

1 **Article title**

2 Differences in pre-conception and pregnancy healthy lifestyle advice by maternal BMI:
3 findings from a cross sectional survey

4
5 **Author names and affiliations**

6 Amanda Bye, BSc, MPhil

7 ^a Population, Policy and Practice, UCL Institute of Child Health, London, UK

8 ^b Health Services and Population Research, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology &
9 Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK

10 (a.bye@ucl.ac.uk)

11

12 Jill Shawe, BSc, MSc, PhD

13 ^c Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey, UK

14 ^d Faculty of Population Health Sciences, University College London, UK,
15 (j.shawe@surrey.ac.uk)

16

17 Judith Stephenson, MBBS, BA, MRCP

18 ^d Faculty of Population Health Sciences, University College London, UK

19 (judith.stephenson@ucl.ac.uk)

20

21 Debra Bick, BA, MMedSci, PhD, RM

22 ^e Florence Nightingale Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, King's College London, London,
23 UK

24 (debra.bick@kcl.ac.uk)

25

26 Nataliya Brima, BSc, MStat

27 ^f Centre for Sexual Health and HIV Research, Research Department of Infection and
28 Population Health, University College London

29 (n.brima@ucl.ac.uk)

30

31 Nadia Micali, MD, MRCPsych, PhD, MscEpi, FAED

32 ^a Population, Policy and Practice, UCL Institute of Child Health, London, UK

33 ^g Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, US

34 ^h Mindich Child Health and Development Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai,
35 New York, US
36 (n.micali@ucl.ac.uk)

37

38 **Corresponding author**

39 Amanda Bye, Population, Policy and Practice, UCL Institute of Child Health, 30 Guilford
40 Street, London, WC1N 1EH. Tel: 020 7905 2166. Fax: 020 7831 7050 Email: a.bye@ucl.ac.uk.

41

42 **HIGHLIGHTS**

- 43 • Low maternal BMI is associated with adverse outcomes, however guidance is limited
- 44 • Underweight pregnant women did not receive as much advice as women with high
45 BMIs
- 46 • Alcohol consumption and smoking were relatively common in underweight pregnant
47 women
- 48 • Evidence is needed to inform care recommendations for underweight pregnant women

49

50 **ABSTRACT**

51 **Objective**

52 Being underweight at pregnancy commencement is associated with a range of adverse maternal
53 and infant outcomes, as is being overweight or obese, yet it is an aspect of maternal health
54 which has been relatively neglected by healthcare professionals and researchers. We aimed to
55 investigate differences in pre-pregnancy and pregnancy healthy lifestyle advice routinely
56 offered by relevant healthcare professionals, including midwives and GPs, to women across
57 three different BMI categories – underweight, normal, and overweight or obese.

58

59 **Design**

60 A cross-sectional study nested in an antenatal survey of pregnant women.

61

62 **Setting**

63 Antenatal clinics of three National Health Service (NHS) hospitals in London, UK.

64

65 **Participants**

66 Pregnant women at any gestation of pregnancy were invited to participate in the study whilst
67 attending a routine antenatal scan appointment.

68

69 **Measurements**

70 Main outcomes of interest were whether women had sought and/or had been offered healthy
71 lifestyle advice by relevant healthcare professionals before or during the index pregnancy and
72 whether the advice offered had included weight management, tobacco smoking cessation and
73 alcohol intake. Other outcomes included alcohol consumption and tobacco smoking before and
74 during the index pregnancy.

75

76 **Findings**

77 A total of 1173 women completed the survey, with pre-pregnancy BMI data available for 918
78 (78.3%) women, 632 (69%) of whom were of normal weight, 232 (25%) were overweight or
79 obese, and 54 (6%) were underweight. Overall, 253 (28%) of these women reported they had
80 sought pre-conception advice. Women with a low BMI were offered pre-pregnancy and
81 pregnancy healthy lifestyle advice of a similar content to women with a normal BMI, whereas
82 women with a high BMI were more likely to be offered specific pre-conception and pregnancy
83 advice on healthy BMI (respectively OR 2.55; 95% CI 1.64-3.96: OR 1.79; 95% CI 1.26-2.54),
84 pre-conception healthy diet (OR 1.58; 95% CI 1.06-2.37), reducing alcohol consumption (OR
85 1.63; 95% CI 1.06-2.51) and smoking cessation (OR 1.62; 95% CI 1.05-2.50). For all women,
86 reported alcohol consumption during pregnancy was lower than pre-conception, but within
87 each BMI group around half of the women reported consuming alcohol at some time during
88 their pregnancy.

89

90 **Key conclusions**

91 Women with a low BMI are no more likely than women with a normal BMI to be advised by
92 health professionals about a healthy lifestyle or a healthy weight for their height before or
93 during pregnancy. In contrast women with a high BMI are more likely to receive such advice.
94 Provision of pre-conception care could provide opportunity to advise women across the weight
95 spectrum of the importance of adopting a healthy lifestyle for optimal pregnancy outcomes, as
96 well as consider management of any pre-existing medical conditions.

97

98 **Implications for practice**

99 Healthy lifestyle advice, including alcohol consumption and smoking cessation, should be
100 offered to women who are underweight before and during pregnancy as well as to women who
101 are overweight or obese, to improve adherence to recommendations to optimise maternal and
102 infant outcomes. Advice should also be tailored to reflect women's ethnic background, which
103 could be an important influence on lifestyle behaviour and weight management. The potential
104 clinical benefit of routine provision of pre-conception care, particularly for women who have
105 a high risk of a poorer pregnancy outcome due to weight status or other medical complications,
106 needs to be explored.

