Curtail North Korea's illegal wildlife trade

Although North Korea aims for economic self-sufficiency, it does not exclude the use of international, often illicit, trade to generate revenue (1, 2), including the trade of legally protected native wildlife (3). Furthermore, in response to the country's economic and food crises (4), a black market in wildlife products has emerged that encompasses almost all native mammal species weighing more than 500 g, including those protected under North Korean law and Appendix I of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) (3, 5). Illegal trade imperils North Korean biodiversity and threatens the recovery of metapopulations in these species across the Korean Peninsula (6).

Before 2020, animal products from highly protected species such as tiger (*Panthera tigris*), Asiatic black bear (*Ursus thibetanus*), and Eurasian otter (*Lutra lutra*) flowed from North Korea into China, breaching China's CITES commitments and, in the case of wild meat, UN Security Council Resolution 2397 (3). In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, North Korea closed its borders, which restricted cross-border smuggling (4, 7). Since Russia's full-scale invasion of Ukraine in 2022, North Korea has moved to reengage with its historic allies, Russia and China (8).

North Korea's neighbors must act to minimize the trade of protected species. As North Korea's largest trading partner, China can mitigate the ecological, health, and reputational risks associated with illicit North Korean trade to Chinese buyers by curbing domestic illegal wildlife demand (2, 10). Other countries should remain alert to the risk that even official, state-sponsored North Korean wildlife trade may include protected species (11).

Joshua Elves-Powell^{1,2,3}, Jan C. Axmacher², John D.C. Linnell^{4,5}, Sarah M. Durant¹

¹Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London, London, NW1 4RY, UK. ²Department of Geography, University College London, London, WC1E 6BT, UK. ³Tiger and Leopard Conservation Fund in Korea, Seoul, Republic of Korea. ⁴Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, Lillehammer, 2624, Norway. ⁵Department of Forestry and Wildlife Management, University of Inland Norway, Koppang, 2480, Norway. Email: joshua.powell@ucl.ac.uk

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. J. V. Hastings, Rev. Int. Polit. Econ. 22, 162 (2015).
- 2. J. Campbell, Int. Aff. 95, 1470 (2019).
- 3. J. Elves-Powell, J. C. Axmacher, J. D. C. Linnell, S. M. Durant, *Biol. Conserv.* 111102 (2025).
- 4. M. Noland, Asia Glob. Econ. 2, 100049 (2022).
- 5. J. Elves-Powell, J. H. Kim, J. C. Axmacher, S. M. Durant, IUCN Otter Spec. Group Bull. 41, 210 (2024).
- 6. A. Borzée, M.-Y. Struecker, Y. Yi, D. Kim, H. Kim, Science 363, 1161 (2019).
- 7. S. Han, M. Richey, *Asian Surv.* **63**, 235 (2023).
- 8. Y.-C. Ha, B.-S. Shin, Asian Surv. 62, 893 (2022).
- 9. Q. Huang, F. Wang, H. Yang, M. Valitutto, M. Songer, *Biol. Conserv.* **254**, 108937 (2021).
- 10. J. B. Rizzolo, A. L. Zhu, R. Chen, *Oryx* **57**, 747 (2023).
- 11. L. S. Wyler, D. K. Nanto, "North Korean Crime-for-Profit Activities" (RL33885, Congressional Research Service, 2008).

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have no competing interests.

10.1126/science.ady8692