

Table 1. Overview of methods of published economic evaluations of pharmacological interventions for bipolar disorder

Study ID	Population	Treatment options	Study design	Primary measure(s) of outcome	Perspective
Country	Setting		Type of model (if applicable)	Source of	Cost categories
Type of evaluation			Time horizon Discounting	• efficacy data • utility data (if applicable)	Source of
QHES score			Main events /states (model-based studies)		• resource use • unit costs
Funding					
Management of manic / mixed episodes and/or rapid cycling in adults with bipolar disorder					
Bridle et al., 2004 [19]	Adults with BD in an acute manic episode	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Que • Olz • Val • Li • Hal 	Decision modelling Decision-tree 3 weeks NA Response	Probability of response ($\geq 50\%$ reduction in YMRS) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SR & NMA (7 studies) • NA 	UK NHS Medication, laboratory testing, inpatient care (same across all arms) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expert opinion, information from manufacturers and further assumptions • National sources HTA Programme on behalf of NICE
UK CEA 75	Hospital setting				
Caresano et al., 2014 [20]	Adults with BD I in an acute mixed episode	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ase • Olz 	Decision modelling Decision-tree followed by Markov model 9 weeks acute phase + 5 years maintenance phase 3.5% per year Response, acute, sub-acute & euthymic phase, treatment discontinuation, relapse to manic, mixed or depressive episode, side effects (EPS, weight gain), death	QALY (clinical outcome measured as response, defined as $\geq 50\%$ change on YMRS and MADRS) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Post-hoc analysis of 2 RCTs & further assumptions, published meta-analyses • Published [39;40;43] and unpublished utility data; further modifications 	Italian NHS Medication, laboratory testing, inpatient care, GP and specialist visits, day hospital, treatment of side effects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Published literature and expert opinion • National sources Lundbeck Italy SpA
Italy CUA 87	Hospital and outpatient setting				

Study ID	Population	Treatment options	Study design	Primary measure(s) of outcome	Perspective
Country	Setting		Type of model (if applicable)	Source of • efficacy data • utility data (if applicable)	Cost categories
Type of evaluation			Time horizon Discounting		Source of • resource use • unit costs
QHES score			Main events /states (model-based studies)		Funding
Klok et al., 2007 [21]	Adults with BD in an acute manic episode	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Que • Li • Val • Que+Li • Olz+Li • Ris+Li • Plc 	Decision modelling DES 100 days NA Compliance, response, remission, hospital discharge, side effects, death	Probability of major side effects (EPS & weight gain); length of hospital stay; probability of response <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comparison of 4 RCTs of Que alone or in combination and other RCTs: efficacy (change on YMRS) assumed to be the same across all interventions • NA 	Healthcare provider Medication, laboratory testing, inpatient and outpatient care, treatment of side effects (EPS, constipation, nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea), suicide <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unclear; some data based on RCTs • National sources and published literature Astra Zeneca
Namjoshi et al., 2002 [22]	Adults with BD I, experiencing an acute manic or mixed episode	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Olz 5–20 mg/day • Plc / no treatment 	Double-blind RCT (N=139) followed by a 49-week open label extension NA 3 weeks NA NA	Clinical improvement based on YMRS; HRQoL based on SF-36. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RCT (available data on n=139) followed by a 49-week open label extension (available resource use data on n=76) • NA 	3rd party payer Medication, day hospital, inpatient care, outpatient contacts with health professionals (psychiatrists, psychologists, other physicians, social workers), case management, home care, emergency room visits <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Before-after study (n=76) by comparing cost data from the open label extension with 12-month pre-randomisation data • National and local sources Eli Lilly & Company