107

108 **KEY WORDS**

109 Body Mass Index, Midwifery, Maternal Health, Pregnancy, Antenatal Care, Pre-conception
110 advice

111

112 **INTRODUCTION**

113 **Background**

114 A BMI of less than 18.5 is considered underweight (World Health Organization, 2006), with
115 around 7.6% of women pre-conception (Jeric et al., 2012) and 3.8% in pregnancy (Abayomi et
116 al., 2007) identified as underweight in previous observational studies. Underweight is not as
117 prevalent as overweight or obesity in pregnancy (Abayomi et al., 2007), and subsequently it
118 has received much less attention in the literature. Nonetheless low maternal BMI is similarly
119 associated with adverse maternal and infant outcomes, such as increased risk of infertility
120 (Kumar et al., 2013), miscarriage (Feodor Nilsson et al., 2014; Helgstrand and Andersen,
121 2005), intrauterine fetal growth restriction (Doherty et al., 2006; Ehrenberg et al., 2003),
122 prematurity (Han et al., 2011; Kosa et al., 2011; Rahman et al., 2015), low birth weight
123 (Rahman et al., 2015) and having small for gestational age babies (Han et al., 2011; Jeric et al.,
124 2012; Rahman et al., 2015; Sekiya et al., 2007). Furthermore, a low BMI is associated with
125 poorer general health (Ford et al., 2001; Molarius et al., 2009; Norman and Fraser, 2013; World
126 Health Organization, 2002) and unhealthy lifestyle choices such as tobacco smoking (Audrain-
127 McGovern and Benowitz, 2011), which further increase perinatal risk (Voigt et al., 2011).

128

129 In UK settings where the majority of maternity care is provided within the National Health
130 Service (NHS), a tax-funded public healthcare system with care provided free at the point of
131 access, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines for maternity

132 care recommend women achieve a healthy pre-pregnancy weight and adhere to a healthy
133 lifestyle to optimise maternal and infant outcomes (NICE, 2008a, 2008b, 2010). The guidance
134 is consistent with general population recommendations on healthy BMI, healthy diet, avoiding
135 tobacco smoking, and limiting alcohol consumption. Although there is no known safe amount
136 of alcohol that women should consume pre-conception or during pregnancy, continued intake
137 of alcohol during pregnancy can also increase risk of poor perinatal outcomes (NICE, 2008a;
138 Nykjaer et al., 2014). Despite evidence of adverse maternal and infant outcomes associated
139 with maternal underweight, NICE does not currently include specific guidance for these
140 women before, during or after pregnancy, which could potentially improve outcomes of the
141 index pregnancy as well as any future pregnancies.

142

143 In contrast, NICE has developed specific guidance for health professionals to advise
144 overweight or obese women on weight management before, during and after pregnancy (NICE,
145 2006, 2008a, 2008b, 2010). This guidance does not include recommendations on appropriate
146 gestational weight gain due to the absence of evidence for UK populations, and concerns that
147 the Institute of Medicine guidance (Institute of Medicine, 2009) would not be relevant to UK
148 populations. There is no current routine NHS provision of pre-conception care, although
149 women can access advice from their GPs, midwives, family planning clinic or well-woman
150 clinic should they wish to do so. Women with certain pre-existing medical conditions such as
151 cardiac disease or diabetes may also be offered pre-conception counselling with limited
152 evidence of benefit (Bick et al., 2014).

153

154 There is some evidence that health professionals are more likely to offer pre-pregnancy and
155 pregnancy healthy diet advice to women who are overweight and obese than to women of
156 normal weight (Yamamoto et al., 2013). However, other studies have not found any
157 differences in the content of healthy diet and tobacco smoking cessation advice from health
158 professionals offered to pregnant women with different BMI classifications (Brown and Avery,
159 2012; Hardy et al., 2014). No previous studies have compared the content of pre-conception
160 and pregnancy healthy lifestyle advice offered by health professionals to women underweight
161 or overweight or obese compared with women of normal weight.

162

163 **Objectives**

164 To investigate differences in healthy lifestyle advice offered by health professionals to women
165 across the BMI spectrum in the three months before conception and during pregnancy, as
166 recalled by pregnant women.

167

168 **METHODS**

169 **Study design**

170 A cross-sectional study was undertaken, the full details of which are described in a previous
171 publication (Stephenson et al., 2014). The details applicable to data presented in this paper are
172 described below.

173

174 **Setting**

175 Women were recruited between November 2011 and May 2012 from antenatal scan clinics of
176 three National Health Service (NHS) hospitals in London, UK. These hospitals were selected
177 as women attending them represented diverse ethnic and socioeconomic backgrounds, as well
178 as women classed with low or high risk pregnancies.

179

180 **Participants**

181 An opportunistic sample of pregnant women at any gestation of pregnancy at the time of
182 invitation to participate, who were attending a routine antenatal scan at one of the three
183 hospitals which took part in the study. To be considered eligible for the study women had to
184 be capable of reading and completing the survey in English.

185

186 **Procedure**

187 Women at each study site were approached by trained researchers who offered them an
188 information leaflet which explained the aims of the study and consent process. By completing
189 the survey questionnaire, women's consent to participate was implied. The women were asked
190 to complete the survey before leaving the antenatal scan clinic.

191

192 **Variables**

193 *Predictor*

194 Women provided self-report estimates of their height and pre-conception weight to enable
195 calculation of their pre-conception BMI. Pre-conception BMI was calculated as weight (kg)
196 divided by height in metres squared (m^2). Women were categorised in accordance with the

197 WHO classification system; underweight (BMI <18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (BMI 18.5–24.9
198 kg/m²), overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9), and obese (BMI ≥30.0 kg/m²) (World Health
199 Organization, 2006).

200

201 *Survey questions*

202 Women were asked if they had actively sought pre-conception advice from a health
203 professional (midwife, GP or any other health professional). They were not asked about their
204 reasons for seeking this. Women were asked if at this time or any other time before their
205 pregnancy, a health professional had offered them healthy lifestyle advice to consider and if
206 healthy lifestyle advice had been offered at any time to consider during pregnancy. Healthy
207 lifestyle advice of interest included if women were offered advice on a healthy BMI, what a
208 healthy diet before and during pregnancy should include, advice on abstinence or reduction of
209 alcohol consumption and tobacco smoking cessation. Women were asked to provide details of
210 alcohol and tobacco smoking behaviours in the three months before conception and since
211 becoming pregnant. Women's responses to questions were treated as dichotomous.

212

213 *Socio-demographic, obstetric and other health data*

214 Demographic and obstetric details collected included women's age, ethnicity, employment
215 status, education, expected date of delivery, parity, and history of previous pregnancy losses.
216 The gestation of the index pregnancy in weeks was calculated from the expected date of
217 delivery, and women were categorised as being in the first (<12 weeks), second (13-28 weeks)
218 or third trimester (>29 weeks) of pregnancy. The London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy
219 (LMUP; Barrett, 2004) was included in the survey to assess the extent to which the index
220 pregnancy had been planned. This is a six-item validated questionnaire that produces a score
221 of 0-12, which is categorised as 'unplanned' (a score of 0-3), 'ambivalent' (a score of 4-9), and
222 'planned' (a score of 10-12).

