34

# The relative contributions of parent perceived child characteristics to variation in child feeding behavior

2 3 Around half of all parents are estimated to experience difficulties feeding their child at some time, with problems ranging from relatively minor dietary challenges to clinical avoidant/restrictive 4 5 food intake disorders (Carruth, Ziegler, Gordon, & Barr, 2004). Because feeding is regarded by many parents as a fundamental parenting responsibility, perceived problems in this area, even at 6 non-clinical levels, can cause considerable concern (Blissett & Harris, 2002; Greer, Gulotta, Masler, 7 & Laud, 2008; Singer, Song, Hill, & Jaffe, 1990), and have a negative impact on parental self-8 9 efficacy, perceptions of parenting, and subsequent parenting behavior (Blissett & Harris, 2002; Craig, Scambler, & Spitz, 2003; Crist et al., 1994; Feldman, Keren, Gross-Rozval, & Tyano, 2004; 10 11 Fraser, 2004; Greer et al., 2008; Levine et al., 2011; Lindberg, 1994; Powers et al., 2002; Robinson, Drotar, & Boutry, 2001; Silverman, 2010; Tarkka, 2003). Concerns around feeding and intake have 12 been associated with the use of maladaptive parent feeding strategies such as coercion, coaxing, 13 bribery, and rewards (Birch, 1999; Burklow, McGrath, & Kaul, 2002; Harris, 1992; Linscheid, 14 2006; Sanders, Patel, Legrice, & Shepherd, 1993; Wolff, 1994; Woods, Borrero, Laud, & Borrero, 15 2010), and with deficits in optimal parent problem-solving skills (Martin, Dovey, Coulthard, & 16 Southall, 2013; Robinson et al., 2001); these factors have be importantly linked to the maintenance 17 and exacerbation of child feeding problems (Piazza et al., 2003). These findings suggest that 18 parental perceptions of problematic child behaviors and of their own ability to cope with these 19 behaviors can have a significant impact on subsequent parenting approaches and interactions, and 20 future child feeding problems. Therefore, it is important to try and understand population feeding 21 problems including a profile of key correlates of these problems from the parents' perspective. 22 Examination of child feeding literature found that the most common non-medical correlates 23 24 of problematic child feeding behaviors were child temperamental difficulty (Ammaniti, Lucarelli, Cimino, D'Olimpio, & Chatoor, 2010; Hagekull, Bohlin, & Rydell, 1997; Niegel, Ystrom, Hagtvet, 25 & Vollrath, 2008), general child conduct and adjustment problems (Sanders et al., 1993; Wolke, 26 Rizzo, & Woods, 2002), sensory processing issues (Coulthard & Blissett, 2009; Dovey, Isherwood, 27 Aldridge, & Martin, 2010; Smith, Roux, Naidoo, & Venter, 2005), and food neophobia (Pliner & 28 Hobden, 1992). These correlates have typically been examined separately, with various mechanisms 29 30 for their individual associations with problematic feeding proposed across the literature. Difficulties in conduct, self-regulation (e.g., hunger, tiredness, emotions), and temperament 31 have been implicated in the development of problematic feeding via the disruptions they can cause 32 to adaptive parent-child feeding interactions (Ammaniti et al., 2010; Farrow & Blissett, 2006; 33

Hagekull et al., 1997; Hane, Fox, Polak-Toste, Ghera, & Guner, 2006). Poor interactions may have

a negative impact on the way that parents perceive their child's behaviors and on their own abilities to manage challenging behaviors (Ammaniti et al., 2010). Furthermore, children learn a great deal about what, when, and how to eat via social interactions, so barriers in this area can significantly hinder appropriate feeding behavior and dietary development (Addessi, Galloway, Visalberghi, & Birch, 2005; Aldridge, Dovey, & Halford, 2009; Birch, 1999). Externalizing behaviors and temperamental difficulties may also be more directly associated with the frequency of observable feeding problems, if the difficulties impinge on feeding and mealtimes (e.g., poor appetite regulation, restlessness at mealtimes, rigidity around new foods and routines, etc.). Regarding sensory processing, food selectivity and refusal behaviors are thought to occur via over-sensitivity or reactivity towards the texture, taste or smell of certain food groups and types (Berlin, Davies, Lobato, & Silverman, 2009; Chatoor & Ganiban, 2003; Coulthard & Blissett, 2009; Dovey, Farrow, Martin, Isherwood, & Halford, 2009; Smith et al., 2005), or via under-responsiveness to sensory stimuli and associated poor oral motor control (Berlin et al., 2009). Sensory processing issues and the degree of sensitivities may therefore underlie the frequency or strength of observed feeding problems. Beyond atypical conditions, developmental food neophobia (the reluctance to eat, or avoidance of new foods during early childhood (Pliner & Hobden, 1992)), has also been associated with child feeding problems. Despite being a normal stage of early development (Dovey, Staples, Gibson, & Halford, 2008), persistence of food neophobia beyond expected timeframes is associated with problematic feeding via poor dietary intake (Carruth & Skinner, 2000; Cooke, 2007; Falciglia, Couch, Gribble, Pabst, & Frank, 2000; Galloway, Lee, & Birch, 2003). 

