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#### Abstract

Enteroviruses (EVs) are among the most frequent infectious agents in humans worldwide and represent the leading cause of upper respiratory tract infections. No drugs for the treatment of EV infections are currently available. Recent studies have also linked enterovirus infection with pulmonary exacerbations, especially in cystic fibrosis (CF) patients, and the importance of this link is probably underestimated. The aim of this work was to develop a new class of multi-target agents active both as broad-spectrum antivirals and as correctors of the F508del-CFTR folding defect responsible for $>90 \%$ of CF cases. We report herein the discovery of the first small-molecules able to simultaneously act as correctors of the F508del-CFTR folding defect and as broad-spectrum antivirals against a panel of enteroviruses representative of all major species.


## INTRODUCTION

Enteroviruses (EVs) are positive-sense single stranded RNA viruses, classified into 12 species, including four human enterovirus species (EV-A to EV-D), three species of human rhinoviruses (RV-A to RV-C) and five enterovirus species that only infect animals. ${ }^{1}$ EVs are responsible for a great variety of clinical manifestations, especially in young children, which may result in life-threatening neurological complications (e.g. encephalitis, meningitis and poliomyelitis-like paralysis). ${ }^{2-4}$ Furthermore, RV infections are now considered one of the major causes of acute exacerbations in chronic pulmonary diseases like asthma, chronic
obstructive pulmonary disorder (COPD) and cystic fibrosis (CF) in children and adults. ${ }^{5}$ Physicians pay particular attention to patients that already suffer from respiratory diseases, such as CF or asthma, as they could be particularly affected by an additional enterovirus infection. ${ }^{6}$ An increasing number of studies also suggest that respiratory viruses, in particular enterovirus and rhinovirus, contribute significantly to CF pulmonary exacerbations, hospitalization, decreased lung function and predisposition to bacterial colonization. ${ }^{7}$ The mechanistic link between viral infections and deterioration of CF lung function is not fully understood and their impact is probably underestimated, especially in young children. ${ }^{8}$ Despite their high clinical and socioeconomic impact, to date there is no approved antiviral therapy for the prophylaxis and/or the treatment of enterovirus infections, and the management of patients is currently limited to symptomatic treatment and supportive care. Therefore, there is an unmet need for broad-spectrum antiviral drugs as a rapid defense strategy against enterovirus infections and virus-related exacerbations.

Nowadays, host factors are considered as very attractive targets for the development of antiviral drugs because they are unlikely to mutate and develop resistance in response to therapy. ${ }^{9}$ Moreover, since viruses belonging to the same genus or family usually share the same cellular pathways for replication, targeting a host factor may allow the development of effective broad-spectrum antiviral compounds. ${ }^{10}$ Although some toxicity risks may be expected from inhibiting a host factor, it should be kept in mind that most drugs currently used in therapy target host proteins with excellent therapeutic outcomes and acceptable safety profiles. In particular, it has been well documented that the host lipid kinase phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase III $\beta$ (PI4KIII $\beta$ ) is critical for RNA replication of several enteroviruses. ${ }^{11-14}$ PI4KIII $\beta$ belongs to the phosphatidylinositol 4 -kinases (PI4Ks) that synthesize phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI4P) from phosphatidylinositol (PI). PI4P is involved in signaling and cellular trafficking mainly at the Golgi and trans-Golgi network
(TGN), it contributes to defining the characteristics of plasma membranes and it activates a variety of ion channels, including CFTR. ${ }^{15-18}$ Four PI4K isoforms have been identified in mammals, classified as type II (PI4KII $\alpha$ and PI4KII $\beta$ ) or type III (PI4KIII $\alpha$ and PI4KIII $\beta$ ) based on their primary sequences and catalytic properties. ${ }^{19}$ Type III PI4Ks are hijacked by several ss(+)RNA viruses (especially from Flaviviridae, Picornaviridae and Coronaviridae families) to remodel cellular membranes and generate PI4P lipid-enriched organelles specialized for viral replication. ${ }^{20}$

A few PI4KIII $\beta$ inhibitors with antiviral activity against a panel of picornaviruses have been reported recently (Figure 1). ${ }^{21-23}$ Generally, chemical inhibition of PI4KIII $\beta$ does not influence cell viability. ${ }^{24}$ One possible explanation might be that while the small amounts of PI4P produced by other PI4K isoforms could be enough to support cell trafficking and signaling, it would not be sufficient to sustain viral RNA synthesis. ${ }^{20}$ A major aim in the development of PI4KIIIs inhibitors is to achieve selective inhibition of the $\alpha$ or $\beta$ isoforms. Among known PI4KIIIs inhibitors, compound 1 (PIK93) is about 100 -fold more potent against the PI4KIII $\beta$ isoform, although it also has detectable activity towards PI3-kinases. ${ }^{25,26}$
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Figure 1. Representative PI4KIII $\beta$ inhibitors.

Considering the growing need for novel broad-spectrum antivirals to fight emerging epidemics and the link between respiratory viruses and pulmonary exacerbation in cystic fibrosis patients, our aim was the development of a new class of multi-target agents active both as broad-spectrum antivirals (by targeting PI4KIIIß) and as correctors of the F508delCFTR folding defect responsible for $>90 \%$ of CF cases. We here report the discovery of the first small-molecule compounds able to simultaneously act as moderately efficacious correctors of the F508del-CFTR folding defect and broad-spectrum antivirals against a panel of enteroviruses (linked to CF pulmonary exacerbations).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Drug repurposing and polypharmacology are two very attractive approaches in modern drug discovery. The first offers the possibility of recycling known drugs or advanced drug candidates developed for a different disease. The second results in simultaneous action on different targets/diseases with a single, rationally designed drug. ${ }^{27,28}$ In particular, polypharmacology aims at producing multi-target agents whose interference with multiple biochemical pathways offers an advantage - in terms of drug load, efficacy and safety - over combination therapy. This approach is well suited to complex diseases that generally require the simultaneous administration of many different drugs. Considering the increasing number of reports on the connection between enterovirus infections and pulmonary exacerbations in CF patients, we reasoned that an ideal drug candidate for such closely related diseases might be a multi-target agent able to act, at the same time, on proteins/pathways implicated in enterovirus replication (PI4KIII $\beta$ ) and on F508del-CFTR biogenesis. At the beginning of this work, the X-ray structures of the above targets were not available for a structure-based study. We therefore developed a PI4KIII $\beta$ homology model to be used for the design of PI4KIII $\beta$ inhibitors, selecting those whose chemical scaffolds resemble known CFTR
correctors/potentiators. The structure of the complex of $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \gamma$ with compound 1 (PDB ID: $2 \mathrm{CHZ})^{26}$ has been used to build the homology model of PI4KIII $\beta$ by using Prime software (see methods): this structure shows an identity of $30 \%$, a positive of $52 \%$ and a score of 322 . The presence of $\mathbf{1}$ in the structure of $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \gamma$ allowed us to identify its likely binding site in PI4KIII $\beta$ and hypothesize its binding mode. A 10 ns molecular dynamics simulation on the modeled PI4KIII $\beta$ protein containing compound $\mathbf{1}$ was performed using the software Desmond. ${ }^{29}$ In the latter (equilibrated) part of the trajectory (last 2 ns ) 100 frames were extracted and clusterized on the basis of RMSD. Five clusters were obtained. All PI4K $\beta$ inhibitors available in Pubchem ${ }^{30}$ were docked in the compound $\mathbf{1}$ binding site of each cluster and the frame with the best correlation between docking score and enzymatic activity was selected for virtual screening. A high-throughput docking (HTD) approach was then applied to the compound $\mathbf{1}$ binding site in our PI4KIII $\beta$ model to identify high affinity hits within the Asinex database collection. ${ }^{31}$ Compound selection was based on the ranking score and visual inspection of the PI4KIII $\beta$ catalytic site, but also took into account the 2 D similarity to known CFTR correctors/potentiators. Thirteen commercially available compounds (5-17, Figure 2), four of which $(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 6}, \mathbf{1 7})$ resemble known CFTR correctors, ${ }^{32,33}$ were selected for biological investigation. These computational results were confirmed on the recently released crystal structure of PI4KIII $\beta$ co-crystallized with compound $\mathbf{1}$, and this structure (PDB ID: 4D0L) was used for all the following simulations. ${ }^{34}$

These commercially available compounds were then tested both against the PI4KIII $\beta$ enzyme and in a virus-cell-based replication assay. In particular these compounds were evaluated for antiviral activity against a panel of enteroviruses that are representative of all major species: enterovirus group A (EV71), group B (coxsackievirus B3, CVB3, and echovirus 11, ECHO11), group C (poliovirus 1, PV1), group D (enterovirus 68, EV68), rhinovirus group A (RV02) and rhinovirus group B (RV14). Among the selected compounds,
only the bithiazole $\mathbf{1 7}$ showed activity in cell-free and cell-based assays and possesses a chemical scaffold (the bithiazole) of a known family of CFTR correctors (Figure 2). ${ }^{33,35}$ Compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ was therefore selected as a promising starting point for further structure-based optimization.






8


9








16

Figure 2. Chemical structure of compounds selected by virtual screening and activity profile of the hit compound 17.

## Chemistry

Compound 17 was initially resynthesized to validate the biological activity of the commercial sample and to set up a synthetic protocol for its chemical diversification starting from cheap and commercially available building blocks (Scheme 1).


Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) EtOH , reflux, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; (b) $48 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{Br}_{2}$, 1,4-dioxane, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$; (c) (i) benzoyl isothiocyanate, DCM , rt, 12 h , (ii) NaOH 1 N , THF, reflux, 3 h, 72\%; (d) EtOH, reflux, 1 h, 84\%; (e) acetyl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}$, rt, 15 h , 77\%.

