

# Spectral moment formulae for $GL(3) \times GL(2)$ *L*-functions III: the twisted case

Chung-Hang Kwan<sup>1</sup>

Received: 27 November 2023 / Revised: 28 May 2024 / Accepted: 3 June 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

# Abstract

This is a sequel to our previous articles (Kwan in Algebra Number Theory. arXiv:2112.08568v4; Kwan in Spectral moment formulae for  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$  *L*-functions II: Eisenstein case, 2023. arXiv:2310.09419). In this work, we apply recent techniques that fall under the banner of 'Period Reciprocity' to study moments of  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$  *L*-functions in the non-archimedean aspects, with a view towards the 'Twisted Moment Conjectures' formulated by CFKRS.

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 11F55; Secondary 11F72

# **1 Introduction**

# 1.1 Reciprocity

This article is motivated by the important works of Young [59] and Blomer–Humphries–Khan–Milinovic [9] regarding the spectral theory for the fourth moment of the Dirichlet *L*-functions in the prime conductor aspect. Their works featured a very curious *reciprocity relation* of the form

$$\mathcal{M}_{4}(p) := \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{p} \\ \chi \neq \chi_{0}}} \int_{|t| \ll 1} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right|^{4} dt$$
$$= p^{1/2} \sum_{\substack{f: \text{ level } 1 \\ \text{ cusp forms}}} L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f\right)^{3} \lambda_{f}(p) + (***), \tag{1.1}$$

where p is a prime number.

Chung-Hang Kwan ucahckw@ucl.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> University College London, London, UK

The formula above can be recognized as a *non-archimedean* generalization of the celebrated *spectral reciprocity* formula due to Motohashi [52, 53]:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \zeta \left( \frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^4 w(t) dt = \sum_{\substack{f: \text{ level } 1 \\ \text{ cusp forms}}} L \left( \frac{1}{2}, f \right)^3 \breve{w}(t_f) + (* * *). \quad (1.2)$$

Indeed, (1.1) incorporates an additional duality between the GL(1) twists of Dirichlet characters and the GL(2) twists of Hecke eigenvalues when compared to (1.2). Furthermore, the reciprocity (1.1) succinctly captures the essential inputs that lead to a sharp asymptotic formula for  $\mathcal{M}_4(p)$ —it suffices to apply the spectral large sieve and the best approximation towards the Ramanujan–Petersson conjecture for GL(2) cuspidal Hecke eigenforms to the spectral side of (1.1).

The arguments culminating in (1.1), as presented by [59] and [9], have rendered the elegant-looking non-archimedean reciprocity even more surprising. On the one hand, Blomer et al. [9] devised a strategic compositum of spectral and harmonic summation formulae which can be summarized as '*Poisson-(Arithmetic) Reciprocity-Poisson-Voronoi–Kuznetsov*'. One arrived at the reciprocity formula (1.1) upon carefully tracing through the delicate transformations of exponential/character sums in each step. On the other hand, Young [59] applied the spectral method in conjunction with the delta method to a *generalized binary additive problem*. His strategy was favourable in establishing the conjecture of [20] for the moment  $\mathcal{M}_4(p)$  and turned out to be useful in many other instances, see [4, 5, 36, 37, 54, 57, 61]. An entirely different sequence of transformations led to the same formula (1.1) once again. Regardless, both [59] and [9] greatly enriched the Kuznetsov-based approach introduced by [52].

The first goal of this article is to understand the source of the *non-archimedean* reciprocity discovered by [9, 59] using *period integrals*. In our previous work [45], we focused on the archimedean aspects of our period identity and a number of technical features of this article were thus not present in [45]. For the sake of elucidating the key ideas and the other applications (our second goal, see Sect. 1.3), we establish a higher-rank analogue of the reciprocity (1.1) involving  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$  Rankin–Selberg *L*-functions. In this case, it is more natural to describe the result in the spectral direction. Nevertheless, it is important to note that the reciprocity of our interest stays the same for both the spectral and the arithmetic directions.

#### 1.2 Main results

In this article, we work with the same admissible class of test functions  $C_{\eta}$  ( $\eta > 40$ ) as in [45]. The class  $C_{\eta}$  consists of holomorphic functions H defined on the vertical strip | Re  $\mu$ | <  $2\eta$  satisfying  $H(\mu) = H(-\mu)$  and  $H(\mu) \ll e^{-2\pi |\mu|}$  on such a strip.

Let  $\Phi$  be a fixed Hecke-normalized Maass cusp form of  $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$  with Langlands parameters  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in (i\mathbb{R})^3$ , Hecke eigenvalues  $\lambda_{\Phi}(m)$   $(m \ge 1)$ , and  $\tilde{\Phi}$  being the dual form of  $\Phi$ . We pick an orthogonal basis  $(\phi_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of **even** Hecke-normalized Maass cusp forms of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  with  $\Delta \phi_j = (1/4 - \mu_j^2) \phi_j$  and  $\lambda_j(a)$   $(a \ge 1)$  being the Hecke eigenvalues of  $\phi_j$ . Let  $L(s, \phi_j \otimes \Phi)$  and  $L(s, \Phi)$  be the Rankin–Selberg *L*-function of the pair  $(\phi_j, \Phi)$  and the standard *L*-function of  $\Phi$  respectively. We use the notation  $\Lambda(\cdots)$  to denote the completed *L*-function of  $L(\cdots)$ . The Hurwitz  $\zeta$ -function and the additively-twisted *L*-function of  $\Phi$  are denoted by  $\zeta(s, a)$  and  $L(s, a/c; \Phi)$  respectively, and define

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(a)}(s_0, s; \Phi) := \sum_{dr|a} \frac{d^{2s_0 - 1}\mu(r)}{r^{s_0}} \overline{\lambda_{\Phi}}\left(\frac{a}{dr}\right) \sum_{\ell \pmod{d}} \zeta\left(2s - s_0, \frac{\ell}{d}\right) L\left(s_0; \mp \frac{r\overline{\ell}}{d}; \Phi\right).$$
(1.3)

See Sect. 2.5 for details.

**Theorem 1.1** For  $\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{200} < \sigma < \frac{3}{4}$  and  $H \in C_{\eta}$ , the following reciprocity formula holds:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H(\mu_{j}) & \frac{\lambda_{j}(a)\Lambda(s,\phi_{j}\otimes\tilde{\Phi})}{\langle\phi_{j},\phi_{j}\rangle} \\ &+ \int_{(0)} H(\mu) \frac{\sigma_{-2\mu}(a)a^{-\mu}\Lambda(s+\mu,\tilde{\Phi})\Lambda(1-s+\mu,\Phi)}{|\Lambda(1+2\mu)|^{2}} \frac{d\mu}{4\pi i} \\ &= \frac{a^{-s}}{2} L(2s,\Phi) \prod_{p|a} \left\{ \overline{\lambda_{\Phi}} \left( p^{o_{p}(a)} \right) - \frac{\overline{\lambda_{\Phi}} \left( p^{o_{p}(a)-1} \right)}{p^{2s}} \right\} \\ &\cdot \int_{(0)} \frac{H(\mu)}{|\Gamma(\mu)|^{2}} \prod_{\pm} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \left( s \pm \mu - \alpha_{i} \right) \frac{d\mu}{2\pi i} \\ &+ \frac{a^{s-1}}{2} L(2(1-s),\tilde{\Phi}) \prod_{p|a} \left\{ \lambda_{\Phi} \left( p^{o_{p}(a)} \right) - \frac{\lambda_{\Phi} \left( p^{o_{p}(a)-1} \right)}{p^{2(1-s)}} \right\} \\ &\cdot \int_{(0)} \frac{H(\mu)}{|\Gamma(\mu)|^{2}} \prod_{\pm} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \left( 1 - s \pm \mu + \alpha_{i} \right) \frac{d\mu}{2\pi i} \\ &+ \frac{a^{-s}}{2} \sum_{\pm} \int_{(1/2)} \mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(a)} \left( s_{0}, s; \Phi \right) \left( \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)} H \right) \left( s_{0}, s \right) \frac{ds_{0}}{2\pi i}, \end{split}$$
(1.4)

where  $\sigma_{-2\mu}(a) := \sum_{d|a} d^{-2\mu}$ ,  $o_p(a)$  denotes the power of p in the prime factorization of a, and  $(\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)}H)(s_0, s)$  are certain explicit integral transforms to be defined in Sect. 2.7.

Our theorem holds for *general* twists of GL(2) Hecke eigenvalues (i.e., *a* is not necessarily prime). In this instance, we find it more convenient to describe the dual moment of (1.4) in terms of additively-twisted *L*-functions and this actually explains the origin of our reciprocity better (see Remark 5.1). In a number of previous works on twisted moments, e.g., [1, 3, 18, 49, 60], one had to restrict to Hecke eigenvalue twists at primes for various technical reasons.

When a = p is prime, the dual moment can be expressed in terms of primitive multiplicatively-twisted *L*-functions as in (1.1) (see Proposition 4.7). Furthermore, if s = 1/2 and  $\Phi$  is replaced by the (complete) minimal parabolic Eisenstein series  $(E_{\min}^{(3)})^*$  (\*; (0, 0, 0)) of  $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ , then the dual moment of (1.4) is precisely the fourth moments of the Dirichlet *L*-functions:

$$\frac{p^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\phi(p)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}} \int_{(1/2)} |L(s_0,\chi)|^4 \left(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{(+)}H \pm \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{(-)}H\right)(s_0, 1/2) \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1 + \frac{1\mp 1}{2} - s_0\right)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}\left(s_0 + \frac{1\mp 1}{2}\right)} \frac{ds_0}{2\pi i}, \quad (1.5)$$

which we will show in Sect. 5.1. As a matter of fact, the use of periods unveils that the source of the arithmetic (or non-archimedean) reciprocity in (1.5) lies in a strikingly simple matrix identity:

$$\binom{* \ *}{a_0 \ p} \binom{y_0}{1} = \binom{1 \ -\overline{a_0}/p}{1} n(x)a(y)k(\theta) \binom{r}{r}, \qquad (1.6)$$

where the first matrix of (1.6) belongs to  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , and the matrices n(x), a(y),  $k(\theta)$ ,  $\binom{r}{r}$  (as in the Iwasawa decomposition) are solely responsible for the analytic (or archimedean) reciprocity. See Sect. 3 for the details.

When *a* is composite, the relevant conversion remains valid but this time (1.5) would average over all Dirichlet characters (mod *a*)—both primitive and imprimitive ones. Judging from the experiences of [9] and [57], this phenomenon should not be surprising even to period integral approaches.

#### 1.3 Twisting and the 'Recipe'

Theorem 1.1 exhibits a number of interesting technical similarities with the asymptotic formulae for the twisted moments of the Riemann  $\zeta$ -function and the Dirichlet *L*-functions. There is extensive literature on this classical topic: Levinson [47], Deshouillers–Iwaniec [31], Balasubramanian–Conrey–Heath–Brown [6], Conrey [17], Watt [56] and Iwaniec–Sarnak [38]—just to name a few.

For more basic applications (say [6, 38, 47, 58]) involving twisted moments of lower degrees such as

$$\int_{T}^{2T} \left(\frac{h}{k}\right)^{it} \zeta(1/2 + \alpha + it)\zeta(1/2 + \beta - it) dt, \qquad (1.7)$$

or

$$\frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^{*} \chi(h)\overline{\chi}(k)L\left(1/2 + \alpha, \chi\right)L\left(1/2 + \beta, \overline{\chi}\right), \tag{1.8}$$

for any  $h, k \ge 1$  and  $\alpha, \beta \ll (\log qT)^{-1}$ , the diagonals usually dominate the asymptotic evaluations upon inserting the approximate functional equations (AFEs).

However, the twisted variant of the *Moment Conjecture* (or '*twisted recipe*') of [20] does predict the existence of *off-diagonal* contributions which are non-negligible for more refined applications, see Bettin–Chandee–Radziwiłł [7], Conrey–Iwaniec–Soundararajan [23], Bui–Pratt–Robles–Zaharescu [12] and Conrey [18]. In these works, the search for the essential off-diagonal terms turned out to be extremely subtle. The '*shifts*' (i.e.,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  in (1.7)–(1.8)) in the twisted moments are crucial in unraveling the combinatorics behind the assembling of various distinct-looking terms.

A similar situation is encountered in the context of Theorem 1.1. In view of the applications of Liu [49] and Khan [43] regarding the  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$  L-values, it was sufficient to extract only the diagonal as the main term. As in Li [48], their moments were expanded as sums of geometric terms via the AFEs and Petersson/ Kuznetsov/GL(3) Voronoi formulae. Unfortunately, it is not clear from their works the locations of the off-diagonal main terms. A recurring theme in the area, as exemplified by [7, 18, 21, 23], involves the identification of specific polar divisors subsequent to the repackaging of the off-diagonal pieces. This procedure is usually delicate and depends heavily on the *arithmetic* of the moment problem. In Sect. 4.4, we shall observe the *Motohashi-type structure* depicted in Theorem 1.1 is indeed very suitable for a simple extraction of the off-diagonal main term. In particular, the twisted sums of *L*-functions (1.3) admit a clear polar divisor  $2s - s_0 = 1$ . Overall speaking, we seek the most canonical and straightforward means to reveal the desired structure. It appears to us that the use of period integrals is favourable in this regard.

