Search for the Decays $B^0_{(s)} \to e^+ \mu^-$ and $B^0_{(s)} \to e^+ e^-$ in CDF Run II

T. Aaltonen,²⁴ J. Adelman,¹⁴ T. Akimoto,⁵⁶ B. Álvarez González,^{12,t} S. Amerio,^{44b,44a} D. Amidei,³⁵ A. Anastassov,³⁹ A. Annovi,²⁰ J. Antos,¹⁵ G. Apollinari,¹⁸ A. Apresyan,⁴⁹ T. Arisawa,⁵⁸ A. Artikov,¹⁶ W. Ashmanskas,¹⁸ A. Attal,⁴ A. Aurisano,⁵⁴ F. Azfar,⁴³ P. Azzurri,^{47b,47a} W. Badgett,¹⁸ A. Barbaro-Galtieri,²⁹ V. E. Barnes,⁴⁹ B. A. Barnett,²⁶ V. Bartsch,³¹ G. Bauer,³³ P.-H. Beauchemin,³⁴ F. Bedeschi,^{47a} D. Beecher,³¹ S. Behari,²⁶ G. Bellettini,^{47b,47a} J. Bellinger,⁶⁰ D. Benjamin,¹⁷ A. Beretvas,¹⁸ J. Beringer,²⁹ A. Bhatti,⁵¹ M. Binkley,¹⁸ D. Bisello,^{44b,44a} I. Bizjak,^{31,y} R. E. Blair,² C. Blocker,⁷ B. Blumenfeld,²⁶ A. Bocci,¹⁷ A. Bodek,⁵⁰ V. Boisvert,⁵⁰ G. Bolla,⁴⁹ D. Bortoletto,⁴⁹ J. Boudreau,⁴⁸ A. Boveia,¹¹ B. Brau,^{11,b} A. Bridgeman,²⁵ L. Brigliadori,^{44a} C. Bromberg,³⁶ E. Brubaker,¹⁴ J. Budagov,¹⁶ H. S. Budd,⁵⁰ S. Budd,²⁵ S. Burke,¹⁸ K. Burkett,¹⁸ G. Busetto,^{44b,44a} P. Bussey,²² A. Buzatu,³⁴ K. L. Byrum,² S. Cabrera,^{17,v} C. Calancha,³² M. Campanelli,³⁶ M. Campbell,³⁵ F. Canelli,^{14,18} A. Canepa,⁴⁶ B. Carls,²⁵ D. Carlsmith,⁶⁰ R. Carosi,^{47a} S. Carrillo,^{19,o} S. Carron,³⁴ B. Casal,¹² M. Casarsa,¹⁸ A. Castro,^{6b,6a} P. Catastini,^{47c,47a} D. Cauz,^{55b,55a} V. Cavaliere,^{47c,47a} M. Cavalli-Sforza⁴ A. Cerri ²⁹ L. Cerrito,^{31,p} S. H. Chang²⁸ Y. C. Chen,¹ M. Chertok ⁸ G. Chiarelli,^{47a} G. Chlachidze¹⁸ S. Carrino, S. Carron, B. Casal, M. Casarsa, A. Castro, P. Catastini, J. D. Cauz, V. Cavaliere, M. Cavalli-Sforza,⁴ A. Cerri,²⁹ L. Cerrito,^{31,p} S. H. Chang,²⁸ Y. C. Chen,¹ M. Chertok,⁸ G. Chiarelli,^{47a} G. Chlachidze,¹⁸ F. Chlebana,¹⁸ K. Cho,²⁸ D. Chokheli,¹⁶ J. P. Chou,²³ G. Choudalakis,³³ S. H. Chuang,⁵³ K. Chung,¹³ W. H. Chung,⁶⁰ Y. S. Chung,⁵⁰ T. Chwalek,²⁷ C. I. Ciobanu,⁴⁵ M. A. Ciocci,^{47c,47a} A. Clark,²¹ D. Clark,⁷ G. Compostella,^{44a} M. E. Convery,¹⁸ J. Cordelli,²⁰ G. Cortiana,^{44b,44a} C. A. Cox,⁸ D. J. Cox,⁸ F. Crescioli,^{47b,47a} C. Cuenca Almenar,^{8,v} J. Cuevas,^{12,t} R. Culbertson,¹⁸ J. C. Cully,³⁵ D. Dagenhart,¹⁸ M. Datta,¹⁸ T. Davies,²² P. de Barbaro,⁵⁰ S. De Cecco,^{52a} A. Deisher,²⁹ G. De Lorenzo,⁴ M. Dell'Orso,^{47b,47a} C. Deluca,⁴ L. Demortier,⁵¹ J. Deng,¹⁷ M. Deninno,^{6a} P. F. Derwent,¹⁸ G. P. di Giovanni,⁴⁵ C. Dionisi,^{52b,52a} B. Di Ruzza,^{55b,55a} J. R. Dittmann,⁵ M. D'Onofrio,⁴ M. Dennino, T.T. Derwent, C.T. di Glovanni, C. Diolisi, D. Di Kuzza, J. K. Dithiani, M. D Onorno, S. Donati, ^{47b,47a} P. Dong, ⁹ J. Donini, ^{44a} T. Dorigo, ^{44a} S. Dube, ⁵³ J. Efron, ⁴⁰ A. Elagin, ⁵⁴ R. Erbacher, ⁸ D. Errede, ²⁵ S. Errede, ²⁵ R. Eusebi, ¹⁸ H. C. Fang, ²⁹ S. Farrington, ⁴³ W. T. Fedorko, ¹⁴ R. G. Feild, ⁶¹ M. Feindt, ²⁷ J. P. Fernandez, ³² C. Ferrazza, ^{47d,47a} R. Field, ¹⁹ G. Flanagan, ⁴⁹ R. Forrest, ⁸ M. J. Frank, ⁵ M. Franklin, ²³ J. C. Freeman, ¹⁸ I. Furic, ¹⁹ M. Gallinaro, ^{52a} J. Galyardt, ¹³ F. Garberson, ¹¹ J. E. Garcia, ²¹ A. F. Garfinkel, ⁴⁹ K. Genser, ¹⁸ H. Gerberich, ²⁵ D. Gerdes, ³⁵ M. Gailmaro, J. Galyardi, F. Garberson, J. E. Garcia, A. F. Garlinkel, K. Genser, H. Gerberich, D. Gerdes, A. Gessler,²⁷ S. Giagu,^{52b,52a} V. Giakoumopoulou,³ P. Giannetti,^{47a} K. Gibson,⁴⁸ J. L. Gimmell,⁵⁰ C. M. Ginsburg,¹⁸ N. Giokaris,³ M. Giordani,^{55b,55a} P. Giromini,²⁰ M. Giunta,^{47b,47a} G. Giurgiu,²⁶ V. Glagolev,¹⁶ D. Glenzinski,¹⁸ M. Gold,³⁸ N. Goldschmidt,¹⁹ A. Golossanov,¹⁸ G. Gomez,¹² G. Gomez-Ceballos,³³ M. Goncharov,³³ O. González,³² I. Gorelov,³⁸ A. T. Goshaw,¹⁷ K. Goulianos,⁵¹ A. Gresele,^{44b,44a} S. Grinstein,²³ C. Grosso-Pilcher,¹⁴ R. C. Group,¹⁸ U. Grundler,²⁵ A. T. Goshaw,¹⁷ K. Goulianos,⁵¹ A. Gresele,^{44b,44a} S. Grinstein,²³ C. Grosso-Pilcher,¹⁴ R. C. Group,¹⁸ U. Grundler,²⁵ A. T. Goshaw,¹⁶ K. Goulianos,⁵¹ A. Gresele,^{44b,44a} S. Grinstein,²³ C. Grosso-Pilcher,¹⁴ R. C. Group,¹⁸ U. Grundler,²⁵ A. T. Goshaw,¹⁷ K. Goulianos,⁵¹ A. Gresele,^{44b,44a} S. Grinstein,²³ C. Grosso-Pilcher,¹⁴ R. C. Group,¹⁸ U. Grundler,⁵⁰ A. T. Goshaw,¹⁶ K. Goulianos,⁵¹ A. Gresele,^{44b,44a} S. Grinstein,²⁴ G. Grosso-Pilcher,¹⁴ R. C. Group,¹⁸ U. Grundler,⁵⁰ A. T. Goshaw,¹⁷ K. Goulianos,⁵¹ A. Gresele,^{44b,44a} S. Grinstein,²⁴ G. Grosso-Pilcher,¹⁴ R. C. Group,¹⁸ U. Grundler,⁵⁰ A. T. Goshaw,¹⁷ K. Goulianos,⁵¹ A. Gresele,^{44b,44a} S. Grinstein,²⁴ G. Grosso-Pilcher,¹⁴ R. C. Group,¹⁸ U. Grundler,⁵⁰ A. T. Goshaw,¹⁶ G. Gomez,⁵¹ A. Gresele,³⁴ A. Grosso-Pilcher,¹⁶ R. C. Group,¹⁸ G. Gomez,⁵⁰ A. Gresele,⁴⁴ A. Grosso-Pilcher,¹⁴ R. Grosso-Pilcher,¹⁴ R. Gresele,⁴⁴ A. Goulianos,⁵¹ A. Gresele,⁴⁴ A. Grosso-Pilcher,¹⁴ R. Grosso-Pilcher,¹⁴ R. Grosso-Pilcher,¹⁴ R. Grosso-Pilcher,⁵⁰ A. G A. T. Goshaw,¹⁷ K. Goulianos,⁵¹ A. Gresele,^{44b,44a} S. Grinstein,²³ C. Grosso-Pilcher,¹⁴ R. C. Group,¹⁸ U. Grundler,²⁵ J. Guimaraes da Costa,²³ Z. Gunay-Unalan,³⁶ C. Haber,²⁹ K. Hahn,³³ S. R. Hahn,¹⁸ E. Halkiadakis,⁵³ B.-Y. Han,⁵⁰ J. Y. Han,⁵⁰ F. Happacher,²⁰ K. Hara,⁵⁶ D. Hare,⁵³ M. Hare,⁵⁷ S. Harper,⁴³ R. F. Harr,⁵⁹ R. M. Harris,¹⁸ M. Hartz,⁴⁸ K. Hatakeyama,⁵¹ C. Hays,⁴³ M. Heck,²⁷ A. Heijboer,⁴⁶ J. Heinrich,⁴⁶ C. Henderson,³³ M. Herndon,⁶⁰ J. Heuser,²⁷ S. Hewamanage,⁵ D. Hidas,¹⁷ C. S. Hill,^{11,d} D. Hirschbuehl,²⁷ A. Hocker,¹⁸ S. Hou,¹ M. Houlden,³⁰ S.