

Environmental Management

Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Journal of

Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: JEMA-D-16-00243

Title: THE ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF REDUCING TRAFFIC-RELATED POLLUTION NEAR  
PRIMARY SCHOOLS: THE CASE OF LONDON.

Article Type: Research Article

Keywords: Traffic air pollution, Children health, monetary benefits,  
primary schools, London

Corresponding Author: Dr. carla guerriero,

Corresponding Author's Institution: lshtm

First Author: carla guerriero

Order of Authors: carla guerriero; LIA CHATZIDI AKOU; John Cairns ;  
Dejan Mumovic

Dear Editor,

We would like to consider the possibility of publication of a paper entitled " THE ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF REDUCING TRAFFIC-RELATED POLLUTION NEAR PRIMARY SCHOOLS: THE CASE OF LONDON" in the Journal of Environmental Management. There is now sufficient evidence to conclude that there is a causal relationship between traffic air pollution and children health. Previous studies assessed the potential benefits of reducing ambient regional air pollution in areas where children are living (Perez et al. 2013,Perez et al. 2012; Perez et al. 2009; Brandt et al. 2012).

Economic evaluation provides policy makers with evidence-based information on the cost and the benefits environmental interventions targeting human health. Previous studies focused on estimating the potential benefit of reducing residential outdoor exposure to air pollution (e.g. Brandt et al. 2012). However, outside home, children spend a significant proportion of their time at school. Targeting air pollution reduction intervention to the specific areas where schools are located is likely to be easier/less expensive than enforcing air pollution reductions in regional areas. Given the high school population density interventions targeting schools area are also more likely to be cost-effective.

In addition, evidence on the benefits of having healthy environmental surrounding schools can be pivotal in guiding policy makers decisions on how to build new school buildings.

To the best of our knowledge, no study assessed the cost-effectiveness of interventions aimed at reducing children exposure to traffic related air pollution during at school. This study developed a methodology to quantify the potential health and monetary benefits of reducing indoor NO<sub>2</sub> exposure among children at schools. Using environmental and health data from the UK sample of the SINPHONIE study the study applied the described methodology to quantify the potential health and economic benefits of reducing NO<sub>2</sub> indoor exposure in London primary schools located near busy roads.

Please address all correspondence concerning this manuscript to me at:

[Carla.Guerriero@lshtm.ac.uk](mailto:Carla.Guerriero@lshtm.ac.uk)

On behalf of the authors thank you for your consideration of this manuscript.

Sincerely,

*Carla Guerriero*

**THE ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF REDUCING TRAFFIC-RELATED POLLUTION NEAR PRIMARY SCHOOLS:  
THE CASE OF LONDON.**

*By* CARLA GUERRIERO\*, LIA CHATZIDIAKOU, JOHN CAIRNS, DEJAN MUMOVIC

\* Corresponding Author: Carla Guerriero Department of Public Health and Policy, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, 15-17 Tavistock Place WC1H 9SH London UK (e-mail:Carla.Guerriero@lshtm.ac.uk); Dr. Lia Chatzidiakou: Centre for Atmospheric Science Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge Lensfield Rd, Cambridge, CB2 1EW; Prof John Cairns: Department of Public Health and Policy, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, WC1H 9SH Tavistock Place London UK; Prof. Dejan Mumovic: UCL Institute for Environmental Design and Engineering (UCL IEDE) Central House14 Upper Woburn Place London WC1H 0NN.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## ***Abstract***

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 There is now sufficient epidemiological evidence to suggest a causal relationship between traffic-  
7 related air pollution exposure and asthma exacerbation in children. Providing a healthy school  
8 environment is a priority for child health. The objective of this study is to develop a methodology  
9 that allows quantification of the potential economic benefit of reducing indoor exposure to traffic-  
10 related pollution in children attending primary schools. Using environmental and health data  
11 collected in primary schools in London, this study estimates the yearly number of asthma  
12 exacerbations that can be prevented in each primary school located in proximity to a traffic polluted  
13 area in London. Using a willingness to pay approach, the study quantifies the potential monetary  
14 benefits of reducing indoor nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) exposure based on three different perspectives:  
15 children's perspective adjusted for parents' budget, parents' perspective and children's perspective  
16 based on children's budget. The study expands upon previous analyses in two ways: first it assesses  
17 the health benefits of reducing children's exposure to traffic-related air pollution while at school,  
18 second it considers the children's perspective in the economic evaluation. Findings of this study  
19 indicate that designers, engineers, policymakers and stakeholders need to consider the reduction of  
20 outdoor pollution, and particularly NO<sub>2</sub> levels, near primary schools as there may be substantial  
21 health and monetary benefits.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 **Keywords:** Traffic air pollution, Children health, monetary benefits, primary schools, London  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## 1. Introduction

Over the past few decades, research evidence regarding the effects of traffic-related air pollution on human health has been mounting.(Health Effect Institute 2010). Previous economic evaluations conducted on the potential human health benefits of reducing traffic related air pollution showed that there is a strong economic incentive to improve air quality. A study conducted by Kunzli et al. quantified the health costs associated with traffic-related air pollution in three European countries: Austria, France and Switzerland. It showed that air pollution related costs of all three countries amounted to some €49,400 million and that road traffic alone was responsible for €26,400 million (Künzli et al. 1999).

Given the high vulnerability of children to environmental hazards there is an increasing number of economic evaluations assessing environmental health interventions targeting youth health (Pruss-Ustun A and Corvalan A 2006). Previous economic evaluations estimating the burden of childhood asthma associated with exposure to traffic pollution in Southern California (USA) suggest that the potential monetary benefit of reducing air pollution is high: US\$2,765,520 and US\$6,110,400 in Riverside and Long Beach respectively(Brandt et al. 2012). A more recent study conducted in ten European cities showed that the potential monetary benefit of reducing traffic-related pollution is even higher because of the higher traffic densities and proportion of urban dwellers living in proximity to busy roads compared to US urban areas (Perez L. et al. 2013). On average, Perez et al. estimated that up to 14% of all asthma episodes are attributable to exposure to traffic-related pollution (Perez L. et al. 2013).

Apart from the home, schools are where children spend most of their waking hours and may engage in physical activity that would increase inhalation rates and dose of pollutants(Eurostat 2011). A systematic meta-analysis of current evidence showed that school exposure to NO<sub>2</sub> is associated with an increased risk of prevalence and incidence of childhood asthma (Gasana J. et al. 2012). Despite

1 the importance of providing healthy environments for children in school, there are no economic  
2 evaluations of interventions to reduce traffic-related air pollution close to primary schools.  
3  
4

5 Using indoor and outdoor pollution data collected in London primary schools as part of the project  
6  
7 “Schools Indoor Pollution and Health: Observatory Network in Europe” (SINPHONIE), this paper  
8  
9 estimates the burden of preventable childhood asthma under reduced exposure to indoor NO<sub>2</sub>;  
10  
11 secondly using willingness to pay values from both a parent and a child perspective, it quantifies the  
12  
13 potential monetary benefit of preventing traffic-related childhood asthma exacerbations in primary  
14  
15 schools.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21 The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in the following section an outline of the methodology  
22  
23 is presented together with a brief description of the data used to populate the analysis. The results of  
24  
25 the baseline analysis together with the results of sensitivity analyses (deterministic and  
26  
27 probabilistic) are presented in section 3, while sections 4 and 5 offer concluding observations  
28  
29 outlining the limits of the study and the opportunity for further research. A brief description of the  
30  
31 SINPHONIE database is provided in the Supplemental Material.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## 2. Methodology

A damage function analytical framework was used to value the health impact of reducing NO<sub>2</sub> exposure in pupils attending primary school in London. The framework combined environmental, health and economic data to quantify the potential monetary benefits of reducing NO<sub>2</sub> exposure in schools.

