

Conversionary Protestants do *not* cause democracy

Elena Nikolova *UCL, IOS-Regensburg, CELSI and GLO*

Jakub Polansky *University of Sussex*

The authors thank the UCL Centre for Comparative Studies of Emerging Economies (and in particular Elodie Douarin) for financial support, Robert D. Woodberry for providing data and replication code, and Carles Boix, Miriam Manchin, Ken Scheve, David Stasavage, the Editor (René Lindstädt) and three referees for insightful comments. We also thank Xavier Marquez from Victoria University Wellington for aggregating and hosting a lot of democracy data.

Word count: 4,539

Keywords: religion, democracy, economic history, political institutions

JEL codes: H11, N40, Z12

Abstract

In “The Missionary Roots of Liberal Democracy”, Robert D. Woodberry (2012) claims that the emergence of stable democracies around the world was influenced by conversionary Protestantism. While Woodberry’s historical analysis is exhaustive, the accompanying empirical evidence suffers from severe inconsistencies. We replicate Woodberry’s analysis using 26 alternative democracy measures and extend the time period over which the democracy measures are averaged. These two simple modifications lead to the breakdown of Woodberry’s results. We find no significant relationship between Protestant missions and the development of democracy, which raises concerns about the robustness and broader applicability of Woodberry’s findings. We discuss some alternative explanations for Woodberry’s results which we hope can inform future research on this topic.

Introduction

An influential literature has linked the emergence and consolidation of democratic regimes to a wide variety of factors such as income per capita, inequality, financial openness, revolutions, and migration. Woodberry (2012) is an important contribution to this research agenda, as it studies how religious actors and motivations affect democracy, a topic that has received little attention in the literature. In his broad historical analysis, Woodberry focuses on “regions with histories and class structures radically different from those of Europe” (245) and argues that conversionary Protestants (CPs) fostered democracy through the spread of religious liberty, mass education and communication, printing, colonial reforms, and the strengthening of civil institutions. These processes created conditions that made stable representative democracies more likely, regardless of the number of converts to Protestantism.

To claim that the association between conversionary CPs and democracy is causal, Woodberry conducts a historical and theoretical examination. He also makes use of regression analysis (both OLS and IV approaches which employ over 50 controls) which demonstrates that the historical prevalence of Protestant missionaries and levels of democracy (averaged from 1950 to 1994) are positively *correlated* in 142 non-European societies.

The impact of Woodberry’s article is reflected in nearly 400 citations, as well as six awards since its publication in the *American Political Science Review*. Woodberry’s substantive contribution is important because it turns modernization theory on its head by challenging its key tenet – that democracy developed as a result of secularization and processes associated with economic development, such as education and urbanization. Scholars are still debating whether modernization theory is right or wrong, but what is unique about Woodberry’s

argument is that it posits that these developments were in fact spurred by CPs. In order to understand the emergence and consolidation of democratic states, the literature must therefore not swipe religion under the carpet.

Woodberry's historical discussion on how Protestantism affected religious liberty, mass education and communication, printing, colonial reforms, and the strengthening of civil institutions is well founded in sociological and theological theory.¹ However, this note shows that Woodberry's empirical analysis linking CPs and democracy suffers from three severe shortcomings: (1) operationalization of the democracy variable; (2) time period under investigation; and (3) inability to deal with omitted variable bias and establish causality in the IV estimations due to the implausibility of the exclusion restrictions. These problems give rise to concerns about the validity and replicability of Woodberry's results. While we agree with Woodberry that the democracy literature should not ignore the role of religion, our results are crucial for further work on this topic as they raise questions about the exact causal chain – if any – linking religion and democracy. One piece of 'food for thought' that we discuss at the end of the note is whether Woodberry's theoretical mechanisms linking Protestantism to

¹ Although Woodberry does not test these relationships quantitatively, there is a large literature that uses sub-national data and better identification and generally supports the claims that he reaches in the historical analysis. See Bai and Kung (2015), Becker and Woessman (2009), Becker et al. (2016), Cantoni et al. (2018), Chen et al. (2018), Mantovanelli (2014), Nunn (2014), Lankina and Getachew (2012), and Tusalem (2009). Note that the latter two papers also argue that Protestantism affected democracy (in India; and in around 60 transitional states that attempted to democratize or democratized since the third wave, respectively).

democracy (religious liberty, mass education and communication, printing, colonial reforms, and the strengthening of civil institutions) may be applicable to (Western) Christianity more broadly. We believe that further research, possibly using longer and more granular religious data, is necessary to answer this question.

This note is structured as follows. In the first section, a detailed critique of the empirical analysis is presented, while the second section extends the scope of Woodberry's research to include alternative measures of democracy and longer data. The last section offers concluding remarks.

Critique of the Empirical Analysis

Measuring democracy

The first point of critique is Woodberry's (2012) choice of the Bollen-Paxton (BP) data as a measure of democracy. The BP democracy score is constructed using four different measures of democratic rule (political rights, competitiveness of nomination process, elected chief executive, and effectiveness of elected legislature body) and four measures of political liberties (freedom of broadcast media, freedom of print media, civil liberties, and freedom of group opposition). The equally weighted mean of the indices of political rights and legislative effectiveness was then averaged with the index of political opposition. In addition, the score accounts for restrictions on the franchise by capping the political rights variable (and its average with legislative effectiveness) at the franchise percent in a given country (Bollen 1998; Paxton et al. 2003). The therefrom resulting democracy indicator assumes values

between 0 and 100.² As such, Woodberry uses Bollen's (2009) definition of a liberal democracy "as the degree to which political liberties and democratic rule exist in a country" (369).

While conventional democracy indices may lead to some rater bias, there is no apparent reason to favor the BP data over other, more comprehensive dichotomous or continuous indicators of democracy that also cover recent years. In particular, Woodberry's analysis limits the timeframe over which the dependent variable is averaged to only 44 years between 1950-1994.

Therefore, this note extends Woodberry's data by first using the Boix-Miller-Rosato (BMR) and Polity IV (PIV) indices.³ The broader historic scope of the new variables is relevant as

² Bollen (2001, 2009) provide information on how the BP data was constructed for 1950-1990 and Paxton (2002) extends that dataset until 1991 only. For 1992-1994, Woodberry does not provide information on the data sources nor on the methodology of the democracy score. There are no other publications known to the authors which employ the Bollen democracy score beyond the year 1990. We attempted (unsuccessfully) to reconstruct Woodberry's BP variable from Bollen (2001; 2009) and Paxton (2002). Bollen's (2001) "Political Democracy Index" provides data for 1950-1980 in ten-year intervals, Bollen's (2001; 2009) "Liberal Democracy Series" covers solely the period between 1972-1988, and Paxton (2002) has information for 1991 only. Several countries in Woodberry's dataset are neither included in Bollen (2001; 2009) nor in Paxton (2002).

³ The following independent variables present in Woodberry's analysis were removed from the specifications (as they are not relevant for the non-BP democracy data sets): *Libornot* (i.e.

Woodberry does not present any theoretical prediction regarding the duration of the effect of Protestantism on democracy. Therefore, Woodberry's choice to limit the analysis to the 1950-1994 period is rather arbitrary given that more recent data is available.

The BMR index is dichotomous⁴ and defines a country as democratic if it satisfies a minimum set of conditions for democratic contestation and participation. The contestation requirement states that elections need to be free and fair, and the participation requirement requires that the majority of adult men are able to vote. Inspired by Dahl's (1971) classification, it is the most comprehensive dichotomous measure of democracy available and unlike other indices, it takes a minimal suffrage requirement into account. This note also employs the PIV index⁵ which reduces the sample size by 15% (i.e. 121 instead of 142 countries) but provides a comprehensive continuous assessment of democracy (ranging from -10 to +10) based on the presence of electoral institutions, constraints on the exercise of power, as well as civil liberties. To ensure even further robustness, we replicate the analysis

BP method change) and *Yr1stdem* (i.e. year of first democracy data). The results are not sensitive to these exclusions.

⁴ The BMR variable is dichotomous and, like Woodberry, we average it over the available years. For ease of interpretation, we employ a linear probability model, but the results are robust to using logit or probit and are available from the authors.

⁵ We use the *Polity* rather than the *Polity2* indicator chosen by Woodberry. The *Polity* indicator is an unmodified (and more objective) version of the *Polity2* variable because it does not assign new values to missing polity scores in instances of occupation by foreign powers, the absence of central state authority, and transition periods in which the principles of governance are incomplete.

using 24 other different democracy measures, out of which we predominantly report regressions using the Varieties of Democracy (V-Dem) and Freedom House (FH) data. From the V-Dem index we choose from over 400 different indices and indicators the Electoral Democracy⁶ (ED), Liberal Democracy⁷ (LD) and Suffrage⁸ scores since they most closely capture liberal democracy in the sense of the BP measure. From the FH index we employ the Political Rights⁹ (PR) and Civil Liberties¹⁰ (CL) measures, as well as a combined averaged score of the PR and CL measures. The relationship between the original BP variable and the alternative measures of democracy is reported in Table A.17. and illustrated graphically in Figure A.1 in the online appendix. As the table shows, 17 out of 26 measures are correlated with a correlation coefficient larger than 0.80, and only three measures are correlated with a coefficient smaller than 0.70 with the Bollen-Paxton measure.

Evidence from New Data

Results with alternative democracy measures (over the period 1950-1994)

⁶ An index measuring the freedom of association, clean elections, freedom of expression, elected officials and suffrage.

⁷ An index combining the V-Dem Electoral Democracy score with scores on the equality before the law and individual liberties, and judicial and legislative constraints on the executive.

⁸ Defined as the percentage of adults with voting rights.

⁹ An index measuring the electoral process, political pluralism and participation, and functioning of the government.

¹⁰ An index measuring the freedom of expression and belief, associational and organizational rights, rule of law and personal autonomy, and individual rights.

We first replicate Woodberry's analysis using the same time period over which the democracy measure is averaged (1950-1994) but instead employ the BMR and PIV datasets (all regressions in this note follow Woodberry's replication code and use robust regression). Woodberry uses three proxies for CPs: (1) Protestant missionaries per 10,000 population in 1923; (2) Years exposure to Protestant missions; and (3) Percent evangelized by 1900.¹¹ Catholic versions of the first two variables are included as well. Descriptive statistics are displayed in Table A.1 and the complete list of countries can be found in Table A.2 of the online appendix.

For the sake of brevity, Table 1 (using BMR, 1950-1994) below merges the results presented in columns 3 and 4 of Woodberry's Table 2 and those from columns 3-5 in Woodberry's Table 3. Table A.3 in the online appendix conducts the same exercise but uses the PIV data.¹² Table 1 shows that the relationship between CPs and democracy is not robust – the Protestant missionaries in 1923 variable is only significant in specifications without controls (column 2 and column 5) which raises concerns about omitted variable bias. Columns 3-5 control for a set of additional variables that relate to the historical influence of colonization processes, such as the date of “discovery”, literacy rates and the number of years between “discovery”, colonization and missionary contact. Years exposure to Protestant missions is insignificant. Percent evangelized is significantly and robustly associated with democracy, yet, as we

¹¹ We also run our models using each mission variable one at a time. These modifications do not alter the presented results and are available on request from the authors.

¹² Replicating the rest of the tables in Woodberry's article (main text) produces results similar to those when we also extend the time period. We therefore focus on the latter specifications.

explain below and as Woodberry acknowledges, this variable is *not* a direct measure of Protestantism since it is a “retrospective estimate and includes both Europeans and Catholics—not only indigenous people exposed to Protestant missions” (257, emphasis added).

Table 1. Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), 1950-94, Boix-Miller-Rosato

BMR 1950-1994	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
British Colony	0.082 (0.062)		0.109* (0.065)	0.109 (0.070)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.443*** (0.142)		0.347** (0.148)	0.247 (0.170)	
Dutch Colony	-0.003 (0.233)	-0.101 (0.193)	0.048 (0.241)	0.080 (0.269)	-0.217 (0.225)
Never Colonized Significantly	0.091 (0.085)		0.099 (0.088)	0.099 (0.099)	
Latitude	0.000 (0.003)		-0.000 (0.003)	-0.001 (0.004)	-0.004 (0.004)
Island Nation	0.195*** (0.060)		0.166*** (0.062)	0.165** (0.068)	
Landlocked Nation	-0.088 (0.072)		-0.225** (0.095)	-0.231** (0.099)	
Percent European in 1980	-0.000 (0.001)		-0.000 (0.001)	-0.000 (0.001)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.000 (0.001)		0.000 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.014 (0.065)		0.008 (0.071)	0.009 (0.076)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.064 (0.061)		-0.014 (0.074)	-0.010 (0.087)	0.002 (0.087)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	-0.000 (0.001)	0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.016 (0.018)	0.055*** (0.014)	0.011 (0.019)	0.015 (0.020)	0.058*** (0.017)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.005*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000 (0.000)		-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.011 (0.011)		0.010 (0.012)	0.011 (0.012)	
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.001** (0.000)	0.001** (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.001 (0.000)	-0.001 (0.001)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.007 (0.024)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				0.068 (0.078)	0.204*** (0.066)
Constant	0.067 (0.088)	-0.042 (0.041)	-0.959* (0.561)	-1.129* (0.677)	-0.502 (0.435)
Number of observations	140	140	140	140	140
R2	0.656	0.557	0.659	0.649	0.543

Note: *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$; standard errors reported under coefficients in parentheses (robust regression). The table replicates Table 2, columns 3-4 (equivalent to columns 1-2 in current table) and Table 3, columns 3-5 (equivalent to columns 3-5 in current table) from Woodberry (2012).

Results with alternative democracy measures and with extended time period

Next, we also extend the period over which our two democracy measures (BMR and PIV) are averaged (to 1950-2015/16) and show that the results below are at odds with Woodberry's conclusions. Tables 2-3, A.4 and A.5 employ the democracy score from the BMR dataset, and Tables A.6-A.9 use the democracy score from the PIV dataset.

Table 2 shows that once more, Protestant missionaries do *not* predict democracy robustly once the BMR democracy measure covering 1950-2015 is used. In three out of five specifications, the coefficient is neither significant nor positive. Only in models 2.2 and 2.5 (specifications without controls), Protestant missionaries have a significant effect on democracy. Once again, there is a positive and significant effect of Percent evangelized on democracy, however, the effect is ambiguous since the measure does not distinguish Protestant from Catholic believers.

Table 2. Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), 1950-2015, Boix-Miller-Rosato

BMR 1950-2015	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
British Colony	0.111*		0.144**	0.130*	
	(0.064)		(0.065)	(0.068)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.253*		0.167	0.123	
	(0.144)		(0.146)	(0.165)	
Dutch Colony	0.305	-0.054	0.364	0.357	0.037
	(0.238)	(0.230)	(0.240)	(0.261)	(0.220)
Never Colonized Significantly	0.111		0.116	0.114	
	(0.087)		(0.088)	(0.096)	
Latitude	0.002		0.003	0.003	-0.000
	(0.003)		(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.004)
Island Nation	0.238***		0.198***	0.199***	
	(0.061)		(0.061)	(0.066)	
Landlocked Nation	-0.049		-0.208**	-0.199**	
	(0.073)		(0.093)	(0.096)	
Percent European in 1980	0.001		0.001	0.001	
	(0.001)		(0.001)	(0.001)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.000		0.000	0.000	
	(0.001)		(0.001)	(0.001)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.097		-0.060	-0.063	
	(0.066)		(0.071)	(0.073)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.123**		-0.077	-0.068	-0.049
	(0.062)		(0.073)	(0.084)	(0.085)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	-0.000	0.001	-0.001	-0.001	0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.013	0.033**	-0.011	-0.008	0.031*
	(0.018)	(0.017)	(0.019)	(0.020)	(0.017)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.005***	0.006***	0.005***	0.005***	0.006***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		0.000	0.000	
	(0.000)		(0.000)	(0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.019		0.016	0.015	
	(0.011)		(0.011)	(0.012)	
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.001**	0.001**	0.000
			(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			0.000	0.000	
			(0.000)	(0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.001*	-0.001	
			(0.000)	(0.001)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			0.000	0.000	
			(0.000)	(0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				-0.000	0.000
				(0.000)	(0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				0.000	-0.000
				(0.000)	(0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				0.000	-0.000
				(0.000)	(0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.005	
				(0.022)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				0.066	0.170***
				(0.076)	(0.064)
Constant	0.131	0.009	-1.192**	-1.091*	-0.519
	(0.088)	(0.049)	(0.558)	(0.654)	(0.423)
Number of observations	142	142	142	142	142
R2	0.652	0.468	0.663	0.670	0.597

Notes: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1; standard errors reported under coefficients in parentheses (robust regression). See notes to Table 1.

Table 3 replicates Woodberry's IV estimations intended to reduce omitted variable bias and to establish causal relationships, which is particularly important given the cross-sectional nature of Woodberry's data and the fact that missionary activity is non-random. As Jedwab et al. (2018) demonstrate, Christian missionary expansion in Sub-Saharan Africa occurred in relatively more developed and accessible locations, thus questioning findings linking causally missionary activity and contemporary development.

As in Woodberry's analysis, the limited information maximum likelihood (LIML) estimator is employed in the IV regressions which cover two sets of excluded instruments for each Protestant variable. Specifications 3.1-3.3 use the excluded instrument *Latitude*, while *Landlocked Nation* and *Mean Min. Temperature* are used in specifications 3.4-3.6. The low F-statistics from the first-stage regression show that the instruments in specifications 3.1, 3.2 and 3.5 are weak. Therefore, only the analysis of models 3.3, 3.4 and 3.6 allows for statistically significant inferences. Model 3.3 shows no associations of the key independent variables with democracy, however, in model 3.4, Percent evangelized by 1900 seems to positively affect democracy. Years exposure to Protestant missions in model 3.6 have a positive and significant effect on democracy, yet it is unclear why only this particular combination of instruments renders it significant and why the effect is so much higher compared to the OLS specification.

