Accepted Manuscript

Nickel-Catalyzed Decarboxylative Coupling of an Alkynyl Carboxylic Acid with Aryl Iodides

Yujeong Son, Han-Sung Kim, Ju-Hyeon Lee, Jisun Jang, Chin-Fa Lee, Sunwoo Lee

PII: DOI: Reference:	S0040-4039(17)30260-5 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2017.02.073 TETL 48684					
To appear in:	Tetrahedron Letters					
Received Date:	30 November 2016					
Revised Date:	14 February 2017					
Accepted Date:	24 February 2017					



Please cite this article as: Son, Y., Kim, H-S., Lee, J-H., Jang, J., Lee, C-F., Lee, S., Nickel-Catalyzed Decarboxylative Coupling of an Alkynyl Carboxylic Acid with Aryl Iodides, *Tetrahedron Letters* (2017), doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2017.02.073

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Tetrahedron Letters journal homepage: www.elsevier.com

Nickel-Catalyzed Decarboxylative Coupling of an Alkynyl Carboxylic Acid with Aryl Iodides

Yujeong Son,^a Han-Sung Kim,^a Ju-Hyeon Lee,^a Jisun Jang,^a Chin-Fa Lee,^b* Sunwoo Lee^a*

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 61186, Republic of Korea ^bDepartment of Chemistry, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung, Taiwan 402, R.O.C

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received Received in revised form Accepted Available online

Keywords: Nickel Decarboxylative coupling Alkynyl carboxylic acid Aryl iodide Reductant A decarboxylative coupling reaction with an alkynyl carboxylic acid and aryl iodides in the presence of a nickel catalyst was developed. When the reaction was conducted with NiCl₂ (10 mol%), Xantphos (15 mol%), Mn (1.0 equiv), and Cs₂CO₃ (1.5 equiv), the desired diaryl alkynes were formed in moderated to good yields. Furthermore, this method does not produce the diyne, which is formed in the homocoupling of alkynyl carboxylic acids.

2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Transition metal–catalyzed cross-coupling reactions are among the most important and widely used tools in organic synthesis for the formation of carbon-carbon or carbonheteroatom bonds.¹ A variety of named reactions, including the Heck,² Kumada,³ Negishi,⁴ Stille,⁵ Suzuki,⁶ Sonogashira,⁷ Hiyama,⁸ and Buchwald-Hartwig⁹ reactions, have been developed and improved over the last four decades. As a coupling substrate, aryl halides are the most commonly employed because they are readily available. Generally, aryl iodides are much more reactive than aryl bromides and chlorides.¹⁰

Sonogashira first showed that aryl halides are capable of coupling with terminal alkynes in the presence of palladium, copper, and a base to provide aryl alkynes. Since his first report of this reaction in 1979, it has become the most common method for sp and sp² carbon bond formation, and is now known as the Sonogashira reaction.¹¹ It is very often employed as an useful tool for the synthesis of conjugated polymers in the electroactive material field.¹² Palladium is used as the catalyst for this reaction far more often than other transition metals such as copper and nickel.¹³

As an alternative method, the decarboxylative coupling of alkynyl carboxylic acids has received much attention since we first reported the palladium-catalyzed coupling of alkynyl carboxylic acids and aryl halides in 2008.¹⁴ Alkynyl carboxylic acids such as propiolic acid have been widely employed as

alkyne surrogates, and exhibit good activity in this reaction with a variety of coupling partners.¹⁵ Furthermore, they can be readily prepared in one step without the need for column chromatography for purification.¹⁶

We have previously reported a number of decarboxylative coupling reactions. For example, synthetic strategies for diaryl alkynes, diynes, diketones, allenes, alkynyl ketones, and alkynyl amides have been developed.¹⁷ In addition, several multicomponent reactions have been developed.¹⁸ These reactions are catalyzed by palladium or copper in most cases, with only a few rare examples of nickel catalysts being reported, even though it is more abundant and less expensive than palladium. The first example of a nickel-catalyzed decarboxylative coupling reactions with an allyl acetate, as shown in Figure 1a.¹⁹ Recently, we reported nickel-catalyzed decarboxylative coupling reactions with aryl siloxanes or aryl boronic acids (Figure 1b).²⁰ These successes prompted us to develop a nickel-catalyzed decarboxylative coupling reaction with aryl halides, which has long been regarded as a challenge.

Herein, we report for the first time the nickel-catalyzed decarboxylative coupling of an alkynyl carboxylic acid and aryl iodides (Figure 1c).