The analysis consisted of four steps; firstly to quantify the number of asthma exacerbations attributable to traffic-related air pollution in London primary schools. The second step was to assign a monetary value to the burden of childhood asthma that can be prevented by reducing indoor concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub>. The economic value of a policy intervention depends on how long the policy is assumed to display its effect. The third step was to estimate the present value of the future monetary benefits arising from reduction in traffic-related air pollution.

In order to account for the high degree of uncertainty associated with the input parameters used in the study, the fourth component of the study involved an extensive sensitivity analysis (deterministic and probabilistic using Monte Carlo simulation) was performed to assess how variation in the parameters affects model results. The characteristics of the five schools (S1-S5, see Table 1) examined in the SINPHONIE study and the parameters used to populated the analysis are reported in Table 1. For further details on the dataset used and how the data were collected please see the Supplementary Material.

### **2.1 Health Benefits analysis: asthma exacerbations associated with traffic-related air pollution.**

The yearly number of asthma exacerbations per school that can be prevented by reducing outdoor NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations was estimated in three consecutive steps:



1  
2 The first step consisted of calculating the probability of having asthma-related symptoms for a  
3 decrease in 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> of NO<sub>2</sub> using the following formula(Martuzzi M. et al. 2006):  
4

$$5 \quad P_{10} = \frac{\left(\frac{P_0}{1-P_0} * OR\right)}{\left[1 + \left(\frac{P_0}{1-P_0} * OR\right)\right]} - P_0$$

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15 Where P<sub>0</sub> is the relevant background rate among children in primary school age and OR is the Odds  
16 Ratio of having experienced asthma related symptoms per 10 mg/m<sup>3</sup> NO<sub>2</sub>. The background rate (P<sub>0</sub>)  
17 used in the baseline analysis is the average prevalence of asthmatic children in the urban school  
18 (10.16) (Table 1). While the OR comes from the SINPHONIE (OR: 1.11, 95% confidence interval  
19 (CI): 1.04-1.19)(Chatzidiakou et al. 2015).  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28 The second step was to estimate the average indoor NO<sub>2</sub> concentration (X<sub>c</sub>) given the observed  
29 outdoor NO<sub>2</sub> concentration (X<sub>0</sub>) and the fraction of NO<sub>2</sub> indoor related to outdoor traffic air  
30 pollution (I/O).  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

$$36 \quad X_c = X_0 * I/O$$

37  
38  
39  
40 The choice of the baseline concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> is crucial in assessing the potential benefits for  
41 child health arising from lower outdoor traffic-related air pollution. The outdoor NO<sub>2</sub> concentration  
42 assumed in the baseline was the average NO<sub>2</sub> level observed by the SINPHONIE study in the winter  
43 season in urban schools: 43.7 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (SD:4.1)(Chatzidiakou et al. 2013a; Chatzidiakou et al. 2013b).  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 The Indoor-Outdoor (I/O) ratio in the baseline was equal to the mean I/O ratio in urban schools:  
51 0.7(SD:0.1) (Chatzidiakou et al. 2013a; Chatzidiakou et al. 2013b).  
52  
53  
54

55 The number of asthma exacerbations per year in each school (D<sub>c</sub>) is estimated by the following  
56 formula(Martuzzi M. et al. 2006):  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

$$D_c = N * P_{10} * G_d * T_e \left[ \frac{(Xc - B)}{10} \right]$$

The above equation quantifies the annual number of additional asthma exacerbations ( $D_c$ ) per  $N$  children subjected to category  $c$  of exposure. In this case, we assumed  $N$  to be equal to the average number of pupils of those schools included in the UK SINPHONIE sample (432 pupils SD: 83.31).  $G_d$  is the daily prevalence of asthma exacerbations among those who are asthmatic. Using data from the Clean Air for Europe study,  $G_d$  is assumed to be 10%, which is the mean daily prevalence of bronchodilator use by school children who reported having asthma symptoms in school environments during the winter season (Hurley F. et al. 2002).  $T_e$  is the time of exposure, which in our case is the number of days each year in which children are exposed to  $c$  levels of  $NO_2$ . In one year, there are at least 190 school days.

In order to estimate outdoor  $NO_2$  concentrations over the academic year, measurements were obtained from a fixed monitoring station in proximity to the urban schools (Islington Upper Street, London Air Quality Network) (London Air Quality Network 2014). Average outdoor  $NO_2$  during a typical school day (09:00 -15:00 on working days) value were above  $60 \mu g/m^3$  in 127 of the 194 days of the school year 2011-2012 (65 % of the time). The multilevel regression estimated that 84% of the variation in indoor  $NO_2$  levels is explained by outdoor levels, and therefore outdoor levels are a reliable predictor of indoor levels across seasons (Banerjee and Annesi-Maesano 2012; Chatzidiakou et al. 2015).  $X_c$  is the average  $NO_2$  indoor concentrations in schools located near busy streets.  $B$  is the baseline indoor  $NO_2$  exposure level in suburban schools in the winter season ( $14.9 \mu g/m^3$ ).

The final step is to quantify the number of additional asthma exacerbations attributable to indoor  $NO_2$  concentration per primary school. This involves assessing the lower and upper estimates for  $D_c$  using the 95% CI for the adjusted OR.

## 2.2 Monetary valuation of the asthma exacerbations averted.

1  
2 There are two main approaches to assigning economic values to health outcomes in the cost-benefit  
3  
4 analysis of environmental health interventions: the human capital approach and the willingness to  
5  
6 pay (WTP) approach (Bateman IJ. et al. 2002). The human capital approach quantifies the overall  
7  
8 tangible cost to the society associated with a given disease. Despite the advantage of being  
9  
10 straightforward and easy to compute, the human capital approach underestimates the real costs  
11  
12 associated with diseases by not including intangible costs in the evaluation, such as the pain and the  
13  
14 suffering associated with individuals' loss of well-being.  
15  
16  
17  
18

19  
20 As the name suggests, the WTP approach involves eliciting how much individuals are willing to  
21  
22 pay for a change in a health related risk (e.g. how much they are willing to pay for a 10% reduction  
23  
24 in the risk of an asthma exacerbation). Since eliciting WTP directly from children is difficult, the  
25  
26 majority of the WTP studies with respect to children's health have estimated the WTP using the  
27  
28 "parental perspective" (OECD 2006). The parental perspective attempts to quantify how much  
29  
30 parents are willing to pay to reduce the health risk faced by their children. According to Viscusi et  
31  
32 al. and Alberini et al. the parental perspective offers a reliable source of WTP estimates because  
33  
34 parents have defined preferences for their children's health and because parents are the persons who  
35  
36 actually pay for their children's health risk reduction (Alberini A et al. 2010; Viscusi W.K. et al.  
37  
38 1987).  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 Nevertheless, according to the principle of consumer sovereignty the individuals who benefit from  
46  
47 the health risk reductions are also the best judges to assign them an economic value (Dockins C et al.  
48  
49 2002). Also, if a parental perspective is adopted the WTP estimate is not based on children's  
50  
51 preferences, and both parental altruism and parents' risk perception may bias WTP estimates  
52  
53 (OECD 2006).  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Only one study has elicited, using a contingent valuation questionnaire, WTP estimates for asthma  
2 health risk reduction from children (aged 7-19) and their parents (Guerriero C. et al.). The main  
3  
4 findings of the study are that children have defined preferences for their own health risk reductions  
5  
6 and that they are able to trade-off money for risk. The study also found that parental WTP values  
7  
8 for risk reductions were significantly higher than the ones provided by children themselves.  
9

