

Rates, Polarizations, and Asymmetries in Charmless Vector-Vector B Meson Decays

B. Aubert,¹ R. Barate,¹ D. Boutigny,¹ J.-M. Gaillard,¹ A. Hicheur,¹ Y. Karyotakis,¹ J. P. Lees,¹ P. Robbe,¹ V. Tisserand,¹ A. Zghiche,¹ A. Palano,² A. Pompili,² J. C. Chen,³ N. D. Qi,³ G. Rong,³ P. Wang,³ Y. S. Zhu,³ G. Eigen,⁴ I. Ofte,⁴ B. Stugu,⁴ G. S. Abrams,⁵ A. W. Borgland,⁵ A. B. Breon,⁵ D. N. Brown,⁵ J. Button-Shafer,⁵ R. N. Cahn,⁵ E. Charles,⁵ C. T. Day,⁵ M. S. Gill,⁵ A. V. Gritsan,⁵ Y. Groysman,⁵ R. G. Jacobsen,⁵ R. W. Kadel,⁵ J. Kadyk,⁵ L. T. Kerth,⁵ Yu. G. Kolomensky,⁵ J. F. Kral,⁵ G. Kukartsev,⁵ C. LeClerc,⁵ M. E. Levi,⁵ G. Lynch,⁵ L. M. Mir,⁵ P. J. Oddone,⁵ T. J. Orimoto,⁵ M. Pripstein,⁵ N. A. Roe,⁵ A. Romosan,⁵ M. T. Ronan,⁵ V. G. Shelkov,⁵ A. V. Telnov,⁵ W. A. Wenzel,⁵ K. Ford,⁶ T. J. Harrison,⁶ C. M. Hawkes,⁶ D. J. Knowles,⁶ S. E. Morgan,⁶ R. C. Penny,⁶ A. T. Watson,⁶ N. K. Watson,⁶ T. Deppermann,⁷ K. Goetzen,⁷ H. Koch,⁷ B. Lewandowski,⁷ M. Pelizaeus,⁷ K. Peters,⁷ H. Schmuecker,⁷ M. Steinke,⁷ N. R. Barlow,⁸ J. T. Boyd,⁸ N. Chevalier,⁸ W. N. Cottingham,⁸ M. P. Kelly,⁸ T. E. Latham,⁸ C. Mackay,⁸ F. F. Wilson,⁸ K. Abe,⁹ T. Cuhadar-Donszelmann,⁹ C. Hearty,⁹ T. S. Mattison,⁹ J. A. McKenna,⁹ D. Thiessen,⁹ P. Kyberd,¹⁰ A. K. McKemey,¹⁰ V. E. Blinov,¹¹ A. D. Bukin,¹¹ V. B. Golubev,¹¹ V. N. Ivanchenko,¹¹ E. A. Kravchenko,¹¹ A. P. Onuchin,¹¹ S. I. Serednyakov,¹¹ Yu. I. Skovpen,¹¹ E. P. Solodov,¹¹ A. N. Yushkov,¹¹ D. Best,¹² M. Chao,¹² D. Kirkby,¹² A. J. Lankford,¹² M. Mandelkern,¹² S. McMahon,¹² R. K. Mommsen,¹² W. Roethel,¹² D. P. Stoker,¹² C. Buchanan,¹³ D. del Re,¹⁴ H. K. Hadavand,¹⁴ E. J. Hill,¹⁴ D. B. MacFarlane,¹⁴ H. P. Paar,¹⁴ Sh. Rahatlou,¹⁴ U. Schwanke,¹⁴ V. Sharma,¹⁴ J. W. Berryhill,¹⁵ C. Campagnari,¹⁵ B. Dahmes,¹⁵ N. Kuznetsova,¹⁵ S. L. Levy,¹⁵ O. Long,¹⁵ A. Lu,¹⁵ M. A. Mazur,¹⁵ J. D. Richman,¹⁵ W. Verkerke,¹⁵ T. W. Beck,¹⁶ J. Beringer,¹⁶ A. M. Eisner,¹⁶ C. A. Heusch,¹⁶ W. S. Lockman,¹⁶ T. Schalk,¹⁶ R. E. Schmitz,¹⁶ B. A. Schumm,¹⁶ A. Seiden,¹⁶ M. Turri,¹⁶ W. Walkowiak,¹⁶ D. C. Williams,¹⁶ M. G. Wilson,¹⁶ J. Albert,¹⁷ E. Chen,¹⁷ G. P. Dubois-Felsmann,¹⁷ A. Dvoretzkii,¹⁷ D. G. Hitlin,¹⁷ I. Narsky,¹⁷ F. C. Porter,¹⁷ A. Ryd,¹⁷ A. Samuel,¹⁷ S. Yang,¹⁷ S. Jayatilke,¹⁸ G. Mancinelli,¹⁸ B. T. Meadows,¹⁸ M. D. Sokoloff,¹⁸ T. Abe,¹⁹ T. Barillari,¹⁹ F. Blanc,¹⁹ P. Bloom,¹⁹ S. Chen,¹⁹ P. J. Clark,¹⁹ W. T. Ford,¹⁹ U. Nauenberg,¹⁹ A. Olivas,¹⁹ P. Rankin,¹⁹ J. Roy,¹⁹ J. G. Smith,¹⁹ W. C. van Hoek,¹⁹ L. Zhang,¹⁹ J. L. Harton,²⁰ T. Hu,²⁰ A. Soffer,²⁰ W. H. Toki,²⁰ R. J. Wilson,²⁰ J. Zhang,²⁰ D. Altenburg,²¹ T. Brandt,²¹ J. Brose,²¹ T. Colberg,²¹ M. Dickopp,²¹ R. S. Dubitzky,²¹ A. Hauke,²¹ H. M. Lacker,²¹ E. Maly,²¹ R. Müller-Pfefferkorn,²¹ R. Nogowski,²¹ S. Otto,²¹ K. R. Schubert,²¹ R. Schwierz,²¹ B. Spaan,²¹ L. Wilden,²¹ D. Bernard,²² G. R. Bonneaud,²² F. Brochard,²² J. Cohen-Tanugi,²² Ch. Thiebaux,²² G. Vasileiadis,²² M. Verderi,²² A. Khan,²³ D. Lavin,²³ F. Muheim,²³ S. Playfer,²³ J. E. Swain,²³ J. Tinslay,²³ M. Andreotti,²⁴ V. Azzolini,²⁴ D. Bettoni,²⁴ C. Bozzi,²⁴ R. Calabrese,²⁴ G. Cibinetto,²⁴ E. Luppi,²⁴ M. Negrini,²⁴ L. Piemontese,²⁴ A. Sarti,²⁴ E. Treadwell,²⁵ F. Anulli,^{26,*} R. Baldini-Ferrolì,²⁶ A. Calcaterra,²⁶ R. de Sangro,²⁶ D. Falciari,²⁶ G. Finocchiaro,²⁶ P. Patteri,²⁶ I. M. Peruzzi,^{26,*} M. Piccolo,²⁶ A. Zallo,²⁶ A. Buzzo,²⁷ R. Contri,²⁷ G. Crosetti,²⁷ M. Lo Vetere,²⁷ M. Macri,²⁷ M. R. Monge,²⁷ S. Passaggio,²⁷ F. C. Pastore,²⁷ C. Patrignani,²⁷ E. Robutti,²⁷ A. Santroni,²⁷ S. Tosi,²⁷ S. Bailey,²⁸ M. Morii,²⁸ W. Bhimji,²⁹ D. A. Bowerman,²⁹ P. D. Dauncey,²⁹ U. Egede,²⁹ I. Eschrich,²⁹ J. R. Gaillard,²⁹ G. W. Morton,²⁹ J. A. Nash,²⁹ P. Sanders,²⁹ G. P. Taylor,²⁹ G. J. Grenier,³⁰ S.-J. Lee,³⁰ U. Mallik,³⁰ J. Cochran,³¹ H. B. Crawley,³¹ J. Lamsa,³¹ W. T. Meyer,³¹ S. Prell,³¹ E. I. Rosenberg,³¹ J. Yi,³¹ M. Davier,³² G. Grosdidier,³² A. Höcker,³² S. Laplace,³² F. Le Diberder,³² V. Lepeltier,³² A. M. Lutz,³² T. C. Petersen,³² S. Plaszczynski,³² M. H. Schune,³² L. Tantot,³² G. Wormser,³² V. Brigrlejić,³³ C. H. Cheng,³³ D. J. Lange,³³ D. M. Wright,³³ A. J. Bevan,³⁴ J. P. Coleman,³⁴ J. R. Fry,³⁴ E. Gabathuler,³⁴ R. Gamet,³⁴ M. Kay,³⁴ R. J. Parry,³⁴ D. J. Payne,³⁴ R. J. Sloane,³⁴ C. Touramanis,³⁴ J. J. Back,³⁵ P. F. Harrison,³⁵ H. W. Shorthouse,³⁵ P. Strother,³⁵ P. B. Vidal,³⁵ C. L. Brown,³⁶ G. Cowan,³⁶ R. L. Flack,³⁶ H. U. Flaecher,³⁶ S. George,³⁶ M. G. Green,³⁶ A. Kurup,³⁶ C. E. Marker,³⁶ T. R. McMahon,³⁶ S. Ricciardi,³⁶ F. Salvatore,³⁶ G. Vaitsas,³⁶ M. A. Winter,³⁶ D. Brown,³⁷ C. L. Davis,³⁷ J. Allison,³⁸ R. J. Barlow,³⁸ A. C. Forti,³⁸ P. A. Hart,³⁸ F. Jackson,³⁸ G. D. Lafferty,³⁸ A. J. Lyon,³⁸ J. H. Weatherall,³⁸ J. C. Williams,³⁸ A. Farbin,³⁹ A. Jawahery,³⁹ D. Kovalskyi,³⁹ C. K. Lae,³⁹ V. Lillard,³⁹ D. A. Roberts,³⁹ G. Blaylock,⁴⁰ C. Dallapiccola,⁴⁰ K. T. Flood,⁴⁰ S. S. Hertzbach,⁴⁰ R. Kofler,⁴⁰ V. B. Koptchev,⁴⁰ T. B. Moore,⁴⁰ S. Saremi,⁴⁰ H. Staengle,⁴⁰ S. Willocq,⁴⁰ R. Cowan,⁴¹ G. Sciolla,⁴¹ F. Taylor,⁴¹ R. K. Yamamoto,⁴¹ D. J. J. Mangeol,⁴² M. Milek,⁴² P. M. Patel,⁴² A. Lazzaro,⁴³ F. Palombo,⁴³ J. M. Bauer,⁴⁴ L. Cremaldi,⁴⁴ V. Eschenburg,⁴⁴ R. Godang,⁴⁴ R. Kroeger,⁴⁴ J. Reidy,⁴⁴ D. A. Sanders,⁴⁴ D. J. Summers,⁴⁴ H. W. Zhao,⁴⁴ C. Hast,⁴⁵ P. Taras,⁴⁵ H. Nicholson,⁴⁶ C. Cartaro,⁴⁷ N. Cavallo,^{47,†} G. De Nardo,⁴⁷ F. Fabozzi,^{47,†} C. Gatto,⁴⁷ L. Lista,⁴⁷ P. Paolucci,⁴⁷ D. Piccolo,⁴⁷ C. Sciacca,⁴⁷ M. A. Baak,⁴⁸ G. Raven,⁴⁸ J. M. LoSecco,⁴⁹ T. A. Gabriel,⁵⁰ B. Brau,⁵¹ T. Pulliam,⁵¹ Q. K. Wong,⁵¹ J. Brau,⁵² R. Frey,⁵² C. T. Potter,⁵² N. B. Sinev,⁵² D. Strom,⁵² E. Torrence,⁵² F. Colechia,⁵³ A. Dorigo,⁵³ F. Galeazzi,⁵³ M. Margoni,⁵³ M. Morandin,⁵³ M. Posocco,⁵³ M. Rotondo,⁵³ F. Simonetto,⁵³ R. Stroili,⁵³ G. Tiozzo,⁵³ C. Voci,⁵³ M. Benayoun,⁵⁴ H. Briand,⁵⁴ J. Chauveau,⁵⁴ P. David,⁵⁴ Ch. de la Vaissière,⁵⁴ L. Del Buono,⁵⁴ O. Hamon,⁵⁴ M. J. J. John,⁵⁴ Ph. Leruste,⁵⁴ J. Ocariz,⁵⁴ M. Pivk,⁵⁴ L. Roos,⁵⁴

