

Cultural Convergence in the Neolithic of the Nile Valley: A Prehistoric Perspective on Egypt's Place in Africa

David Wengrow,^a Michael Dee,^b Sarah Foster,^a Alice Stevenson,^z Christopher Bronk Ramsey^b

Abstract

This paper clarifies the nature and extent of an important cultural horizon in the later prehistory of the Nile Valley. A synthesis of radiometric dates from Neolithic sites in both the Egyptian and Sudanese parts of the valley locates this horizon (here termed the 'primary pastoral community') broadly within the fifth millennium BC. We also present new AMS determinations from Neolithic sites in Middle Egypt, almost doubling the number of published radiocarbon dates for the 'Badarian' culture and extending its likely chronological range into the early centuries of the fourth millennium. This new chronometric synthesis is presented in the context of a broader review of economy and society in the Neolithic of the Nile Valley, including a re-evaluation of evidence from older fieldwork that leads us to reassess the early development of cereal farming in this region. The resulting picture of the Middle Holocene differs in several respects from those advanced in recent studies that emphasise climate change and environmental stress as drivers of cultural adaptation in North East Africa.

'Systematic mapping of empirical networks and interconnections, without prejudging the demarcation of units, could well lead to substantial discoveries of traditional as well as contemporary systems, and a re-drawing of our picture of African forms of social organisation'. (Fredrik Barth 1978: 258)

^a Institute of Archaeology, University College London

^b Research Laboratory for Archaeology & the History of Art, University of Oxford

^z Pitt Rivers Museum, University of Oxford

Introduction

It has been clear for some decades that the later prehistory of Egypt cannot be adequately understood in isolation from a wider African context (see O'Connor and Reid eds. 2003, with reviews of earlier literature). Current definitions of that context remain, however, to a large extent geographical rather than cultural in orientation. What constitutes an African cultural milieu of long duration can of course be defined on a variety of comparative criteria; but rather than pursue possible lines of enquiry—such as those suggested by historically oriented linguistic and ethnographic studies (e.g. de Heusch 1985; Ehret 2001; Warnier 2007; and see also Rowlands 2003)—recent attempts to root Egypt's early development in an African setting have focussed instead upon questions of environmental adaptation, and in particular on environmental stress as a driver of cultural change among the early pastoralist societies of this region (e.g. Wendorf and Schild 1998; Kuper and Kroepelin 2006). Too often, perhaps, Evans-Pritchard's (1940: 16) quipping injunction—*cherchez la vache*—seems to suffice as a descriptor of the relationship between prehistoric economy and society in African contexts.¹

Rather than add to an already complex and contentious literature on the origins of cattle domestication in North East Africa (reviewed by MacDonald 2000; Gifford-Gonzalez and Hanotte 2011), the aim of the present article is to define an important horizon of cultural change, belonging to the fifth millennium BC, linking Egypt's early development firmly to that of its southern neighbours in Nubia and central Sudan. This north-south axis of Neolithic development, first discussed in earlier publications by one of the present authors (Wengrow 2001: 95-7; 2003; 2006: 26-29, 44-59; and more recently also Edwards 2004: 49-59; 2007: 216-7; Gatto 2011a), has been overshadowed by climate-driven explanations of cultural change, with their focus upon the mid-Holocene desiccation of the “Green Sahara” as a ‘motor’ of social evolution (see, especially, Kuper and Kroepelin 2006). Its emergence nevertheless defines a clear break with the Early Holocene past, and the establishment—throughout the entirety of the Nile Valley—of a remarkably consistent set of concepts and material practices relating to the treatment of human bodies in life and death.

Our point of departure is the comparative observation that there is nothing distinctively “African” about the adoption of mobile cattle pastoralism as a response to climate change, or about the privileging of cattle as ritual and symbolic media. Similar patterns of response have now been documented across a much broader zone of the Middle Holocene Old World, including the southern portions of both the Arabian Peninsula (McCorrison and Martin 2010; McCorrison et al. 2012) and the Indian Subcontinent (Boivin 2004; Fuller 2011). Instead, as we will go on to suggest, it is by charting the spatial and temporal distribution of specific cultural practices focused on the body—its skin and hair; its diverse contents and substances; its emissions and cavities; and its passage between life and death—that the beginnings of a distinctly African context for the later prehistory of the Nile Valley may yet come into focus.

The Inception of Farming Economies in North East Africa

For many prehistorians, defining ‘culture’ in North East Africa is still a matter of typological comparison within discrete classes of artefactual evidence, most commonly ceramic containers and stone tools. Studies of this kind play a crucial role in revealing patterns of cultural transmission and technological change, shedding light on such diverse matters as diet, cuisine, and strategies of hunting and foraging. But the reification of artefact typologies as discrete cultural and chronological entities (‘Tasian’, ‘Badarian’, ‘Abkan’, ‘Khartoum Variant’ and so on) can easily obscure more subtle rhythms of spatial and temporal variation in the organisation of prehistoric social units (cf. Usai 2005; 2008; Garcea and Hildebrand 2009; Briois et al. 2012: 188). Too literal an acceptance of such categories as markers of cultural identity also masks overarching processes of structural change that transcend any single category of material culture or technological activity.

The purpose of this article is to define a clear spatial and chronological horizon for one such overarching pattern of change in the later prehistory of the Nile Valley. The deeper origins of this cultural pattern—which we term, for shorthand, the ‘primary pastoral community’—cannot be ascribed in any simple fashion to a particular region or period. They are the result of a historically unique mixture of influences that came together, by the fifth millennium BC, to produce a spatially extensive network of

communities sharing fundamental beliefs and practices about the nature of human bodies, the materialisation of social relationships, and the marking of territorial attachments through elaborate funerary rituals. The area occupied by this network of communities encompassed both the Egyptian and Sudanese floodplains of the Nile Valley, and grazing lands extending into the adjacent Eastern and Western Deserts.

The 'primary pastoral community', as defined here and in earlier publications (Wengrow 2003; 2006), is a phenomenon of the Middle Holocene; but its foundations, including the adoption of a herding economy, were laid in the preceding millennia of the Early Holocene. The origin and spread of farming in northern Africa was a complex, protracted, and regionally variable process. By contrast with some parts of neighbouring South-West Asia and Europe, domesticated plants and animals do not appear to have been adopted as part of a single cultural 'package'. With the exception of Lower Egypt, some areas of which (e.g. Fayum) may have followed a more typically Mediterranean path of development (Phillipps et al. 2012), much of northern Africa witnessed the inception of herding practices centuries, or in some cases millennia before the arrival of domesticated cereals (Marshall and Hildebrand 2002).