10  
11  
12 As Pearce et al. suggest, the valuation of children's health risk depends on the household context  
13  
14 and, in particular, on the distribution of the decision power within the household (Pearce D. et al.  
15  
16 2006). To the best of our knowledge, no study has explored the extent to which children's  
17  
18 preferences for their own risk reduction are taken into account within the household. To account  
19  
20 for the lack of information about the context of household decision making, this study uses three  
21  
22 different WTP values to estimate the potential benefit of reducing indoor NO<sub>2</sub> exposure in primary  
23  
24 schools: children's WTP adjusted for household budget, parents' WTP estimates and children's  
25  
26 WTP given their monthly income. An aggregate household perspective (children plus parents WTP  
27  
28 according to their personal budgets) was not adopted because of potential double counting.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 The WTP values estimated in Guerriero et al. were adjusted to be used in the present study in two  
36  
37 consecutive steps. Firstly, the statistical value of an asthma exacerbation was estimated by dividing  
38  
39 the WTP estimate by the size of the risk reduction,  $\Delta r$ , associated with the policy (Pearce D. et al.  
40  
41 2006).  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 The second step consists in adjusting the value of an asthma exacerbation for the different context  
47  
48 in which environmental policy is taking place. Since the WTP estimates for asthma risk reduction  
49  
50 were estimated in Italy, they were translated into London values (2013 prices) using the unit value  
51  
52 transfer with income adjustment procedure. The OECD recommends this method of benefit transfer  
53  
54 because it is simple, transparent and generally yields reliable WTP estimates (Bateman IJ. et al.  
55  
56 2002; OECD 2011b). The formula used to translate the WTP for an asthma health risk reduction in  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 the study site (Naples) to policy site (London) values is the following (Bateman IJ. et al. 2002;  
2 OECD 2011b):  
3

$$4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 7 \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad WTP_j = WTP_i \left(\frac{Y_j}{Y_i}\right)^e$$

10 Where  $WTP_i$  is the WTP estimate from the revealed preference study site,  $Y_i$  and  $Y_j$  are the income  
11 per capita in Naples and London respectively (ISTAT 2013; Statistic 2013).  $e$  is the income  
12 elasticity which measures the proportional change in WTP for the environmental health risk  
13 reduction in response to a proportional change in real income.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20 An income elasticity of 0.8 was assumed in the baseline analysis, as recommended by the  
21 OECD (OECD 2011b). Changes in the benefit estimates for different elasticity values were explored  
22 in one-way and in probabilistic sensitivity analyses.  $Y_j$ ,  $Y_i$  and WTP values were converted to  
23 2013 £ values using purchasing power parity adjusted exchange rate (OECD 2013). Given that the  
24 WTP study was conducted in 2013, adjustment of the WTP values was not necessary.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 The resulting WTP per asthma exacerbation estimates were: £78, £93 and £4 assuming a child  
34 perspective adjusted for family budget, a parental perspective and a children's willingness to pay  
35 respectively (OECD 2006). The first and last WTP values adjusted for the age of child (younger  
36 children have a higher WTP compared to adolescents).  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

### 45 **2.3 Time adjustment**

46 The present value of the potential benefit (PVB) of reducing  $NO_2$  indoor exposure in schools was  
47 estimated as follows:  
48  
49  
50  
51

$$52 \quad 53 \quad 54 \quad 55 \quad 56 \quad 57 \quad 58 \quad 59 \quad 60 \quad 61 \quad 62 \quad 63 \quad 64 \quad 65 \quad PVB = \lambda * Dc * \frac{1}{(1+d)} * \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+d)^t}\right) / d$$

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Where:  $D_c$  is the number of asthma episodes that can be averted by reducing indoor  $\text{NO}_2$  concentration in schools,  $t$  is the number of years over which the benefits accrue,  $d$  is the discount rate and  $\lambda$  is the willingness to pay for averting an asthma exacerbation (Guerriero C et al. 2011).

The time horizon considered in the baseline analysis is 10 years. One way sensitivity analyses have also been conducted for the purpose of assessing how a shorter (5 years) and a longer time frame (20 years) would affect the benefit estimates. The monetary value of future health benefits are discounted using a 3.5 % discount rate as recommended by the UK Treasury Green Book guidelines for economic evaluation(HM Treasury 2011).

## 2.4 Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity analyses were conducted in order to assess how the baseline estimates of the potential monetary benefits of reducing traffic-related asthma exacerbations changed according to changes in input parameters. Tornado diagrams were used to display the results of one way sensitivity analyses graphically for each of the three WTP perspectives.

The sensitivity analyses explored how the estimated benefits varied assuming a counterfactual concentration ranging from  $40.2 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , the lowest observed value observed in an urban school in the SINPHONIE study, and  $49.4 \text{ mg/m}^3$  the highest value observed in the urban school located near a main traffic artery. According to Hammitt and Robinson, results of benefit transfers are sensitive to the value of income elasticity assumed in the model(Hammitt JK. and Robinson 2011). To assess how overall benefit varies by income elasticity, a range (0.04 to 1.00) similar to that of the US Environmental Protection Agency was used. A range which includes 0.4 the value suggested in the meta analysis by Lindhjem et al. which only included studies that satisfied the scope test (increasing WTP for higher risk reductions) (Lindhjem H. et al. 2011; OECD 2011a).

1 Univariate sensitivity analysis was also performed in order to assess how the prevalence of asthma  
2 and the I/O ratio affected the potential monetary benefits for reducing indoor NO<sub>2</sub> exposure in  
3 schools. The lowest estimate of asthma prevalence was 7.89%, which is the lowest prevalence  
4 found in the urban school children while the highest estimate, 12.50% was the prevalence of asthma  
5 among children in the urban school most exposed to traffic-related air pollution.  
6