J. Stark,⁵⁴ S. T'Jampens,⁵⁴ G. Therin,⁵⁴ P. F. Manfredi,⁵⁵ V. Re,⁵⁵ L. Gladney,⁵⁶ Q. H. Guo,⁵⁶ J. Panetta,⁵⁶ C. Angelini,⁵⁷ G. Batignani,⁵⁷ S. Bettarini,⁵⁷ M. Bondioli,⁵⁷ F. Bucci,⁵⁷ G. Calderini,⁵⁷ M. Carpinelli,⁵⁷ F. Forti,⁵⁷ M. A. Giorgi,⁵⁷ A. Lusiani,⁵⁷ G. Marchiori,⁵⁷ F. Martinez-Vidal,^{57,‡} M. Morganti,⁵⁷ N. Neri,⁵⁷ E. Paoloni,⁵⁷ M. Rama,⁵⁷ G. Rizzo,⁵⁷ F. Sandrelli,⁵⁷ J. Walsh,⁵⁷ M. Haire,⁵⁸ D. Judd,⁵⁸ K. Paick,⁵⁸ D. E. Wagoner,⁵⁸ N. Danielson,⁵⁹ P. Elmer,⁵⁹ C. Lu,⁵⁹ V. Miftakov,⁵⁹ J. Olsen,⁵⁹ A. J. S. Smith,⁵⁹ H. A. Tanaka,⁵⁹ E. W. Varnes,⁵⁹ F. Bellini,⁶⁰ G. Cavoto,^{59,60} R. Faccini,^{14,60} F. Ferrarotto,⁶⁰ F. Ferroni,⁶⁰ M. Gaspero,⁶⁰ M. A. Mazzoni,⁶⁰ S. Morganti,⁶⁰ M. Pierini,⁶⁰ G. Piredda,⁶⁰ F. Safai Tehrani,⁶⁰ C. Voena,⁶⁰ S. Christ,⁶¹ G. Wagner,⁶¹ R. Waldi,⁶¹ T. Abye,⁶² N. De Groot,⁶² B. Franek,⁶² N. I. Geddes,⁶² G. P. Gopal,⁶² E. O. Olaiya,⁶² S. M. Xella,⁶² R. Aleksan,⁶³ S. Emery,⁶³ A. Gaidot,⁶³ S. F. Ganzhur,⁶³ P.-F. Giraud,⁶³ G. Hamel de Monchenault,⁶³ W. Kozanecki,⁶³ M. Langer,⁶³ G. W. London,⁶³ B. Mayer,⁶³ G. Schott,⁶³ G. Vasseur,⁶³ Ch. Yeche,⁶³ M. Zito,⁶³ M. V. Purohit,⁶⁴ A. W. Weidemann,⁶⁴ F. X. Yumiceva,⁶⁴ D. Aston,⁶⁵ R. Bartoldus,⁶⁵ N. Berger,⁶⁵ A. M. Boyarski,⁶⁵ O. L. Buchmueller,⁶⁵ M. R. Convery,⁶⁵ D. P. Coupal,⁶⁵ D. Dong,⁶⁵ J. Dorfan,⁶⁵ D. Dujmic,⁶⁵ W. Dunwoodie,⁶⁵ R. C. Field,⁶⁵ T. Glanzman,⁶⁵ S. J. Gowdy,⁶⁵ E. Grauges-Pous,⁶⁵ T. Hadig,⁶⁵ V. Halyo,⁶⁵ T. Hryn'ova,⁶⁵ W. R. Innes,⁶⁵ C. P. Jessop,⁶⁵ M. H. Kelsey,⁶⁵ P. Kim,⁶⁵ M. L. Kocian,⁶⁵ U. Langenegger,⁶⁵ D. W. G. S. Leith,⁶⁵ S. Luitz,⁶⁵ V. Luth,⁶⁵ H. L. Lynch,⁶⁵ H. Marsiske,⁶⁵ S. Menke,⁶⁵ R. Messner,⁶⁵ D. R. Muller,⁶⁵ C. P. O'Grady,⁶⁵ V. E. Ozcan,⁶⁵ A. Perazzo,⁶⁵ M. Perl,⁶⁵ S. Petrak,⁶⁵ B. N. Ratcliff,⁶⁵ S. H. Robertson,⁶⁵ A. Roodman,⁶⁵ A. A. Salnikov,⁶⁵ R. H. Schindler,⁶⁵ J. Schwiening,⁶⁵ G. Simi,⁶⁵ A. Snyder,⁶⁵ A. Soha,⁶⁵ J. Stelzer,⁶⁵ D. Su,⁶⁵ M. K. Sullivan,⁶⁵ J. Va'vra,⁶⁵ S. R. Wagner,⁶⁵ M. Weaver,⁶⁵ A. J. R. Weinstein,⁶⁵ W. J. Wisniewski,⁶⁵ D. H. Wright,⁶⁵ C. C. Young,⁶⁵ P. R. Burchat,⁶⁶ A. J. Edwards,⁶⁶ T. I. Meyer,⁶⁶ C. Roat,⁶⁶ S. Ahmed,⁶⁷ M. S. Alam,⁶⁷ J. A. Ernst,⁶⁷ M. Saleem,⁶⁷ F. R. Wappler,⁶⁷ W. Bugg,⁶⁸ M. Krishnamurthy,⁶⁸ S. M. Spanier,⁶⁸ R. Eckmann,⁶⁹ H. Kim,⁶⁹ J. L. Ritchie,⁶⁹ R. F. Schwitters,⁶⁹ J. M. Izen,⁷⁰ I. Kitayama,⁷⁰ X. C. Lou,⁷⁰ S. Ye,⁷⁰ F. Bianchi,⁷¹ M. Bona,⁷¹ F. Gallo,⁷¹ D. Gamba,⁷¹ C. Borean,⁷² L. Bosisio,⁷² G. Della Ricca,⁷² S. Dittongo,⁷² S. Grancagnolo,⁷² L. Lanceri,⁷² P. Poropat,^{72,§} L. Vitale,⁷² G. Vuagnin,⁷² R. S. Panvini,⁷³ Sw. Banerjee,⁷⁴ C. M. Brown,⁷⁴ D. Fortin,⁷⁴ P. D. Jackson,⁷⁴ R. Kowalewski,⁷⁴ J. M. Roney,⁷⁴ H. R. Band,⁷⁵ S. Dasu,⁷⁵ M. Datta,⁷⁵ A. M. Eichenbaum,⁷⁵ H. Hu,⁷⁵ J. R. Johnson,⁷⁵ P. E. Kutter,⁷⁵ H. Li,⁷⁵ R. Liu,⁷⁵ F. Di Lodovico,⁷⁵ A. Mihalyi,⁷⁵ A. K. Mohapatra,⁷⁵ Y. Pan,⁷⁵ R. Prepost,⁷⁵ S. J. Sekula,⁷⁵ J. H. von Wimmersperg-Toeller,⁷⁵ J. Wu,⁷⁵ S. L. Wu,⁷⁵ Z. Yu,⁷⁵ and H. Neal⁷⁶