Domestic varieties of sheep and goat were introduced to the African continent from South West Asia, perhaps via multiple routes of transmission—maritime and terrestrial—including the Red Sea and Mediterranean coastlines (Hassan 2000: 70-72, with further references; Vermeersch 2008). This initial introduction had taken place by around 6000 BC. Evidence for the economic milieu of northern Africa at this time is subject to widely varying interpretations. A Mesolithic lifestyle—centred upon fishing, hunting, and foraging—had held sway across much of the Sahara since the beginning of the Holocene (c. 10,000 BC), when both tropical and winter rains advanced into the region (Close 1996). Human populations concentrated around the shores of revitalised lakes and rivers that formed a loosely integrated corridor for the movement of people and animals, as well as providing access to a variety of deep-water fish and larger aquatic species such as hippopotamus and crocodile (Drake et al. 2011).

Archaeological traces of these early hunter-forager-fisher groups are remarkably consistent across the Sahara, comprising a regular combination of ceramic containers

(with impressed or incised designs), grinding stones for processing wild grasses and cereals, barbed bone hunting points and arrowheads (Haaland 1992; 1993; Muzzolini 1993). Evidence for body ornamentation is usually confined to ostrich eggshell beads and traces of ochre pigment on ground stone tools. Seasonal movements for much of the Early Holocene may have been limited to the immediate environs of major watercourses (Haaland 1995; Salvatori et al. 2011). Research in the Libyan Desert indicates that some groups employed herding strategies such as penning to regularise access to wild herbivores, including native Barbary sheep (di Lernia 2001). More strident claims for an Early Holocene domestication of African cattle in Egypt's western desert (Wendorf and Schild 1998; 2001) have not found general acceptance (for a critique of faunal evidence, see Grigson 2000; for a critique of contextual evidence, see Usai 2005: 104, especially n.3), and new faunal analyses (Linseele 2012) cast doubt upon suggestions of a similarly early domestication date from the Kerma region of Sudan (Honegger 2010: 83).²

From around 6000 to 4000 BC the frontier of monsoon rainfall began to move southwards, initiating a contraction of grasslands and watercourses across the Sahara and also in southern Arabia (Nicoll 2001; Kindermann et al. 2006). Over a period of millennia this gradual "drying out" would produce the hyper-arid landscapes that characterise these regions today. Human populations in both areas (Saharan Africa and the Arabian Peninsula) responded to these changing circumstances by becoming more mobile, and by developing more focussed pastoral strategies centred on mixed herds of cattle, sheep and goat (Caneva 1991; McCorrison and Martin 2010). Hunting, fishing, and foraging remained important seasonal pursuits; but their new prominence in ritual and ceremonial contexts suggests that domestic animals (and perhaps meat consumption more generally) were taking on increasingly central cultural roles as mobile stores of value, to be deployed in important social transactions (di Lernia 2006). The term 'primary pastoral community' signals this new cultural orientation, and serves as a reminder that some 'secondary' animal products (such as wool and traction) may not initially have played a significant role in the pastoral economies of these regions. Milking and dairying, on the other hand, are attested by the fifth millennium BC in chemical analyses of lipid residues on Saharan pottery (Dunne et al. 2012).³

Mobility and increased investment in herding, milking, and meat consumption were not the only cultural strategies adopted by North African populations during the more arid centuries of the Middle Holocene. In areas where a Mediterranean winter rainfall regime continued, such as the Fayum depression of northern Egypt, cereal cultivation—most probably in the seasonally watered mouths of wadis, rather than on the shores of Nile-fed Lake Qarun as previously thought (Phillipps et al. 2012)—was added to a diverse set of subsistence practices. In those areas where wild plant and animal resources remained abundant, sedentary (but not necessarily farming) populations are likely to have flourished. Examples of such environments would include the expansive soils and wetlands of the Nile Delta (facing the Mediterranean Sea; Butzer 2002) and the Sudanese Gezira (below the confluence of the Blue and White Niles; Edwards 2004: 27-9; Salvatori and Usai 2008: 153, 155). Although most of the evidence is inaccessible to archaeological investigation, it is therefore probable that the northern and southern boundaries of the mid-Holocene Nile Valley were densely populated by Mesolithic fisher-hunter-gatherers. It is to a more detailed account of the valley itself that we now turn.

The ‘Primary Pastoral Community’ in the Nile Valley: Chronology, Landscape, and the Issue of Cereal Farming

Strong correspondences between trajectories of Neolithic cultural development in Middle Egypt and in the vicinity of modern Khartoum, far to the south, were first pointed out a more than a decade ago, leading to the proposal that a common form of early pastoral community was established throughout the entire Nile Valley during the fifth millennium BC (Wengrow 2001; 2003). What could not have been anticipated at that time (*contra* Gatto 2011a) was the subsequent publication—resulting mostly from recent salvage excavations—of a wealth of new data from cemetery and habitation sites of this period located on the Middle Nile, between the Fifth and Second Cataracts, with notable concentrations along the Dongola Reach and in the Kerma region of northern Sudan (summarised in Wengrow 2006: 49-55; Salvatori and Usai 2008: 147-156; Gatto 2011a; 2011b; Sadig 2010, with further references). Further information has also come to light near the Fourth Cataract (e.g. Fuller 2004), and in Egypt’s Western Desert (see below; and Figure 1).

These new publications fill a crucial gap in the archaeological record of early Nilotic societies. Many are accompanied by radiocarbon dates, which we bring together here for the first time in a single model (Figure 2) that also incorporates new AMS determinations from the Middle Egyptian ('Badarian') Neolithic obtained as part of a current programme of radiometric dating at the Radiocarbon Laboratory for Archaeology and the History of Art in Oxford (Table 1).⁴

The radiocarbon dates collated for this analysis are available in tabular form at the online radiocarbon database for Egypt (<https://c14.arch.ox.ac.uk/egyptdb/db.php>). This resource provides all the supporting information that was published with the dates (such as raw measurement, material type, and context). A total of 127 dates were obtained, with only 4 samples excluded from the model because of outlying measurements (Gd-6746, OxA-564, M-804 and duplicate dates OxA-26814 and OxA-26815). This amounts to all the available radiocarbon dates for the sites relevant to this discussion. The dates were grouped by site and modelled as single phases with start and end boundaries using the OxCal calibration program (Bronk Ramsey 1995). Every date was given a 5% probability of being inconsistent with the remainder of its group. During calculation, the Sum function was used to produce averages of all the radiocarbon information for each site.

A word of caution should be added about the reliability of the data set. The samples comprise mostly charcoal and shell. Radiocarbon measurements on charcoal return the date of the cessation of exchange between the growing wood and the atmosphere. If the wood lay unused for some time or the species was particularly long-lived, this may be significantly earlier than the date of burning. Shell dates also vary in reliability. Freshwater shellfish may incorporate dissolved bicarbonate, of geological origin and hence devoid of radiocarbon, in the synthesis of their shells. The severity of this problem varies considerably between species and may be addressed by examining values for modern shellfish. Recent studies of ostrich eggshell (Vogel et al. 2001) suggest that they may be subject to a systematic offset to older ages of approximately 100-200 years. On the whole, however, the uncertainty inherent in published data is very minor in light of the temporal scope of this paper.