Thiourea 18 was condensed with 3-chloro-2,4-pentadione 19 in refluxing ethanol to afford 1-(2-amino-4-methylthiazol-5-yl)ethanone $\mathbf{2 0}$ in nearly quantitative yield, ${ }^{36}$ followed by bromination $\alpha$ to the carbonyl to give compound 21. The subsequent condensation of intermediate 21 with 1-(3-acetylphenyl)thiourea 23 gave bithiazole 24 that was finally N acetylated to obtain the desired compound 17. Thiourea 23 was synthesized by reaction of 3'aminoacetophenone 22 with benzoyl isothiocyanate, followed by a basic hydrolysis to remove the benzoyl group. ${ }^{37}$

Docking studies on compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ (see Molecular modeling and SAR section) showed a pattern of interactions within the ATP-binding pocket of PI4KIII $\beta$ very similar to that of the reference compound $\mathbf{1}$. The proposed binding mode of $\mathbf{1 7}$ suggested that two main portions of this molecule could be functionalized to explore the biologically relevant chemical space: $i$ )
the 2 -amino group on the 4 -methylthiazole ring (left part) and, ii) the phenyl ring (right part). We first explored the chemical space around the left part of compound 17, introducing bulkier groups and urea/thiourea functions in place of the acetamide moiety. The intermediate 24 represents in fact an advanced intermediate that could be easily functionalized on the 2amino group to give a series of functionalized derivatives (25a-g and 26a-d) (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) method $A$ (for 25a-c), $\mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{COCl}$ (for 25a,b) or $\left(\mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (for 25c), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 12-15 \mathrm{~h}, 65-80 \%$; method B (for 25d-f) $\mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{COCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DCM, reflux, $15 \mathrm{~h}, 65-75 \%$; method $\mathrm{C}($ for $\mathbf{2 5 g}),\left(\mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{CO}\right){ }_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 63 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{NCX}$, pyridine, reflux, $12-18 \mathrm{~h}, 52-69 \%$.

Compound 24 was first reacted with different acyl chlorides or anhydrides to obtain compounds 25a-g, while the urea/thiourea derivatives 26a-d were synthesized by reacting 24 with the appropriate isocyanates/isothiocyanates. We also decided to replace the acetamide moiety of compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ with chain-extended ureidic groups for the SAR development. Unfortunately, the synthesis of compounds 30a,b following the approach described above would have required very expensive isocyanates. Thus, an alternative synthetic approach was used for the synthesis of $\mathbf{3 0 a}, \mathbf{b}$ (Scheme 3): starting from 1-(2-amino-4-methylthiazol-5yl)ethanone 20, reaction with diphenyl carbonate gave good yields of the desired phenyl
carbamate $\mathbf{2 7}$ that reacted readily with the appropriate amines to give the urea intermediates 28a,,${ }^{38}$ Similar to the synthesis of compound $\mathbf{1 7}$, the bromination $\alpha$ to the carbonyl and the subsequent condensation of intermediates 29a,b with the 1-(3-acetylphenyl)thiourea 23, gave the desired compounds $\mathbf{3 0 a}, \mathbf{b}$.


Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) diphenyl carbonate, NaH, DMF, rt, $30 \mathrm{~min}, 67 \%$; (b) 4-chlorobenzylamine (for 28a) or 1-adamantylamine (for 28b), THF, $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5-6 \mathrm{~h}, 61-93 \%$; (c) $48 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{Br}_{2}, 1,4$-dioxane, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 88-92 \%$; (d) EtOH , reflux, $1 \mathrm{~h}, 78-83 \%$.

We next explored the right part of compound 17 , keeping the 2 -acetamido group on the left part of the molecule unchanged and modifying the substitution pattern of the phenyl ring on the right part. Since the acetamide moiety on the left part of the molecule was conserved, intermediate $\mathbf{2 0}$ was conveniently acetylated before the bromination $\alpha$ to the carbonyl (Scheme 4). Final compounds 35a-d were quickly obtained in good yields by reacting 32 with substituted thioureas 34a-d, previously synthesized from the corresponding amines 33ad.


Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) acetyl chloride, pyridine, THF/DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, $87 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, 1,4$-dioxane, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$; (c) (i) benzoyl isothiocyanate, $\mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$, (ii) $\mathrm{NaOH} 1 \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{THF}$, reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 72-78 \%$; (d) EtOH, reflux, 1h, 71-85\%.

Then we decided to modify the central bithiazole scaffold of the hit compound $\mathbf{1 7}$, to get additional SAR information. As described in Scheme 5, we first introduced an imidazole ring by reacting intermediate 21with the 1-(3-acetylphenyl)guanidine 36, obtained by treating 3'aminoacetophenone $\mathbf{2 2}$ with cyanamide. ${ }^{39}$ Compound $\mathbf{3 8}$ was thus synthesized by acylation of the intermediate $\mathbf{3 7}$ with acetyl chloride.

A scaffold hopping approach (FAF-drugs2 server) ${ }^{40}$ was also employed to identify alternatives to the bithiazole scaffold: among the molecules proposed by the software, the asymmetrical $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-diarylthiourea scaffold was considered the most promising on the basis of its synthetic accessibility and the antiviral activity of some closely related analogues reported in the literature. ${ }^{41}$ Intermediate 41 was easily obtained by addition of 3 '-aminoacetophenone 22 to 3-nitrophenyl isothiocyanate $\mathbf{3 9}$, followed by reduction of the nitro group with iron powder in acidic ethanol (Scheme 6). The subsequent acylation of the amino group led to final compounds 42a,b.


Scheme 5. Reagents and conditions: (a) cyanamide, $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}, \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 73 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, reflux, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$; (c) acetyl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, 47 \%$.


Scheme 6. Reagents and conditions: (a) DCM, rt, $18 \mathrm{~h}, 88 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, reflux, 2 h , 75\%; (c) acetyl chloride (for 42a) or trimethylacetyl chloride (for 42b), pyridine, THF, rt, 2 h, 67-69\%.

Moreover we noted high chemical similarity between compound 17 and compound 46, a known inhibitor of DC-SIGN (dendritic cell (DC)-specific intercellular adhesion molecule-3 grabbing nonintegrin). ${ }^{42}$ The role of DC-SIGN in the binding and transmission of different pathogens, including enteroviruses, has been well investigated. ${ }^{43}$ So we decided to evaluate the antiviral effect of compound 46 in a virus-cell-based assay and its activity on PI4KIII $\beta$. As described in Scheme 7, compound 46 was synthesized following the procedure previously reported for compound 17.


Scheme 7. Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) benzoyl isothiocyanate, DCM, rt, 12 h , (ii) NaOH 1 N , THF, reflux, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 80 \%$; (b) EtOH , reflux, $30 \mathrm{~min}, 77 \%$; (c) propionyl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DCM, rt, 8 h, $68 \%$.

Finally, we decided to prepare two compounds (related to the hit 17) known in the CFTR field to evaluate the potential role of the CFTR channel in viral replication: i) compound 47a, which is active in correcting the F508del-CFTR defect and, ii) compound 47b, which is inactive in correcting the F508del-CFTR defect (Figure 3). Compound 47a,b were synthesized following the procedure reported in literature. ${ }^{44}$


47a: $X=0$
47b: $X=S$

Figure 3. Chemical structures of compounds 47a,b.

## Biology

All the synthesized compounds were initially evaluated for their inhibitory potency against PI4KIII $\beta$ kinase in vitro and for their cell-based antiviral activity: EV71 was used as the primary target for SAR exploration since compound 17 revealed the best and most reproducible antiviral activity against this virus. In particular, the antiviral activity against EV71 was evaluated in EV71-induced CPE-reduction assay in rhabdosarcoma (RD) cells. Both the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values and the $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ values were measured. Uninfected, treated cells were also inspected under the microscope to evaluate whether the compounds altered normal cell morphology. The $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ values allowed us to calculate the selectivity index (SI), defined as $\mathrm{CC}_{50} / \mathrm{EC}_{50}$. Compound $\mathbf{3}$ was used as a positive control. Results are summarized in Table 1.

A close correlation between the antiviral activity measured in the cell-based assay and the inhibitory potency of the PI4KIII $\beta$ kinase was observed, with only a few exceptions. The best results were obtained via modifying the left part of the molecule. In particular compounds $\mathbf{2 5 a}, \mathbf{b}$, bearing respectively a propanamide and a pivalamide moiety instead of the acetamide function of compound $\mathbf{1 7}$, showed a very promising antiviral activity.