(BABAR Collaboration)

¹Laboratoire de Physique des Particules, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux, France

²Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Bari and INFN, I-70126 Bari, Italy

³Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100039, China

⁴Institute of Physics, University of Bergen, N-5007 Bergen, Norway

⁵Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

⁶University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom

⁷Institut für Experimentalphysik I, Ruhr Universität Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany

⁸University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TL, United Kingdom

⁹University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 1Z1

¹⁰Brunel University, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB8 3PH, United Kingdom

¹¹Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

¹²University of California-Irvine, Irvine, California 92697, USA

¹³University of California-Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90024, USA

¹⁴University of California-San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA

¹⁵University of California-Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA

¹⁶Institute for Particle Physics, University of California-Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, California 95064, USA

¹⁷California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA

¹⁸University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221, USA

¹⁹University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309, USA

²⁰Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523, USA

²¹Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, D-01062 Dresden, Germany

²²LLR, Ecole Polytechnique, F-91128 Palaiseau, France

²³University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, United Kingdom

²⁴Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Ferrara and INFN, I-44100 Ferrara, Italy

²⁵Florida A&M University, Tallahassee, Florida 32307, USA

²⁶Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati dell'INFN, I-00044 Frascati, Italy

²⁷Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova and INFN, I-16146 Genova, Italy

²⁸Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

- ²⁹Imperial College London, London, SW7 2BW, United Kingdom
³⁰University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, USA
³¹Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011-3160, USA
³²Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, F-91898 Orsay, France
³³Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94550, USA
³⁴University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3BX, United Kingdom
³⁵Queen Mary, University of London, E1 4NS, United Kingdom
³⁶Royal Holloway and Bedford New College, University of London, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, United Kingdom
³⁷University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky 40292, USA
³⁸University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom
³⁹University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA
⁴⁰University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003, USA
⁴¹Laboratory for Nuclear Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA
⁴²McGill University, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3A 2T8
⁴³Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano and INFN, I-20133 Milano, Italy
⁴⁴University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, USA
⁴⁵Laboratoire René J. A. Lévesque, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3C 3J7
⁴⁶Mount Holyoke College, South Hadley, Massachusetts 01075, USA
⁴⁷Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli Federico II and INFN, I-80126, Napoli, Italy
⁴⁸National Institute for Nuclear Physics and High Energy Physics (NIKHEF), NL-1009 DB Amsterdam, The Netherlands
⁴⁹University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, USA
⁵⁰Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA
⁵¹The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA
⁵²University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403, USA
⁵³Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Padova and INFN, I-35131 Padova, Italy
⁵⁴Lab de Physique Nucléaire H. E., Universités Paris VI et VII, F-75252 Paris, France
⁵⁵Dipartimento di Elettronica, Università di Pavia and INFN, I-27100 Pavia, Italy
⁵⁶University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA
⁵⁷Dipartimento di Fisica, Scuola Normale Superiore, Università di Pisa and INFN, I-56127 Pisa, Italy
⁵⁸Prairie View A&M University, Prairie View, Texas 77446, USA
⁵⁹Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA
⁶⁰Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma La Sapienza and INFN, I-00185 Roma, Italy
⁶¹Universität Rostock, D-18051 Rostock, Germany
⁶²Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0QX, United Kingdom
⁶³DSM/Dapnia, CEA/Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
⁶⁴University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208, USA
⁶⁵Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, California 94309, USA
⁶⁶Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305-4060, USA
⁶⁷State University of New York, Albany, New York 12222, USA
⁶⁸University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA
⁶⁹University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, USA
⁷⁰University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas 75083, USA
⁷¹Dipartimento di Fisica Sperimentale, Università di Torino and INFN, I-10125 Torino, Italy
⁷²Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trieste and INFN, I-34127 Trieste, Italy
⁷³Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37235, USA
⁷⁴University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada V8W 3P6
⁷⁵University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA
⁷⁶Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06511, USA