Furthermore, the overall number of dates for the Nile Valley in the fifth millennium BC remains relatively small, and so any attempt to establish internal subdivisions or

trends must remain tentative. On the basis of what is known, two observations can be made. The first is that the characteristic features of the ‘primary pastoral community’ may appear slightly earlier in the Sudanese than in the Egyptian part of the valley, suggesting a possible spread from south to north during the course of the fifth millennium. The second is that the Egyptian (‘Badarian’) extension of this cultural pattern so far produces radiocarbon dates that form an internally consistent group, suggesting a chronological range from roughly 4400 to 3800 BC, some two centuries longer than proposed by Hassan (1985; see also 1986) on the basis of a much smaller number of absolute dates. This in turn implies a later start-date for the Naqada I phase of Egyptian prehistory and an overall shortening of the ‘predynastic’ (Naqada I-II) to a period of roughly five centuries (c. 3800-3300 BC; and see Dee et al. forthcoming).

Considered as a larger set, the dates presented here for Middle/Upper Egypt and Sudan occupy a broadly similar time range that extends throughout the fifth millennium BC. They confirm the hypothesis that the Neolithic of the Nile Valley constitutes a cultural phenomenon of impressive coherence, scale, and duration. It is during this period that burial grounds of varying size—but rarely exceeding a hundred individuals within a single cemetery—become a widely visible feature in the archaeological record of this region. They frequently occupy what would have been prominent topographic locations, on natural or anthropogenic mounds or at the mouths of wadis debouching into the mid-Holocene floodplain of the Nile. Over a period of centuries a new type of cultural landscape would therefore have taken form along the low desert bordering the valley. Studded with ancestral burial grounds covering richly furnished graves, its emergence represents a clear cultural break with the Early Holocene past, and suggests the inception of new forms of territoriality along the main north-south axis of the river (Edwards 2004: 40; Garcea and Hildebrand 2009).

These developments are echoed in the changing location of herding and fishing camps along the margins of the floodplain. Seasonally occupied sites of this kind constitute our main evidence for the nature of human habitation along the Nile Valley during the fifth millennium BC. Comprising loose configurations of post-holes, dung deposits, hearths, and thin ash-middens, the sites have a broadly similar character along both its Egyptian and Sudanese courses (e.g. Hendrickx et al. 2001; Honegger 2001; Welsby 2000; Sadig 2010) and are best understood as the remains of seasonal encampments,

reflecting high levels of residential mobility among herder-fisher-forager populations (cf. Butzer 1976: 14; Trigger 1983: 28; Caneva 1991; Midant-Reynes 2000: 160). Indicators of sustained investment in cereal farming and sedentary life—such as durable architecture, heavy plant processing equipment, and high proportions of cereal grains in botanical samples—make their first appearance in the Egyptian Nile Valley only later, in the early fourth millennium BC (Midant-Reynes and Buchez 2002: 485-99; Wengrow 2006: 33, 76-82, with further references).

Some comment is required here on the reported presence of domestic wheat and barley at Badarian habitation and cemetery sites, excavated in the early 20th century by Guy Brunton and Gertrude Caton-Thompson (Brunton and Caton-Thompson 1928; Brunton 1937; 1948). These early reports have since become the basis for a widely held view that cereal farming formed a significant component of Neolithic economy in Middle-Upper Egypt during the fifth millennium BC (e.g. Trigger 1983: 28; Hendrickx and Vermeersch 2000: 39; Bard 2008: 87; Hendrickx et al. 2010: 19; Fuller and Hildebrand, in press). This has led many commentators to suggest that surviving (and highly ephemeral) habitation sites on the low desert spurs were once peripheral to fully sedentary villages located closer to the floodplain, and long since destroyed or hidden by fluvial sedimentation (e.g. Hendrickx and Vermeersch 2000: 40; Bard 2008: 87).

The problematic nature of the botanical record from el-Badari and its environs was discussed in a detailed review by Wilma Wetterstrom (1993: 214-220), who characterised both the plant and animal remains recovered there as ‘a highly unsatisfactory and incomplete sample of the Badarian economy’ (ibid. 216). She noted that ‘desiccated and carbonised cereals were occasionally found in village sites [i.e. seasonal encampments] in pits, as pockets scattered through the fill, and in pots’. The reported presence of cereals in Badarian graves appears to relate to the contents of two ripple-burnished pots found in the general area of Cemetery 5600 (el-Badari; Brunton and Caton-Thompson 1928: 13), a leather bag from Grave 2224 and a black bowl from Grave 459 (Mostagedda; Brunton 1937:58), and a pot from Grave 2522 (Matmar; Brunton 1948:11). Wetterstrom (1993: 216) further observed that ‘no systematic studies of plant or animal remains were carried out at any of the Badarian sites’, but that ‘items encountered during excavation were collected and in some cases turned over to experts for identification’.

Recent re-examination of plant material from the el-Badari region, held at the Agricultural Museum in Cairo (Cappers and Hamdy 2007) and at Kew Gardens in London (Nesbitt pers. comm.) bears out the original identification of domestic cereals (four cases of barley and eleven of emmer wheat, to be precise) among samples collected by Brunton and Caton-Thompson. However, questions remain about the attribution of these specimens to Badarian (i.e. fifth millennium BC) contexts. The botanist originally engaged to examine Badarian grain specimens from Matmar suggested, worryingly, that they ‘do not appear to be of any great age’ (Brunton 1948: 23, citing letter from Boodle); and Wetterstrom (1993: 216) has since noted that some of the ‘pits and granaries’ assigned to this period ‘contained no datable materials and might have been intrusive from later times’. Unpublished letters written by Caton Thompson cast further doubt on the recovery methods used at these sites.⁵

A systematic review of site reports and associated documentation (Foster, forthcoming) reveals that, in fact, only two out of sixty-four specimens of large-grained grasses originally attributed by Brunton to the Badarian period appear both a) to be clearly identifiable as domesticated cereals, and b) to derive from secure archaeological contexts. Both are from burials at the site of Mostagedda. They comprise a poorly preserved sample of emmer wheat from Grave 459 (see above), and barley husks from the naturally preserved abdomen of a human burial in Grave 467 (Brunton 1937: 58). No plant remains or durable architecture were reported from Mahgar Dendera, the only Badarian habitation zone excavated on a significant scale since the early 20th century. Located 150 km to the south of el-Badari, on the low fringes of the desert, this latter site was interpreted by its excavators as a camp used seasonally by herding and fishing groups (Hendrickx et al. 2001).

