# Hypercholesterolemia and Alzheimer's Disease: Unraveling the Connection and Assessing the Efficacy of Lipid-Lowering Therapies 2 3 4 5

- 6 Miguel A. Pappolla<sup>a,∗</sup>, Lorenzo Refolo<sup>b</sup>, Kumar Sambamurti<sup>c</sup>, Daniel Zambon<sup>d</sup> and Karen Duff<sup>e</sup>
- <sup>a</sup> <sup>7</sup> *Department of Neurology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, USA*
- <sup>b</sup> <sup>8</sup> *Translational Research Branch, Division of Neuroscience, Bethesda, MD, USA*
- <sup>c</sup> <sup>9</sup> *Department of Neurosciences, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC, USA*
- <sup>d</sup> <sup>10</sup> *Universitat Internacional de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain*
- <sup>e</sup> Karen Duff, UK Dementia Research Institute at University College London, London, UK

Accepted 17 June 2024

**Abstract**. This article examines the relationship between cholesterol levels and Alzheimer's disease (AD), beginning with the early observation that individuals who died from heart attacks often had brain amyloid deposition. Subsequent animal model research proved that high cholesterol could hasten amyloid accumulation. In contrast, cholesterol-lowering treatments appeared to counteract this effect. Human autopsy studies reinforced the cholesterol-AD connection, revealing that higher cholesterol levels during midlife significantly correlated with higher brain amyloid pathology. This effect was especially pronounced in individuals aged 40 to 55. Epidemiological data supported animal research and human tissue observations and suggested that managing cholesterol levels in midlife could reduce the risk of developing AD. We analyze the main observational studies and clinical trials on the efficacy of statins. While observational data often suggest a potential protective effect against AD, clinical trials have not consistently shown benefit. The failure of these trials to demonstrate a clear advantage is partially attributed to multiple factors, including the timing of statin therapy, the type of stain and the appropriate selection of patients for treatment. Many studies failed to target individuals who might benefit most from early intervention, such as high-risk patients like *APOE4* carriers. The review addresses how cholesterol is implicated in AD through various biological pathways, the potential preventive role of cholesterol management as suggested by observational studies, and the difficulties encountered in clinical trials, particularly related to statin use. The paper highlights the need to explore alternate therapeutic targets and mechanisms that escape statin intervention. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

<sup>27</sup> Keywords: Alzheimer's disease, amyloid, cholesterol, clinical trials, hypercholesterolemia, lipids, statins

<sup>∗</sup>Correspondence to: Miguel A. Pappolla, Professor of Neurology, Department of Neurology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, USA. E-mail: [pappolla@aol.com.](mailto:pappolla@aol.com)

#### <sup>28</sup> **INTRODUCTION**

 Over three decades ago, a complex relationship between hypercholesterolemia and Alzheimer's dis-31 ease (AD) began to emerge. Larry Sparks noted that patients who succumbed to myocardial infarc- tion often presented with amyloid pathology in their brains, a key neuropathological feature of AD [1]. This observation set the stage for the work of Larry Refolo and co-investigators. Their studies used transgenic mouse models of AD to demonstrate that diet-inducing hypercholesterolemia significantly accelerated amyloid deposition [2]. The investigators showed that when these AD transgenic mice were treated with cholesterol-lowering drugs, there was a marked decrease in amyloid deposition [3]. This research was conducted blindly; mice were treated in Refolo's laboratory, while the neuropathological evaluation and image analysis were independently performed by one of the authors (MAP), who 47 remained blind to the treatments administered.

 Collectively, these investigations highlight a strong mechanistic association between cholesterol and AD pathogenesis, thereby paving the way for further research.

 Further evidence of the cholesterol-AD connec- tion emerged from human autopsy studies, revealing a robust correlation between midlife cholesterol lev- els and subsequent brain amyloid accumulation. This association was particularly pronounced in subjects aged 40 to 55, where even a moderate increase in serum cholesterol, from 181 to 200 mg/dl, nearly tripled the risk of developing brain amyloid, inde- pendent of apolipoprotein E (*APOE*) isoform [4]. Intriguingly, this observation faded with age, pointing toward hypercholesterolemia as being, for unknown reasons, only an early risk factor for AD.