Corresponding author. E-mail address: <u>cfalee@dragon.nchu.edu.tw</u> (C.-F. Lee), <u>sunwoo@chonnam.ac.kr</u> (S.Lee)



Figure 1. Nickel-catalyzed decarboxylative coupling reactions.

To optimize the reaction conditions, phenyl propiolic acid and iodobenzene were chosen as standard substrates. A variety of reaction parameters were investigated, as shown in Table 1. First, we assessed a number of nickel sources. Generally, Ni(COD)₂ is the most frequently employed nickel source in cross-coupling reactions with aryl halides. However, it is very air-sensitive and thus difficult to handle and transport.²¹ Therefore, we employed the much more stable nickel(II) as a nickel source in the presence of manganese.²²

Of the commercially available nickel complexes, only $Ni(OAc)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ and $NiCl_2$ provide the coupled product, achieving moderate yields of 44 and 48%, respectively (entries 3 and 4). When Cs_2CO_3 is employed as a base instead of K_2CO_3 , the product yield increases to 67% (entry 10); however, other bases such as Na_2CO_3 , DBU, and DBN result in poor yields (entries 11–13). The reactions with other chelating phosphine ligands such as DPEPhos (L2), BINAP (L3), and dppf (L4) provide only trace amounts of the product (entries 14–16). When the reaction temperature is increased to 110 °C, the desired product is formed in 83% yield (entry 17). When the reaction is run at 110 °C in DMF, toluene, or diglyme, the yields are not satisfactory (entries 18–20).

We also investigated the reaction with different combinations of nickel source and ligand (Figure 2). Three kinds of ligands were tested with Ni(acac)₂ in the presence of Cs_2CO_3 in DMSO. DPEPhos, BINAP, and dppf afford **3a** in 5, 22, and 64% yields, respectively (entries 21–23). However, the use of Ni(OAc)₂·4H₂O results in poor yields, irrespective of the phosphine ligand employed (entries 24–26). It is noteworthy that no homocoupled phenyl propiolic acid product, which is 1,4diphenyl-1,3-butadiyne, is observed in any of the reactions.

Table 1. Screening of Ni source, ligand, base, solvent, and temperature for the decarboxylative coupling reaction.

10 mol% Ni 15 mol% Ligand

edron									
9	$Ni[P(C_6H_5)_3]_2$	L1	K_2CO_3	DMSO	80	1			
10	NiCl ₂	L1	Cs_2CO_3	DMSO	80	67			
11	NiCl ₂	L1	Na_2CO_3	DMSO	80	22			
12	NiCl ₂	L1	DBU	DMSO	80	17			
13	NiCl ₂	L1	DBN	DMSO	80	13			
14	NiCl ₂	L2	Cs_2CO_3	DMSO	80	5			
15	NiCl ₂	L3	Cs_2CO_3	DMSO	80	trace			
16	NiCl ₂	L4	Cs_2CO_3	DMSO	80	trace			
17	NiCl ₂	L1	Cs_2CO_3	DMSO	110	83			
18	NiCl ₂	L1	Cs_2CO_3	DMF	110	30			
19	NiCl ₂	L1	Cs_2CO_3	Toluene	110	20			
20	NiCl ₂	L1	Cs_2CO_3	Diglym e	110	51			
21	Ni(acac) ₂	L2	Cs_2CO_3	DMSO	110	5			
22	Ni(acac) ₂	L3	Cs_2CO_3	DMSO	110	22			
23	$Ni(acac)_2$	L4	Cs_2CO_3	DMSO	110	64			
24	Ni(OAc) ₂ 4H ₂ O	L2	Cs_2CO_3	DMSO	110	13			
25	Ni(OAc) ₂ 4H ₂ O	L3	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	110	6			
26	Ni(OAc) ₂ 4H ₂ O	L4	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	110	9			
^a Pasetion Conditions: 10 (0.2 mmol) 20 (0.6 mmol) Ni (0.02 mmol) ligand									

"Reaction Conditions: 1a (0.3 mmol), 2a (0.6 mmol), Ni (0.03 mmol), ligand (0.045 mmol), Mn (0.3 mmol), and base (0.45 mmol) were reacted in solvent (1.0 mL).



Figure 2. Structures of the ligands L1–L4.