From a substantive point of view, it is also puzzling why some of the instruments do not belong in the main equation.¹³ In fact, in some specifications, Woodberry includes some of the excluded instruments in the main equation (which directly violates the exclusion restriction), which raises doubts about their appropriateness. More importantly, given the significance of Percent evangelized, Woodberry does not provide a convincing explanation as

¹³ We replicate these analyses only for the sake of consistency.

to why the effect of the instruments works *exclusively* through Protestantism rather than through another Christian denomination, such as Catholicism. In fact, Woodberry's identification strategy is selective as the excluded variables, i.e. favorable geographic and climatic conditions, may be used as predictors for any human settlement (including Protestant and Catholic missions) and thus the development of institutions which are a requisite for democracy. Therefore, the exclusion restrictions in Woodberry's analysis are implausible, meaning that *the IV regressions are unable to establish causality*.

Table 3. Instrumental Variable Regression: Mean Level of Democracy from 1950 – 2015, Boix-Miller-Rosato

Boix-Miller-Rosato 1950-2015	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.004 (0.003)					0.003** (0.001)
British Colony	-0.075 (0.164)	0.022 (0.096)	0.140** (0.059)	0.146** (0.057)	-0.218 (0.233)	-0.036 (0.095)
Other Religious Liberty Colony	-0.121 (0.282)	-0.182 (0.311)	0.040 (0.272)	0.093 (0.282)	-0.515 (0.514)	-0.126 (0.270)
Dutch Colony	-0.599 (0.786)	0.021 (0.236)	0.293*** (0.093)	0.280*** (0.095)	-0.697 (0.775)	-0.450 (0.452)
Never Colonized Significantly	0.047 (0.103)	0.089 (0.081)	0.111 (0.083)	0.120 (0.091)	0.059 (0.109)	0.053 (0.094)
Island Nation	0.179* (0.095)	0.173** (0.080)	0.166** (0.080)	0.152* (0.082)	0.152 (0.125)	0.232*** (0.083)
Landlocked Nation	0.067 (0.175)	-0.125* (0.067)	-0.095 (0.069)	Excluded Instrument		
Percent European in 1980	0.004** (0.002)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.002 (0.002)	0.001 (0.002)	0.006*** (0.002)	0.004** (0.002)
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.000 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.003)	-0.001 (0.001)
Major Oil Producer	-0.052 (0.076)	-0.087 (0.063)	-0.114** (0.051)	-0.116** (0.050)	-0.029 (0.099)	-0.057 (0.063)
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.129* (0.073)	-0.114 (0.075)	-0.124* (0.069)	-0.093 (0.076)	0.029 (0.135)	-0.142* (0.077)
Mean Max. Temperature	0.009 (0.011)	0.011 (0.011)	0.013 (0.009)	0.013 (0.010)	0.011 (0.017)	0.014 (0.010)
Mean Min. Temperature	0.012 (0.009)	0.006 (0.006)	0.001 (0.006)	Excluded Instrument		
Percent Freezes During Year	0.004 (0.002)	0.003 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)	0.004 (0.003)	0.002 (0.002)
Percent Mountains	0.002 (0.002)	0.001 (0.002)	0.001 (0.002)	0.000 (0.002)	0.001 (0.003)	0.002 (0.002)
Malaria Endemic	-0.059 (0.078)	-0.003 (0.077)	0.018 (0.077)	0.037 (0.077)	0.029 (0.145)	-0.066 (0.076)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000		0.086 (0.069)			0.296 (0.188)	
Percent Evangelized by 1900			0.003 (0.002)	0.005** (0.002)		
Latitude	Excluded Instrument			-0.002 (0.004)	-0.014 (0.010)	-0.005 (0.005)
Constant	-0.450 (0.441)	-0.173 (0.365)	-0.268 (0.327)	-0.343 (0.336)	-0.168 (0.525)	-0.322 (0.340)
Number of observations	142	142	142	142	142	142
R2 (2nd stage)	0.357	0.373	0.479	0.456	.	0.381
F from 1st stage	7.635	8.753	22.988	21.803	3.775	21.571
F for size of nominal 5% Wald test = 10%	16.380	16.380	16.380	8.680	8.680	8.680
# OverID tests indicating instruments appropriate (p<0.1)	-	-	-	4 of 4	4 of 4	4 of 4
# tests indicating Protestant missions exogenous (p<0.1)	3 of 3	3 of 3	3 of 3	3 of 3	0 of 3	1 of 3

Note: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1; robust standard errors reported under coefficients in parentheses. Table 3 replicates Table 6 from Woodberry (2012).

Tables A.4 and A.5 control for a number of other variables that may have influenced the development of Christian missions (demographic or public health characteristics, Table A.4), or mechanisms by which Protestant missions may have influenced democracy (log GDP per capita and secondary education enrollment rates, Table A.5).¹⁴ The results in Table A.4 confirm the significance of Percent evangelized by 1900 but as stated earlier, the interpretation of the effect remains ambiguous as it conflates Protestantism and Catholicism. The direct measure of Protestant missionaries is inconclusive as the coefficients switch signs across different specifications. There is some evidence for a positive association with the years of exposure to Protestant missions. These results are echoed in Table A.5, which shows a significant *negative* relationship between Protestant missionaries and democracy, along with a positive (but only sometimes significant) effect of Percent evangelized.

The results from the BMR sample are largely confirmed by the evidence from PIV the sample. Woodberry notes that “switching democracy measures does not influence Protestant missions’ association with democracy” (266), but even in his original model (see Table 7 in his online appendix which uses the Polity2 score), Protestant missionaries are negatively if insignificantly associated with democracy. Table A.6, which replicates Table 2 above using the PIV measure, shows yet again the insignificance of Protestant missionaries. The only consistently significant measure of Protestantism is years exposure to Protestant missions,

¹⁴ For the sake of consistency, we replicate Woodberry’s models but results should be interpreted with caution, as the number of observations is too small for a meaningful analysis.

however, the postestimation Wald test indicates that the coefficients are equal to the effect of years exposure to Catholic missions ($0.25 \leq F \leq 1.65$, $p < 0.01$).¹⁵

Table A.7 and A.8 replicate Tables A.4 and A.5 using the PIV data. The coefficients on Protestant missionaries switch signs across specifications and are statistically insignificant. There is an association between democracy and the years exposure to Protestant missions in both tables. However, in Table A.8 (which is the more credible table) this effect is equal to years exposure to Catholic missions as suggested by a Wald test ($0.81 \leq F \leq 3.23$, $p < 0.01$).

The replication of the IV estimation using PIV data is displayed in Table A.9, however, given the weak instruments in 3 out of 6 specifications and the fact that the exclusion restriction is unlikely to be satisfied, the results are not credible. Protestant missionaries do not have a significant effect.

Robustness checks

An important concern about Woodberry's results is that the inclusion of post-treatment covariates may lead to significant bias. To account for this, we ran models where we excluded all variables where at least some of the coverage occurs after the first year of the democracy average (1950) or the year of the Protestant missionaries variable (1923), such as Percent Muslim in 1970, Major Oil Producer, Years of Protestant Missions until 1960, Years of Catholic Missions until 1960, various religious composition variables measured in 1970, log GDP per capita (1960-1994), education (1960-1985), and newspaper circulation (1975-1995). There

¹⁵ The minimum and maximum F-statistics refer to the range of F-statistics for all columns, and the p-value specifies which p-value was used for testing the hypotheses.

are no changes to the previous findings and the results are available on request from the authors.

To make sure that our results are not driven by the idiosyncrasies of a particular democracy measure, Tables A.10-A.15 in the online appendix replicate Table 2 for six additional democracy measures: Freedom house – average of Political Rights and Civil Liberties, as well as each index separately, and V-Dem – Electoral Democracy, Liberal Democracy and Suffrage. The results are consistent with the specifications with the BMR and Polity measures. While Percent evangelized is positive and significant across specifications, Protestant missionaries and Years exposure to Protestant missions are only significant in the specifications with no or few controls. In fact, in the V-Dem Suffrage specification, the effect of Protestant missionaries is *negative* and significant. Once again, this raises the issue of omitted variable bias in the cross-sectional regressions, and means that Woodberry's results are unlikely to be causal.

In Table A.16, we run regressions with a plethora of additional democracy variables, focusing on the period 1950-1994 (as in Woodberry's original specifications), as well as 1950 – until the latest year of coverage of the democracy measure. The only measures for which we obtain consistent and significant positive results for the impact of Protestant missionaries (across both periods) are the Vanhanen Contestation and Democratization scores. While the former measure is based on the levels of the opposition's share of votes in parliamentary or presidential elections, the latter also takes into account the percentage of the population which actually voted. However, neither measure gives account of the state of liberal democracy based on civil liberties, political rights, or the existence of effective and fair legislative and executive bodies – a distinction which is critical for Woodberry's theory.

In Tables A.18., we present results using Bayesian model averaging in order to account for uncertainty in the choice of the explanatory variables. This approach distinguishes between focus regressors (which are always present in the model) and auxiliary regressors (about which the researcher is not certain). We thus specify Percent evangelized as the focus regressor, and all other variables as the auxiliary regressors. We highlight independent variables with a posterior inclusion probability (pip)>0.5 or t-ratio>1 (in absolute value), to indicate that a regressor is robustly correlated with the dependent variable and thus to be included in the model. The results show that only in Woodberry's (2012) original specification using the B-P measure, all three Protestant variables are robustly correlated with democracy. Using 11 alternative measures of democracy from the Freedom House, Boix-Miller-Rosato, Polity IV, and V-Dem datasets, we estimate 55 BMA models in total and up to 17 million models per specification. The variable *Protestant Missionaries* is significant only in specifications without controls in select Freedom House and V-Dem models. *Years Exposure to Protestant Missions* is correlated more robustly with democracy measures but overall there is no consistent pattern using the BMA method. On average, control variables, such as dummies for colonial history or the state of being landlocked, are identified as predictors with the highest posterior inclusion probabilities. This is further proof of the fragility of Woodberry's argument that Protestantism, rather than colonial history or exogenous characteristics, contribute to the development of democracy.

In Tables A19, instead of considering the entire period 1950-2016, we present results by decade, for a total of 26 democracy measures. Across all these specifications and democracy measures, we find *no* consistent pattern linking Protestantism and democracy across time (measured as Protestant missionaries per 1,000). Indeed, for some measures signs and significance alternate from decade to decade.

Questioning the uniqueness of Protestantism

Our extension of Woodberry's results showed that Percent evangelized is significant and robust across specifications. This suggests that further research and better data are needed on the role of different Christianity strands in democratic emergence and consolidation. The analysis in this note questions the uniqueness of Protestantism, and raises the possibility that it is (Western) Christianity overall that matters. For instance, Djankov and Nikolova (2018) show that Catholics and Protestants (unlike those of Eastern Orthodox faith) have similar attitudes and values, including perceptions of government and democracy. Building on the previous literature¹⁶, Caicedo (2018) also shows that not only Protestant but Catholic missions *too* had a positive effect on educational attainment and income levels by increasing literacy and teaching various crafts to indigenous populations. This evidence undermines Woodberry's case for the exceptionalism of conversionary Protestantism. However, more granular data on different Christian missions is needed to examine this question rigorously.

Conclusion

Woodberry (2012) argues that the emergence of a liberal and democratic modernity is the result of conversionary Protestantism. Despite the thorough theoretical analysis and the use of both historical and econometric evidence, the quantitative analysis suffers from significant deficiencies. This note shows that the results are not robust to using alternative measures of democracy and to extending the analyzed time frame. We find that Conversionary Protestants are, at best, a weak predictor for the development of democracy – after using 26 alternative

¹⁶ Waldinger (2017). To be clear, the evidence presented in this note does *not* support a causal relationship between Catholic missions and democracy.

democracy indices and extending the timeframe from 1994 to 2015/16, their effect becomes insignificant.

Woodberry's argument reminds us that the democracy literature has often ignored religious factors, in favor of other 'material ones' such as inequality, economic development or revolutions. Although this is an important omission, rectifying it is not an easy task. In the cross-country setting, even slow-moving factors such as religion or culture rarely evolve in isolation. Woodberry's work shows not only that cross-country correlations – however intuitive – can be fragile, but also that establishing causality is extremely difficult. Our results are essential for further work on this topic, as they question the causal chain linking Protestantism and democracy proposed by Woodberry. This does not mean that religion is unimportant, but that there is need for further debate, sub-national data collection and research on the topic in order to understand the (co)-evolution of religion and democracy.

References

- Bai, Ying, and James Kai-sing Kung. "Diffusing knowledge while spreading God's message: Protestantism and economic prosperity in China, 1840–1920." *Journal of the European Economic Association* 13, no. 4 (2015): 669-698.
- Becker, Sascha O., and Ludger Woessmann. "Was Weber wrong? A human capital theory of Protestant economic history." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 124, no. 2 (2009): 531-596.
- Boix, Carles, Michael Miller, and Sebastian Rosato. "A complete data set of political regimes, 1800–2007." *Comparative Political Studies* 46, no. 12 (2013): 1523-1554.
- Bollen, Kenneth A. *Cross-national indicators of liberal democracy, 1950-1990*. Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research, 2001.

Bollen, Kenneth Alan. "Liberal democracy series I, 1972–1988: Definition, measurement, and trajectories." *Electoral Studies* 28, no. 3 (2009): 368-374.

Chen, Yuyu, Hui Wang, and Se Yan. "The long-term effects of Protestant activities in China." Available at SSRN 2186818 (2014).

Caicedo, Felipe Valencia. "The mission: Human capital transmission, economic persistence and culture in South America." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, qjy024 (2018, in press).

Cantoni, Davide, Jeremiah Dittmar, and Noam Yuchtman. "Religious competition and reallocation: The political economy of secularization in the protestant reformation." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 133, no. 4 (2018): 2037-2096.

Dahl, Robert. *Polyarchy: participation and opposition*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1971.

Djankov, Simeon, and Elena Nikolova. "Communism as the unhappy coming." *Journal of Comparative Economics* 46, no. 3 (2018): 708-721.

Jedwab, Remi, Felix Meier Zu Selhausen, and Alexander Moradi. "The economics of missionary expansion: evidence from Africa and implications for development." *CSAE Working Paper* 7 (2018).

Lankina, Tomila, and Lullit Getachew. "Mission or empire, word or sword? The human capital legacy in postcolonial democratic development." *American Journal of Political Science* 56, no. 2 (2012): 465-483.

Mantovanelli, Federico. "The Protestant legacy: Missions and literacy in India." Available at SSRN 2413170 (2014).

Nunn N. "Gender and Missionary Influence in Colonial Africa". In: Akyeampong E, Bates R, Nunn N, Robinson JA *Africa's Development in Historical Perspective*. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2014. pp. 489-512.

Paxton, Pamela. "Social capital and democracy: An interdependent relationship." *American Sociological Review* (2002): 254-277.

Paxton, Pamela, Kenneth A. Bollen, Deborah M. Lee, and HyoJoung Kim. "A half-century of suffrage: New data and a comparative analysis." *Studies in Comparative International Development* 38, no. 1 (2003): 93-122.


Tusalem, Rollin F. "The role of Protestantism in democratic consolidation among transitional states." *Comparative Political Studies* 42, no. 7 (2009): 882-915.

Waldinger, Maria. "The long-run effects of missionary orders in Mexico." *Journal of Development Economics* 127 (2017): 355-378.

Woodberry, Robert D. "The missionary roots of liberal democracy." *American Political Science Review* 106, no. 2 (2012): 244-274.

Online Appendix

Figure A.1. Correlations between the Bollen-Paxton democracy measure and select other democracy measures



Notes: The figure presents the unconditional correlations between the Bollen-Paxton democracy score and (1) the Boix-Miller-Rosato democracy score; (2) the Polity IV democracy score; (3) the Freedom House political rights index; (4) the Freedom House civil liberties index; (5) an average of (3) and (4); (6) the V-Dem electoral democracy index; (7) the V-Dem liberal democracy index and; (8) the V-Dem Suffrage index.