Despite these limitations, evidence for cereal cultivation along the northern reaches of the Nile Valley during the Badarian period can now be provided by direct dates on two grain samples (Kew 26884 and 26889) obtained as part of the new dating programme (Table 1). Both derive from Guy Brunton’s excavations at Mostagedda (1928-29). Regrettably their precise archaeological contexts can no longer be securely identified. The dates are significant but—in the absence of other forms of evidence—they should not be taken as proof of a strong reliance on agriculture or sedentary life. The overall patterning of the archaeological record in Middle-Upper Egypt suggests, instead, that low-level cereal farming on the floodplain was practiced within the

context of a seasonal herding, fishing, and foraging economy (and compare recent findings from the Neolithic of the Kharga Oasis; Briois et al. 2012: 186-7).

In summary, there seems little reason to assume any major division in the economic basis of Neolithic life within and around the Nile Valley during the fifth millennium BC. This conclusion reinforces an interpretation of habitation remains along both its Egyptian and Sudanese courses as mainly the result of activities confined to particular segments of the annual cycle, reflecting a wider pattern of seasonal mobility. The existence of more fixed and permanent settlements closer to the Nile floodplain cannot be entirely ruled out. But it is equally possible that fully sedentary villages may only have existed on any scale to the north and south of the valley, upon and adjacent to the Nile Delta (as exemplified at Merimda Beni Salama; Eiwanger 1982) and on the Sudanese Gezira (Usai and Salvatori 2005). Within the valley itself enduring attachments between people and place appear to have been established primarily through elaborate funerary rites, collective feasting (see below), and repeated use of burial grounds, while habitation sites—on current evidence—remained for the most part fluid and ephemeral in nature (Reinold 2001; cf. Wengrow 2006: 49-50, 63-71).

Body Cultures: the Ritual Milieu of the Primary Pastoral Community (c. 5000-4000 BC)

The importance of funerary sites as foci of territorial attachment is exemplified with particular clarity at a group of three small Neolithic cemeteries, recently discovered at the foot of a promontory called Gebel Ramlah, which lies within Egypt's southwestern desert approximately 100 km distant from the Nile (Kobusiewicz et al. 2004; 2009; 2010). Dating to the mid-fifth millennium BC, these burial grounds are significant—not just as evidence for close cultural links between the Nile and the Sahara at this time (see also Briois et al. 2012)—but also because of their remarkably undisturbed condition. Successive burials were repeatedly established on the same portion of ground, creating dense clusters of interlaced graves. Where disturbance was caused by new burials, this was rectified on the spot by such *ad hoc* procedures as the repositioning of displaced teeth within the skull, or the careful reassembly of bones to

ensure that ornaments remained in those places on the body to which they were originally attached: ‘keeping it all together’, as the excavators put it.⁶

Personal ornamentation forms the largest single category of grave good at Gebel Ramlah (Kobusiewicz et al. 2010: 107). Many body ornaments survive *in situ*, indicating that they covered a variety of body parts including the wrists, ankles, arms, legs, head, neck, and around the waist. Nose and lip studs are also common. This strong emphasis on the decoration of the human form is consonant with a much wider system of funerary practices, strongly characteristic of the fifth millennium BC. Throughout the Nile Valley, and into the neighbouring deserts, treatments of the body in death became remarkably uniform in this period (for detailed references on what follows, including discussion of particular sites, see Geus 1991; Reinold 2006; Wengrow 2006: 50-9).

Individuals were typically laid in roughly shaped pits, knees contracted and hands often cupping the face. Before interment the intact body was usually wrapped in animal skins or reed mats and decorated with a rich array of ornaments made of coloured stone beads, pierced shells, worked bone, tooth, and ivory. While each individual grave constitutes a unique configuration of objects and human remains, the great majority follow a similar pattern of depositional practices, pointing towards a common ritual template—and an associated range of portable material culture—that was shared by groups throughout the Nile Valley. At Gebel Ramlah, as elsewhere (e.g. el-Badari, Mostagedda, Kadruka, R12, el-Ghaba), these practices were applied equally to adults and infants of both sexes.

Shared features of Neolithic burial across the Nile Valley extend beyond the treatment and ornamentation of the corpse to the deposition of functionally similar artefacts within graves. These too are highly portable—and so consistent with the requirements of a relatively mobile lifestyle—and are closely associated with the presentation of the body, hair, and skin. They include a range of cosmetic articles and implements as well as small vessels made of clay, stone, or ivory. Combs of bone or ivory, and spatulas used with hollowed tusks for mixing and pouring fluids are among the grave goods documented throughout the valley, as are stone grinding palettes accompanied by rubbing pebbles (themselves often carefully selected in colourful varieties) and pigments for making body paint (Stevenson 2009; some of the earliest attestations of

cosmetic palettes, dating to the mid-late sixth millennium BC, derive from Djara in Egypt's Western Desert; Riemer et al. 2009). Pigments are sometimes found within miniature containers of ivory, shell, pottery or ornamented cow horn. Mace-heads, which only later became a common grave good in Egypt, make their first appearance in central Sudanese burials at this earlier time (e.g. Lecointe 1987; Krzyżaniak 1991). Markings on anthropomorphic figurines of the period point towards practices such as tattooing and scarification (Edwards 2004: 51), reinforcing the overall impression of a complex and exuberant material culture, strongly focussed on the social presentation of the body in life as well as death.

Meat consumption is likely to have formed an important component of this ritual milieu, as indicated by the inclusion of cattle horns and other animal remains (both wild and domestic) within human burials (Wengrow 2006: 56-59). Such practices may have had deep antecedents in North East Africa, extending back to the Pleistocene-Holocene transition. For example, at Toshka, in Lower Nubia, an unusual discovery of human burials dated to the terminal Pleistocene (c. 12,000-10,000 BC) includes a number of interments in which elements of cattle skulls were placed with the dead (Wendorf 1968: 875; cf. Geus 1991). The keeping of increasingly large domestic herds would have augmented the potential for ceremonial feasting of this kind, and for the cementing of social bonds that accompanied such occasions.

The range of materials and substances used for body ornamentation in the fifth millennium BC greatly exceeds what is attested for earlier periods of prehistory in most of the Nile Valley and adjacent regions (a possible exception in the Kerma region is noted below). To an established repertory of ostrich eggshell beads was now added a much wider spectrum of decorative resources. Represented among more than five hundred individual beads and pendants from Gebel Ramlah, for instance, are carnelian, diorite, haematite, green gneiss, white limestone, turquoise, agate, and Red Sea shells (*Nerita* sp.). Bracelets of ivory and shell, together with lip and nose plugs of carnelian and turquoise complement these effusive displays. Jasper, alabaster, steatite, and serpentine are also found among the materials used for bead-manufacture at broadly contemporaneous cemeteries in the Nile Valley.