Table 1. Activity of synthesized derivatives in PI4KIII $\beta$ inhibition assay and in virus-cellbased EV71 assay.

| Compd | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PI4K III } \beta \\ & \mathrm{IC}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EV71 } \\ & \mathrm{EC}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EV71 } \\ & \mathrm{CC}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EV71 } \\ & \mathrm{CC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{c}} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{SI}^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{SI}^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 0.48 | $0.92 \pm 2.75$ | $16.5 \pm 9.04$ | $9.73 \pm 0.87$ | 17.9 | 10.6 |
| 25a | 0.27 | $0.38 \pm 0.10$ | $10.1 \pm 4.82$ | $6.37 \pm 2.46$ | 26.6 | 23.6 |
| 25b | 0.32 | $0.27 \pm 0.05$ | $7.94 \pm 1.24$ | $8.83 \pm 0.89$ | 29.4 | 32.7 |
| 25 c | 21.89 | $2.0 \pm 0.95$ | $25.8 \pm 5.06$ | $30.1 \pm 9.93$ | 12.9 | 15.0 |
| 25d | 18.85 | $0.51 \pm 0.14$ | $5.75 \pm 2.12$ | $11.8 \pm 4.56$ | 11.3 | 23.1 |
| 25e | $>50$ | >44.6 | ND ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | ND | ND | ND |
| $25 f$ | $>50$ | >55.7 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 25g | 4.67 | $1.42 \pm 0.04$ | $42.3 \pm 4.94$ | $101.0 \pm 15.8$ | 29.7 | 71.1 |
| 26a | 7.69 | $N A^{\text {f }}$ | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 26b | $>50$ | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 26 c | 1.82 | $2 \pm 0.04$ | $8.87 \pm 3.85$ | ND | 4.4 | ND |
| 26d | $>50$ | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 30a | 3.95 | $4.77 \pm 0.28$ | $20.9 \pm 6.97$ | ND | 4.4 | ND |
| 30b | 12.40 | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 35a | 2.48 | $1.93 \pm 0.81$ | $18.6 \pm 6.01$ | ND | 9.64 | ND |
| 35b | 2.63 | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 35c | 1.55 | $1.2 \pm 0.16$ | $9.17 \pm 0.85$ | ND | 5.9 | ND |
| 35d | 3.71 | $0.68 \pm 0.04$ | $5.59 \pm 0.32$ | ND | 8.2 | ND |
| 38 | $>50$ | >90.2 | 85.7 | 64.2 | ND | ND |
| 42a | NA | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 42b | NA | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 46 | 50.00 | $8.58 \pm 0.77$ | $51.6 \pm 27.1$ | $142.0 \pm 62.8$ | 6 | ND |
| 47a | NA | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 47b | NA | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| $3^{\text {g }}$ | 0.06 | 0.73 | >125 | - | - | - |

> ${ }^{a}$ Values are the mean of at least three independent experiments. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{CC}_{50}$ values were assessed by MTS method. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{CC}_{50}$ values were determined by microscopically detectable alteration of cell morphology. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Selectivity index $\left(\mathrm{SI}=\mathrm{CC}_{50} / \mathrm{EC}_{50}\right) .{ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined. ${ }^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{NA}=$ not active. ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Reference 13

Compounds 25a,b inhibited PI4KIII $\beta$ and exhibited a significant antiviral effect at submicromolar concentrations, demonstrating a better activity than compound 17. Compound $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{g}$, characterized by the Boc amino group, proved to be the most interesting compound of the entire series showing the highest selectivity index in the EV71 cell-based assay. Also changing the right portion of hit compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ gave interesting results (compounds 35a,c,d). The central bithiazole scaffold proved to be essential for antiviral activity, as changing it gave inactive compounds (compounds $\mathbf{3 8}, \mathbf{4 2 a , b}$ ). Finally, the reported compounds $\mathbf{4 6 , 4 7 a , b}$ were devoid of antiviral activity and PI4KIII $\beta$ inhibition activity.

Based on these activity data, and considering that the SI of a promising antiviral candidate should be at least greater than 10 , compounds 17, 25a-d, 25g were selected for further studies. The broad-spectrum activity of the six selected compounds was evaluated against a panel of enteroviruses representative of all major groups: enterovirus group B (coxsackievirus B3 and echovirus11, ECHO11), group C (poliovirus 1), group D (enterovirus 68), rhinovirus group A (RV02) and rhinovirus group B (RV14) (see the Experimental Section for details). Results are reported in Table 2. The selected compounds showed micromolar and sub-micromolar activity against different enteroviruses within the tested panel. In addition, the antiviral activity of the less toxic compound $\mathbf{2 5 g}$ was confirmed against a representative panel of EV71 clinical isolates. As shown in Table 3, we could confirm the activity of compounds $\mathbf{2 5 g}$ against the clinically relevant EV71 specimens. Only the (sub)genogroup B5 appeared to be less sensitive. Furthermore we evaluated the lipid kinase isoform selectivity of our best PI4KIII $\beta$ inhibitors by testing them in an in vitro inhibition assay on the related enzyme PI4KIII $\alpha$ and PI3K- $\alpha / \mathrm{p} 85 \alpha$ (Table 4).

Table 2. Evaluation of the broad-spectrum antiviral activity of the most potent derivatives against a representative panel of enteroviruses.

| Compd | CVB3 |  | ECHO11 |  | PV1 |  | EV68 |  | RV14 |  | RV02 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{EC}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CC}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{EC}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CC}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{EC}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CC}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{EC}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CC}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{EC}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CC}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{EC}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CC}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 | 2.17 | $\begin{aligned} & 101 \pm \\ & 33.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.57 \pm \\ & 0.23 \end{aligned}$ | >268 | 3.09 | >269 | $\mathrm{NA}^{\text {a }}$ | ND ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | >268 | ND | NA ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | ND |
| 25a | 2.16 | $\begin{aligned} & 89.1 \pm \\ & 16.2 \end{aligned}$ | 0.97 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \pm 4 . \\ & 07 \end{aligned}$ | $<1.52$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.49 \pm \\ & 1.42 \end{aligned}$ | NA | ND | NA | ND | >259 | ND |
| 25b | ND | $\begin{aligned} & 20.9 \pm \\ & 3.83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.72 \pm \\ & 0.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.07 \pm \\ & 1.24 \end{aligned}$ | $<1.41$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.07 \pm \\ & 1.3 \end{aligned}$ | 1.38 | 3.09 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.85 \pm \\ & 1.09 \end{aligned}$ | ND | $\begin{aligned} & 2.01 \pm \\ & 0.05 \end{aligned}$ | ND |
| 25 c | $\begin{aligned} & 3.87 \pm \\ & 0.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58.8 \pm \\ & 7.14 \end{aligned}$ | 3.51 | $\begin{aligned} & 29.3 \pm \\ & 1.78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.86 \pm \\ & 0.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.3 \pm \\ & 3.66 \end{aligned}$ | ND | ND | $\begin{aligned} & 10.6 \pm \\ & 0.7 \end{aligned}$ | ND | $\begin{aligned} & 10.6 \pm \\ & 0.2 \end{aligned}$ | ND |
| 25d | $\begin{aligned} & 2.19 \pm \\ & 0.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45.1 \pm \\ & 4.44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.77 \pm \\ & 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 145 \pm 2 \\ & 7.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.23 \pm \\ & 0.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 145 \pm 2 \\ & 7.6 \end{aligned}$ | NA | ND | $>230$ | ND | $\begin{aligned} & 2.05 \pm \\ & 0.36 \end{aligned}$ | ND |
| 25g | $\begin{aligned} & 2.74 \pm \\ & 0.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.1 \pm \\ & 6.97 \end{aligned}$ | 2.93 | $\begin{aligned} & 124 \pm 7 \\ & .94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.3 \pm \\ & 1.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124 \pm 7 \\ & .94 \end{aligned}$ | ND | ND | >232 | ND | ND | ND |

Results showed a higher specificity of the tested bithiazole derivatives for the PI4KIII $\beta$ isoform with poor inhibition of both PI4KIII $\alpha$ and PI3K- $\alpha / \mathrm{p} 85 \alpha$ at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration of each compound. The specificity of compound $\mathbf{2 5 g}$ was also tested on a small panel of unrelated kinases: it shows only a low inhibitory effect on Src and CDK6. Despite the latter enzymes being involved in cell cycle regulation and representing common targets of antitumor compounds, $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{g}$ did not show any toxicity or morphology alteration at antiviral concentration in the tested cell lines. In addition, recent studies indicated that Src inhibitors have no effect on EV71 replication ${ }^{45}$ while CDK6 seems to be down-regulated in response to EV71 infection. ${ }^{46}$ Finally, compounds reported in Table 4 were evaluated for their CFTR

Table 3. Evaluation of the antiviral activity of compound $\mathbf{2 5 g}$ against EV71 clinical isolates.

| Genogroup | Strain | Genbank | $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  |  | Compd 25g |
| B2 | 11316 | AB575927 | $<1.39$ |
| B5 | TW/96016/08 | GQ231942 | 21.00 |
|  | TW/70902/08 | GQ231936 | 3.58 |
| C2 | H08300 461\#812 | - | 0.97 |
| C4 | TW/1956/05 | GQ231926 | $<1.39$ |
|  | TW/2429/04 | GQ231927 | 1.17 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ All values are based on at least three independent dose-response curves.

Table 4. Inhibitory effect of selected compounds against members of PIK family and profiling of compound $\mathbf{2 5 g}$ against a small panel of unrelated kinases.