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12 The estimate of the mean prevalence of asthma exacerbations among children with asthma assumed  
13 in the baseline analysis 10%, was retrieved from the Clean Air For Europe study and was assumed  
14 to be the same as the mean daily prevalence of bronchodilator usage among asthmatic children. One  
15 way sensitivity analysis was conducted in order to assess how the change in this parameter affects  
16 the estimates of the monetary benefits estimate of 2%, which is the prevalence of bronchodilator use  
17 among children living in Paris during the winter season and 13% which is the mean percentage  
18 using bronchodilators on any given day among asthmatic children living in Kubio (Finland)  
19 (Segala C. et al. 1998; Timonen KL and Pekkanen J 1997).  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 In order to assess how different discount rates affect study results, and also to allow comparison of  
34 the study findings with research using different discount factors, we present estimates of the  
35 potential benefit of reducing indoor NO<sub>2</sub> in primary school assuming a 2% discount rate as  
36 recommended by the European Commission in the guidelines for cost-benefit analysis and 7%  
37 discount rate, which is the discount rate estimated by Alberini et al. as best reflecting individuals'  
38 preference for future mortality risk reduction associated with remediation of contaminated  
39 site (Alberini A. et al. 2007; EC 2001). It is difficult to establish the duration of the health benefit  
40 arising from pollution control interventions a priori. In the baseline analysis, the reduction of NO<sub>2</sub>  
41 indoor exposure was assumed to last for 10 years. To assess how potential benefits might  
42 increase/decrease according to different time frames, we performed a one way sensitivity analysis  
43 assuming a time horizon of 2 years and of 20 years.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 A Monte Carlo simulation with 1,000 iterations was also performed to assess how parameter  
2 uncertainty affects the model results. Probability distributions were assigned to the main parameters  
3  
4 in the analysis according to standard guidelines for economic evaluation of health care  
5  
6 intervention(Briggs et al. 2008). A Gamma distribution was assigned to willingness to pay  
7  
8 estimates, Beta distribution was adopted for probability estimates (e.g. baseline asthma prevalence,  
9  
10 daily probability of bronchodilator use) and a uniform distribution was assigned to outdoor NO<sub>2</sub>  
11  
12 measures and number of days per year in which pupils are exposed to high indoor NO<sub>2</sub>  
13  
14 concentrations.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

### 21 **3. Results**

22  
23 Reducing indoor NO<sub>2</sub> exposure in a London primary school would result in a reduction of 82  
24  
25 asthma exacerbations each year (30-141 asthma exacerbation per year)(Table 2). Table 3 reports the  
26  
27 potential monetary benefit per school associated with indoor NO<sub>2</sub> exposure at school assuming a 10  
28  
29 year time horizon for the pollutant reduction and 3.5% discount rate. According to the different  
30  
31 WTP estimates adopted for the analysis, the total monetary benefit ranges between: £2.5k per  
32  
33 school if a child perspective (considering child's budget) is used up to £60k if the parents'  
34  
35 perspective is adopted. The last row of Table 3 also reports the benefit per pupil which has been  
36  
37 estimated by dividing the overall monetary benefit by the average number of students in the  
38  
39 SINPHONIE sample.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45  
46 Results of the sensitivity analyses are reported in the tornado diagrams in Figure 1. The uncertainty  
47  
48 is expressed as the change from baseline estimates (black vertical line) of the monetary benefit of  
49  
50 reducing NO<sub>2</sub> exposure.  
51  
52  
53

54 Assumption about the duration of the benefits and the daily probability of having an asthma  
55  
56 exacerbation have the greatest impact on the monetary benefit associated with the reduction of NO<sub>2</sub>  
57  
58 exposure. If the daily probability of having an asthma exacerbation is 2% the potential benefit  
59  
60



1 assuming a parents' perspective is £12K while if the daily probability is 13% the potential benefit is  
2 almost £78K.  
3  
4

5 The third most influential source of uncertainty is the I/O ratio. Assuming an I/O ratio of 0.6 the  
6 potential benefit from a children perspective adjusted for household budget is £35k. Alternatively,  
7  
8 if the I/O ratio is equal to 0.8, then the potential benefit increases to approximately £63K. As  
9  
10 expected, by holding the other parameters constant, the potential benefit achievable by reducing  
11  
12 indoor NO<sub>2</sub> concentration was also found to be sensitive to the I/O ratio and to the baseline asthma  
13  
14 prevalence.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21 Results of 1,000 iterations of Monte Carlo simulation suggest that the average monetary benefits is  
22  
23 £44,304 (95% CI: 1,736-182,727), £53,135 (95% CI: 2,089- 206,999) and £2,196 (95% CI: 195-  
24  
25 9,203) assuming a children's perspective adjusted for household budget, a parents' perspective and  
26  
27 children's WTP estimate respectively.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

#### 4. Discussion

This study is the first to quantify the potential benefit of preventing traffic-related childhood asthma exacerbations in children attending primary schools using indoor air quality data. The results suggest that there are approximately 82 asthma exacerbations that can be prevented by reducing outdoor NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations each year in a primary school located in proximity to busy roads. The associated potential monetary benefit of reducing indoor NO<sub>2</sub> exposure during school time, depending on the perspective adopted for the analysis, would range between £2.500 and £60k per school. The results of the deterministic sensitivity analysis suggest that the duration of the benefits and the daily probability of having an asthma exacerbation are the most influential parameters in the analysis.

According to the latest estimates provided by Transport for London, there are 1,148 schools within 150 metres and 2,270 schools within 400 metres of roads in London carrying over 10,000 vehicles per day (Clean Air in London 2011). Assuming that 60% of these schools are primary schools, there is a considerable number of asthma exacerbations due to NO<sub>2</sub> exposure that can be prevented in children at primary schools in London each year.

Two main strategies can be adopted in order to mitigate indoor pollution levels in school classrooms: (a) filtration of outdoor air or (b) reduction of the source. Filtration strategies have many disadvantages including increased energy consumption of the school building stock, reduced efficiency of removal, and more importantly, in cases of poor maintenance of the mechanical systems, further deterioration of indoor air quality (Wargocki P. et al. 2002).

Reduction of outdoor sources seems therefore preferred and may include greening of urban spaces and introduction of traffic-free zones around schools (Perez et al. 2012). It is difficult to identify a priori cost-effective strategies to reduce traffic pollution in the London area. According to Tonne et al., the congestion charge scheme, which, implemented in February 2003, is one of the world's most

1 ambitious traffic congestion schemes, had only a modest effect on NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and its  
2 effects were mainly localised in the congestion charge zones (Tonne C. et al. 2008). Mediavilla-  
3  
4 Sahgun and Simon estimated the effect of different interventions to reduce traffic-related emission.  
5  
6 For example, they suggest that the adoption of low emission fuels for vehicles or the use of electric  
7  
8 buses for public transportation may substantially decrease air pollution in London in the next few  
9  
10 years (Mediavilla-Sahagun A. and Simon A.P. 2006). The study conducted by Woodcock et al.  
11  
12 estimated that the benefits arising from a decreased use of motor vehicles in London through the  
13  
14 promotion of walking and cycling would be associated with higher health benefits (7332 disability  
15  
16 adjusted years of life in one year) than those arising from an increased use of lower emissions  
17  
18 vehicles (160 disability adjusted years of life in one year)(Wookcock et al. 2009).  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 Indicative results of the monitoring investigation suggested that increased airtightness of the  
26  
27 building envelope and ventilation strategies may reduce permeability of NO<sub>2</sub> and protect occupants  
28  
29 from this harmful pollutant. Although, more research is necessary, if the building envelope can  
30  
31 filter outdoor NO<sub>2</sub>, there would be an even greater incentive to build low carbon emission buildings  
32  
33 as these would both reduce greenhouse-gas emissions and reduce NO<sub>2</sub> indoor exposure  
34  
35 (Chatzidiakou 2014).  
36  
37  
38  
39