Many of these materials derive from mineral deposits and outcrops to the east of the valley, which also supplied the coloured pigments (red ochre, yellow limonite, and

green malachite) used in body painting. Sheets of mica, including one found at Gebel Ramlah—fashioned into the shape of a fish, with drilled suspension holes for ease of carrying—further attest to eastward links. The common presence of these various substances in cemeteries located close to the Nile floodplain implies regular and extensive prospection along wadi routes leading between the valley and the Red Sea Hills, which may have enjoyed higher rainfall at this time (Vermeersch 2008), providing opportunities for grazing herds, as well as seasonal foraging and hunting (see Majer 1992; Wengrow 2006: 27, with further references).

Occasional finds of similar burials deep within the Eastern Desert (e.g. Murray and Derry 1923; Sadr 1997; Friedman and Hobbs 2002) confirm what is now also evident from Gebel Ramlah: that the use of funerary rites to signal attachments to particular grazing lands and pathways of movement extended spatially beyond the Nile Valley, both east and west. Recent discoveries at the Neolithic cemetery of el-Barga, in the Kerma region of northern Sudan, raise the further possibility that this ritual-territorial system, and its sophisticated modes of body decoration, extend back in time beyond the fifth millennium BC (Honegger 2004; 2005; 2010). Current dates for these much earlier burials—replete with cosmetic grinding palettes, cattle skulls, and abundant ornamentation—fall within the first half of the sixth millennium. They therefore remain chronologically isolated by some centuries from the cultural milieu to which they so evidently belong (see Figure 2). The possibility thus remains open that the ‘primary pastoral community’, as described here, has considerably deeper roots in the Nile Valley than are currently apparent.

Conclusion

The regional configuration of Neolithic societies in North East Africa is still conventionally understood through the lens of archaeological ‘cultures’, defined by comparing particular classes of artefacts and assemblages (see. e.g. the contributions to Köhler ed. 2011). While recognising the heuristic importance of typological comparisons, our aim here has been to “see the wood through the trees” by highlighting evidence for a clear, but neglected horizon of prehistoric cultural development. This horizon, which we term the ‘primary pastoral community’, is defined as a pattern of social and cultural integration at work throughout the entirety

of the Nile Valley, and extending some distance into the adjacent deserts, during the fifth millennium BC. For an understanding of the region's long-term development this protracted episode of cultural convergence is, we suggest, of equal importance to the similarities and differences between ceramic or stone tool assemblages. Indeed, it provides an overarching conceptual framework within which the significance of such technological variables might eventually be better understood.

The question will then inevitably, and rightly, be asked: what kind of historical entity *is* the 'primary pastoral community'? Clearly it is inconceivable that communities throughout the entire length of the Nile Valley, a distance of c. 1800 km, shared anything approaching a conscious social identity (e.g. of the sort that could be articulated in tribal or ethnic terms) during the fifth millennium BC. Instead, what came to be shared across this extensive region were the materials and practices—including, and perhaps especially, modes of ritual practice—out of which more local contrasts and group identities were constructed.⁷ It may be precisely the maintenance of local differences within a shared social milieu that gave rise simultaneously to such geographically expansive uniformities and, within them, to the kind of internal variations observed in ceramic assemblages and other traditional markers of archaeological "cultures" (cf. Gatto 2002; Kobusiewicz et al. 2010: 152-57). Recent work on the origins of Eurasian steppe pastoralism (e.g. Frachetti 2012; Hanks and Linduff 2009) usefully demonstrates how incremental processes of this kind may be rapidly escalated by the intensification of stockbreeding as a mode of livelihood and common measure of value. They are not, however, unique to mobile or pastoral societies in Old World prehistory.

We conclude by emphasising that our definition of a 'primary pastoral community' in the Nile Valley is a holistic one, giving equal weight to empirically observable uniformities in ritual practice, material culture, and ecology. As such it stands in contrast to the recent and narrower focus on environmental stress as a long-term driver of cultural change in North East Africa. It seems important to insist on this methodological distinction, not least because such recent catastrophes as the genocide in Darfur have been linked to what are supposedly millennia-old cycles of climate-driven demographic change (Kuper and Croepelin 2006: 807). From an archaeological point of view we hope, at the very least, to have demonstrated that

alternative interpretations of Africa's deep past—and hence of its more immediate future—are not only possible, but also plausible.

Acknowledgements

Research presented in this paper is funded by The Leverhulme Trust and forms part of the project: 'Origins of Nationhood: A New Chronology for the Formation of the Egyptian State'. We would like to express our sincere thanks to the many curatorial staff and excavators who assisted us in identifying and accessing appropriate samples for radiocarbon dating, in particular Stephen Quirke (Petrie Museum), Mark Nesbitt (Herbarium, Kew), Liam McNamara (Ashmolean Museum), Marta Lahr (Duckworth Laboratory), Carolyn Routledge (Bolton Museum and Art Gallery) and Donatella Usai.

¹ Wendorf and Schild (1998), followed more recently by di Lernia (2006), cite Herskovits (1926) as a source for their notion of an African 'cattle complex' extending from prehistoric to recent times; but Herskovits himself restricted his observations to modern East Africa, noting that the 'cattle complex' was 'superimposed on what appears to be an underlying agricultural culture which may have preceded it historically' (1930: 70). Some time ago Lucy Mair (1985) dismissed the 'cattle complex' as a 'mouldering cliché' in western scholarship on Africa. For further questioning of its recent applications in prehistory, see also Wengrow (2001).

² It is therefore advisable to resist the increasingly common use of 'Late' or 'Final' Neolithic to describe developments of the fifth millennium BC, with which this paper is mainly concerned.

³ One recent review (Le Quellec 2011) draws attention to well-known depictions of simple milking techniques in the rock art of the central Sahara, while acknowledging that the dating of these images remains far from precise (cf. di Lernia and Gallinaro 2010). It is worth noting that (*contra* Le Quellec) Andrew Sherratt's definition of a 'secondary products revolution' never excluded the role of milking and milk consumption in mid-Holocene Saharan pastoralism. In fact Sherratt (e.g. 1997: 187) drew attention to the 'plentiful provision of milk, urine and dung' as a key factor in the expansion of cattle pastoralism throughout this region and into the tropical grasslands of eastern Africa during the fourth and third millennia BC. Instead it was the absence of a traction complex (plough and cart), and possibly also of *dairying* (i.e. the systematic processing of milk products into storable and easily digestible commodities) that was thought to differentiate early African pastoralism from that of both urban and steppic Eurasia (Sherratt 1981; 1997).

⁴ <http://c14.arch.ox.ac.uk/embed.php?File=egypt2.html>

⁵ The correspondence was sent from Caton-Thompson to P.E. Newberry—a trained botanist as well as an Egyptologist and archaeologist—and is stored in her archives at the Griffith Institute. One relevant letter is undated but filed with material dating to 1932; the other is dated to 1926. Criticisms of Brunton’s excavation style are repeated, in milder terms, in Caton-Thompson’s published memoirs (1983: 90).