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Values are the mean of two independent replicates
corrector/potentiator activity, to identify molecules that may be endowed with dual antiviral/CFTR modulator activity. As shown in Figure 4A, some of the compounds (25a, $\mathbf{2 5 d}, \mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{g}$ ) acted as CFTR correctors, increasing steady-state levels of F508del-CFTR at the plasma membrane after chronic (24 hour) incubation. Compound 48 (Lumacaftor), the leading corrector drug, ${ }^{47}$ was used as a benchmark. This increased CFTR plasma membrane density was measured with a recently developed assay exploiting a CFTR fusion to a pH sensitive protein. ${ }^{48}$ The improvement in biogenesis also lead to increased anion permeability, estimated from fluorescence quenching of a CFTR-fused YFP probe following extracellular $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ addition (Figure 4B). None of the compounds acted as "potentiators" rapidly increasing anion permeability, when added only immediately prior to $I^{-}$addition (Figure 4C). The approved potentiator drug 49 (Ivacaftor) was used as a comparison. ${ }^{49}$ Overall, the drug-induced changes in the iodide entry rate and in membrane density followed similar patterns, suggesting that the chemically corrected molecules of F508del-CFTR that reached the plasma membrane displayed an ion-channel function similar to those corrected by treatment with 48. However, compound 25d appears to increase CFTR membrane density more than expected from its effect on anion permeability (Figure 4D). Further studies will be required to understand the underlying mechanism. Overall, the collected biological data indicates that a fine chemical tuning of the bithiazole substituents is needed to generate compounds able to specifically inhibit the PI4KIII $\beta$ kinase and block the replication of different enteroviruses while also correcting the F508del-CFTR folding defect. It is interesting to note that the most promising CFTR correctors (25a, 25d, 25g) are also the most active broad-spectrum antivirals and represent the first example of multi-target agents for tightly associated pulmonary diseases like enterovirus infection and cystic fibrosis.
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Figure 4. Effects of selected compounds on CFTR biogenesis and function. All treatments were carried out alongside low temperature incubation, known to improve F508del-CFTR membrane-localization and used to increase the fluorescence signal. A) F508del-CFTR-
pHTomato present at the plasma membrane was quantified following 24 h incubation in 10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ of each drug. Incubation with 48 (Lumacaftor) was assessed in parallel, as a positive control. B) Anion permeability quantified using a YFP-F508del-CFTR probe following 24 h treatment as in A). C) Compounds ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) do not cause an immediate change in anion permeability. Potentiator compound 49 (Ivacaftor) was used as a positive control. D) For most compounds, there is a similar ratio describing increase in membrane density over anion permeability as caused by 48 (Lumacaftor).

## Molecular modelling and SAR

The hit compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ was docked with the Glide software ${ }^{50}$ (SP) in the ATP binding site of the PI4KIII $\beta$ crystal structure (PDB ID: 4D0L) ${ }^{34}$ centering the grid on compound 1 . The predicted binding mode and interaction profile of compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ is very similar to that of compound 1 (Figure 5). The NH-acetamide moiety of 17 is hydrogen bonded to VAL598, which also interact with the thiazole nitrogen. The thiazole ring is involved in a Pi-Pi stacking with TYR583, while the phenyl ring is involved in a Pi-cation interaction with LYS549. The O carbonyl moiety is hydrogen bonded to LYS377. Moreover, the binding mode is completed by a series of hydrophobic interactions involving LEU383, ALA602, VAL599, VAL602, LEU663, ILE595, TYR583, ILE671, ILE673, PRO381 and LEU374. The first series of derivatives of the hit compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ encompasses different substitution on the left part of the molecule (right part as per Figure 5-7 representation) by replacing the methyl group of the acetamide moiety with different groups. Changing the methyl of the acetamide with an ethyl or tert-butyl group (25a,b) caused a 2 -fold increase of potency, but the substitution with more hydrophobic and therefore more sterically bulky groups first reduced the potency ( $\mathbf{2 5 d}$ ) and then led to a complete loss of activity $(\mathbf{2 5 e}, \mathbf{f})$. The limit seems to be a tert-butoxycarbonyl group (25g) which still maintains low micromolar activity (Figure 6).


Figure 5. a) Predicted binding mode of the hit 17 (magenta sticks) superimposed to compound 1 (green sticks) into the binding site of PI4KIII $\beta$. b) 2D ligand interaction diagram of 17.

The substitution of the acetamide methyl with a $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ (25c) weakened the inhibition (45-fold decrease) not due to steric reasons, but probably because of the electron withdrawing properties of the $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ group. Changing the acetamide moiety with a ureidic moiety caused a slight decrease in potency (26a,c and $\mathbf{3 0 a}, \mathbf{b})$. The ureidic portion seems to interact with a double hydrogen bond to VAL598 but at the same time, the hydrophobic NH substituent moves away from the protein resulting in a solvation penalty (Figure 6). Finally, modifying a ureidic group with a thioureidic moiety caused a complete loss of activity (26b,d).


Figure 6. a) Superimposed binding modes of compounds 17 (purple sticks), 25a (orange sticks), $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ (green sticks) and $\mathbf{2 5 g}$ (pink sticks) into the binding site of PI4KIIIß. b) Superimposed binding modes of compounds 26a (orange sticks), 26c (green sticks) and 30a (pink sticks) into the binding site of PI4KIII $\beta$. c) 2D ligand interaction diagram of 25b. d) 2D ligand interaction diagram of $\mathbf{3 0 a}$.

The second series of derivatives of the hit compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ comprises different substitutions on the right part of the molecule (left part as per Figure 5-7 representation) by changing the substitution pattern of the phenyl ring. A change in the position of the acetyl group reduces the potency by about 10 -fold ( $\mathbf{3 5 d}$ ), and the same happens by introducing hydroxy and
methoxy groups in the meta position ( $\mathbf{3 5 b} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{c}$ ). The precise positioning of the hydrogen-bond acceptor on the phenyl ring seems therefore important in improving the affinity for the enzyme. Surprisingly, the deletion of the ketonic group reduces but does not abolish activity (35a). The lack of the substituent on the phenyl ring deletes a hydrogen bond, but allows optimization of the other interactions, in particular the Pi-cation with lysine 549.

The last series of derivatives of the hit compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ encompasses modifications of the bithiazole scaffold and closely related analogues reported as DC-SIGN inhibitors and CFTR correctors. Replacement of the thiazole group of compound 17 with an imidazole (38) or conversion of the bithiazole scaffold into a $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ '-diarylthiourea (42a,b) changes the binding mode and abolishes the activity. Finally, also the DC-SIGN inhibitor 46 and CFTR correctors 47a,b presented a suboptimal interaction profile with PI4KIII $\beta$ and resulted in a complete loss of activity. As reported by Warrem et al. ${ }^{51}$, docking programs and scoring functions present a few limitations in correlating subtle structural differences of active ligands with their enzymatic activity. This error is quite limited within homologous series of compounds but it can be very important for structurally unrelated compounds. ${ }^{52}$ Our docking studies were in fact able to distinguish between active and inactive compounds but it is no coincidence that the reference compound $\mathbf{3}$, whose scaffold is very different from those of our series, showed a docking score that is not in line with its enzymatic potency (Table 5). The most active compounds 17, 25a and 25b also showed the best specificity for PI4KIII $\beta$ over PI4KIII $\alpha$, which seems to depend on the steric hindrance of the acetamide substitution. In fact, considering compounds $\mathbf{1 7}, \mathbf{2 5 a}, \mathbf{2 5 b}, \mathbf{2 5 g}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 d}$ that differ only for the bulkiness of the amide substituents in position C2 of the thiazole (methyl, ethyl, tert-butyl, tert-butoxy and phenyl, respectively), the affinity for PI4KIII $\alpha$ decreases going from $\mathbf{1 7}$ to $\mathbf{2 5 d}$ (see Table 4).

Table 5. Correlation between $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and docking score for the synthesized compounds.

| Compd | PI4K III $\beta$ $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{IC}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Docking score ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Compd | PI4K III $\beta$ $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Docking score ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35d | 3.71 | -8,297 | 25e | $>50$ | -7,431 |
| 30b | 12.40 | -8,222 | 26d | $>50$ | -7,4 |
| 26 a | 7.69 | -8,172 | $25 f$ | >50 | -7,356 |
| 26 c | 1.82 | -8,108 | 38 | >50 | -7,355 |
| 17 | 0.48 | -8,035 | 42a | >50 | -7,251 |
| 25a | 0.27 | -8,012 | 26b | >50 | -7,144 |
| 25d | 18.85 | -7,983 | 42b | >50 | -7,052 |
| 30a | 3.95 | -7,97 | 25c | 21.90 | -6,678 |
| 35b | 2.63 | -7,726 | $3{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.06 | -6,553 |
| 46 | 50.00 | -7,644 | 47b | $>50$ | -6,424 |
| 25g | 4.67 | -7,63 | 47a | >50 | -6,148 |
| 35c | 1.55 | -7,605 | 25e | $>50$ | -7,431 |
| 35a | 2.48 | -7,6 |  |  |  |
| 25b | 0.32 | -7,484 |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Values are the mean of at least three independent experiments. ${ }^{b}$ Docking score was calculated by the software Gold and expressed as $\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Reference 13

The higher the bulkiness in C2 of the thiazole, the lower the affinity for PI4KIII $\alpha$ over PI4KIII $\beta$ with the phenyl substituent (25d) representing the highest tolerated hindrance after which the inhibition of PI4KIII $\beta$ is also compromised. The reason for the specificity of these compounds towards PI4KIII $\beta$ seems to depend on the different opening (compared to the $\alpha$ isoform) of a specific loop that in the $\beta$ isoform goes from ILE595 to ILE604 (Figure 7). In fact in this portion of protein, the sequence of PI4KIII $\beta$ resembles more the sequence of PI3K ( $\alpha, \gamma$ end $\delta$ ) than the sequence of PI4KIII $\alpha$. In particular, the presence of a cysteine residue in position 30 of PI4KIII $\alpha$ (corresponding to proline 597 in PI4KIII $\beta$ ) could change the fold of this "selectivity loop".


Figure 7. Observed binding mode of $\mathbf{2 5 a}$ (yellow sticks) superimposed to compound $\mathbf{1}$ (magenta sticks) into the binding site of PI4KIII $\beta$ (green ribbons). The orange ribbons represent the modelled structure of PI4KIII $\alpha$.