40 When interpreting the study findings, several limitations also need to be considered. Firstly, NO<sub>2</sub>  
41  
42 levels over the academic year were taken from the fixed monitoring network rather than from the  
43  
44 immediate school premises, where concentrations may be different. To account for this discrepancy,  
45  
46 we compared passive sampling measurements taken in the school premises with measurements  
47  
48 from the fixed monitoring station, and found a good agreement. Because readings in the fixed  
49  
50 monitoring station were higher than readings in the immediate school microenvironment, we  
51  
52 corrected this discrepancy by using a higher threshold value for the outdoor measurements, which  
53  
54 would correspond to indoor NO<sub>2</sub> levels of 40 µg/m<sup>3</sup>.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 The generalisability of this study is limited, as the sample size and the age group considered is  
2 small. The analysis was conducted using the OR estimated in the SINPHONIE study among  
3 children aged 9 to 11 years also for younger children attending primary school. Future studies are  
4 necessary to determine whether there is an effect of NO<sub>2</sub> for younger children (below 9 years).  
5  
6

7  
8  
9 Additional studies are needed to estimate the relationship between air traffic pollution exposure at  
10 school and asthma exacerbation (and possibly asthma onset) characterised by high NO<sub>2</sub> outdoor  
11 concentrations and high childhood asthma prevalence in urban areas such as London. Further  
12 limitations in interpreting the findings, include the lack of personal exposure assessment and the  
13 challenge of separating school exposure from other exposures, as children attending urban schools  
14 may be living in proximity to the school, and therefore be exposed to high levels of traffic-related  
15 pollutants at home. The association between NO<sub>2</sub> exposure with asthma exacerbations are  
16 consistent with current epidemiological evidence that points towards a causal link (Kelly F.J. and  
17 Fussel J.C. 2011). Finally, as there was a significant difference between indications of deprivation  
18 in the investigated schools, exposure to NO<sub>2</sub> may reflect a broader picture of inequalities in health,  
19 as children from poorer households tend to have worse health outcomes(UK) ((WHO) 2013).  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 Previous studies quantifying the health impact of PM<sub>10</sub> on asthma exacerbation in children suggest  
38 that the bronchodilator usage among those that are asthmatic is a reliable measure of the  
39 exacerbations of asthma(Just J. et al. 2002; Martuzzi M. et al. 2006). However, bronchodilator  
40 usage may overestimate the number of asthma exacerbations it is difficult to identify which factors  
41 trigger the use of bronchodilator in children. It may be possible that, for example, those children not  
42 having an asthma exacerbation but having asthmatic symptoms such as coughing and feeling  
43 wheezy use their bronchodilator. On the other hand, the study estimate of the potential benefit  
44 achievable by reducing indoor NO<sub>2</sub> exposure in primary school children in London considered only  
45 asthma exacerbations and not their potential consequences. The consequence of a asthma  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 exacerbation for children may have a severe and long-lasting impact on children quality of life,  
2 parents' life and health care resource use (Brandt et al. 2012). In addition, there is mounting  
3  
4 evidence that traffic-related exposure is also associated with childhood neurodevelopmental  
5  
6 outcomes (Guxens M. et al. 2012). Consequently, the study may significantly undervalue the  
7  
8 potential burden of other health outcomes that can be prevented by improving indoor air quality in  
9  
10 classrooms.  
11  
12

13  
14 Most cost-benefit analyses value the health benefits to children using cost of illness values or  
15  
16 adults' WTP estimates (OECD 2005). This study estimates the potential benefit of reducing NO<sub>2</sub>  
17  
18 exposure using for the first time both parental and child perspectives. Despite the advantage of  
19  
20 taking into account child preferences for health risk reduction, one possible limitation of the study is  
21  
22 that the WTP estimates came from a study conducted in Italy and translated to London values using  
23  
24 the benefit transfer procedure. Potential transfer error due to differences in real prices and incomes  
25  
26 between countries, differences in attributes of the users and in cultural and context characteristics  
27  
28 may have influenced the study results (OECD 2011a). Studies examining the validity of the benefit  
29  
30 transfer approach found that the methods used (e.g. currency conversion only, income adjustment  
31  
32 and value function approach) to translate WTP values between sites may significantly affect the  
33  
34 transfer error (estimated to be in the range between 20% and 40%)(Ready R. and Navrud S. 2006).  
35  
36 The present study tried to minimize the transfer error by using purchasing power parities and  
37  
38 accounting for income differences between the study and the policy sites. The study also adjusted  
39  
40 the WTP values to account for population characteristics (age of children who would benefit from  
41  
42 NO<sub>2</sub> reduction)(OECD 2011a). Additional willingness to pay studies need to be carried out in the  
43  
44 UK, and possibly in different regions, to assess how much schoolchildren and their parents are  
45  
46 willing to pay to reduce asthma related risk.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 This study focuses only on a small part (childhood asthma) of the potential burden of disease  
2 avoidable by reducing traffic exposure pollution among school children. Nevertheless, this study  
3  
4 suggests that there is a strong economic incentive for providing a healthier indoor class environment  
5  
6  
7 by reducing the traffic pollution close to schools.  
8  
9

## 10 **5. Conclusion**

11  
12 Given the increasing demand for primary school places, the UK Government announced £1.6  
13  
14 billion of funding for new school places last year, with London receiving more than a third of this  
15  
16 funding, £576 million (Department for Education 2013). In addition to the rapidly growing English  
17  
18 population and the demand for new schools, retrofitting and maintenance is necessary because of  
19  
20 the great age and many years of intensive use of the current building stock. All the above factors  
21  
22 indicate the urgent and increasing demand for design and retrofitting guidelines for healthy school  
23  
24 buildings. Given the strong association between traffic-related exposure in the school with high  
25  
26 prevalence of asthma and asthmatic symptoms, It was shown, both from the school sample and the  
27  
28 literature that urban schools should be located at least 400 m away from main traffic  
29  
30 arteries(Appatova A.S. et al. 2008). Designers, engineers, policymakers and stakeholders need to  
31  
32 consider the high spatial variability of outdoor pollution levels in urban locations before selecting  
33  
34 sites for new school buildings. Such consideration prior to construction may involve extensive  
35  
36 monitoring efforts of external air quality in proximity to a proposed school site, or collaboration  
37  
38 with the local council to introduce greening or pedestrianisation schemes.  
39  
40 More, broadly, this paper highlights that there is a strong economic incentive to direct policy  
41  
42 towards city-wide planning to decrease outdoor pollution levels, which would improve the health of  
43  
44 the students and reduce the prevalence of respiratory illness in childhood,  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

**Acknowledgments:**

The authors are very grateful to Giovanni Leonardi, John Wright, Zaid Chalabi and Sarah Willis. For their precious comments on the methodology and design of the study we are also very grateful to Steve Morris and Anil Markandya and Alec Miners. Special thanks to the Colt Foundation for funding Carla Guerriero’s PhD and in particular to its Director Jackie Douglas who has always been very attentive and supportive. We are also very grateful to the SINPHONIE project who funded Lia Chatzidiakou PhD.

**Funding Acknowledgment:**

This document received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. This study is a part of Carla Guerriero’s PhD thesis which was funded by the Colt Foundation.