With the optimum conditions established, we investigated the substrate scope of the reaction (Table 2). As expected, phenyl iodide couples with phenyl propiolic acid to give diphenyl acetylene (3a) in 88% yield (entry 1). The ortho-substituted iodotoluene reacts with low yields in both NiCl₂/Xantphos and Ni(acac)₂/dppf (entry 2), while the meta- and para-substituted iodotoluenes afford the corresponding coupled products in 41 and 79% yields, respectively (entries 3 and 4). Iodoanisoles react at low to moderate yields (entries 5 and 6). 4-Fluoro- and 4-chloroiodobenzene provide the desired products in 42 and 79% vields. respectively (entries 7 and 8). 4-Iodopyridine couples with phenyl propiolic acid to give 3i in 83% yield (entry 9). Methyl 4iodobenzoate and 1-iodonaphthalene give the desired products in 47 and 57% yields, respectively (entries 10 and 11). 1-Iodo-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene afforded 31 with 82% yield (entry 12). 4-Iodoacetophenone affords the desired product with 16% yield in the presence of NiCl₂/ Xantphos. However, when these reactions are performed with Ni(acac)₂/ dppf, it provide the coupled product 3m in 39% yield, respectively (entry 13). Aryl iodides bearing cyano or nitro groups do not afford the coupled products under either set of conditions (entries 14 and 15).

Table 2. Ni-catalyzed decarboxylative coupling reactions between aryl iodides and phenyl propiolic $acid.^{a}$

OH		Base (1.5 equiv)		v) liv)		<u>`</u>	aryl iodides and phenyl propiolic acid."					
1a		2a Solvent 80 or 110 °C, 12 h		12 h	3a		⊖ → OH + I−Ar		10 mol% NiCl ₂ 15 mol% Xantphos Mn (1.0 equiv)			
Entry	Ni	Ligan	d Base	Solvent	Temp	Yield (%)	_	1a	2	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (1.5 equiv) DMSO, 110 °C, 12 h	3	
1	Ni(acac) ₂	L1	K_2CO_3	DMSO	80	3	-				Vield ^b	
2	Ni(NO ₃) ₂ 6H ₂ O	L1	K_2CO_3	DMSO	80	1	Entry		ArI	Product	(07.)	
3	Ni(OAc) ₂ 4H ₂ O	L1	K_2CO_3	DMSO	80	44	-				(%)	
4	NiCl ₂	L1	K_2CO_3	DMSO	80	48	1			. 3	0.0	
5	NiF_2	L1	K_2CO_3	DMSO	80	3	1		$\langle \rangle$	- 3a	88	
6	Ni(OH) ₂	L1	K_2CO_3	DMSO	80	4						
7	Ni(Cp) ₂	L1	K_2CO_3	DMSO	80	1						
8	NiBr ₂ glyme	L1	K_2CO_3	DMSO	80	1						



^aReaction conditions: **1** (3.0 mmol), **2** (3.0 mmol), NiCl₂ (0.3 mmol), Xantphos (0.45 mmol), Mn (3.0 mmol), and Cs₂CO₃ (4.5 mmol) were reacted in DMSO at 110 $^{\circ}$ C, 12 h. ^bIsolated Yield. ^cNi(acac)₂ (0.3 mmol) and dppf (0.3 mmol) were used instead of NiCl₂/Xantphos.

To further evaluate this methodology, substituted aryl propiolic acids and alkyl-substituted propiolic acids were employed as coupling partners. The results are summarized in Table 3. Electron donating group substituted-phenyl propiolic acids such as **1b**, **1c** and **1d** afforded the desired product **3p**, **3c** and **3f** with 67%, 77% and 69% yields, respectively (entries 1 – 3). Electron withdrawing group substituted-phenyl propiolic acids also showed good yields (entries 4 and 5). Alkyl-substituted propiolic acids such as hexynoic acid and pentynoic acid gave the desired product **3p** and **3q** with 81% and 85% yields, respectively (entries 6 and 7).

Table 3. Ni-catalyzed decarboxylative coupling reactions between aryl or alkyl propiolic acids and phenyl iodide.^a





^aReaction conditions: **1** (3.0 mmol), **2a** (3.0 mmol), NiCl₂ (0.3 mmol), Xantphos (0.45 mmol), Mn (3.0 mmol), and Cs₂CO₃ (4.5 mmol) were reacted in DMSO at 110 °C, 12 h. ^bIsolated Yield.

We attempted to expand this nickel-catalyzed decarboxylative coupling reaction toward aryl bromide and chloride. However, no product was formed.

We have proposed a plausible mechanism for the reaction, as shown in Scheme 1. Ni(II) is reduced to Ni(0) in the presence of manganese.²³ The aryl iodide reacts with Ni(0) to give the oxidative addition complex **A**. The phenyl propiolic acid salt, which is formed by the reaction with Cs₂CO₃, reacts with nickel complex **A** to provide the aryl alkynyl nickel complex **B** through a decarboxylative ligand exchange reaction. Finally, reductive elimination affords the desired coupled product and Ni(0).



Scheme 1. Proposed mechanism.