⁶ Health profiles reconstructed for the individuals buried at Gebel Ramlah are informative concerning wider matters of diet and economy. It is especially notable that not a single sign of caries is reported from an analysis of nearly 800 human teeth. Caries are dental lesions often resulting from regular consumption of processed, high-carbohydrate plant foods. Albeit indirectly, their complete absence in this case supports the current contention that domestic cereals played a relatively insignificant role in the diet of early Nilotic pastoralists (Kobusiewicz et al. 2010: 204-7).

⁷ Marcel Mauss long ago proposed the term ‘civilisations’ to refer to these ‘families of societies’, the unity of which resides precisely in the fact that they share—not just a similar habitat or mode of adaptation—but also common ways of marking social difference and constructing boundaries (see Schlanger ed. 2006)

References

- Bard, K.A. 2008. *An Introduction to the Archaeology of Ancient Egypt*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Barth, F. (ed.) 1978. *Scale and Social Organization*. Universitetsforlaget: Oslo-Bergen-Tromsø.
- Blench, R.M. and K.C. MacDonald (eds.) 2000. *The Origins and Development of African Livestock: Archaeology, Genetics, Linguistics and Ethnography*. London: UCL Press.
- Boivin, N. 2004. Landscape and cosmology in the South Indian Neolithic: new perspectives on the Deccan ashmounds. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* 14: 235-57.
- Briois F., Midant-Reynes B., Marchand S., Tristant Y., Wuttmann M., De Dapper M., Lesur-Gebremariam J., and C. Newton. 2012. Neolithic occupation of an artesian spring: KS043 in the Kharga Oasis (Egypt). *Journal of Field Archaeology* 37: 178-191.
- Bronk Ramsey, C. 1995. Radiocarbon calibration and analysis of stratigraphy: the OxCal program. *Radiocarbon* 37: 425-430.
- Bronk Ramsey, C. 2009. Dealing with outliers and offsets in radiocarbon dating. *Radiocarbon* 51: 1023-1045.
- Brunton, G. 1937. *Mostagedda and the Tasian Culture: British Museum Expeditions to Middle Egypt 1928, 1929*. London: Bernard Quaritch.
1948. *Matmar: British Museum Expeditions to Middle Egypt, 1929-1931*. London: Bernard Quaritch.

Brunton, G. and G. Caton-Thompson. 1928. *The Badarian Civilization and Prehistoric Remains near Badari*. London: Bernard Quaritch.

Butzer, K.W. 1976. *Early Hydraulic Civilization in Egypt: A Study in Cultural Ecology*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

2002. Geoarchaeological implications of recent research in the Nile delta. In E.C.M. van den Brink and T.E. Levy (eds.), *Egypt and the Levant: Interrelations from the 4th through the early 3rd Millennium BC*, pp. 83-97. London, New York: Leicester University Press.

Caneva, I. 1991. Prehistoric hunters, herders and tradesmen in central Sudan: data from the Geili region. In W.V. Davies (ed.), pp. 6-15.

Cappers, R.T.J. and R. Hamdy. 2007. Ancient Egyptian plant remains in the Agricultural Museum (Dokki, Cairo). In R. Cappers (ed.) *Fields of Change: Progress in African Archaeobotany*, pp. 165-214. Groningen Archaeological Studies 5. Eelde, the Netherlands: Barkhuis; Groningen: Groningen University Library.

Caton-Thompson, G. 1983. *Mixed Memoirs*. Gateshead: Paradigm.

Close, A.E. 1996. Plus ça change: the Pleistocene-Holocene transition in Northeast Africa. In L.G. Straus (ed.) *Humans at the End of the Ice Age: The Archaeology of the Pleistocene-Holocene Transition*, pp. 43-57. New York and London: Plenum.

Davies, W.V. (ed.) 1991. *Egypt and Africa: Nubia from Prehistory to Islam*. London: British Museum Press.

Dee, M., Wengrow, D., Shortland A., Stevenson, A., Brock, F., Flink, L.G and C. Bronk Ramsey (forthcoming). An absolute chronology for early Egypt using radiocarbon dating and Bayesian statistical modelling. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*.

di Lernia, S. 2001. Dismantling dung: delayed use of food resources among Early Holocene foragers of the Libyan Sahara. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 20: 408-441.

2006. Building monuments, creating identity: cattle cult as a social response to rapid environmental changes in the Holocene Sahara. *Quaternary International* 151: 50-62.

di Lernia, S. and M. Gallinaro. 2010. The date and context of Neolithic rock art in the Sahara: engravings and ceremonial monuments from Messak Settafet (south-west Libya). *Antiquity* 84: 954-75.

Drake, N.A., Blench, R.M., Armitage, S.J., Bristow, C.S., and K.H. White. 2011. Ancient watercourses and biogeography of the Sahara explain the peopling of the desert. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108: 458-62.

Dunne, J., Evershed, R.P., Salque, M., Cramp, L., Bruni, S., Ryan, K., Biagetti, S. and S. di Lernia. 2012. First dairying in green Saharan Africa in the fifth millennium BC. *Nature* 486, 390–394.

-
- Edwards, D.N. 2004. *The Nubian Past: An Archaeology of the Sudan*. London: Routledge.
- Ehret, C. 2001. *A Historical-Comparative Reconstruction of Nilo-Saharan*. Cologne: Rüdiger Köppe Verlag.
- Eiwanger, J. 1982. Die neolithische Siedlung von Merimde-Benisalame. *Mitteilungen des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Abteilung Kairo* 38: 67-82.
- Evans-Pritchard. 1940. *The Nuer. A Description of the Modes of Livelihood and Political Institutions of a Nilotic People*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Foster, S. forthcoming. *The Neolithic transition in the Nile Valley: modelling social networks*. PhD thesis. University College London.
- Frachetti, M.D. 2012. Multiregional emergence of mobile pastoralism and nonuniform institutional complexity across Eurasia. *Current Anthropology* 53: 2-38.
- Friedman, R.F. and J.J. Hobbs. 2002. A 'Tasian' tomb in Egypt's Eastern Desert. In R.F. Friedman (ed.), *Egypt and Nubia: Gifts of the Desert*, pp. 178-91. London: British Museum Press.
- Fuller, D.Q. 2004. The central Amri to Kirbekan survey: a preliminary report on excavations and survey, 2003-2004. *Sudan and Nubia* 8: 4-10.
2011. Finding plant domestication in the Indian subcontinent. *Current Anthropology* 52: 347-62.
- Fuller, D.Q. and E.A. Hildebrand. in press. Domesticating plants in Africa. In P. Mitchell and P. Lane (eds.) *The Oxford Handbook of African Archaeology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Gatto, M.C. 2002. Ceramic traditions and cultural territories: the "Nubian Group in prehistory. *Sudan and Nubia* 6: 8-19.
- 2011a. The Nubian pastoral culture as link between Egypt and Africa: a view from the archaeological record. In K. Exell (ed.), *Egypt in its African Context. Proceedings of the Conference held at the Manchester Museum, University of Manchester, 2-4 October 2009*. Oxford: Archaeopress.
- 2011b. The relative chronology of Nubia. In C. Köhler (ed.), pp. 81-100.
- Garcea, E.A.A. and E.A.Hildebrand. 2009. Shifting social networks along the Nile: Middle Holocene ceramic assemblages from Sai Island, Sudan. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 28: 304-22.
- Geus, F. 1991. Burial customs on the Upper Main Nile: an overview. In W.V. Davies (ed.), pp. 57-73.
- Gifford-Gonzalez, D. and O. Hanotte. 2011. Domesticating animals in Africa: implications of genetic and archaeological findings. *Journal of World Prehistory* 24: 1-23.