223

224 Women's general health at the time of survey completion was assessed using a four-level Likert
225 scale rating from 'poor' to 'excellent', and responses dichotomised as 'good to excellent' and
226 'poor to fair'. Women were asked to report any medical conditions they suffered from at least
227 three months prior to conception. These were classed as 'relevant medical conditions' if it could
228 impact on or complicate the index pregnancy and medical review prior to conception would
229 have been recommended.

230

231 **Sample size**

232 The data presented in this paper presents the analysis of a subset of women who took part in
233 an antenatal survey of how women prepare for pregnancy published previously (Stephenson et
234 al., 2014). Stephenson et al. previously calculated that a minimum of 1000 women would be
235 needed to achieve at least 80% power to detect differences in key outcomes of interest at the
236 5% significance level for the key outcomes of interest (Stephenson et al., 2014).

237
238 **Statistical methods**

239 To test associations between sample characteristics, alcohol consumption and tobacco smoking
240 behaviours pre-conception and during pregnancy, and BMI, chi-squared tests were used (Table
241 1). Variables were treated as categorical and the categorisations used for the tests are those
242 presented in the tables, with the exception of age and gestation which were treated as
243 continuous. To calculate unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios (ORs) for pre-conception and
244 pregnancy healthy lifestyle advice outcomes across BMI logistic regression was used, which
245 are presented with 95% confidence intervals (Table 2). BMI is the key explanatory factor that
246 was investigated in the regressions and was considered in 3 categories with the reference
247 category being ‘normal BMI’; all other participant characteristics were viewed as potential
248 confounders. A priori confounders (age, education, ethnicity, and parity) i.e. factors known to
249 be associated with BMI (Gaillard et al., 2013; Ogden et al., 2013) were adjusted for to generate
250 adjusted ORs. Gestation was also treated as a potential confounder as there were more women
251 in the third trimester of pregnancy with a high BMI compared to the other two BMI groups.
252 The results were not additionally adjusted for employment status, as this was not only an
253 additional measure of socio-economic status (in addition to education), but was also highly
254 correlated with education ($r(895) = .24, P < 0.0001$) and could potentially lead to statistical over
255 adjustment. The same applied to miscarriage history, which was an additional measure of
256 obstetric history (in addition to parity), and highly correlated with parity ($r(792) = .25,$
257 $P < 0.0001$) and again could lead to statistical over adjustment. We chose not to exclude women
258 on the basis of a pre-existing medical condition or self-reported general health as the sample
259 was intended to reflect low and high risk pregnancies and there is no evidence to suggest that
260 women with medical complications are offered advice which is any different to women who
261 do not have any complications before or during pregnancy (Bick et al., 2014). Supplementary
262 to the main outcomes, associations between pre-conception healthy lifestyle advice and BMI,
263 for women that visited a health professional for conception advice, were tested using chi-
264 squared tests (Supplementary Table 1). All analyses were conducted on SPSS Statistics 22 for

265 Windows (SPSS Inc.) and a two-tailed significance level of $p \leq .05$ used. Due to the small
266 sample size the significance level was not adjusted for multiple comparisons as this could have
267 increased the risk of over-correction. The frequency of missing outcome data, ranged between
268 0.0%-2.2% due to missing data on single items. Given low percentages of missing data we
269 carried out complete case analyses.

270

271 **FINDINGS**

272 **Participants**

273 A response rate of 91% (86%, 91% and 94% at the three sites) was achieved from women who
274 met inclusion criteria, who were asked and agreed to participate in the study, resulting in a total
275 of 1173 women who completed the antenatal survey. Pre-pregnancy BMI data were available
276 for 918 (78.3%) women whose data are presented here, 632 (69%) of whom were classed as
277 having a normal BMI, 232 (25%) as having a high BMI (154 women had a BMI of 25.0-29.9
278 kg/m^2 and 78 had a $\text{BMI} \geq 30.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$), and 54 (6%) with a low BMI, 16 (30%) of whom had a
279 BMI below 17.5. For study purposes the overweight and obese categories were combined as
280 both include ranges of weight considered suboptimal for healthy pregnancy and birth outcomes
281 (Yan, 2015). The women who were of normal weight had a median BMI of 21.6 (IQR=20.4-
282 22.9), the women who were overweight or obese had a median BMI of 28.3 (IQR=26.4-31.0),
283 and the women who were underweight had a median BMI of 17.7 (IQR=17.3-18.2).

284

285 **Sample characteristics**

286 Table 1 shows the distribution of sample characteristics by BMI group. Maternal age was
287 similar in all three groups and the majority of women classed themselves as white European or
288 white other. There was a higher proportion of women of South Asian and mixed ethnicity in
289 the low BMI group, and a higher proportion of women of black African or black Caribbean
290 origin in the high BMI group.

291

292 Women in the high BMI group were more likely to be in the third trimester of pregnancy at the
293 time of completing the survey, were more likely to be multiparous and to have experienced a
294 previous pregnancy loss in comparison to women in the other two BMI groups. They were also
295 less likely to be in full-time employment or education.

296

297 Pregnancy planning as assessed using The London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy (LMUP;
298 Barrett, 2004) was high in all three groups and although not statistically significant, rates of
299 planning were marginally higher in the low BMI group. Women in the low and high BMI
300 groups were more likely to report their general health as poorer at the time of completing the
301 survey compared to women of normal BMI. A quarter of the overall sample had a medical
302 condition prior to pregnancy, with conditions reported including acne rosacea, asthma, bipolar
303 disorder, chlamydia, depression, diabetes, epilepsy, cardiac disease, HIV, hypertension, kidney
304 disease, lung disease, lupus, phenylketonuria (PKU), rheumatoid arthritis, sickle cell anaemia,
305 and thyroid disease.

306

307 Table 1 inserted here

308

309 **Main results**

310 Table 2 shows the frequencies *and* crude and adjusted associations of pre-conception and
311 pregnancy advice offered to women across BMI categories. A total of 253 (28%) women across
312 BMI categories had sought pre-conception advice; 38% (n=20) of women who had a low BMI,
313 28% (n=173) of women with a normal BMI, and 23% (n=52) with a high BMI. Differences in
314 seeking pre-conception advice were not statistically significant across BMI categories. And
315 amongst these women who sought advice, there were not statistically significant differences in
316 receiving healthy lifestyle advice across BMI categories, and there were a higher proportion
317 that received healthy lifestyle advice in comparison to women who did not seek conception
318 advice (see Supplementary Table 1).