-C. Hsu,²⁹ B. T. Huffman,⁴³ R. E. Hughes,⁴⁰ U. Husemann,⁶¹ M. Hussein,³⁶ J. Huston,³⁶ J. Incandela,¹¹ G. Introzzi,^{47a} M. Iori,^{52b,52a} A. Ivanov,⁸ E. James,¹⁸ D. Jang,¹³ B. Jayatilaka,¹⁷ E. J. Jeon,²⁸ M. K. Jha,^{6a} S. Jindariani,¹⁸ W. Johnson,⁸ M. Jones,⁴⁹ K. K. Joo,²⁸ S. Y. Jun,¹³ J. E. Jung,²⁸ T. R. Junk,¹⁸ T. Kamon,⁵⁴ D. Kar,¹⁹ P.E. Karchin,⁵⁹ Y. Kato,^{42,m} R. Kephart,¹⁸ J. Keung,⁴⁶ V. Khotilovich,⁵⁴ B. Kilminster,¹⁸ D.H. Kim,²⁸ H.S. Kim,²⁸ H.W. Kim,²⁸ J.E. Kim,²⁸ M.J. Kim,²⁰ S. B. Kim,⁵⁶ Y. K. Kim,¹⁴ N. Kimura,⁵⁶ L. Kirsch,⁷ S. Klimenko,¹⁹ B. Knuteson,³³ B. R. Ko,¹⁷ K. Kondo,⁵⁸ D. I Kong²⁸ I. Konigsberg,¹⁹ A. V. Kotwal,¹⁷ M. Kreps,²⁷ J. Kroll,⁴⁶ D. Krop,¹⁴ N. Krumnack,⁵ M. Kruse,¹⁷ S. B. Kim,²⁸ S. H. Kim,⁵⁶ Y. K. Kim,¹⁴ N. Kimura,⁵⁶ L. Kirsch,⁷ S. Klimenko,¹⁹ B. Knuteson,³³ B. R. Ko,¹⁷ K. Kondo,⁵⁸ D. J. Kong,²⁸ J. Konigsberg,¹⁹ A. Korytov,¹⁹ A. V. Kotwal,¹⁷ M. Kreps,²⁷ J. Kroll,⁴⁶ D. Krop,¹⁴ N. Krumnack,⁵ M. Kruse,¹⁷ V. Krutelyov,¹¹ T. Kubo,⁵⁶ T. Kuhr,²⁷ N. P. Kulkarni,⁵⁹ M. Kurata,⁵⁶ S. Kwang,¹⁴ A. T. Laasanen,⁴⁹ S. Lami,^{47a} S. Lammel,¹⁸ M. Lancaster,³¹ R. L. Lander,⁸ K. Lannon,^{40,8} A. Lath,⁵³ G. Latino,^{47c,47a} I. Lazzizzera,^{44b,44a} T. LeCompte,² E. Lee,⁵⁴ H. S. Lee,¹⁴ S. W. Lee,^{54,u} S. Leone,^{47a} J. D. Lewis,¹⁸ C.-S. Lin,²⁹ J. Linacre,⁴³ M. Lindgren,¹⁸ E. Lipeles,⁴⁶ A. Lister,⁸ D. O. Litvintsev,¹⁸ C. Liu,⁴⁸ T. Liu,¹⁸ N. S. Lockyer,⁴⁶ A. Loginov,⁶¹ M. Loreti,^{44b,44a} L. Lovas,¹⁵ D. Lucchesi,^{44b,44a} C. Luci,^{52b,52a} J. Lueck,²⁷ P. Lujan,²⁹ P. Lukens,¹⁸ G. Lungu,⁵¹ L. Lyons,⁴³ J. Lys,²⁹ R. Lysak,¹⁵ D. MacQueen,³⁴ R. Madrak,¹⁸ K. Maeshima,¹⁸ K. Makhoul,³³ T. Maki,²⁴ P. Maksimovic,²⁶ S. Malde,⁴³ S. Malik,³¹ G. Manca,^{30,f} A. Manousakis-Katsikakis,³ F. Margaroli,⁴⁹ C. Marino,²⁷ C. P. Marino,²⁵ A. Martin,⁶¹ V. Martin,^{22,1} M. Martínez-Ballarín,³² T. Maruyama,⁵⁶ P. Mastrandrea,^{52a} T. Masubuchi,⁵⁶ M. Mathis,²⁶ M. E. Mattson,⁵⁹ P. Mazzanti,^{6a} K. S. McFarland,⁵⁰ P. McIntyre,⁵⁴ R. McNulty,^{30,k} A. Mehta,³⁰ P. Mehtala,²⁴ A. Menzione,^{47a} P. Merkel,⁴⁹ C. Mesropian,⁵¹ T. Miao,¹⁸ N. Miladinovic,⁷ R. Miller,³⁶ C. Mills,²³ M. Milnik,²⁷ A. Mitra,¹ G. Mitselmakher,¹⁹ H. Miyake,⁵⁶ N. Moggi,^{6a} C. S. Moon,²⁸ R. Moore,¹⁸ M. J. Morello,^{47b,47a} J. Morlock,²⁷ P. Movilla Fernandez,¹⁸ J. Mülmenstädt,²⁹ A. Mukherjee,¹⁸ Th. Muller,²⁷ R. Mumford,²⁶ P. Murat,¹⁸ M. Mussini,^{6b,6a} J. Nachtman,¹⁸ Y. Nagai,⁵⁶ I. Naganoma,⁵⁶ K. Nakamura,⁵⁶ I. Nakano,⁴¹ A. Napier,⁵⁷ V. Necula,¹⁷ J. Nett,⁶⁰ C. Neu,^{46,w}