In Theorem 1.1, we examine the case when  $\Phi$  is a cusp form of  $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ . This is nevertheless adequate to illustrate the intricacies of understanding the main terms for twisted moments while keeping our exposition to a reasonable length. In fact, our twisted moment is of degree 6 and its off-diagonal main term corresponds precisely to the '3-*swap term*', according to the set-up and terminology of Conrey–Keating [24–28] and Conrey–Fazzari [19], of the twisted cubic moment of GL(2) *L*-functions:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H(\mu_j) \frac{\lambda_j(a)\Lambda(1/2 - \alpha_1, \phi_j)\Lambda(1/2 - \alpha_2, \phi_j)\Lambda(1/2 - \alpha_3, \phi_j)}{\langle \phi_j, \phi_j \rangle} + (\text{cont.}),$$
(1.9)

which is simply the Eisenstein case of Theorem 1.1, i.e., taking  $\Phi$  to be  $(E_{\min}^{(3)})^*$  (\*;  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ ).

Our current knowledge on the *Dirichlet polynomial* approximations of *L*-functions enables us to extract the '0-swap' and '1-swap' terms across various moments and families, see [13, 26, 36, 54], [19] and [29] for, respectively, the unitary, orthogonal and symplectic examples. To go beyond the 0-swap and 1-swap terms, one would require extra arithmetic structures, say the Motohashi-type structure present in our case. Furthermore, since our method is based on period integrals, we are able to sidestep the technical complications stemming from the use of AFEs and treating the *J*- and *K*-Bessel pieces of the spectral Kuznetsov formula separately (see [45] for further discussions). Given a twisted moment of *L*-functions, showing agreement with the relevant twisted recipe often requires additional symmetries besides the functional equations of the *L*-functions. For instance, certain *finite Euler products*, which account for the ramifications coming from twisting, satisfy unexpected functional relations. Within the unitary families, readers are referred to [37] (Section 6.2-6.3), [23] (Section 8) and [13] (Section 9.3) (cf. [26] and [36] (Section 5–6)) for the examples. Established in a prime-by-prime (or *local*) fashion with leverage on the delicate combinatorics of divisors, these relations result in remarkable combinations and cancellations of terms, ultimately leading to the desired prediction up to an acceptable error. In the case of Theorem 1.1, one has to unravel the complicated expression (4.24) for the hidden functional relations if one follows the standard approach in the literature.

In Sect. 4.4 of this article, we proceed *globally* instead by studying the *triple Dirichlet series*:

$$\sum_{a=1}^{\infty} a^{-w} \sum_{d|a} d^{4s-3} \sum_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(a/d, a_1)}{(a_1)^{2s-1}} \cdot S(0, a_1; d),$$
(1.10)

where  $\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(\cdot, \cdot)$ 's are the GL(3) Fourier coefficients of  $\Phi$  and  $S(0, a_1; d)$  is the Ramanujan sum. We will explain how (1.10) arises from our period integral method as well as how it takes up the role of extra functional relations as in [13, 23, 36, 37]. The (multiple) Dirichlet series of GL(3) will provide convenient packaging of the underlying combinatorics. From which, the recipe prediction is confirmed with an exact reciprocity identity and for arbitrary twists of Hecke eigenvalues of GL(2). In many ways, the content of Sect. 4.4 serves as a non-archimedean analogue of the Barnes-type integral identities found in [46]. This kind of analogy was also investigated by Bump [14] but in a different situation. Bump constructed an interesting double Dirichlet series (see equation (9.2) of [14]) that mirrors the Vinogradov–Takhtadzhyan formula (see equation (10.1) of [14]).

In a separate article [46], we tackled the *analytic* issues for the untwisted Eisenstein case of Theorem 1.1, including explication of the integral transforms, regularization and analytic continuation (with the Fourier expansion for the GL(3) Eisenstein series). By combining the techniques of [46] with the *non-archimedean* ingredients of this article, the twisted recipe for (1.9) (see Conjecture 5.2) should follow with some extra book-keeping. It is noteworthy that the majority of our arguments work equally well for the Eisenstein case.

Both Theorem 1.1 and Conjecture 5.2 express their main terms in a local fashion. This better displays the symmetries—the finite part of each term now consists of a product of *L*-values and a 'modified' Hecke eigenvalue of GL(3). As a matter of fact, this is a somewhat intriguing phenomenon for the off-diagonal main terms because the GL(3) Hecke combinatorics are exploited in rather non-trivial ways. In a broader context, it might be of interest to study the twisted recipes for different families (perhaps also revisiting some of the previous works) by searching for suitable candidates of multiple Dirichlet series and their combinatorial relations.

#### 1.4 Related works

In contrast to the Motohashi-type reciprocities which relate two different-looking moments of *L*-functions, there are also the recent '*level reciprocities*' of Blomer–Khan [10, 11] roughly taking the shape

$$\sum_{\substack{f: \text{ level } p \\ \text{susp forms}}} \lambda_f(q) L(1/2, \Phi \otimes f) L(1/2, f) \iff \sum_{\substack{f: \text{ level } q \\ \text{ cusp forms}}} \lambda_f(p) L(1/2, \widetilde{\Phi} \otimes f) L(1/2, f) \quad (1.11)$$

for p, q being primes. In the latter case, two *similar-looking* moments are connected, akin to an earlier example due to Conrey [18] (see also [3, 32, 60]):

$$\sum_{\chi \pmod{p}}^{*} \chi(q) |L(1/2,\chi)|^2 \iff \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^{*} \chi(-p) |L(1/2,\chi)|^2.$$
(1.12)

The level reciprocity (1.11) features the switching of two distinct twists of GL(2)Hecke eigenvalues that appeared on both sides of the formula. It is conceivable that a certain invariant automorphic linear functional which incorporates two distinct GL(2)Hecke operators is responsible for such kind of reciprocities. This was nicely confirmed by two different constructions of such functionals, see Zacharias [62, 63] (for the '4 = 2 + 2' case) and Nunes [55] (for the '4 = 3 + 1' case), and for Hecke twists at two coprime square-free integral ideals.

While the interchange of harmonics  $(\lambda_f(p)) \leftrightarrow (\chi \mod p)$  described in (1.4)–(1.5) and (1.1) is also of non-archimedean nature (and to some extent a hybrid of the ones in (1.11) and (1.12)), its primary arithmetic cause has less to do with the actions of the Hecke operators and is actually rather different from [55, 62, 63], see Remark 5.1.

Moreover, Theorem 1.1 is a higher-degree and higher-rank analogue of the famous result of Iwaniec-Sarnak (Theorem 17 of [39]), Motohashi (Equation (2.33)–(2.36) of [51]) and Bykovskii ([16]) which has found plenty of applications in the area, see [8, 33, 39–42, 50, 51].

#### 2 Preliminary

In this section, we collect results and notions that are essential to our arguments. Some of which have appeared in [45] (Sect. 2.2-2.3).

#### 2.1 Notations and conventions

Throughout this article,  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s) := \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2)$   $(s \in \mathbb{C})$ ,  $e(x) := e^{2\pi i x}$   $(x \in \mathbb{R})$ , and  $\Gamma_n := SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$   $(n \ge 2)$ . Our test function *H* lies in the class  $C_\eta$  and  $H := h^{\#}$  (see Definition 2.2) unless otherwise specified. Denote by  $\theta$  the best progress towards the Ramanujan conjecture for the Maass cusp forms of  $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ . We have  $\theta \le \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{10}$ , see

Theorem 12.5.1 of [34]. We often use the same symbol to denote a function (in s) and its analytic continuation. Also, we adopt the following sets of conventions:

- (1) All Maass cusp forms will be simultaneous eigenfunctions of the Hecke operators and will be either even or odd. Also, their first Fourier coefficients are equal to 1. In this case, the forms are said to be **Hecke-normalized**. Note that there are no odd form for  $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ , see Proposition 9.2.5 of [34].
- (2) Our fixed Maass cusp form of  $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$  is assumed to be **tempered at**  $\infty$ , i.e., its Langlands parameters are purely imaginary.

#### 2.2 Whittaker functions and transforms

All of the Whittaker functions in this article are spherical. The Whittaker function of  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is given by

$$W_{\mu}(y) := 2\sqrt{y}K_{\mu}(2\pi y)$$
 (2.1)

for  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  and y > 0. Denote by  $W_{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 y_1 \\ y_0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  the Whittaker function of  $GL_3(\mathbb{R})$ , where

$$y_0, y_1 > 0 \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(3)} := \left\{ (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0 \right\}.$$
 (2.2)

To define  $W_{\alpha}$ , we first introduce the function

$$I_{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 y_1 \\ y_0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} := y_0^{1-\alpha_3} y_1^{1+\alpha_1}$$
(2.3)

whenever (2.2) holds. Then we define

$$W_{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} y_{0} y_{1} \\ y_{0} \end{pmatrix} := \prod_{1 \le j < k \le 3} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + \alpha_{j} - \alpha_{k}) \\ \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} I_{\alpha} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ u_{1,2} \\ u_{1,3} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{0} y_{1} \\ u_{2,3} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] e(-u_{1,2} - u_{2,3}) \\ \times \prod_{1 \le j < k \le 3} du_{j,k},$$
(2.4)

once again for (2.2). The last integral is known as the *Jacquet integral*. See Chapter 5.5 of [34] for details. We recall the explicit evaluation of the Rankin–Selberg integral of  $GL_3(\mathbb{R}) \times GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proposition 2.1** Let  $W_{\mu}$  and  $W_{-\alpha}$  be the Whittaker functions of  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $GL_3(\mathbb{R})$  respectively. For Re  $s \gg 0$ , we have

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} W_{\mu}(y_{1}) W_{-\alpha} \left( {}^{y_{0}y_{1}} y_{0} {}_{1} \right) (y_{0}^{2}y_{1})^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dy_{0}dy_{1}}{y_{0}y_{1}^{2}} = \frac{1}{4} \prod_{\pm} \prod_{k=1}^{3} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \left( s \pm \mu - \alpha_{k} \right).$$
(2.5)

*Proof* See [15].

As in [45, 46], the following pair of integral transforms plays an important role in the description of the archimedean component of our main theorem.

**Definition 2.2** Let  $h : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $H : i\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$  be measurable functions with  $H(\mu) = H(-\mu)$ . Then the Kontorovich–Lebedev transform of h is defined by

$$h^{\#}(\mu) := \int_{0}^{\infty} h(y) W_{\mu}(y) \frac{dy}{y^{2}}, \qquad (2.6)$$

whereas its inverse transform is defined by

$$H^{\flat}(y) := \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{(0)} H(\mu) W_{\mu}(y) \frac{d\mu}{|\Gamma(\mu)|^2}, \qquad (2.7)$$

provided the integrals converge absolutely.

**Definition 2.3** Let  $C_{\eta}$  be the class of holomorphic functions *H* on the vertical strip  $|\operatorname{Re} \mu| < 2\eta$  such that

- (1)  $H(\mu) = H(-\mu)$ ,
- (2) H has rapid decay in the sense that

$$H(\mu) \ll e^{-2\pi|\mu|} \quad (|\operatorname{Re}\mu| < 2\eta).$$
 (2.8)

In this article, we take  $\eta > 40$  without otherwise specifying.

**Proposition 2.4** For any  $H \in C_{\eta}$ , the integral (2.7) defining  $H^{\flat}$  converges absolutely. *Moreover, we have* 

$$H^{\flat}(y) \ll \min\{y, y^{-1}\}^{\eta} \ (y > 0).$$
 (2.9)

*Proof* See Lemma 2.10 of [53].

**Proposition 2.5** Under the same assumptions of Proposition 2.4, we have

$$(h^{\#})^{\flat}(g) = h(g) \quad and \quad (H^{\flat})^{\#}(\mu) = H(\mu).$$
 (2.10)

*Proof* See Lemma 2.10 of [53].

Springer

#### 2.3 Automorphic forms of GL(2)

Let

$$\mathfrak{h}^2 := \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} : u \in \mathbb{R}, \ y > 0 \right\}$$

with its invariant measure given by  $dg := y^{-2} du dy$ . We use  $\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle$  to denote the Petersson inner product on  $\Gamma_2 \setminus \mathfrak{h}^2$ , i.e.,

$$\langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle := \int_{\Gamma_2 \setminus \mathfrak{h}^2} \phi_1(g) \overline{\phi_2(g)} \, dg.$$

Let  $\Delta := -y^2 \left(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2\right)$ . An automorphic form  $\phi : \mathfrak{h}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  of  $\Gamma_2 = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfies  $\Delta \phi = \left(\frac{1}{4} - \mu^2\right) \phi$  for some  $\mu = \mu(\phi) \in \mathbb{C}$ . We often identify  $\mu$  with the pair  $(\mu, -\mu) \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(2)}$ . For  $a \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ , the *a*-th Fourier coefficient of  $\phi$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{B}_{\phi}(a)$ , is defined by

$$(\widehat{\phi})_a(y) := \int_0^1 \phi\left[\begin{pmatrix}1 & u \\ 1\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right] e(-au) \, du = \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\phi}(a)}{\sqrt{|a|}} \, W_{\mu(\phi)}(|a|y) \quad (y > 0).$$
(2.11)

Let  $I_{\mu}(y) := y^{\mu + \frac{1}{2}}$ . The Eisenstein series of  $\Gamma_2$  is given by

$$E(z; \mu) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma \in U_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \Gamma_2} I_{\mu}(\operatorname{Im} \gamma z) \quad (z \in \mathfrak{h}^2).$$
(2.12)

It is well-known that the series (2.12) converges absolutely for Re  $\mu > 1/2$  and admits a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane. Also, we have  $\Delta E(*; \mu) = (\frac{1}{4} - \mu^2) E(*; \mu)$  and the Fourier coefficients of  $E(*; \mu)$  are given by

$$\mathcal{B}(a;\mu) = \mathcal{B}_{E(*;\mu)}(a) = \frac{|a|^{\mu}\sigma_{-2\mu}(|a|)}{\Lambda(1+2\mu)},$$
(2.13)

where

$$\Lambda(s) := \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s) \text{ and } \sigma_{-2\mu}(|a|) := \sum_{d|a} d^{-2\mu}$$

We also write  $E^*(*; \mu) := \Lambda(1 + 2\mu) E(*; \mu)$  for the complete Eisenstein series of  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{E^*(*; \mu)}(a)$  for its Fourier coefficients.