The aforementioned characteristics may represent important risk factors for child feeding problems; however, little is known about how they exist together in association with problematic feeding behaviors. It is important to move beyond the simple associations between characteristic and feeding outcome, towards a combined and controlled model of child feeding problems, which, to the best of our knowledge has not been assessed in any prior study. This is despite the co-occurrence of such factors within individual children and despite widespread knowledge that the role or influence of one factor on an outcome can be attenuated or exacerbated by the presence of another factor (Baron & Kenny, 1986). Therefore, the primary aim of the current study is to determine the relative contributions of parent-report child characteristics within multi-variable models of child feeding behavior. Feeding outcomes will be separated into parent-observed problem frequency (child scale) and parental perceptions and strategies for coping with feeding problems (parent scale). The aim is to assess whether factors associated with parental experiences of feeding challenges differ from those associated with parentally observed problem frequency.

Based on existing research, it is hypothesized that the child variables associated with feeding

problem frequency will differ from those associated with parental feelings and strategies related to child feeding problems. Specifically, we hypothesize that innate or underlying factors such as sensory sensitivities and temperamental difficulties will be associated with observed feeding problems, while more external characteristics such as generalized conduct and social interaction problems will be associated with parent perceptions and strategies for coping with child feeding problems. It is anticipated that child food neophobia will be associated with both feeding outcomes as it can represent an inherent developmental stage and a behavioral feeding challenge to parents.

## Methods

Participants and recruitment. The overarching study of children's feeding, for which ethical approval was granted, obtained data from 445 parents of children with no past or present clinical feeding disorder. The current study focuses only on mothers of children aged 3-6 years (N=202). Participants were recruited through parent and child social groups and networks. Written information regarding the study purpose, procedure, and contacts was given to all prospective participants by the researcher during visits to parent-child groups or via an online invitation posted on parent forums. The majority of data (97%) were obtained online; recent research suggests that there are few differences between child feeding questionnaires completed on and offline, and online responses may produce marginally higher feeding problem outcome scores (Dovey, Jordan, Aldridge, & Martin, 2013).

Individuals with missing feeding behavior outcome data were excluded (N=41), leaving a final sample of 161. After examination of numerous demographic factors, breastfeeding was found to be the only factor to differentiate between those who did and did not complete the outcome measures. Completers had a higher incidence of breastfeeding (79.2%) than non-completers (62.5%),  $\chi^2$ = 4.90, p=0.027, and breastfed for notably (though not significantly) longer (*M*=30.80, *SD*=43.10) than non-completers (*M*=18.18, *SD*=24.92) t(199)=1.80, *p*=0.074, 95% CI: -1.22, 26.47.

Sample Mothers. Maternal age ranged from 19 to 46 years (M=32.68, SD=5.62). 75.60% of the sample were married, 16.9% cohabiting, and 7.5% defined themselves as single, separated, or divorced. Finally, 81% of mothers considered their pregnancy planned and 19% unplanned.

Study Children. Children were 51.6% female, with a mean age of 53.80 months (SD=11.38, range 36-83). 48.1% of the children were born on time, 45% were post-due date, and 6.9% premature (<37 weeks), with an average birth weight of 3.44kg  $\pm$  0.57 (range 1.26-4.63kg). Most children were breastfed at some time with duration ranging from 0 days (20.8%) to 212 weeks (M=30.80, SD=43.10 weeks). The majority of children had at least one sibling (76.4%; range 0-5 siblings); 67.7% were the first born child, 24.8% were second born and the remaining ranged from third to fifth born. Finally, 83.2% of the sample had no known allergies or intolerances.