Grigson, C. 2000. *Bos Africanus* (Brehm)? Notes on the archaeozoology of the native cattle of Africa. In R.M. Blench and K.C. MacDonald (eds.), pp. 38-60.

Haaland, R. 1992. Fish, pots and grain: Early and Mid-Holocene adaptations in the central Sudan. *African Archaeological Review* 10: 43-64.

1993. Aqualithic sites of the Middle Nile. *Azania* 28: 47-86.

1995. Sedentism, cultivation and plant domestication in the Holocene Middle Nile region. *Journal of Field Archaeology* 22: 157-74.

Hanks, B.K. and Linduff, K.M. (eds.) 2009. *Social Complexity in Prehistoric Eurasia: Monuments, Metals and Mobility*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hassan, F.A. 1985. Radiocarbon chronology of Neolithic and predynastic sites in Upper Egypt and the delta. *African Archaeological Review* 3: 95-116.

1986. Chronology of the Khartoum 'Mesolithic' and 'Neolithic' and related sites in the Sudan: statistical analysis and comparisons with Egypt. *African Archaeological Review* 4: 83-102.

2000. Climate and cattle in North Africa: a first approximation. In R.M. Blench and K.C. MacDonald (eds.), pp. 61-86.

Hendrickx, S., Midant-Reynes, B., and W. van Neer. 2001. *Mahgar Dendera 2 (Haute Egypte): un site d'occupation Badarian*. Leuven: Leuven University Press.

Hendrickx, S. and P. Vermeersch. 2000. Prehistory: from the Palaeolithic to the Badarian culture. In I. Shaw (ed.) *The Oxford History of Ancient Egypt*, pp. 17-44. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Hendrickx, S., Huyge, D. and W. Wendrich. 2010. Worship without writing. In W. Wendrich (ed.) *Egyptian Archaeology*, pp. 15-35. Oxford: Blackwell.

Herskovits, M.J. 1926. The cattle complex in East Africa. *American Anthropologist* 28: 230-72, 361-88, 494-528, 633-64.

1930. The culture areas of Africa. *Africa* 3: 59-77.

de Heusch, L. 1985. *Sacrifice in Africa: A Structuralist Approach*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Honegger, M. 2001. Fouilles préhistoriques et prospection dans la région de Kerma. *Genava* 49: 221-8.

2004. Settlement and cemetery of the Mesolithic and Early Neolithic at el-Barga (Kerma region). *Sudan and Nubia* 8: 27-32.

2005. Kerma et les débuts du Néolithique Africain. *Genava* 53: 239-49.

2010. La Nubia et al Sudan: un bilan des vingt dernières années de recherche sur la pré- et protohistoire. *Archéo-Nil* 20: 77-86.

-
- Karin Kindermann, Bubbenzer, Olaf, Nussbaum, Stefanie, Riemer Heiko, Darius, Frank, Pöllath, Nadja and Ursula Smettan. 2006. Palaeoenvironment and holocene land use of Djara, western desert of Egypt. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 25: 1619-1637.
- Kobusiewicz, M., Kabaciński, J., Schild, R., Irish, J.D., and F. Wendorf. 2004. Discovery of the first Neolithic cemetery in Egypt's western desert. *Antiquity* 78: 566–578.
2009. Burial practices of the Final Neolithic pastoralists at Gebel Ramlah, Western Desert of Egypt. *British Museum Studies in Ancient Egypt and Sudan* 13: 147-74.
- Kobusiewicz, M, Kabaciński, J., Schild, R., Irish, J. Gatto, M.C., and F. Wendorf. 2010. *Gebel Ramlah: Final Neolithic cemeteries from the Western Desert of Egypt*. Poznań: Institute of Archaeology and Ethnology, Polish Academy of Sciences.
- Köhler, C. ed. 2011. La chronologie relative de la Basse Vallée du Nil jusqu'au 3e millénaire BC. *Arcéo-Nil* 21 (Dossier/Special Edition).
- Krzyżaniak, L. 1991. Early farming in the Middle Nile Basin: recent discoveries at Kadero. *Antiquity* 65: 515-32.
- Kuper, R. and S. Kroepelin. 2006. Climate-controlled Holocene occupation in the Sahara: motor of Africa's evolution. *Science* 313: 803-7.
- Lecointe, Y. 1987. Le site néolithique d'El Ghaba: deux années d'activité (1985-6). *Archéologie du Nil Moyen* 2: 69-87.
- Le Quellec, J.-L. 2011. Provoking lactation by the insufflation technique as documented by the rock images of the Sahara. *Anthropozoologica* 46: 65-125.
- Linseele, V. 2012. Animal remains from the Early Holocene sequence at Wadi el-Arab. In M. Honegger (ed.) *Kerma, Soudan, 2011-12*, pp.16-18. Institut d'archéologie: Université de Neuchâtel.
- McCorrison, J. and L. Martin 2009. Southern Arabia's early pastoral population history: some recent evidence. In M.D. Petraglia and J.I. Rose (eds.) *The Evolution of Human Populations in Arabia*, pp. 237-50. London, New York: Springer.
- McCorrison, J., Harrower, M., Martin, L., and E. Ochse. 2012. Cattle cults of the Arabian Neolithic and early territorial societies. *American Anthropologist* 114: 45-63.
- MacDonald, K.C. 2000. The origins of African livestock: indigenous or imported? In R.M. Blench and K.C. MacDonald (eds.), pp. 2-17.
- Mair, L. 1985. The cattle complex. *Man* 20: 743.
- Majer, J. 1992. The Eastern Desert and Egyptian prehistory. In R. Friedman and B. Adams (eds.) *The Followers of Horus: Studies Dedicated to Michael Allen Hoffman*, pp. 227-34. Oxford: Oxbow.