Study ID	Population	Treatment options	Study design	Primary measure(s) of outcome	Perspective
Country	Setting		Type of model (if applicable)	Source of • efficacy data • utility data (if applicable)	Cost categories
Type of evaluation			Time horizon Discounting		Source of • resource use • unit costs
QHES score			Main events /states (model-based studies)		Funding
Revicki et al., 2003 [23]	Adults aged 18-65 years, with BD I, experiencing an acute manic episode	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Val 20 mg /kg/day • Olz 10–20 mg/day 	Double-blind, multi-centre RCT (21 US sites, N=120) NA 12 weeks NA NA	Clinical improvement based on MRS from the SADS Change Version and the HAM-D; HRQoL based on Q-LES-Q and restricted activity days. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RCT (available data on n=52) • NA 	Likely 3rd party payer (NR) Medication, inpatient care, physicians' fee, emergency room, psychiatric, physician, psychologist or other mental health provider visits, home visits <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RCT (available data on n=52) and further assumptions • National sources Abbott Laboratories
Sawyer et al., 2014 [24]	Adults with BD I in an acute mixed episode	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ase • Olz 	Decision modelling Decision-tree followed by Markov model 9 weeks acute phase + 5 years of maintenance phase 3.5% per year Response, acute, sub-acute and euthymic phase, treatment discontinuation, relapse to manic, mixed or depressive episode, side effects (EPS, weight gain), death	QALY (clinical outcome measured as response, defined as ≥50% change on YMRS and MADRS) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Post-hoc analysis of 2 RCTs • Published [39;40;43] and unpublished utility data & further modifications 	UK NHS & PSS Medication, laboratory testing, inpatient and outpatient care, crisis resolution team, treatment of side effects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Published literature and expert opinion • National sources Lundbeck SAS
Management of manic, hypomanic and/or mixed episodes in children and young people with bipolar disorder					

Study ID	Population	Treatment options	Study design	Primary measure(s) of outcome	Perspective
Country	Setting		Type of model (if applicable)	Source of	Cost categories
Type of evaluation			Time horizon	• efficacy data	Source of
QHES score			Discounting	• utility data (if applicable)	• resource use
			Main events /states (model-based studies)		• unit costs
					Funding
Uttley et al., 2013 [25] (refers to NICE TA 292) UK CUA 92	Young people aged 15 years with BD I experiencing an acute manic or mixed episode Inpatient and outpatient setting	Drug sequences: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strategy 1: Ris, Que, Olz, Li • Strategy 2: Ris, Ari, Que, Li • Strategy 3: Ari, Ris, Que, Li • Strategy 4: Ris, Que, Ari, Li 	Decision modelling Markov model 3 years 3.5% per year Response, euthymia, therapy resistance, side effects, death	QALY NMA of published and unpublished RCTs (4 studies) Published utility studies [39;40;42-45] identified in a SR	UK NHS & PSS Medication, inpatient and outpatient care, treatment of side effects implicitly included <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expert opinion • National sources Otsuka Pharmaceuticals submission to NICE; reviewed & supplemented with extra analyses by independent Evidence Review Group
Management of depressive episodes in adults with bipolar disorder					
Ekman et al., 2012 [18] UK CUA 73	Adults aged 40 years with BD I or II in an acute depressive episode Outpatient setting – hospitalisation possible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Que • Que+ MS (Li or Val) • Olz • Olz+Li, Olz replaced by Ven in acute depression [Olz+Li1] • Olz+Li, Olz replaced by Par in acute depression [Olz+Li2] • Ari replaced by Olz+Ven in acute depression • Ris in mania, Ven+Li in depression, Olz in maintenance [Mixed] 	Decision modelling DES 5 years 3.5% per year Remission (stable state), relapse to manic or depressive episode, treatment discontinuation, side effects (EPS, weight gain), death	QALY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indirect comparisons between drugs, using Plc or Li as common comparator, based on RCTs and published meta-analyses • Published utility studies [39;40;46] and further assumptions 	UK NHS (societal in sensitivity analysis) Medication, laboratory testing, inpatient & outpatient care, crisis teams, staff costs (senior house officer, GP, community psychiatric nurse, practice nurse, dietician), treatment of side effects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clinical guidelines mainly based on expert opinion • National sources AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP

Study ID	Population	Treatment options	Study design	Primary measure(s) of outcome	Perspective
Country	Setting		Type of model (if applicable)	Source of	Cost categories
Type of evaluation			Time horizon	• efficacy data	Source of
QHES score			Discounting	• utility data (if applicable)	• resource use
			Main events /states (model-based studies)		• unit costs
					Funding
Rajagopalan et al., 2015 [26]	Adults with BD I in an acute depressive episode	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lur • Que XR 	Decision modelling Decision-tree	Percentage of patients achieving remission (MADRAS total score ≤12 by weeks 6–8)	3 rd party payer Medication, inpatient and outpatient care, emergency department visits
USA	Outpatient setting – hospitalisation possible		3 months NA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adjusted indirect comparison of pivotal RCTs using Plc as common comparator 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Published expert panel data & a retrospective database study • National sources
CEA			Remission		
75					Sunovion Pharmaceuticals Inc.
Maintenance treatment of adults with bipolar disorder					
Calvert et al., 2006 [27]	Adults with BD I stabilised after resolution of a mixed or manic episode	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lam • Li • Olz • Plc/no treatment 	Decision modelling Markov model	Number of acute episodes avoided; number of euthymic days achieved; QALY	3 rd party payer Medication, laboratory testing, physician's time, hospitalisation
USA	Outpatient setting – hospitalisation possible		18 months NA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indirect comparisons using double-blind placebo-controlled RCTs • Pivotal RCTs [unpublished data on SF-36] & further modifications 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Published data, clinical guidelines based on expert opinion and a physician survey • National sources
CEA & CUA			Euthymia, acute mania or depression, treatment discontinuation		GlaxoSmithKline (GSK)
53					
Ekman et al., 2012 [18]	Adults aged 40 years with BD I or II in remission	<i>See Ekman et al. 2012, under 'Management of depressive episodes'</i>	<i>See Ekman et al. 2012, under 'Management of depressive episodes'</i>	<i>See Ekman et al. 2012, under 'Management of depressive episodes'</i>	<i>See Ekman et al. 2012, under 'Management of depressive episodes'</i>
UK	Outpatient setting – hospitalisation possible				
CUA					
67					

Study ID	Population	Treatment options	Study design	Primary measure(s) of outcome	Perspective
Country	Setting		Type of model (if applicable)	Source of	Cost categories
Type of evaluation			Time horizon	• efficacy data	Source of
QHEs score			Discounting	• utility data (if applicable)	• resource use
			Main events /states (model-based studies)		• unit costs
					Funding
Fajutrao et al., 2009 [28]	Adults with BD I who remitted from an acute mood episode following Que+MS treatment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Que + MS (Li or Val) • MS (Li or Val) alone [MS] 	Decision modelling Markov model 2 years 3.5% per year Euthymia, acute mania or depression, treatment discontinuation	Number of acute episodes avoided; % of people hospitalised due to acute episode; QALY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pooled data from 2 double-blind placebo-controlled RCTs • Pivotal RCTs [unpublished data on SF-36] & further modifications 	UK NHS Medication, laboratory testing, staff time (psychiatrist, senior house officer, GP, community psychiatric nurse, laboratory nurse), inpatient care, crisis resolution and home care <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clinical guidelines mainly based on expert opinion • National sources AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP
McKendrick et al., 2007 [29]	Adults with BD I newly stabilised after combination treatment with Olz + Li for acute mania	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Olz • Li 	Decision modelling Markov model 1 year NA Euthymia, acute mania or depression, treatment discontinuation	Number of acute episodes avoided <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Double-blind RCT • NA 	UK NHS Medication, laboratory testing, day hospital, inpatient and outpatient care (GP, psychiatrist, specialist non-psychiatric, case manager, group therapy), home visits (nurse, social worker, physical therapist, GP), emergency room visits <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UK chart review, other published sources and expert opinion • National sources Eli Lilly and Company Ltd