Measures and procedure. Following informed consent, participants completed five parent-
report psychometric measures assessing child feeding behaviour, food neophobia, temperament,
sensory sensitivities, and general behavior/conduct. The measures were completed in the order
presented below. Information was also collected regarding parent and child age, child sex,
birthweight, breastfeeding duration, presence of food allergies/intolerances, and number of older
and younger siblings.
Behavioral Pediatrics Feeding Assessment Scale [BPFAS] (Crist et al., 1994). The BPFAS
assesses child feeding behaviors (25 items) e.g., "Spits out food", "Tantrums at mealtimes", and
parent feelings about and strategies for dealing with child feeding behaviors (10 items) e.g., "I coax
my child to get him/her to take a bite". All items are scored on a five point likert scale and require a
yes/no response to indicate if the item is considered a problem or not. Ten items are reverse scored
(e.g., "Eats vegetables") so that high scores indicate greater problem frequency. This BPFAS yields
four domain scores: child behaviour frequency (sum of Likert scores; range 25-125); child
behaviour problems (count of items rated "yes"; 0-25); parent feelings/strategies frequency (10-50);
and parent feelings/strategies problems (0-10). Due to incomplete reporting for problem domains
( $\approx$ 50-66% did not complete them fully), only frequency domains were used in the current study.
The BPFAS has demonstrated good psychometric properties; showing sensitivity to feeding
behaviors across clinical and non-clinical child samples, sensitivity to change over time and
intervention, and excellent test-retest reliability (Crist et al., 1994; Dovey & Martin, 2012; Dovey,
Martin, Aldridge, Haycraft, & Meyer, 2011; Haywood & McCann, 2009). Cronbach's alpha
coefficients ( $\alpha$ ) of 0.88 (child), and 0.82 (parent) were identified in the current study.
Child Food Neophobia Scale [CFNS] (Pliner, 1994). The CFNS was adapted by Pliner
(1994) from the adult Food Neophobia Scale (Pliner & Hobden, 1992), to assess food neophobia,
the tendency to avoid/reject new and unfamiliar foods, in children. The 6 items of the CFNS are
scored on a seven point likert scale, with high scores representing stronger neophobia. The FNS (on
which the CFNS is based) demonstrates strong test-retest reliability ( $p$ <0.01), and reasonable
concurrence with observed food selection behavior (Hobden & Pliner, 1995). In the current study,
the CFNS exhibited excellent internal reliability ( $\alpha$ =0.95).
Emotionality, Activity and Shyness [EAS] (Buss, 1984). The EAS was developed to
examine parental report of child temperament at around 1-9 years. Temperament is assessed via 20
items comprising the subscales emotionality, activity, shyness and sociability. Items are scored on a
five point likert scale with high scores indicating greater difficulty (sociability reverse scored). EAS

with internal reliability confirmed in the current study ( $\alpha$  coefficients ranged from 0.73-0.87).

subscales have demonstrated good tests-retest and inter-rater reliability (Boer & Westenberg, 1994),

Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire [SDQ] (R. Goodman, 1997). The SDQ assesses attributes of psychological adjustment, and was used in the current study to suggest dimensions of child behavior problems. The scale comprises 25 items across five subscales (emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity-inattention, peer problems, and pro-social behavior), with high scores reflecting increased behavior/adjustment problems (prosocial reverse scored). Construct validity, test-retest stability, and adequate subscale internal consistency ( $\alpha$  ranged from 0.57 - 0.85) have been previously identified (R. Goodman, 1997, 2001); the latter was supported in the current study ( $\alpha$  range 0.64 - 0.76).

Infant Toddler Sensory Profile/ Sensory Profile [ITSP/SP] (Dunn, 1994, 1999; Dunn & Daniels, 2002). The 38 item SSP for children over 3 years was used in the current study. This scale comprises subscales for a number of sensory domains, though only Tactile and Taste/Smell sensitivity were used in the current study. Items are scored on a five point likert, where low scores indicate sensory processing problems. The Sensory Profile measures are used widely in research and clinical practice to examine sensory processing abilities (Ahn, Miller, Milberger, & McIntosh, 2004; Miller, Coll, & Schoen, 2007); the current study confirmed internal reliability in the total scale ( $\alpha$ =0.93), the tactile domain ( $\alpha$ =0.71) and the taste/smell domain ( $\alpha$ =0.94).

Data Analyses. Up to four missing data items within the BPFAS outcome scale were imputed to permit calculation of total scores (Crist et al., 1994). For all other measures, scale mean scores (calculated if ≥90% items completed) were used in analyses. Across all covariates missing data ranged from 0.04% - 25.5%, so a multiple imputation model comprising all child characteristics, feeding outcomes, and demographic variables, was used to impute five iterations of missing values (Rubin, 1987). Very few differences were observed in variable scores or model outcomes between pooled imputation results and the original data, suggesting that data were missing at random. To maximize the precision of final estimates, results in the current paper are reported from pooled imputation data. Where pooled results are unavailable, the average of values across imputations is given.