 Several epidemiological studies also substantiated the role of midlife hypercholesterolemia in impact- ing AD risk [5–7]. The first study was conducted by Notkola et al. who investigated the relationship between serum total cholesterol, the *APOE* ε4 allele, and AD in a cohort of 444 men aged 70–89 [5]. They found that a previous high serum cholesterol level at mid-life was significantly associated with an increased prevalence of AD later in life, indepen- dent of the *APOE4* allele's presence. The research suggests that elevated cholesterol might be an inde- pendent risk factor for AD, and the influence of the *APOE4* allele on AD risk could be partly mediated 77 through its impact on cholesterol levels. This study supported the concept that managing cholesterol levels in mid-life, before the clinical symptoms of AD  $\frac{79}{2}$ manifest, might be crucial in preventing or delaying  $\frac{80}{20}$ the onset of AD later in life.  $\frac{81}{25}$ 

One of these studies examined a multiethnic  $82$ cohort comprising  $9,844$  participants who underwent  $\qquad$ detailed health evaluations at ages  $40-45$  [7]. These  $84$ results revealed that even moderately elevated cholesterol levels were associated with an increased risk set of developing late onset AD, reinforcing, as empha- <sup>87</sup> sized below in the chapter, the imperative to address 88 dementia risk factors early, perhaps not later than set during midlife and decidedly before developing cognitive impairment later in life.

Power and colleagues studied the Atherosclerosis 92 Risk in Communities (ARIC) dataset, which involved 93 nearly 14,000 participants, to understand the long-<br>set term impact of midlife cholesterol on cognitive health 95 [8]. They reported that elevated levels of total choles- 96 terol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c), <sup>97</sup> and triglycerides during midlife were associated with 98 a significant decline in executive function, sustained 99 attention, and processing speed over the ensuing 100 two decades. Additionally, higher total cholesterol 101 and triglycerides were linked with a more marked 102 decline in memory scores. Notably, these investiga-<br>103 tors showed that high-density lipoprotein cholesterol 104  $(HDL-c)$  did not correlate significantly with cognitive  $105$ change (a finding refuted by another study discussed 106 below). All these findings emphasized the contribution of hypercholesterolemia as an early risk factor 108 for AD, highlighting the potential benefits of early 109 cholesterol management for long-term harvesting of 110 cognitive health.

While a substantial body of research suggested 112 that high cholesterol levels in mid-life are strongly  $113$ associated with an increased AD risk later in life, 114 some studies focusing primarily on older popula-<br>115 tions presented conflicting results. Reitz et al. [9], 116 for example, observed that in individuals aged  $77$  117 and older, higher total cholesterol levels paradoxically appeared to decrease the risk of AD ( $HR = 0.48$ , 119 95% CI =  $0.26-0.86$ ), without significant distinctions 120 between HDL and LDL cholesterol. This trend was 121 also evident in their subsequent study, which did 122 not find a significant impact of cholesterol on cog-<br>123 nitive function in the elderly  $[10]$ . Similarly, another  $124$ study by Reitz and colleagues  $[11]$  indicated that high  $125$ total cholesterol or LDL levels in those 65 and older 126 were paradoxically correlated with a reduced risk of  $127$ developing mild cognitive impairment (MCI). Mielke 128 et al. [12] reported that elevated cholesterol levels 129 between ages 70–79 were associated with a lower 130



Fig. 1. Visualization of Amyloid Plaques in Transgenic Mice via Immunohistochemistry. The depiction compares statin-treated (upper panels) and control mice (lower panels). The statin-treated transgenic mice demonstrated a consistently reduced amyloid plaque burden compared to the controls. Quantitative immunohistochemistry analysis in these experiments was always performed independently and blindly (without knowledge of the treatment groups) by the neuropathologist, ensuring objectivity in the evaluation. "Control" refers to mice not subjected to statin treatment.

 dementia risk from ages 79–88. These findings con- trast with the epidemiological studies that examined younger subjects, which consistently link higher mid- life cholesterol levels to a greater AD risk in later <sup>135</sup> life.