#### 2.4 Automorphic forms of GL(3)

Next, let

$$\mathfrak{h}^{3} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_{1,2} & u_{1,3} \\ 1 & u_{2,3} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{0}y_{1} \\ y_{0} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} : u_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}, \ y_{k} > 0 \right\}.$$

Let  $\Phi : \mathfrak{h}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$  be a Maass cusp form of  $\Gamma_3$  as defined in Definition 5.1.3 of [34]. In particular, there exists  $\alpha = \alpha(\Phi) \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(3)}$  such that for any  $D \in Z(U\mathfrak{gl}_3(\mathbb{C}))$  (i.e., the center of the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_3(\mathbb{C})$ ), we have

$$D\Phi = \lambda_D \Phi$$
 and  $DI_{\alpha} = \lambda_D I_{\alpha}$ 

for some  $\lambda_D \in \mathbb{C}$ . The triple  $\alpha(\Phi)$  is said to be the *Langlands parameters* of  $\Phi$ .

**Definition 2.6** Let  $m = (m_1, m_2) \in (\mathbb{Z} - \{0\})^2$  and  $\Phi : \mathfrak{h}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$  be a Maass cusp form of  $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ . The integral defined by

$$(\widehat{\Phi})_{(m_1,m_2)}(g) := \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Phi\left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_{1,2} & u_{1,3} \\ 1 & u_{2,3} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g \right] e\left( -m_1 u_{2,3} - m_2 u_{1,2} \right) \, du_{1,2} \, du_{1,3} \, du_{2,3},$$
(2.14)

for  $g \in GL_3(\mathbb{R})$ , is said to be the  $(m_1, m_2)$ -th **Fourier–Whittaker period** of  $\Phi$ . Moreover, the  $(m_1, m_2)$ -th **Fourier coefficient** of  $\Phi$  is the complex number  $\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(m_1, m_2)$ for which

$$(\widehat{\Phi})_{(m_1,m_2)} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 y_1 \\ y_0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(m_1,m_2)}{|m_1m_2|} W_{\alpha(\Phi)}^{\text{sgn}(m_2)} \begin{pmatrix} (|m_1|y_0)(|m_2|y_1) \\ |m_1|y_0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.15)

holds for any  $y_0$ ,  $y_1 > 0$ .

- **Remark 2.7** (1) The archimedean multiplicity-one theorem of Shalika guarantees the well-definedness of the Fourier coefficients for  $\Phi$ . For a proof, see Theorem 6.1.6 of [34].
- (2) We shall make use of the following simple observation frequently:

$$(\widehat{\Phi})_{(m_1,m_2)} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_{1,2} & u_{1,3} \\ 1 & u_{2,3} \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix} g \end{bmatrix} = e \left( m_1 u_{2,3} + m_2 u_{1,2} \right) \cdot (\widehat{\Phi})_{(m_1,m_2)}(g)$$
(2.16)

for any  $g \in GL_3(\mathbb{R})$  and  $u_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$   $(1 \le i < j \le 3)$ .

Deringer

If  $\Phi$  is Hecke-normalized (part of the convention of this article, see Sect. 2.1.(1)), then  $\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(1, n)$  can be shown to be a Hecke eigenvalue of  $\Phi$  and thus is a multiplicative function. In this case, we write  $\lambda_{\Phi}(n) := \mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(1, n)$ . Furthermore, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(a, a_1) = \sum_{r \mid (a, a_1)} \mu(r) \overline{\lambda_{\Phi}}(a/r) \lambda_{\Phi}(a_1/r)$$
(2.17)

for any  $a, a_1 \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ , where  $\mu(\cdot)$  denotes the Möbius  $\mu$ -function. See Section 6.4 of [34] for details.

#### 2.5 Automorphic L-functions

The Maass cusp forms  $\Phi$  and  $\phi$  of  $\Gamma_3$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are assumed to be Hecke-normalized. Denote their Langlands parameters by  $\alpha = \alpha(\Phi) \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(3)}$  and  $\mu = \mu(\phi) \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(2)}$  respectively. If  $\tilde{\Phi}(g) := \Phi({}^tg^{-1})$  is the dual form of  $\Phi$ , then the Langlands parameters of  $\tilde{\Phi}$  are given by  $-\alpha$ .

**Definition 2.8** Suppose  $\Phi$  (resp.  $\phi$ ) is a Maass cusp form or an Eisenstein series of  $\Gamma_3$  (resp.  $\Gamma_2$ ). For Re  $s \gg 1$ , the Rankin–Selberg *L*-function of  $\Phi$  and  $\phi$  is defined by

$$L(s,\phi\otimes\Phi) := \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\phi}(m_2)\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(m_1,m_2)}{(m_1^2m_2)^s}.$$
 (2.18)

**Proposition 2.9** Suppose  $\Phi$  and  $\phi$  are Maass cusp forms of  $\Gamma_3$  and  $\Gamma_2$  respectively. In addition, assume that  $\phi$  is even. Then for any Re  $s \gg 1$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi, \ \mathbb{P}_2^3 \Phi \cdot |\det *|^{\overline{s} - \frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{\Gamma_2 \setminus GL_2(\mathbb{R})} := \int_{\Gamma_2 \setminus GL_2(\mathbb{R})} \phi(g) \widetilde{\Phi} \begin{pmatrix} g \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} |\det g|^{s - \frac{1}{2}} dg = \frac{1}{2} \Lambda(s, \phi \otimes \widetilde{\Phi}),$$
(2.19)

where

$$\Lambda(s,\phi\otimes\widetilde{\Phi}) := L_{\infty}(s,\phi\otimes\widetilde{\Phi})L(s,\phi\otimes\widetilde{\Phi})$$
(2.20)

and

$$L_{\infty}(s,\phi\otimes\widetilde{\Phi}) := \prod_{k=1}^{3} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}\left(s\pm\mu-\alpha_{k}\right).$$
(2.21)

*Proof* See [34] and the relevant remarks in [45].

**Definition 2.10** Let  $\Phi : \mathfrak{h}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$  be a Maass cusp form or Eisenstein series of  $\Gamma_3$ . For Re  $s \gg 1$ , the standard *L*-function of  $\Phi$  is defined by

$$L(s, \Phi) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(1, n)}{n^s}.$$
 (2.22)

The standard *L*-function admits an entire continuation and satisfies a functional equation:

**Proposition 2.11** Let  $\Phi : \mathfrak{h}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$  be a Maass cusp form of  $\Gamma_3$ . For any  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$\Lambda(s, \Phi) = \Lambda(1 - s, \tilde{\Phi}), \qquad (2.23)$$

- - -

where

$$\Lambda(s,\Phi) := L_{\infty}(s,\Phi) L(s,\Phi)$$
(2.24)

and

$$L_{\infty}(s,\Phi) := \prod_{k=1}^{3} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s+\alpha_k). \qquad (2.25)$$

Proof See Chapter 6.5 of [34].

Furthermore, the standard *L*-function  $L(s, \phi)$  (resp.  $L(s, \Phi)$ ) for  $\phi$  (resp.  $\Phi$ ), which is either a Hecke-normalized Maass cusp form or a complete Eisenstein series of  $\Gamma_2$  (resp.  $\Gamma_3$ ), admits an Euler product of the form

$$L(s,\phi) = \prod_{p} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \left(1 - \beta_{\phi,j}(p)p^{-s}\right)^{-1}, \qquad (2.26)$$

resp.

$$L(s,\Phi) = \prod_{p} \prod_{k=1}^{3} \left(1 - \alpha_{\Phi,k}(p)p^{-s}\right)^{-1}$$
(2.27)

for  $\operatorname{Re} s \gg 1$ . One can show that

$$L(s,\phi\otimes\Phi) = \prod_{p} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \prod_{k=1}^{3} \left(1 - \beta_{\phi,j}(p)\alpha_{\Phi,k}(p)p^{-s}\right)^{-1}, \qquad (2.28)$$

see Proposition 7.4.12 of [34]. If  $\phi = E^*(*; \mu)$ , then (2.26) holds for  $\{\beta_{\phi,1}(p), \beta_{\phi,2}(p)\}$ =  $\{p^{\mu}, p^{-\mu}\}$  and (2.26)–(2.28) imply the Dirichlet series  $L(s, \phi \otimes \Phi)$  is given by the product of *L*-functions  $L(s + \mu, \Phi)L(s - \mu, \Phi)$ .

Deringer

**Proposition 2.12** Suppose  $\Phi$  is a Hecke–Maass cusp form of  $\Gamma_3$ . For any  $s, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$\left(E^*(*;\mu),\left(\mathbb{P}_2^3\Phi\right)\cdot|\det *|^{\overline{s}-\frac{1}{2}}\right)_{\Gamma_2\setminus GL_2(\mathbb{R})} = \frac{1}{2}\Lambda(s+\mu,\widetilde{\Phi})\Lambda(s-\mu,\widetilde{\Phi}).$$
(2.29)

**Proof** Parallel to Proposition 2.9.

We will also need the basic analytic properties of certain 'generalized' zetafunctions and automorphic *L*-functions which are stated as follows.

**Proposition 2.13** 1. For Re s > 1 and  $0 < a \le 1$ , the Hurwitz  $\zeta$ -function, which is defined by

$$\zeta(s,a) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+a)^{-s}, \qquad (2.30)$$

admits a holomorphic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$  except at s = 1. It has a simple pole at s = 1 and the residue is equal to 1. Moreover, it has polynomial growth in every vertical strip.

2. Let  $\Phi$  be a Maass cusp form of  $\Gamma_3$ . For Res  $> 1 + \theta$  and  $a/c \in \mathbb{Q}$ , the additivelytwisted L-series of  $\Phi$  by a/c, which is defined by

$$L\left(s,\frac{a}{c};\Phi\right) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(1,n)}{n^s} e\left(\frac{na}{c}\right), \qquad (2.31)$$

admits an entire continuation and it has polynomial growth in every vertical strip.

Proof See Theorem 12.4 of [2] and Lemma 3.2 of [35].

It is well-known that both (2.30) and (2.31) satisfy some forms of functional equations, see Theorem 12.6 of [2] and Theorem 3.1 of [35] respectively. However, we will make no use of such formulae in the proof of Theorem 1.1. The polynomial growth of (2.30) and (2.31) in vertical strips can be deduced from that of the Dirichlet *L*functions and the multiplicatively-twisted *L*-functions of  $\Phi$ . Moreover, the regularity of our class of test functions  $C_{\eta}$  is more than sufficient for all of our analytic purposes provided  $\eta > 40$ .

#### 2.6 Calculations on the spectral side

**Definition 2.14** Let  $a \ge 1$  be an integer and  $h \in C^{\infty}(0, \infty)$ . The Poincaré series of  $\Gamma_2$  is defined by

$$P^{a}(z;h) := \sum_{\gamma \in U_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \Gamma_{2}} h(a \operatorname{Im} \gamma z) \cdot e(a \operatorname{Re} \gamma z) \quad (z \in \mathfrak{h}^{2})$$
(2.32)

🖉 Springer

provided it converges absolutely.

If the bounds

$$h(y) \ll y^{1+\epsilon}$$
 (as  $y \to 0$ ) and  $h(y) \ll y^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$  (as  $y \to \infty$ ) (2.33)

are satisfied, then the Poincaré series  $P^a(z; h)$  converges absolutely and is an  $L^2$ -function on  $\Gamma_2 \setminus \mathfrak{h}^2$ . In this article, we take  $h := H^{\flat}$  with  $H \in C_{\eta}$  and  $\eta > 40$ . The conditions of (2.33) clearly hold because of Proposition 2.4. We often use the shorthand  $P^a := P^a(*; h)$ .