Most predictor variables were approximately normally distributed, and mild skew observed in the child and parent frequency scores of the BPFAS did not affect data summaries. Multiple linear regression analyses were used to assess the significance of child variables in combined models of the BPFAS child and parent feeding behavior scores. Collinearity and influence statistics were examined and all fell well within acceptable ranges. Residual statistics were also examined for each model and were found to be normally distributed in each case.

Child feeding literature informed the selection of 12 variables from four main child characteristics (food neophobia, temperament, conduct/adjustment, and sensory sensitivity) for

analysis; however, little theory exists regarding the temporal importance of these variables within a multi-element model of feeding outcomes. Therefore, enter method regression models were used to examine the relative importance of all child characteristics to the child feeding outcomes. All models were adjusted for parent and child demographic factors and only those found to have no material influence on the model were removed to improve model parsimony.

### Results

# **Sample Child Behavior Characteristics.** :

Multi-element models of child feeding behaviors and problems.

Child feeding problems (BPFAS Child frequency scale). The model of 12 child characteristics explained a substantial and highly significant proportion (Adjusted R<sup>2</sup>=0.67) of variability in BPFAS child feeding problem frequency score, F(16,160)=21.27, p<0.001. This model was adjusted for parent and child age, child sex, and number of older siblings. Child food neophobia, and behavioral problems associated with conduct and hyperactivity were significant predictors in the model; the data also suggested an inverse relationship between feeding problems and prosocial behavior (result italicized in table 1), though this association was not significant at 5%. Beta coefficients, 95% confidence intervals, and p-values for all covariates are given in table 1. Although no account is taken for any shared variance observed in the full model, notably, a model containing only the significant predictors and prosocial behavior accounted for 64% of the variability in the BPFAS child frequency score.

al problems in child-feeding (BPFAS Parent frequency scale). The model of 12 child characteristics again explained a considerable proportion (Adjusted R<sup>2</sup>=0.52) of variability in BPFAS parent feeding problem frequency score, F(16,160)=10.84, p<0.001. This model was adjusted for parent and child age, child sex, breastfeeding duration, birthweight, and number of younger siblings. In this model, child food neophobia, and problems associated with hyperactivity and decreased prosocial behaviors were significant predictors in the model; the confidence interval also suggested a potential inverse relationship between sociability and feeding problems, but this finding was not significant at 5% (result italicized in table 1). Beta coefficients, confidence intervals and p-values for all covariates are given in table 1. A model containing only the significant predictors and sociability was found to explain 50% of the variability in BPFAS parent frequency scores.

*Table 1*.

## Discussion

The current study examined the relative associations between several child characteristics

and problematic child feeding behavior. It also examined whether or not there were differences in the profiles of correlates of child feeding problems when assessed via parentally-observed behaviors (BPFAS Child) and parentally-reported feelings and strategies for coping with child feeding problems (BPFAS Parent). Notably, the current study found that models comprising only a small number of significant child characteristics, could explain over half of all variability in BPFAS parent frequency scores and a remarkable two thirds of the variability in BPFAS child frequency scores. Follow-up analyses suggested that the vast majority of the variance accounted for in each model could be attributed to these significant predictors. While the cross-sectional nature of the current study precludes inferences around cause and effect between child characteristics and feeding, the strength of the results suggests that the models uncovered in this study represent key profiles of characteristics to focus on in the reduction or prevention of population-level child feeding problems. Given that the characteristics were maternally reported, such methods of reduction/prevention would likely need to focus on both the child's behaviors and the parent's perceptions of those behaviors. Our hypotheses that the models of child and parent outcomes would differ, and that different child characteristics would prevail for each outcome, was partially supported by the data. The specific pattern of predictors and the total model explanatory values differed slightly between the models of child and parent feeding outcomes, and certain characteristics were found to play a greater role in BPFAS child scores, while others were more highly associated with BPFAS parent scores.