319

320 Of the overall sample, 246 (27%) women were offered healthy lifestyle advice of interest pre-
321 conception, 153 (24%) of whom had a normal BMI, 76 (33%) a high BMI, and 17 (32%) a low
322 BMI. Women with a high BMI were more likely to receive advice pre-conception compared to
323 women of normal weight (OR 1.61, 95% CI 1.13-2.30, p=0.009), however women with a low
324 BMI had similar odds to women of normal weight. Further, 652 (71%) women were offered
325 any healthy lifestyle advice *during* pregnancy, 440 (70%) women with a normal BMI, 172
326 (74%) with a high BMI, and 40 (74%) with a low BMI, and this did not differ significantly
327 across BMI categories.

328

329 When considering the specific findings by BMI group, women in the low BMI group had
330 similar odds to women of normal BMI of receiving healthy lifestyle advice, although they had

331 higher odds of being offered advice on alcohol consumption and smoking cessation but not
332 healthy BMI or healthy diet, differences which were not statistically significant. In contrast,
333 women in the high BMI group were more likely to be offered healthy lifestyle advice pre-
334 conception in comparison to women with a normal BMI, associations which persisted after
335 adjustment (healthy BMI: OR 2.55, 95% CI 1.64-3.96, $p<.0001$; healthy diet: OR 1.58, 95%
336 CI 1.06-2.37, $p=0.026$; alcohol consumption: OR 1.63, 95% CI 1.06-2.51, $p=0.026$; smoking
337 cessation: OR 1.62, 95% CI 1.05-2.50, $p=0.029$). Overweight or obese women were also more
338 likely to receive healthy BMI advice during pregnancy, compared to normal weight women
339 (OR 1.79; 95% CI 1.26-2.54; $p=0.0001$).

340

341 Table 2 inserted here

342

343 A high proportion of women in each BMI group had consumed alcohol in the three months
344 before conception and at some time during the index pregnancy, although the frequency of
345 alcohol intake pre-conception and during pregnancy was slightly lower in the high BMI group.
346 Women in the low and high BMI groups were more likely to report smoking three months
347 before conception and at some time during pregnancy, compared to women in the normal BMI
348 group (Table 1).

349

350 **DISCUSSION**

351 Consistent with other pre-conception research (Frey and Files, 2006), around a third of the
352 women for whom BMI data were available had sought pre-conception advice, although it is
353 not known if this was *specifically* for advice on adopting a healthy lifestyle prior to conception
354 in the absence of routine provision of pre-conception care in the UK NHS even for those who
355 have pre-existing medical complications (Taylor et al., 2014). The findings suggest that
356 irrespective of BMI, when women consulted for pre-conceptual advice they were more likely
357 to be offered healthy lifestyle advice, but this needs to be explored further. The findings do
358 show differences in the content of pre-conception and pregnancy healthy lifestyle advice
359 offered by healthcare professionals to women in different BMI groups. We did not find
360 differences between BMI groups on the extent of pregnancy planning (as measured by the
361 LMUP) yet women who had a low BMI were offered pre-conception and pregnancy healthy
362 lifestyle advice at similar levels as women of normal BMI, in contrast to women who had a
363 high BMI, despite potential risks of poor pregnancy outcomes associated with a low BMI.

364

365 The prevalence of women with a low pre-pregnancy BMI in this study was similar to previous
366 research (Jeric et al., 2012) and our findings highlight an important gap in healthcare guidance
367 for the management of women with a low BMI at pregnancy commencement. Research in this
368 area is limited with the majority of studies to date on health and lifestyle behaviours related to
369 pregnancy focusing on women with high BMIs. We cannot exclude the possibility that these
370 findings reflect other possible influences impacting on women's weight management, such as
371 socio-economic and employment issues, but these possibilities are speculative and need to be
372 explored further.

373

374 It is important that women with unhealthy BMIs, both low and high, receive timely advice on
375 healthy BMI and diet to encourage these groups of women to achieve a healthy pre-conception
376 BMI, with the potential to reduce the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes (Simas et al., 2012).
377 More research is needed to establish the most effective strategies for achieving a healthy pre-
378 and post-pregnancy BMI and to determine what is an appropriate gestational weight gain for
379 different groups of BMI women in the UK. The findings are particularly relevant to midwives
380 who have the most frequent contact with women before, during and after pregnancy and are
381 potentially able to identify women with an unhealthy BMI, and offer advice and refer for further
382 support where deemed appropriate.

383

384 A higher proportion of women with a low BMI consumed alcohol before and during pregnancy,
385 with rates similar to women with a normal BMI but slightly higher than women in the high
386 BMI group. Our findings confirm previous study findings that many women continue to use
387 alcohol during pregnancy (O'Keeffe et al., 2015), although we could not link outcomes with
388 units drunk or frequency of intake. Women in the low and high BMI groups were more likely
389 to smoke before and during pregnancy compared to women in the normal BMI group, a
390 finding also reported previously (Audrain-McGovern and Benowitz, 2011). Interestingly,
391 women in the low BMI group recalled being offered alcohol and smoking cessation advice at
392 similar levels as women with a normal BMI, whereas women with a high BMI were more likely
393 to recall being offered alcohol and smoking cessation advice *pre-conception* but not *during*
394 pregnancy, although due to the small sub-group sizes findings should be treated with caution.
395 We did not identify previous research on pre-conception alcohol and smoking cessation advice
396 or pregnancy alcohol advice relevant to maternal BMI, but our findings on pregnancy smoking
397 cessation advice are consistent with previous findings (Hardy et al., 2014).