Table A.1. Summary Statistics

Democracy Measure	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Boix-Miller-Rosato 1950-2015	142	0.350	0.378	0.000	1.000
Boix-Miller-Rosato Omitted 1950-2015	142	0.350	0.378	0.000	1.000
Bollen 1950-1980	126	0.472	0.276	0.000	1.000
Cheibub 1950-2008	142	0.340	0.386	0.000	1.000
Coppedge Contestation 1950-2000	141	-0.234	0.738	-1.553	1.484
Coppedge Inclusiveness 1950-2000	141	-0.164	0.708	-2.556	0.812
FH Civil Liberties 1972-2015	142	0.543	0.219	0.143	0.994
FH Mean 1972-2015	142	0.537	0.236	0.143	0.997
FH Political Rights 1972-2015	142	0.532	0.256	0.143	1.000
Geddes-Wright-Frantz 1950-2010	106	0.245	0.304	0.000	1.000
Kailitz 1950-2010	141	0.327	0.382	0.000	1.000
Paxton 1950-2000	142	0.732	0.283	0.000	1.000
Polity IV 1950-2016	121	-0.113	0.521	-1.000	1.000
SVMDI 2016 1981-2011	136	0.379	0.320	0.041	0.964
SVMDI 2018 1950-2016	137	0.338	0.245	0.002	0.968
Svolik 1950-2008	141	0.350	0.378	0.000	1.000
Unified Democracy Score 2010 1950-2000	141	-0.198	0.698	-1.711	1.314
Unified Democracy Score 2011 1950-2011	141	-0.214	0.680	-1.744	1.394
Unified Democracy Score 2014 1950-2012	141	-0.218	0.681	-1.740	1.377
Vanhanen Competition 1950-2012	138	0.247	0.177	0.000	0.677
Vanhanen Democratization 1950-2012	138	0.081	0.073	0.000	0.319
V-Dem Additive Polyarchy 1950-2016	124	0.502	0.182	0.035	0.926
V-Dem Electoral Democracy 1950-2016	124	0.326	0.168	0.018	0.831
V-Dem Liberal Democracy 1950-2016	124	0.232	0.153	0.015	0.759
V-Dem Multiplicative Polyarchy 1950-2016	124	0.149	0.164	0.000	0.736
V-Dem Suffrage 1950-2016	124	0.874	0.171	0.000	1.000

Independent Variables	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
British Colony	142	0.350	0.479	0.000	1.000
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444	142	1542.150	112.346	1444.000	1870.000
Dutch Colony	142	0.014	0.118	0.000	1.000
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	142	1.199	2.293	0.000	15.381
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries	142	146.531	144.938	0.000	516.000
Gap between Sighted and Colonized	142	222.538	164.344	0.000	516.000
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude	142	4561.880	5114.330	0.000	20124.000
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy	142	129.965	179.844	0.000	516.000
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy	142	74.979	133.482	0.000	516.000
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude	142	2702.160	3657.380	0.000	16318.000
Island Nation	142	0.280	0.450	0.000	1.000
Landlocked Nation	142	0.203	0.403	0.000	1.000
Latitude	142	17.785	11.567	0.000	48.000
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	142	0.462	0.500	0.000	1.000
Major Oil Producer	142	0.175	0.381	0.000	1.000
Never Colonized Significantly	142	0.077	0.267	0.000	1.000
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers	142	1.874	1.419	0.000	8.000
Other Religious Liberty Colony	142	0.035	0.184	0.000	1.000
Percent European in 1980	142	8.773	21.338	0.000	97.500
Percent Evangelized by 1900	142	41.321	39.842	0.000	100.000
Percent Muslim in 1970	142	28.005	37.620	0.000	99.834
“Protestant” Colonizer Took Colony from “Catholics”	142	0.189	0.393	0.000	1.000
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	142	0.976	1.671	0.000	9.913
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	142	209.825	155.535	0.000	499.000
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	142	93.231	58.626	0.000	355.000

Table A.2. Country List¹

Country List	Boko-Miller-Russett	Bollen	Chorhuh-Gauthier-Vesland	Casper-Allen-Muldoon	Freedom-House	Geddes-Wright-Frantz	Karlitz	Paxton-Bollen-Lee-Kim	Polity IV	Gruendler-Krieger 2016	Gruendler-Krieger 2018	Soellich	Fremdling-Mason-Mahon	Varshney	V-Dem
N = 145	N = 145	N = 136	N = 145	N = 145	N = 145	N = 136	N = 145	N = 145	N = 135	N = 136	N = 137	N = 145	N = 145	N = 138	N = 134
Algeria	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Algeria	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
American Samoa	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Angola	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Antigua and Barbuda	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Argentina	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Armenia	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Azerbaijan	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bahamas	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Bahrain	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Bangladesh	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Barbados	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Belarus	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Belize	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bhutan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bolivia	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Botswana	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Brazil	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Brunei	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Burkina Faso	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Burundi	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cambodia	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cameroon	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cape Verde	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Central African Republic	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chad	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chile	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
China	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Colombia	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Comoros	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Congo	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Costa Rica	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cote d'Ivoire	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cuba	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cyprus	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Democratic Republic of Congo	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Djibouti	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Dominica	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Dominican Republic	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ecuador	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Egypt	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
El Salvador	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Equatorial Guinea	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Eritrea	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ethiopia	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fiji	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gabon	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gambia	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ghana	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Grenada	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Guatemala	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Guinea	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Guinea-Bissau	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Guyana	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Haiti	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Honduras	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
India	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Indonesia	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Iran	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Iraq	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Israel	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Jamaica	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Japan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Jordan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kazakhstan	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kenya	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kiribati	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kuwait	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kyrgyz Republic	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Laos	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lebanon	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lesotho	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Liberia	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Libya	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Madagascar	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Malawi	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Malaysia	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Maldives	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Malli	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mauritania	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mauritius	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mexico	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Micronesia	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mongolia	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Morocco	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mozambique	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Myanmar	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Namibia	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Nauru	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
Nepal	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Nicaragua	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Niger	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Nigeria	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
North Korea	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Oman	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pakistan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Palau	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
Panama	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Papua New Guinea	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Paraguay	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Peru	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Philippines	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Qatar	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Rwanda	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Saint Kitts and Nevis	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Saint Lucia	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Samoa	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Sao Tome and Principe	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Saudi Arabia	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Senegal	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Seychelles	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sierra Leone	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Singapore	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Solomon Islands	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Somalia	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
South Africa	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
South Korea	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes											

Table A.3. Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), 1950-94, Polity IV

Polity IV 1950-1994	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
British Colony	0.218*		0.220*	0.150	
	(0.112)		(0.117)	(0.112)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.557*		0.482	0.286	
	(0.323)		(0.342)	(0.341)	
Dutch Colony	-0.705*	-0.952***	-0.748*	-0.444	-1.040***
	(0.403)	(0.360)	(0.419)	(0.429)	(0.373)
Never Colonized Significantly	-0.037		-0.013	-0.103	
	(0.136)		(0.143)	(0.146)	
Latitude	-0.001		-0.005	-0.011*	-0.012
	(0.004)		(0.006)	(0.007)	(0.007)
Island Nation	0.335***		0.359***	0.362***	
	(0.112)		(0.117)	(0.116)	
Landlocked Nation	0.208*		0.192	0.196	
	(0.120)		(0.161)	(0.153)	
Percent European in 1980	0.007***		0.007***	0.007***	
	(0.002)		(0.002)	(0.002)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	0.001		0.001	0.000	
	(0.001)		(0.002)	(0.001)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.089		-0.150	-0.165	
	(0.108)		(0.121)	(0.117)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	0.103		0.144	0.174	0.164
	(0.103)		(0.126)	(0.132)	(0.145)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.003***	0.003***	0.003***	0.002**	0.003***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.079	0.120***	0.072	0.083*	0.127***
	(0.048)	(0.041)	(0.050)	(0.048)	(0.044)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001	0.006***	0.001	0.001	0.006***
	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.001	0.000	
	(0.000)		(0.001)	(0.001)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.128**		0.143**	0.132**	
	(0.063)		(0.065)	(0.062)	
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.000	-0.000	0.000
			(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			-0.000	0.000	
			(0.001)	(0.001)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.000	-0.000	
			(0.001)	(0.001)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			0.000	0.000	
			(0.000)	(0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				-0.001*	-0.001
				(0.001)	(0.001)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				0.000	-0.000
				(0.001)	(0.001)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				0.000	0.000**
				(0.000)	(0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.074*	
				(0.040)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				0.412***	0.286**
				(0.136)	(0.127)
Constant	-1.091***	-0.854***	-1.219	-0.571	-1.229
	(0.157)	(0.081)	(0.973)	(1.109)	(0.811)
Number of observations	121	121	121	121	121
R2	0.579	0.401	0.581	0.649	0.461

Note: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1; standard errors reported under coefficients in parentheses (robust regression). The table replicates Table 2, columns 3-4 (equivalent to columns 1-2 in current table) and Table 3, columns 3-5 (equivalent to columns 3-5 in current table) from Woodberry (2012).

Table A.4. Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies: Mean Level of Democracy from 1950 – 2015, Boix-Miller-Rosato

Boix-Miller-Rosato, 1950-2015	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Dutch Colony	-0.054 (0.230)					-0.173 (0.205)	-0.135 (0.193)		
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001* (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.001*** (0.001)	-0.000 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.033** (0.017)	0.029 (0.017)	-0.127** (0.064)	-0.115* (0.064)	-0.118* (0.066)	0.013 (0.020)	0.020 (0.019)	0.165* (0.094)	-0.021 (0.256)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.006*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)		0.003* (0.002)
Settler Mortality Rate (from AJR 2001)				0.000 (0.000)					
Natural Log of Settler Mortality Rate					0.027 (0.032)				
Population Density in 1500							0.013*** (0.005)		
Urbanization in 1500								-0.024* (0.014)	
Life Expectancy in 1940									-0.002 (0.008)
Constant	0.009 (0.049)	0.006 (0.050)	-0.169** (0.083)	-0.209** (0.092)	-0.331 (0.204)	-0.054 (0.063)	-0.128** (0.063)	0.612*** (0.183)	0.387 (0.415)
Number of observations	142	140	56	56	56	86	85	36	27
R2	0.468	0.454	0.584	0.593	0.593	0.584	0.638	0.192	0.187

Note: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1; standard errors reported under coefficients in parentheses (robust regression). The table replicates Table 4 from Woodberry (2012).

Table A.5. Mechanisms by Which Protestant Missions May Have Influenced Democracy, 1950 – 2015, Boix-Miller-Rosato

Boix-Miller-Rosato, 1950-2015	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
British Colony	0.111* (0.064)	0.068 (0.066)	0.110* (0.065)	0.026 (0.063)	0.007 (0.067)	0.082 (0.107)	0.077 (0.115)	0.078 (0.115)
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.253* (0.144)	-0.119 (0.172)	0.212 (0.149)	0.377** (0.150)	0.359** (0.154)	0.072 (0.260)	0.064 (0.268)	0.062 (0.269)
Dutch Colony	0.305 (0.238)	0.280 (0.227)	0.400 (0.249)	0.188 (0.223)	0.158 (0.228)			
Never Colonized Significantly	0.111 (0.087)	0.066 (0.106)	0.104 (0.089)	0.085 (0.111)	0.070 (0.113)	0.132 (0.141)	0.131 (0.145)	0.131 (0.145)
Latitude	0.002 (0.003)	0.006* (0.003)	0.003 (0.003)	0.001 (0.003)	0.000 (0.003)	0.000 (0.004)	0.004 (0.004)	0.004 (0.004)
Island Nation	0.238*** (0.061)	0.282*** (0.071)	0.211*** (0.063)	0.276*** (0.063)	0.260*** (0.065)	0.284*** (0.104)	0.285*** (0.107)	0.284*** (0.107)
Landlocked Nation	-0.049 (0.073)	-0.121* (0.073)	-0.051 (0.074)	-0.062 (0.069)	-0.057 (0.070)	-0.007 (0.125)	-0.003 (0.134)	-0.003 (0.132)
Percent European in 1980	0.001 (0.001)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	-0.000 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)	0.004** (0.002)	0.004** (0.002)	0.004** (0.002)
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.000 (0.001)	-0.002** (0.001)	-0.000 (0.001)	-0.000 (0.001)	-0.000 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)
Major Oil Producer	-0.097 (0.066)	-0.069 (0.080)	-0.092 (0.068)	-0.066 (0.066)	-0.049 (0.068)	-0.103 (0.118)	-0.105 (0.120)	-0.103 (0.120)
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.123** (0.062)	-0.128* (0.078)	-0.105 (0.065)	-0.139** (0.061)	-0.126** (0.063)	-0.082 (0.092)	-0.081 (0.096)	-0.082 (0.095)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	-0.000 (0.001)		-0.000 (0.001)	-0.000 (0.001)	-0.000 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.013 (0.018)		-0.031 (0.022)	0.006 (0.018)	0.007 (0.018)	-0.073* (0.042)	-0.074* (0.044)	-0.073* (0.044)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.005*** (0.001)		0.004*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.019 (0.011)		0.023* (0.012)	0.007 (0.011)	0.008 (0.011)	0.001 (0.020)	0.001 (0.020)	0.001 (0.020)
Percent Protestant in 1970		0.001 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)					
Percent Non-Religious in 1970		-0.005** (0.003)	-0.001 (0.002)					
Natural Log of GDP per capita: Mean 19601994					0.008 (0.018)			
Earliest Available GDP Data					-0.002 (0.002)			
Mean Secondary Ed. Enrollment Rate: 19601985							0.000 (0.004)	
Earliest Available Secondary Educ. Enrollment Rate							0.001 (0.014)	0.001 (0.014)
Year of First Educational Data								0.001 (0.006)
Constant	0.131 (0.088)	0.277*** (0.069)	0.098 (0.096)	0.156* (0.090)	0.272 (0.216)	0.053 (0.158)	-0.022 (0.856)	-0.007 (0.863)
Number of observations	142	142	142	112	112	84	84	84
R2	0.652	0.467	0.645	0.744	0.739	0.487	0.486	0.485

Note: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1; standard errors reported under coefficients in parentheses (robust regression). The table replicates Table 5 from Woodberry (2012).

Table A.6. Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), 1950-2016, Polity IV

Polity IV 1950-2016	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
British Colony	0.208** (0.103)		0.219** (0.106)	0.183* (0.105)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.521* (0.296)		0.471 (0.310)	0.352 (0.318)	
Dutch Colony	-0.274 (0.369)	-0.726** (0.345)	-0.263 (0.380)	0.066 (0.400)	-0.704** (0.359)
Never Colonized Significantly	0.016 (0.125)		0.032 (0.129)	-0.073 (0.137)	
Latitude	-0.000 (0.004)		-0.000 (0.005)	-0.003 (0.006)	-0.004 (0.007)
Island Nation	0.347*** (0.102)		0.336*** (0.106)	0.318*** (0.109)	
Landlocked Nation	0.183* (0.109)		0.129 (0.146)	0.132 (0.143)	
Percent European in 1980	0.005*** (0.002)		0.005*** (0.002)	0.005*** (0.002)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	0.001 (0.001)		0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.236** (0.099)		-0.228** (0.110)	-0.231** (0.109)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.061 (0.094)		-0.009 (0.114)	0.026 (0.124)	0.036 (0.139)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.002** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.002** (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.014 (0.044)	0.073* (0.039)	0.015 (0.045)	0.032 (0.045)	0.062 (0.043)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001 (0.002)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.002 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)	0.006*** (0.001)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.001** (0.000)		0.001** (0.000)	0.001* (0.001)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.106* (0.057)		0.103* (0.059)	0.085 (0.058)	
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.001 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)	0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			0.000 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				-0.001 (0.001)	-0.000 (0.001)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				-0.000 (0.001)	-0.000 (0.001)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.084** (0.037)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				0.323** (0.127)	0.213* (0.122)
Constant	-0.779*** (0.144)	-0.658*** (0.077)	-1.689* (0.881)	-0.951 (1.034)	-0.837 (0.778)
Number of observations	121	121	121	121	121
R2	0.618	0.409	0.620	0.659	0.458

Note: *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$; standard errors reported under coefficients in parentheses (robust regression). The table replicates Table 2, columns 3-4 (equivalent to columns 1-2 in current table) and Table 3, columns 3-5 (equivalent to columns 3-5 in current table) from Woodberry (2012).

Table A.7. Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies: Mean Level of Democracy from 1950 – 2016, Polity IV

Polity IV, 1950-2016	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Dutch Colony	-0.726** (0.345)					-0.769** (0.327)	-0.770** (0.321)		
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.073* (0.039)	0.059 (0.044)	-0.075 (0.090)	-0.062 (0.090)	-0.078 (0.092)	0.054 (0.046)	0.067 (0.048)	0.071 (0.179)	-0.136 (0.327)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)		0.001 (0.002)
Settler Mortality Rate (from AJR 2001)				0.000 (0.000)					
Natural Log of Settler Mortality Rate					-0.013 (0.045)				
Population Density in 1500							0.008 (0.008)		
Urbanization in 1500								-0.029 (0.019)	
Life Expectancy in 1940									0.007 (0.010)
Constant	-0.658*** (0.077)	-0.664*** (0.078)	-0.815*** (0.117)	-0.876*** (0.128)	-0.734** (0.286)	-0.640*** (0.101)	-0.685*** (0.108)	0.221 (0.249)	0.103 (0.530)
Number of observations	121	119	56	56	56	78	77	35	27
R2	0.409	0.407	0.556	0.568	0.553	0.435	0.459	0.116	0.113

Note: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1; standard errors reported under coefficients in parentheses (robust regression). The table replicates Table 4 from Woodberry (2012).