(Received 11 July 2003; published 23 October 2003)

With a sample of approximately $89 \times 10^6 B\bar{B}$ pairs collected with the BABAR detector, we perform a search for B meson decays into pairs of charmless vector mesons (ϕ , ρ , and K^*). We measure the branching fractions, determine the degree of longitudinal polarization, and search for CP violation asymmetries in the processes $B^+ \rightarrow \phi K^{*+}$, $B^0 \rightarrow \phi K^{*0}$, $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 K^{*+}$, and $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^+$. We also set an upper limit on the branching fraction for the decay $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^0$.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.171802

PACS numbers: 13.25.Hw, 11.30.Er, 12.15.Hh

Charmless B meson decays provide an opportunity to measure the weak-interaction phases arising from the elements of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa quark-mixing matrix [1] and to search for phenomena outside

the standard model, including charged Higgs bosons and supersymmetric particles [2].

The decays to two vector particles are of special interest because their angular distributions reflect both

strong- and weak-interaction dynamics [3]. The asymmetries constructed from the number of B decays with each flavor and with each sign of a triple product are sensitive to CP violation or to final-state interactions (FSI) [4]. The triple product is defined as $(\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{p}_1 \times \mathbf{p}_2$, where \mathbf{q}_1 and \mathbf{q}_2 are the momenta of the two vector particles in the B frame and \mathbf{p}_1 and \mathbf{p}_2 represent their polarization vectors.

The first evidence for the decays of B mesons to pairs of charmless vector mesons was provided by the CLEO [5] and BABAR [6] experiments with the observation of $B \rightarrow \phi K^*$ decays. The CLEO experiment also set upper limits on the B decay rates for several other vector-vector final states [7]. The BELLE experiment recently announced observation of $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^+$ [8].

In this analysis, we use the data collected with the BABAR detector [9] at the PEP-II asymmetric-energy e^+e^- collider [10]. These data represent an integrated luminosity of 81.9 fb^{-1} , corresponding to 88.9×10^6 $B\bar{B}$ pairs, at the $Y(4S)$ resonance (on resonance) and 9.6 fb^{-1} approximately 40 MeV below this energy (off resonance). The $Y(4S)$ resonance occurs at the e^+e^- center-of-mass (c.m.) energy, \sqrt{s} , of 10.58 GeV.

Charged-particle momenta are measured in a tracking system that is a combination of a silicon vertex tracker (SVT) consisting of five double-sided detectors and a 40-layer central drift chamber (DCH), both operating in a 1.5 T solenoidal magnetic field. Charged-particle identification is provided by the energy loss (dE/dx) in the tracking devices (SVT and DCH) and by an internally reflecting ring-imaging Cherenkov detector covering the central region. Photons are detected by a CsI(Tl) electromagnetic calorimeter.

We search for charmless vector-vector B meson decays involving ϕ , ρ , and $K^*(892)$ resonances. The event selection and analysis technique have been discussed earlier [6]. We fully reconstruct the charged and neutral decay products including the intermediate states $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$, $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ and $K^0\pi^0$, $K^{*+} \rightarrow K^+\pi^0$ and $K^0\pi^+$, $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\rho^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$, with $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $K^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, where inclusion of the charge conjugate states is implied. Candidate charged tracks are required to originate from the interaction point. Looser criteria are applied to tracks forming K_S^0 candidates, which are required to satisfy $|m_{\pi^+\pi^-} - m_{K^0}| < 12 \text{ MeV}$ with the cosine of the angle between their reconstructed flight and momentum directions greater than 0.995, and the measured proper decay time greater than 5 times its uncertainty. Charged-particle identification provides separation of kaon tracks from pion and proton tracks.

We reconstruct π^0 mesons from pairs of photons, each with a minimum energy of 30 MeV. The invariant mass of the π^0 candidates is required to be within 15 MeV of the nominal mass. The helicity angle of a ϕ , K^* , or ρ is defined as the angle between the momentum (\mathbf{p}_1 or \mathbf{p}_2) of one of its two daughters (K^+ , K , or π^+ , respectively) in

the resonance rest frame and the momentum (\mathbf{q}_1 or \mathbf{q}_2) of the resonance in the B frame. To suppress combinatorial background with low-energy π^0 candidates we restrict the $K^* \rightarrow K\pi^0$ and $\rho^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$ helicity-angle θ range to $\cos\theta < +0.5$.

We identify B meson candidates kinematically using two nearly independent variables [9]: the beam-energy-substituted mass $m_{\text{ES}} = [(s/2 + \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{p}_B)^2/E_i^2 - \mathbf{p}_B^2]^{1/2}$ and the energy difference $\Delta E = (E_i E_B - \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{p}_B - s/2)/\sqrt{s}$, where (E_i, \mathbf{p}_i) is the initial state four-momentum obtained from the beam momenta, and (E_B, \mathbf{p}_B) is the four-momentum of the reconstructed B candidate. Our initial selection requires $m_{\text{ES}} > 5.2 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\Delta E| < 0.2 \text{ GeV}$.

