Appendix 1: Selection of the latent measure of barrier classes

The five class model was selected because it had high entropy^, posterior probabilities*, and interpretability (see 'Statistical analysis' and Table A1).

Table A1: Factors used to assess the latent class models

•	1 class	2 class	3 class	4 class	5 class	6 class	7 class
Posterior pr	obabilities*:						
	1.00	0.84	0.84	0.82	0.87	0.71	0.86
		0.77	0.71	0.69	0.70	0.72	0.74
			0.71	0.64	0.72	0.68	0.64
				0.68	0.58	0.65	0.72
					0.74	0.80	0.72
						0.58	0.69
							0.61
Entropy^:							
	1	0.43	0.55	0.57	0.71	0.57	0.70
BIC^^:							
	2978	2608	2600	2624	2647	2726	2787
AIC^^:		•					
	2880	2405	2293	2213	2132	2106	2064

^{*1} indicates perfect assignment within that class; ^1 indicates perfect assignment of all individuals to all classes; ^^lower values indicate a more parsimonious model

The three class model had the lowest BIC; however entropy was low and class homogeneity and separation was lower than the five class model. The three classes were characterised by 1. 'Minimal barriers'; 2. 'Health concerns & medium barriers'; 3. 'High barriers'. As a sensitivity analysis the final model was repeated using the three class measure in place of the five class one and the 'Health concerns & medium barriers' and 'High barriers' classes both had an elevated risk of incomplete immunisation compared to 'Minimal barriers' (aRR: 1.63 [1.13, 2.36] and 1.95 [1.53, 2.47] respectively).

The seven class model had the lowest AIC, and while entropy was as high as for the five class model, the additional two classes did not increase interpretability, and membership probability of the seventh class was very low (1.6%). The class labels (and aRR for incomplete immunisation) were: 1. 'Minimal barriers' (baseline); 2. 'Lone parent, mobile families with good support' (1.95 [1.26, 3.01]; 3. 'Larger families, not using formal (1.40 [0.89, 2.21]); 4. Child health issues/concerns childcare' (2.04 [0.95, 4.39]); 5. 'Low social contact and service information' (1.95 [1.31, 2.90]); 6. 'Low social contact and support' (1.36 [0.98, 1.90]); 7. 'Rushed, distressed and mobile' (2.47 [1.85, 3.28]).

Appendix 2: Characteristics of the LSAC sample

Table A2: Socio-economic and demographic characteristics of LSAC 'b-cohort' in complete and imputed sample (in infants whose mother did not disagree with immunisation)

	% (N) in response	% (N) in complete	% in imputed sample
A d'a . d d	sample (N varies)	sample (<i>N</i> =4671)	(N=4994)
Area disadvantage, quintiles		24.02 (44.22)	22.77
Most disadvantaged	23.77(1187)	24.02 (1122)	23.77
Quintile 2	20.60 (1029)	20.68 (966)	20.60
Quintile 3	18.82 (940)	18.52 (865)	18.82
Quintile 4	18.24 (911)	18.33 (856)	18.24
Most advantaged	18.56 (18.6)	18.45 (862)	18.56
Total	100 (4994)	100 (4671)	100 (4994)
Missing (N)	0	323	N/A
Remoteness			
Accessible	94.61 (4725)	94.75 (4426)	94.61
Remote	4.23 (211)	4.22 (197)	4.23
Unclassified	1.16 (58)	1.03 (48)	1.16
Total	100 (4994)	100 (4671)	100 (4994)
Missing (N)	0	323	N/A
Aboriginal & Torres Strait			
No	96.78 (4833)	96.70 (4517)	96.78
Yes	3.22 (161)	3.30 (154)	3.22
Total	100 (4994)	100 (4671)	100 (4994)
Missing (N)	0	323	N/A
Parents born in Australia?			•
1+ parents	86.74 (4331)	87.00 (4064)	86.67
Neither	13.26 (662)	13.00 (607)	13.26
Total	100 (4993)	100 (4671)	100 (4994)
Missing (N)	1	323	N/A
Mother's education			,
< year 10	3.73 (184)	3.60 (168)	3.73
Year 10-11	13.17 (650)	12.89 (602)	13.16
Year 12	15.28 (754)	15.37 (718)	15.28
Certificate	25.03 (1235)	25.07 (1171)	25.01
Advanced diploma	9.73 (480)	9.76 (456)	9.74
Degree	33.07 (1632)	33.31 (1556)	33.09
Total	100 (4935)	100 (4671)	100 (4994)
Missing (N)	59	323	N/A
Household income		323	14/1
<\$500	12.74 (602)	12.67 (592)	12.70
\$500-999	33.07 (1563)	33.08 (1545)	33.12
\$1000-1999	40.89 (1933)	40.98 (1914)	40.91
\$2000+		13.27 (620)	13.27
•	13.31 (629)	· · ·	
Total	100 (4727)	100 (4671)	100 (4994)
Missing (N)	267	323	N/A