

1 **Antibiotic prophylaxis for women undergoing Caesarean section and infant health: a commentary**

2

3 Bailey SR<sup>a</sup>, Field N<sup>b</sup>, Townsend CL<sup>a</sup>, Rodger AJ<sup>b</sup>, Brocklehurst P<sup>c</sup>

4 <sup>a</sup> UCL Institute of Child Health, University College London, London, UK

5 <sup>b</sup> Research Department of Infection and Population Health, University College London, London, UK

6 <sup>c</sup> Institute for Women's Health, University College London, London, UK

7 *Correspondence address*

8 Miss S Bailey, Population Policy and Practice Programme, UCL Institute of Child Health, University

9 College London, 30 Guilford Street, London, WC1N 1EH, UK. Email [s.bailey@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:s.bailey@ucl.ac.uk)

## Antibiotic prophylaxis and infant health: a commentary

10 In 2011, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) revised their guidance on the  
11 timing of intravenous prophylactic antibiotic administration for Caesarean section, advising that  
12 antibiotics should be given prior to skin incision<sup>(1)</sup>. This change has recently been supported by a  
13 Cochrane review which advises administration of antibiotics 60 minutes prior to incision to prevent  
14 maternal postpartum infectious morbidity<sup>(2)</sup>. While it is clearly important that women giving birth  
15 are protected from infection-related complications of Caesarean section, it is also of concern that  
16 nearly 25% of births in the United Kingdom (UK) delivered by Caesarean section will be affected by  
17 this recommendation, which will lead to some 175,000 infants<sup>(3)</sup> annually being exposed to broad  
18 spectrum antibiotics around the time of birth. NICE recommends the use of prophylactic broad  
19 spectrum antibiotics for women undergoing Caesarean section which are effective against the  
20 microorganisms associated with endometritis, urinary tract and wound infections<sup>(1)</sup>. These antibiotics  
21 rapidly cross the placenta and will reach the baby's circulation before birth, with an inevitable but  
22 not yet fully characterised influence on newborn microbial colonisation. Previous NICE guidance  
23 advised cord clamping prior to giving mothers antibiotics to prevent such collateral neonatal  
24 antibiotic exposure<sup>(4)</sup>.

25

26 There is increasing evidence for a functional role of gut microbiota in driving immune development  
27 in the newborn and the development of chronic conditions later in life<sup>(5)</sup>. We know that the immune  
28 system both modifies and is modified by our response to pathogens according to the composition of  
29 early microbial colonisation<sup>(6)</sup>, and that the pattern of gut colonisation by microorganisms is  
30 associated with mode of delivery<sup>(7)</sup>. There is also evidence that infants with abnormal microbiota are  
31 at increased risk of diseases such as atopic dermatitis, inflammatory bowel disease and Type 1  
32 diabetes<sup>(8, 9)</sup>. As such, there is growing awareness of the importance of microbes and the immune  
33 system as aetiological agents in human disease<sup>(5, 10)</sup>.

34

## Antibiotic prophylaxis and infant health: a commentary

35 The recommendation in the Cochrane review draws on data from 12 high quality trial reports  
36 showing an absolute risk reduction of 2.8% in maternal infectious morbidity (from 8.5% to 5.7%,  
37 relative risk (RR) 0.57, 95% CI 0.45-0.72) when comparing those receiving antibiotics preoperatively  
38 with those receiving antibiotics after cord clamping. This was due to reductions in clinically  
39 diagnosed endometritis (from 28 to 15 per 1000, RR 0.54, 95% CI 0.54-0.82) and wound infection  
40 (from 41 to 24 per 1000, RR 0.59, 95% CI 0.44-0.81), both of which can be associated with sepsis and  
41 maternal mortality<sup>(11)</sup>, although the vast majority of these infections are mild and respond promptly  
42 to treatment. However, the review fails to consider the effects of broad spectrum antibiotics on the  
43 neonatal microbiota and the potential long-term health sequelae of disrupted microbial colonisation  
44 in the infant.

45

46 Ideally, high quality evidence of immediate benefits to the mother should be weighed against  
47 equally good evidence about any potential risks of long-term harm to the infant. However, to date,  
48 no randomised controlled trials (RCTs) have measured the long-term effects on infants of receiving  
49 intrapartum antibiotics. Such studies are unlikely to be undertaken because of the long duration of  
50 follow up required to measure health outcomes that might not present until years later. Evidence  
51 suggesting an adverse effect of early antibiotic exposure on the infant gut currently comes from  
52 observational studies<sup>(5)</sup>, but the limitations in such studies mean they are less likely to be included in  
53 systematic reviews, upon which NICE guidance is primarily based. The focus on RCTs risks potentially  
54 important long-term infant health outcomes being ignored.