M. S. Neubauer,²⁵ S. Neubauer,²⁷ J. Nielsen,^{29,h} L. Nodulman,² M. Norman,¹⁰ O. Norniella,²⁵ E. Nurse,³¹ L. Oakes,⁴³ S. H. Oh,¹⁷ Y. D. Oh,²⁸ I. Oksuzian,¹⁹ T. Okusawa,⁴² R. Orava,²⁴ K. Osterberg,²⁴ S. Pagan Griso,^{44b,44a} E. Palencia,¹⁸ V. Papadimitriou,¹⁸ A. Papaikonomou,²⁷ A. A. Paramonov,¹⁴ B. Parks,⁴⁰ S. Pashapour,³⁴ J. Patrick,¹⁸ G. Pauletta,^{55b,55a} M. Paulini,¹³ C. Paus,³³ T. Peiffer,²⁷ D. E. Pellett,⁸ A. Penzo,^{55a} T. J. Phillips,¹⁷ G. Piacentino,^{47a} E. Pianori,⁴⁶ L. Pinera,¹⁹ K. Pitts,²⁵ C. Plager,⁹ L. Pondrom,⁶⁰ O. Poukhov,^{16,a} N. Pounder,⁴³ F. Prakoshyn,¹⁶ A. Pronko,¹⁸ J. Proudfoot,² F. Ptohos,^{18,j} E. Pueschel,¹³ G. Punzi,^{47b,47a} J. Pursley,⁶⁰ J. Rademacker,^{43,d} A. Rahaman,⁴⁸ V. Ramakrishnan,⁶⁰ N. Ranjan,⁴⁹ I. Redondo,³² P. Renton,⁴³ M. Renz,²⁷ M. Rescigno,^{52a} S. Richter,²⁷ F. Rimondi,^{6b,6a} L. Ristori,^{47a} A. Robson,²² T. Rodrigo,¹² T. Rodriguez,⁴⁶ E. Rogers,²⁵ S. Rolli,⁵⁷ R. Roser,¹⁸ M. Rossi,^{55a} R. Rossin,¹¹ P. Roy,³⁴ A. Ruiz,¹² J. Russ,¹³ V. Rusu,¹⁸ B. Rutherford,¹⁸ H. Saarikko,²⁴ A. Safonov,⁵⁴ W. K. Sakumoto,⁵⁰ O. Saltó,⁴ L. Santi,^{55b,55a} A. Kulz, J. Kuss, V. Kusu, B. Kullerfold, H. Saarikko, A. Sarohov, H. K. Sakunoto, O. Sako, Z. Saka, S. Sarkar, ^{52b,52a} L. Sartori, ^{47a} K. Sato, ¹⁸ A. Savoy-Navarro, ⁴⁵ P. Schlabach, ¹⁸ A. Schmidt, ²⁷ E. E. Schmidt, ¹⁸
 M. A. Schmidt, ¹⁴ M. P. Schmidt, ^{61,a} M. Schmitt, ³⁹ T. Schwarz, ⁸ L. Scodellaro, ¹² A. Scribano, ^{47c,47a} F. Scuri, ^{47a} A. Sedov, ⁴⁹ S. Seidel,³⁸ Y. Seiya,⁴² A. Semenov,¹⁶ L. Sexton-Kennedy,¹⁸ F. Sforza,^{47a} A. Sfyrla,²⁵ S. Z. Shalhout,⁵⁹ T. Shears,³⁰ P. F. Shepard,⁴⁸ M. Shimojima,^{56,r} S. Shiraishi,¹⁴ M. Shochet,¹⁴ Y. Shon,⁶⁰ I. Shreyber,³⁷ A. Sidoti,^{47a} P. Sinervo,³⁴ A. Sisakyan,¹⁶ A. J. Slaughter,¹⁸ J. Slaunwhite,⁴⁰ K. Sliwa,⁵⁷ J. R. Smith,⁸ F. D. Snider,¹⁸ R. Snihur,³⁴ A. Soha,⁸ S. Somalwar,⁵³ V. Sorin,³⁶ J. Spalding,¹⁸ T. Spreitzer,³⁴ P. Squillacioti,^{47c,47a} M. Stanitzki,⁶¹ R. St. Denis,²² B. Stelzer,³⁴ O. Stelzer-Chilton,³⁴ D. Stentz,³⁹ J. Strologas,³⁸ G.L. Strycker,³⁵ D. Stuart,¹¹ J. S. Suh,²⁸ A. Sukhanov,¹⁹ I. Suslov,¹⁶ T. Suzuki,⁵⁶ A. Taffard,^{25,g} R. Takashima,⁴¹ Y. Takeuchi,⁵⁶ R. Tanaka,⁴¹ M. Tecchio,³⁵ P. K. Teng,¹ K. Terashi,⁵¹ T. Suzuki,⁵⁶ A. Taffard,^{25,g} R. Takashima,⁴¹ Y. Takeuchi,⁵⁶ R. Tanaka,⁴¹ M. Tecchio,³⁵ P. K. Teng,¹ K. Terashi,⁵¹ J. Thom,^{18,i} A. S. Thompson,²² G. A. Thompson,²⁵ E. Thomson,⁴⁶ P. Tipton,⁶¹ P. Titto-Guzmán,³² S. Tkaczyk,¹⁸ D. Toback,⁵⁴ S. Tokar,¹⁵ K. Tollefson,³⁶ T. Tomura,⁵⁶ D. Tonelli,¹⁸ S. Torre,²⁰ D. Torretta,¹⁸ P. Totaro,^{55b,55a} S. Tourneur,⁴⁵ M. Trovato,^{47a} S.-Y. Tsai,¹ Y. Tu,⁴⁶ N. Turini,^{47c,47a} F. Ukegawa,⁵⁶ S. Vallecorsa,²¹ N. van Remortel,^{24,c} A. Varganov,³⁵ E. Vataga,^{47d,47a} F. Vázquez,^{19,o} G. Velev,¹⁸ C. Vellidis,³ M. Vidal,³² R. Vidal,¹⁸ I. Vila,¹² R. Vilar,¹² T. Vine,³¹ M. Vogel,³⁸ I. Volobouev,^{29,u} G. Volpi,^{47b,47a} P. Wagner,⁴⁶ R. G. Wagner,² R. L. Wagner,¹⁸ W. Wagner,^{27,x} J. Wagner-Kuhr,²⁷ T. Wakisaka,⁴² R. Wallny,⁹ S. M. Wang,¹ A. Warburton,³⁴ D. Waters,³¹ M. Weinberger,⁵⁴ J. Weinelt,²⁷ H. Wenzel,¹⁸ W. C. Wester III,¹⁸ B. Whitehouse,⁵⁷ D. Whiteson,^{46,g} A. B. Wicklund,² E. Wicklund,¹⁸ S. Wilbur,¹⁴ G. Williams,³⁴ H. H. Williams,⁴⁶ P. Wilson,¹⁸ B. L. Winer,⁴⁰ P. Wittich,^{18,i} S. Wolbers,¹⁸ C. Wolfe,¹⁴ T. Wright,³⁵ X. Wu,²¹ F. Würthwein,¹⁰ S. Xie,³³ A. Yagil,¹⁰ K. Yamamoto,⁴² J. Yamaoka,¹⁷ U. K. Yang,^{14,q} Y. C. Yang,²⁸ W. M. Yao,²⁹ G. P. Yeh,¹⁸ J. Yoh,¹⁸ K. Yorita,⁵⁸ T. Yoshida,^{42,n} G. B. Yu,⁵⁰ I. Yu,²⁸ S. S. Yu,¹⁸ J. C. Yun,¹⁸ L. Zanello,^{52b,52a} A. Zanetti,^{55a} X. Xu, Zhang,²⁵ Y. Zheng^{9,e} and S. Zucchelli^{6b,6a}