398

399 Pre-conception and pregnancy alcohol intervention research is limited, although there may be
400 potential benefit of advice on the importance of maintaining alcohol abstinence during
401 pregnancy (Crawford-Williams et al., 2014; Gilinsky et al., 2011; Ingersoll et al., 2013).
402 Smoking cessation research suggests women should be targeted in early pregnancy to optimise
403 maternal and infant health benefits (Yan and Groothuis, 2013), with one recent study finding
404 evidence of the efficacy of providing monetary incentives for pregnant women to quit smoking
405 (Tappin et al., 2015). Our data suggest that smoking cessation interventions should also address
406 healthy weight management to encourage women with low BMIs to quit smoking (Audrain-
407 McGovern and Benowitz, 2011). Unhealthy BMI, alcohol consumption and tobacco smoking
408 are all potentially modifiable risk factors to prevent adverse maternal and infant outcomes.
409 Tailored support from the relevant health professionals and referral for timely and appropriate
410 interventions to enable women to adopt and sustain healthy lifestyle behaviours are needed,
411 including pre-conception.

412

413 Women in the low and high BMI groups rated their general health as significantly lower than
414 women of normal BMI at the time of completing the survey. This is consistent with previous
415 research (Ford et al., 2001; Norman and Fraser, 2013) and are not unexpected findings
416 considering these BMI categories are associated with a range of health comorbidities (World
417 Health Organization, 2002). Poor general health may affect motivation and perceived ability
418 to adhere to healthy lifestyle recommendations (Wardle, 2003), which is an additional
419 consideration for future guidance and clinical management of women who are underweight
420 and planning pregnancy.

421

422 **Strengths and limitations**

423 The study has several strengths that warrant further consideration. Data on a range of relevant
424 pre-conception and pregnancy outcomes were collected, with minimal missing data. Most
425 importantly, this study has presented new evidence in terms of showing that about a third of
426 women had sought pre-conception advice and that a quarter of women had a medical
427 complication prior to becoming pregnant.

428

429 The interpretation of the study findings however needs to take limitations into account. The
430 sample size recruited was slightly lower than originally calculated (Stephenson et al 2014) and
431 the smaller number of women in the low BMI group limited the statistical power and

432 complexity of analysis. Therefore, findings should be interpreted with caution and replication
433 in larger studies is required. We used self-reported height and weight as these are typically used
434 in pregnancy research, although prone to measurement error as individuals tend to slightly
435 overestimate their height and underestimate their weight (Brunner Huber, 2007; Rowland,
436 1990; Stommel and Schoenborn, 2009). Weight measurements taken at the initial antenatal
437 ‘booking’ appointment are preferable although there is debate as to whether this is an accurate
438 reflection of pre-pregnancy BMI as weight may already be confounded by the developing
439 pregnancy and timing of the assessment.

440

441 There was unequal distribution of ethnicity between the BMI groups in our study and although
442 ethnicity was included as a confounder in the analyses, more research is needed to replicate the
443 findings with more ethnically diverse groupings and larger sample sizes. Furthermore, we did
444 not have data on how often women visited a health professional before or during pregnancy. It
445 is possible that women with a high BMI were more likely to have seen a health professional
446 prior to pregnancy, for other health reasons associated with weight management, and were
447 consequently more likely to receive healthy lifestyle advice. However low and high BMI are
448 associated with a range of comorbidities meaning that women in both groups were just as likely
449 to visit a health professional for reasons unrelated to pregnancy. Finally, the study relied on the
450 recall of healthy lifestyle advice that women were offered. There is no obvious reason as to
451 why level of recall should differ between women in the different BMI groups suggesting recall
452 bias may have been limited, although it cannot be discounted.

453

454 **Conclusion**

455 Our study highlights an important gap in healthcare guidance for the management of women
456 with a low BMI at pregnancy commencement. Low maternal BMI is associated with adverse
457 pregnancy outcomes but has received substantially less attention than high maternal BMI in
458 national guidance and practice. Robust evidence is now required to inform healthy lifestyle and
459 clinical management guidance which addresses the gaps in the current evidence-base and can
460 be tailored to meet individual women’s needs. In the interim, health professionals need to be
461 aware of the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes for women who have a low BMI and the
462 association with poor lifestyle choices.

463

464 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

465 We would like to thank the team involved in the Pre-Pregnancy Health & Care in England:
466 Exploring Implementation and Public Health Impact Project. We are extremely grateful to The
467 Department of Health for funding this research and to all of the women that have taken part in
468 this study. Debra Bick is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)
469 Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care South London at King's
470 College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust. The views expressed are those of the authors and not
471 necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

472

473 **FUNDING**

474 This research was funded by the Department of Health Policy Research Programme (Pre-
475 Pregnancy Health and Care in England: Exploring Implementation and Public Health Impact,
476 006/0068). The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not
477 necessarily those of the Department of Health.

478

479 **Ethics approval**

480 Ethical approval for the survey was granted as part of the larger project, the Pre-Pregnancy
481 Health & Care in England: Exploring Implementation and Public Health Impact, by the
482 National Research Ethics Service, NRES Committee London - Bromley (REC reference
483 11/LO/0881).