The current study found that child food neophobia and behavioral hyperactivity were strongly and positively associated with both domains of problematic child feeding behavior (observed behaviour and parental perceptions and management). Accounting for the relative scales of measurement, the strength of these predictors was similar and noteworthy for each outcome scale. A single unit increase in food neophobia was associated with a 16.7% (minimum 11.8%) increase in BPFAS Child scores, and a 17.1% (min 10.6%) increase in BPFAS parent scores. Similarly, a unit increase in hyperactivity was associated with a 26.6% (min 13.1%) increase in child scores, and a more variable 29.5% (min 2.6%) increase in parent scores. The frequency of observed problematic feeding behaviors (BPFAS child) was also positively associated with behavioral conduct problems, which coupled with hyperactivity reflect a profile of externalizing behaviors (A. Goodman, Lamping, & Ploubidis, 2010; Liu, 2004). Rather than conduct problems, the parental problem scale (BPFAS parent) was significantly negatively associated with prosocial child behavior, and distinctly but non-significantly negatively associated with sociability. Prosocial deficits were marked, but not significant, in relation to the frequency of observed child feeding problems. Aside from social domains, no other facets of temperamental difficulty were associated

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

with problematic feeding behavior. The absence of emotional symptoms (either behavioral or temperamental) alongside social deficits in models of problematic feeding behavior, suggests that it is social interaction deficits specifically that correlate with feeding issues, rather than signifying broader internalizing behavior profiles. The additional absence of sensory sensitivities from the predictive models also suggests that psychophysiological factors do not routinely underpin population feeding problems.

These key findings suggest that population level child feeding problems are predominantly active and interactive issues for the parent and child, co-occurring or perhaps falling within other externalizing-type behavior profiles; rather than underlying emotional, temperamental, or physiological issues. The findings also suggest that parents may observe a range of problems with their child's feeding and general interaction with their environment (Liu, 2004), but the presence of child social problems may be the distinguishing marker for the perception of problematic feeding behaviors as a challenge to parenting. This ties-in with a body of research, which advocates the importance of socialization, throughout feeding/eating development, as a means for teaching and learning about what, where, when, and how to eat (e.g., (Addessi et al., 2005; Birch, 1998, 1999; Nestle et al., 1998)). Difficulties in this area can therefore hinder natural learning techniques and impact on the parent's ability to manage or overcome feeding problems. It was identified earlier in the paper that parental perception of feeding problems can have significant negative impact on the parent's subsequent actions, feeding strategies, and parental self-efficacy. As such, the current results suggest that deficits to social interactions may represent a key risk factor to this negative association and potential downward spiral of feeding behavior. Advice, support, and interventions for poor feeding, based around improvement of socialization and interaction may therefore be beneficial to the parent and child in the general population, though more research would be needed to test this supposition. The absence of sensory and temperament or regulatory factors in the models of child feeding may also have important implications for the differentiation of clinical and nonclinical feeding problems, though further research would again be required to test this assertion.

A limitation to the current study was that ethnicity and socioeconomic data was incomplete and thus not reported. However, estimates available from the overarching child feeding study suggest that a predominantly white British sample was obtained, but a reasonably broad spectrum of socioeconomic backgrounds was represented via paid, voluntary, or full-time parenting roles, though very few individuals were identified as unemployed. In future research, more detailed information should be collected on these factors to control for their potential influence on child feeding outcomes.

The strength and nature of the current study findings are noteworthy. The study gives a clear

- 273 profile of child characteristics that explain a huge amount of the variability in poorer feeding
- behaviors in the population. Two thirds of the variability in problematic feeding behaviorfrequencys
- was explained by associated negative external behaviours and food neophobia in the child. Parental
- 276 perceptions of child feeding problems as challenging were not associated with child conduct
- problems, but were instead significantly associated with child social deficits. This key correlate
- amplifies the importance of social interaction within feeding development and behavior, and may
- indicate a crucial focus for parental support in overcoming feeding problems.