## CONCLUSIONS

An increasing number of reports suggest a causal link between enterovirus infections and pulmonary exacerbations in CF patients. We report the discovery of a new class of multitarget agents active as broad-spectrum antivirals and correctors of the F508del-CFTR folding defect. To identify these drug candidates, we first carried out a virtual screening on the PI4KIIIß (a host protein involved in enterovirus replication) catalytic site to select commercially available compounds: our choice was based on the best-predicted affinity for the target kinase and 2D similarity (in a few cases) to known CFTR correctors/potentiators. Among the selected compounds, hit $\mathbf{1 7}$ showed activity in cell-free PI4KIII $\beta$ inhibition assay and cell-based enterovirus replication assays and was therefore considered a promising starting point for further structure-based optimization. A small collection of analogues of compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ was then designed, synthesized and biologically evaluated for their $i$ ) activity against panel of enteroviruses representative of all major groups; ii) inhibition of lipid kinases PI4KIII $\beta$, PI4KIII $\alpha$ and PI3K- $\alpha / \mathrm{p} 85 \alpha$; iii) corrector/potentiator activity on F508del-CFTR.

Three compounds (25a, 25d, 25g) were finally identified as novel multi-target agents able to act as broad-spectrum-antivirals (enterovirus family) and as correctors of F508del-CFTR folding defect. These compounds represent a valuable starting point to develop a novel polypharmacological approach for the treatment of closely-related pulmonary diseases such as cystic fibrosis and enterovirus infections with a single pill.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## Molecular modeling

## Homology modeling

The structure of PI4KIII $\beta$ was built with the Prime ${ }^{53} 38013$ software on the basis of the crystal structure 2 CHZ using ClustalW for sequence alignment and knowledge-based as building method. The structure of PI4KIII $\alpha$ was built with the online server 3D-JIGSAW ${ }^{54}$ on the basis of the crystal structure 4D0L.

## Molecular dynamics

The structure of modelled PI4KIII $\beta$ was aligned to the 2 CHZ structure. Compound $\mathbf{1}$ was extracted from 2 CHZ and was manually introduced in the structure of PI4KIII $\beta$. A molecular dynamics simulation of the resulting complex was performed using Desmond v40013. The complex was neutralized using sodium counter ions. The complex and the counter ions were immersed in a orthorhombic periodic SPC water bath that extended about $10 \AA$ in each direction. After an initial default relaxation protocol, a MD production run was performed for 10 ns with a time step of 2 fs .

## Virtual screening

From the last 2 ns of the dynamics simulation 100 frames were extracted and clustered on the basis of RMSD. Five clusters were generated. The protein representative of each cluster was processed with the Schrödinger Suite 2014-3 ${ }^{55}$ Protein Preparation Wizard tool. On each
structure a grid was generated with the software Glide 65013 centering the grid on compound 1, then all PI4KIII $\beta$ ligands available from the Pubchem database were docked with the SP protocol. Structures were selected for virtual screening on the basis of their enrichment factor. The Mid Asinex database was extracted from the ZINC database ${ }^{56}$ and docked in the binding site of compound $\mathbf{1}$ using compound $\mathbf{1}$ as the center of the grid. The software Glide 65013 with the SP protocol was used for High throughput docking. The best 1000 compounds in terms of the docking score were selected and submitted to one more docking cycle of docking with the XP protocol. On the basis of the docking score and a visual inspection 25 compounds were selected and 13 compounds were purchased.

## Ligand preparation

Ligands were prepared with the LigPrep ${ }^{57}$ tool available in the Schrödinger Suite 2015-4. Ionization states were generated at $\mathrm{pH} 7.0 \pm 2.0$ with Epik.

## Ligand docking

The X-ray coordinates of PI4KIII $\beta$ in complex with compound $\mathbf{1}$ were extracted from the Protein Data Bank (PDB code 4D0L). The structure was then processed with the Schrödinger Suite 2015-4 Protein Preparation Wizard tool. ${ }^{58}$ The A Chain was selected, water molecules were removed, and an exhaustive sampling of the orientations of groups, whose hydrogen bonding network needs to be optimized, was performed. Finally, the protein structure was refined to relieve steric clashes with a restrained minimization with the OPLS3 force field ${ }^{59}$ until a final RMSD of $0.30 \AA$ with respect to the input protein coordinates.

Docking studies were performed using Glide ${ }^{60} 69017$ with the SP protocol. The protein structure, prepared as described above, was used to build the energy grid. The enclosing box was centered on the cocrystallized ligand. All parameters were set to their default value. The docking protocol was validated by redocking the cocrystallized ligand (compound $\mathbf{1}$ ).

## Chemistry

General. All commercially available chemicals were purchased from both Sigma- Aldrich and Alfa Aesar and, unless otherwise noted, used without any previous purification. Solvents used for work-up and purification procedures were of technical grade. Dry solvents used in the reactions were obtained by distillation of technical grade materials over appropriate dehydrating agents. Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography on silica gelcoated aluminium foils (silica gel on Al foils, SUPELCO Analytical, Sigma-Aldrich) at 254 and 365 nm . Where indicated, products were purified by silica gel flash chromatography on columns packed with Merck Geduran Si $60(40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on BRUKER AVANCE 300 MHz and BRUKER AVANCE 400 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ scale) are reported in parts per million relative to TMS. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are reported in this order: multiplicity and number of protons; signals were characterized as: $s$ (singlet), $d$ (doublet), $t$ (triplet), $q$ (quadruplet), $m$ (multiplet), $b s$ (broad signal). ESI-mass spectra were recorded on an API 150EX apparatus and are reported in the form of (m/z). Elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin-Elmer PE 2004 elemental analyzer. Melting points were taken using a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus and were uncorrected. All final compounds showed chemical purity $\geq 95 \%$ as determined by elemental analysis data for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, and N (within $0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values).

Synthesis of N-(2-((3-acetylphenyl)amino)-4'-methyl-[4, $5^{\prime}$-bithiazol]-2'-yl)acetamide (17). $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(84 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred suspension of intermediate $24(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{DCM}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 minutes acetyl chloride ( $32 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), diluted in dry DCM ( 0.5 mL ), was added dropwise. The resulting solution was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 15 h. Next, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and DCM were added and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with DCM. The combined organic phases were washed with brine,
dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The crude was purified by flash chromatography using DCM/MeOH (98/2) as eluent to afford compound 17 as a yellow solid. Yield $77 \%$; mp 244$246{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 373.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.51$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6} 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 17.56,22.92,27.42,103.25,116.75,120.52,121.44,121.65,129.79,138.11,141.81$, 143.21, 143.29, 155.58, 162.92, 168.73, 198.28. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Synthesis of 1-(2-amino-4-methylthiazol-5-yl)ethanone (20). A solution of thiourea 18 (283 $\mathrm{mg}, 3.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3-chloro-2,4-pentanedione $19(419 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 20 mL ) was heated at reflux for 12 h , and then the reaction mixture was cooled down to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The precipitate was separated by filtration over a Buchner funnel and washed with cold ethanol and ether to afford the product 20 as a white solid. Yield $95 \%$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 157.2$ $m / z .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 9.49(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of 1-(2-amino-4-methylthiazol-5-yl)-2-bromoethanone (21). A suspension of intermediate $\mathbf{2 0}$ ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $48 \% \mathrm{HBr}$ solution in water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was warmed to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(148 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,4-dioxane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . After cooling down to room temperature, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and ethyl acetate were added and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum to obtain compound 21, used in the next step without any further purification. Yield 90\%. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 235.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z},[\mathrm{M}+2+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 237.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.46(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), 4.48 (s, 2H), 9.18 (bs, 2H).

Synthesis of 1-(3-acetylphenyl)thiourea (23). Benzoyl isothiocyanate ( $547 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of $3^{\prime}$-aminoacetophenone $22(500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry

DCM ( 12 mL ) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h . The solvent of reaction was evaporated, the residue was dissolved in THF/NaOH $1 \mathrm{~N}(1 / 1,15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was refluxed for 3 h . After cooling to room temperature, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and ethyl acetate were added and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The resulting solid was crystallized from ether. Yield $72 \%$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 195.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.57$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.55(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of 1-(3-((2'-amino-4'-methyl-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2-yl)amino)phenyl)ethanone (24). Intermediates 21 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $23(165 \mathrm{mg}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ were suspended in ethanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h . Then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and ethyl acetate were added to the mixture and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. Ether was added to the crude and the solid obtained was filtered over a Buchner funnel, washed with ether and used in the following step without any further purification. Yield $84 \%$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 331.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6} 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46$ (t, 1H, $J$ $=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 25a-g.

Method $A$ (for $25 a-c$ ) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(84 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred suspension of intermediate $24(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{DCM}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 minutes the proper acyl chlorides or anhydride ( 0.45 mmol ), diluted in dry DCM $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, were added dropwise. The resulting solution was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 12-15 h . Next, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and DCM were added and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with DCM. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The crude was purified by flash chromatography using $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(98 / 2)$ as eluent.

N-(2-((3-acetylphenyl)amino)-4'-methyl-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2'-yl)propionamide (25a). Yield $75 \% ;$ mp 244-246 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 387.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 1.11$ $(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.45(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.56(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H ), $12.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 9.63,17.56,27.43,28.69,103.25$, 116.72, 120.47, 121.49, 121.63, 129.82, 138.11, 141.82, 143.24, 143.33, 155.62, 162.94, 172.36, 198.28. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-(2-((3-acetylphenyl)amino)-4'-methyl-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2'-yl)pivalamide (25b). Yield 80\%; mp 232-234 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 415.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 1.25(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H), $2.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 16.45,26.90$, 27.05 (3x), 39.24, 102.80, 117.29, 120.96, 122.25, 122.46, 129.67, 138.02, $140.79,142.33,143.58,156.98,163.28,176.67,198.36$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-(2-((3-acetylphenyl)amino)-4'-methyl-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2'-yl)-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide (25c). Yield $65 \% ; \operatorname{mp} 254-256{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 427.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400$ MHz): $\delta 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 14.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 15.84,27.41,105.27,115.80,116.68,120.50,121.44,121.79,129.86,138.12$, 141.59, 143.31, 143.48, 155.43, 163.45, 168.73, 198.21. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Method B (for 25d-f). $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(84 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred suspension of intermediate $24(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{DCM}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 minutes the proper acyl chlorides ( 0.45 mmol ), diluted in dry DCM $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, were added dropwise. The resulting solution was warmed to room temperature and heated at reflux for $15 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{Next}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and DCM were added and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with DCM. The combined
organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The crude was purified by flash chromatography using $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(99 / 1)$ as eluent.