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

Table 1 Comparison of sample characteristics across the BMI categories¹

		All	BMI categories			
			Normal Weight	Underweight	Overweight and Obese	P-value
Characteristics % (n)		n=918	n=632	n=54	n=232	
Age	<30	26 (234)	25 (152)	26 (13)	31 (69)	0.189
	30-34	42 (370)	43 (262)	51 (26)	36 (82)	
	35+	32 (285)	32 (198)	24 (12)	33 (75)	
Ethnic group	White	70 (630)	72 (448)	59 (31)	67 (151)	P<0.0001
	South Asian	11 (95)	10 (63)	25 (13)	8 (19)	
	Black	7 (66)	6 (34)	-	14 (32)	
	Mixed/other	12 (108)	12 (75)	17 (9)	11 (24)	
Employment status	Employed or F/T education	70 (639)	73 (457)	69 (37)	63 (145)	0.025
	Unemployed	8 (75)	7 (44)	13 (7)	10 (24)	
	At home or maternity	17 (158)	15 (96)	15 (8)	24 (54)	
	Other	4 (36)	5 (28)	4 (2)	3 (6)	
Education	Degree	70 (627)	73 (448)	79 (42)	60 (127)	0.005
	Diploma	17 (148)	15 (92)	13 (7)	22 (49)	
	Other	11 (101)	10 (62)	4 (2)	16 (37)	
	No qualifications	2 (22)	3 (16)	4 (2)	2 (4)	
Gestation	First trimester	42 (382)	45 (282)	46 (25)	33 (75)	0.004
	Second trimester	35 (322)	35 (216)	37 (20)	37 (86)	
	Third trimester	23 (207)	20 (128)	17 (9)	30 (70)	
Parity	Primiparous	61 (546)	64 (392)	72 (39)	51 (115)	0.001
	Multiparous	39 (346)	36 (221)	28 (15)	49 (110)	
Miscarriage history	No	73 (581)	76 (422)	78 (38)	63 (121)	0.003
	Yes	27 (216)	24 (135)	22 (11)	37 (70)	
Pregnancy intention	Unplanned	2 (21)	2 (14)	2 (1)	3 (6)	0.265
	Ambivalent	22 (204)	22 (136)	13 (7)	26 (61)	
	Planned	75 (685)	76 (474)	85 (46)	71 (165)	
General health	Good to excellent	93 (856)	97 (610)	89 (48)	85 (198)	P<0.0001
	Poor to fair	7 (62)	4 (22)	11 (6)	15 (34)	
Medical condition	No	86 (792)	88 (553)	89 (48)	82 (191)	0.125
	Yes	14 (126)	13 (79)	11 (6)	18 (41)	
Anxiety/mood	No	95 (876)	96 (606)	93 (50)	95 (220)	0.475
	Yes	5 (42)	4 (26)	7 (4)	5 (12)	
Alcohol before	No	31 (274)	29 (175)	29 (15)	38 (84)	0.043
	Yes	69 (611)	71 (436)	71 (36)	62 (139)	
Alcohol during	No	47 (409)	45 (269)	47 (24)	53 (116)	0.101
	Yes	53 (461)	55 (332)	53 (27)	47 (102)	
Smoking before	No	83 (743)	85 (523)	80 (43)	78 (177)	0.043
	Yes	17 (156)	15 (94)	20 (11)	22 (51)	

Smoking during	No	86 (743)	88 (523)	83 (43)	81 (177)	0.026
	Yes	14 (125)	12 (73)	17 (9)	20 (43)	

496 ¹ BMI categories: underweight (<18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (18.5–24.9 kg/m²), overweight or obese (≥25 kg/m²).

- 497
- 498
- 499
- 500
- 501
- 502
- 503
- 504
- 505
- 506
- 507
- 508
- 509
- 510
- 511
- 512
- 513
- 514
- 515
- 516
- 517
- 518
- 519
- 520
- 521
- 522
- 523
- 524
- 525
- 526
- 527
- 528
- 529
- 530
- 531
- 532
- 533
- 534
- 535
- 536
- 537
- 538
- 539
- 540
- 541
- 542
- 543
- 544
- 545
- 546
- 547
- 548
- 549
- 550
- 551
- 552

553
554
555

Table 2 Frequencies and associations of pre-conception and pregnancy advice offered to women, across BMI categories¹

Outcome	BMI categories		<i>P</i> -value	Overweight/Obese	<i>P</i> -value
	Normal	Underweight			
<i>Before pregnancy</i>					
Conception advice					
% n	28 (173)	38 (20)		23 (52)	
OR (95% CI)	1 -	1.56 (0.87-2.80)	0.133	0.77 (0.54-1.10)	0.151
Adj OR (95% CI)	1 -	1.55 (0.83-2.89)	0.172	0.78 (0.52-1.16)	0.216
Any advice of interest					
% n	24 (153)	32 (17)		33 (76)	
OR (95% CI)	1 -	1.44 (0.79-2.63)	0.237	1.53 (1.10-2.12)	0.012
Adj OR (95% CI)	1 -	1.33 (0.70-2.53)	0.379	1.61 (1.13-2.30)	0.009
- Healthy BMI					
% n	10 (64)	9 (5)		22 (50)	
OR (95% CI)	1 -	0.91 (0.35-2.36)	0.839	2.44 (1.63-3.66)	<i>P</i> <0.0001
Adj OR (95% CI)	1 -	0.81 (0.28-2.36)	0.704	2.55 (1.64-3.96)	<i>P</i> <0.0001
- Healthy diet					
% n	17 (107)	19 (10)		24 (55)	
OR (95% CI)	1 -	1.12 (0.54-2.29)	0.766	1.53 (1.06-2.20)	0.024
Adj OR (95% CI)	1 -	0.93 (0.42-2.06)	0.860	1.58 (1.06-2.37)	0.026
- Alcohol					
% n	15 (94)	22 (12)		20 (47)	
OR (95% CI)	1 -	1.64 (0.83-3.22)	0.155	1.45 (0.99-2.14)	0.059
Adj OR (95% CI)	1 -	1.58 (0.77-3.25)	0.215	1.63 (1.06-2.51)	0.026
- Smoking					
% n	14 (90)	19 (10)		20 (46)	
OR (95% CI)	1 -	1.37 (0.67-2.82)	0.394	1.49 (1.01-2.21)	0.047
Adj OR (95% CI)	1 -	1.47 (0.70-3.08)	0.313	1.62 (1.05-2.50)	0.029
<i>During pregnancy</i>					
Any advice of interest					
% n	70 (440)	74 (40)		74 (172)	
OR (95% CI)	1 -	1.25 (0.66-2.35)	0.494	1.25 (0.89-1.76)	0.196
Adj OR (95% CI)	1 -	1.40 (0.71-2.78)	0.336	1.29 (0.89-1.86)	0.176
- Healthy BMI					
% n	26 (165)	30 (16)		37 (86)	
OR (95% CI)	1 -	1.19 (0.65-2.19)	0.573	1.67 (1.21-2.30)	0.002
Adj OR (95% CI)	1 -	1.30 (0.69-2.43)	0.420	1.79 (1.26-2.54)	0.001
- Healthy diet					
% n	59 (373)	54 (29)		66 (153)	
OR (95% CI)	1 -	0.81 (0.46-1.41)	0.447	1.35 (0.98-1.84)	0.065
Adj OR (95% CI)	1 -	0.80 (0.44-1.44)	0.448	1.30 (0.93-1.82)	0.131
- Alcohol					
% n	52 (331)	54 (29)		50 (115)	
OR (95% CI)	1 -	1.05 (0.60-1.84)	0.851	0.89 (0.66-1.21)	0.465
Adj OR (95% CI)	1 -	1.18 (0.65-2.13)	0.595	1.00 (0.72-1.39)	0.999
- Smoking					
% n	46 (292)	46 (25)		48 (111)	

OR (95% CI)	1 -	1.00 (0.58-1.75)	0.989	1.07 (0.79-1.44)	0.668
Adj OR (95% CI)	1 -	1.16 (0.64-2.10)	0.617	1.19 (0.85-1.65)	0.311

556 ¹ BMI categories: underweight (<18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (18.5–24.9 kg/m²), overweight or obese (≥25 kg/m²).