To reject the dominant quark-antiquark continuum background, we require $|\cos\theta_T| < 0.8$, where θ_T is the angle between the B -candidate thrust axis and that of the rest of the tracks and neutral clusters in the event, calculated in the c.m. frame. We also construct a Fisher discriminant that combines 11 event-shape variables defined in the c.m. frame [6,11].

Monte Carlo (MC) simulation [12] demonstrates that contamination from other B decays is negligible for the modes with a narrow ϕ resonance and is relatively small for other charmless B decay modes. We achieve further suppression of B -decay background by removing signal candidates that have decay products consistent with $D \rightarrow K\pi$, $K\pi\pi$ decays. The remaining small background coming from B decays (about 6% of the total background) is taken into account in the fit described below. In this analysis we do not explicitly provide a fit component for other partial waves with the same final-state particles selected within vector resonance mass windows.

We use an unbinned, extended maximum-likelihood (ML) fit [6] to extract signal yields, asymmetries, and angular polarizations simultaneously. We define the likelihood \mathcal{L}_i for each event candidate i as the sum of $n_{jk} \mathcal{P}_j(\vec{x}_i; \vec{\alpha})$ over three event categories j , where $\mathcal{P}_j(\vec{x}_i; \vec{\alpha})$ are the probability density functions (PDF's) for measured variables \vec{x}_i , n_{jk} are the yields to be extracted from the fit, and k is the measured tag (1 or 2, as defined for asymmetry measurements later). There are three categories: signal ($j = 1$), continuum $q\bar{q}$ ($j = 2$), and $B\bar{B}$ combinatorial background ($j = 3$). The fixed numbers $\vec{\alpha}$ parametrize the expected distributions of measured variables in each category. They are extracted from MC simulation, on-resonance ΔE - m_{ES} sidebands, and off-resonance data.

The fit input variables \vec{x}_i are ΔE , m_{ES} , Fisher discriminant, invariant masses of the candidate K^* and ϕ (or ρ) resonances, and the K^* and ϕ (or ρ) helicity angles θ_1 and θ_2 . The correlations among the fit input variables in the data and signal MC are found to be small (typically less than 5%), except for angular correlations in the signal as discussed below. The PDF $\mathcal{P}_j(\vec{x}_i; \vec{\alpha})$ for a given candidate i is the product of the PDF's for each of the variables and a

TABLE I. Summary of results for the measured B -decay modes; ε denotes the reconstruction efficiency, and ε_{tot} is the total efficiency including daughter branching fractions, n_{sig} is the fitted number of signal events, \mathcal{B} is the branching fraction, f_L is the longitudinal polarization, and \mathcal{A}_{CP} is the signal charge asymmetry. The decay channels of K^* are shown when more than one final state is measured for the same B decay mode. All results include systematic errors, which are quoted following the statistical errors. The errors are combined for the reconstruction efficiency. The upper limit on the $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^0$ branching fraction is given at 90% confidence level including systematic uncertainties and conservatively assuming the efficiency for $f_L = 1$.

Mode	ε (%)	ε_{tot} (%)	n_{sig}	$\mathcal{B} (\times 10^{-6})$	f_L	\mathcal{A}_{CP}
ϕK^{*+}		5.0		$12.7^{+2.2}_{-2.0} \pm 1.1$	$0.46 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.03$	$+0.16 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.03$
$\rightarrow K^0 \pi^+$	23.9 ± 2.1	2.7	$33.3^{+7.2}_{-6.4} \pm 1.2$	$13.9^{+3.0}_{-2.7} \pm 1.2$	$0.50^{+0.14}_{-0.15} \pm 0.03$	$-0.02 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.03$
$\rightarrow K^+ \pi^0$	14.3 ± 1.4	2.3	$22.3^{+7.5}_{-6.5} \pm 3.2$	$10.7^{+3.6}_{-3.1} \pm 1.8$	$0.40^{+0.20}_{-0.19} \pm 0.06$	$+0.63^{+0.25}_{-0.31} \pm 0.05$
ϕK^{*0}		10.3		$11.2 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.8$	$0.65 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02$	$+0.04 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.02$
$\rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$	29.7 ± 2.6	9.7	$101^{+12}_{-11} \pm 3$	$11.7 \pm 1.4 \pm 0.8$	$0.64 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02$	$+0.04 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.02$
$\rightarrow K^0 \pi^0$	10.5 ± 1.0	0.6	$2.0^{+3.4}_{-1.3} \pm 0.6$	$3.8^{+6.6}_{-2.5} \pm 1.1$	$1.00^{+0.00}_{-0.66} \pm 0.25$	
$\rho^0 K^{*+}$		4.8		$10.6^{+3.0}_{-2.6} \pm 2.4$	$0.96^{+0.04}_{-0.15} \pm 0.04$	$+0.20^{+0.32}_{-0.29} \pm 0.04$
$\rightarrow K^0 \pi^+$	12.3 ± 2.0	2.8	$35.7^{+11.8}_{-11.0} \pm 3.6$	$14.3^{+4.7}_{-4.4} \pm 2.9$	$0.90^{+0.10}_{-0.16} \pm 0.04$	$+0.17^{+0.34}_{-0.31} \pm 0.04$
$\rightarrow K^+ \pi^0$	6.0 ± 1.4	2.0	$8.5^{+8.2}_{-6.6} \pm 5.2$	$4.8^{+4.6}_{-3.7} \pm 3.2$	$1.00^{+0.00}_{-0.20} \pm 0.03$	$+0.28^{+0.72}_{-0.82} \pm 0.19$
$\rho^0 \rho^+$	4.7 ± 0.9	4.6	$93^{+24}_{-22} \pm 10$	$22.5^{+5.7}_{-5.4} \pm 5.8$	$0.97^{+0.03}_{-0.07} \pm 0.04$	$-0.19 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.03$
$\rho^0 \rho^0$	17.6 ± 1.5	17.6	$9.7^{+11.9}_{-9.4} \pm 2.0$	< 2.1		