X. Zhang,²⁵ Y. Zheng,^{9,e} and S. Zucchelli^{6b,6a}

(CDF Collaboration)

¹Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan 11529, Republic of China

²Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA

³University of Athens, 157 71 Athens, Greece

⁴Institut de Fisica d'Altes Energies, Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona,

E-08193, Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain

⁵Baylor University, Waco, Texas 76798, USA

^{6a}Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Bologna, I-40127 Bologna, Italy

^{6b}University of Bologna, I-40127 Bologna, Italy

⁷Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02254, USA

⁸University of California, Davis, Davis, California 95616, USA

⁹University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90024, USA

¹⁰University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA

¹¹University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA

¹²Instituto de Fisica de Cantabria, CSIC-University of Cantabria, 39005 Santander, Spain

¹³Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, USA

¹⁴Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA

¹⁵Comenius University, 842 48 Bratislava, Slovakia; Institute of Experimental Physics, 040 01 Kosice, Slovakia

¹⁶ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, RU-141980 Dubna, Russia

¹⁷Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA

¹⁸Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, USA

¹⁹University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, USA

²⁰Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, I-00044 Frascati, Italy

²¹University of Geneva, CH-1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland

²²Glasgow University, Glasgow G12 8QQ, United Kingdom

²³Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

²⁴Division of High Energy Physics, Department of Physics, University of Helsinki and Helsinki Institute of Physics, FIN-00014, Helsinki, Finland ²⁵University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA ²⁶The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218, USA ²⁷Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Universität Karlsruhe, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany ²⁸Center for High Energy Physics: Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Korea; Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea; Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 440-746, Korea; Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon, 305-806, Korea; Chonnam National University, Gwangju, 500-757, Korea ²⁹Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA ³⁰University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZE, United Kingdom ³¹University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom ³²Centro de Investigaciones Energeticas Medioambientales y Tecnologicas, E-28040 Madrid, Spain ³³Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA ³⁴Institute of Particle Physics: McGill University, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3A 2T8; Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada V5A 1S6; University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 1A7; and TRIUMF, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 2A3 ³⁵University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA ³⁶Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA ³⁷Institution for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, ITEP, Moscow 117259, Russia ³⁸University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131, USA ³⁹Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA ⁴⁰The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA ⁴¹Okavama University, Okavama 700-8530, Japan ⁴²Osaka City University, Osaka 588, Japan ⁴³University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RH, United Kingdom ^{44a}Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova-Trento, I-35131 Padova, Italy ^{44b}University of Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy ⁴⁵LPNHE, Universite Pierre et Marie Curie/IN2P3-CNRS, UMR7585, Paris, F-75252 France ⁶University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA ^{47a}Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Pisa, I-56127 Pisa, Italy ^{47b}University of Pisa, I-56127 Pisa, Italy ⁴⁷^cUniversity of Siena, I-56127 Pisa, Italy ^{47d}Scuola Normale Superiore, I-56127 Pisa, Italy ⁴⁸University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260, USA ¹⁹Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, USA ⁵⁰University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA ⁵¹The Rockefeller University, New York, New York 10021, USA ^{52a}Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Roma 1, I-00185 Roma, Italy ^{52b}Sapienza Università di Roma, I-00185 Roma, Italy ⁵³Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08855, USA ⁵⁴Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, USA ^{55a}Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Trieste/Udine, I-34100 Trieste, Italy ^{55b}University of Trieste/Udine, I-33100 Udine, Italy ⁵⁶University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan ⁵⁷Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts 02155, USA ⁵⁸Waseda University, Tokyo 169, Japan ⁵⁹Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48201, USA ⁶⁰University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA ⁶¹Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, USA (Received 23 January 2009; published 21 May 2009) We report results from a search for the lepton flavor violating decays $B_s^0 \to e^+ \mu^-$ and $B^0 \to e^+ \mu^-$, and the flavor-changing neutral-current decays $B_s^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$. The analysis uses data corresponding to 2 fb⁻¹ of integrated luminosity of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV collected with the upgraded Collider Detector (CDF II) at the Fermilab Tevatron. The observed number of B^0 and B_s^0 candidates is consistent with background expectations. The resulting Bayesian upper limits on the branching ratios at 90% credibility level are $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to e^+ \mu^-) < 2.0 \times 10^{-7}, \ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to e^+ \mu^-) < 6.4 \times 10^{-8}$.

 $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to e^+e^-) < 2.8 \times 10^{-7}$, and $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to e^+e^-) < 8.3 \times 10^{-8}$. From the limits on $\mathcal{B}(B_{(s)}^0 \to e^+\mu^-)$, the following lower bounds on the Pati-Salam leptoquark masses are also derived: $M_{LQ}(B_s^0 \to e^+\mu^-) > 47.8 \text{ TeV}/c^2$, and $M_{LQ}(B^0 \to e^+\mu^-) > 59.3 \text{ TeV}/c^2$, at 90% credibility level.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.201801

PACS numbers: 13.20.He, 11.30.Hv, 12.15.Mm, 12.60.-i

Rare particle decays that are either forbidden within the standard model (SM) of particle physics or are expected to have very small branching ratios provide excellent signatures with which to look for new physics and allow us to probe subatomic processes that are beyond the reach of direct searches. The decays $B_s^0 \rightarrow e^+ \mu^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow e^+ \mu^-$ [1] are forbidden within the SM, in which lepton number and lepton flavor are conserved. However, the observation of neutrino oscillations indicates that lepton flavor is not conserved. To date, no lepton flavor violating (LFV) decays in the charged sector such as $B_s^0 \rightarrow e^+ \mu^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow$ $e^+\mu^-$ have been observed. These decays are allowed in models where the SM has been extended by heavy singlet Dirac neutrinos [2]. The LFV decays are also allowed in some physics scenarios beyond the SM, such as the Pati-Salam model [3] and supersymmetry models [4]. The grand-unification theory by Pati and Salam predicts a new interaction to mediate transitions between leptons and quarks via exchange of spin-1 gauge bosons, which are called Pati-Salam leptoquarks (LQ), that carry both color and lepton quantum numbers [3]. The lepton and quark components of the leptoquarks are not necessarily from the same generation [5,6], and the decays $B_s^0 \rightarrow$ $e^+\mu^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow e^+\mu^-$ can be mediated by different types of leptoquarks. Processes involving flavor-changing neutral currents (FCNCs) can occur in the SM only through higher-order Feynman diagrams where new physics contributions can provide a significant enhancement. Compared to $B^0_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ [7], the FCNC decays of $B^0_{(s)} \rightarrow$ e^+e^- are further suppressed by the square of the ratio of the electron and muon masses $(m_e/m_\mu)^2$. The SM expectations for branching ratios of $B^0_{(s)} \rightarrow e^+e^-$ are of the order of 10^{-15} [8].

In this Letter we report on a search for the LFV decays $B_s^0 \rightarrow e^+ \mu^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow e^+ \mu^-$ and the FCNC decays $B_{(s)}^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$, using a data sample corresponding to 2 fb⁻¹ of integrated luminosity collected in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV. With no evidence for either the LFV or FCNC decays, we set upper limits on their branching ratios using the common reference decay $B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$, which has a precisely known branching ratio.