**Proposition 2.15** Let  $\Phi$  be a Hecke–Maass cusp form of  $\Gamma_3$  and  $P^a$  be a Poincaré series of  $\Gamma_2$ . Then for  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$2a^{-1/2} \left(P^{a}, \mathbb{P}_{2}^{3} \Phi \cdot |\det *|^{\overline{s} - \frac{1}{2}}\right)_{\Gamma_{2} \setminus GL_{2}(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h^{\#} \left(\mu_{j}\right) \frac{\overline{\mathcal{B}_{j}(a)} \Lambda(s, \phi_{j} \otimes \widetilde{\Phi})}{\langle \phi_{j}, \phi_{j} \rangle}$$

$$+ \int_{(0)} h^{\#} \left(\mu\right) \frac{\sigma_{-2\mu}(a)a^{-\mu}\Lambda(s + \mu, \widetilde{\Phi})\Lambda(s - \mu, \widetilde{\Phi})}{|\Lambda(1 + 2\mu)|^{2}} \frac{d\mu}{4\pi i}, \qquad (2.34)$$

where the sum is restricted to an orthogonal basis  $(\phi_j)$  of even Hecke-normalized Maass cusp forms for  $\Gamma_2$  with  $\Delta \phi_j = \left(\frac{1}{4} - \mu_j^2\right) \phi_j$  and  $\mathcal{B}_j(a) := \mathcal{B}_{\phi_j}(a)$ .

**Proof** See [45].

# 2.7 Archimedean analysis: properties of the integral transforms $\mathcal{F}_{rh}^{(\pm)}$

Let  $H = h^{\#}$ . The integral transforms for this article as well as [45, 46] are given by

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)}H)(s_{0},s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} h\left(\frac{y_{1}}{\sqrt{1+y_{0}^{2}}}\right) \frac{y_{0}^{2s-s_{0}}}{(1+y_{0}^{2})^{\frac{s}{2}-s_{0}+\frac{1}{4}}} \\ \cdot \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} W_{-\alpha(\Phi)} {X_{1} \choose x_{1}} e(\pm Xy_{0}) X^{s_{0}-1} d^{\times}X\right) y_{1}^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dy_{0} dy_{1}}{y_{0}y_{1}^{2}}.$$
 (2.35)

Readers should consult [45] for various formulations of (2.35) in terms of Mellin– Barnes integrals. For technical reasons, it is often convenient to work with the 'perturbed' version of  $(\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)}H)(s_0, s)$ , denoted by  $(\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)}H)(s_0, s; \phi)$  (for  $\phi \in (0, \pi/2]$ ), see equation (7.6)–(7.7) of [45]. We have  $(\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)}H)(s_0, s) = (\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)}H)(s_0, s; \pi/2)$ . For the proof of (2.35), see Sect. 5.3.

As in [45, 46], we take  $\epsilon := 1/100$  throughout this article.

**Proposition 2.16** Suppose  $H \in C_{\eta}$ ,  $s := \sigma + it$  and  $s_0 := \sigma_0 + it_0$ . Then

(1) For any  $\phi \in (0, \pi/2]$ , the transform  $(s_0, s) \mapsto (\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)}H)(s_0, s; \phi)$  is holomorphic on the domain

$$\mathcal{D} := \{(\sigma_0, \sigma) : \sigma_0 > \epsilon, \ \sigma < 4, \ 2\sigma - \sigma_0 - \epsilon > 0\}.$$

$$(2.36)$$

- (2) Whenever  $(\sigma_0, \sigma) \in \mathcal{D}$ , |t| < T and  $\phi \in (0, \pi/2)$ , the transform  $(\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)}H)(s_0, s; \phi)$  has exponential decay as  $|t_0| \to \infty$ .
- (3) There exists a constant  $B = B_{\eta}$  such that whenever  $(\sigma_0, \sigma) \in \mathcal{D}$ , |t| < T, and  $|t_0| \gg 1$ , we have the estimate

$$\left| \left( \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)} H \right) (s_0, s) \right| \ll |t_0|^{8 - \frac{\eta}{2}} \log^B |t_0|,$$
 (2.37)

where the implicit constants depend only on  $\eta$ , T,  $\Phi$ .

**Proof** See Proposition 8.1 and Proposition 9.1 of [45].

**Proposition 2.17** Suppose  $1/2 < \sigma < 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{\pm} \left( \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)} H \right) \left( 2s - 1, s \right) = \pi^{\frac{1}{2} - s} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\Gamma\left( s - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_{i}}{2} \right)}{\Gamma\left( 1 - s - \frac{\alpha_{i}}{2} \right)}$$
$$\cdot \int_{(0)} \frac{H(\mu)}{|\Gamma(\mu)|^{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{3} \prod_{\pm} \Gamma\left( \frac{1 - s + \alpha_{i} \pm \mu}{2} \right) \frac{d\mu}{2\pi i}.$$
(2.38)

Proof See Theorem 1.2 of [45].

#### **3 Twisted key identity**

In this section, we illustrate how the arithmetic (or non-archimedean) twists alluded to Sect. 1 enter the picture of our period integral approach. We must include a couple of technical adjustments to our argument in [45] in order to incorporate the desired new features.

**Proposition 3.1** Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$  and  $\Phi$  be a smooth automorphic function of  $\Gamma_3$ . Then for any  $g \in GL_3(\mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\int_{0}^{1} \Phi\left[\begin{pmatrix}1 & u_{1,2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}g\right] e(-au_{1,2}) du_{1,2}$$
$$= \sum_{d|a} \sum_{\substack{a_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \gcd(a_0,d)=1}} \sum_{a_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\widehat{\Phi}\right)_{(a_1, a/d)} \left[\begin{pmatrix}1 & \alpha & \beta \\ -a_0 & d \end{pmatrix}g\right], \quad (3.1)$$

Deringer

where  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is any pair of integers satisfying

$$d\alpha + a_0\beta = 1. \tag{3.2}$$

**Proof** Firstly, we perform a Fourier expansion along the abelian unipotent subgroup  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ , which leads to

$$\int_{0}^{1} \Phi\left[\begin{pmatrix}1 & u_{1,2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}g\right] e(-au_{1,2}) du_{1,2}$$
$$= \sum_{a_{0}=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi\left[\begin{pmatrix}1 & u_{1,2} & u_{1,3} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}g\right] e(-au_{1,2} - a_{0}u_{1,3}) du_{1,2} du_{1,3}.$$
(3.3)

Secondly, we consider a change of variables of the form  $(u_{1,2}, u_{1,3}) = (u'_{1,2}, u'_{1,3}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$  for each  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$  satisfies •  $\gamma = \gamma(a_0) := -a_0/\gcd(a_0, a)$  and  $\delta = \delta(a_0) := a/\gcd(a_0, a)$ ;

•  $\alpha = \alpha(a_0), \ \beta = \beta(a_0)$  be any pair of integers that satisfy  $\delta \alpha - \gamma \beta = 1$ .

Then  $au_{1,2} + a_0u_{1,3} = a'u'_{1,2}$  with  $a' = a'(a_0) := a\alpha + a_0\beta$ , and one can easily verify that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_{1,2} & u_{1,3} \\ 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ \delta & -\beta \\ -\gamma & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u'_{1,2} & u'_{1,3} \\ 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The automorphy of  $\Phi$  with respect to  $\Gamma_3$  gives

$$\int_{0}^{1} \Phi\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_{1,2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}g\right] e(-au_{1,2}) du_{1,2}$$
$$= \sum_{a_{0}=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_{1,2}' & u_{1,3}' \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}g\right] e(-a'u_{1,2}') du_{1,2}' du_{1,3}'.$$
(3.4)

Thirdly, we perform a Fourier expansion along another abelian unipotent subgroup  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ . From this, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 \Phi\left[\begin{pmatrix}1 & u_{1,2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}g\right] e(-au_{1,2}) du_{1,2} = \sum_{a_0,a_1=-\infty}^\infty \left(\widehat{\Phi}\right)_{(a_1,a')} \left[\begin{pmatrix}1 & \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}g\right].$$
(3.5)

🖄 Springer

Upon breaking up the  $a_0$ -sum according to the value of  $d := (a_0, a)$  and noticing that a' = d, we have

$$\int_{0}^{1} \Phi\left[\begin{pmatrix}1 & u_{1,2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}g\right] e(-au_{1,2}) du_{1,2}$$
$$= \sum_{d|a} \sum_{\substack{a_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (a_0,a)=d}} \sum_{a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\widehat{\Phi}\right)_{(a_1,d)} \left[\begin{pmatrix}1 & \alpha & \beta \\ -a_0/d & a/d\end{pmatrix}g\right],$$
(3.6)

where  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is any pair of integers satisfying  $a\alpha + a_0\beta = d$ . The conclusion (3.1) now follows from the successive replacements  $a_0 \rightarrow da_0$  and  $d \rightarrow a/d$ .

The next step concerns the explication of the pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in (3.1) in which condition (3.2) will naturally come into play. To some extent, this may be compared to the step of applying 'additive reciprocity' in [9], where its usage (and actually the full compositum of the transformations) was guided by the lengths of summations instead, see the sketch<sup>1</sup> in Sect. 1.4 therein.

**Corollary 3.2** Suppose  $\Phi : \mathfrak{h}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$  is an automorphic form of  $\Gamma_3$ . Then for any  $y_0, y_1 > 0$ , we have

$$\int_{0}^{1} \Phi \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_{1,2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{0}y_{1} \\ y_{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] e(-au_{1,2}) du_{1,2}$$

$$= \sum_{d|a} \sum_{a_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\widehat{\Phi}\right)_{(a_{1}, a/d)} \begin{pmatrix} y_{0}y_{1} \\ y_{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \sum_{d|a} \sum_{\substack{a_{0} \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \\ \gcd(a_{0}, d) = 1}} \left(\widehat{\Phi}\right)_{(0, a/d)} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y_{0}y_{1}}{\sqrt{(a_{0}y_{0})^{2} + d^{2}}} \\ \frac{y_{0}}{(a_{0}y_{0})^{2} + d^{2}} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \sum_{d|a} \sum_{\substack{a_{0} \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \\ \gcd(a_{0}, d) = 1}} \sum_{a_{1} \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}} e\left(\frac{a_{1}\overline{a_{0}}}{d}\right)$$

$$\cdot \left(\widehat{\Phi}\right)_{(a_{1}, a/d)} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 - \frac{1}{da_{0}} \frac{(a_{0}y_{0})^{2}}{d^{2} + (a_{0}y_{0})^{2}} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y_{0}y_{1}}{\sqrt{(a_{0}y_{0})^{2} + d^{2}}} \\ \frac{y_{0}}{(a_{0}y_{0})^{2} + d^{2}} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right], \quad (3.7)$$

where  $a_0\overline{a_0} \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$  for  $gcd(a_0, d) = 1$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Justifying (or motivating) the insertion of various auxiliary additive factors in the main argument of [9] in which the method of continuation was used.

- **Remark 3.3** (1) Corollary 3.2 can be understood as follows: the exponential factor  $e(a_1\overline{a_0}/d)$  in (3.7) is our desired 'arithmetic twist', whereas the last line of (3.7) will be regarded as the 'analytic component'.
- (2) The mentioned 'analytic component' is more-or-less the same as the one for the untwisted case of [45, 46]. This is anticipated and is a reflection of the local–global nature of our method.
- (3) The expression (3.7) will be simplified considerably in (4.6) upon plugging into the period integral  $\left(P^a, \mathbb{P}^3_2 \Phi \cdot |\det *|^{\overline{s}-\frac{1}{2}}\right)_{\Gamma_2 \setminus GL_2(\mathbb{R})}$ .
- (4) Corollary 3.2 was stated in a slightly more general form as it has further applications in verifying Conjecture 5.2, i.e., the twisted version of the main theorem of [46], which requires us to take  $\Phi = (E_{\min})^* (*; (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3))$ . When  $\Phi$  is cuspidal, we have  $(\widehat{\Phi})_{(0, a/d)} \equiv 0$ , so the second summand on the right side of (3.7) vanishes.

**Proof of Corollary 3.2** When  $a_0 = 0$ , we have  $d = \alpha = 1$  and we may choose  $\beta = 0$  in Proposition 3.1. This results in the first term on the right side of (3.7).