N-(2-((3-acetylphenyl)amino)-4'-methyl-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2'-yl)benzamide (25d). Yield 75\%; mp 199-200 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 435.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.58(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6} 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $17.42,27.44,103.60,116.76,121.52,121.66,128.61,129.02,129.06$ (2x), 129.83 (2x), 132.59, 133.31, 138.12, 141.82, 143.23, 143.29, 155.72, 163.02, 167.79, 198.30. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-(2-((3-acetylphenyl)amino)-4'-methyl-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2'-yl)-4-iodobenzamide
Yield $65 \%$; mp 220-223 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 561.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.81(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6} 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 17.33,27.45,101.14$, $103.65,116.77,121.03,121.51,121.67,129.82,130.44(2 x), 132.34,137.95(2 x), 138.12$, 141.81, 143.16, 143.29, 154.99, 163.02, 165.15, 198.30. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{IN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-(2-((3-acetylphenyl)amino)-4'-methyl-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2'-yl)-4-methylbenzamide (25f). Yield $72 \%$; mp 243-244 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 449.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.50(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6} 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 17.76,21.55,27.44$, $103.55,116.75,120.52,121.51,121.66,128.63$ (2x), 129.62 (2x), 129.83, 131.51, 138.13, 141.42, 141.83, 143.26, 143.39, 155.78, 163.00, 168.09, 198.31. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N .

Method $C$ (for 25 g ). $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(84 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred suspension of intermediate $24(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 minutes Boc anhydride ( 0.60 mmol ), diluted in dry DMF ( 0.5 mL ), was added dropwise under vigorous stirring. The resulting solution was warmed to room temperature and heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h. Next, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and ethyl acetate were added and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The crude was purified by flash chromatography using $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(98 / 2)$ as eluent.

Tert-butyl (2-((3-acetylphenyl)amino)-4'-methyl-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2'-yl)carbamate (25g). Yield $63 \%$; mp 235-236 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 431.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6} 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 17.51,27.42,28.37$ (3x), 79.61, 103.15, 116.70, 120.03, 121.24, $121.65,128.34,138.55,141.83,143.41,143.62,155.67,157.03,168.39,198.32$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 26a-d. A solution of intermediate 24 $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the proper isocyanate or isothiocyanate $(0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ in pyridine (2 mL ) was heated at reflux for $12-18 \mathrm{~h}$. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with ethyl acetate. The organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under vacuum. The crude was purified by flash chromatography using $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(98 / 2)$ as eluent.

1-(2-((3-acetylphenyl)amino)-4'-methyl-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2'-yl)-3-phenylurea (26a). Yield $59 \% ; \mathrm{mp} 236-239{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 450.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.51$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.48-7.52$ (m, 3H), $7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.52$
$(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6} 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 17.29,27.43,102.86,116.73$, 119.02 (2x), 121.46, 121.62, 123.17, 128.20, 129.39 (2x), 129.83, 138.10, 139.17, 139.43, 141.83, 143.36, 151.90, 155.68, 162.92, 198.35. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-(2-((3-acetylphenyl)amino)-4'-methyl-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2'-yl)-3-phenylthiourea (26b). Yield $65 \%$; mp 217-218 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 466.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.48(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6} 100.6$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 17.30,27.60,103.92,116.74,121.50,121.77,122.48,127.80,128.20(2 \mathrm{x}), 128.84$ (2x), 129.81, 138.12, 138.30, 139.89, 141.73, 142.96, 155.60, 163.20, 176.12, 198.28. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-(2-((3-acetylphenyl)amino)-4'-methyl-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2'-yl)-3-cyclohexylurea
Yield $69 \%$; mp 197-198 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 456.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 1.14-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 17.53,24.67,25.58,27.41$ (2x), 33.12 (2x), 48.44, 102.47, 116.67, 120.57, 121.45, 121.57, 129.81, 138.07, 141.85, 143.61, 147.01, 155.38, 157.13, 162.82, 198.29. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-(2-((3-acetylphenyl)amino)-4'-methyl-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2'-yl)-3-cyclohexylthiourea (26d). Yield $52 \% ; \mathrm{mp} 234-236{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 472.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 1.24-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $d_{6} 100.6$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 17.84,24.38,25.56,27.50(2 \mathrm{x}), 31.80(2 \mathrm{x}), 52.62,103.47,116.67,121.45,121.58$,
121.74, 129.79, 137.20, 138.11, 141.65, 142.93, 146.01, 163.00, 171.90, 198.11. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Synthesis of phenyl (5-acetyl-4-methylthiazol-2-yl)carbamate (27). 1-(2-amino-4-methylthiazol-5-yl)ethanone $\mathbf{2 0}$ ( $1000 \mathrm{mg}, 6.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a suspension of NaH $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil ( $768 \mathrm{mg}, 19.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 15 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Diphenyl carbonate ( $3428 \mathrm{mg}, 16.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added while cooling and the reaction mixture was stirred for additional 30 minutes at room temperature. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and ethyl acetate were added and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed twice with an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{LiCl}(5 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. Ether was added to the crude and the white solid obtained was filtered over a Buchner funnel, washed with ether and used in the following step without any further purification. Yield: $67 \%$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 277.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 12.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 28a,b . The proper amine ( 1.09 mmol ) was added to a solution of intermediate $27(300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 15 mL ). The mixture was heated at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $5-6 \mathrm{~h}$, after which $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and ethyl acetate were added and the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature. The aqueous phase was extracted twice with ethyl acetate, the combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. The crude was purified by flash chromatography using $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(97 / 3)$ as eluent.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1-(5-acetyl-4-methylthiazol-2-yl)-3-(4-chlorobenzyl)urea (28a). Yield: 61\%. MS (ESI) [M } \\
+ & \left.\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 324.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR (DMSO- } d_{6} 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J \\
= & 5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.18(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 11.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

1-(5-acetyl-4-methylthiazol-2-yl)-3-(adamantan-1-yl)urea (28b). Yield: 93\%. MS (ESI) [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 334.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 1.64-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 2.05-2.06 (m, 3H), $2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 29a,b. A suspension of the proper intermediate 28a,b $(0.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $48 \% \mathrm{HBr}$ solution in water $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was warmed to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(42 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.81 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,4-dioxane $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was heated at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . After cooling down to room temperature, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and ethyl acetate were added and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. Intermediates $\mathbf{2 9 a}, \mathbf{b}$ were used in the next step without any further purification.

1-(5-(2-bromoacetyl)-4-methylthiazol-2-yl)-3-(4-chlorobenzyl)urea (29a). Yield: 88\%. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 402.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z},[\mathrm{M}+2+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 404.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z},[\mathrm{M}+4+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 406.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6} 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.49$ (s, 3H), 4.34 (d, 2H, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.46 (bs, 2H), 7.18 (bs, 1H), $7.36(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 11.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

1-(adamantan-1-yl)-3-(5-(2-bromoacetyl)-4-methylthiazol-2-yl)urea (29b). Yield: 92\%. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 412.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z},[\mathrm{M}+2+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 414.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta$ $1.65-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H), 10.31 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 30a,b. A suspension of intermediate 23 $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the proper compound $\mathbf{2 9}$ a,b $(0.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at reflux for 1 h . After cooling down to room temperature, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and ethyl acetate were added and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$
and concentrated under vacuum. The crude was purified by flash chromatography using DCM/MeOH (97/3) as eluent.

1-(2-((3-acetylphenyl)amino)-4'-methyl-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2'-yl)-3-(4-chlorobenzyl)urea
(30a). Yield 78\%; mp 240-241 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 498.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 17.50,27.41,42.73,102.57,116.67,120.01,121.45,121.58,128.78$ (2x), 129.54 (2x), 129.82, 131.89, 138.08, 139.25, 141.84, 143.21, 143.55, 154.41, 157.67, 162.84, 198.30. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## 1-(2-((3-acetylphenyl)amino)-4'-methyl-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2'-yl)-3-(adamantan-1-yl)urea

 (30b). Yield $83 \%$; mp $227-230{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 508.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 1.65-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $6.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 7.8 Hz ), $8.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.21(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6} 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ 16.39, 27.47, 29.29 (3x), 36.32 (3x), 41.73 (3x), 51.16, 103.55, 116.76, 119.09, 121.49, 121.63, 129.83, 138.08, 139.61, 141.76, 142.58, 152.13, 158.27, 163.05, 198.32. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.Synthesis of N-(5-acetyl-4-methylthiazol-2-yl)acetamide (31). Intermediate 20 (1000 mg, $6.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{DCM}(3 / 2,12 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was cooled down to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Pyridine ( 1.3 mL ) was added, followed by the dropwise addition of acetyl chloride (683 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 9.60 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{Next}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and ethyl acetate were added and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed three times with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. Intermediate $\mathbf{3 1}$ was used in
the next step without any further purification. Yield: $87 \%$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 199.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 12.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of N-(5-(2-bromoacetyl)-4-methylthiazol-2-yl)acetamide (32). A solution of $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ ( $388 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 7.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,4-dioxane ( 8.6 mL ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of intermediate 31 ( $1200 \mathrm{mg}, 6.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,4-dioxane ( 23 mL ). The mixture was heated at 50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 22 h . After cooling down to room temperature, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and ethyl acetate were added and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. The crude was purified by flash chromatography using DCM/acetone (95/5) as eluent. Yield $84 \%$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 277.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z},[\mathrm{M}+2+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: $279.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.11$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.46 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.52 (bs, 2H), 12.44 (s, 1H).