A detailed description of the CDF II detector can be found in Ref. [9]. Here we give a brief description of the detector elements most relevant to this analysis. Charged particle tracking is provided by a silicon microstrip detector together with the surrounding open-cell wire drift chamber (COT), both immersed in a 1.4 T axial magnetic field. The tracking system provides precise vertex and momentum measurement for charged particles in the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 1.0$ [10]. Surrounding the tracking system are electromagnetic (CEM) and hadronic sampling calorimeters, arranged in a projective geometry. Drift chambers and scintillation counters are located behind the calorimeters to detect muons within $|\eta| < 0.6$ (CMU) and $0.6 < |\eta| < 1.0$ (CMX).

We use a data sample enriched in two-body B decays selected by a three-level trigger system using the extremely fast tracker [11] at level 1, and the silicon vertex trigger [12] at level 2. The trigger requires two oppositely charged tracks, each with a transverse momentum $p_T > 2 \text{ GeV}/c$, and an impact parameter [13] $0.1 < d_0 < 1$ mm. It also requires the scalar sum of the transverse momenta of the two tracks to be greater than 5.5 GeV/c, the difference in the azimuthal angles of the tracks $20^{\circ} < \Delta \varphi < 135^{\circ}$, and a transverse decay length [14] $L_{xy} > 200 \ \mu$ m. At the level-3 trigger stage, and in the off-line analysis, the trigger selections are enforced with a more accurate determination of the same quantities. In the off-line analysis, additionally we require the *B*-meson isolation I > 0.675 [15], the pointing angle $\Delta \phi < 6.3^{\circ}$ [16], and a tighter selection of $L_{xy} >$ 375 μ m. These three thresholds were optimized in an unbiased way to obtain the best sensitivity for the searches using the procedure described in Ref. [17].

Electron and muon identification is applied in the selection of $B^0_s(B^0) \to e^+ \mu^-$ and $B^0_s(B^0) \to e^+ e^-$ decay modes. The electron identification [18] requires that both the specific ionization (dE/dx) measured in the COT and the transverse and longitudinal shower shape as measured in the CEM be consistent with the hypothesis that the particle is an electron. The performance of electron identification is optimized using pure electron samples reconstructed from $\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$ conversions and hadron and muon samples from $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$, $\Lambda \to p \pi^-$, and $J/\psi \to$ $\mu^+\mu^-$ decays. We find the identification efficiency to be around 70% for electrons passing through the fiducial regions of the detectors used for electron identification. The muon identification starts from tracks in the COT that are extrapolated into the muon detectors and are required to match hits in the muon systems. The muon selection efficiency for muons with $p_T > 2 \text{ GeV}/c$ in CMU or CMX has been measured to be greater than 99% using muons from J/ψ decays.

The mass resolution σ_m of fully reconstructed *B*-meson decays to two charged particles is about 28 MeV/ c^2 . Energy loss due to bremsstrahlung by electrons generates a tail on the low side of the mass distribution. This tail is more prominent for the $B_s^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ channels, where two electrons are involved. We define search windows of (5.262-5.477) GeV/ c^2 for $B_s^0 \rightarrow e^+ \mu^-$ and (5.171-5.387) GeV/ c^2 for $B^0 \rightarrow e^+ \mu^-$. These correspond to a window around the nominal values of the B_s^0 and B^0 masses [19] of approximately $\pm 3\sigma_m$. To recover some of the acceptance loss due to electron bremsstrahlung for the $B_s^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ channels, we choose wider and asymmetric search windows ranging from $6\sigma_m$ below to $3\sigma_m$ above the nominal values of the B_s^0 and B^0 masses. The search windows are (5.154-5.477) GeV/ c^2 for the B_s^0 and (5.064-5.387) GeV/ c^2 for the B^0 . The sideband regions (4.800-5.028) GeV/ c^2 and (5.549-5.800) GeV/ c^2 are used to estimate the combinatorial backgrounds.

The background contributions considered include combinations of random track pairs and partial B decays that accidentally meet the selection requirement (combinatorial), and hadronic two-body B decays in which both final particles are misidentified as leptons. The combinatorial background is evaluated by extrapolating the normalized number of events found in the sidebands to the signal region. The double-lepton misidentification rate is determined by applying electron and muon misidentification probabilities to the number of two-body decays found in the search window.

Figure 1 shows the invariant mass distribution for $e^+\mu^$ candidates. We observe one event in the B_s^0 mass window, and two events in the B^0 mass window, consistent with the estimated total background of 0.8 ± 0.6 events in the B_s^0 search window, and 0.9 ± 0.6 in the B^0 window. The total background consists of two components: the combinatorial background in both channels is estimated to be 0.7 ± 0.6 events, the number of events where two tracks are misidentified as electron and muon is estimated to be $0.09 \pm$ 0.02 for the B_s^0 case and 0.22 ± 0.04 for the B^0 case.

Figure 2 shows the invariant mass distributions for e^+e^- candidate pairs where both tracks were identified as electrons. We observe one event in the B_s^0 mass window, and two events in the B^0 mass window. We estimate the total background contributions to be 2.7 ± 1.8 events in both the B_s^0 and B^0 mass windows. The dominant contribution comes from combinatorial background, 2.7 ± 1.8 , compared to the contribution where both tracks are misidentified as electrons, 0.038 ± 0.008 , for both B_s^0 or B^0 .