Study ID	Population	Treatment options	Study design	Primary measure(s) of outcome	Perspective
Country	Setting		Type of model (if applicable)	Source of • efficacy data • utility data (if applicable)	Cost categories
Type of evaluation			Time horizon Discounting		Source of • resource use • unit costs
QHES score			Main events /states (model-based studies)		Funding
Revicki et al, 2005 [30]	Adults with BD I, following discharge after hospitalisation for an acute manic or mixed episode	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Val 15-20 mg /kg/day • Li 900-1200 mg/day 	Pragmatic multi-centre, open-label RCT, maintenance phase (33 US sites, N=201)	Number of months without depressive or manic symptoms; functioning and HRQoL measured using the MCS and PCS scores of the SF-36, the MHI-17 and a questionnaire on disability days; adverse events; continuation rates	Likely 3 rd party payer (NR)
USA	Outpatient setting – hospitalisation possible		NA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pragmatic trial (n=172) • NA 	Medication, outpatient psychiatric, physician, psychologist and other mental health provider visits, inpatient care, emergency room visits, home care
CCA			12 months following hospital discharge		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pragmatic RCT (n=172) and further assumptions • National sources
68			NA		Abbott Laboratories
Soares-Weiser et al., 2007 [31]	Adults with stabilised BD I, whose most recent episode was either depressive or manic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Car • Imi • Lam • Li • Li+Imi • Olz • Val 	Decision modelling	QALY	UK NHS
UK	Outpatient setting – hospitalisation possible		Markov model	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SR & NMA • Published utility studies [39;40] 	Medication, laboratory testing, inpatient care, staff time (psychiatric consultant, senior house officer, GP, community psychiatric nurse, practice nurse), crisis resolution & home treatment teams
CUA			Lifetime		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National guidelines based on expert opinion, published data & further assumptions • National sources
74			Euthymia, acute mania or depression, treatment sequencing following treatment failure, death		HTA Programme

Study ID	Population	Treatment options	Study design	Primary measure(s) of outcome	Perspective
Country	Setting		Type of model (if applicable)	Source of	Cost categories
Type of evaluation			Time horizon	efficacy data	Source of
QHES score			Discounting	utility data (if applicable)	resource use
			Main events /states (model-based studies)		unit costs
					Funding
Woodward et al., 2009 [32]	Adults with BD I who remitted from an acute mood episode following Que+MS treatment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Que+ MS (Li or Val) • MS (Li or Val) alone 	Decision modelling Markov model 2 years 3% per year Euthymia, acute mania or depression, treatment discontinuation, death	Number of acute episodes avoided; % of people hospitalised due to acute episodes; QALY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pooled data from 2 double-blind placebo-controlled RCTs • Pivotal RCTs [unpublished data on SF-36] & further modifications 	3 rd party payer Medication, laboratory testing, physician's time, hospitalisation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Published data including physician survey data, and clinical guidelines • National sources AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP
Woodward et al., 2010 [33]	Adults with BD I who remitted from an acute mood episode	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Que XR+MS (Li or Val) • MS (Li or Val) alone • Li • Lam • Olz • Ari • Plilc/no treatment 	Decision modelling Markov model 2 years 3% per year Euthymia, acute mania or depression, treatment discontinuation, death	Number of acute episodes avoided % of people hospitalised due to acute episodes QALY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pooled data from 2 double-blind placebo-controlled RCTs evaluating Que (not Que XR) and other indirect comparisons using RCTs identified via a non-systematic review • Pivotal RCTs [unpublished data on SF-36] & further modifications 	3 rd party payer & societal Medication, laboratory testing, physician's time, hospitalisation; productivity losses <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Published data including physician survey data, and clinical guidelines • National sources AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP
Management of patients in any phase of bipolar disorder					

