Disrupting the world of Disability
The Next Generation of Assistive Technologies and Rehabilitation Practices

1. Introduction: In the UK alone it is estimated that there are 11.6 million disabled people [1]. These people will require both assistive technologies and rehabilitation to conduct activities of daily living (ADL). There are two pressing issues when it comes to assistive technologies: 1) people don’t have access to the technology they need, 2) the technologies are frequently abandoned. The WHO estimates that of the 70 million people who need a wheelchair, only 5-15% have access to one [2]. The majority of these people live in lower income countries, but others live in higher income countries but still don’t have the means to acquire the assistive technology they require to complete their activities of daily living. This is shocking.

An assistive technology is defined as any product which has the primary purpose to maintain or improve an individual’s functioning and independence, and thereby promote their well-being [2]. These can be especially produced or generally available, and might also be used to prevent impairments and secondary health conditions. There now exists a Priority Assistive Products List which lists the ‘highly needed products which are of an absolute necessity to maintain and improve an individual’s functioning and which need to be available at a price the community/state can afford’ [2]. The wheelchair is one such product, as are, fall detectors, hearing aides, incontinence products, orthoses, communication aides and pill organisers.

In this article we explore the reasons for this lack of access and abandonment; then look ahead to a coming revolution in the way assistive technologies are designed and manufactured. We look briefly at the role of positive computing on rehabilitation practices, noting that ubiquitous sensing and a focus on user-centred design methods can produce truly joyful assistive technologies and engaging rehabilitation therapies. Finally we explore the challenges inherent in embedding these new practices into clinical practice.

2. Assistive Technology and the IoT
Designing, developing and deploying assistive technologies at a scale and cost which makes them accessible to people is challenging. Traditional models of manufacturing would appear to be insufficient at helping the world’s 1 billion disabled people in accessing the technologies they require. However, recent advances in the Internet of Things combined with reducing costs and improvement in sensor technologies and a refocussing on technologies which adapt to user requirements are beginning to change the landscape of assistive technologies. For example, the Ubi-Sleeve is currently being developed which would allow prosthesis wearers and clinicians to review temperature, humidity and resulting prosthesis slippage as people go about their daily activities [3]. This effectively makes the interface between the user and the assistive technology a part of the Internet of Things and allows a more detailed analysis to be incorporated into clinical practice, thus improving evidence-based practice of assistive technology choice. In other areas sensors are being attached to assistive technologies so that both rehabilitation practices and assistive technology use data can be captured. This research looks to categorise both elements of rehabilitation and accessibility, for example the types of surface a wheelchair user is rolling over can now be automatically categorised and added to a mapping database, while the style of pushing is also captured [4]. There is also a growing body of literature exploring the use of shared-control, where the control of an assistive technology is achieved through a combination of inputs from the environment and the user, which is fast changing the paradigm of human-robot interfaces [5].

3. User-Centred Design & Making

User-Centred design of assistive technology is now standard as well as best practice. However, increasingly there is a move to involve people in the making as well as the design process. This reflects a changing paradigm in disability and design. The e-nabling project (http://enablingthefuture.org) is the best example of this change in culture lead by the evolving and growing maker movement. The project makes use of open-source design and 3D printing to allow people to customise and print their own prosthetic hand. Frequently the designs reflect the personality and fashion consciousness of the user, and rarely if ever to they look or feel like the more traditional prosthetics. This is in keeping with a change of attitudes which can be seen across the disability and assistive technology use, where people frequently refer to assistive technologies as a form of wearable technology, e.g. ‘wear my wheels’ rather than simply being a wheelchair user [6]. The author of this piece is the founder of Hack On Wheels an organisation that is looking to establish the first open-source digitally fabricated
wheelchair designs. They aim to follow in the footsteps of the e-enabling project, helping to provide wheelchairs to those who currently don’t have one but are in need of one. In both the e-enable and Hack On Wheels projects the users are central to the movement and have direct access to design and designers. Through more traditional provision methods the key relationship for wheelchair provision is between the user and the clinician.

4. A Healthcare Revolution

We are on the cusp of a healthcare revolution. The population will soon have information in their grasp that will enable them to manage their own care with systems in place for diagnosis, monitoring, individualised prescription and action/reaction. Clinician’s roles will change from that of diagnostician, gatekeeper and resource manager/deliverer to that of consultant informatics manager and overseer; perhaps only intervening to promote healthy behaviour, prevent crisis and react at flash moments. Considering the exciting new innovations that are available and will become available[7,8], there is a need to consider new models of care so that appropriate parameters are monitored taking into consideration our current knowledge and updating as our understanding of the complexity of managing people’s health evolves. Indeed what will the skill base of a clinician become: having data to consider the environment, context, phenotype and genotypic profile when deciding on action will become the norm. Rehabilitation sets out to restore to a condition of good health, the ability to work, or participate in other meaningful life roles. We currently do not know what is optimal for any individual rehabilitating or managing their disease. Even when we consider the findings from Randomised Controlled Clinical Trials; the gold standard that inform National government policy and World Health Organisation guidelines, we do not know what rehabilitation people did do (timing, content and dose) or how, when, and where they used their devices. The Tidier guidelines [9] are pushing researchers and clinicians to consider the planned content of rehabilitation, underpinning logic models and recording what people do. To date, this has been fraught with difficulty, but going forward we will be able to monitor all of this and so consider previous behaviour, genotype, phenotype, symptoms, environment and personal context to support individualised optimised prescription. New models for recording of rehabilitation interventions are need so that monitoring of both previous and current behaviour can be used to inform our understanding of recovery and develop individual and population level integrated rehabilitation prescription packages.

For such a vision we will need to create a socio-technical integrated platform, to support rehabilitation services which combine medical, technical, health and care services,
behavioural and social information. For success, which has previously not been achieved, we will need a platform that relies on information exchange between different types of professional and the public. For success, we will need to develop novel approaches and models of management, with all stakeholders involved in the co-creation, that engender on and offline user and stakeholder confidence [10]
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