We use the reference decay $B^0 \to K^+ \pi^-$ to set a limit on $\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to e^+ \ell^-)$ (where ℓ is either *e* or μ), using the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}\left(B^{0}_{s} \rightarrow e^{+}\ell^{-}\right) \\ &= \frac{N(B^{0}_{s} \rightarrow e^{+}\ell^{-})\mathcal{B}(B^{0} \rightarrow K^{+}\pi^{-})f_{d}/f_{s}}{\epsilon^{\mathrm{rel}}_{B^{0}_{s} \rightarrow e^{+}\ell^{-}}N(B^{0} \rightarrow K^{+}\pi^{-})}. \end{aligned}$$

The expression for the B^0 channels is identical, except that the ratio of *b*-quark fragmentation probabilities, f_d/f_s , is not present. In the expression, $N(B_s^0 \rightarrow e^+ \ell^-)$ is the calculated upper limit on the number of $B_s^0 \rightarrow e^+ \ell^-$ events, $N(B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-)$ is the observed number of events from



FIG. 1 (color online). Invariant mass distribution of $e^+\mu^-$ pairs for events where one track passed the electron identification and the other track the muon identification. The B_s^0 (B^0) search window is indicated by the solid line (short dashed line). The sideband regions are indicated by the dashed lines.

the reference channel $B^0 \to K^+ \pi^-$, $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^+ \pi^-) = (19.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$ [19] is the branching ratio for the $B^0 \to K^+ \pi^-$ decay, and $\epsilon_{B_s^0 \to e^+ \ell^-}^{\text{rel}}$ is the detector acceptance and event selection efficiency for reconstructing $B_s^0 \to e^+ \ell^-$ decays relative to that for $B^0 \to K^+ \pi^-$. The value of f_d/f_s is 3.86 \pm 0.59, where the (anti)correlation between the uncertainties has been accounted for [20]. To account for the differences in detector fiducial coverage and event selection efficiencies between the search and reference channel we use Monte Carlo events with a detailed simulation of the CDF detector response. Collision data are used to measure electron and muon identification efficiencies. We obtain $\epsilon_{B_s^0 \to e^+ e^-}^{\text{rel}} = 0.207 \pm 0.016$, $\epsilon_{B_s^0 \to e^+ e^-}^{\text{rel}} = 0.128 \pm 0.011$. These results of relative detector acceptance and efficiency also include effects of the different search windows for the $e^+\mu^-$ and e^+e^- channels. The uncertainties listed above are the combined



FIG. 2 (color online). Invariant mass distributions of e^+e^- pairs for events where both tracks passed the electron identification. The B_s^0 (B^0) search window is indicated by the solid line (short dashed line). The sideband regions are indicated by dashed lines.

		-	$ \rangle = 0$	
TABLE L	Values used to calculate	the limits on $B(B^0)$.	$\rightarrow e^+ \mu^-$) and $B(B^0)$	$\rightarrow e^+ e^-$) and their uncertainties

Source	Values	$\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to e^+ \mu^-)$	$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to e^+ \mu^-)$	$\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to e^+ e^-)$	$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to e^+ e^-)$
$N(B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-)$	6387 ± 214	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%
$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^+ \pi^-)$	$(19.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%
f_d/f_s	3.86 ± 0.59	15.3%	•••	15.3%	
$\epsilon^{ m rel}_{B^0_s ightarrow e^+ \mu^-}$	0.207 ± 0.016	7.6%			
$\epsilon^{ m rel}_{B^0 ightarrow e^+ \mu^-}$	0.210 ± 0.012		5.9%		
$oldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\mathrm{rel}}_{B^0_s ightarrow e^+ e^-}$	0.129 ± 0.011			8.9%	
$oldsymbol{\epsilon}_{B^0 ightarrow e^+ e^-}^{ m rel}$	0.128 ± 0.011				8.9%
Total		17.7%	7.5%	18.3%	10.0%

statistical and systematic uncertainties. The latter include uncertainties from detector fiducial coverage, electron and muon identification efficiencies, detector material determination, B_s^0 and $B^0 p_T$ spectrum, and B_s^0 and B^0 lifetimes. The reference channel $B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ has been reconstructed using the same selection criteria except lepton identification. We find $6387 \pm 214 \ B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ events, using a fitting procedure similar to that described in Ref. [21]. The uncertainty as returned by the fit is a combination of the mass fitting uncertainty and the sample composition uncertainties.

The upper limit on the branching ratio in each search window is obtained using the Bayesian approach [19], assuming a flat prior, and incorporating Gaussian uncertainties into the limit. The total systematic uncertainties, listed in Table I, are used as input for the limit calculation. Table II lists the upper limits we obtain on the branching ratios at 90% (95%) credibility level (C.L.).

Within the Pati-Salam leptoquark model, the following relationship between the $\mathcal{B}(B^0_{(s)} \to e^+ \mu^-)$ and the leptoquark mass (M_{LQ}) can be derived [5]:

$$\mathcal{B}(B^0_{(s)} \to e^+ \mu^-) = \pi \alpha_s^2(M_{\rm LQ}) \frac{1}{M^4_{\rm LQ}} F^2_{B^0_{(s)}} m^3_{B^0_{(s)}} R^2 \frac{\tau_{B^0_{(s)}}}{\hbar},$$

where $R = \frac{m_{B_0}}{m_b} (\frac{\alpha_s(M_{LQ})}{\alpha_s(m_t)})^{-4/7} (\frac{\alpha_s(m_t)}{\alpha_s(m_b)})^{-12/23}$. The values and uncertainties of the quantities used in the calculation of M_{LQ} are the following [19]: the top-quark mass m_t (171.2 ± 2.1 GeV/ c^2), the bottom-quark mass m_b (4.20 ± 0.17 GeV/ c^2), the charm quark mass m_c (1.27 ± 0.11 GeV/ c^2), the B^0 -meson mass m_{B^0} (5.279 53 ± 0.000 33 GeV/ c^2), the B_s^0 -meson mass $m_{B_s^0}$ (5.3663 ±

TABLE II. Branching ratio limits at 90% (95)% C.L.

$\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to e^+ \mu^-) < 2.0(2.6) \times 10^{-7}$	
$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to e^+ \mu^-) < 6.4(7.9) \times 10^{-8}$	
$\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to e^+ e^-) < 2.8(3.7) \times 10^{-7}$	
$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to e^+ e^-) < 8.3(10.6) \times 10^{-8}$	

0.0006 GeV/ c^2), the B^0 -meson lifetime τ_{B^0} (1.530 ± 0.009 ps), the B_s^0 -meson lifetime $\tau_{B_s^0}$ (1.470 ± 0.027 ps), the coupling strength F_{B^0} (0.178 ± 0.014 GeV), and F_{B^0} . $(0.200 \pm 0.014 \text{ GeV})$ [22]. For the strong coupling constant we use $\alpha_s(M_{Z^0}) = 0.115$, which is evolved to M_{LO} using the Marciano approximation [23] assuming no colored particles exist with masses between m_t and M_{LO} . Using the limits on the branching ratios listed in Table II, we calculate limits on the masses of the corresponding Pati-Salam leptoquarks of $M_{LQ}(B_s^0 \rightarrow e^+ \mu^-) >$ $M_{\rm LO}(B^0 \rightarrow e^+ \mu^-) >$ 47.8(44.9) TeV/ c^2 and 59.3(56.3) TeV/ c^2 at 90% (95)% C.L. Figure 3 shows the limit and the relation between the leptoquark mass and the branching ratio for the B_s^0 meson.