joint PDF for the helicity angles, which accounts for the angular correlations in the signal and for detector acceptance effects. We integrate over the angle between the decay planes of the two vector-particle decays, leaving a PDF that depends only on the two helicity angles and the unknown longitudinal polarization fraction $f_L \equiv \Gamma_L/\Gamma$. The differential decay width [3] $d^2\Gamma/d\cos\theta_1 d\cos\theta_2$ is

$$\frac{9\Gamma}{4} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} (1 - f_L) \sin^2\theta_1 \sin^2\theta_2 + f_L \cos^2\theta_1 \cos^2\theta_2 \right\}. \quad (1)$$

To describe the signal distributions, we use Gaussian functions for the parametrization of the PDF's for ΔE and m_{ES} , and a relativistic P -wave Breit-Wigner distribution, convoluted with a Gaussian resolution function, for the resonance masses. For the background, we use low-degree polynomials or, in the case of m_{ES} , an empirical phase-space function [13]. The background parametrizations for resonance masses also include a resonant component to account for resonance production in the continuum. The background helicity-angle distribution is again separated into contributions from combinatorial background and from real vector mesons, both described by polynomials. The PDF for the Fisher discriminant is represented by a Gaussian distribution with different widths above and below the mean.

We denote Q_{tp} as the sign of the triple product and Q_{ch} as the B -flavor sign ($Q_{\text{ch}} = +1$ for \bar{B} and $Q_{\text{ch}} = -1$ for B). The charged B is intrinsically flavor tagged. The flavor of a neutral B is determined from the charge of the kaon in the final states with the $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ but is undetermined for the decay mode $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^0 \pi^0$ and for the decay $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^0$.

We rewrite the event yields n_{jk} ($k = 1, 2$) in each category j in terms of the asymmetry \mathcal{A}_j and the total event yield n_j : $n_{j1} = n_j \times (1 + \mathcal{A}_j)/2$ and $n_{j2} = n_j \times (1 - \mathcal{A}_j)/2$. We define three signal asymmetries using the tags k : \mathcal{A}_{CP} ($k = 1$ for $Q_{\text{ch}} > 0$, $k = 2$ for $Q_{\text{ch}} < 0$), \mathcal{A}_{tp} ($k = 1$ for $Q_{\text{ch}} \times Q_{\text{tp}} > 0$, $k = 2$ for $Q_{\text{ch}} \times Q_{\text{tp}} < 0$), and \mathcal{A}_{sp} ($k = 1$ for $Q_{\text{tp}} > 0$, $k = 2$ for $Q_{\text{tp}} < 0$). A nonzero value for \mathcal{A}_{CP} would provide evidence for direct- CP violation, nonzero \mathcal{A}_{tp} indicates CP violation even in the absence of FSI, and \mathcal{A}_{sp} is sensitive to strong-interaction phases [4].

We allow for multiple candidates in a given event by assigning to each a weight of $1/N_i$, where N_i is the number of candidates in the same event. The extended




FIG. 1. Projections of the multidimensional fit onto the variable m_{ES} for (a) $B^+ \rightarrow \phi K^{*+}$, (b) $B^0 \rightarrow \phi K^{*0}$, (c) $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 K^{*+}$, and (d) $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^+$ candidates after a requirement on the signal-to-background probability ratio $\mathcal{P}_{\text{sig}}/\mathcal{P}_{\text{bkg}}$ with the PDF for m_{ES} excluded. The points with error bars show the data; the solid (dashed) line shows the signal-plus-background (background only) PDF projection.




FIG. 2. Invariant mass projections (a) ϕ , (b) K^* for $B \rightarrow \phi K^*$; (c) ρ^0 , (d) K^{*+} for $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 K^{*+}$; (e) ρ^0 , (f) ρ^+ for $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^+$ candidates after a requirement on the signal-to-background probability ratio $\mathcal{P}_{\text{sig}}/\mathcal{P}_{\text{bkg}}$ with the PDF for mass excluded. For point and line definitions, see Fig. 1.

likelihood for a sample of N_{cand} candidates is

$$\mathcal{L} = \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^3 n_j\right) \prod_{i=1}^{N_{\text{cand}}} \exp\left(\frac{\ln \mathcal{L}_i}{N_i}\right). \quad (2)$$

The event yields n_j , asymmetries \mathcal{A}_j , and polarization f_L are obtained by minimizing the quantity $\chi^2 \equiv -2 \ln \mathcal{L}$. The dependence of χ^2 on a fit parameter n_j , \mathcal{A}_j , or f_L is obtained with the other fit parameters floating, their values are constrained to the physical range $0 \leq f_L \leq 1$ and $0 \leq n_j$. We quote statistical errors corresponding to unit change in χ^2 . When more than one K^* decay channel is measured for the same B decay, the channels are combined by adding their χ^2 distributions for n_j , \mathcal{A}_j , or f_L . The statistical significance of a signal is defined as the square root of the change in χ^2 when constraining the number of signal events to zero in the likelihood fit. If no significant event yield is observed, we quote an upper limit for the branching fraction obtained by integrating the normalized likelihood distribution. Performance of the ML fit is tested with generated MC and control samples.