## References

- (WHO) WHO. 2013. Social determinants of health: The solid facts. In r. Wilkinson & m. Marmot (eds.), *Healthy cities: Health for all* (2nd ed., pp. 89-116). World Health Organisation.
- Alberini A, Bateman I, Loomes G., Scansy M. 2010. Valuation of environmental health risks for children: OECD publishing,.
- Alberini A., Tonin S., Turvani M., Chiabai A. 2007. Paying for permanence: Public preferences for contaminated site clean-up. *J Risk Uncertainty* 34:155-178.
- Appatova A.S., Ryan P.H., LaMasters G.K., Grinshpun A.S. 2008. Proximal exposure of public schools and students to major roadways: A nationwide US survey. *Journal of Environmental Planning Management* 51:631-646.
- Banerjee S, Annesi-Maesano I. 2012. Spatial variability of indoor air pollutants in schools. A multilevel approach. *Atmospheric Environment*, 61:558-561.
- Bateman IJ. et al. 2002. *Economic evaluation with stated preference techniques: A manual*: Edward Elgar Publishing
- Brandt et al. 2012. Costs of childhood asthma due to traffic-related pollution in two California communities. *Europ Respi J* 40:363-370.
- Briggs A, Claxton K, Sculpher M. 2008. *Decision modelling for health economic evaluation* Oxford handbook in economic evaluation
- Chatzidiakou L, Mumovic D, Summerfield AJ, Altamirano-Medina H, Taubel M. 2013a. Total indoor air quality performance of classrooms in the heating and non-heating season. Part 1: Physical parameters *Intelligent Buildings International*,.
- Chatzidiakou L, Mumovic D., Summerfield AJ, Altamirano-Medina H, Taubel M. 2013b. Total indoor air quality performance of classrooms in the heating and non-heating season. Part 2: Physical parameters *Intelligent Buildings International*,.
- Chatzidiakou L, Mumovic D, Summerfield AJ, Taubel M, Hyvarinen A. 2014. Indoor air quality in London schools. Part 2: 'Long-term integrated assessment'. *Intelligent buildings international*.
- Chatzidiakou L, Mumovic D, Summerfield AJ, Altamirano-Medina H. 2015. Is CO<sub>2</sub> a good proxy for IAQ? Part 2: Health outcomes and perceived indoor air quality in relation to classroom exposure and building characteristics. *Building Services Engineering Research & Technology (BSERT)*, 36:162-181.
- Chatzidiakou L, Mumovic D., Summerfield, A.J., Altamirano-Medina, H. . 2014. Indoor air quality in London schools. Part 1: Performance in use. *Intelligent buildings international*.
- Clean Air in London. 2011. *Clean air in London' has found 1,148 schools in London within 150 metres of busiest roads*,.
- Department for Education. 2013. *National pupil projections: Future trends in pupil numbers*. Retrieved 30 June 2014, from [https://www.Gov.Uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/221786/sfr11-2013.Pdf](https://www.Gov.Uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/221786/sfr11-2013.Pdf).
- Dockins C, Jenkins RR, Owens N., Simon NB, Wiggins LB. 2002. Valuation of childhood risk reduction: The importance of age, risk preferences, and perspectives. *Risk Anal* 22:335-346.
- EC. 2001. *European Commission 2001. Recommended interim values for the value of preventing a fatality in dg environment cost benefit analysis* Available: [ec.europa.eu/environment/enveco/others/pdf/recommended\\_interim\\_values.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/environment/enveco/others/pdf/recommended_interim_values.pdf).



1 Eurostat. 2011. School enrolment and levels of education. Available:  
2 [http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/statistics\\_explained/index.php/School enrolment and levels of](http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/statistics_explained/index.php/School_enrolment_and_levels_of_education)  
3 educationi.  
4 Gasana J. et al. 2012. Motor vehicle air pollution and asthma in children: A meta-analysis.  
5 Environmental Research 117:36-45.  
6 Guerriero C, Bianchi F, Cairns J, Cori L. 2011. Health benefits of policies to cleanup toxic industrial  
7 sites: The case of gela and priolo. . Environmnetal Health 10.  
8 Guerriero C., Cairns J., Bianchi F., Cori L. Can we elicit willingness to pay values directly from  
9 children? A contingent valuation study conducted with children and their parents. Under Revision  
10 by the Journal of Risk and Uncertainty.  
11 Guxens M. et al. 2012. Prenatal exposure to residential air pollution and infant mental  
12 development: Modulation by antioxidants and detoxification factors. Environ Health Perspect  
13 120:144-149.  
14 Hammitt JK., Robinson RA. 2011. The income elasticity of the value per statistical life: Transferring  
15 estimates between high and low income populations. Journal of Benefit-Cost Analysis 2.  
16 Health Effect Institute. 2010. Traffic-related air pollution: A critical review of the literature on  
17 emissions, exposure, and health effects. Special Report 17.  
18 HM Treasury. 2011. The green book. Appraisal and evaluation in central government  
19 Hurley F., Cowie H., Hunt A, Holland M., Miller B, Pye S, , , et al. 2002. Methodology for cost-  
20 benefit analysis for cafe. Volume 2: Health Impact Assessment.  
21 ISTAT. 2013. Indagine sui consumi delle famiglie  
22 Just J. et al. 2002. Short-term health effects of particulate and photochemical air pollution in  
23 asthmatic children. . European Respiratory Journal 20:899–906.  
24 Kelly F.J., Fussel J.C. 2011. Air pollution and airway disease. Clinical and Experimental Allergy 41.  
25 Künzli N, Kaiser R, Medina S, Studnicka M, Chanel O, Filliger P, et al. Health costs due to traffic  
26 related air pollution. Air pollution attributable cases an impact assessment project of austria,  
27 france and switzerland In: Proceedings of the WHO-ministerial Conference on Environment and  
28 Health, June 1999. London, Technical report on Epidemiology.  
29 Lindhjem H. et al. 2011. Valuing mortality risk reductions from environmental, transport and  
30 health policies: A global meta-analysis of stated preference studies”. Risk Anal 31:1381-1407.  
31 London Air Quality Network. 2014.  
32 [Http://www.Londonair.Org.Uk/london/asp/annualmaps.Asp?Species=no2](http://www.Londonair.Org.Uk/london/asp/annualmaps.Asp?Species=no2).  
33 Martuzzi M., Mitis S., Iavarone AT, Serinelli M. 2006. Health impacts of pm10 and ozone in 13  
34 italian cities. . WHO  
35 Mediavilla-Sahagun A., Simon A.P. 2006. Urban scale integrated assessment for london: Which  
36 emission reduction strategies are more effective in attaining prescribed pm10 air quality standards  
37 by 2005? Journal Environmental Modelling & Software 21.  
38 OECD. 2005. The valuation of environmental health risks to children: Methodological and policy  
39 issues.  
40 OECD. 2006. Economic evaluation of environmental health risks to children. Oecd publishing 2006.  
41 OECD Paris.  
42 OECD. 2011a. Valuing mortality risk reductions in regulatory analysis of environmental. Health and  
43 transport policies: Policy implications OECD Paris.  
44 OECD. 2011b. Valuing mortality risk reductions in regulatory analysis of environmental, health and  
45 transport policies: Policy implications.