In summary, we have developed a synthesis of diaryl alkynes involving the reaction of aryl iodides and phenyl propiolic acid in the presence of a nickel catalyst. To obtain the desired product, NiCl₂ (10 mol%), Xantphos (15 mol%), Cs₂CO₃ (1.5 equiv), and Mn (1.0 equiv) were employed. This method afforded diaryl alkynes in moderate to good yields. Moreover, this methodology presents several advantages over that used in traditional coupling reactions of this sort: a stable Ni(II) source is employed as the catalyst, and no formation of 1,4-diphenylbutadiyne, which is

Tetrahedron

always produced in palladium- or copper-catalyzed coupling reactions with alkynes, is observed.

Acknowledgments

4

This research was supported by a National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant provided by the Korean government (MSIP) (NRF-2014R1A2A1A11050018, NRF-2015R1A4A1041036) (S. Lee). The Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan (MOST-105-2113-M-005-001) and the National Chung Hsing University are also acknowledged for support (C.Lee). Spectral data were obtained from the Korea Basic Science Institute, Gwangju branch.

References and notes

- (a) Christmann, U.; Vilar, R. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 366-374; (b) Cahiez, G.; Moyeux, A. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1435-1462; (c) Shi, W.; Liu, C.; Lei, A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 2761-2776; (d) Rosen, B. M.; Quasdorf, K. W.; Wilson, D. A.; Zhang, N.; Resmerita, A. M.; Garg, N. K.; Percec, V. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1346-1416; (e) Jana, R.; Pathak, T. P.; Sigman, M. S. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1417-1492; (f) Xiao, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 236-247; (g) Cherney, A. H.; Kadunce, N. T.; Reisman, S. E. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 9587-9652.
- (a) Cabri, W.; Candiani, I. Acc. Chem. Res. 1995, 28, 2–7; (b) Wu, X.-F.; Anbarasan, P.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 9047–9050.
- (a) Manolikakes, G.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 205–209; (b) Eno, M. S.; Lu, A.; Morken, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 7824–7827.
- Phapale, V. B.; Cárdenas, D. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1598–1607.
- (a) Carsten, B.; He, F.; Son, H. J.; Xu, Tao; Yu, L. *Chem. Rev.* 2011, 111, 1493–1528; (b) Cordovilla, C.; Bartolomé, C.; Martínez-Ilarduya, J. M.; Espinet, P. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 3040–3053.
- (a) Maluenda, I.; Navarro, O. *Molecules* 2015, 20, 7528–7557; (b) Zhang, D.; Wang, Q. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2015, 286, 1–16; (c) Dasa, P.; Linertb, W. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2016, 311, 1–23.
- 7. Chinchilla, R.; Nájera, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5084–5121.
- (a) Srimani, D.; Bej, A.; Sarkar, A. J. Org, Chem. 2010, 75, 4296–4299; (b) Banerjee, A.; Scott, R. W. J. In Nanocatalysis: Synthesis and Applications; Polshettiwar, V., Asefa, T., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 2013, pp 133-188.
- (a) Hartwig, J. F. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2046–2067; (b) Urgaonkar, S.; Verkade, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 9135–9142; (c) Lundgren, R. J.; Stradiotto, M. Aldrichim. Acta 2012, 45, 59–65.
- (a) Littke, A. F.; Fu, G. C. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4176-4211; (b) Litte, A. Metal-Catalyzed Coupling Reactions with Aryl Chlorides, Tosylates and Florides. In Modern Arylation Methods; Ackermann, L. Eds.; Wiely-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, 2009; pp 25-67.
- (a) Sonogashira, S.; Tohda, Y.; Hagihara, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 50, 4467–4470; (b) Chinchilla, R.; Nájera, C. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 874–922; (c) Dudnik, A. S.; Gevorgyan, V. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2096–2098; (d) Chinchilla, R.; Nájera, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5084–5121; (e) Bakherad. M. Appl. Organometal. Chem. 2013, 27, 125–140.
- (a) Liu, Q.; Tang, Z.; Wu, M.; Zhou, Z. Polym. Int. 2014, 63, 381–392; (b) Bunz, U. H. F.; Seehafer, K.; Geyer, F. L.; Bender, M. Braun, I.; Smarsly, E.; Freudenberg, J. Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2014, 35, 1466–1496; (c) Geng, T.-M.; Zhu, H.; Song, W.; Zhu, F.; Wang, Y. J. Mater. Sci. 2016, 51, 4104–4114; (d) Trunk, M.; Herrmann, A.; Bildirir, H.; Yassin, A.; Schmidt, J.; Thomas, A. Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 7179-7183; (e) Huang, B.; Zhao, P.; Dai, Y.; Deng, S.; Hu, A. Polym. Chem. 2016, 54, 2285–2290.
- Handbook of Organopalladium Chemistry for Organic Synthesis; Negishi, E. Ed.; Wiley, New York, 2002.
- Moon, J.; Jeong, M.; Nam, H.; Ju, Jin, Moon, J. H.; Jung, H. M.; Lee, S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 945–948.