The results of our maximum-likelihood fits are summarized in Table I. For the branching fractions, we assume equal production rates of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$. We find significant signals in $\rho^0 K^{*+}$ (4.8σ), $\rho^0 \rho^+$ (6.1σ), and in both ϕK^* (above 10σ each) decay modes. We measure the charge asymmetries and longitudinal polarizations in the above modes. The projections of the fit results are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. The asymmetries involving triple products are obtained from separate fits. The results are shown in Table II.

Systematic uncertainties in the ML fit originate from assumptions about the PDF's. We vary the PDF parameters within their respective uncertainties, and derive the

TABLE II. Summary of asymmetry results with triple products discussed in the text.

Mode	\mathcal{A}_{lp}	\mathcal{A}_{sp}
ϕK^{*+}	$-0.02 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.03$	$-0.04 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.03$
ϕK^{*0}	$+0.06 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.02$	$+0.07 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.02$
$\rho^0 K^{*+}$	$+0.03 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.03$	$+0.28^{+0.38}_{-0.33} \pm 0.04$
$\rho^0 \rho^+$	$+0.09 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.04$	$-0.23 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.04$

associated systematic errors. The signals remain statistically significant under these variations. Additional systematic errors in the number of signal events originate from uncertainty in the background component for $\rho^0 K^*$ that peaks in m_{ES} , where we take the uncertainties to be the estimated values.

The systematic errors in the efficiencies are for track finding (0.8% per track), particle identification (2% per track), and K_S^0 and π^0 reconstruction (5% each). Other minor systematic effects are from event-selection criteria, daughter branching fractions [14], MC statistics, and number of B mesons. We account for the fake combinations in signal events passing the selection criteria with a systematic uncertainty of 3%–12%, depending on the mode. The reconstruction efficiency depends on the decay polarization. We calculate the efficiencies using the polarization measured in each decay mode [15] (combined for the two ϕK^* modes) and assign a systematic error corresponding to the total polarization measurement error. The $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^0$ branching fraction limit incorporates uncertainties in the PDF's and in the reconstruction efficiency, while we conservatively assume $f_L = 1$ for the efficiency (which is 29% for $f_L = 0$ and 18% for $f_L = 1$).

In the polarization and asymmetry measurements, we again include systematic errors from PDF variations that account for uncertainties in the detector acceptance and background parametrizations. The biases from the finite resolution in helicity-angle measurement and dilution due to the presence of the fake combinations are studied with MC simulation and are accounted for with a systematic error of 0.02 for polarization. We find the uncertainty on the charge asymmetry due to the track reconstruction efficiency to be less than 0.02 [6]. The asymmetry measurements are corrected by the small dilution factors.

In summary, we have observed the decays $B^+ \rightarrow \phi K^{*+}$, $B^0 \rightarrow \phi K^{*0}$, $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 K^{*+}$, and $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^+$, measured their branching fractions and longitudinal polarizations, and looked for asymmetries sensitive to CP violation and FSI. These results supersede the earlier *BABAR* measurements of the $B \rightarrow \phi K^*$ [6]. Our asymmetry results rule out a significant part of the physical region, providing constraints on models with hypothetical particles, but are not yet sufficiently precise to allow detailed comparison with standard model predictions. Our measurement of longitudinal polarization is of interest for the study of decay dynamics.

We are grateful for the excellent luminosity and machine conditions provided by our PEP-II colleagues, and for the substantial dedicated effort from the computing organizations that support *BABAR*. The collaborating institutions wish to thank SLAC for its support and kind hospitality. This work is supported by DOE and NSF (USA), NSERC (Canada), IHEP (China), CEA and CNRS-IN2P3 (France), BMBF and DFG (Germany), INFN (Italy), FOM (The Netherlands), NFR (Norway), MIST (Russia), and PPARC (United Kingdom). Individuals have received support from the A. P. Sloan Foundation, Research Corporation, and Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

*Also with Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy.

†Also with Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy.

‡Also with IFIC, Instituto de Física Corpuscular, CSIC-Universidad de Valencia, Valencia, Spain.

§Deceased.

- [1] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **49**, 652 (1973).
- [2] Y. Grossman and M. P. Worah, *Phys. Lett. B* **395**, 241 (1997); D. London and A. Soni, *Phys. Lett.* **407B**, 61 (1997).
- [3] G. Kramer and W. F. Palmer, *Phys. Rev. D* **45**, 193 (1992); H.-Y. Cheng and K.-C. Yang, *Phys. Lett.* **511B**, 40 (2001); C.-H. Chen, Y.-Y. Keum, and H.-n. Li, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 054013 (2002).
- [4] G. Valencia, *Phys. Rev. D* **39**, 3339 (1989); W. Bensalem and D. London, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 116003 (2001).
- [5] CLEO Collaboration, R. A. Briere *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 3718 (2001).
- [6] *BABAR* Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 151801 (2001); *BABAR* Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 051101 (2002).
- [7] CLEO Collaboration, R. Godang *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 021802 (2002).
- [8] BELLE Collaboration, J. Zhang *et al.*, hep-ex/0306007.
- [9] *BABAR* Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **479**, 1 (2002).
- [10] PEP-II Conceptual Design Report, SLAC Report No. SLAC-R-418, 1993.
- [11] CLEO Collaboration, D.M. Asner *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **53**, 1039 (1996).
- [12] The *BABAR* detector Monte Carlo simulation is based on GEANT: R. Brun *et al.*, CERN Report No. DD/EE/84-1.
- [13] ARGUS Collaboration, H. Albrecht *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **241**, 278 (1990).
- [14] Particle Data Group, K. Hagiwara *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 010001 (2002).
- [15] Preliminary *BABAR* results prior to polarization measurements assumed $f_L = 0.5$, leading to a smaller branching fraction. The systematic error on the branching ratio due to the unknown polarization was underestimated in the preliminary result.