557 [†] adjusted for age, education, ethnicity, gestation, and parity.

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582 **Supplementary Table 1** Frequencies and associations of pre-conception advice offered to women who had and
 583 those who had not visited a health professional for conception advice, across BMI categories¹
 584

Outcome % (n)	All	BMI categories			P-value
		Normal Weight	Underweight	Overweight and Obese	
Women who sought pre-conception advice					
	n=253	n=173	n=20	n=52	
Any advice of interest	59 (182)	57 (98)	70 (14)	64 (33)	0.402
- Healthy BMI	29 (91)	27 (46)	25 (5)	37 (19)	0.354
- Healthy diet	47 (145)	43 (75)	45 (9)	54 (28)	0.411
- Alcohol	40 (123)	36 (63)	50 (10)	44 (23)	0.351
- Smoking	38 (117)	35 (60)	40 (8)	42 (22)	0.577
Women who did not seek pre-conception advice					
	n=840	n=446	n=33	n=174	
Any advice of interest	15 (126)	11 (51)	6 (2)	22 (39)	-
- Healthy BMI	7 (59)	4 (17)	-	16 (28)	-
- Healthy diet	10 (83)	7 (31)	-	14 (25)	-
- Alcohol	9 (72)	7 (29)	3 (1)	13 (22)	-
- Smoking	9 (71)	6 (28)	3 (1)	12 (21)	-

¹ BMI categories: underweight (<18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (18.5–24.9 kg/m²), overweight or obese (≥25 kg/m²).

585
586
587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

- 600 Abayomi, J., Watkinson, H., Topping, J., Hackett, A., 2007. Obesity and underweight among
601 first trimester pregnant women. *Br. J. Midwifery* 15, 143–147.
- 602 Audrain-McGovern, J., Benowitz, N.L., 2011. Cigarette smoking, nicotine, and body weight.
603 *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 90, 164–8.
- 604 Barrett, G., 2004. Conceptualisation, development, and evaluation of a measure of unplanned
605 pregnancy. *J. Epidemiol. Community Heal.* 58, 426–433.
- 606 Bick, D., Beake, S., Chappell, L., Ismail, K.M., McCance, D.R., Green, J., Taylor, C., 2014.
607 Management of pregnant and postnatal women with pre-existing diabetes or cardiac
608 disease using multi-disciplinary team models of care: a systematic review. *BMC*
609 *Pregnancy Childbirth* 14, 428. doi:10.1186/s12884-014-0428-5
- 610 Brown, A., Avery, A., 2012. Healthy weight management during pregnancy: what advice and
611 information is being provided. *J. Hum. Nutr. Diet.* 25, 378–87.
- 612 Brunner Huber, L.R., 2007. Validity of Self-reported Height and Weight in Women of
613 Reproductive Age. *Matern. Child Health J.* 11, 137–144. doi:10.1007/s10995-006-0157-
614 0
- 615 Crawford-Williams, F., Fielder, A., Mikocka-Walus, A., Esterman, A., 2014. A critical
616 review of public health interventions aimed at reducing alcohol consumption and/or
617 increasing knowledge among pregnant women. *Drug Alcohol Rev.*
- 618 Doherty, D., Magann, E.F., Francis, J., Morrison, J.C., Newnham, J.P., 2006. Pre-pregnancy
619 body mass index and pregnancy outcomes. *Int. J. Gynaecol. Obstet.* 95, 242–7.
- 620 Ehrenberg, H.M., Dierker, L., Milluzzi, C., Mercer, B.M., 2003. Low maternal weight, failure
621 to thrive in pregnancy, and adverse pregnancy outcomes. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 189,
622 1726–30.
- 623 Feodor Nilsson, S., Andersen, P., Strandberg-Larsen, K., Nybo Andersen, A.M., 2014. Risk
624 factors for miscarriage from a prevention perspective: a nationwide follow-up study.
625 *BJOG.*
- 626 Ford, E.S., Moriarty, D.G., Zack, M.M., Mokdad, A.H., Chapman, D.P., 2001. Self-reported
627 body mass index and health-related quality of life: findings from the Behavioral Risk
628 Factor Surveillance System. *Obes. Res.* 9, 21–31.
- 629 Frey, K.A., Files, J.A., 2006. Preconception Healthcare: What Women Know and Believe.
630 *Matern. Child Health J.* 10, 73–77. doi:10.1007/s10995-006-0110-2
- 631 Gaillard, R., Durmuş, B., Hofman, A., Mackenbach, J.P., Steegers, E.A.P., Jaddoe, V.W. V,
632 2013. Risk factors and outcomes of maternal obesity and excessive weight gain during
633 pregnancy. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 21, 1046–55.