290

291

292

#### References

- Addessi, E., Galloway, A. T., Visalberghi, E., & Birch, L. L. (2005). Specific social influences on the acceptance of novel foods in 2-5-year-old children. *Appetite*, 45(3), 264-271. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2005.07.007
- Ahn, R. R., Miller, L. J., Milberger, S., & McIntosh, D. N. (2004). Prevalence of parents' perceptions of sensory processing disorders among kindergarten children. *Am J Occup Ther*, 58(3), 287-293.
- Aldridge, V., Dovey, T. M., & Halford, J. C. G. (2009). The role of familiarity in dietary development. *Developmental Review*, 29(1), 32-44. doi: Doi 10.1016/J.Dr.2008.11.001
  - Ammaniti, M., Lucarelli, L., Cimino, S., D'Olimpio, F., & Chatoor, I. (2010). Maternal Psychopathology and Child Risk Factors in Infantile Anorexia. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 43(3), 233-240. doi: Doi 10.1002/Eat.20688
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The Moderator Mediator Variable Distinction in Social
   Psychological-Research Conceptual, Strategic, and Statistical Considerations. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, *51*(6), 1173-1182. doi: Doi 10.1037/0022 3514.51.6.1173
- Berlin, K. S., Davies, W. H., Lobato, D. J., & Silverman, A. H. (2009). A Biopsychosocial Model of Normative and Problematic Pediatric Feeding. *Childrens Health Care*, 38(4), 263-282. doi: Doi 10.1080/02739610903235984
- Birch, L. L. (1998). Development of food acceptance patterns in the first years of life. *Proceedings* of the Nutrition Society, 57(4), 617-624. doi: Doi 10.1079/Pns19980090
- Birch, L. L. (1999). Development of food preferences. *Annual Review of Nutrition*, *19*, 41-62. doi: DOI 10.1146/annurev.nutr.19.1.41
- Blissett, J., & Harris, G. (2002). A behavioural intervention in a child with feeding problems. *J Hum Nutr Diet*, *15*(4), 255-260.
- Boer, F., & Westenberg, P. M. (1994). The Factor Structure of the Buss and Plomin Eas
   Temperament Survey (Parental Ratings) in a Dutch Sample of Elementary-School-Children.
   *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 62(3), 537-551. doi: DOI
   10.1207/s15327752jpa6203\_13
- Burklow, K. A., McGrath, A. M., & Kaul, A. (2002). Management and prevention of feeding problems in young children with prematurity and very low birth weight. *Infants and Young Children*, *14*(4), 19-30.
- Buss, A. H. P., R. (1984). *Temperament: Early developing personality traits*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Carruth, B. R., & Skinner, J. D. (2000). Revisiting the picky eater phenomenon: Neophobic behaviors of young children. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, *19*(6), 771-780.
- Carruth, B. R., Ziegler, P. J., Gordon, A., & Barr, S. I. (2004). Prevalence of picky eaters among, Infants and toddlers and their caregivers' decisions about offering a new food.

- Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 104(1), S57-S64. doi: DOI
   10.1016/j.jada.2003.10.024
- Chatoor, I., & Ganiban, J. (2003). Food refusal by infants and young children: Diagnosis and treatment. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 10(2), 138-146. doi: Doi 10.1016/S1077-7229(03)80022-6
- Cooke, L. (2007). The importance of exposure for healthy eating in childhood: a review. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics*, 20(4), 294-301. doi: DOI 10.1111/j.1365-277X.2007.00804.x
- Coulthard, H., & Blissett, J. (2009). Fruit and vegetable consumption in children and their mothers.
   Moderating effects of child sensory sensitivity. *Appetite*, *52*(2), 410-415. doi: DOI
   10.1016/j.appet.2008.11.015
- Craig, G. M., Scambler, G., & Spitz, L. (2003). Why parents of children with neurodevelopmental disabilities requiring gastrostomy feeding need more support. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, *45*(3), 183-188. doi: Doi 10.1017/S0012162203000355
- Crist, W., Mcdonnell, P., Beck, M., Gillespie, C. T., Barrett, P., & Mathews, J. (1994). Behavior at Mealtimes and the Young-Child with Cystic-Fibrosis. *Journal of Developmental and* Behavioral Pediatrics, 15(3), 157-161.
- Dovey, T. M., Farrow, C. V., Martin, C. I., Isherwood, E., & Halford, J. C. G. (2009). When does food refusal require professional intervention? . *Current Nutrition and Food Science*, *5*(3), 160-171.
- Dovey, T. M., Isherwood, E., Aldridge, V. K., & Martin, C. (2010). Typology of feeding disorders based on a single assessment system: formulation of a clinical decision-making model. *Infant, Child & Adolescent Nutrition*, 2(1), 45-51.
- Dovey, T. M., Jordan, C., Aldridge, V. K., & Martin, C. I. (2013). Screening for feeding disorders.

