Pages 95-121 in C. Burnett, et al., eds. *Studies in the History of the Exact Sciences in Honour of David Pingree*. Leiden: Brill.

Brack-Bernsen, L. 2007. "The 360-Day Year in Mesopotamia." Pages 83–100 in J.M. Steele, ed. *Calendars and Years: Astronomy and Time in the Ancient Near East*. Oxford: Oxbow Books.

Britton, J.P. 2007. "Calendars, Intercalations and Year-Lengths in Mesopotamian Astronomy." Pages 115–131 in J.M. Steele, ed. *Calendars and Years: Astronomy and Time in the Ancient Near East*. Oxford: Oxbow Books.

Brown, D. 2000. *Mesopotamian Planetary Astronomy-Astrology*. Groningen: Styx.

Drawnel, H. 2011. *The Aramaic Astronomical Book (4Q208–4Q211) from Qumran: Text, Translation, and Commentary*. Oxford: Clarendon.

Duke, D and M. Goff. 2014. "The Astronomy of the Qumran Fragments of 4Q208 and 4Q209," *Dead Sea Discoveries* 21: 176–210.

Ehro, T. M, and L T. Stuckenbruck. 2013. "A Manuscript History of Ethiopic Enoch," *Journal for the Study of the Pseudepigrapha* 23: 87–133.

Greenfield, J.C. and M. Sokoloff, 2000. "318. 4QZodiology and Brontology ar." Pages 259–274, pls. 15-16 (Yardeni, A. "Paleography." Pages 259–260, pl.16 and Pingree, D. "Astronomical Aspects." Pages 270–273) in J. VanderKam with M. Brady, consulting editors, *Qumran Cave 4. 26 Cryptic Texts and Miscellanea, Part 1; Discoveries in the Judean Desert* 36; Oxford: Clarendon.

Hannah, R. 2005. *Greek and Roman Calendars: Constructions of Time in the Classical World*. London: Duckworth.

Heessel, N. 2010. "The Calculation of the Stipulated Term in Extispicy." Pages 163–175 in A. Annus, ed. *Divination and Interpretation of Signs in the Ancient World*. OIS 6. Chicago: University of Chicago.

Jull, A.J.T. et al., 1995. "Radiocarbon Dating of Scrolls and Linen Fragments from the Judaean Desert." *Radiocarbon* 37: 11–19.

Knibb, M.A. 1978. *The Ethiopic Book of Enoch: A New Edition in the Light of the Aramaic Dead Sea Fragments. In Consultation with Edward Ullendorff*. 2 vols. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Jacobus, H.R. 2010. "4Q318: A Jewish Zodiac Calendar at Qumran?" Pages 365–395 in C. Hempel, ed. *The Dead Sea Scrolls Texts and Context*. Leiden: Brill.

Jacobus, H.R. 2011. "4Q318 Zodiac Calendar and Brontologion Reconsidered and its Implications for the Aramaic Astronomical Book of Enoch and a Late Medieval Text." Ph.D. diss., University of Manchester.

Jacobus, H.R. 2014a. *Zodiac Calendars in the Dead Sea Scrolls and their Reception: Ancient Astronomy and Astrology in Early Judaism*; IJS 14. Leiden: Brill.

- Jacobus H.R. 2014b. "Greco-Roman Zodiac Sundials and Their Links to a Qumran Calendar (4Q208–4Q209)." *Mediterranean Archaeology and Archaeometry*. 14: 67–81.
- Milik, J.T. 1976. *The Books of Enoch: Aramaic Fragments of Qumrân Cave 4*. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Neugebauer, O. 1979. *Ethiopic Computus and Astronomy*. Vienna: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- Neugebauer, O. 1981. *The 'Astronomical' Chapters of the Ethiopic Book of Enoch (72–82): Translation and Commentary, with additional notes on the Aramaic fragments by Matthew Black*. Det Kongelige Danske Videnskaberne Selskab, Matematisk-fysiske Meddelelser 40.10. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Nielsburg, G.W.E. and J.C. VanderKam, 2004. *1 Enoch*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press.
- Nielsburg, G.W.E. and J.C. VanderKam, 2012. *1 Enoch 2*. Hermeneia. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press.
- Oppenheim, A.L. 1974. "A Babylonian Diviner's Manual." *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 32: 197–220.
- Pritchett, W.K. and O. Neugebauer. 1947. *The Calendars of Athens*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Rochberg, F. 1995. "Astronomy and Calendars in Ancient Mesopotamia." Pages 1925–1940 in J.M. Sasson, ed. *Civilisations of the Ancient Near East*. Vol 3. 4 vols. New York: Schreiber.

Rochberg, F. 1998. *Babylonian Horoscopes*. TAPS 88; Philadelphia, PA: American Philosophical Society.

Williams, C. 2002. "Signs from the Sky, Signs from the Earth: The Diviner's Manual Revisited." Pages 295–314 in J.M. Steele and A. Imhausen, eds. *Under One Sky: Astronomy and Mathematics in the Ancient Near East*. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag.

Wise, M. O. 1994. "Thunder in Gemini: An Aramaic Brontologion (4Q318) from Qumran." Pages 13–50 in *Thunder in Gemini And Other Essays in the History, Language and Literature of Second Temple Palestine*. Journal for the Study of the Pseudepigrapha Supplement Series 15. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press.