

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction: Clinical and cost-effectiveness of a New psychosocial intervention to support Independence in Dementia (NIDUS-family) for family carers and people living with dementia in their own homes: a randomised controlled trial

Alexandra Burton^{1*}, Penny Rapaport², Marina Palomo³, Kathryn Lord⁴, Jessica Budgett², Julie Barber⁵, Rachael Hunter⁶, Laurie Butler⁷, Victoria Vickerstaff⁶, Kenneth Rockwood⁸, Margaret Ogden⁹, Debs Smith⁹, Iain Lang¹⁰, Gill Livingston², Briony Dow¹¹, Helen Kales¹², Jill Manthorpe¹³, Kate Walters⁶, Juanita Hoe¹⁴, Vasiliki Orgeta², Quincy Samus¹⁵ and Claudia Cooper^{2,3} on behalf of the NIDUS study team

Correction: BMC Trials 22, 865 (2023)
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-021-05851-z>

Published online: 02 January 2024

Following publication of the original article [1], we have been notified that the name of the 9th author is Victoria Vickerstaff, and not Jessica Vickerstaff. Also, their affiliation is “Research Department of Primary Care and Population Health, University College London, London, UK” instead of the originally published “Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, UK”.

The original article has been corrected.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-021-05851-z>.

*Correspondence:

Alexandra Burton
a.burton@ucl.ac.uk

¹ Department of Behavioural Science and Health, University College London, London, UK

² Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, UK

³ Camden and Islington NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

⁴ The Centre for Applied Dementia Studies, University of Bradford, Bradford, UK

⁵ Department of Statistical Science, University College London, London, UK

⁶ Research Department of Primary Care and Population Health, University College London, London, UK

Reference

1. Burton, et al. Clinical and cost-effectiveness of a New psychosocial intervention to support Independence in Dementia (NIDUS-family) for family carers and people living with dementia in their own homes: a randomised controlled trial. *BMC Trials*. 2023;22:865. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-021-05851-z>.

⁷ Faculty of Science and Engineering, Anglia Ruskin University, Cambridge, UK

⁸ Division of Geriatric Medicine, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Canada

⁹ Alzheimer’s Society Research Network Volunteers, London, UK

¹⁰ College of Medicine and Health, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

¹¹ National Ageing Research Institute, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Parkville, VIC, Australia

¹² Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, UC Davis Health, University of California, California, USA

¹³ NIHR Policy Research Unit in Health and Social Care Workforce, King’s College London, London, UK

¹⁴ Division of Nursing, School of Health Sciences, City University of London, London, UK

¹⁵ Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.