- 634 Gilinsky, A., Swanson, V., Power, K., 2011. Interventions delivered during antenatal care to
635 reduce alcohol consumption during pregnancy: A systematic review 19, 235–250.
- 636 Han, Z., Mulla, S., Beyene, J., Liao, G., McDonald, S.D., 2011. Maternal underweight and
637 the risk of preterm birth and low birth weight: a systematic review and meta-analyses.
638 *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 40, 65–101.
- 639 Hardy, B., Szatkowski, L., Tata, L.J., Coleman, T., Dhalwani, N.N., 2014. Smoking cessation
640 advice recorded during pregnancy in United Kingdom primary care. *BMC Fam. Pract.*
641 15, 21.
- 642 Helgstrand, S., Andersen, A.-M.N., 2005. Maternal underweight and the risk of spontaneous
643 abortion. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* 84, 1197–201.
- 644 Ingersoll, K.S., Ceperich, S.D., Hetteima, J.E., Farrell-Carnahan, L., Penberthy, J.K., 2013.
645 Preconceptional motivational interviewing interventions to reduce alcohol-exposed
646 pregnancy risk. *J. Subst. Abuse Treat.* 44, 407–16.
- 647 Institute of Medicine, 2009. *Weight Gain During Pregnancy: reexamining the guidelines.*
648 National Academies Press, Washington, D.C. doi:10.17226/12584
- 649 Jeric, M., Roje, D., Medic, N., Strinic, T., Mestrovic, Z., Vulic, M., 2012. Maternal pre-
650 pregnancy underweight and fetal growth in relation to institute of medicine
651 recommendations for gestational weight gain. *Early Hum. Dev.* 89, 277–81.
- 652 Kosa, J.L., Guendelman, S., Pearl, M., Graham, S., Abrams, B., Kharrazi, M., 2011. The
653 association between pre-pregnancy BMI and preterm delivery in a diverse southern
654 California population of working women. *Matern. Child Health J.* 15, 772–81.
- 655 Kumar, N., Harshini, V., Ramiah, R., Gowda, R., 2013. Impact of life style and body mass
656 index on infertility in women. *Int J Pharm* 4, 231–233.
- 657 Molarius, A., Berglund, K., Eriksson, C., Eriksson, H.G., Lindén-Boström, M., Nordström,
658 E., et al, 2009. Mental health symptoms in relation to socio-economic conditions and
659 lifestyle factors--a population-based study in Sweden. *BMC Public Health* 9, 302.
- 660 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2010. *Weight management before, during
661 and after pregnancy: guidance.* PH27. London: NICE.
- 662 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2008a. *Antenatal care.* CG62. London:
663 NICE.
- 664 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2008b. *Maternal and child nutrition:
665 guidance.* PH11. London: NICE.
- 666 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2006. *Postnatal care.* CG37. London:
667 NICE.
- 668 Norman, P., Fraser, L., 2013. Self-reported general health and Body Mass Index: a U-shaped
669 relationship? *Public Health* 127, 938–45.

- 670 Nykjaer, C., Alwan, N.A., Greenwood, D.C., Simpson, N.A.B., Hay, A.W.M., White,
671 K.L.M., et al, 2014. Maternal alcohol intake prior to and during pregnancy and risk of
672 adverse birth outcomes: evidence from a British cohort. *J. Epidemiol. Community*
673 *Health* 68, 542–9.
- 674 O’Keeffe, L.M., Kearney, P.M., McCarthy, F.P., Khashan, A.S., Greene, R.A., North, R.A.,
675 Poston, L., McCowan, L.M.E., Baker, P.N., Dekker, G.A., Walker, J.J., Taylor, R.,
676 Kenny, L.C., 2015. Prevalence and predictors of alcohol use during pregnancy: findings
677 from international multicentre cohort studies. *BMJ Open* 5, e006323.
678 doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006323
- 679 Ogden, C.L., Carroll, M.D., Kit, B.K., Flegal, K.M., 2013. Prevalence of obesity among
680 adults: United States, 2011-2012. *NCHS Data Brief* 1–8.
- 681 Rahman, M.M., Abe, S.K., Kanda, M., Narita, S., Rahman, M.S., Bilano, V., et al, 2015.
682 Maternal body mass index and risk of birth and maternal health outcomes in low- and
683 middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes. Rev.* 16, 758–
684 70. doi:10.1111/obr.12293
- 685 Rowland, M.L., 1990. Self-reported weight and height. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 52, 1125–33.
- 686 Sekiya, N., Anai, T., Matsubara, M., Miyazaki, F., 2007. Maternal weight gain rate in the
687 second trimester are associated with birth weight and length of gestation. *Gynecol.*
688 *Obstet. Invest.* 63, 45–8.
- 689 Simas, T.A.M., Waring, M.E., Liao, X., Garrison, A., Sullivan, G.M.T., Howard, A.E., et al,
690 2012. Prepregnancy weight, gestational weight gain, and risk of growth affected
691 neonates. *J. Womens. Health (Larchmt)*. 21, 410–7.
- 692 Stephenson, J., Patel, D., Barrett, G., Howden, B., Copas, A., Ojukwu, O., et al, 2014. How
693 do women prepare for pregnancy? Preconception experiences of women attending
694 antenatal services and views of health professionals. *PLoS One* 9, e103085.
695 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103085
- 696 Stommel, M., Schoenborn, C.A., 2009. Accuracy and usefulness of BMI measures based on
697 self-reported weight and height: findings from the NHANES & NHIS 2001-2006. *BMC*
698 *Public Health* 9, 421.
- 699 Tappin, D., Bauld, L., Purves, D., Boyd, K., Sinclair, L., MacAskill, S., et al, 2015. Financial
700 incentives for smoking cessation in pregnancy: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 350,
701 h134.
- 702 Taylor, C., Bick, D., Beake, S., Chappell, L., Ismail, K., Thorne, S., Green, J., McCance, D.,
703 2014. Multidisciplinary team-working for women with high risk pregnancies: results
704 from a systematic review and survey of current practice [abstract]., in: *MacDonald UK*
705 *Obstetric Medicine Meeting*. Nottingham 25.04.14.
- 706 Voigt, M., Jorch, G., Briese, V., Kwoell, G., Borchardt, U., Straube, S., 2011. The combined

707 effect of maternal body mass index and smoking status on perinatal outcomes - an
708 analysis of the german perinatal survey. *Z. Geburtshilfe Neonatol.* 215, 23–8.

709 Wardle, J., 2003. Socioeconomic differences in attitudes and beliefs about healthy lifestyles.
710 *J. Epidemiol. Community Heal.* 57, 440–443. doi:10.1136/jech.57.6.440

711 World Health Organization, 2006. Global Database on Body Mass Index: BMI Classification.
712 Geneva : World Health Organization.

713 World Health Organization, 2002. The World Health Report: 2002: Reducing the risks,
714 promoting healthy life. Geneva : World Health Organization.

715 Yamamoto, A., McCormick, M.C., Burris, H.H., 2013. US Provider-Reported Diet and
716 Physical Activity Counseling to Pregnant and Non-pregnant Women of Childbearing
717 Age During Preventive Care Visits. *Matern. Child Health J.* 18, 1610–8.

718 Yan, J., 2015. Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, gestational weight gain, and infant birth weight:
719 A within-family analysis in the United States. *Econ. Hum. Biol.* 18, 1–12.

720 Yan, J., Groothuis, P.A., 2013. Timing of Prenatal Smoking Cessation or Reduction and
721 Infant Birth Weight: Evidence from the United Kingdom Millennium Cohort Study.
722 *Matern. Child Health J.* 19, 447–58.

723