55

56 It is possible to test whether early life exposure to antibiotics affects microbial colonisation of the  
57 gut and other mucosal surfaces in the neonate, and to explore whether antibiotics exposure might  
58 lead to selective survival of microbes with genes conferring antimicrobial resistance, without RCT-  
59 level evidence<sup>(10)</sup>. Given that the new guidance will affect such large numbers of infants, we feel it is  
60 important to consider the emerging literature on the role of the microbiota in determining long-term

## **Antibiotic prophylaxis and infant health: a commentary**

61 infant health. This is part of a wider issue for evidence-based practice whereby high-quality reviews  
62 currently prioritise evidence from studies with strong epidemiological designs, which may only  
63 measure short-term outcomes, over weaker evidence of health consequences that may occur in the  
64 longer term. We acknowledge that there is not yet clear evidence on which to base immediate  
65 changes to clinical practice. Instead, we suggest a more nuanced weighing of evidence is needed,  
66 which gives consideration to study designs capable of assessing long-term outcomes. It might also be  
67 time to update how these reviews are communicated to patients, making clear where certain short-  
68 term gains are given precedence in structured reviews over uncertain long-term, and potentially  
69 adverse, health outcomes.

70

### **71 Acknowledgements**

72 Not applicable.

73

### **74 Disclosure of Interests**

75 There are no conflicts of interest for any of the contributing authors to this paper.

76

### **77 Contribution to Authorship**

78 Peter Brocklehurst, Nigel Field and Sarah R Bailey conceived this article. Sarah R Bailey and Nigel  
79 Field wrote the first draft, with further contributions from Peter Brocklehurst, Alison J Rodger, and  
80 Claire L Townsend. All authors reviewed successive drafts and approved the final version of the  
81 article.

82

### **83 Details of ethics approval**

84 No ethics approvals were required for this paper.

85

### **86 Funding**

## Antibiotic prophylaxis and infant health: a commentary

87 All contributing authors are members of the research team working on the Infection and Immunity  
88 Enhancement to Life Study, which is funded by Wellcome Trust grant number WT101169AIA.

89

### 90 References

91 1. NICE. Caesarean section: full guideline. NICE clinical guideline 132. 2011.

92 2. Mackeen AD, Packard RE, Ota E, Berghella V, Baxter JK. Timing of intravenous prophylactic  
93 antibiotics for preventing postpartum infectious morbidity in women undergoing cesarean delivery.  
94 The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2014;12:CD009516. Epub 2014/12/06.

95 3. Statistical bulletin: Births in England and Wales by Characteristics of Birth 2, 2013 release.  
96 Office for National Statistics. 2014.

97 4. NICE. Caesarean section: full guideline. NICE clinical guideline 13. 2004.

98 5. Lawley TD, Walker AW. Intestinal colonization resistance. Immunology. 2013;138(1):1-11.  
99 Epub 2012/12/18.

100 6. Adkins B, Leclerc C, Marshall-Clarke S. Neonatal adaptive immunity comes of age. Nature  
101 reviews Immunology. 2004;4(7):553-64. Epub 2004/07/02.

102 7. Dominguez-Bello MG, Costello EK, Contreras M, Magris M, Hidalgo G, Fierer N, et al. Delivery  
103 mode shapes the acquisition and structure of the initial microbiota across multiple body habitats in  
104 newborns. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.  
105 2010;107(26):11971-5. Epub 2010/06/23.

106 8. Penders J, Thijs C, van den Brandt PA, Kummeling I, Snijders B, Stelma F, et al. Gut  
107 microbiota composition and development of atopic manifestations in infancy: the KOALA Birth  
108 Cohort Study. Gut. 2007;56(5):661-7.

109 9. Honda K, Littman DR. The microbiome in infectious disease and inflammation. Annual review  
110 of immunology. 2012;30:759-95. Epub 2012/01/10.

## Antibiotic prophylaxis and infant health: a commentary

111 10. Murgas Torrazza R, Neu J. The developing intestinal microbiome and its relationship to  
112 health and disease in the neonate. *Journal of perinatology : official journal of the California Perinatal*  
113 *Association*. 2011;31 Suppl 1:S29-34. Epub 2011/04/02.

114 11. Knight M KS, Brocklehurst P, Neilson J, Shakespeare J, Kurinczuk JJ (Eds.) on behalf of  
115 MBRRACEUK. *Saving Lives, Improving Mothers' Care - Lessons learned to inform future maternity*  
116 *care from the UK and Ireland Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Deaths and Morbidity 2009–12*.  
117 Oxford: National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, University of Oxford. 2014.

118

119