Suppose now  $a_0 \neq 0$  (with  $gcd(a_0, d) = 1$ ). Let  $w_\ell := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $g^{\ell} := {}^t g^{-1}$ . To reveal the non-archimedean component behind our calculations, we must deviate from [45] by first considering the product of matrices:

$$w_{\ell} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \alpha & \beta \\ & -a_0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 y_1 & & \\ & y_0 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right]^{\iota} w_{\ell},$$

which can be seen to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ a_0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\mathbb{R}^{\times}}.$$

Thus, we are left with a simple 2-by-2 Iwasawa computation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ a_0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \sqrt{d^2 + (a_0 y_0)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\alpha}{a_0} - \frac{d/a_0}{d^2 + (a_0 y_0)^2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y_0}{d^2 + (a_0 y_0)^2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{SO(2)}.$$
(3.8)

🖄 Springer

From (3.8), the Iwasawa decomposition of  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \beta \\ & -a_0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 & y_1 \\ & y_0 \end{pmatrix} (mod \ O_3(\mathbb{R}) \mathbb{R}^{\times})$  can be readily obtained and it follows that

$$(\widehat{\Phi})_{(a_1, a/d)} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ \alpha & \beta \\ -a_0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 y_1 & & \\ y_0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= (\widehat{\Phi})_{(a_1, a/d)} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ 1 & \frac{d/a_0}{d^2 + (a_0 y_0)^2} - \frac{\alpha}{a_0} \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y_0 y_1}{\sqrt{(a_0 y_0)^2 + d^2}} & & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(3.9)

When  $a_1 = 0$ , we have

$$\left(\widehat{\Phi}\right)_{(a_{1}, a/d)} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \alpha & \beta \\ & -a_{0} & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{0}y_{1} & & \\ & y_{0} & & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left(\widehat{\Phi}\right)_{(0, a/d)} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y_{0}y_{1}}{\sqrt{(a_{0}y_{0})^{2} + d^{2}}} & & \\ & \frac{y_{0}}{(a_{0}y_{0})^{2} + d^{2}} & & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.10)

from (3.9) and (2.16). This results in the second term on the right side of (3.7). When  $a_1 \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ , observe from (3.2) that

$$\frac{d/a_0}{d^2 + (a_0y_0)^2} - \frac{\alpha}{a_0} \equiv \frac{\overline{a_0}}{d} + \frac{d/a_0}{d^2 + (a_0y_0)^2} - \frac{1}{a_0d}$$
$$= \frac{\overline{a_0}}{d} - \frac{1}{da_0} \frac{(a_0y_0)^2}{d^2 + (a_0y_0)^2} \pmod{1},$$

and (3.9) can be rewritten as

$$\left(\widehat{\Phi}\right)_{(a_1, a/d)} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ 1 & \overline{a_0}/d \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{da_0} & \frac{(a_0y_0)^2}{d^2 + (a_0y_0)^2} \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y_0y_1}{\sqrt{(a_0y_0)^2 + d^2}} & & \\ & \frac{y_0}{(a_0y_0)^2 + d^2} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

We thus obtain the third term on the right side of (3.7) by invoking (2.16). This concludes the proof of Corollary 3.2.

#### 4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

#### 4.1 Unfolding and clean-up

Let  $\epsilon := 1/100$ . On the vertical strip  $1 + \theta/2 + \epsilon < \sigma < 4$ , we begin by replacing the Poincaré series  $P^a$  by its definition in the pairing

$$2a^{-1/2} \left( P^a, \mathbb{P}_2^3 \Phi \cdot |\det *|^{\overline{s} - \frac{1}{2}} \right)_{\Gamma_2 \setminus GL_2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

$$(4.1)$$

An unfolding shows that (4.1) is equal to

$$2a^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty h(ay_1) \left(y_0^2 y_1\right)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 \widetilde{\Phi} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_{1,2} \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 y_1 \\ & y_0 \end{pmatrix} \right] e(au_{1,2}) \, du_{1,2} \, \frac{dy_0 \, dy_1}{y_0 y_1^2}.$$
(4.2)

From Corollary 3.2 (replacing  $\Phi$  therein by  $\widetilde{\Phi}$  and noticing that  $(\widehat{\Phi})_{(0,a/d)} \equiv 0$  as  $\Phi$  is a cusp form here), we obtain

$$2a^{-1/2} \sum_{d|a} \sum_{a_1 \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty h(ay_1) \left(\widehat{\Phi}\right)_{(a_1, a/d)} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 y_1 \\ y_0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} (y_0^2 y_1)^{s - \frac{1}{2}} \frac{dy_0 dy_1}{y_0 y_1^2} \\ + 2a^{-1/2} \sum_{d|a} \sum_{\substack{a_0 \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \\ \gcd(a_0, d) = 1}} \sum_{a_1 \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(a/d, a_1)}{|a_0|^{2s - 1}|a_1|} e\left(-\frac{a_1 \overline{a_0}}{d}\right) \\ \cdot \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty h(ay_1) W_{-\alpha(\Phi)} \left(\frac{|a_1|y_0}{(a_0y_0)^2 + d^2}, \frac{ay_1}{d} \sqrt{(a_0y_0)^2 + d^2}\right) \\ \cdot e\left(\frac{1}{da_0} \frac{(a_0y_0)^2}{d^2 + (a_0y_0)^2}\right) (y_0^2 y_1)^{s - \frac{1}{2}} \frac{dy_0 dy_1}{y_0 y_1^2}$$

$$(4.3)$$

and the absolute convergence of (4.3) follows from Proposition 6.5 of [45].

Denote by  $D_{\Phi}^{(a)}(s)$  the expression on the first line of (4.3) and  $OD_{\Phi}^{(a)}(s)$  the expression spanning the last three lines of (4.3). In other words,

$$2a^{-1/2} \left( P^{a}, \mathbb{P}^{3}_{2} \Phi \cdot |\det *|^{\overline{s} - \frac{1}{2}} \right)_{\Gamma_{2} \setminus GL_{2}(\mathbb{R})} = D_{\Phi}^{(a)}(s) + OD_{\Phi}^{(a)}(s).$$
(4.4)

By the changes of variables  $y_0 \rightarrow |a_1|^{-1} y_0$  and  $y_1 \rightarrow a^{-1} y_1$ , we have

$$D_{\Phi}^{(a)}(s) = 2a^{-s} \sum_{a_1 \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(a, a_1)}{|a_1|^{2s}} \cdot \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty h(y_1) (y_0^2 y_1)^{s - \frac{1}{2}} W_{-\alpha(\Phi)}(y_0, y_1) \frac{dy_0 dy_1}{y_0 y_1^2},$$
(4.5)

where the double integral can be computed by (2.5) and (2.7). For  $OD_{\Phi}^{(a)}(s)$ , we apply another set of changes of variables  $y_0 \to (d|a_0|^{-1})y_0$  and  $y_1 \to a^{-1}y_1$ , it follows at

🖄 Springer

once

$$OD_{\Phi}^{(a)}(s) = 2a^{-s} \sum_{d|a} d^{2s} \sum_{\substack{a_0 \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \\ \gcd(a_0, d) = 1}} \sum_{a_1 \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(a/d, a_1)}{|a_0|^{2s-1}|a_1|} e\left(-\frac{a_1a_0}{d}\right)$$
  

$$\cdot \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty h(y_1) (y_0^2 y_1)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} e\left(\frac{a_1}{da_0} \frac{y_0^2}{1+y_0^2}\right)$$
  

$$\cdot W_{-\alpha(\Phi)}\left(\left|\frac{a_1}{da_0}\right| \frac{y_0}{1+y_0^2}, y_1\sqrt{1+y_0^2}\right) \frac{dy_0 \, dy_1}{y_0 y_1^2}.$$
(4.6)

Following the same argument presented in Proposition 7.2 of [45], i.e., applying the Mellin inversion formulae for  $e(\cdots)$  and  $W_{-\alpha(\Phi)}(\cdots)$  for separation of variables, we obtain

$$OD_{\Phi}^{(a)}(s; \phi) = 2a^{-s} \cdot \frac{1}{8} \cdot \int_{(1+\theta+2\epsilon)} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{d|a} d^{2s+s_0-1}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{a_0,a_1 \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \\ \gcd(a_0,d)=1 \\ \operatorname{sgn}(a_0a_1)=\pm}} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(a/d,a_1)}{|a_0|^{2s-s_0}|a_1|^{s_0}} e\left(-\frac{a_1\overline{a_0}}{d}\right)$$

$$\cdot \left(\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)}H\right)(s_0, s; \phi) \frac{ds_0}{2\pi i}, \qquad (4.7)$$

where the integral transform  $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)}H$  was defined in Sect. 2.7, and

$$\lim_{\phi \to \pi/2} OD_{\Phi}^{(a)}(s; \phi) = OD_{\Phi}^{(a)}(s)$$
(4.8)

holds on the domain  $(3 + \theta)/2 < \sigma < 4$  (see Proposition 7.3 of [45]). For the readers' convenience, more details will be indicated in Sect. 5.3. Note: the constant 1/8 in (4.7) comes from the constants 1/4 and 1/2 from Vinogradov–Takhtadzhyan's formula and Euler's beta integral formula respectively.

However, one must beware of the signs of  $a_0a_1$  due to the extra factor  $e(-a_1\overline{a_0}/d)$  present in this article (but not in [45, 46]), which will in turn influence the shapes of the dual moments, see (4.34) and (5.6). Consider the double Dirichlet series

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(a)}(s_{0},s;\Phi) := \sum_{d|a} d^{2s+s_{0}-1} \sum_{\substack{a_{0}\geq 1\\ \gcd(a_{0},d)=1}} \sum_{a_{1}\geq 1} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(a/d,a_{1})}{(a_{0})^{2s-s_{0}}(a_{1})^{s_{0}}} e\left(\mp \frac{a_{1}a_{0}}{d}\right),$$
(4.9)

Deringer

which converges absolutely on the region

$$\operatorname{Re}(2s - s_0) > 1$$
 and  $\operatorname{Re} s_0 > 1 + \theta$ . (4.10)

Using the fact that  $\Phi$  is an even form, we readily observe that

$$OD_{\Phi}^{(a)}(s; \phi) = \frac{a^{-s}}{2} \sum_{\pm} \int_{(1+\theta+2\epsilon)} \mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(a)}(s_0, s; \Phi) \left(\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)}H\right)(s_0, s; \phi) \frac{ds_0}{2\pi i}.$$
(4.11)

for  $1 + \theta/2 + \epsilon < \sigma < 4$  and  $\phi \in (0, \pi/2)$ .

#### 4.2 Analytic continuation

We will make use of Proposition 2.13 to obtain the analytic properties of  $\mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(a)}(s_0, s; \Phi)$ .

**Proposition 4.1** The double Dirichlet series  $\mathcal{L}^{(a)}_{\pm}(s_0, s; \Phi)$  admits a holomorphic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}^2$  except on the polar divisor  $2s - s_0 = 1$ .

**Proof** Suppose  $\text{Re}(2s - s_0) > 1$  and  $\text{Re} s_0 > 1 + \theta$ . The double Dirichlet series (4.9) converges absolutely and can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(a)}(s_{0},s;\Phi) = \sum_{d|a} d^{2s+s_{0}-1} \sum_{\ell \pmod{d}} \sum_{\substack{a_{0} \ge 1\\a_{0} \equiv \ell \pmod{d}}} \sum_{\substack{a_{1} \ge 1\\a_{0} \equiv \ell \pmod{d}}} \sum_{a_{1} \ge 1} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(a/d,a_{1})}{(a_{0})^{2s-s_{0}}(a_{1})^{s_{0}}} e\left(\mp \frac{a_{1}\overline{\ell}}{d}\right)$$
(4.12)

upon splitting the  $a_0$ -sum into residue classes (mod d). Since

$$\sum_{\substack{a_0 \ge 1\\a_0 \equiv \ell \pmod{d}}} \frac{1}{a_0^{2s-s_0}} = d^{-(2s-s_0)} \cdot \zeta \left(2s-s_0, \frac{\ell}{d}\right), \tag{4.13}$$

we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(a)}(s_{0},s;\Phi) = \sum_{d|a} d^{2s_{0}-1} \sum_{\ell \pmod{d}}^{*} \zeta \left(2s-s_{0},\frac{\ell}{d}\right) \left(\sum_{a_{1}=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(a/d,a_{1})}{a_{1}^{s_{0}}} e\left(\mp \frac{a_{1}\overline{\ell}}{d}\right)\right).$$
(4.14)

The Hecke relation (2.17) implies that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(a)}(s_0, s; \Phi) = \sum_{dr|a} \frac{d^{2s_0-1}\mu(r)}{r^{s_0}} \overline{\lambda_{\Phi}}\left(\frac{a}{dr}\right) \sum_{\ell \pmod{d}} \zeta\left(2s-s_0, \frac{\ell}{d}\right) L\left(s_0; \mp \frac{r\overline{\ell}}{d}; \Phi\right)$$
(4.15)

Deringer

and its analytic continuation now follows from Proposition 2.13. Also,

$$-\operatorname{Res}_{s_0=2s-1} \mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(a)}(s_0, s; \Phi) = \sum_{dr|a} \frac{d^{4s-3}\mu(r)}{r^{2s-1}} \overline{\lambda_{\Phi}}\left(\frac{a}{dr}\right) \sum_{\ell \pmod{d}} L\left(2s-1; \mp \frac{r\overline{\ell}}{d}; \Phi\right)$$
(4.16)

which clearly admits an entire continuation. This finishes the proof.

Denote by  $\mathcal{R}^{(a)}_{\pm}(s; \Phi)$  the expression on the right side of (4.16). By Corollary 4.1 and repeating the argument described in Section 9 of [45] (with the exact same analysis at the archimedean place, see Sect. 2.7), we arrive at

**Proposition 4.2** *On*  $1/4 + \epsilon/2 < \sigma < 3/4$ , we have

$$OD_{\Phi}^{(a)}(s) = \frac{a^{-s}}{2} \sum_{\pm} \int_{(1/2)} \mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(a)}(s_0, s; \Phi) \left(\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)}H\right)(s_0, s) \frac{ds_0}{2\pi i} + \frac{a^{-s}}{2} \sum_{\pm} \mathcal{R}_{\pm}^{(a)}(s; \Phi) \left(\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)}H\right)(2s - 1, s).$$
(4.17)

#### 4.3 The diagonal main term

**Lemma 4.3** For  $\operatorname{Re} s \gg 1$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a_{1} \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(a, a_{1})}{|a_{1}|^{2s}} = L(2s, \Phi) \sum_{r|a} \frac{\mu(r)\overline{\lambda_{\Phi}}(a/r)}{r^{2s}} \\
= L(2s, \Phi) \prod_{p|a} \left\{ \overline{\lambda_{\Phi}} \left( p^{o_{p}(a)} \right) - \frac{\overline{\lambda_{\Phi}} \left( p^{o_{p}(a)-1} \right)}{p^{2s}} \right\},$$
(4.18)

where  $o_p(a)$  denotes the power of p in the prime factorization of a. Thus, the Dirichlet series above admits an entire continuation.