- (a) Moon, J.; Jang, M.; Lee, S. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 1403– 1406; (b) Kim, H.; Lee, P. H. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 2827– 2832; (c) Park, K.; Lee, S. RSC Adv. 2013, 3, 14165–14182; (d) Shi, L.; Jia, W.; Li, X.; Jiao, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 1951–1955; (e) Priebbenow, D. L.; Becker, P.; Bolm, C. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 6155–6157; (f) Li, X.; Yang, F.; Wu, Y.; Wu, Y. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 992–995; (g) Singh, R.; Allam, B. K.; Singh, N.; Kumari, K. Singh, S. K.; Singh, K. N. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 1181–1186; (h) Singh, R.; Allam, B. K.; Singh, N.; Kumari, K. Singh, S. K.; Singh, K. N. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2656–2659; (i) Hu, G.; Zhang, Y.; Su, J. Li, Z.; Gao, Y.; Zhao, Y. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 8221–8231; (i) Jadhav, B. D.; Pardeshi, S. K. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 14531–14537.
- (a) Park, K.; Palani, T.; Pyo, A.; Lee, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2012, 53, 733–737; (b) Park, K.; You. J.-M.; Jeon, S.; Lee, S. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2013, 1973–1978; (c) Lim, J.; Choi, J.; Kim, H.-S.; Kim, I. S.; Nam, K. C.; Kim. J, Lee, S. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 303–308.
- (a) Moon, J.; Jang, M.; Lee, S. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 1403–1406; (b) Park, K.; Bae, G.; Moon, J.; Choe, J.; Song, K. H.; Lee, S. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6244–6251; (c) Park, A.; Park, K.; Kim, Y.; Lee, S. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 944–947; (d) Min, H.; Palani, T.; Park, K.; Hwang, J.; Lee, S. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 6279–6285; (e) Choi, J.; Park, K.; Lim, J.; Jung, H. M.; Lee, S. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2015, 4, 969–974; (f) Lim, J.; Choi, J.; Kim, H.-S.; Kim, I. S.; Nam, K. C.; Kim, J.; Lee, S. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 303–308.
- (a) Palani, T.; Park, K. Kumar, M. R.; Jung, H. M.; Lee, S. *Eur. J.* Org. Chem. 2012, 5038–5047; (b) Palani, T.; Park, K.; Song, K. H.; Lee, S. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 1160–1168; (c) Park, K.; Heo, Y.; Lee, S. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3322–3325; (d) Choi, J.; Lim, J.; Irudayanathan, F. M.; Kim, H.-S.; Park, J.; Yu, S. B.; Jang, Y.; Raja, G. C. E.; Nam, K. C.; Kim, J.; Lee, S. Aisian. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 5, 770–777.
- 19. Choe, J.; Yang, J.; Park, K.; Palani, T.; Lee, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, *53*, 6908–6912.
- (a) Raja, G. C. E.; Irudayanathan, F. M.; Kim, H.-S.; Kim, J.; Lee, S. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 84, 5244–5249; (b) Lee, J.; Raja, G. C. E.; Son, Y.; Jang, J.; Kim, J.; Lee, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2016, 57, 4824–4828.
- (a) Borzenko, A.; Loria, N. L. R.; MacQueen, P. M.; Lavoie, C. M.; McDonald, R.; Stradiotto, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 3773–3777;
 (b) Green, R. A.; Hartwig, J. F. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 3768–3772.
- (a) Cherney, A. H.; Kadunce, N. T.; Reisman, S. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 7442–7445; (b) Komeyama, K.; Kashihara, T.; Takaki, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 5659–5662; (c) Dorn, S. C. M.; Olsen, A. K.; Kelemen, R. E.; Shrestha, R.; Weix, D. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2015, 56, 3365–3367.
- 23. Theoretically, catalytic amount of manganese can be required to activate the nickel catalyst precursor. However, when 0.5 equivalent of manganese were employed, the yield of product was decreased.

Highlights

Decarboxylative coupling reactions with alkynyl carboxylic acid and aryliodides Nickel-catalyzed decarboxyltive coupling The employment of air stable nickel(II) as a Acceleration catalyst.

Tetrahedron

Graphical Abstract

To create your abstract, type over the instructions in the template box below.



6