1 OECD. 2013. Purchasing power parities (ppp). Available:  
2 <http://www.oecd.org/std/purchasingpowerparitiespppsdata.htm>.  
3 Pearce D., Atkinson G., Mourato S. 2006. Cost-benefit analysis and the environment,. Geneva  
4 Perez L, Lurmann F, Wilson J, Pastor M, Brandt SJ, Kunzli N, et al. 2012. Near-roadway pollution  
5 and childhood asthma: Implications for developing "win-win" compact urban development and  
6 clean vehicle strategies. Environ Health Perspect 120:1619-1626.  
7 Perez L. et al. 2013. Chronic burden of near-roadway traffic pollution in 10 european cities  
8 (aphekom network). ERJ Express 42:594-605.  
9 Pruss-Ustun A, Corvalan A. 2006. Preventing disease through healthy environments:Towards an  
10 estimate of the environmental burden of disease. WHO.  
11 Ready R., Navrud S. 2006. International benefit trasfer: Method and validity test. Ecological  
12 Economics 429-434.  
13 Segala C. et al. 1998. Short-term effect of winter air pollution on respiratory health of asthmatic  
14 children in paris. European Respiratory Journal 11:677-685.  
15 Statistic UOFN. 2013. Household disposable income across the uk  
16 Timonen KL, Pekkanen J. 1997. Air pollution and respiratory health among children withn  
17 asthmatic or cough symptoms. Am J Resp Crit Care Med 156:546-552.  
18 Tonne C. et al. 2008. Air pollution and mortality benefits of the london congestion charge: Spatial  
19 and socioeconomic inequalities. Occup Envir Medicine 65:620-627.  
20 UK LG. Tackling child poverty and health inequality in london Available:  
21 [http://www.london.gov.uk/priorities/young-people/early-years-and-family-support/tackling-child-](http://www.london.gov.uk/priorities/young-people/early-years-and-family-support/tackling-child-poverty-and-health-inequality-in-london)  
22 [poverty-and-health-inequality-in-london](http://www.london.gov.uk/priorities/young-people/early-years-and-family-support/tackling-child-poverty-and-health-inequality-in-london).  
23 Viscusi W.K., Magat W., Huber J. 1987. An investigation of the raitonality of consumer valuations  
24 of multiple risks. . Rand Journal of Economics 18:465-479.  
25 Wargocki P. et al. 2002. Ventilation and health in non-industrial indoor environments: Report from  
26 a european multidisciplinary scientific consensus meeting (euroven). Indoor Air 12:113-128.  
27 Wookcock et al. 2009. Public health benefits of strategies to reduce greenhouse-gas emissions:  
28 Urban land trasport. Lancet 374:1930-1943.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

# Supplementary Material

## The UK database of the SINPHONIE project

Overall, 38 environmental and health institutions from 25 countries participated in the SINPHONIE study.

The study adopted a multidisciplinary approach creating an integrated database of (a) physical, chemical and microbiological levels in classrooms, (b) building characteristics, meteorological parameters and the microenvironment and (c) health responses collected with a standardised field survey matched with non-invasive clinical tests. The UK database of the SINPHONIE project was used as the basis for the health-based monetary evaluation of reducing air pollution in UK schools presented in this study.

## Case Studies

Consistent with the harmonised SINPHONIE methodology, a detailed investigation was conducted in five state-run primary schools in Greater London from October 2011 to January 2012. The fieldwork was repeated in the non-heating season (March-June of 2012). The sample comprised three schools built in the 19<sup>th</sup> century (Victorian) in central London, and two contemporary schools in suburban areas. The schools (S1-S5, see Table 1) varied considerably in terms of their construction characteristics and proximity to likely external pollution sources. The Victorian urban schools are high thermal mass buildings with un-insulated walls, while the suburban schools are contemporary buildings with a mixture of insulated walls of high and low thermal mass. S2 was designed as a low-carbon building, with high air-tightness and good thermal performance. Urban school S3 was located in immediate proximity (<150m) to a main street with high traffic intensity, while urban S4 and S5 were surrounded by pedestrian streets and vegetation (urban background) 150m to 300 m away from main traffic arteries. Traffic in S2 was related to the operation of the school, and coincided with the start and the end of the occupied period. S1 was located in a residential area about 400m away from a high traffic street. A detailed description of the case studies, methodology used for monitoring of the pollutants and main results are presented in (Chatzidiakou, 2014, Chatzidiakou et al., 2014).

Table 1. Construction characteristics of the investigated schools

School	Area	Investigation period	Construction Year	Free School Meals	Ventilation Strategy
S1*	Suburban	9 January 2012- 13 Jan 2012	2000	37%	NV cross-ventilation with windows on high level
S2	Suburban	14 Nov 2011- 18 Nov 2011	2010	22%	MM NV Assisted with Mechanical Exhaust
S3	Urban in immediate proximity to main traffic artery	21 Nov 2011- 25 Nov 2011	1896	53%	NV single sided
S4	Urban background	28 Nov 2011- 2 Dec 2011	1870	13%	NV single sided
S5	Urban background in proximity to a carpentry industry	5 Dec 2011- 9 Dec 2011	1866	95%	NV single sided Restricted windows in winter

NV: Natural Ventilation ;MV: Mechanical Ventilation; \*S1 in this paper corresponds to S6 in papers (Chatzidiakou, 2014, Chatzidiakou et al., 2014)

Monitoring was performed over five typical consecutive teaching days in three classrooms and one outdoor site in each school. Selected classrooms had comparable occupancy densities and schedules, and were occupied by older children (9-11 years old). Socioeconomic information collected included percentage of students eligible for Free School Meals (FSM), which is a crude financial indicator long used as the main indicator of deprivation in official estimates, together with UK educational research reports (Croxford, 2000). The high percentage of Free School Meals (FSM) reported in S5 (Table 1) was related to a school policy offering FSM to all students regardless income through an independent supplier.

## Environmental parameters

Outdoor NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are significantly higher during the winter season due to complex meteorological and photochemical phenomena (Gallois D. et al., 2005); therefore measurements were performed from November to January (Table 1) with passive samplers exposed for a period of two weeks. See paper for details? Readings from the nearest central station (Islington Upper Street) ranged from 58.0 to 62.8 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, and were in good agreement with concentrations sampled in urban school premises that ranged from 40.2 to 49.4 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (Table 2). Among the urban schools, the highest concentrations were recorded in S3, which was located in immediate proximity to a high traffic intensity street. The strong spatial variation of outdoor NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations was reflected in the two-fold higher concentrations recorded in urban school premises compared with suburban schools which ranged from 28.0 to 30.2 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (mean: 29.1 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, σ: 1.1), and the difference was statistically significant (p>0.001).

Table 2. Indoor and outdoor NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (µg/m<sup>3</sup>) in the study schools during the heating season using passive sampling

	Indoor mean (σ)	min-max	Outdoor (σ)	Outdoor Central Station	I/O ratio
S1*	21.6 (0.9)	20.4 - 22.5	30.2		0.7
S2	10.9 (2.2)	9.1 - 13.6	28.0		0.3 - 0.5
<b>Suburban</b>	<b>14.9 (5.6)</b>	<b>9.1 - 22.5</b>	<b>29.1 (1.1)</b>		<b>0.5 (0.2)</b>
S3	37.9 (2.4)	35.6 - 41.2	49.4	58.0	0.7 - 0.8
S4	27.6 (1.9)	25.5 - 30.0	40.2	61.0	0.6 - 0.8
S5	28.0 (2.8)	26.0 - 31.9	41.5	62.8	0.6 - 0.8
<b>Urban</b>	<b>31.2 (5.3)</b>	<b>25.5 - 41.2</b>	<b>43.7 (4.1)</b>	<b>60.6 (2.0)</b>	<b>0.7 (0.1)</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>25.2 (9.1)</b>	<b>9.1 - 41.2</b>	<b>37.9 (7.8)</b>	.	<b>0.6 (0.2)</b>

σ: standard deviation, \*S1 in this paper corresponds to S6 in papers (Chatzidiakou et al., 2013b) and (Chatzidiakou et al., 2013a)

In the absence of indoor sources, indoor NO<sub>2</sub> is strongly influenced by outdoor levels, and is usually below ambient levels due to chemical reactions and deposition on internal surfaces. Affected by outdoor concentrations, indoor concentrations in the suburban schools were in the range from 9.1 to 22.5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (mean: 14.9 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, σ: 5.6), half (p>0.001) the indoor levels in urban schools which ranged from 25.5 to 41.2 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (mean: 31.2 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, σ: 5.3).