**Proof** The first equality follows from (2.17) and the second equality follows from the multiplicativity of  $\lambda_{\Phi}(\cdot)$ ,  $\mu(\cdot)$ , and their multiplicative convolution.

**Proposition 4.4** On the domain  $1 + \theta/2 + \epsilon < \sigma < 4$ , we have

$$D_{\Phi}^{(a)}(s) = \frac{a^{-s}}{2} L(2s, \Phi) \prod_{p|a} \left\{ \overline{\lambda_{\Phi}} \left( p^{o_p(a)} \right) - \frac{\overline{\lambda_{\Phi}} \left( p^{o_p(a)-1} \right)}{p^{2s}} \right\}$$
$$\cdot \int_{(0)} \frac{H(\mu)}{|\Gamma(\mu)|^2} \cdot \prod_{\pm} \prod_{i=1}^3 \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \left( s \pm \mu - \alpha_i \right) \frac{d\mu}{2\pi i}.$$
(4.19)

As a result,  $D_{\Phi}^{(a)}(s)$  admits a holomorphic continuation to  $0 < \sigma < 4$ .

🖄 Springer

*Proof* Follows from (4.5), (2.7), (2.5) and Lemma 4.3.

#### 4.4 The off-diagonal main term

If  $\Phi$  is a Maass cusp form of  $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ , the twisted recipe of [20] for the spectral moment of (1.4) is implied by the functional equation

$$\Lambda(s, \phi \otimes \Phi) = \Lambda(1 - s, \phi \otimes \Phi) \tag{4.20}$$

with  $\phi$  being an even Maass form of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , see Theorem 12.2.5 of [34]. Indeed, the spectral side of (1.4) is invariant under the replacements  $s \to 1-s$  and  $\Phi \to \widetilde{\Phi}$ . This observation together with the diagonal evaluation (4.19) predicts the existence of an off-diagonal main term:

$$\frac{a^{s-1}}{2} L\left(2(1-s), \widetilde{\Phi}\right) \prod_{p|a} \left\{ \lambda_{\Phi}\left(p^{o_p(a)}\right) - \frac{\lambda_{\Phi}\left(p^{o_p(a)-1}\right)}{p^{2(1-s)}} \right\}$$
$$\cdot \int_{(0)} \frac{H(\mu)}{|\Gamma(\mu)|^2} \cdot \prod_{\pm} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1-s \pm \mu + \alpha_i\right) \frac{d\mu}{2\pi i}.$$
(4.21)

In other words, we must show that

**Proposition 4.5** For  $1/4 + \epsilon/2 < \sigma < 3/4$ , the expression

$$\frac{a^{-s}}{2} \sum_{\pm} \mathcal{R}^{(a)}_{\pm}(s; \Phi) \left( \mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}_{\Phi} H \right) (2s - 1, s)$$
(4.22)

is equal to (4.21).

The archimedean functional relation for  $\sum_{\pm} (\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)}H) (2s-1,s)$  has been established in [45] before, see Proposition 2.17. Hence, the conclusion for Proposition 4.5 would follow from the functional equation of GL(3) (Proposition 2.11), as well as:

**Proposition 4.6** *For any*  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ *, we have* 

$$\mathcal{R}_{\pm}^{(a)}(s;\Phi) = a^{2s-1} \cdot L(2s-1,\Phi) \cdot \prod_{p|a} \left\{ \lambda_{\Phi}(p^{o_p(a)}) - \frac{\lambda_{\Phi}(p^{o_p(a)-1})}{p^{2(1-s)}} \right\}.$$
(4.23)

This is the non-archimedean analogue of Proposition 2.17. In principle, it should be a finite checking at the 'ramified' places upon expanding  $\mathcal{R}^{(a)}_{\pm}(s; \Phi)$  into an Euler product (as in [13, 23, 36, 37]). Unfortunately, our situation is even more complicated than the aforementioned works—one has to match the right side of (4.6) with the expression

$$\mathcal{R}_{\pm}^{(a)}(s;\Phi) = \sum_{der|a} \frac{\mu(r)\mu(d)}{d} \left(\frac{d^2e}{\widetilde{r}}\right)^{2s-1} \overline{\lambda_{\Phi}}\left(\frac{a}{der}\right) \sum_{a_1 \ge 1} \frac{\lambda_{\Phi}(\widetilde{e}a_1)}{(a_1)^{2s-1}}$$
$$= L(2s-1,\Phi) \sum_{der|a} \frac{\mu(r)\mu(d)}{d} \left(\frac{d^2e}{\widetilde{r}}\right)^{2s-1} \overline{\lambda_{\Phi}}\left(\frac{a}{der}\right)$$
$$\cdot \prod_{p \mid \widetilde{e}} \left(1 - \lambda_{\Phi}(p)p^{-(2s-1)} + \overline{\lambda_{\Phi}}(p)p^{-2(2s-1)} - p^{-3(2s-1)}\right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\Phi}(p^{m+o_p}(\widetilde{e}))}{(p^{m})^{2s-1}},$$
(4.24)

where  $\tilde{e} := e/(r, e)$  and  $\tilde{r} := r/(r, e)$ . Equation (4.24) follows from (4.16), the multiplicativity of the *GL*(3) Hecke eigenvalues, and the Euler product identity

$$L(s, \Phi) = \prod_{p} \left( 1 - \lambda_{\Phi}(p) p^{-s} + \overline{\lambda_{\Phi}}(p) p^{-2s} - p^{-3s} \right)^{-1} \quad (\text{Re } s \gg 1) \quad (4.25)$$

for *L*-functions of *GL*(3), see pp. 173–174 of [34]. When *a* is prime, one can indeed show the desired agreement using (4.24). However, it is already unclear how to proceed even if we assume *a* is square-free. Nevertheless, we have found a simple proof that works for any integer  $a \ge 1$ .

**Proof of Proposition 4.6** Suppose Re  $s \gg 1$ . By Corollary 4.1, we may evaluate  $\mathcal{R}^{(a)}_{\pm}(s; \Phi)$  by taking the residue of (4.14) at  $s_0 = 2s - 1$ . Switching the order of summation, we find that the  $\ell$ -sum in (4.14) can be identified with the *Ramanujan* sum  $S(0, \mp a_1; d)$ . In other words,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\pm}^{(a)}(s;\Phi) = \sum_{d|a} d^{4s-3} \sum_{a_1 \ge 1} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(a/d,a_1)}{(a_1)^{2s-1}} \cdot S(0, \mp a_1; d),$$
(4.26)

Note: the last expression is independent of the sign and we shall now drop ' $\pm$ ' sign in our notation  $\mathcal{R}^{(a)}_{\pm}(s; \Phi)$  from now on.

Suppose Re  $\overline{w} \gg 1$ . We define the triple Dirichlet series:

$$\mathcal{C}(w,s;\Phi) := \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{R}^{(a)}(s;\Phi)}{a^{w}} = \sum_{a,d,a_{1}=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{4s-3}\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(a,a_{1})S(0,a_{1};d)}{(da)^{w}a_{1}^{2s-1}},$$
(4.27)

where the last expression follows from the change of variables  $a \rightarrow da$ . The *d*-sum can be readily computed by the well-known identity:

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{S(0, a_1; d)}{d^{w-4s+3}} = \frac{\sigma_{-w+4s-2}(a_1)}{\zeta(w-4s+3)}.$$
(4.28)

From (2.13), observe that  $\sigma_{-w+4s-2}(|a_1|) = |a_1|^{\mu} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{E^*(*;\mu)}(a_1)$  with  $\mu := -w/2 + 2s - 1$ . As a result, we recognize

$$\mathcal{C}(w,s;\Phi) = \frac{1}{\zeta(w-4s+3)} \sum_{a,a_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{E^*(*;\mu)}(a_1)\mathcal{B}_{\Phi}(a,a_1)}{(a^2a_1)^{w/2}}$$
(4.29)

as a double Dirichlet series of  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$  type, see (2.18). Next, by considering the Euler products (2.27)–(2.28) of GL(3) and  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$  types, we obtain

$$\mathcal{C}(w,s;\Phi) = \frac{L(\frac{w}{2} + \mu, \Phi)L(\frac{w}{2} - \mu, \Phi)}{\zeta(w - 4s + 3)} = \frac{L(2s - 1, \Phi)L(w + 1 - 2s, \Phi)}{\zeta(w - 4s + 3)}.$$
(4.30)

Then we may rewrite (4.30) as a Dirichlet series in w using the GL(3) L-series (2.22) with Möbius inversion:

$$\mathcal{C}(w,s;\Phi) = L(2s-1,\Phi) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^w} \sum_{mn=r} \frac{\lambda_{\Phi}(m)\mu(n)}{m^{1-2s}n^{3-4s}}.$$
 (4.31)

Upon comparing coefficients, we readily conclude that

$$\mathcal{R}^{(a)}(s;\Phi) = L(2s-1,\Phi) \cdot a^{2s-1} \sum_{n|a} \frac{\lambda_{\Phi}(a/n)\mu(n)}{n^{2(1-s)}}$$
(4.32)

and this holds for any  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  by analytic continuation. The convolution of multiplicative functions in (4.32) can be computed as

$$\sum_{n|a} \frac{\lambda_{\Phi}(a/n)\mu(n)}{n^{2(1-s)}} = \prod_{p|a} \left\{ \lambda_{\Phi}(p^{o_p(a)}) - \frac{\lambda_{\Phi}(p^{o_p(a)-1})}{p^{2(1-s)}} \right\}.$$
 (4.33)

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.6.

#### 4.5 Concluding Theorem 1.1

Theorem 1.1 follows from putting Proposition 2.15, Eq. (4.4), Propositions 4.2, 4.4, 4.5 and Eq. (4.15) together.

#### 4.6 Shape of the dual moment

In this section, we assume that a = p is a prime as in [9, 59]. The connection between the twisted spectral moment and the moment averages over Dirichlet characters is now a straight-forward consequence of the orthogonality relation thanks to the structures cast early on by our period integral construction (see Corollary 3.2).

**Proposition 4.7** For any  $(s_0, s) \in \mathbb{C}^2$  except on the line  $2s - s_0 = 1$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(p)}(s_{0}, s; \Phi) = \mathcal{P}_{p}(s_{0}, s; \Phi) \zeta(2s - s_{0}) L(s_{0}, \Phi) + \frac{p^{s_{0}+2s-1}}{\phi(p)} \left( \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}}^{+} \mp \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}}^{-} \right) \tau(\chi) L(2s - s_{0}, \chi) L(s_{0}, \Phi \otimes \overline{\chi}),$$
(4.34)

where  $\tau(\chi) := \sum_{x \pmod{p}}^{*} \chi(x) e(x/p)$  is the Gauss sum of  $\chi \pmod{p}$  and

$$\mathcal{P}_{p}(s_{0},s;\Phi) := \frac{p^{2s+s_{0}-1}}{\phi(p)} \left(1 - p^{-(2s-s_{0})}\right) \left(-1 + \lambda_{\Phi}(p)p^{1-s_{0}} - \overline{\lambda_{\Phi}}(p)p^{1-2s_{0}} + p^{1-3s_{0}}\right) + \overline{\lambda_{\Phi}}(p) - p^{-s_{0}}.$$
(4.35)

**Proof** The computations below will be first carried out on the region of absolute convergence (4.10). Then our desired conclusion will follow from analytic continuation. In (4.15) we either have d = 1 or d = p, and correspondingly  $r \mid p$  or  $r \mid 1$ . **Case (1):** d = 1. We take  $\ell = 1$  in this case and then

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(p)}(s_0, s; \Phi) \Big|_{d=1} = \sum_{r \in \{1, p\}} \frac{\mu(r)}{r^{s_0}} \cdot \overline{\lambda_{\Phi}}(p/r) \cdot \zeta(2s - s_0)L(s_0, \mp r; \Phi)$$
$$= \left(\overline{\lambda_{\Phi}}(p) - p^{-s_0}\right) \zeta(2s - s_0)L(s_0, \Phi) \,.$$

Case (2): d = p. We have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(p)}(s_0, s; \Phi) \Big|_{d=p} = p^{2s_0 - 1} \sum_{\ell \pmod{p}}^* \zeta \left( 2s - s_0, \frac{\ell}{p} \right) L\left( s_0; \mp \frac{\overline{\ell}}{p}; \Phi \right).$$
(4.36)

