- **EM Simulation Analysis**
	- Software: Sim4Life (V8.0, ZMT) using Finite-Difference Time-Domain (FDTD) solver.
	- Model: 3D rodent model comprising 68 tissues [3, 4].
- **RF Transmission Coil Setup and Specifications**
	- Type: Quadrature highpass birdcage RF coil.
	- Coil dimensions: Diameter: 72 mm, Length: 72 mm.
	- Shield dimensions: Diameter: 90 mm, Length: 225 mm.
	- Coil structure: 8 rungs, each 9.9 mm wide.
	- Tuning capacitor: 14.2 pF placed on the end-rings, which are 11.5 mm wide. *See figure 1*.
- **Simulation Setup**
	- Excitation parameters: 300 MHz Gaussian excitation with a bandwidth of 650 MHz, excited in two-port, combined in circular-polarized mode.
	- Sub-gridding feature: Utilized for localized mesh refinement, obtained from ZMT.
- **SAR Calculation**
	- Specific Absorption Rate (SAR): Mean and peak SAR averaged over 0.01g, 0.1g, and 1g tissue-mass were calculated following IEC guidelines [5].
- **MRI Experiments**

- 7 T Bruker MRI
- Ex vivo/ In vivo Sequence Parameters: Axial T2 TurboRARE, FOV: 35 x 35 x 15 mm, matrix  $= 512 \times 512 \times 30$ , TE = 33 ms, TR = 3192 ms, NEX = 5, RARE factor = 8

## **Results**

- **B<sup>1</sup> + -Field and E-Field Distributions:**
	- $\cdot$  B<sub>1</sub><sup>+</sup> and E-field magnitudes increased by approximately 15-20% near the probes.
	- Cause: Induced current in the metal layers of the probes during transmission (see *figure 2*).

#### • **SAR Distributions:**

- Elevated SAR due to probes:
- Mean Mass-Averaged SAR (W/kg): **Without probe**: 0.63; **With probe**: 0.83
- Peak Mass-Averaged SAR (W/kg): **Without probe**: 0.01g: 2.5, 0.1g: 1.3, 1g: 0.8

**With probe**: 0.01g: 5.9, 0.1g: 2.8, 1g: 1.6 (see *figure 3*).

# **Suchit Kumar<sup>1</sup> , Samuel M. Flaherty 2 , Alejandro Labastida-Ramírez 2 , Anton Guimerà**  Brunet<sup>3</sup>, Ben Dickie<sup>4</sup>, Kostas Kostarelos<sup>2</sup>, Rob C. Wykes<sup>1,2</sup>, Louis Lemieux<sup>1\*</sup>

- Localization: SAR peak localized in the skin.
- **Computational Time:**
	- **With probe**: Approximately 160 h / port; **Without probe**: Approximately 1.5 h / port.
	- Note: Computational power limitations on a single GPU require cluster GPU implementation.
- **MRI findings:**

Initial ex vivo phantom and in vivo rodent experiments demonstrated promising results, including artifact-free MR images and stable performance of the graphene probes during functional tests **(**see *figure 4***).**

#### **Conclusions**

- New advancements in electrophysiological recording technology, specifically Graphene Solution-Gated Field-Effect Transistors (gSGFET) [1].
- gSGFETs offer several advantages over traditional electrodes, particularly in MRI settings.
- Interest in performing MRI acquisitions on animals implanted with gSGFET probes.
- Ensuring MR compatibility and safety of these graphene-based EEG probes is crucial for research continuity and accuracy.

- **EM Interaction:** Successfully demonstrated the EM interaction of graphene-based EEG probes in an MRI environment.
- **RF Transmission and SAR:** Graphene-based probes can affect RF transmission and increase SAR deposition while staying within permissible limits, ensuring MR compatibility and safety.
- This study confirms the potential of graphene-based EEG probes for safe and effective integration into MRI environments.
- **Future Work:**
- Optimization: Further work needed to optimize computational efficiency using GPU clusters.
- Concurrent Studies: EEG-fMRI studies in vivo in normal and chronically epileptic rodents.
- Scaling: Potential scaling of these probes for human application.



- MRI Experiments: Conducted to verify MRI compatibility and localization in both ex vivo and in vivo conditions.
- Goal: Achieving the highest possible level of MR compatibility for these advanced probes.

**Fig. 2.** Simulated B<sub>1</sub><sup>+</sup>-field distribution in rodent model (A) without, (C) with probe model; *E-field distribution in rodent model (B) without, (D) with probe model.*



**Fig. 3***. Simulated mass-avg SAR distribution in rodent model (A) without, (B) with EEG probe model for 0.01g (left), 0.1g (middle) and 1g (right) tissue mass.*



**References:** [1] Bonaccini Calia, Andrea, et al. Nature Nanotechnology 17.3 (2022): 301-309. [2] Wykes, Rob C., et al. Clinical and Translational Medicine 12.7 (2022): 1-4. [3] Sim4Life, ZMT, <http://www.zurichmedtech.com>. [4] Kainz, Wolfgang, et al. Physics in Medicine & Biology 51.20 (2006): 5211. [5] International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) (2022): IEC 60601-2-33.

**Figures**nner Length – 72 mn Outer Diameter - 90 mi  $23.5 \text{ mm}$  $-8~\mathrm{mm}$  $\blacklozenge$  $222 \text{ µm}$ 

**Acknowledgements**: This project is funded by the EPSRC under grant no. EP/X013669/1. The authors are grateful for the support from Sim4life, ZMT for providing the science license.





The University of Manchester







**Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council** 

# **Characterization of a Graphene-Based Electrophysiology Probe for Concurrent EEG-fMRI**

**University College London Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK Centre for Nanotechnology in Medicine & Division Neuroscience, University of Manchester, UK Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red en Bioingeniería, Biomateriales y Nanomedicina (CIBER-BBN), Madrid, Spain Division of Informatics, Imaging and Data Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK**



#### **Graphene-Based Recording Technology**

## **Advantages of gSGFET**

• Reduced Metal Interference: Significantly lowers the amount of metal that can interfere with MRI

#### scans.

• High-Fidelity Brain Signal Recording: Enables DC-coupled brain signal recording with high fidelity, specifically demonstrated in rodent models [2].

#### **Importance of MRI Compatibility**

## **Study Objectives**

• Computational Simulations: Conducted to assess the electromagnetic (EM) interaction and safety of animals implanted with these probes within an MRI environment.

# **Introduction**

## **Methods**

# **Results & Conclusions**

*Fig. 1. ((A) Transmit highpass birdcage RF coil dimensions; (B) 16-channel intracortical graphene probe dimensions; (C) Configuration of rodent model placed in RF coil without, and (D) with graphene-based probe model as a brain implant.*



*Fig. 4. Experimental setup of the graphene electrode in MRI (A, B); MRI artifact study in ex vivo phantom with epicortical array (C), and in vivo mice with intracortical electrode array (D).*