  Creating critical values using the behavioural pediatrics feeding assessment scale. *Appetite*,
  69, 108-113. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2013.05.019
- Dovey, T. M., & Martin, C. I. (2012). A Quantitative Psychometric Evaluation of an Intervention for Poor Dietary Variety in Children with a Feeding Problem of Clinical Significance. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, *33*(2), 148-162. doi: Doi 10.1002/Imhj.21315
- Dovey, T. M., Martin, C. I., Aldridge, V. K., Haycraft, E., & Meyer, C. (2011). Measures, measures everywhere, but which ones should I use? . *The Feeding News*, 6(1), 1-13.
- Dovey, T. M., Staples, P. A., Gibson, E. L., & Halford, J. C. (2008). Food neophobia and 'picky/fussy' eating in children: a review. *Appetite*, *50*(2-3), 181-193. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2007.09.009
- Dunn, W. (1994). Performance of Typical Children on the Sensory Profile an Item Analysis.
   American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 48(11), 967-974.
- Dunn, W. (1999). Development and validation of the short sensory profile. In W. Dunn (Ed.), *The sensory profile examiner's manual*. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- Dunn, W., & Daniels, D. B. (2002). Initial development of the infant/toddler sensory profile. *Journal of Early Intervention*, 25(1), 27-41. doi: Doi 10.1177/105381510202500104
- Falciglia, G. A., Couch, S. C., Gribble, L. S., Pabst, S. M., & Frank, R. (2000). Food neophobia in childhood affects dietary variety. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, *100*(12), 1474-+. doi: Doi 10.1016/S0002-8223(00)00412-0
- Farrow, C., & Blissett, J. (2006). Maternal cognitions, psychopathologic symptoms, and infant temperament as predictors of early infant feeding problems: A longitudinal study. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 39(2), 128-134. doi: Doi 10.1002/Eat.20220
- Feldman, R., Keren, M., Gross-Rozval, O., & Tyano, S. (2004). Mother-Child touch patterns in infant feeding disorders: relation to maternal, child, and environmental factors. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, *43*(9), 1089-1097. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000132810.98922.83

- Fraser, K. W., M.; St John, W. (2004). Improving children's problem eating and mealtime behaviours: An evaluative study of a single session parent education programme. *Health Education Journal*, *63*(3), 229-241.
- Galloway, A. T., Lee, Y., & Birch, L. L. (2003). Predictors and consequences of food neophobia and pickiness in young girls. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 103(6), 692-698. doi: DOI 10.1053/jada.2003.50134
- Goodman, A., Lamping, D. L., & Ploubidis, G. B. (2010). When to use broader internalising and externalising subscales instead of the hypothesised five subscales on the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ): data from British parents, teachers and children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 38(8), 1179-1191. doi: 10.1007/s10802-010-9434-x
- Goodman, R. (1997). The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: A research note. Jul 1997.
   Child Psychology & Psychiatry & Allied Disciplines, .38(5), pp. doi: 10.1111/j.1469 7610.1997.tb01545.x 9255702
- Goodman, R. (2001). Psychometric properties of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire.
   Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, .40(11), pp. doi:
   10.1097/00004583-200111000-00015
- Greer, A. J., Gulotta, C. S., Masler, E. A., & Laud, R. B. (2008). Caregiver stress and outcomes of children with pediatric feeding disorders treated in an intensive interdisciplinary program. *J Pediatr Psychol*, 33(6), 612-620. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsm116
- Hagekull, B., Bohlin, G., & Rydell, A. M. (1997). Maternal sensitivity, infant temperament, and the development of early feeding problems. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, 18(1), 92-106. doi: Doi 10.1002/(Sici)1097-0355(199721)18:1<92::Aid-Imhj7>3.0.Co;2-2
  - Hane, A. A., Fox, N. A., Polak-Toste, C., Ghera, M. M., & Guner, B. M. (2006). Contextual basis of maternal perceptions of infant temperament. *Developmental Psychology*, 42(6), 1077-1088. doi: Doi 10.1037/0012-1649.42.6.1077

391

392

395

396

- Harris, G. B., I. W. (1992). The nature and management of eating problems in pre-school children. Feeding problems and eating disorders in children and adolescents (pp. 61-84).
  - Haywood, P., & McCann, J. (2009). A brief group intervention for young children with feeding problems. *Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry*, *14*(3), 361-372. doi: 10.1177/1359104509104046
- Hobden, K., & Pliner, P. (1995). Effects of a Model on Food Neophobia in Humans. *Appetite*,
   25(2), 101-113. doi: DOI 10.1006/appe.1995.0046
- Levine, A., Bachar, L., Tsangen, Z., Mizrachi, A., Levy, A., Dalal, I., . . . Boaz, M. (2011).