Study ID	Population	Treatment options	Study design	Primary measure(s) of outcome	Perspective
Country	Setting		Type of model (if applicable)	Source of • efficacy data • utility data (if applicable)	Cost categories
Type of evaluation			Time horizon Discounting		Source of • resource use • unit costs
QHEs score			Main events /states (model-based studies)		Funding
Chisholm et al., 2005 [34] Global (14 WHO sub-regions) CUA 59	Patients with BD, in any phase of the disorder Hospital and community setting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Li • Li+PC • Val • Val+PC • No treatment <p>Each provided in either hospital-based or community-based setting</p> <p>[10-year treatment implementation]</p>	<p>Decision modelling</p> <p>Epidemiological mathematical model – possibly individual-based Markov although not explicitly stated</p> <p>Lifetime 3% per year</p> <p>Acute mania or depression, relatively euthymic health states during which persons are non-symptomatic or symptomatic below the threshold of an acute episode, remission, death</p>	<p>DALYs averted</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Published literature (reviews, meta-analyses, RCTs) • Based on published evidence (WHO Global Burden of Disease study) 	<p>Healthcare provider</p> <p>Medication, laboratory testing, psychosocial support, primary, inpatient and outpatient care, residential care, central administration (planning, monitoring, implementation), training (adaptation of guidelines, printing of materials)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Published empirical or modelling studies and a multinational Delphi consensus panel • WHO sub-regional unit costs <p>Funded by WHO</p>
Chisholm et al., 2012 [35] 2 WHO sub-regions: sub-Saharan Africa & South East Asia CUA 57	Patients with BD, in any phase of the disorder Hospital and community setting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Li • Li+PC • Val • Val+PC • No treatment <p>Each provided in either hospital-based or community-based setting</p> <p>[10-year treatment implementation]</p>	<p>Decision modelling</p> <p>Epidemiological mathematical model; possibly individual-based Markov model although not explicitly stated</p> <p>Lifetime 3% per year</p> <p>Acute depression or mania, relatively euthymic health states (non-symptomatic or symptomatic below threshold for acute episode), remission, death</p>	<p>DALYs averted</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Published literature (reviews, meta-analyses, RCTs) • Published evidence (WHO Global Burden of Disease study) 	<p>Healthcare provider</p> <p>Medication, laboratory testing, psychosocial support, primary, inpatient and outpatient care, residential care, central administration (planning, monitoring, implementation), training (adaptation of guidelines, printing of materials)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Published empirical or modelling studies and a multinational Delphi consensus panel • WHO sub-regional unit costs <p>Funded by WHO</p>

Table abbreviations:

BD: Bipolar Disorder; CCA: Cost Consequence Analysis; CEA: Cost Effectiveness Analysis; CUA: Cost-Utility Analysis; DALY: Disability Adjusted Life Year; DES: Discrete Event Simulation; EPS: Extra-Pyramidal Symptoms; GP: General Practitioner; HAM-D: Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression; HRQoL: Health-Related Quality of Life; HTA: Health Technology Assessment; ICER: Incremental Cost Effectiveness Ratio; MADRS: Montgomery–Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; MCS: Mental Component Summary; MHI: Mental Health Index; MRS: Mania Rating Scale; NA: Non-Applicable; NHS: National Health Service; NICE: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; NMA: network meta-analysis; NR: Not Reported; PCS: Physical Component Summary; PSS: Personal Social Services; QALY: Quality-Adjusted Life Year; Q-LES-Q: Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire; RCT: Randomised Controlled Trial; SADS: Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia; SF-36: short form 36 items; SR: systematic review; WHO: World Health Organization; YMRS: Young Mania Rating Scale

Abbreviations of drug names used in the table:

Ari: Aripiprazole; Ase: Asenapine; Car: Carbamazepine; Hal: Haloperidol; Imi: Imipramine; Lam: Lamotrigine; Li: Lithium; Lur: Lurasidone; MS: mood stabiliser; Olz: Olanzapine; Par: Paroxetine; PC: Psychosocial Care; Plc: Placebo; Que: Quetiapine; Que XR: Quetiapine extended release; Ris: Risperidone; Val: Valproic acid or sodium valproate; Ven: Venlafaxine