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Intermediates 34a-d. Benzoyl isothiocyanate (547 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 4.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of the appropriate aniline 33a-d (3.70 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DCM ( 12 mL ) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h . The solvent of reaction was evaporated, the solid was dissolved in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{NaOH} 1 \mathrm{~N}(1 / 1,15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was refluxed for 2 h . Next, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and ethyl acetate were added and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated. Crystallization from ether afforded intermediates 34a-d.

1-phenylthiourea (34a). Yield 72\%. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 153.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ $300 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 7.09-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 9.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

1-(3-hydroxyphenyl)thiourea (34b). Yield 74\%. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 169.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6} 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 6.50-6.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32-7.36(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

1-(3-methoxyphenyl)thiourea (34c). Yield 75\%. MS (ESI) [M + H ${ }^{+}$: $183.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6} 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.67-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.13(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46-7.49(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-acetylphenyl)thiourea (34d). Yield 78\%. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 195.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6} 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-8.03(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 10.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 35a-d. A solution of intermediate 32 $(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the proper thiourea $34 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}(0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at reflux for 1 h . After cooling down to room temperature, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and ethyl acetate were added and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. The crude was purified by flash chromatography using $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(97 / 3)$ as eluent.

N-(4'-methyl-2-(phenylamino)-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2'-yl)acetamide (35a). Yield 85\%; mp 187$189{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 331.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 17.00,23.07,102.63,118.50(2 \mathrm{x}), 121.29,123.32,129.54(2 \mathrm{x})$, $140.15,142.70,143.31,156.66,164.76,167.92$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-(2-((3-hydroxyphenyl)amino)-4'-methyl-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2'-yl)acetamide (35b). Yield $71 \% ; \mathrm{mp} 148-149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 347.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta$ $2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.52-6.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone- $\left.d_{6} 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 16.51,21.90$, $101.85,104.58,108.75,109.12,120.91,129.81,142.39,143.14,143.95,155.28,158.21$, 163.25, 167.72. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-(2-((3-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4'-methyl-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2'-yl)acetamide (35c). Yield $74 \% ; \mathrm{mp} 118-119{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 361.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.14$
$(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2$ Hz), $7.20\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $7.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 17.53,22.93,55.52,102.71,105.60,107.78,109.65,120.81,130.02,142.77$, 142.90, 143.12, 155.59, 160.40, 162.96, 168.75. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-(2-((4-acetylphenyl)amino)-4'-methyl-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2'-yl)acetamide (35d). Yield 79\%; mp 233-235 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 373.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.15(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 10.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6} 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 17.49,22.93,26.82$, $104.38,116.33$ (2x), 120.33, 130.34, 130.42 (2x), 143.41, 145.48, 152.60, 155.63, 162.37, 168.83, 196.63. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Synthesis of 1-(3-acetylphenyl)guanidine (36). Nitric acid ( $164 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 3'-aminoacetophenone $22(500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by addition of a solution of cyanamide ( $778 \mathrm{mg}, 18.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a minimal amount of water. The mixture was heated at reflux for 24 h and concentrated in vacuum. After cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ether was added and the precipitate was separated by filtration over a Buchner funnel. Then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and ethyl acetate were added to the solid and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum to obtain compound 36, used in the next step without any further purification. Yield 73\%. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 178.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{bs}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.60$ (m, 2H), 7.76-7.78 (m, 1H), $7.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.67(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of 1-(3-((4-(2-amino-4-methylthiazol-5-yl)-1H-imidazol-2yl)amino)phenyl)ethanone (37). A solution of intermediate $\mathbf{3 6}$ ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise to a solution of compound $21(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(118 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was heated at reflux for 12 h ,
after which $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and ethyl acetate were added. The organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum to obtain compound 37, used in the next step without any further purification. Yield $82 \%$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 314.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.09$ (s, 1 H), $7.65(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.04(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H), $9.50(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 10.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of N-(5-(2-((3-acetylphenyl)amino)-1H-imidazol-4-yl)-4-methylthiazol-2yl)acetamide (38). $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(89 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred suspension of intermediate $\mathbf{3 7}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{DCM}(4.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 minutes acetyl chloride ( $34 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), diluted in dry $\mathrm{DCM}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added dropwise. The resulting solution was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 8 h . Next, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and DCM were added and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with DCM. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The crude was purified by flash chromatography using $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(97 / 3)$ as eluent. Yield $47 \%$; mp 222$225{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 356.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.37$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6} 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 17.56,22.56,27.42,116.75,119.9,120.51,121.65,127.71,129.79,138.10$, 140.81, 143.21, 143.28, 154.98, 162.89, 168.63, 198.32. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Synthesis of 1-(3-acetylphenyl)-3-(3-nitrophenyl)thiourea (40). 3'-aminoacetophenone 22 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 2.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 3-nitrophenyl isothiocyanate ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 2.22$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DCM $(6.50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 18 h . The precipitate was separated by filtration over a Buchner funnel and washed with ether, affording compound $\mathbf{4 0}$ as a white solid. Yield $88 \%$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 316.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.76-$
$7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 10.31 (bs, 1H), 10.33 (bs, 1H).

Synthesis of 1-(3-acetylphenyl)-3-(3-aminophenyl)thiourea (41). Iron powder (1490 mg, $26.67 \mathrm{mmol})$, water ( 7 mL ) and concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{drops})$ were added to a solution of compound $40(400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(35 \mathrm{~mL})$. After heating at reflux for 2 h , the mixture was filtrated hot, washed with ethanol and concentrated in vacuum. The crude was purified by flash chromatography using DCM/MeOH (98/2) as eluent. Yield 75\%. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 286.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.51(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.59-$ $6.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.74-7.79 (m, 2H), $7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 42a,b. The proper acyl chloride (0.53 mmol ) was added to a stirred solution of intermediate $41(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine ( $56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 4 mL ). The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h , after which $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and ethyl acetate were added and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. The crude was purified by flash chromatography using $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(99 / 1)$ as eluent.

N-(3-(3-(3-acetylphenyl)thioureido)phenyl)acetamide (42a). Yield 67\%; mp 171-173 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 328.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.16-7.19 (m, 1H), $7.25(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.71-$ $7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ 100.6 MHz): 23.43, 27.23, 116.20, 117.10, 119.38, 123.64, 124.55, 128.90, 128.98, 129.04, 136.97, 139.64, 140.17, 140.52, 168.56, 180.27, 197.97. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-(3-(3-(3-acetylphenyl)thioureido)phenyl)pivalamide (42b). Yield $69 \%$; mp $157-159{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 370.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,

$$
7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.42-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.06
$$ (bs, 1H), $9.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6} 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 27.23$, 27.65 (3x), $30.90,116.29,117.14,119.35,123.68,124.71,128.88,128.92,129.10,137.43$, $139.65,140.20,140.52,176.96,180.27$, 197.97. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Synthesis of 1-(4-(dimethylamino)phenyl)thiourea (44). Benzoyl isothiocyanate ( $543 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 4.04 mmol ) was added dropwise to a solution of N,N-Dimethyl-p-phenylenediamine 43 (500 $\mathrm{mg}, 3.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{DCM}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The solvent of reaction was evaporated, the solid was dissolved in THF/NaOH $1 \mathrm{~N}(1 / 1,15$ mL ) and the mixture was refluxed for 3 h . Next, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and ethyl acetate were added and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The resulting solid was crystallized from ether. Yield $80 \%$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 196.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.88(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $6.69(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.55(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of $N^{2}$-(4-(dimethylamino)phenyl)-4'-methyl-[4, $5^{\prime}$-bithiazole]-2,2'-diamine (45). Intermediates $21(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $44(125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ were suspended in ethanol ( 6 mL ) and the mixture was heated at reflux for 30 minutes. Then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and ethyl acetate were added to the mixture and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. Ether was added to the crude and the solid obtained was filtered over a Buchner funnel, washed with ether and used in the following step without any further purification. Yield $77 \%$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 332.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.21(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 10.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## N-(2-((4-(dimethylamino)phenyl)amino)-4'-methyl-[4,5'-bithiazol]-2'-yl)propionamide

(46). $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(84 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred suspension of intermediate $\mathbf{4 5}(100 \mathrm{mg}$,
$0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{DCM}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 minutes propionyl chloride $(39 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.45$ mmol ), diluted in dry $\mathrm{DCM}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added dropwise. The resulting solution was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 8 h . Next, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and DCM were added and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with DCM. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The crude was purified by flash chromatography using $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(98 / 2)$ as eluent. Yield $68 \%$; mp 222-224 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 388.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6} 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.10(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.43(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6} 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.78,16.88,30.43$, 40.46 ( 2 x ), 101.02, 113.74 (2x), 120.67 ( 2 x ), 121.44, 131.54, 143.10, 144.02, 147.72, 155.12, 165.29, 171.76. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## Biology

## Antiviral assays - materials and methods Assay preparation

## Enterovirus (EV):

Rhabdosarcoma (RD) cells, Vero cells and Hela-Rh cells, subcultured in cell growth medium [MEM Rega3 (Cat. ${ }^{\circ}$ 19993013; Invitrogen) supplemented with 10\% FCS (Integro), 5 ml 200 mM L-glutamine (25030024) and $5 \mathrm{~mL} 7.5 \%$ sodium bicarbonate (25080060)] at a ratio of 1:4 and grown for 7 days in $150 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ tissue culture flasks (Techno Plastic Products), were harvested and seeded in a 96-well plate at a cell density of 20000 cells/well in assay medium (MEM Rega3, 2\% FCS, 5 ml L -glutamine and 5 ml sodium bicarbonate) to perform standardized antiviral assay against EV71 and EVD68, CV and PV, RV02 and RV14, respectively.