 In a review paper published by Sanchez-Ferro and ´ colleagues, the investigators highlighted the signifi- cance of the timing of data collection to the disease process when examining the relationship between blood pressure, body mass index (BMI), choles- terol and dementia [13]. The researchers found that studies with less than a decade of follow-up often report no relationship or one that contradicts expected trends between these factors and dementia risk. Con- versely, in studies extending beyond ten years of follow-up, arterial hypertension, cholesterol levels, and elevated BMI have consistently been linked to an increased risk of AD. This discrepancy is 149 believed to stem from the natural course of dementia, where cholesterol, blood pressure, and BMI begin to decrease several years before the clinical onset of the disease. Initially, Notkola et al. supported this perspective, noting that gradual decreases in cholesterol levels precede the onset of dementia by several years, thereby potentially masking earlier life hypercholesterolemia. Potential additional factors are

discussed in an excellent review by Shepardson et al. 157 [14]. 158

The CRISP Pilot Study evaluated the impact of 159 lovastatin on the health-related quality of life in 160 older individuals, primarily aged 65 or above, focus- <sup>161</sup> ing on domains like physical functioning, cognitive 162 function, and overall health perception  $[15]$ . Despite  $163$ reduced cholesterol levels with lovastatin treatment, 164 no significant changes in health-related quality of life  $_{165}$ measures were observed after six months. The neg-<br>166 ative results could be attributed to the older age of  $167$ participants and the short follow-up period, which is 168 likely insufficient to observe changes in quality of life  $_{169}$ or cognitive function in response to lipid-lowering 170 therapy. 171

The Honolulu-Asia Aging Study by Kalmijn et 172 al. assessed the long-term impact of metabolic  $173$ cardiovascular syndrome in middle-aged Japanese- <sup>174</sup> American men on their risk of developing dementia 175 in later life [16]. The study, initiated in 1965, followed  $176$ participants into old age, diagnosing 215 dementia cases. It found that increased metabolic risk 178 factors were associated with a higher risk of vascular dementia but not AD. Again, the relatively 180 advanced age of participants at the onset of the study 181 may not accurately reflect the potential preventive 182



Fig. 2. Positive correlations were found between the levels of  $A\beta_{40}$  $(r=0.832)$  and  $\text{A}\beta_{42}$   $(r=0.817)$  peptides in transgenic murine brain tissue and circulating serum cholesterol concentrations.  $A\beta$ , amyloid- $\beta$  protein.

<sup>183</sup> impact of addressing metabolic factors earlier in <sup>184</sup> life.

### <sup>185</sup> **MECHANISMS**

 Mechanistically, other obstacles emerged on the road toward a clear understanding. While Refolo's transgenic mice data suggested a clear, linear relation- ship between cholesterol and amyloid load (Fig. 2), this relationship was much more complex in human 191 brain tissue (Fig. 3), stressing the importance of addi- tional modulating factors impacting amyloid deposi-tion when comparing mice to human brain tissue.

> Applying a nonparametric regression model as a heuristic tool, Pappolla and co-investigators [4] unveiled, in the human brain, a non-linear interaction. Intermediate levels of cholesterol correlated with the highest amyloid deposition. In contrast, very high cholesterol levels inversely hindered amyloid deposition. These findings demonstrate the intricate role of cholesterol in amyloidogenesis in the human brain, among other factors leading to AD progression (Fig. 3).

> > $y = (a_0 \times a_1 \exp(-a_2 \times x_0))e^{1+e_2}$



- <sup>195</sup> *y* represents the amyloid load.
- <sup>196</sup> x signifies the total cholesterol (TC) levels.



Fig. 3. Illustration of the nonlinear relationship between amyloid load and total cholesterol (TC), analyzed using a two-step analytical approach that fit the experimental data. Initially, a linear regression model was applied, followed by a nonparametric regression to capture the nonlinear interplay between amyloid deposition and cholesterol levels. This methodological progression elucidated a heuristic equation characterized by a singular peak flanked by two inflection points.

•  $a_0, a_1, a_2, e_1$ , and  $e_2$  are the parameters determined through the regression analysis that 198 characterize the relationship between amyloid 199 load and cholesterol levels.