-
- Marshall, F. and E.A. Hildebrand. 2002. Cattle before crops: the beginnings of food production in Africa. *Journal of World Prehistory* 16: 99-143.
- Midant-Reynes, B. 2000. *The Prehistory of Egypt: From First Egyptians to the First Pharaohs*. (Translated by I. Shaw). Oxford: Blackwell.
- Midant-Reynes, B. and N. Buchez. 2002. *Adaïma I: Economie et habitat*. Cairo: IFAO.
- Murray, G. and D. Derry. 1923. A pre-dynastic burial on the Red Sea coast of Egypt. *Man* 23: 129-31.
- Muzzolini, A. 1993. The emergence of a food-producing economy in the Sahara. In T. Shaw et al. (eds.), pp. 227-39.
- Nicoll, K. 2001. Radiocarbon chronologies for prehistoric human occupation and hydroclimatic change in Egypt and northern Sudan. *Geoarchaeology* 16: 47-64.
- O'Connor, D. and A. Reid eds. 2003. *Ancient Egypt in Africa*. London: UCL Press.
- Phillipps, R., Holdaway, S., Wendrich, W., and R. Cappers. 2012. Mid-Holocene occupation of Egypt and global climatic change. *Quaternary International* 251: 64-76.
- Reinold, J. 1991. Néolithique soudanais: les coutumes funéraires. In W.V. Davies (ed.), pp. 16-29.
1994. Le cimetière néolithique KDK 1 de Kadruka (Nubie soudanaise): premiers résultats et essai de corrélation avec les sites du Soudan central. In C. Bonnet (ed.) *Etudes nubiennes*, pp. 93-100. Geneva: Bonnet.
2001. Kadruka and the Neolithic in the northern Dongola Reach. *Sudan and Nubia* 5: 2-10.
2006. Les cimetières préhistoriques au Soudan--coutumes funéraires et systèmes sociaux. In I. Caneva and A. Roccati (eds.) *Acta Nubica*, pp. 139-62. Roma: Istituto Poligrafico e Zecca dello Stato.
- Riemer, H., Kindermann, K. and M. Atallah. 2009. Die "Schminkpaletten" des 6. Jahrtausends v. Chr. aus der ägyptischen Westwüste. Ein Beitrag zu den Kulturbeziehungen zwischen Wüste und Niltal in prähistorische Zeit. *Mitteilungen des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Abteilung Kairo* 65: 355-371.
- Rowlands, M. J. 2003. The unity of Africa. In D. O'Connor and A. Reid (eds.), pp. 39-54.
- Sadig, A.M. 2010. *The Neolithic of the Middle Nile Region: An Archaeology of Central Sudan and Nubia*. Kampala: Fountain.
- Sadr, K. 1997. The Wadi Elei finds: Nubian desert gold mining in the 5th and 4th millennium BC? *Cahiers de Recherches de l'Institut de Papyrologie et d'Égyptologie de Lille* 17: 67-76.

-
- Salvatori, S. and D. Usai. 2008. *A Neolithic Cemetery in the Northern Dongola Reach*. Oxford: Archaeopress.
- Salvatori, S., Usai, D. and A. Zerboni. 2011. Mesolithic Site Formation and Palaeoenvironment Along the White Nile (Central Sudan). *African Archaeological Review* 28: 177-211.
- Schlanger, N. (ed.) 2006. *Marcel Mauss: Techniques, Technology, and Civilisation*. New York; Oxford: Durkheim Press; Berghahn.
- Shaw, T., Andah, B., Sinclair, P., and A. Okpoko (eds.) *The Archaeology of Africa: Food, Metals, and Towns*. London: Routledge.
- Sherratt, A.G. 1981. Plough and pastoralism: aspects of the Secondary Products Revolution. In I. Hodder, G. Isaac and N. Hammond (eds.) *Pattern of the Past: Studies in Honour of David Clark*, pp. 261-305. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
1997. *Economy and Society in Prehistoric Europe: Changing Perspectives*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- Stevenson, A. 2009. Palettes. In W. Wendrich (ed.), *UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology*, Los Angeles.
- Trigger, B.G. 1983. The rise of Egyptian civilization. In B.G. Trigger, Kemp, B.J., O'Connor, D., and A.B. Lloyd (eds.) *Ancient Egypt: A Social History*, pp. 1-70. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Usai, D. 2005. Early Holocene seasonal movements between the desert and the Nile valley: details from the lithic industry of some Khartoum Variant and some Nabta/Kiseiba sites. *Journal of African Archaeology* 3: 103-115.
2008. Tracing the movement of the Western Desert dwellers: Site 11-I-13 in Wadi Karagan, Sudanese Nubia, closely akin to el-Ghorab or el-Nabta. *Journal of African Archaeology* 6: 219-32.
- Usai, D. and S. Salvatori. 2005. The Is.I.A.O. archaeological project in the el-Salha area (Omdurman south, Sudan): results and perspectives. *Africa* 60: 544-54.
- Vermeersch, P.M. (ed.) 2008. *A Holocene Prehistoric Sequence in the Egyptian Red Sea Area: The Tree Shelter*. Leuven: Leuven University Press.
- Vogel, J. C., Visser, E. and A. Fuls. 2001. Suitability of Ostrich Eggshell for Radiocarbon Dating. *Radiocarbon* 43: 133-137.
- Warnier, J.-P. 2007. *The Pot King: The Body and Technologies of Power*. Leiden: Brill.
- Welsby, D.A. 2000. South from Kadruka: the Neolithic in the Northern Dongola Reach. In L. Krzyżaniak, Kroeper, K., and M. Kobusiewicz (eds.) *Recent Research into the Stone Age in Northeastern Africa*, pp. 129-36. Poznan: Poznan Museum.

Wendorf, F. 1968. *The Prehistory of Nubia*. 2 volumes and atlas. Dallas: Fort Burgwin Research Center and Southern Methodist University Press.

Wendorf, F. and R. Schild. 1998. Nabta Playa and its role in northeastern African prehistory. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 17: 97-123.

2001. *Holocene Settlement of the Egyptian Sahara I: The Archaeology of Nabta Playa*. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum.

Wengrow, D. 2001. Rethinking 'cattle cults' in early Egypt: towards a prehistoric perspective on the Narmer Palette. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* 11: 91-104.

2003. Landscapes of knowledge, idioms of power: the African foundations of ancient Egyptian civilisation reconsidered. In D. O'Connor and A. Reid (eds.), pp. 121-35.

2006. *The Archaeology of Early Egypt. Social Transformations in North-East Africa, 10,000 to 2650 BC*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Wetterstrom, W. 1993. Foraging and farming in Egypt: the transition from hunting and gathering to horticulture in the Nile valley. In T. Shaw et al. (eds), pp. 165-226.