The Hurwitz zeta function in (4.36) can be rewritten as

$$\zeta\left(2s-s_0,\frac{\ell}{p}\right) = \frac{p^{2s-s_0}}{\phi(p)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}} \overline{\chi}(\ell)L(2s-s_0,\chi)$$

with (4.13) and the orthogonality relation for Dirichlet characters. Hence, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(p)}(s_0, s; \Phi) \Big|_{d=p} = \frac{p^{2s+s_0-1}}{\phi(p)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}} L(2s-s_0, \chi) \sum_{\ell \pmod{p}}^* \overline{\chi}(\ell) L\left(s_0; \mp \frac{\overline{\ell}}{p}; \Phi\right)$$

$$= \frac{p^{2s+s_0-1}}{\phi(p)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}} L(2s-s_0, \chi) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \Phi(n)}{n^{s_0}} \sum_{\ell \pmod{p}}^* \overline{\chi}(\ell) e\left(\mp \frac{n\overline{\ell}}{p}\right).$$

$$(4.37)$$

🖄 Springer

If (n, p) = 1, then the replacement  $\ell \to \pm n\bar{\ell} \pmod{p}$  implies

$$\sum_{\ell \pmod{p}}^{*} \overline{\chi}(\ell) e\left(\mp \frac{n\overline{\ell}}{p}\right) = \overline{\chi}(\mp n) \tau(\chi).$$
(4.38)

(When  $\chi = \chi_0$ , Eq. (4.38) is equal to -1.) If  $p \mid n$ , then

$$\sum_{\ell \pmod{p}}^{*} \overline{\chi}(\ell) e\left(\mp \frac{n\overline{\ell}}{p}\right) = \sum_{\ell \pmod{p}}^{*} \overline{\chi}(\ell) = \phi(p) \cdot 1_{\chi = \chi_0}.$$

As a result, the contribution from  $\chi = \chi_0$  in (4.37) is equal to

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(p)}(s_0, s; \Phi) \Big|_{d=p, \, \chi=\chi_0} &= \frac{p^{2s+s_0-1}}{\phi(p)} \, L(2s-s_0, \chi_0) \\ &\left\{ -\sum_{(n,p)=1} \frac{\lambda_{\Phi}(n)}{n^{s_0}} + \phi(p) \sum_{p|n} \frac{\lambda_{\Phi}(n)}{n^{s_0}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{p^{2s+s_0-1}}{\phi(p)} \, L(2s-s_0, \chi_0) \left\{ \phi(p) L(s_0, \Phi) - p \sum_{(n,p)=1} \frac{\lambda_{\Phi}(n)}{n^{s_0}} \right\}. \end{split}$$

It follows from (4.25) that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(p)}(s_{0}, s; \Phi) \Big|_{d=p, \chi=\chi_{0}} = \frac{p^{2s+s_{0}-1}}{\phi(p)} L(2s-s_{0}, \chi_{0}) L(s_{0}, \Phi) \cdot \left\{ \phi(p) - p \left( 1 - \lambda_{\Phi}(p) p^{-s_{0}} + \overline{\lambda_{\Phi}}(p) p^{-2s_{0}} - p^{-3s_{0}} \right) \right\} = \frac{p^{2s+s_{0}-1}}{\phi(p)} (1 - p^{-(2s-s_{0})}) \left( -1 + \lambda_{\Phi}(p) p^{1-s_{0}} - \overline{\lambda_{\Phi}}(p) p^{1-2s_{0}} + p^{1-3s_{0}} \right) \cdot \zeta(2s-s_{0}) L(s_{0}, \Phi).$$

On the other hand, the contributions from  $\chi\neq\chi_0$  in (4.37) is equal to

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(p)}(s_{0}, s; \Phi) \Big|_{d=p, \ \chi \neq \chi_{0}} = \frac{p^{2s+s_{0}-1}}{\phi(p)} \sum_{\chi \ (\text{mod } p)}^{*} \chi(\mp 1)\tau(\chi)L(2s-s_{0}, \chi)$$

$$\sum_{(n,p)=1} \frac{\lambda_{\Phi}(n)\overline{\chi}(n)}{n^{s_{0}}}$$

$$= \frac{p^{2s+s_{0}-1}}{\phi(p)} \sum_{\chi \ (\text{mod } p)}^{*} \chi(\mp 1)\tau(\chi)L(2s-s_{0}, \chi)L(s_{0}, \Phi \otimes \overline{\chi}).$$

D Springer

The conclusion of Proposition 4.7 follows readily from

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(p)}(s_{0}, s; \Phi) = \mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(p)}(s_{0}, s; \Phi) \Big|_{d=1} + \mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(p)}(s_{0}, s; \Phi) \Big|_{d=p, \chi=\chi_{0}}$$

$$+ \mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(p)}(s_{0}, s; \Phi) \Big|_{d=p, \chi\neq\chi_{0}}$$

and analytic continuation.

It is certainly possible, but combinatorially involved, to obtain a version of (4.34) when *a* is composite, i.e., rewrite  $\mathcal{L}^{(a)}_{\pm}(s_0, s; \Phi)$  in terms of automorphic *L*-functions twisted by *primitive* Dirichlet characters. If  $\Phi \cong 1 \boxplus 1 \boxplus 1$  or  $\phi \boxplus 1$  with  $\phi$  being a cusp form of *GL*(2), the key technicalities behind seem to have been worked out in the recent work [30]. We will not pursue this task in order to maintain a reasonable length for this article.

#### **5** Concluding remarks

#### 5.1 Relation to the 4th moment of Dirichlet L-functions

We specialize to s = 1/2, Re  $s_0 = 1/2$  and  $\Phi = (E_{\min}^{(3)})^*(*; (0, 0, 0))$  in (4.34). Once again, we restrict ourselves to prime conductors only. In this case, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(p)}(s_{0}, 1/2; \Phi) = \mathcal{P}_{p}(s_{0}, s) \zeta(1 - s_{0})\zeta(s_{0})^{3} + \frac{p^{s_{0}}}{\phi(p)} \left( \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}}^{+} \mp \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}}^{-} \right) \tau(\chi)L(1 - s_{0}, \chi)L(s_{0}, \overline{\chi})^{3}.$$
(5.1)

Recall the functional equation for the primitive Dirichlet *L*-functions:

$$L(s_0, \overline{\chi}) = i^{-a_{\chi}} \frac{\tau(\overline{\chi})}{\sqrt{p}} p^{\frac{1}{2}-s_0} \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(1-s_0+a_{\chi})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_0+a_{\chi})} L(1-s_0, \chi), \quad (5.2)$$

🖉 Springer

where  $a_{\chi} = 0$  if  $\chi(-1) = 1$  and  $a_{\chi} = 1$  if  $\chi(-1) = -1$ . Using also the fact that  $|\tau(\chi)| = \sqrt{p}$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{\chi \pmod{p}}^{+} \tau(\chi) L(1-s_0, \chi) L(s_0, \overline{\chi})^3$$
  
=  $p^{1-s_0} \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(1-s_0)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_0)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}}^{+} L(1-s_0, \chi)^2 L(s_0, \overline{\chi})^2,$   
$$\sum_{\chi \pmod{p}}^{-} \tau(\chi) L(1-s_0, \chi) L(s_0, \overline{\chi})^3$$
  
=  $-ip^{1-s_0} \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2-s_0)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(1+s_0)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}}^{-} L(1-s_0, \chi)^2 L(s_0, \overline{\chi})^2.$  (5.3)

The dual moment of

$$2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H(\mu_j) \frac{\lambda_j(a)\Lambda(1/2,\phi_j)^3}{\langle \phi_j,\phi_j \rangle} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(i\mu) \frac{\sigma_{-2\mu}(a)a^{-\mu}|\Lambda(1/2+i\mu)|^6}{|\Lambda(1+2i\mu)|^2} d\mu$$
(5.4)

is given by

$$p^{-1/2} \sum_{\pm} \int_{(1/2)} \mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{(p)}(s_0, s; \Phi) \left( \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)} H \right)(s_0, s) \frac{ds_0}{2\pi i},$$
(5.5)

which is readily observed to be a sum of the following three weighted moments of GL(1) L-functions:

$$p^{-1/2} \int_{(1/2)} \mathcal{P}_{p}(s_{0}, s) |\zeta(s_{0})|^{4} \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(1-s_{0})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_{0})} \cdot \sum_{\pm} (\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)}H)(s_{0}, s) \frac{ds_{0}}{2\pi i},$$

$$\frac{p^{1/2}}{\phi(p)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}} \int_{(1/2)} |L(s_{0}, \chi)|^{4} \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(1-s_{0})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_{0})} \cdot \sum_{\pm} (\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(\pm)}H)(s_{0}, s) \frac{ds_{0}}{2\pi i},$$

$$\frac{p^{1/2}}{\phi(p)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}} \int_{(1/2)} |L(s_{0}, \chi)|^{4} \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2-s_{0})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(1+s_{0})} \cdot i \left\{ (\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(+)}H)(s_{0}, s) - (\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{(-)}H)(s_{0}, s) \right\} \frac{ds_{0}}{2\pi i}.$$
(5.6)

**Remark 5.1** While the twists appeared on each side of the reciprocity formula (1.1), namely  $\{\lambda_f(p)\}$  and  $\{\chi \mod p\}$ , are seemingly unrelated as discussed in Sect. 1.1, the additive harmonics

$$\mathcal{E}_p := \left\{ e(\mp a_1 \bar{\ell}/p) : a_1 \ge 1, \ \ell \ (\text{mod } p), \ (\ell, p) = 1 \right\}$$
(5.7)

obtained in our approach connects the mentioned multiplicative twistings. Indeed,  $\mathcal{E}_p$  naturally relates to { $\chi \mod p$ } by the orthogonality relation (see Proposition 4.7),

whereas  $\mathcal{E}_p$  pertains to  $\{\lambda_f(p)\}$  via our period integral construction, more precisely, the arithmetic factor originating from the *unipotent* translate of the *Whittaker function* (see Corollary 3.2).

Additive harmonics of various shapes are involved in the methods of [9, 59] as well, but certainly in rather different ways, e.g., Kloosterman sums, Kuznetsov/ Poisson/ Voronoi formulae,  $\delta$ -symbol expansion etc.

#### 5.2 The twisted cubic moment conjecture

In [46], we stated and proved the untwisted version of the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 5.2** For any integer  $a \ge 1$ , the full set of the main terms for

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H(\mu_j) \frac{\lambda_j(a)\Lambda(1/2 - \alpha_1, \phi_j)\Lambda(1/2 - \alpha_2, \phi_j)\Lambda(1/2 - \alpha_3, \phi_j)}{\langle \phi_j, \phi_j \rangle} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(i\mu) \frac{\sigma_{-2\mu}(a)a^{-\mu}\prod_{i=1}^{3}\Lambda(1/2 + i\mu - \alpha_i)\Lambda(1/2 + i\mu + \alpha_i)}{|\Lambda(1 + 2i\mu)|^2} d\mu$$
(5.8)

is given by

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{\epsilon_{1},\epsilon_{2},\epsilon_{3}=\pm 1} \zeta(1-\epsilon_{1}\alpha_{1}-\epsilon_{2}\alpha_{2})\zeta(1-\epsilon_{1}\alpha_{1}-\epsilon_{3}\alpha_{3})\zeta(1-\epsilon_{2}\alpha_{2}-\epsilon_{3}\alpha_{3})$$

$$\cdot a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{p\mid a} \left\{ \tau_{-\epsilon\cdot\alpha} \left( p^{o_{p}(a)} \right) - \frac{\tau_{-\epsilon\cdot\alpha} \left( p^{o_{p}(a)-1} \right)}{p} \right\}$$

$$\cdot \int_{(0)} \frac{H(\mu)}{|\Gamma(\mu)|^{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{3} \prod_{\pm} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \left( 1/2 \pm \mu - \epsilon_{i}\alpha_{i} \right) \frac{d\mu}{2\pi i},$$
(5.9)

where  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0$ ,  $\epsilon \cdot \alpha := (\epsilon_1 \alpha_1, \epsilon_2 \alpha_2, \epsilon_3 \alpha_3)$ ,  $\tau_{\alpha}(n) := \sum_{d_1 d_2 d_3 = n} d_1^{-\alpha_1} d_2^{-\alpha_2} d_3^{-\alpha_3}$ , and  $(\phi_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is an orthogonal basis of even Hecke-normalized Maass cusp forms of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  which satisfy  $\Delta \phi_j = (1/4 - \mu_j^2) \phi_j$ . Note:  $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3) = (+1, +1, +1)$ corresponds to the 0-swap term.

In this article and [46], we have established all of the archimedean functional relations for showing agreement with the prediction (5.9), as well as the non-archimedean counterparts for the 0- and 3-swap terms. The identities for the 1, 2-swap terms should follow in a similar fashion using the method of this article. (In [46], this is very much true for the archimedean case.) As indicated by (5.6) and the main theorem of [46], the full set of main terms for the fourth moment of the Dirichlet *L*-functions à la CFKRS should also be visible in the full spectral identity for (5.8). This offers an alternative approach to assemble the main terms, distinct from the one of [59]. We shall leave these to a future work.