This original equation captures the dynamics of the 201 interaction between cholesterol and amyloid depo-<br>202 sition observed experimentally in the human brain. 203 It highlights the complex nature of their association 204 and is hereby designated the "Pappolla-Herbert equa- <sup>205</sup> tion." <sup>206</sup>

The role of some of the mentioned factors was also 207 pointed out by data published by Vemuri et al. [17] 208 These researchers showed that "vascular health" vari-<br>209 ables, other than cholesterol levels, also influenced <sup>210</sup> tau deposition, a critical element in the neuropatho- <sup>211</sup> logical cascade leading to cognitive impairment in 212 patients with AD. In this study, both "vascular health" 213 and amyloid emerged as direct contributors to tau <sup>214</sup> deposition, a marker of neurodegeneration, with the <sup>215</sup> impact of amyloid on tau surpassing that of "vascular <sup>216</sup> health." Notably, hyperlipidemia was the sole signif-<br>217 icant predictor of tau deposition among the variables 218 examined. However, their analysis did not directly 219 include the specific effects of cholesterol levels.

Another neuropathological study [18], conducted 221 by Launer et al., sought to understand the association between plasma cholesterol levels (total, HDL, 223 and LDL) and the development of neuropathologi-<br><sub>224</sub> cal markers associated with AD, specifically neuritic 225  plaques (NP) and neurofibrillary tangles (NFT). The study examined a population-based autopsy series of 218 Japanese American men, part of the Honolulu- Asia Aging Study. Cholesterol levels were measured late in life (average age at death 84.6 years) for all subjects, and midlife measurements were available for a sub-sample. The analysis, adjusted for vari- ous factors, revealed a significant linear association between increasing late-life HDL cholesterol levels and the number of neocortical NPs and hippocampal and neocortical NFTs. Similar trends were observed for midlife HDL-C levels. This study suggested that the constituents of HDL-C may play a role in the for- mation of AD pathology. Thus, the findings unveiled a complex interplay between age, genetic predisposi- tion, cardiovascular health variables, and markers of neurodegeneration (amyloid and tau deposition).

 Although initial retrospective studies suggested a potential benefit of statins, others found no signif- icant cognitive improvements in AD patients (see below). Unfortunately, these preliminary observa- tions, coupled with an incomplete understanding of the age-related dynamics and other mentioned variables, led to a series of clinical trials, which yielded largely disappointing results. These trials demonstrated critical shortcomings linked to treat- ment duration, age, follow-up periods, and, most importantly, the stage of AD at which treatment was initiated. These topics will be further analyzed later in this paper.

 The brain has the highest cholesterol concen- tration, carrying approximately 25% of all the cholesterol in the body. Brain cholesterol plays a vital role in several physiological processes, includ- ing neurotransmission, synaptic development, and membrane stability [14, 19]. A disturbance of brain cholesterol metabolism could enhance the amyloido- $_{263}$  genic A $\beta$  pathway [4, 20], impair brain circulation, and implicate other processes, such as several genetic variables linked to lipid metabolism may be impor- tant in the pathophysiology of AD [11, 12]. Several consequential factors in AD pathogenesis emerged, including the roles of cholesterol and oxysterols, apolipoproteins and the metabolism of the amyloid- $\beta$ protein precursor (AβPP).

### <sup>271</sup> **THE ROLE OF OXYSTEROLS**

<sup>272</sup> Disrupted cholesterol homeostasis encompasses <sup>273</sup> various critical elements from peripheral cholesterol <sup>274</sup> and the de novo synthesis of cholesterol in astrocytes and neurons to the interplay of apolipoprotein <sub>275</sub> E (ApoE), LDL receptors (LDLR and LRP1), and  $276$ ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters  $[21-23]$ .  $277$ 

In the brain, cholesterol undergoes conversion 278 into oxysterols such as 24-S-hydroxycholesterol (24-OHC), catalyzed by the neuron-specific enzyme 280  $CYP46A1$  [24]. This conversion is vital to cholesterol  $281$ homeostasis. Additional roles of 24-OHC include 282 modulation of cholesterol synthesis, cholesterol 283 transport facilitation between astrocytes and neurons, <sup>284</sup> ApoE expression, and prevention of SREBP-1a and 285 SREBP-2 transcription factors' maturation [25]. The 286 latter role is principally accomplished through its 287 action as a natural ligand for liver X receptors  $(LXR \alpha$  288 and  $LXR\beta$ ) and retinoic acid receptor-related orphan  $288$ receptors  $(RORS)$  [26]. 290