## Antiviral activity and cytotoxicity determinations

Compounds were prepared as DMSO stock solution with a final compound concentration of 10 mM . The compound profiling setup was performed employing a Freedom EVO200 liquid handling platform (Tecan). The evaluation of the cytostatic/cytotoxic as well as the antiviral effect of each compound was performed in parallel within one run. Three 8-step 1-to-5 dilution series were prepared (starting from $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in assay medium added to empty wells (picornaviruses: 96 -well microtiter plates, Falcon, BD) or in the medium present on top of pre-seeded cells. Subsequently, $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a 4 x virus dilution in assay medium (assay medium supplemented with $15 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2} 1 \mathrm{M}$ (Sigma, M1028) in case of RV ) was added followed by $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cell suspension. The assay plates were returned to the incubator for 2-3 (picornavirus, $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for RV) days, a time at which maximal cytopathic effect (CPE) for picornaviruses is observed.

For the evaluation of cytostatic/cytotoxic effects and for the evaluation of the antiviral effect in case of PV, CV, RV, the assay medium was replaced with $75 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a $5 \%$ MTS (Promega) solution in phenol red-free medium and incubated for 1.5 hours $\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 95-99 \%\right.$ relative humidity). Absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 498 nm (Safire2, Tecan) and optical densities (OD values) were converted to percentage of untreated controls.

Analysis of the raw data, quality control of each individual dose-response curve and calculation, if possible, of the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}, \mathrm{EC}_{90}$ and $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ values was performed employing ViroDM, a custom-made data processing software package. The $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{EC}_{90}$ (values derived from the dose-response curve) represent the concentrations at which respectively $50 \%$ and $90 \%$ inhibition of viral replication would be observed. The $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ (value derived from the dose-response curve) represents the concentration at which the metabolic activity of the cells would be reduced to $50 \%$ of the metabolic activity of untreated cells.

The $\mathrm{EC}_{50}, \mathrm{EC}_{90}$ and $\mathrm{CC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SD}$ were, whenever possible, calculated respectively as the median of all the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}, \mathrm{EC}_{90}$ or $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ values derived from the 3 individual dose-response curves. The selectivity index (SI), indicative of the therapeutic window of the compound, was calculated as $\mathrm{CC}_{50} / \mathrm{EC}_{50}$. No further statistical analysis was performed.

## CFTR assays

The effects of compounds on CFTR biogenesis and function were measured using newly developed assays exploiting CFTR fusion probes with anion-sensitive $\mathrm{YFP}^{61}$ and pH sensitive pHTomato. ${ }^{62}$ Lipofectamine transfection was used for transient transfection of HEK293 cells. Cells plated in 96-well plates were incubated with the YFP-CFTR- or CFTR-pHTomato- encoding plasmid ${ }^{48}$ using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies), according to manufacturer's instructions. Following transfection, cell plates were returned to the $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ incubator for 24 h . Plates were further incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h prior to imaging, with or without additional drug treatment.

All imaging was carried out using ImageXpress (ImageXpress Micro XLS, Molecular Devices); an image-acquisition system equipped with wide-field inverted fluorescence microscope and fluidics robotics. Images were obtained with a 20 X objective, using excitation/emission filters $472 \pm 30 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $520 \pm 35 \mathrm{~nm}$, for YFP-CFTR and $531 \pm 20 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $592 \pm 20 \mathrm{~nm}$ for CFTR-pHTomato. In the latter assay, eGFP and Hoechst nuclear stain images were also acquired for each well, using excitation/emission filters $472 \pm 30 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $520 \pm 35 \mathrm{~nm}$, and $377 \pm 25 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $447 \pm 30 \mathrm{~nm}$, respectively. For each plate, the laser intensity and exposure were optimized to achieve the highest possible fluorescence whilst avoiding both photobleaching and saturation (illumination intensity $100-150 / 225 \mathrm{~cd}$, and exposure $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~s})$

For the YFP-CFTR assays, before imaging, cells were washed twice with $100 \mu 1$ standard buffer ( $140 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 4.7 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 1.2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,5 \mathrm{mM}$ HEPES, $2.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2,1 \mathrm{mM}$ Glucose, pH 7.4 ). Images were taken for 150 s at a frequency of $0.5 \mathrm{~Hz} .50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ extracellular $I^{-}$(as standard buffer with 140 mM NaCl replaced with 300 mM NaI ; resulting in 100 mM final $\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]$) was added at 20 s , and activating compounds ( $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Forskolin alone or together with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compounds for acute treatment) were added at 60 s .

For the CFTR-pHTomato assay, before imaging, cells were washed twice with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ standard buffer (as above). During imaging, extracellular pH was changed using addition of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{pH} 6$ buffer (as standard buffer, with 5 mM HEPES replaced with 10 mM MES: final [MES] $3.3 \mathrm{mM}, \sim \mathrm{pH} 6.5$ ), and $50 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{pH} 9$ buffer (as standard buffer, with 5 mM HEPES replaced with 100 mM Tris: final [Tris] $25 \mathrm{mM}, \sim \mathrm{pH}$ 8.8). Two pHTomato images (acquisition frequency 0.5 Hz ) were taken in each condition. To account for variation in transfection efficiency the pHTomato fluorescence was normalized using average fluorescence intensity of a soluble eGFP, co-expressed in the cytosol. Because the rise in pHTomato fluorescence falls largely within the 6.5 to 8.8 pH range, ${ }^{63}$ the change in fluorescence obtained upon increasing extracellular pH ( $\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\text {membrane }}$ ) was used as an estimate of membrane-exposed CFTR.

## In vitro kinase inhibition assays

Recombinant full length, HIS6-tagged PI4KIII $\beta$ was purchased from ProQinase (Germany); recombinant full length, GST tagged PI4KIII $\alpha$ was from Life Technologies. Recombinant full length, HIS6-tagged (PI3K- $\alpha$ ) and full length, myc-tagged (p85 $\alpha$ ) PI3K- $\alpha / \mathrm{p} 85 \alpha$ was purchased from ProQinase (Germany).

## Assay conditions:

PI4KIII $\beta$, PI4KIII $\alpha$ and PI3K- $\alpha / \mathrm{p} 85 \alpha$ reactions were performed in $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ using 20 mM Tris$\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5,0.125 \mathrm{mM}$ EGTA, 2 mM DTT, $0.04 \%$ Triton, $3 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,3 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MnCl} 2,20$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP, $0.01 \mu \mathrm{Ci} \gamma$-P33 ATP, $200 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Pi}: 3 \mathrm{PS}, 10 \%$ DMSO, $0.4 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ of PI4KIII $\beta, 16$ $\mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ of PI4KIII $\alpha$ and $7.6 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ of PI3K- $\alpha / \mathrm{p} 85 \alpha$. All reactions were performed at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . Reactions were stopped by adding $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of phosphoric acid $0.8 \%$. Aliquots ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were then transferred into a P30 Filtermat (PerkinElmer), washed five times with $0.5 \%$ phosphoric acid and four times with water for 5 min . The filter was dried and transferred to a sealable plastic bag, and scintillation cocktail ( 4 mL ) was added. Spotted reactions were read in a scintillation counter (Trilux, Perkinelmer). $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were obtained according to Equation (1), where v is the measured reaction velocity, V is the apparent maximal velocity in the absence of inhibitor, I is the inhibitor concentration, and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ is the $50 \%$ inhibitory concentration.

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\mathrm{V} /\left\{1+\left(\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Lipidic substrate preparation:

PI: phosphatidylinositol (Sigma); PS: 2-Oleoyl-1-palmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-L-serine (Sigma). PI and PS were dissolved in chloroform/methanol 9:1 and mixed at a 1:3 ratio. After chloroform/methanol evaporation, water was added to $1: 62.5 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ and the mixture sonicated to clarity.

## Kinase Panel:

All Tyrosine- and Serine/Threonine kinase reactions were performed according to manufacturer's instructions, using 10-50 ng of enzyme. Details on the nature of the substrates and their concentration are reported elsewhere. ${ }^{63}$ For some kinases, NP-40 or BSA was added. All reactions were performed in $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min using protein low-binding
tubes. Reactions were stopped, transferred to filter and counted as reported in Ref. 59. PI4KIII $\beta$ was purchased from Proqinase. Reactions were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions and detected using ADP-Glo ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Lipid Kinase Assay (Promega).

Supporting Information. 3D coordinates of the PI4KIII $\beta$ and PI4KIII $\alpha$ homology models. Molecular formula strings. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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#### Abstract

ABBREVIATIONS CF, cystic fibrosis; CFTR, cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder; CPE, cytopathic effect; CVB3, coxsackievirus B3; DC-SIGN, dendritic cell-specific intercellular adhesion molecule-3 grabbing nonintegrin; ECHO11, Echovirus 11; EV, enterovirus; F508del, deletion of Phe 508; RV, human rhinovirus; HTD, high-throughput docking; PI, phosphatidylinositol; PI4K, phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase; $\operatorname{PIP}_{2}, \quad$ phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate; $\mathrm{PIP}_{3}$, phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate; PI4P, phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate; PV1, poliovirus 1; TGN, trans-Golgi network.
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