

## Erratum

# Is everyone Bayes? On the testable implications of Bayesian Fundamentalism – Erratum

**Maarten Speekenbrink and David R. Shanks**

doi:10.1017/S0140525X11000409, Published by Cambridge University Press, 30 August 2011

On page 213 of the commentary by Speekenbrink and Shanks (2011) on the target article by Jones & Love, there are some equations in which the operators are missing. The sentence that reads:

For example, suppose the sequence of rewards is  $S_1$  and the sequence of responses is  $S_8$ . The first response  $x_1 = 1$  implies that  $v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8$ ; the second response  $x_2 = 1$  implies that  $v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4$ ; the third response  $x_3 = 1$  implies that  $v_1 v_2$ . One choice of values consistent with this is  $v_j$ .

should read as follows:

For example, suppose the sequence of rewards is  $S_1$  and the sequence of responses is  $S_8$ . The first response  $x_1 = 1$  implies that  $v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4 < v_5 + v_6 + v_7 + v_8$ ; the second response  $x_2 = 1$  implies that  $v_1 + v_2 < v_3 + v_4$ ; the third response  $x_3 = 1$  implies that  $v_1 < v_2$ . One choice of values consistent with this is  $v_j = j$ .

We regret the error.

## Reference

Speekenbrink, M. & Shanks, D. R. (2011) Is everyone Bayes? On the testable implications of Bayesian Fundamentalism. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 34(4):213–14.