Table A.8. Mechanisms by Which Protestant Missions May Have Influenced Democracy, 1950 – 2016, PIV

Polity IV 1950-2016	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
British Colony	0.208** (0.103)	0.231** (0.101)	0.205* (0.105)	0.124 (0.118)	0.059 (0.119)	0.141 (0.143)	0.112 (0.157)	0.125 (0.156)
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.521* (0.296)	0.407 (0.348)	0.505 (0.315)	0.395 (0.408)		0.230 (0.442)	0.299 (0.464)	
Dutch Colony	-0.274 (0.369)	0.346 (0.316)	-0.257 (0.387)	-0.346 (0.402)	-0.479 (0.395)			
Never Colonized Significantly	0.016 (0.125)	-0.055 (0.145)	0.004 (0.128)	-0.150 (0.179)	-0.178 (0.174)	0.202 (0.185)	0.203 (0.190)	0.194 (0.191)
Latitude	-0.000 (0.004)	0.007 (0.005)	0.000 (0.005)	-0.003 (0.004)	-0.006 (0.004)	0.001 (0.006)	-0.001 (0.006)	-0.000 (0.006)
Island Nation	0.347*** (0.102)	0.349*** (0.112)	0.345*** (0.104)	0.298** (0.126)	0.315** (0.124)	0.406*** (0.143)	0.382** (0.152)	0.392*** (0.150)
Landlocked Nation	0.183* (0.109)	-0.122 (0.101)	0.186* (0.111)	0.225* (0.116)	0.234** (0.113)	0.082 (0.164)	0.115 (0.179)	0.096 (0.175)
Percent European in 1980	0.005*** (0.002)	0.008*** (0.002)	0.005*** (0.002)	0.005** (0.002)	0.006*** (0.002)	0.004* (0.003)	0.004 (0.003)	0.004 (0.003)
Percent Muslim in 1970	0.001 (0.001)	-0.003** (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.002 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)	-0.002 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)
Major Oil Producer	-0.236** (0.099)	-0.235** (0.112)	-0.236** (0.102)	-0.184* (0.111)	-0.159 (0.111)	-0.220 (0.158)	-0.218 (0.163)	-0.213 (0.163)
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.061 (0.094)	-0.086 (0.115)	-0.057 (0.103)	-0.073 (0.105)	-0.045 (0.105)	0.016 (0.130)	-0.005 (0.139)	0.005 (0.136)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.002** (0.001)		0.002** (0.001)	0.002** (0.001)	0.002** (0.001)	0.003** (0.001)	0.003** (0.002)	0.003** (0.002)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.014 (0.044)		0.011 (0.052)	0.044 (0.057)	0.049 (0.056)	-0.040 (0.058)	-0.046 (0.059)	-0.043 (0.059)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001 (0.002)		0.001 (0.002)	0.003 (0.002)	0.003 (0.002)	0.000 (0.002)	0.001 (0.002)	0.001 (0.002)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.001** (0.000)		0.001** (0.000)	0.001** (0.000)	0.001* (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001 (0.001)	0.000 (0.000)
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.106* (0.057)		0.107* (0.060)	0.058 (0.063)	0.053 (0.062)	0.131* (0.075)	0.114 (0.080)	0.112 (0.081)
Percent Protestant in 1970		-0.001 (0.004)	0.001 (0.005)					
Percent Non-Religious in 1970		-0.006* (0.004)	-0.001 (0.003)					
o.Nobrprot					(dropped)			(dropped)
Natural Log of GDP per capita: Mean 1960-1994					-0.012 (0.031)			
Earliest Available GDP Data					-0.006* (0.003)			
Mean Secondary Ed. Enrollment Rate: 1960-1985							0.006 (0.007)	
Earliest Available Secondary Educ. Enrollment Rate							0.004 (0.018)	0.001 (0.018)
Year of First Educational Data								0.007 (0.008)
Constant	-0.779*** (0.144)	-0.170 (0.107)	-0.788*** (0.157)	-0.798*** (0.167)	-0.275 (0.389)	-0.680*** (0.210)	-0.948 (1.161)	-0.717 (1.154)
Number of observations	121	121	121	94	93	78	78	77
R2	0.618	0.473	0.617	0.627	0.665	0.552	0.550	0.547

Note: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1; standard errors reported under coefficients in parentheses (robust regression). The table replicates Table 5 from Woodberry (2012).

Table A.9. Instrumental Variable Regression: Mean Level of Democracy from 1950 – 2016, PIV

Polity IV 1950-2016	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.004 (0.005)					0.004** (0.002)
British Colony	0.062 (0.273)	0.155 (0.194)	0.313*** (0.096)	0.347*** (0.087)	-0.548 (0.874)	0.077 (0.128)
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.371** (0.172)	-0.024 (0.744)	0.586** (0.235)	0.798*** (0.220)	-2.615 (3.349)	0.334** (0.140)
Dutch Colony	-0.733 (1.255)	-0.366 (0.949)	0.249* (0.137)	0.211* (0.125)	-3.792 (4.704)	-0.670 (0.580)
Never Colonized Significantly	-0.075 (0.150)	-0.029 (0.151)	-0.006 (0.149)	0.020 (0.157)	-0.073 (0.238)	-0.077 (0.140)
Island Nation	0.213 (0.136)	0.262** (0.130)	0.210 (0.132)	0.185 (0.136)	0.486 (0.435)	0.264** (0.128)
Landlocked Nation	0.040 (0.323)	-0.175 (0.125)	-0.149 (0.124)	Excluded Instrument		
Percent European in 1980	0.007*** (0.002)	0.008*** (0.002)	0.005* (0.003)	0.003 (0.003)	0.014** (0.007)	0.007*** (0.002)
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.000 (0.003)	-0.000 (0.003)	-0.001 (0.002)	0.000 (0.002)	0.008 (0.010)	-0.000 (0.001)
Major Oil Producer	-0.180 (0.122)	-0.196 (0.130)	-0.251** (0.103)	-0.279*** (0.097)	0.002 (0.316)	-0.178* (0.105)
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.073 (0.091)	0.008 (0.136)	-0.039 (0.093)	0.039 (0.112)	0.523 (0.591)	-0.081 (0.097)
Mean Max. Temperature	-0.006 (0.016)	-0.016 (0.021)	-0.008 (0.015)	-0.006 (0.015)	-0.040 (0.050)	0.000 (0.016)
Mean Min. Temperature	0.012 (0.011)	0.008 (0.010)	0.004 (0.009)	Excluded Instrument		
Percent Freezes During Year	0.003 (0.003)	0.003 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)	0.003 (0.003)	0.007 (0.010)	0.002 (0.003)
Percent Mountains	0.003 (0.003)	0.001 (0.003)	0.001 (0.003)	-0.001 (0.003)	-0.004 (0.009)	0.004 (0.003)
Malaria Endemic	0.008 (0.113)	0.170 (0.230)	0.064 (0.116)	0.047 (0.123)	0.696 (0.656)	0.010 (0.114)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000		0.189 (0.286)			1.202 (1.271)	
Percent Evangelized by 1900			0.003 (0.004)	0.008** (0.003)		
Latitude	Excluded Instrument			-0.005 (0.006)	-0.028 (0.032)	-0.006 (0.007)
Constant	-0.616 (0.880)	-0.093 (0.524)	-0.162 (0.464)	-0.284 (0.477)	-0.115 (1.343)	-0.547 (0.532)
Number of observations	121	121	121	121	121	121
R2 (2nd stage)	0.501	0.394	0.501	0.488	.	0.500
F from 1st stage	3.759	3.332	13.256	13.070	0.754	22.149
F for size of nominal 5% Wald test = 10%	16.380	16.380	16.380	8.680	8.680	8.680
# OverID tests indicating instruments appropriate (p<0.1)	-	-	-	4 of 4	4 of 4	4 of 4
# tests indicating Protestant missions exogenous (p<0.1)	3 of 3	3 of 3	3 of 3	3 of 3	0 of 3	3 of 3

Note: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1; robust standard errors reported under coefficients in parentheses. The table replicates Table 6 from Woodberry (2012).

Table A.10. Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), 1972-2015 (Freedom House average of Civil Liberties and Political Rights)

FH Mean 1972-2015	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
British Colony	0.097** (0.038)		0.108*** (0.040)	0.106*** (0.041)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.199** (0.087)		0.187** (0.090)	0.211** (0.099)	
Dutch Colony	0.105 (0.144)	-0.137 (0.134)	0.144 (0.147)	0.120 (0.156)	-0.083 (0.135)
Never Colonized Significantly	0.051 (0.052)		0.035 (0.054)	0.042 (0.057)	
Latitude	0.001 (0.002)		0.001 (0.002)	0.001 (0.003)	-0.000 (0.003)
Island Nation	0.124*** (0.037)		0.116*** (0.038)	0.112*** (0.040)	
Landlocked Nation	-0.016 (0.044)		-0.059 (0.057)	-0.031 (0.057)	
Percent European in 1980	0.002** (0.001)		0.002** (0.001)	0.002** (0.001)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.000 (0.001)		0.000 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.061 (0.040)		-0.046 (0.043)	-0.050 (0.044)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.069* (0.037)		-0.029 (0.045)	-0.008 (0.050)	0.018 (0.052)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.000 (0.000)	0.001** (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.003 (0.011)	0.029*** (0.010)	0.000 (0.011)	0.003 (0.012)	0.025** (0.010)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.002*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.000)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.000)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000 (0.000)		-0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.006 (0.007)		0.005 (0.007)	0.006 (0.007)	
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.000* (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				-0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000** (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.002 (0.013)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				0.001 (0.046)	0.078** (0.039)
Constant	0.349*** (0.053)	0.308*** (0.028)	0.294 (0.342)	0.363 (0.391)	0.363 (0.259)
Number of observations	142	142	142	142	142
R2	0.657	0.519	0.663	0.681	0.576

Note: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1; standard errors reported under coefficients in parentheses (robust regression). The table replicates Table 2, columns 3-4 (equivalent to columns 1-2 in current table) and Table 3, columns 3-5 (equivalent to columns 3-5 in current table) from Woodberry (2012). Same notes apply to tables A.11-A.15.

Table A.11. Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), 1972-2015 (Freedom House Civil Liberties)

FH Civil Liberties 1972-2015	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
British Colony	0.091*** (0.035)		0.101*** (0.036)	0.101*** (0.037)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.172** (0.078)		0.164** (0.080)	0.186** (0.091)	
Dutch Colony	0.122 (0.130)	-0.116 (0.124)	0.153 (0.132)	0.147 (0.143)	-0.058 (0.124)
Never Colonized Significantly	0.013 (0.047)		-0.006 (0.048)	0.001 (0.053)	
Latitude	0.001 (0.001)		0.002 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)	-0.000 (0.002)
Island Nation	0.102*** (0.033)		0.094*** (0.034)	0.100*** (0.036)	
Landlocked Nation	-0.032 (0.040)		-0.065 (0.051)	-0.064 (0.053)	
Percent European in 1980	0.002** (0.001)		0.001** (0.001)	0.001* (0.001)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.000 (0.000)		-0.000 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.060* (0.036)		-0.045 (0.039)	-0.049 (0.040)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.064* (0.034)		-0.023 (0.040)	-0.013 (0.046)	0.009 (0.048)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	-0.000 (0.000)	0.001** (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.000 (0.010)	0.028*** (0.009)	-0.002 (0.010)	-0.003 (0.011)	0.023** (0.009)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.002*** (0.000)	0.003*** (0.000)	0.003*** (0.000)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.000)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000 (0.000)		-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.006 (0.006)		0.005 (0.006)	0.005 (0.006)	
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			-0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.000** (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				-0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000** (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.002 (0.012)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				-0.019 (0.042)	0.062* (0.036)
Constant	0.403*** (0.048)	0.339*** (0.026)	0.413 (0.307)	0.525 (0.359)	0.303 (0.239)
Number of observations	142	142	142	142	142
R2	0.666	0.522	0.673	0.676	0.578

Table A.12. Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), 1972-2016 (Freedom House Political Rights)

FH Political Rights 1972-2015	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
British Colony	0.103** (0.043)		0.117*** (0.044)	0.108** (0.045)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.228** (0.096)		0.207** (0.099)	0.205* (0.110)	
Dutch Colony	0.091 (0.159)	-0.159 (0.150)	0.135 (0.162)	0.119 (0.174)	-0.108 (0.151)
Never Colonized Significantly	0.089 (0.058)		0.079 (0.059)	0.091 (0.064)	
Latitude	0.001 (0.002)		0.001 (0.002)	0.001 (0.003)	-0.001 (0.003)
Island Nation	0.147*** (0.041)		0.138*** (0.041)	0.137*** (0.044)	
Landlocked Nation	-0.003 (0.049)		-0.062 (0.063)	-0.047 (0.064)	
Percent European in 1980	0.002*** (0.001)		0.002** (0.001)	0.002** (0.001)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	0.000 (0.001)		0.000 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.065 (0.044)		-0.050 (0.048)	-0.057 (0.049)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.075* (0.041)		-0.033 (0.050)	-0.003 (0.056)	0.027 (0.058)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.000 (0.000)	0.001*** (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.006 (0.012)	0.030*** (0.011)	0.002 (0.013)	0.006 (0.013)	0.026** (0.011)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.002*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.000)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.006 (0.008)		0.006 (0.008)	0.006 (0.008)	
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			-0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.000* (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000* (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.004 (0.015)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				0.020 (0.051)	0.094** (0.044)
Constant	0.297*** (0.059)	0.279*** (0.032)	0.151 (0.378)	0.260 (0.435)	0.404 (0.290)
Number of observations	142	142	142	142	142
R2	0.649	0.501	0.657	0.665	0.559

Table A.13. Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), 1950-2016 (V-Dem Electoral Democracy)

V-Dem ED 1950-2016	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
British Colony	0.043 (0.031)		0.050 (0.033)	0.034 (0.035)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.059 (0.087)		0.043 (0.093)	0.001 (0.102)	
Dutch Colony	0.141 (0.109)	0.003 (0.096)	0.140 (0.116)	0.121 (0.130)	0.015 (0.098)
Never Colonized Significantly	-0.023 (0.038)		-0.023 (0.041)	-0.032 (0.045)	
Latitude	0.000 (0.001)		0.001 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)
Island Nation	0.042 (0.029)		0.046 (0.030)	0.050 (0.033)	
Landlocked Nation	0.016 (0.034)		-0.002 (0.045)	0.010 (0.047)	
Percent European in 1980	0.002*** (0.001)		0.002*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.009 (0.030)		-0.013 (0.034)	-0.024 (0.036)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.068** (0.027)		-0.039 (0.035)	-0.005 (0.040)	-0.018 (0.038)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.000 (0.000)	0.001*** (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.001* (0.000)	0.001** (0.000)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.002 (0.010)	0.010 (0.008)	-0.005 (0.011)	-0.002 (0.011)	0.007 (0.009)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.002*** (0.000)	0.002*** (0.000)	0.002*** (0.000)	0.001** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.000)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.008 (0.010)		0.010 (0.011)	0.010 (0.011)	
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.017 (0.012)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				0.065 (0.041)	0.040 (0.032)
Constant	0.196*** (0.043)	0.169*** (0.021)	-0.108 (0.267)	0.081 (0.322)	0.207 (0.209)
Number of observations	124	124	124	124	124
R2	0.581	0.432	0.568	0.601	0.494

Table A.14. Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), 1950-2016 (V-Dem Liberal Democracy)

V-Dem LD 1950-2016	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
British Colony	0.058** (0.029)		0.063** (0.030)	0.056* (0.032)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.048 (0.081)		0.049 (0.085)	0.030 (0.094)	
Dutch Colony	0.140 (0.102)	-0.009 (0.088)	0.147 (0.106)	0.167 (0.120)	-0.011 (0.089)
Never Colonized Significantly	0.032 (0.035)		0.031 (0.037)	0.017 (0.042)	
Latitude	0.001 (0.001)		0.002 (0.001)	0.002 (0.002)	0.001 (0.002)
Island Nation	0.057** (0.027)		0.053* (0.028)	0.059* (0.031)	
Landlocked Nation	0.001 (0.031)		-0.041 (0.042)	-0.037 (0.043)	
Percent European in 1980	0.001** (0.001)		0.001** (0.001)	0.001** (0.001)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.022 (0.028)		-0.019 (0.032)	-0.029 (0.033)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.074*** (0.026)		-0.067** (0.032)	-0.050 (0.036)	-0.035 (0.034)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.000 (0.000)	0.001** (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000** (0.000)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.001 (0.009)	0.019** (0.008)	-0.001 (0.010)	-0.000 (0.010)	0.017** (0.008)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001*** (0.000)	0.001*** (0.000)	0.001*** (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001*** (0.000)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000 (0.000)		-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.013 (0.009)		0.014 (0.010)	0.013 (0.010)	
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			-0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.020* (0.011)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				0.054 (0.037)	0.035 (0.029)
Constant	0.116*** (0.040)	0.102*** (0.020)	-0.174 (0.245)	0.057 (0.297)	0.139 (0.190)
Number of observations	124	124	124	124	124
R2	0.512	0.347	0.515	0.537	0.417

Table A.15. Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), 1950-2016 (V-Dem Suffrage)

V-Dem Suffrage 1950-2016	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
British Colony	-0.014 (0.021)		-0.012 (0.022)	-0.036* (0.021)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	-0.173*** (0.060)		-0.181*** (0.061)	0.038 (0.061)	
Dutch Colony	0.122 (0.075)	0.092 (0.070)	0.119 (0.076)	0.103 (0.078)	0.096 (0.065)
Never Colonized Significantly	0.007 (0.026)		0.009 (0.027)	0.013 (0.027)	
Latitude	0.001* (0.001)		0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)
Island Nation	-0.012 (0.020)		-0.014 (0.020)	-0.030 (0.020)	
Landlocked Nation	-0.037 (0.023)		-0.050* (0.030)	-0.068** (0.028)	
Percent European in 1980	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.001* (0.000)		-0.001* (0.000)	-0.001* (0.000)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.007 (0.021)		-0.018 (0.023)	-0.038* (0.021)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	0.022 (0.019)		0.033 (0.023)	0.027 (0.024)	0.038 (0.025)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	-0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.019*** (0.007)	-0.027*** (0.006)	-0.020*** (0.007)	-0.015** (0.007)	-0.022*** (0.006)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001*** (0.000)	0.001** (0.000)	0.001*** (0.000)	0.001** (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000 (0.000)		-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.026*** (0.007)		-0.024*** (0.007)	-0.026*** (0.007)	
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000* (0.000)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			0.000 (0.000)	0.000** (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				-0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.006 (0.007)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				0.047* (0.024)	0.025 (0.021)
Constant	0.924*** (0.029)	0.907*** (0.016)	0.984*** (0.176)	1.139*** (0.193)	1.010*** (0.138)
Number of observations	124	124	124	124	124
R2	0.446	0.174	0.457	0.487	0.272