#### 5.3 Supplementary details regarding the integral transform

Recall the double integral of Eq. (4.6):

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} h(y_{1}) (y_{0}^{2}y_{1})^{s-\frac{1}{2}} e\left(\frac{a_{1}}{da_{0}} \frac{y_{0}^{2}}{1+y_{0}^{2}}\right) W_{-\alpha(\Phi)}\left(\left|\frac{a_{1}}{da_{0}}\right| \frac{y_{0}}{1+y_{0}^{2}}, y_{1}\sqrt{1+y_{0}^{2}}\right) \frac{dy_{0} dy_{1}}{y_{0}y_{1}^{2}}.$$
(5.10)

The Mellin inversion formula

$$f(y) = \int_{(\sigma_0)} \left( \int_0^\infty f(X) X^{s_0 - 1} d^{\times} X \right) y^{1 - s_0} \frac{ds_0}{2\pi i}$$
(5.11)

together with rearrangement of integrals imply (5.10) is equal to

$$\int_{(\sigma_0)} \left| \frac{a_1}{da_0} \right|^{1-s_0} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty h(y_1) (y_0^2 y_1)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \cdot \int_0^\infty W_{-\alpha(\Phi)} \left( X \frac{y_0}{1+y_0^2}, \ y_1 \sqrt{1+y_0^2} \right) e\left( \pm X \frac{y_0^2}{1+y_0^2} \right) X^{s_0-1} d^{\times} X \frac{dy_0 \, dy_1}{y_0 y_1^2} \frac{ds_0}{2\pi i}.$$
(5.12)

Upon making the changes of variables  $X \to X((1+y_0^2)/y_0)$  and  $y_1 \to y_1/\sqrt{1+y_0^2}$ , one can further rewrite the above as

$$\int_{(\sigma_0)} \left| \frac{a_1}{da_0} \right|^{1-s_0} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty h\left( \frac{y_1}{\sqrt{1+y_0^2}} \right) \int_0^\infty W_{-\alpha(\Phi)}(X, y_1) e\left(\pm Xy_0\right) X^{s_0-1} d^{\times} X$$
$$\cdot \frac{y_0^{2s-s_0}}{(1+y_0^2)^{\frac{s}{2}+\frac{1}{4}-s_0}} y_1^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dy_0 dy_1}{y_0 y_1^2} \frac{ds_0}{2\pi i}.$$
(5.13)

Plugging this into (4.6), the display (4.7) with (2.35) readily follow.

In a similar manner, the argument appeared in [45, Section 4] leads to

$$(5.10) = \int_{(\sigma_0)} \left| \frac{a_1}{da_0} \right|^{1-s_0} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty h(y_1^{-1})(y_0^2 y_1^{-1})^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \int_0^\infty W_{\rho(w_\ell)} \Phi\left[ \begin{pmatrix} \pm X \\ 1 & 1 \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 y_1 \\ & y_1 \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] X^{s_0-1} d^{\times} X d^{\times} y_0 dy_1 \frac{ds_0}{2\pi i}.$$
(5.14)

This yields a slightly more intrinsic form of the integral transform, for (5.14) also holds for non-spherical test vector  $\Phi$  and it does not appeal to any matrix decomposition (say Iwasawa or Bruhat). Of course, when  $\Phi$  is specialized to be the spherical vector, (5.14) is technically equivalent to the corresponding calculations in this article as well as [45, 46].

**Acknowledgements** The research is supported by the EPSRC grant: EP/W009838/1. Part of the work was completed during the author's stay at American Institute of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, the Chinese University of Hong Kong and Queen's University. The author would like to express his gratitude for their generous hospitality. It is a great pleasure to thank the reviewer for a careful reading of the article and his/ her valuable comments.

Data availability No data was generated or analyzed as part of the writing of this paper.

# Declarations

**Conflict of interest** On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no Conflict of interest.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

### References

- Andersen, N., Kıral, E.M.: Level reciprocity in the twisted second moment of Rankin–Selberg Lfunctions. Mathematika 64(3), 770–784 (2018)
- Apostol, T.M.: Introduction to Analytic Number Theory. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York (1976)
- Bettin, S.: On the reciprocity law for the twisted second moment of Dirichlet L-functions. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 368(10), 6887–6914 (2016)
- 4. Bettin, S.: High moments of the Estermann function. Algebra Number Theory 13(2), 251–300 (2019)
- 5. Bettin, S., Bui, H.M., Li, X., Radziwiłł, M.: A quadratic divisor problem and moments of the Riemann zeta-function. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **22**(12), 3953–3980 (2020)
- Balasubramanian, R., Conrey, J.B., Heath-Brown, D.R.: Asymptotic mean square of the product of the Riemann zeta-function and a Dirichlet polynomial. J. Reine Angew. Math. 357, 161–181 (1985)
- Bettin, S., Chandee, V., Radziwiłł, M.: The mean square of the product of the Riemann zeta-function with Dirichlet polynomials. J. Reine Angew. Math. 729, 51–79 (2017)
- Balkanova, O., Frolenkov, D.: Moments of *L*-functions and the Liouville–Green method. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 23(4), 1333–1380 (2021)
- Blomer, V., Humphries, P., Khan, R., Milinovich, M.B.: Motohashi's fourth moment identity for non-Archimedean test functions and applications. Compos. Math. 156(5), 1004–1038 (2020)
- Blomer, V., Khan, R.: Twisted moments of *L*-functions and spectral reciprocity. Duke Math. J. 168(6), 1109–1177 (2019)
- Blomer, V., Khan, R.: Uniform subconvexity and symmetry breaking reciprocity. J. Funct. Anal. 276(7), 2315–2358 (2019)
- 12. Bui, H.M., Pratt, K., Robles, N., Zaharescu, A.: Breaking the 1/2-barrier for the twisted second moment of Dirichlet *L*-functions. Adv. Math. **370**, 107175 (2020)
- 13. Baluyot, S., Turnage-Butterbaugh, C.L.: Twisted 2kth moments of primitive Dirichlet *L*-functions: beyond the diagonal. arXiv Preprint 2022. arXiv:2205.00641
- 14. Bump, D.: Automorphic Forms on  $GL(3, \mathbb{R})$ . Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1083. Springer, Berlin (1984)

- Bump, D.: Barnes' second lemma and its application to Rankin–Selberg convolutions. Am. J. Math. 110(1), 179–185 (1988)
- Bykovskii, V.A.: A trace formula for the scalar product of Hecke series and its applications (Russian). Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) 226 (1996). Anal. Teor. Chisel i Teor. Funktsii. 13, 14–36, 235–236; translation in J. Math. Sci. (New York) 89(1), 915–932 (1998)
- Conrey, J.B.: More than two fifths of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function are on the critical line. J. Reine Angew. Math. 399, 1–26 (1989)
- 18. Conrey, J.B.: The mean-square of Dirichlet L-functions. arXiv Preprint (2007). arXiv:0708.2699
- Conrey, B., Fazzari, A.: Averages of long Dirichlet polynomials with modular coefficients. Mathematika 69(4), 1060–1080 (2023)
- Conrey, J.B., Farmer, D.W., Keating, J.P., Rubinstein, M.O., Snaith, N.C.: Integral moments of Lfunctions. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 91(1), 33–104 (2005)
- Conrey, J.B., Iwaniec, H., Soundararajan, K.: The sixth power moment of Dirichlet L-functions. Geom. Funct. Anal. 22(5), 1257–1288 (2012)
- Conrey, J.B., Iwaniec, H., Soundararajan, K.: Critical zeros of Dirichlet *L*-functions. J. Reine Angew. Math 681, 175–198 (2013)
- Conrey, B., Iwaniec, H., Soundararajan, K.: The mean square of the product of a Dirichlet *L*-function and a Dirichlet polynomial. Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. 61(2), 147–177 (2019)
- Conrey, B., Keating, J.P.: Moments of zeta and correlations of divisor-sums: I. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A 373(2040), 20140313 (2015)
- Conrey, B., Keating, J.P.: Moments of zeta and correlations of divisor-sums: II. In: Advances in the Theory of Numbers. Fields Institute Communications, vol. 77, pp. 75–85. Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Sciences, Toronto, ON (2015)
- Conrey, B., Keating, J.P.: Moments of zeta and correlations of divisor-sums: III. Indag. Math. (N.S.) 26(5), 736–747 (2015)
- 27. Conrey, B., Keating, J.P.: Moments of zeta and correlations of divisor-sums: IV. Research Number Theory 2, Paper No. 24, 24 pp. (2016)
- Conrey, B., Keating, J.P.: Moments of zeta and correlations of divisor-sums. V. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 118(4), 729–752 (2019)
- Conrey, J.B., Rodgers, B.: Averages of quadratic twists of long Dirichlet polynomials. arXiv preprint 2022. arXiv:2208.01783
- Diamantis, N., Hoffstein, J., Kiral, E.M., Lee, M.: Additive twists and a conjecture by Mazur, Rubin and Stein. J. Number Theory 209, 1–36 (2020)
- Deshouillers, J.-M., Iwaniec, H.: Power mean-values for Dirichlet's polynomials and the Riemann zeta-function. II. Acta Arith. 43(3), 305–312 (1984)
- Drappeau S., Nordentoft A.C.: Central values of additive twists of Maass forms L-functions. arXiv Preprint 2022. arXiv:2208.14346
- Frolenkov, D.: The cubic moment of automorphic *L*-functions in the weight aspect. J. Number Theory 207, 247–281 (2020)
- 34. Goldfeld, D.: Automorphic forms and *L*-functions for the group *GL(n, ℝ)*. With an appendix by Kevin A. Broughan. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 99. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006). xiv+493 pp. ISBN: 978-0-521-83771-2; 0-521-83771-5
- Goldfeld, D., Li, X.: Voronoi formulas on *GL(n)*. International Mathematics Research Notices 2006 Article ID 86295, 25 pp
- Hamieh, A., Ng, N.: Mean values of long Dirichlet polynomials with higher divisor coefficients. Adv. Math. 410(part B), Paper No. 108759, 61 pp (2022)
- Hughes, C.P., Matthew P, Y.: The twisted fourth moment of the Riemann zeta function. J. Reine Angew. Math 641, 203–236 (2010)
- Iwaniec, H., Sarnak, P.: Dirichlet L-Functions at the Central Point. Number Theory in Progress, vol. 2 (Zakopane-Koscielisko, p.941–952, 1999. de Gruyter, Berlin (1997)
- Iwaniec, H., Sarnak, P.: The non-vanishing of central values of automorphic *L*-functions and Landau– Siegel zeros. Isr. J. Math. **120**(Part A), 155–177 (2000)
- 40. Ivić, A.: On sums of Hecke series in short intervals. J. Theorie Nombres Bordeaux 13(2), 453–468 (2001)
- Ivić, A.: On the moments of Hecke series at central points. Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. 30, 49–82 (2002)

- Jutila, M.: The fourth moment of central values of Hecke series. Number theory (Turku, pp. 167–177), p. 2001. de Gruyter, Berlin (1999)
- 43. Khan, R.: On the subconvexity problem for  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$  *L*-functions. Forum Math. **27**(2), 897–913 (2015)
- Kwan, C.-H.: Spectral Moments of Rankin–Selberg L-Functions. Thesis (Ph.D.)-Columbia University. May 2022, 91 pp. ISBN:979-8426-80886-7. https://www.proquest.com/docview/2656912304
- Kwan, C.-H.: Spectral moments of Rankin–Selberg L-functions I: the cuspidal case. Algebra Number Theory (to appear). arXiv:2112.08568v4
- Kwan, C.-H.: Spectral moment formulae for GL(3) × GL(2) L-functions II: Eisenstein case. Submitted (2023). arXiv:2310.09419
- 47. Levinson, N.: More than one third of zeros of Riemann's zeta-function are on  $\sigma = 1/2$ . Adv. Math. **13**, 383–436 (1974)
- 48. Li, X.: Bounds for  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$  L-functions and GL(3) L-functions. Ann. Math. (2) **173**(1), 301–336 (2011)
- 49. Liu, S.-C.: Determination of GL(3) cusp forms by central values of  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$  *L*-functions. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **21**, 4025–4041 (2010)
- 50. Liu, S.: Nonvanishing of central L-values of Maass forms. Adv. Math. 332, 403-437 (2018)
- Motohashi, Y.: Spectral mean values of Maass waveform *L*-functions. J. Number Theory 42(3), 258– 284 (1992)
- Motohashi, Y.: An explicit formula for the fourth power mean of the Riemann zeta-function. Acta Math. 170(2), 181–220 (1993)
- Motohashi, Y.: Spectral Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 127, x+228 pp. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997). ISBN:0-521-44520-5
- 54. Ng, N.: The sixth moment of the Riemann zeta function and ternary additive divisor sums. Discrete Anal. Paper No. 6, 60 pp. (2021)
- Nunes, R.M.: Spectral reciprocity via integral representations. Algebra Number Theory 17(8), 1381– 1409 (2023)
- Watt, N.: Kloosterman sums and a mean value for Dirichlet polynomials. J. Number Theory 53(1), 179–210 (1995)
- Wu, X.: The fourth moment of Dirichlet L-functions at the central value. Math. Ann. (2022). https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00208-022-02483-9
- 58. Young, M.P.: A short proof of Levinson's theorem. Arch. Math. (Basel) 95(6), 539-548 (2010)
- 59. Young, M.P.: The fourth moment of Dirichlet L-functions. Ann. Math. (2) 173(1), 1-50 (2011)
- Young, M.P.: The reciprocity law for the twisted second moment of Dirichlet *L*-functions. Forum Math 23(6), 1323–1337 (2011)
- Zacharias, R.: Mollification of the fourth moment of Dirichlet L-functions. Acta Arith. 191(3), 201–257 (2019)
- 62. Zacharias, R.: Periods and reciprocity I. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 3, 2191–2209 (2021)
- 63. Zacharias, R.: Periods and reciprocity II. arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.01512

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.