  Screening criteria for diagnosis of infantile feeding disorders as a cause of poor feeding or food refusal. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*, *52*(5), 563-568. doi: 10.1097/MPG.0b013e3181ff72d2
- Lindberg, L. B., G.; Hagekull, B. (1994). Infant Food Refusal and Parental Social Support. *Early Development and Parenting*, *3*(3), 153-159.
- Linscheid, T. R. (2006). Behavioral treatments for pediatric feeding disorders. *Behav Modif, 30*(1), 6-23. doi: 10.1177/0145445505282165
- Liu, J. (2004). Childhood Externalizing Behavior: Theory and Implications. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing*, .17(3), pp. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-6171.2004.tb00003.x 15535385
- Martin, C. I., Dovey, T. M., Coulthard, H., & Southall, A. M. (2013). Maternal Stress and Problem Solving Skills in a Sample of Children with Nonorganic Feeding Disorders. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, 34(3), 202-210. doi: Doi 10.1002/Imhj.21378
- 413 Miller, L. J., Coll, J. R., & Schoen, S. A. (2007). A randomized controlled pilot study of the 414 effectiveness of occupational therapy for children with sensory modulation disorder. 415 *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, *61*(2), 228-238.
- Nestle, M., Wing, R., Birch, L., DiSogra, L., Drewnowski, A., Middleton, S., . . . Economos, C. (1998). Behavioral and social influences on food choice. *Nutrition Reviews*, *56*(5), S50-S64.

- Niegel, S., Ystrom, E., Hagtvet, K. A., & Vollrath, M. E. (2008). Difficult Temperament,
- Breastfeeding, and Their Mutual Prospective Effects: The Norwegian Mother and Child
- Cohort Study. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics*, 29(6), 458-462. doi: Doi 10.1097/Dbp.0b013e3181877a88
- Piazza, C. C., Fisher, W. W., Brown, K. A., Shore, B. A., Patel, M. R., Katz, R. M., . . . Blakely-Smith, A. (2003). Functional analysis of inappropriate mealtime behaviors. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 36(2), 187-204. doi: DOI 10.1901/jaba.2003.36-187
- Pliner, P. (1994). Development of Measures of Food Neophobia in Children. *Appetite*, *23*(2), 147-426 163. doi: DOI 10.1006/appe.1994.1043
- Pliner, P., & Hobden, K. (1992). Development of a Scale to Measure the Trait of Food Neophobia in Humans. *Appetite*, *19*(2), 105-120. doi: Doi 10.1016/0195-6663(92)90014-W
- Powers, S. W., Byars, K. C., Mitchell, M. J., Patton, S. R., Standiford, D. A., & Dolan, L. M. (2002). Parent report of mealtime behavior and parenting stress in young children with type 1 diabetes and in healthy control subjects. *Diabetes Care*, 25(2), 313-318. doi: DOI 10.2337/diacare.25.2.313
- Robinson, J. R., Drotar, D., & Boutry, M. (2001). Problem-solving abilities among mothers of infants with failure to thrive. *J Pediatr Psychol*, 26(1), 21-32.
- Rubin, D. B. (1987). *Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys*. New York: Wiley.
- Sanders, M. R., Patel, R. K., Legrice, B., & Shepherd, R. W. (1993). Children with Persistent
   Feeding Difficulties an Observational Analysis of the Feeding Interactions of Problem and
   Nonproblem Eaters. *Health Psychology*, 12(1), 64-73. doi: Doi 10.1037/0278-6133.12.1.64
- Silverman, A. H. (2010). Interdisciplinary care for feeding problems in children. *Nutr Clin Pract*, 25(2), 160-165. doi: 10.1177/0884533610361609
- Singer, L. T., Song, L. Y., Hill, B. P., & Jaffe, A. C. (1990). Stress and depression in mothers of failure-to-thrive children. *J Pediatr Psychol*, *15*(6), 711-720.
- Smith, A. A., Roux, S., Naidoo, N. T., & Venter, D. J. L. (2005). Food choices of tactile defensive children. *Nutrition*, *21*(1), 14-19. doi: DOI 10.1016/j.nut.2004.09.004
- Tarkka, M. T. (2003). Predictors of maternal competence by first-time mothers when the child is 8 months old. *J Adv Nurs*, *41*(3), 233-240.
- Wolff, R. P. H., C. J. (1994). Management of behavioural feeding problems in young children.
   *Infants and Young Children*, 7(1), 14-23.
- Wolke, D., Rizzo, P., & Woods, S. (2002). Persistent infant crying and hyperactivity problems in middle childhood. *Pediatrics*, 109(6), 1054-1060. doi: DOI 10.1542/peds.109.6.1054
- Woods, J. N., Borrero, J. C., Laud, R. B., & Borrero, C. S. (2010). Descriptive analyses of pediatric food refusal: the structure of parental attention. *Behav Modif*, *34*(1), 35-56. doi:
- 453 10.1177/0145445509355646