Table A.16. Authors' Regressions with Additional Democracy Measures

A - Table 2.3 (1950-1994) (Authors' Regressions)					
Measure	Years PM	PM in 1923	Ev. % in 1900	Years CM	CM in 1923
Cheibub	0.000	0.030*	0.005***	-0.000	0.003
Svolik	0.001	0.032	0.004***	-0.000	0.011
Kailitz (Dichotomous)	0.000	0.029*	0.004***	-0.000**	0.008
Gruendler Krieger (2018)	0.000	0.006	0.002***	0.000	0.000
Anckar-Fredriksson	-0.000	0.017	0.005***	-0.000	0.010
BMR	-0.000	0.016	0.005***	-0.000	0.011
BMR Omitted	-0.000	0.017	0.005***	-0.000	0.010

B - Table 3.4 (1950-1994) (Authors' Regressions)					
Measure	Years PM	PM in 1923	Ev. % in 1900	Years CM	CM in 1923
Cheibub	-0.000	0.029	0.005***	0.000	0.003
Svolik	0.001	0.035*	0.004***	0.000	0.010
Kailitz (Dichotomous)	-0.000	0.019	0.005***	-0.000	0.007
Gruendler Krieger (2018)	0.000	0.006	0.002***	0.000	-0.002
Anckar-Fredriksson	-0.001	0.015	0.006***	-0.000	0.011
BMR	-0.001	0.015	0.006***	-0.000	0.011
BMR Omitted	-0.001	0.015	0.006***	-0.000	0.011

C - Table 2.3 (1950-1994) (Authors' Regressions)					
Measure	Years PM	PM in 1923	Ev. % in 1900	Years CM	CM in 1923
Vanhanen Competitiveness	0.000**	0.016**	0.002***	0.000	0.005
Vanhanen Democratization	0.000*	0.008***	0.001***	-0.000	0.002
Coppedge Contestation	0.000	0.054*	0.007***	0.000	-0.002
V-Dem API	0.001***	0.010	0.002**	0.000	0.000
V-Dem MPI	-0.000	0.014***	0.001***	0.000	-0.003
Polity IV	0.003***	0.079	0.001	0.000	0.128**

D - Table 3.4 (1950-1994) (Authors' Regressions)					
Measure	Years PM	PM in 1923	Ev. % in 1900	Years CM	CM in 1923
Vanhanen Competitiveness	0.001**	0.024***	0.002***	0.000**	0.005
Vanhanen Democratization	0.000**	0.010***	0.000***	0.000	0.003*
Coppedge Contestation	-0.000	0.081***	0.008***	-0.000	-0.002
V-Dem API	0.001**	0.009	0.001**	0.000	0.002
V-Dem MPI	-0.000	0.013***	0.001***	0.000	-0.003
Polity IV	0.002**	0.083*	0.001	0.000	0.132**

E & F - Table 2.3 (1950-Endyear) (Authors' Regressions)						
Measure	Years PM	PM in 1923	Ev. % in 1900	Years CM	CM in 1923	Endyear
Cheibub	0.000	0.011	0.004***	-0.000	0.012	2008
Svolik	0.001	0.020	0.004***	0.000	0.014	2008
Kailitz (Dichotomous)	-0.000	0.012	0.005***	-0.000	0.010	2010
Gruendler Krieger (2018)	0.000	-0.000	0.002***	0.000**	0.003	2016
Anckar-Fredriksson	-0.000	-0.013	0.005***	-0.000	0.018	2015
BMR	-0.000	-0.013	0.005***	-0.000	0.019	2015
BMR Omitted	-0.000	-0.013	0.005***	-0.000	0.018	2015
Vanhanen Competitiveness	0.000*	0.020**	0.001***	0.000**	0.003	2012
Vanhanen Democratization	0.000**	0.006*	0.001***	0.000	0.001	2012
Coppedge Contestation	0.001	0.038	0.006***	0.000	0.001	2000
V-Dem API	0.001**	0.003	0.001**	0.000	0.007	2016
V-Dem MPI	-0.000	-0.000	0.001***	0.000	0.013*	2016
Polity IV	0.002**	0.014	0.001	0.001**	0.106*	2016

Notes: Please see Tables A1 and A2 for details on these additional democracy variables.

Table A.17. Correlation Coefficients

Variable	Abb.	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n	o	p	q	r	s	t	u	v	w	x	y	z	aa
Woodberry 1950-1994	a	1.000																										
Boix-Miller-Rosato Omitted 1950-2015	b	0.795	1.000																									
Boix-Miller-Rosato 1950-2015	c	0.793	1.000	1.000																								
Bollen 1950-1980	d	0.860	0.646	0.644	1.000																							
Cheibub 1950-2008	e	0.735	0.906	0.905	0.620	1.000																						
Coppedge Contestation 1950-2000	f	0.921	0.881	0.879	0.817	0.823	1.000																					
Coppedge Inclusiveness 1950-2000	g	0.629	0.402	0.401	0.532	0.357	0.530	1.000																				
FH Civil Liberties 1972-2015	h	0.818	0.825	0.825	0.659	0.751	0.864	0.452	1.000																			
FH Mean 1972-2015	i	0.846	0.862	0.862	0.670	0.781	0.896	0.475	0.990	1.000																		
FH Political Rights 1972-2015	j	0.856	0.880	0.880	0.669	0.794	0.908	0.486	0.966	0.993	1.000																	
Geddes-Wright-Frantz 1950-2010	k	0.723	0.851	0.850	0.670	0.937	0.839	0.348	0.746	0.768	0.775	1.000																
Kailitz 1950-2010	l	0.779	0.906	0.906	0.637	0.791	0.862	0.420	0.807	0.839	0.852	0.778	1.000															
Paxton 1950-2000	m	0.552	0.319	0.319	0.395	0.281	0.403	0.857	0.372	0.395	0.408	0.226	0.362	1.000														
Polity IV 1950-2016	n	0.871	0.880	0.879	0.757	0.810	0.944	0.500	0.848	0.882	0.896	0.834	0.848	0.409	1.000													
SVM DI 2016 1981-2011	o	0.805	0.919	0.918	0.639	0.845	0.862	0.457	0.909	0.933	0.940	0.821	0.864	0.359	0.868	1.000												
SVM DI 2018 1950-2016	p	0.876	0.888	0.886	0.738	0.872	0.918	0.518	0.880	0.906	0.913	0.879	0.810	0.418	0.917	0.914	1.000											
Svolik 1950-2008	q	0.784	0.914	0.912	0.703	0.893	0.877	0.383	0.789	0.814	0.823	0.926	0.857	0.271	0.873	0.865	0.886	1.000										
Unified Democracy Score 2010 1950-2000	r	0.911	0.860	0.859	0.816	0.826	0.963	0.553	0.871	0.891	0.894	0.833	0.839	0.471	0.948	0.842	0.911	0.851	1.000									
Unified Democracy Score 2011 1950-2011	s	0.907	0.873	0.871	0.804	0.842	0.958	0.566	0.891	0.911	0.914	0.848	0.843	0.472	0.960	0.875	0.931	0.865	0.992	1.000								
Unified Democracy Score 2014 1950-2012	t	0.902	0.875	0.874	0.797	0.838	0.954	0.558	0.905	0.923	0.924	0.846	0.846	0.462	0.961	0.883	0.934	0.866	0.987	0.998	1.000							
Vanhanen Competition 1950-2012	u	0.869	0.791	0.788	0.762	0.787	0.921	0.513	0.813	0.845	0.860	0.826	0.730	0.392	0.902	0.810	0.922	0.829	0.896	0.909	0.907	1.000						
Vanhanen Democratization 1950-2012	v	0.821	0.788	0.785	0.735	0.824	0.880	0.521	0.784	0.812	0.822	0.847	0.750	0.403	0.840	0.827	0.906	0.830	0.882	0.901	0.899	0.904	1.000					
V-Dem Additive Polyarchy 1950-2016	w	0.860	0.807	0.806	0.767	0.778	0.890	0.559	0.890	0.896	0.886	0.795	0.770	0.448	0.877	0.841	0.901	0.805	0.922	0.934	0.938	0.875	0.854	1.000				
V-Dem Electoral Democracy 1950-2016	x	0.858	0.859	0.858	0.751	0.822	0.907	0.527	0.897	0.908	0.903	0.833	0.829	0.416	0.884	0.889	0.925	0.856	0.926	0.939	0.944	0.860	0.888	0.975	1.000			
V-Dem Liberal Democracy 1950-2016	y	0.815	0.824	0.823	0.712	0.767	0.874	0.439	0.872	0.880	0.873	0.786	0.819	0.329	0.831	0.844	0.862	0.828	0.882	0.891	0.900	0.787	0.841	0.904	0.961	1.000		
V-Dem Multiplicative Polyarchy 1950-2016	z	0.809	0.865	0.864	0.691	0.824	0.875	0.462	0.854	0.870	0.870	0.827	0.845	0.358	0.843	0.891	0.899	0.862	0.878	0.892	0.897	0.795	0.874	0.893	0.971	0.968	1.000	
V-Dem Suffrage 1950-2016	aa	0.288	0.301	0.301	0.269	0.365	0.230	0.389	0.248	0.241	0.232	0.321	0.252	0.392	0.264	0.278	0.345	0.301	0.349	0.353	0.344	0.226	0.320	0.408	0.364	0.248	0.298	1.000

Table A.18 – Bayesian Model Averaging, part 1 (Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), Woodberry 1950-1994)

Woodberry 1950-1994	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.211 (0.061)	0.235 (0.051)	0.215 (0.060)	0.221 (0.061)	0.233 (0.053)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.161 (0.045)	0.165 (0.041)	0.153 (0.051)	0.099 (0.074)	0.129 (0.057)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	3.711 (1.653)	3.994 (1.332)	3.664 (1.720)	3.992 (1.716)	3.843 (1.440)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.003 (0.009)		0.002 (0.008)	0.004 (0.012)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.029 (0.278)		0.024 (0.255)	0.005 (0.218)	
British Colony	-0.001 (1.564)		0.085 (1.622)	0.060 (1.489)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	3.452 (8.170)		3.004 (7.780)	1.063 (4.970)	
Dutch Colony	-38.801 (24.335)	-43.601 (22.352)	-35.833 (25.442)	-23.939 (26.113)	-36.292 (24.394)
Never Colonized Significantly	0.113 (1.767)		0.081 (1.571)	0.124 (1.571)	
Latitude	0.000 (0.046)		0.001 (0.043)	0.004 (0.059)	-0.004 (0.088)
Island Nation	0.414 (1.952)		0.347 (1.794)	0.121 (1.292)	
Landlocked Nation	0.012 (1.366)		-0.023 (1.314)	-0.032 (1.276)	
Percent European in 1980	0.022 (0.063)		0.018 (0.057)	0.017 (0.056)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.026 (0.054)		-0.021 (0.050)	-0.016 (0.046)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.704 (2.625)		-0.560 (2.404)	-0.525 (2.347)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.415 (1.873)		-0.300 (1.664)	-0.163 (1.467)	-0.343 (2.060)
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			-0.001 (0.006)	-0.001 (0.007)	-0.001 (0.006)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			-0.001 (0.005)	-0.000 (0.005)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.007 (0.017)	-0.012 (0.023)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				-0.000 (0.004)	-0.002 (0.008)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				-0.005 (0.016)	-0.011 (0.021)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				0.000 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.077 (0.539)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				9.389 (8.069)	8.616 (7.092)
Constant	15.459 (5.152)	13.914 (3.680)	17.549 (11.335)	20.355 (13.284)	17.658 (11.767)
Number of observations	142	142	142	142	142

Note: Independent variables with a $p < 0.5$ or $t\text{-ratio} < 1$ (in absolute value) highlighted. Same notes apply to all parts of Table A.19.

Table A.18 – Bayesian Model Averaging, part 2 (Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), Boix-Miller-Rosato 1950-2015)

Boix-Miller-Rosato 1950-2015	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.004 (0.001)	0.005 (0.001)	0.004 (0.001)	0.004 (0.001)	0.005 (0.001)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.000 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.001 (0.001)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.003 (0.010)	0.016 (0.021)	0.002 (0.009)	0.002 (0.009)	0.010 (0.018)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.003 (0.008)		0.002 (0.007)	0.002 (0.006)	
British Colony	0.030 (0.058)		0.045 (0.068)	0.040 (0.066)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.002 (0.043)		0.001 (0.038)	0.001 (0.034)	
Dutch Colony	0.011 (0.079)	0.000 (0.075)	0.008 (0.061)	0.006 (0.054)	0.003 (0.075)
Never Colonized Significantly	0.006 (0.034)		0.003 (0.025)	0.003 (0.024)	
Latitude	-0.000 (0.001)		0.000 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)	-0.000 (0.001)
Island Nation	0.151 (0.095)		0.147 (0.096)	0.140 (0.099)	
Landlocked Nation	-0.007 (0.031)		-0.010 (0.038)	-0.008 (0.035)	
Percent European in 1980	0.000 (0.001)		0.000 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.000 (0.001)		-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.040 (0.072)		-0.006 (0.031)	-0.006 (0.031)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.046 (0.070)		-0.006 (0.030)	-0.006 (0.029)	-0.046 (0.073)
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.001 (0.000)	-0.001 (0.000)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				0.001 (0.006)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				0.005 (0.027)	0.024 (0.056)
Constant	0.141 (0.078)	0.067 (0.059)	0.164 (0.152)	0.169 (0.136)	0.118 (0.195)
Number of observations	142	142	142	142	142

Table A.18 – Bayesian Model Averaging, part 3 (Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), Boix-Miller-Rosato Omitted 1950-2015)

Boix-Miller-Rosato Omitted 1950-2015	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.004 (0.001)	0.005 (0.001)	0.004 (0.001)	0.004 (0.001)	0.005 (0.001)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.000 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.001 (0.001)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.003 (0.010)	0.016 (0.021)	0.002 (0.009)	0.002 (0.009)	0.010 (0.018)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.002 (0.008)		0.002 (0.007)	0.002 (0.006)	
British Colony	0.030 (0.058)		0.045 (0.068)	0.040 (0.066)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.002 (0.043)		0.001 (0.038)	0.001 (0.034)	
Dutch Colony	0.011 (0.079)	0.000 (0.075)	0.008 (0.061)	0.006 (0.055)	0.003 (0.075)
Never Colonized Significantly	0.006 (0.034)		0.003 (0.025)	0.002 (0.024)	
Latitude	-0.000 (0.001)		0.000 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)	-0.000 (0.001)
Island Nation	0.152 (0.095)		0.147 (0.096)	0.141 (0.099)	
Landlocked Nation	-0.007 (0.031)		-0.010 (0.038)	-0.008 (0.035)	
Percent European in 1980	0.000 (0.001)		0.000 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.000 (0.001)		-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.039 (0.072)		-0.006 (0.031)	-0.006 (0.031)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.046 (0.069)		-0.007 (0.031)	-0.006 (0.029)	-0.048 (0.074)
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre- Mission Literacy			-0.001 (0.000)	-0.001 (0.000)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre- Mission Literacy				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				0.001 (0.006)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				0.005 (0.026)	0.024 (0.055)
Constant	0.140 (0.078)	0.065 (0.058)	0.162 (0.151)	0.167 (0.135)	0.115 (0.195)
Number of observations	142	142	142	142	142

Table A.18 – Bayesian Model Averaging, part 4 (Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), Freedom House Civil Liberties 1972-2016)

FH CL 1972-2016	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.003 (0.000)	0.003 (0.000)	0.003 (0.000)	0.003 (0.000)	0.003 (0.000)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.005 (0.010)	0.032 (0.010)	0.003 (0.008)	0.003 (0.008)	0.027 (0.011)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000 (0.000)		-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.001 (0.003)		0.001 (0.003)	0.001 (0.003)	
British Colony	0.078 (0.046)		0.084 (0.043)	0.083 (0.046)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.161 (0.122)		0.148 (0.121)	0.146 (0.126)	
Dutch Colony	0.007 (0.041)	-0.005 (0.041)	0.004 (0.031)	0.004 (0.030)	-0.004 (0.038)
Never Colonized Significantly	0.002 (0.015)		0.001 (0.012)	0.001 (0.011)	
Latitude	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.001)
Island Nation	0.076 (0.051)		0.072 (0.051)	0.072 (0.053)	
Landlocked Nation	-0.001 (0.010)		-0.002 (0.012)	-0.001 (0.011)	
Percent European in 1980	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.000 (0.000)		-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.023 (0.038)		-0.004 (0.018)	-0.004 (0.018)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.012 (0.026)		-0.001 (0.012)	-0.001 (0.012)	-0.008 (0.025)
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.000 (0.003)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				-0.003 (0.015)	0.008 (0.023)
Constant	0.380 (0.035)	0.370 (0.025)	0.394 (0.063)	0.394 (0.060)	0.389 (0.090)
Number of observations	142	142	142	142	142

Table A.18 – Bayesian Model Averaging, part 5 (Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), Freedom House Mean 1972-2016)