The simplest screening method for calculating long term indoor concentrations from outdoor sources is the indoor to outdoor (I/O) ratio, which is widely used in epidemiological studies. Currently, there is limited

1 evidence on the effect of envelope air-tightness on penetration ability of NO<sub>2</sub>; however, results indicated that  
2 airtight S2 had lower I/O ratios ranging from 0.3 to 0.5 compared with I/O ratios calculated in the rest of the  
3 schools (0.6 to 0.8). Previous investigations in school buildings reported even higher I/O ratios  
4 0.8<I/O<1.2(HESE, 2006, Poupard O., 2005, Blondeau P. et al., 2005).  
5  
6  
7  
8

### 9 **Asthma prevalence in relation to NO<sub>2</sub> exposure in London**

10 Information on prevalence of asthma and asthmatic symptoms in children in the school environment was  
11 collected through an on-site questionnaire survey. The same questionnaire survey was administered in the  
12 non-heating season (follow-up) to capture the incidence of asthma attacks and asthmatic symptoms in the  
13 school environment. In total, 376 students of 432 (Response Rate: 87%) participated in the baseline and  
14 follow-up study. Of these, 50.7 % were girls, and the average age was 10 years (range: 9 to 11). In total, 131  
15 students attended two suburban schools, and 245 attended three urban Victorian Schools. Asthma prevalence  
16 in suburban schools was 1.54% and was almost seven times lower than the 10.16% in urban schools (range:  
17 7.89% to 12.50%). The highest number of asthmatic children in the school environment was recorded in S3  
18 which was close to a busy street with the highest NO<sub>2</sub> levels (Table 3). Findings were consistent with The  
19 International Study on Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) results, which show that the prevalence  
20 of asthma among children in primary schools in UK is 7.18%, which is the highest among European  
21 Countries (Mallol et al., 2013).  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Table 3. Prevalence of asthma in the SINPHONIE study

	Prevalence (%)
S1*	1.49
S2	1.59
<b>SINPHONIE: Suburban</b>	<b>1.54</b>
S3	12.50
S4	7.89
S5	9.76
<b>SINPHONIE: Urban</b>	<b>10.16</b>
<b>SINPHONIE: Total</b>	<b>7.18</b>


Among all investigated pollutants, multilevel logistic regression (at classroom and pupil level) controlling for the effect of the school, gender, age and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke at home, revealed that only exposure to outdoor concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> (and thus indoor NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations) was significantly related to asthma prevalence in the school environment. Exposure to indoor NO<sub>2</sub> (OR: 1.11, 95% CI: 1.04-1.19) in the school environment was positively associated with prevalence of asthma and asthmatic symptoms in the school environment (Chatzidiakou et al., 2015).

The strength of that association falls within the range estimated in a systematic meta-analysis of 19 studies between exposure to NO<sub>2</sub> with prevalence of asthma (meta-OR: 1.05, 95% CI: 1.00–1.11) (Gasana J. et al., 2012)

No incidence of asthma attacks or asthmatic symptoms in the school environment was reported in the non-heating season (Chatzidiakou et al., 2015b).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65


Figure 1: daily mean NO<sub>2</sub> levels (µg/m<sup>3</sup>) monitored in a fixed monitoring station (urban background) in proximity to the schools



Data: <http://www.londonair.org.uk/LondonAir/Default.aspx>






Figure 2: Daily mean outdoor NO<sub>2</sub> levels monitored in a fixed monitoring station in an urban background location in proximity to the schools.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

**Figure 1. Tornado Diagram showing sensitivity analysis results.***Children's perspective adjusted for family- budget (values in thousand £).**Parents' perspective (values in thousand £).**Children's perspective (values in £).*



**Table 1. SINPHONIE study London Sample.**

School	Area	Construction Year	Indoor NO <sub>2</sub> mean (SD) µg/m <sup>3</sup>	min-max µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Outdoor NO <sub>2</sub> (SD) µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Outdoor NO <sub>2</sub> Central Station µg/m <sup>3</sup>	I/O ratio	Asthma Prevalence (%)
<b>S1*</b>	Suburban	2000	21.6 (0.9)	20.4 - 22.5	30.2		0.7	1.49
<b>S2</b>	Suburban	2010	10.9 (2.2)	9.1 - 13.6	28.0		0.3 - 0.5	1.59
<b>Suburban</b>			<b>14.9 (5.6)</b>	<b>9.1 - 22.5</b>	<b>29.1 (1.1)</b>		<b>0.5 (0.2)</b>	<b>1.54</b>
<b>S3</b>	Urban in immediate proximity to main traffic artery	1896	37.9 (2.4)	35.6 - 41.2	49.4	58.0	0.7 - 0.8	12.50
<b>S4</b>	Urban background	1870	27.6 (1.9)	25.5 - 30.0	40.2	61.0	0.6 - 0.8	7.89
<b>S5</b>	Urban background in proximity to a carpentry industry	1866	28.0 (2.8)	26.0 - 31.9	41.5	62.8	0.6 - 0.8	9.76
<b>Urban</b>			<b>31.2 (5.3)</b>	<b>25.5 - 41.2</b>	<b>43.7 (4.1)</b>	<b>60.6 (2.0)</b>	<b>0.7 (0.1)</b>	<b>10.16</b>

NV: Natural Ventilation ;MV: Mechanical Ventilation ;SD: standard Deviation\*S1 in this paper corresponds to S6 in papers (Chatzidiakou et al. 2014; Chatzidiakou 2014) (Chatzidiakou et al. 2013b) and (Chatzidiakou et al. 2013a)

**Table 2. Annual Asthma Exacerbations associated with high indoor NO<sub>2</sub> exposure per school.**

<b>Overall number of asthma exacerbations OR (95%CI)</b>	<b>Asthma Exacerbations per pupil OR (95%CI)</b>
82 (30-141)	0.2 (0.1-0.4)

OR: Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence Interval



**Table 3. Monetary Benefits of reducing NO<sub>2</sub> exposure per school assuming 10 year horizon.**

	<b>Children's preferences adjusted for family budget</b>	<b>Parents' preferences</b>	<b>Children's preferences</b>
<b>Overall Monetary benefit</b>	£49,783 (£18,231-£85,304)	£59,740 (£21,878-£102,365)	£2,485 (£910-£4,258)
<b>Benefit per pupil<sup>a</sup></b>	£120 (£35-£162)	£144 (£53-£246)	£6 (£2-£10)

a: Overall Monetary Benefits divided by mean number of pupils in the primary school