In summary, we report on a search for the lepton flavor violating decays $B_s^0 \rightarrow e^+ \mu^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow e^+ \mu^-$ and the flavor-changing neutral-current decays $B_s^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ using data corresponding to 2 fb⁻¹ of integrated luminosity collected in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV. This is the first search for $B_s^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ decays at the Tevatron. We observe no evidence for



FIG. 3 (color online). Leptoquark mass limit corresponding to the 90(95)% C.L. on $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow e^+ \mu^-)$. The error band is obtained by varying the values entering the theoretical calculation within their uncertainties. The uncertainties stemming from approximating α_s are not included.

these decays and set limits that are the most stringent to date. These results represent a significant improvement compared to the previous measurement [24] by CDF and the best results from *B* Factories [25–27] and LEP [28].

We thank the Fermilab staff and the technical staffs of the participating institutions for their vital contributions. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy and National Science Foundation; the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare; the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan; the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada; the National Science Council of the Republic of China; the Swiss National Science Foundation; the A.P. Sloan Foundation; the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung, Germany; the Korean Science and Engineering Foundation and the Korean Research Foundation; the Science and Technology Facilities Council and the Royal Society, U.K.; the Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et Physique des Particules/CNRS; the Russian Foundation for Basic Research; the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, and Programa Consolider-Ingenio 2010, Spain; the Slovak R&D Agency; and the Academy of Finland.

^aDeceased.

- ^bVisitor from University of Massachusetts Amherst, Amherst, MA 01003, USA.
- ^cVisitor from Universiteit Antwerpen, B-2610 Antwerp, Belgium.
- ^dVisitor from University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TL, United Kingdom.
- ^eVisitor from Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100864, China.
- ^fVisitor from Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Cagliari, 09042 Monserrato (Cagliari), Italy.
- ^gVisitor from University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697, USA.
- ^hVisitor from University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA.
- ¹Visitor from Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA.
- ^jVisitor from University of Cyprus, Nicosia CY-1678, Cyprus.
- ^kVisitor from University College Dublin, Dublin 4, Ireland.
- ¹Visitor from University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, United Kingdom.
- ^mVisitor from University of Fukui, Fukui City, Fukui Prefecture, Japan 910-0017.
- ⁿVisitor from Kinki University, Higashi-Osaka City, Japan 577-8502.
- ^oVisitor from Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico D.F., Mexico.
- ^pVisitor from Queen Mary, University of London, London, E1 4NS, England.

^qVisitor from University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, England.

- ^rVisitor from Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan.
- ^sVisitor from University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA.
- ^tVisitor from University de Oviedo, E-33007 Oviedo, Spain.
- ^uVisitor from Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79609, USA.
- ^vVisitor from IFIC(CSIC-Universitat de Valencia), 46071 Valencia, Spain.
- ^wVisitor from University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904, USA.
- ^xVisitor from Bergische Universität Wuppertal, 42097 Wuppertal, Germany.
- ^yOn leave from J. Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia.
- [1] Throughout this Letter inclusion of charge conjugate reactions is implied.
- [2] A. Ilakovac, Phys. Rev. D 62, 036010 (2000).
- [3] J.C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D 10, 275 (1974).
- [4] R. A. Diaz, R. Martinez, and C. E. Sandoval, Eur. Phys. J. C 41, 305 (2005).
- [5] G. Valencia and S. Willenbrock, Phys. Rev. D 50, 6843 (1994).
- [6] M. Blanke et al., J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2007) 013.
- [7] T. Aaltonen *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 101802 (2008).
- [8] M. Misiak and J. Urban, Phys. Lett. B 451, 161 (1999);
 G. Buchalla and A. J. Burn, Nucl. Phys. B548, 309 (1999).
- [9] D. Acosta *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 71, 032001 (2005), and references therein.
- [10] The polar angle (θ) in cylindrical coordinates is measured with respect to the proton beam direction, which defines the *z* axis. Pseudorapidity (η) is defined as $\eta = -\ln(\tan \frac{\theta}{2})$.
- [11] E.J. Thomson *et al.*, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **49**, 1063 (2002).
- [12] W. Ashmanskas *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **518**, 532 (2004).
- [13] The impact parameter d_0 is the distance of closest approach of the track to the beam line.
- [14] F. Abe *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 57, 5382 (1998).
- [15] Because of the hard *b*-quark fragmentation, *B* mesons carry most of the momentum of the *b* quark. The isolation is defined as $I = p_T(B)/[\sum_i p_T^i + p_T(B)]$, where $p_T(B)$ is the transverse momentum of the *B* candidate, and the sum runs over all other tracks within a cone of radius 1 in $\eta \phi$ space around the *B* flight direction.
- [16] For track pairs coming from the two-body decay of a *B*, the vector pointing from the primary vertex to the *B* decay vertex in the transverse plane \vec{l}_{xy} should point in the same direction as the transverse momentum vector $\vec{p}_T(B)$ of the *B* candidate. $\Delta \phi$ is defined as the angle between \vec{l}_{xy} and $\vec{p}_T(B)$.
- [17] G. Punzi, arXiv:physics:0308063v2.
- [18] A. Abulencia *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 012002 (2006).
- [19] C. Amsler et al., Phys. Lett. B 667, 1 (2008).

- [20] E. Barberio *et al.*, (Heavy Flavor Averaging Group), arXiv:0704.3575v1.
- [21] A. Abulencia *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 211802 (2006).
- [22] J. Bordes et al., J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2004) 064.
- [23] W. J. Marciano, Phys. Rev. D 29, 580 (1984).
- [24] F. Abe *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5742 (1998).
- [25] B. Aubert *et al.* (*BABAR* Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 251803 (2007).
- [26] M. C. Chang *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 68, 111101 (2003).
- [27] T. Bergfeld *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **62**, 091102 (2000).
- [28] M. Acciarri *et al.* (L3 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **391**, 474 (1997).