FH Mean 1972-2016	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.003 (0.001)	0.003 (0.000)	0.003 (0.000)	0.003 (0.000)	0.003 (0.000)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.005 (0.010)	0.031 (0.012)	0.003 (0.008)	0.003 (0.008)	0.026 (0.013)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000 (0.000)		-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.001 (0.003)		0.001 (0.003)	0.001 (0.003)	
British Colony	0.072 (0.052)		0.085 (0.048)	0.082 (0.050)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.153 (0.133)		0.149 (0.130)	0.141 (0.133)	
Dutch Colony	0.006 (0.046)	-0.009 (0.052)	0.004 (0.034)	0.003 (0.032)	-0.007 (0.047)
Never Colonized Significantly	0.004 (0.020)		0.003 (0.016)	0.002 (0.015)	
Latitude	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.001)
Island Nation	0.092 (0.055)		0.087 (0.056)	0.087 (0.058)	
Landlocked Nation	-0.001 (0.012)		-0.002 (0.013)	-0.002 (0.012)	
Percent European in 1980	0.000 (0.001)		0.000 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.000 (0.000)		-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.035 (0.047)		-0.005 (0.021)	-0.005 (0.021)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.009 (0.024)		-0.001 (0.011)	-0.001 (0.011)	-0.007 (0.025)
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.000 (0.003)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				-0.000 (0.011)	0.018 (0.035)
Constant	0.359 (0.038)	0.344 (0.031)	0.382 (0.061)	0.382 (0.058)	0.368 (0.093)
Number of observations	142	142	142	142	142

Table A.18 – Bayesian Model Averaging, part 6 (Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), Freedom House Political Rights 1972-2016)

FH PR 1972-2016	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.003 (0.001)	0.004 (0.000)	0.003 (0.001)	0.003 (0.001)	0.003 (0.000)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.000 (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.005 (0.010)	0.028 (0.015)	0.004 (0.009)	0.004 (0.009)	0.024 (0.016)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000 (0.000)		-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.001 (0.004)		0.001 (0.003)	0.001 (0.003)	
British Colony	0.057 (0.057)		0.079 (0.056)	0.073 (0.058)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.129 (0.141)		0.132 (0.140)	0.119 (0.140)	
Dutch Colony	0.003 (0.052)	-0.013 (0.065)	0.004 (0.038)	0.003 (0.035)	-0.010 (0.058)
Never Colonized Significantly	0.006 (0.026)		0.005 (0.023)	0.004 (0.020)	
Latitude	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.001)	0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.001)
Island Nation	0.110 (0.060)		0.103 (0.062)	0.103 (0.064)	
Landlocked Nation	-0.002 (0.015)		-0.003 (0.017)	-0.003 (0.015)	
Percent European in 1980	0.000 (0.001)		0.000 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.000 (0.000)		-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.044 (0.055)		-0.007 (0.026)	-0.007 (0.026)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.008 (0.023)		-0.000 (0.012)	-0.000 (0.011)	-0.006 (0.025)
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.000 (0.003)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				0.002 (0.014)	0.031 (0.048)
Constant	0.336 (0.044)	0.315 (0.037)	0.371 (0.071)	0.372 (0.067)	0.341 (0.101)
Number of observations	142	142	142	142	142

Table A.18 – Bayesian Model Averaging, part 7 (Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), Polity IV 1950-2016)

Polity IV 1950-2016	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.005 (0.002)	0.006 (0.001)	0.005 (0.002)	0.005 (0.002)	0.006 (0.001)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.002 (0.001)	0.003 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)	0.003 (0.001)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.005 (0.020)	0.019 (0.036)	0.004 (0.019)	0.005 (0.020)	0.016 (0.033)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.009 (0.032)		0.006 (0.026)	0.006 (0.026)	
British Colony	0.116 (0.135)		0.134 (0.144)	0.106 (0.137)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.305 (0.391)		0.316 (0.405)	0.259 (0.386)	
Dutch Colony	-0.055 (0.205)	-0.271 (0.391)	-0.042 (0.185)	-0.027 (0.158)	-0.263 (0.387)
Never Colonized Significantly	-0.000 (0.034)		-0.000 (0.031)	-0.003 (0.035)	
Latitude	0.000 (0.001)		0.000 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)	-0.000 (0.001)
Island Nation	0.092 (0.130)		0.079 (0.125)	0.097 (0.143)	
Landlocked Nation	0.004 (0.034)		0.001 (0.029)	0.001 (0.025)	
Percent European in 1980	0.001 (0.002)		0.001 (0.002)	0.001 (0.002)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.000 (0.001)		-0.000 (0.001)	-0.000 (0.001)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.223 (0.138)		-0.169 (0.152)	-0.159 (0.152)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.003 (0.026)		-0.001 (0.026)	-0.001 (0.024)	-0.011 (0.044)
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.021 (0.038)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				0.054 (0.121)	0.048 (0.103)
Constant	-0.529 (0.104)	-0.612 (0.078)	-0.530 (0.265)	-0.493 (0.241)	-0.671 (0.350)
Number of observations	121	121	121	121	121

Table A.18 – Bayesian Model Averaging, part 8 (Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), V-Dem Additive Polyarchy Index 1950-2016)

V-Dem API 1950-2016	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.002 (0.001)	0.002 (0.000)	0.002 (0.000)	0.002 (0.000)	0.002 (0.000)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.001 (0.004)	0.001 (0.005)	0.001 (0.003)	0.000 (0.003)	0.001 (0.004)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.001 (0.005)		0.001 (0.004)	0.001 (0.004)	
British Colony	0.006 (0.018)		0.005 (0.018)	0.004 (0.016)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.002 (0.029)		0.002 (0.026)	0.002 (0.023)	
Dutch Colony	-0.004 (0.036)	-0.007 (0.041)	-0.003 (0.033)	-0.003 (0.030)	-0.007 (0.043)
Never Colonized Significantly	-0.006 (0.023)		-0.005 (0.021)	-0.004 (0.019)	
Latitude	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Island Nation	0.009 (0.024)		0.007 (0.022)	0.006 (0.020)	
Landlocked Nation	0.003 (0.014)		0.002 (0.012)	0.002 (0.011)	
Percent European in 1980	0.001 (0.001)		0.001 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.000 (0.000)		-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.008 (0.023)		-0.006 (0.020)	-0.005 (0.018)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.001 (0.009)		-0.001 (0.008)	-0.000 (0.008)	-0.001 (0.011)
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			-0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				-0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.000 (0.003)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				0.001 (0.009)	0.002 (0.013)
Constant	0.338 (0.031)	0.335 (0.025)	0.323 (0.092)	0.332 (0.082)	0.319 (0.105)
Number of observations	124	124	124	124	124

Table A.18 – Bayesian Model Averaging, part 9 (Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), V-Dem Electoral Democracy 1950-2016)

V-Dem ED 1950-2016	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001 (0.000)	0.002 (0.000)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.002 (0.000)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.001 (0.004)	0.001 (0.004)	0.001 (0.003)	0.001 (0.003)	0.001 (0.004)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.002 (0.007)		0.002 (0.006)	0.001 (0.005)	
British Colony	0.003 (0.013)		0.003 (0.013)	0.002 (0.012)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.001 (0.025)		0.001 (0.023)	0.001 (0.021)	
Dutch Colony	-0.001 (0.029)	-0.003 (0.034)	-0.001 (0.027)	-0.001 (0.025)	-0.003 (0.034)
Never Colonized Significantly	-0.000 (0.011)		-0.000 (0.010)	-0.000 (0.009)	
Latitude	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.001)
Island Nation	0.017 (0.032)		0.015 (0.030)	0.013 (0.029)	
Landlocked Nation	0.001 (0.009)		0.000 (0.009)	0.000 (0.008)	
Percent European in 1980	0.002 (0.001)		0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.000 (0.000)		-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.007 (0.020)		-0.005 (0.017)	-0.004 (0.016)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.003 (0.012)		-0.002 (0.010)	-0.001 (0.009)	-0.002 (0.011)
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				-0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.001 (0.004)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				0.001 (0.009)	0.001 (0.012)
Constant	0.178 (0.029)	0.176 (0.024)	0.161 (0.097)	0.172 (0.085)	0.160 (0.099)
Number of observations	124	124	124	124	124

Table A.18 – Bayesian Model Averaging, part 10 (Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), V-Dem Liberal Democracy 1950-2016)

V-Dem LD 1950-2016	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001 (0.001)	0.002 (0.000)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.002 (0.000)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.003 (0.007)	0.006 (0.010)	0.003 (0.007)	0.003 (0.007)	0.005 (0.009)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000 (0.000)		-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.006 (0.011)		0.005 (0.010)	0.004 (0.009)	
British Colony	0.013 (0.027)		0.015 (0.029)	0.012 (0.027)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.001 (0.025)		0.001 (0.023)	0.001 (0.021)	
Dutch Colony	0.000 (0.035)	-0.003 (0.034)	0.002 (0.034)	0.002 (0.031)	-0.003 (0.035)
Never Colonized Significantly	0.004 (0.018)		0.003 (0.015)	0.002 (0.014)	
Latitude	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.001)
Island Nation	0.035 (0.042)		0.032 (0.041)	0.032 (0.043)	
Landlocked Nation	-0.000 (0.009)		-0.001 (0.012)	-0.001 (0.010)	
Percent European in 1980	0.002 (0.001)		0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.000 (0.000)		-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.007 (0.020)		-0.005 (0.017)	-0.004 (0.015)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.010 (0.022)		-0.007 (0.018)	-0.005 (0.017)	-0.008 (0.021)
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.002 (0.006)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				0.001 (0.010)	0.002 (0.013)
Constant	0.116 (0.032)	0.112 (0.025)	0.094 (0.116)	0.107 (0.102)	0.094 (0.108)
Number of observations	124	124	124	124	124

Table A.18 – Bayesian Model Averaging, part 11 (Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), V-Dem Multiplicative Polyarchy Index 1950-2016)

V-Dem MPI 1950-2016	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001 (0.000)	0.002 (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001 (0.001)	0.002 (0.000)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)	0.001 (0.000)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.001 (0.003)	0.001 (0.004)	0.001 (0.003)	0.001 (0.003)	0.001 (0.004)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.005 (0.010)		0.004 (0.009)	0.003 (0.008)	
British Colony	0.002 (0.011)		0.002 (0.011)	0.002 (0.010)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	0.001 (0.024)		0.001 (0.022)	0.001 (0.020)	
Dutch Colony	0.002 (0.032)	0.003 (0.038)	0.003 (0.032)	0.002 (0.030)	0.003 (0.038)
Never Colonized Significantly	0.004 (0.017)		0.003 (0.015)	0.002 (0.015)	
Latitude	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.001)
Island Nation	0.022 (0.036)		0.020 (0.035)	0.020 (0.036)	
Landlocked Nation	-0.001 (0.009)		-0.002 (0.013)	-0.001 (0.011)	
Percent European in 1980	0.002 (0.001)		0.002 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.000 (0.000)		-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.005 (0.017)		-0.003 (0.014)	-0.002 (0.013)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	-0.005 (0.015)		-0.003 (0.012)	-0.002 (0.011)	-0.004 (0.015)
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				-0.002 (0.006)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				0.001 (0.011)	0.002 (0.013)
Constant	0.018 (0.032)	0.022 (0.028)	0.005 (0.107)	0.019 (0.093)	0.010 (0.094)
Number of observations	124	124	124	124	124

Table A.18 – Bayesian Model Averaging, part 12 (Predicting Democracy in Non-Western Societies (cols 1-2) and Controlling for the Process of Colonization (cols. 3-5), V-Dem Suffrage 1950-2016)

V-Dem Suffrage 1950-2016	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Years Exposure to Protestant Missions (all countries)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.002 (0.002)	-0.002 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.002 (0.002)
Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.000 (0.001)		-0.000 (0.001)	-0.000 (0.001)	
British Colony	-0.001 (0.003)		-0.001 (0.003)	-0.001 (0.002)	
Other Religious Liberty Colony	-0.002 (0.008)		-0.002 (0.007)	-0.002 (0.007)	
Dutch Colony	0.001 (0.005)	0.001 (0.006)	0.001 (0.004)	0.001 (0.004)	0.001 (0.006)
Never Colonized Significantly	-0.002 (0.004)		-0.003 (0.005)	-0.002 (0.005)	
Latitude	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Island Nation	-0.000 (0.001)		-0.000 (0.001)	-0.000 (0.001)	
Landlocked Nation	0.000 (0.002)		0.000 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)	
Percent European in 1980	0.000 (0.000)		0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Percent Muslim in 1970	-0.000 (0.000)		-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Major Oil Producer	-0.001 (0.003)		-0.000 (0.002)	-0.000 (0.002)	
Literate Culture before Missionary Contact	0.001 (0.002)		0.004 (0.006)	0.003 (0.005)	0.001 (0.003)
Date 1st Sighted by Europeans after 1444			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Gap between Sighted and 1st Missionaries			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Mission Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy			-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
Interaction of Missions Gap and Latitude			0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Gap between Sighted and Colonized				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Pre-Mission Literacy				0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)
Interaction of Colonial Gap and Latitude				-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
Number of Times Territory Switched Colonizers				0.000 (0.000)	
Protestant Colonizer Took Colony from Catholics				0.000 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)
Constant	0.083 (0.004)	0.083 (0.003)	0.082 (0.010)	0.082 (0.010)	0.078 (0.015)
Number of observations	124	124	124	124	124

Table A.19. Decadal Analysis, part 1

Decade	Democracy Measure	Independent Variables	Table 2.3	Table 2.4	Table 3.3	Table 3.4	Table 3.5
1950-1960	BMR Omitted	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.002		-0.002	-0.002	
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.065		0.056	0.137	
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.005**		-0.004	-0.005*	
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.240***		0.200**	0.192**	
	Polity IV	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.002	0.005***	0.003**	0.003	0.005**
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.283***	0.265*	0.249**	0.047	0.253*
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.003	0.002	-0.003	-0.003	-0.000
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		-0.000	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.167**		0.116	0.103	
	V-Dem Liberal Democracy	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000*	0.000***	0.000*	0.000	0.000**
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.005	0.011**	0.004	0.005	0.012**
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001**	0.001***	0.001**	0.001*	0.001**
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.014*		0.014*	0.015*	
1960-1970	BMR Omitted	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000		0.001	0.000	
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.056***		-0.026	-0.031	
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.003***		-0.001	-0.001	
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		0.001	0.001	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.103***		0.128***	0.084*	
	Polity IV	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.003**	0.005***	0.003*	0.002	0.005***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.014	0.164**	0.023	0.039	0.148**
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.003	0.004***	-0.002	-0.002	0.004*
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.001	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.252***		0.240***	0.202**	
	V-Dem Liberal Democracy	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000*	0.001***	0.001**	0.001***	0.001***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.002	0.009	-0.003	-0.005	0.011
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.008		0.008	0.005	
1970-1980	BMR Omitted	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001	-0.001***	0.000	0.000	0.001
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.063***	0.099***	0.043**	0.047**	0.102***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.003***	0.000	0.003***	0.003***	0.003***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000**		-0.000	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.007		0.001	0.004	
	Polity IV	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.003***	0.004***	0.003**	0.002*	0.003***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.187***	0.203***	0.176***	0.169***	0.225***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.001	0.003**	-0.000	0.001	0.004***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.097		0.102	0.113	
	V-Dem Liberal Democracy	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	0.000**	0.001**	0.000	0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.022***	0.026***	0.008	0.018*	0.032***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.005		0.005	0.005	
1980-1990	BMR Omitted	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001	-0.001
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.022*	0.009	-0.021	-0.029**	0.008
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.011***	0.010***	0.011***	0.011***	0.010***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000	-0.001**	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.011		0.011	0.014*	
	Polity IV	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.003*	0.003***	0.002	0.002	0.002**
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.037	0.087*	0.033	0.052	0.109**
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.003	0.008***	0.003	0.004	0.010***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.001		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.179**		0.184**	0.173**	
	V-Dem Liberal Democracy	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.035***	0.033***	0.031***	0.033***	0.033***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001	0.001***	0.001	0.001	0.001**
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.006		-0.005	-0.006	
1990-2000	BMR Omitted	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.000	-0.001***	-0.001	-0.001**	-0.001***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.017	0.006	-0.016	0.025	0.006
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.003**	0.011***	0.004**	0.008***	0.011***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.021		0.018	0.003	
	Polity IV	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.002	0.003***	0.002*	0.001	0.002**
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.018	0.057	0.044	0.031	0.042
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.004*	0.010***	0.005**	0.005**	0.009***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.001**		0.002***	0.001	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.106		0.096	0.072	
	V-Dem Liberal Democracy	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.009	0.028**	0.007	0.006	0.021*
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001**	0.003***	0.001**	0.001	0.002***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.029**		0.030**	0.032**	
2000-2010	BMR Omitted	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001	0.002**	0.000	0.000	0.001*
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.045*	-0.010	-0.041	-0.032	-0.014
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.004***	0.007***	0.004***	0.004***	0.006***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.019		0.017	0.014	
	Polity IV	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.002*	0.003***	0.002	0.001	0.003**
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.084	-0.019	-0.088	-0.053	-0.061
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.003	0.008***	0.004	0.004	0.008***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.001*		0.001*	0.001	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.028		0.014	0.011	
	V-Dem Liberal Democracy	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001	0.001**	0.000	0.001	0.001*
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.012	0.014	-0.017	-0.007	0.007
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001	0.003***	0.001	0.001	0.002***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.028*		0.028*	0.029*	

Table A.19. Decadal Analysis, part 2

Decade	Democracy Measure	Independent Variables	Table 2.3	Table 2.4	Table 3.3	Table 3.4	Table 3.5
1950-1960	BMR	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.002		-0.002	-0.002	
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.065		0.056	0.137	
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.005**		-0.004	-0.005*	
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.240***		0.200**	0.192**	
	Bollen	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001	0.002**	0.001	0.001	0.001*
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.040	0.091	0.081	0.049	0.089
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.001	0.001*	-0.001	0.000	0.002
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.084*		0.099**	0.077	
	Cheibub-Gandhi-Vreeland	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.000	-0.001**	-0.002**	-0.004***	0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.264***	0.493***	0.402***	0.361***	0.448***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001	0.000	0.001	-0.002	-0.002
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.212***		0.165***	0.240***	
1960-1970	BMR	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000		0.001	0.001	
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.056***		-0.026	-0.031	
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.003***		-0.001	-0.001	
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		0.001	0.001	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.103***		0.128***	0.084*	
	Bollen	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	0.001**	0.000	-0.000	0.001
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.047	0.103**	0.078	0.046	0.104**
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.000	0.002***	0.000	0.001	0.002**
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.072*		0.070*	0.073*	
	Cheibub-Gandhi-Vreeland	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000		-0.000	0.001**	
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.004*		-0.008***	-0.058***	
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.000***		0.000	-0.002***	
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		0.000	-0.001***	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.001		-0.006***	0.084**	
1970-1980	BMR	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001	-0.001***	0.000	0.000	-0.000**
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.065***	0.099***	0.044**	0.049**	0.092***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.003***	0.000	0.003***	0.003***	0.001**
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000**		-0.000	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.007		0.001	0.006	
	Bollen	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.002***	0.003***	0.002***	0.002**	0.002***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.039**	0.057***	0.038*	0.041**	0.061***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001	0.001*	0.001	0.001	0.001*
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		-0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.001		-0.004	-0.003	
	Cheibub-Gandhi-Vreeland	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000*		-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.015***		0.087***	0.084***	0.095***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.001***		0.001*	0.001**	0.000*
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		0.000	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.066***		0.019***	0.018***	
1980-1990	BMR	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.022*	0.009	-0.021	-0.029**	0.008
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.011***	0.010***	0.011***	0.011***	0.010***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000	-0.001**	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.011		0.011	0.014*	
	Bollen	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions					
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000					
		Percent Evangelized by 1900					
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions					
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923					
	Cheibub-Gandhi-Vreeland	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.001**	-0.001	-0.001***	-0.001	-0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.015	0.036***	-0.014	-0.020	0.044***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.011***	0.009***	0.011***	0.011***	0.009***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000**	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.007		0.006	0.012	
1990-2000	BMR	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.000	-0.001***	-0.001	-0.001***	-0.001***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.017	0.006	-0.016	0.025	0.006
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.003**	0.011***	0.004**	0.008***	0.011***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.021		0.018	0.003	
	Bollen	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions					
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000					
		Percent Evangelized by 1900					
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions					
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923					
	Cheibub-Gandhi-Vreeland	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.001**	-0.001***	-0.001	-0.001**	-0.001***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.014	0.003	-0.005	0.014	0.003
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.008***	0.012***	0.005***	0.008***	0.011***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.001*	0.001**	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.009		0.011	0.009	
2000-2010	BMR	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001	0.002**	0.000	0.000	0.001*
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.045*	-0.010	-0.041	-0.032	-0.014
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.004***	0.007***	0.004***	0.004***	0.006***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.019		0.017	0.014	
	Bollen	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions					
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000					
		Percent Evangelized by 1900					
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions					
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923					
	Cheibub-Gandhi-Vreeland	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions					
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000					
		Percent Evangelized by 1900					
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions					
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923					

Table A.19. Decadal Analysis, part 3

Decade	Democracy Measure	Independent Variables	Table 2.3	Table 2.4	Table 3.3	Table 3.4	Table 3.5
1950-1960	Coppedge - Contestation	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.003*	0.004***	0.003	0.003	0.004*
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.283**	0.401**	0.302**	0.255*	0.385**
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.006**	0.002	-0.007**	-0.006	0.003
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.001		-0.001	-0.001	
	Coppedge - Inclusiveness	Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.420***		0.438***	0.419***	
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.002
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.050	0.100	-0.024	-0.065	0.127
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.004
	Freedom House - CL	Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.001		-0.000	-0.001	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.050		0.062	0.066	
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions					
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000					
1960-1970	Coppedge - Contestation	Percent Evangelized by 1900					
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions					
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923					
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.004**	0.006***	0.003*	0.001	0.005***
	Coppedge - Inclusiveness	Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.068	0.161**	0.006	0.018	0.186**
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.000	0.005***	0.006**	0.003	0.004*
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		-0.001	-0.001	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.229***		0.140**	0.037	
	Freedom House - CL	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001	0.003	-0.000	-0.000	0.002
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.017	0.081	0.037	0.061	0.060
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		0.001	0.001	
1970-1980	Coppedge - Contestation	Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.028		-0.027	-0.029	
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions					
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000					
		Percent Evangelized by 1900					
	Coppedge - Inclusiveness	Years Exposure to Catholic Missions					
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923					
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions					
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000					
	Freedom House - CL	Percent Evangelized by 1900					
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions					
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923					
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions					
1980-1990	Coppedge - Contestation	Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.001	0.004***	0.001	-0.001	0.003**
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.136***	0.148***	0.154***	0.145***	0.176***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.005***	0.005***	0.005***	0.004***	0.005***
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.000		-0.001	0.000	
	Coppedge - Inclusiveness	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.021		-0.035*	0.004	
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.002	0.004**	0.001	0.001	0.002
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.049	0.081	0.042	0.045	0.063
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.001	0.003	0.001	0.002	0.004
	Freedom House - CL	Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.001	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.032		-0.030	-0.022	
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions					
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000					
1990-2000	Coppedge - Contestation	Percent Evangelized by 1900					
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions					
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923					
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000
	Coppedge - Inclusiveness	Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.072	0.074**	0.058	0.078	0.097**
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.009***	0.011***	0.008***	0.007***	0.011***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.001	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.007		-0.004	-0.009	
	Freedom House - CL	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.004*	0.003**	0.002	0.001	0.001
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.006	-0.016	-0.023	0.012	-0.012
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.002	0.004*	-0.001	0.000	0.003
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.001		0.001	0.002	
2000-2010	Coppedge - Contestation	Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.013		0.015	0.010	
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	0.001**	0.000	-0.000	0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.009	0.029***	0.007	0.005	0.028**
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.004***	0.003***	0.004***	0.004***	0.003***
	Coppedge - Inclusiveness	Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.003		0.001	0.001	
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.001	0.002	-0.001	-0.002	-0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.012	0.018	-0.013	0.015	0.024
	Freedom House - CL	Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.006***	0.014***	0.006***	0.006***	0.012***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.001**		0.001	0.001	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.001		0.001	-0.006	
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.004***	0.002	0.003*	0.002	-0.001
2000-2010	Coppedge - Contestation	Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.009	-0.023	-0.011	0.027	0.019
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.000	0.005***	0.000	0.001	0.003**
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.002***		0.001*	0.002*	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.022		0.021	0.008	
	Coppedge - Inclusiveness	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.000	0.000	-0.000	-0.000	0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.001	0.028***	-0.002	-0.003	0.018*
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.002***	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***	0.003***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000	-0.000	
	Freedom House - CL	Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.006		0.006	0.006	
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions					
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000					
		Percent Evangelized by 1900					
2000-2010	Coppedge - Contestation	Years Exposure to Catholic Missions					
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923					
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions					
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000					
	Coppedge - Inclusiveness	Percent Evangelized by 1900					
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions					
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923					
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.000	0.001**	-0.000	-0.000	0.000
	Freedom House - CL	Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.013	0.023**	-0.013	-0.015	0.015
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.002***	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***	0.003***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.009		0.009	0.009	

Table A.19. Decadal Analysis, part 4

Decade	Democracy Measure	Independent Variables	Table 2.3	Table 2.4	Table 3.3	Table 3.4	Table 3.5
1950-1960	Freedom House - PR	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions Protestant Missionaries per 10,000 Percent Evangelized by 1900 Years Exposure to Catholic Missions Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop.in 1923					
	Freedom House - Mean	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions Protestant Missionaries per 10,000 Percent Evangelized by 1900 Years Exposure to Catholic Missions Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop.in 1923					
	Geddes-Wright-Frantz	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions Protestant Missionaries per 10,000 Percent Evangelized by 1900 Years Exposure to Catholic Missions Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop.in 1923	0.002 0.040 -0.003 -0.000 0.224**	0.003* 0.217 0.002	0.003 0.067 -0.004 -0.001 0.202*	0.003 0.178 -0.000 -0.000 0.188*	0.002 0.334** 0.001
	Freedom House - PR	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions Protestant Missionaries per 10,000 Percent Evangelized by 1900 Years Exposure to Catholic Missions Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop.in 1923					
	Freedom House - Mean	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions Protestant Missionaries per 10,000 Percent Evangelized by 1900 Years Exposure to Catholic Missions Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop.in 1923					
	Geddes-Wright-Frantz	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions Protestant Missionaries per 10,000 Percent Evangelized by 1900 Years Exposure to Catholic Missions Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop.in 1923	-0.001 -0.078* 0.000 -0.000 0.156***		-0.000 -0.143*** 0.002 -0.001 0.131***	-0.001 -0.024 0.001 0.000 0.329***	
1970-1980	Freedom House - PR	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions Protestant Missionaries per 10,000 Percent Evangelized by 1900 Years Exposure to Catholic Missions Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop.in 1923					
	Freedom House - Mean	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions Protestant Missionaries per 10,000 Percent Evangelized by 1900 Years Exposure to Catholic Missions Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop.in 1923					
	Geddes-Wright-Frantz	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions Protestant Missionaries per 10,000 Percent Evangelized by 1900 Years Exposure to Catholic Missions Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop.in 1923					
	Freedom House - PR	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions Protestant Missionaries per 10,000 Percent Evangelized by 1900 Years Exposure to Catholic Missions Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop.in 1923	0.001 0.024 0.003*** 0.000 0.000	0.001** 0.032** 0.003***	0.000 0.020 0.003*** 0.000 -0.001	0.000 0.024 0.003*** 0.000 0.001	0.001 0.037*** 0.004***
	Freedom House - Mean	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions Protestant Missionaries per 10,000 Percent Evangelized by 1900 Years Exposure to Catholic Missions Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop.in 1923	0.000 0.017 0.003*** 0.000 0.002	0.001** 0.030*** 0.003***	0.000 0.015 0.003*** -0.000 0.000	0.000 0.017 0.003*** 0.000 0.001	0.001 0.032** 0.003***
	Geddes-Wright-Frantz	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions Protestant Missionaries per 10,000 Percent Evangelized by 1900 Years Exposure to Catholic Missions Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop.in 1923	0.000** -0.004*** -0.000** -0.000*** 0.012***		0.000 -0.003** 0.000 -0.000 0.010***		
1980-1990	Freedom House - PR	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions Protestant Missionaries per 10,000 Percent Evangelized by 1900 Years Exposure to Catholic Missions Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop.in 1923	0.000 0.007 0.002*** 0.000 0.009	0.001* 0.032** 0.004***	-0.000 0.006 0.002*** 0.000 0.008	-0.000 0.010 0.002*** -0.000 0.007	0.000 0.025* 0.004***
	Freedom House - Mean	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions Protestant Missionaries per 10,000 Percent Evangelized by 1900 Years Exposure to Catholic Missions Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop.in 1923	0.000 0.003 0.002*** 0.000 0.008	0.001 0.030*** 0.004***	-0.000 0.002 0.002*** -0.000 0.007	-0.000 0.003 0.002*** -0.000 0.007	0.000 0.021* 0.004***
	Geddes-Wright-Frantz	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions Protestant Missionaries per 10,000 Percent Evangelized by 1900 Years Exposure to Catholic Missions Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop.in 1923	0.001 -0.084 0.002 0.000 0.171***	0.001 -0.026 0.008***	0.000 -0.071 0.002 0.001 0.164**	0.001 -0.075 0.001 0.000 0.174***	0.001 -0.063 0.007***
	Freedom House - PR	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions Protestant Missionaries per 10,000 Percent Evangelized by 1900 Years Exposure to Catholic Missions Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop.in 1923	0.000 -0.010 0.002*** 0.000 0.011	0.001** 0.019 0.004***	-0.000 -0.012 0.002*** 0.000 0.010	-0.000 -0.009 0.002*** 0.000 0.009	0.000 0.011 0.003***
	Freedom House - Mean	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions Protestant Missionaries per 10,000 Percent Evangelized by 1900 Years Exposure to Catholic Missions Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop.in 1923	0.000 -0.011 0.002*** 0.000 0.010	0.001** 0.021* 0.004***	-0.000 -0.012 0.002*** 0.000 0.010	-0.000 -0.012 0.002*** -0.000 0.009	0.000 0.013 0.003***
	Geddes-Wright-Frantz	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions Protestant Missionaries per 10,000 Percent Evangelized by 1900 Years Exposure to Catholic Missions Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop.in 1923	0.002 -0.151** 0.006** -0.000 0.046	0.003*** -0.083 0.007***	0.002 -0.143* 0.006** 0.000 0.050	0.001 -0.178** 0.008*** -0.000 0.021	0.003*** -0.119** 0.007***

Table A.19. Decadal Analysis, part 5

Decade	Democracy Measure	Independent Variables	Table 2.3	Table 2.4	Table 3.3	Table 3.4	Table 3.5
1950-1960	Kailitz	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.000		-0.000	-0.000	
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.009***		-0.010***	-0.031***	
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.000		0.000	-0.000	
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000*	0.000	
	Paxton	Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.022***		-0.022***	-0.027***	
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.003***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.067	0.037	0.198**	0.059	0.153*
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.001	0.001	0.001	-0.003	0.001
	SVMDI 2018	Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		0.001	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.007		-0.026	-0.002	
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.002	-0.004***	-0.002	-0.002	-0.003***
1960-1970	Kailitz	Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.000		0.000*	0.000***	
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.001		-0.001	-0.000	
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions				-0.000	
	Paxton	Protestant Missionaries per 10,000				-0.004***	
		Percent Evangelized by 1900				-0.000*	
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions				0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923				-0.008***	
	SVMDI 2018	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.011	0.028	-0.011	-0.020	0.027
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		0.000	0.001	
1970-1980	Kailitz	Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.074*		0.080**	0.061	
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001**	0.001***	0.001*	0.001**	0.001***
	Paxton	Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.015*	-0.018***	-0.017*	-0.016*	-0.020**
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000
	SVMDI 2018	Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.004		-0.005	-0.004	
1980-1990	Kailitz	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.000***		-0.000***	-0.000**	
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.062***		0.059***	0.079***	
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.000**		-0.000	0.000	
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	-0.000	
	Paxton	Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.038***		0.036***	0.018***	
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.002*	0.002***	0.001	0.000	0.001
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.032	0.032	0.025	0.021	0.024
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002
	SVMDI 2018	Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.001	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.017		-0.016	-0.009	
1990-2000	Kailitz	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	-0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000*
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.000	0.003	-0.001	0.001	-0.000
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.000	0.001***	0.000	0.000	0.000*
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
	Paxton	Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.006		0.006	0.006	
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001	-0.001***	-0.000	-0.000	-0.001***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.040**	0.000	0.075***	0.048**	0.003
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.003***	0.011***	-0.001	0.003***	0.011***
	SVMDI 2018	Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.001***		0.000	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.005		0.009*	-0.005	
2000-2010	Kailitz	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.002**	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.005	0.005	0.002	0.007	0.000
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.001	-0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001**
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		-0.000	-0.000	
	Paxton	Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.006		0.007	0.003	
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.027*	0.033**	0.021	0.049***	0.040***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.004***	0.005***	0.004***	0.003***	0.005***
	SVMDI 2018	Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		-0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.011		0.010	0.012	
2000-2010	Kailitz	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.001**	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001**
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.000	0.008	-0.001	0.028**	0.027**
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.011***	0.011***	0.012***	0.011***	0.010***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000***	-0.000	
	Paxton	Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.003		-0.001	-0.007	
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	-0.000	0.001	0.000	-0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.001	0.001	-0.010	0.001	0.001
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.000	0.000
	SVMDI 2018	Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.000		0.009	0.000	
2000-2010	Kailitz	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	0.001*	0.000	-0.000	0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.012	0.021	0.005	0.024	0.018
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.002**	0.005***	0.002**	0.002*	0.004***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.001**		0.001**	0.001**	
	Paxton	Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.006		0.007	0.002	
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	0.002**	-0.000	-0.000	0.001*
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.012	0.014	-0.018	-0.017	-0.011
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.005***	0.006***	0.005***	0.004***	0.006***
	SVMDI 2018	Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.001*		0.001	0.001	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.006		0.006	0.006	
2000-2010	Kailitz	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions					
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000					
		Percent Evangelized by 1900					
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions					
	Paxton	Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923					
		Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001	0.002***	-0.000	0.000	0.001*
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.011	-0.002	-0.021	-0.004	-0.011
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001	0.004***	0.002**	0.001	0.004***
	SVMDI 2018	Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.001***		0.001***	0.001***	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.006		0.008	0.008	

Table A.19. Decadal Analysis, part 6

Decade	Democracy Measure	Independent Variables	Table 2.3	Table 2.4	Table 3.3	Table 3.4	Table 3.5
1950-1960	Svolik	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.001**	-0.001***	-0.001*	-0.001	0.001
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.395***	0.524***	0.413***	0.451***	0.524***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.004***	0.000	-0.004***	-0.004***	-0.002
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.001***		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.244***		0.204***	0.232***	
	UDS 2014	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.004**	0.006***	0.004**	0.004*	0.006***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.229*	0.332**	0.240*	0.168	0.284*
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.004	0.002	-0.003	-0.003	0.002
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000	-0.001	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.196**		0.183*	0.179*	
	Vanhanen - Contestation	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001**	0.002***	0.001*	0.001*	0.002***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.100*	0.108**	0.144***	0.121**	0.096*
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.001	0.001*	-0.001	-0.001	0.002*
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.142***		0.174***	0.158***	
1960-1970	Svolik	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001	0.002**	0.001	0.001	0.002**
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.017	0.069	-0.033	-0.034	0.057
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.001	0.003***	0.001	0.001	0.004**
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.001	0.001	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.166**		0.173**	0.180***	
	UDS 2014	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.004***	0.006***	0.004***	0.004***	0.006***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.033	0.073	-0.045	-0.026	0.074
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.004*	0.005***	0.005**	0.005**	0.004*
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		0.001	0.001	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.128**		0.130**	0.138*	
	Vanhanen - Contestation	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001**	0.001***	0.001**	0.001**	0.001***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.013	0.037*	0.008	0.016	0.031
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001	0.002***	0.001	0.001	0.002***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.055**		0.053**	0.054**	
1970-1980	Svolik	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions				0.001	
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000				0.044*	
		Percent Evangelized by 1900				0.002**	
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions				-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923				0.014	
	UDS 2014	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.003**	0.004***	0.002*	0.002*	0.003***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.086***	0.132***	0.079**	0.094**	0.146***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.005***	0.005***	0.005***	0.005***	0.006***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.003		-0.001	0.000	
	Vanhanen - Contestation	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.001**	0.001***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.053***	0.040***	0.050***	0.039***	0.043***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.000	0.001***	0.000	0.000	0.001***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.019***		-0.020***	-0.004	
1980-1990	Svolik	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.000	-0.001
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.007	0.018*	-0.007	-0.016	0.031***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.011***	0.009***	0.011***	0.010***	0.009***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000**	-0.001***	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.003		0.004	0.018**	
	UDS 2014	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.003**	0.003***	0.002	0.002	0.003**
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.058	0.075**	0.056	0.069	0.083**
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.008***	0.009***	0.008***	0.009***	0.010***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.001	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.004		0.001	0.004	
	Vanhanen - Contestation	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001***	0.001**	0.001***	0.001**	0.001***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.019*	0.013*	0.017	0.024**	0.018**
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.002***	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***	0.003***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.004		0.005	0.004	
1990-2000	Svolik	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.000	-0.001***	-0.000	-0.000	-0.001***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.001	0.012*	-0.002	0.013	0.009
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.004***	0.011***	0.004***	0.004***	0.011***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.001	0.001	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.017		0.017	0.011	
	UDS 2014	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001	0.002*	-0.000	-0.000	0.001
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.001	0.046	-0.010	-0.010	0.033
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.005***	0.011***	0.005***	0.005***	0.010***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.018		0.018	0.014	
	Vanhanen - Contestation	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	0.001*	0.000	0.000	0.001
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.014	0.007	0.012	0.017	0.008
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001*	0.003***	0.001**	0.001**	0.002***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000*		0.000**	0.001**	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.003		-0.002	-0.003	
2000-2010	Svolik	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions					
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000					
		Percent Evangelized by 1900					
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions					
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923					
	UDS 2014	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001	0.003**	0.000	0.001	0.002
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.052	0.025	-0.059*	-0.061*	0.006
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.004***	0.010***	0.005***	0.005***	0.009***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.027		0.026	0.024	
	Vanhanen - Contestation	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	0.001*	0.000	0.000	0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.005	0.000	0.003	0.013	-0.002
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001	0.002***	0.001	0.000	0.002***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000**		0.000**	0.001***	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.002		0.002	0.003	

Table A.19. Decadal Analysis, part 7

Decade	Democracy Measure	Independent Variables	Table 2.3	Table 2.4	Table 3.3	Table 3.4	Table 3.5
1950-1960	Vanhanen Democratization	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000**	0.000**	0.000**	0.000**	0.000**
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.058***	0.016	0.067***	0.063***	0.014
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	-0.001**	0.000*	-0.001***	-0.001**	0.000
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.022**		0.027***	0.025***	
	V-Dem - ED	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001***	0.001***	0.001**	0.001**	0.001***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.010	-0.008	-0.010	-0.008	-0.006
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001***	0.001***	0.002***	0.002***	0.001***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.014		0.014	0.014	
	V-Dem - API	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	0.001**	0.001***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.017	-0.025*	-0.017	-0.011	-0.010
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.002**	0.002***	0.002**	0.002**	0.002***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.026		0.027	0.027	
1960-1970	Vanhanen Democratization	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000**	0.000***	0.000**	0.000*	0.000**
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.004	0.003	-0.006	-0.006*	0.003
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.000**	0.000***	0.000	0.000	0.000**
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000*		0.000	-0.000*	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.012***		0.017***	0.013***	
	V-Dem - ED	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001**	0.001***	0.001**	0.001**	0.001***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.012	-0.009	-0.015	-0.014	-0.008
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.002***	0.001***	0.002***	0.002***	0.001***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.000		-0.000	-0.001	
	V-Dem - API	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.002***	0.002***	0.001**	0.001**	0.002***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.010	-0.014	-0.015	-0.015	-0.024
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.002**	0.002***	0.002**	0.002**	0.002***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.007		0.007	0.004	
1970-1980	Vanhanen Democratization	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	0.000***	0.000	-0.000	0.000**
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.015***	0.013***	0.014***	0.014***	0.013***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.005***		-0.005***	-0.004***	
	V-Dem - ED	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001**	0.000**	0.001*	0.000	0.001**
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.020*	0.031***	0.011	0.018*	0.033***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001**	0.001***	0.001**	0.002***	0.001**
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		0.000	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.008		-0.005	-0.007	
	V-Dem - API	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001**	0.002***	0.001**	0.001**	0.002***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.024	0.037***	0.018	0.019	0.041***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001*	0.001**	0.001*	0.002*	0.001**
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.010		-0.007	-0.007	
1980-1990	Vanhanen Democratization	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000*	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000*
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.005	0.008***	0.004	0.008**	0.010***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		-0.000	0.000*	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.001		0.001	0.002	
	V-Dem - ED	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001*	0.001**	0.000	0.000	0.001*
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.044***	0.031***	0.041***	0.043***	0.033***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001**	0.002***	0.001**	0.001**	0.002***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.018		-0.018	-0.021*	
	V-Dem - API	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001**	0.001***	0.001*	0.001	0.001***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.038**	0.031**	0.031*	0.039**	0.034**
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.002**	0.003***	0.002**	0.002***	0.003***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.010		-0.007	-0.015	
1990-2000	Vanhanen Democratization	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	0.000*	0.000	0.000	0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.006	0.004
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000**	0.000**	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.001		0.001	-0.000	
	V-Dem - ED	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.005	0.021	0.001	0.009	0.017
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.002**	0.003***	0.002**	0.001*	0.003***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000*		0.000*	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.029*		0.030*	0.030*	
	V-Dem - API	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001	0.001*	0.001	0.001	0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.010	0.023	0.006	0.013	0.020
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001	0.003***	0.001*	0.001	0.002***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000*	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.020		0.021	0.018	
2000-2010	Vanhanen Democratization	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000*	0.000***	0.000	0.000	0.000*
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.001	-0.001	-0.002	0.002	-0.001
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001**	0.001***	0.001***	0.001**	0.001***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000*	0.000*	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.002		0.002	0.001	
	V-Dem - ED	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001*	0.001**	0.001	0.001	0.001*
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.014	0.006	-0.019	-0.006	0.001
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001*	0.003***	0.001**	0.001	0.002***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.022		0.023	0.022	
	V-Dem - API	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001*	0.001***	0.001	0.001	0.001**
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.016	0.006	-0.017	-0.010	0.007
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001	0.002***	0.001	0.001	0.001**
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.015		0.018	0.017	

Table A.19. Decadal Analysis, part 8

Decade	Democracy Measure	Independent Variables	Table 2.3	Table 2.4	Table 3.3	Table 3.4	Table 3.5
1950-1960	V-Dem MPI	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000**
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.000	-0.001	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.000	0.000***	0.000	0.000	0.000
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.000		-0.000	-0.000	
	V-Dem Suffrage	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.002*	0.002***	0.001	0.001	0.001*
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.048	-0.102***	-0.052*	-0.032	-0.057**
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.003**	0.003***	0.004***	0.003*	0.003***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		-0.000	-0.001*	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.029		-0.017	-0.023	
1960-1970	V-Dem MPI	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	0.000**	0.000*	0.000	0.000***
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.005*	-0.001	-0.007**	-0.007**	-0.002
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000*	0.000**
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.001		0.001	0.000	
	V-Dem Suffrage	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.048***		-0.041***	-0.039**	-0.040***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.002***		0.003***	0.003***	0.000
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000	-0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.085***		-0.092***	-0.087***	
1970-1980	V-Dem MPI	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	0.000**	-0.000	-0.000	0.000**
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.009***	-0.000	0.009***	0.010***	-0.000
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.000**	0.000	0.000***	0.000***	0.000
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	-0.000		-0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.000		-0.000	-0.003**	
	V-Dem Suffrage	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions					
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000					
		Percent Evangelized by 1900					
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions					
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923					
1980-1990	V-Dem MPI	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000**	0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.015***	0.008***	0.016***	0.012**	0.012***
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.001***	0.000***	0.001***	0.001***	0.000
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000*	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	-0.013**		-0.013**	-0.014***	
	V-Dem Suffrage	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions					
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000					
		Percent Evangelized by 1900					
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions					
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923					
1990-2000	V-Dem MPI	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.000	0.000	-0.000	0.000	-0.000
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	0.001	0.023*	-0.002	-0.005	0.018
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.002***	0.004***	0.003***	0.002***	0.003***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.044***		0.046***	0.049***	
	V-Dem Suffrage	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions					
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000					
		Percent Evangelized by 1900					
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions					
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923					
2000-2010	V-Dem MPI	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions	0.001	0.001**	0.000	0.001	0.001
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000	-0.022	0.007	-0.024	-0.014	0.004
		Percent Evangelized by 1900	0.002**	0.004***	0.002**	0.001*	0.002***
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions	0.000		0.000	0.000	
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923	0.030*		0.030*	0.034**	
	V-Dem Suffrage	Years Exposure to Protestant Missions					
		Protestant Missionaries per 10,000					
		Percent Evangelized by 1900					
		Years Exposure to Catholic Missions					
		Foreign Catholic Priests per 10,000 pop. in 1923					

Sources of democracy data – Online Appendix

Author	Description	Source
Boix-Miller-Rosato	Dichotomous measure of democracy based on levels of contestation and participation.	Boix C, Miller M, Rosato S (2012). "A Complete Dataset of Political Regimes, 1800-2007." <i>Comparative Political Studies</i> , 46(12), 1523-1554. doi: 10.1177/0010414012463905
Boix-Miller-Rosato (omitted)	Dichotomous measure of democracy based on levels of contestation and participation - omitted if occupied.	Boix C, Miller M, Rosato S (2012). "A Complete Dataset of Political Regimes, 1800-2007." <i>Comparative Political Studies</i> , 46(12), 1523-1554. doi: 10.1177/0010414012463905
Bollen	Political democracy index based on levels of political liberties and popular sovereignty.	Bollen KA (2001). "Cross-National Indicators of Liberal Democracy, 1950-1990."
Cheibub-Gandhi-Vreeland	Dichotomous measure of democracy based on effective executive and legislative selection, legal status and existence of parties and consolidation of incumbent advantage.	Cheibub J, Gandhi J, Vreeland J (2010). "Democracy and dictatorship revisited." <i>Public Choice</i> , 143(1), 67-101. doi: 10.1007/s11127-009-9491-2
Coppedge-Alvarez-Maldonado	Measure of democracy based on levels of contestation.	Coppedge M, Alvarez A, Maldonado C (2008). "Two Persistent Dimensions of Democracy: Contestation and Inclusiveness." <i>The journal of politics</i> , 70(03), 632-647. doi: 10.1017/S0022381608080663
Coppedge-Alvarez-Maldonado	Measure of democracy based on levels of inclusiveness.	Coppedge M, Alvarez A, Maldonado C (2008). "Two Persistent Dimensions of Democracy: Contestation and Inclusiveness." <i>The journal of politics</i> , 70(03), 632-647. doi: 10.1017/S0022381608080663
Freedom House	Measure of democracy based on levels of civil liberties.	Freedom House (2018). "Freedom in the World 2018: Democracy in Crisis." Freedom House. https://freedomhouse.org/
Freedom House	Measure of democracy based on levels of political rights.	Freedom House (2018). "Freedom in the World 2018: Democracy in Crisis." Freedom House. https://freedomhouse.org/

Freedom House	Measure of democracy based on levels of civil liberties and political rights.	Freedom House (2018). "Freedom in the World 2018: Democracy in Crisis." Freedom House. https://freedomhouse.org/
Geddes-Wright-Frantz	Measure of democracy based on absence of autocratic regime cases.	Geddes B, Wright J, Frantz E (2014). "Autocratic Breakdown and Regime Transitions: A New Data Set." <i>Perspectives on Politics</i> , 12(1), 313-331. doi: 10.1017/S1537592714000851
Kailitz	Measure of democracy based on levels of legitimation exhibited by the political regimes.	Kailitz S (2013). "Classifying political regimes revisited: legitimation and durability." <i>Democratization</i> , 20(1), 39-60. doi: 10.1080/13510347.2013.738861
Paxton-Bollen-Lee-Kim	Share of population with suffrage.	Paxton, P, Bollen, KA, Lee, DM and Kim, H (2003) "A half-century of suffrage: New data and a comparative analysis." <i>Studies in Comparative International Development</i> , 38(1), pp.93-122.
Polity IV	Measure of democracy based on levels of electoral institutions, constraints on the exercise of power, as well as civil liberties.	Marshall MG, Gurr TR, Jaggers K (2015). <i>Polity IV Project: Political Regime Characteristics and Transitions, 1800-2015. Dataset Users' Manual.</i>
Gruendler-Krieger	Machine-learning measure of democracy based on levels of political competition, electoral participation, and political discourse .	Gruendler K, Krieger T (2016). "Democracy and growth: Evidence from a machine learning indicator." <i>European Journal of Political Economy</i> , 45, 85-107. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2016.05.005
Gruendler-Krieger	Machine-learning measure of democracy based on levels of political competition, electoral participation, and political discourse .	Gruendler K, Krieger T (2018). "Machine Learning Indices, Political Institutions, and Economic Development." CESifo Group Munich. https://www.cesifo-group.de/DocDL/cesifo1_wp6930.pdf
Svolik	Measure of democracy based on levels of free and competitive legislative elections and an executive that is elected in free and competitive elections.	Svolik M (2012). <i>The Politics of Authoritarian Rule</i> . Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Pemstein-Meserve-Melton	Measure of democracy estimated using a Bayesian statistical measurement across multiple established indicators of democracy.	Pemstein D, Meserve S, Melton J (2010). "Democratic Compromise: A Latent Variable Analysis of Ten Measures of Regime Type." <i>Political Analysis</i> , 18(4), 426-449. doi: 10.1093/pan/mpq020
Pemstein-Meserve-Melton	Measure of democracy estimated using a Bayesian statistical measurement across multiple established indicators of democracy.	Pemstein D, Meserve SA, Melton J (2013). "Replication data for: Democratic Compromise: A Latent Variable Analysis of Ten Measures of Regime Type." http://hdl.handle.net/1902.1/PMM
Pemstein-Meserve-Melton	Measure of democracy estimated using a Bayesian statistical measurement across multiple established indicators of democracy.	Pemstein D, Meserve SA, Melton J (2014). "Unified Democracy Scores (Updated March 12, 2014)". http://www.unified-democracy-scores.org/uds.html
V-Dem	Measure of democracy based on levels of free electoral competition, freedom of association, freedom of expression and suffrage.	Varieties of Democracy (V-Dem) Project.
V-Dem	Measure of democracy based on levels of civil liberties, strong rule of law, an independent judiciary, and effective checks and balances.	Varieties of Democracy (V-Dem) Project.
V-Dem	Multiplicative measure of democracy based on levels of free electoral competition, freedom of association, freedom of expression and suffrage.	Varieties of Democracy (V-Dem) Project.
V-Dem	Share of population with suffrage.	Varieties of Democracy (V-Dem) Project.
Vanhanen Contestation	The smaller parties' share of the votes cast in parliamentary or presidential elections, or both, is used to indicate the degree of competition. It is calculated by subtracting the percentage of votes won by the largest party from 100.	Vanhanen, Tatu. Measures of Democracy 1810-2012 (computer file). FSD1289, version 6.0 (2014-01-31). Vanhanen, Tatu & Lundell, Krister (data collection). Tampere. Finnish Social Science Data Archive (distributor), 2014. Data available at http://www.fsd.uta.fi/english/data/catalogue/FSD1289/meF1289e.html

Vanhanen Democratization	An equal average of competition and participation	Vanhanen, Tatu. Measures of Democracy 1810-2012 (computer file). FSD1289, version 6.0 (2014-01-31). Vanhanen, Tatu & Lundell, Krister (data collection). Tampere. Finnish Social Science Data Archive (distributor), 2014. Data available at http://www.fsd.uta.fi/english/data/catalogue/FSD1289/meF1289e.html
--------------------------	---	--

Note: Anckar-Fredriksson (2018) is the BMR measure extended for two years.