Beyond its critical involvement in cholesterol reg- 291 ulation, 24-OHC has an extensive physiological role 292 in the maturation and survival of nerve cells via its 293 inverse agonist activity towards  $ROR\alpha$  [27]. More- 294 over, 24-OHC is a positive allosteric modulator 295 of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors (NMDARs), an <sup>296</sup> activity that is essential for synaptic plasticity, learn- <sup>297</sup> ing, and excitatory neurotransmission [28]. 298

The complex role of  $24$ -OHC, as the predominant  $299$ oxysterol in the brain, prompts novel lines of inquiry  $300$ into potential novel therapeutic targets. However,  $301$ the intricate roles of oxysterols in the AD brain are 302 yet to be elucidated. Certain oxysterols, such as 27- 303 OHC, 7β-hydroxycholesterol, and 7-ketocholesterol, 304 exhibit a marked increase in AD and have been impli-<br>305 cated in disease progression, while 24-OHC levels  $306$ decline due to neuronal loss [24, 29]. Thus, unex-<br><sub>307</sub> plored areas of discovery and potential therapeutic 308 opportunities still exist. <sup>309</sup>

In a study by Dias et al., the investigators  $310$ proposed that disrupting the brain's detoxifica- <sup>311</sup> tion capacity for oxysterols via sulfation may 312 impact AD pathogenesis [30]. Upon analyzing 313 lipids from postmortem brain tissue and cere- <sup>314</sup> brospinal fluid from early and late-stage AD 315 patients, the investigators reported increased lev- <sup>316</sup> els of specific oxysterols (26-hydroxycholesterol, 317 25-hydroxycholesterol, and 7-oxycholesterol) in 318 late-stage AD brain tissue and mitochondria. 319 The exception was 24S-hydroxycholesterol, which 320 showed a decrease. The authors inferred that these  $321$ alterations could compromise mitochondrial function 322 in the brain, potentially accelerating AD progression. 323

Wong et al. advanced the hypothesis that oxysterols  $324$ play a key role in AD by modulating neuroinflamma- <sup>325</sup> tion [31]. Their data revealed that LPS-induced IL-1 $\beta$ 

326

 release was amplified by 25-OHC and attenuated by CH25 hydrolase deletion. Moreover, they found that microglia expressing apoE4, an established AD risk factor, produced more 25-OHC than those expressing apoE3 following LPS treatment. They proposed that 25-OHC might influence AD progression by acting as an inflammatory mediator secreted by microglia  $_{334}$  in the brain, enhancing IL-1 $\beta$ -mediated neuroinflam-mation in an apoE isoform-dependent manner.

 The regulation of cholesterol homeostasis and oxysterol production in the brain and their influ- ence on neuroinflammation extends to other potential factors perhaps involved in AD pathogenesis, such as viral infections. For instance, a study by Gc and colleagues proposed that 25-hydroxycholesterol stimulates innate immune responses during viral infections and activates the integrin-focal adhesion kinase (FAK) pathway [32]. In alignment with the hypothesis of Wong et al. [31], the study established that 25-OHC induces the production of proinflam- matory mediators, such as tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  and interleukin-6, through direct binding to inte- grins. This is particularly interesting as it suggests that certain oxysterols may have a broader role beyond cholesterol homeostasis and could contribute to neuroinflammatory processes triggered by specific pathogens, perhaps implicated in AD.

 Adding to this narrative are the outcomes of the Finnish Geriatric Intervention Study to Prevent Cog- nitive Impairment and Disability (FINGER). This two-year intervention study involving older indi- viduals (60–77 years) with an increased risk of dementia but without substantial cognitive impair- ment yielded intriguing results [33]. The intervention, which included a combination of diet, exercise, cog- nitive training, and vascular risk management, led to a notable reduction in 27-OHC levels in the sub- jects. This reduction was correlated with cognitive improvement, particularly in memory function. Inter- estingly, this association was only observed in the intervention group and not in the control group. More- over, a significant reduction in 27-OHC levels was recorded in those participants with initially high lev-els of 27-OHC.

371 Baseline data from the FINGER study also revealed associations between higher 27-OHC lev-373 els and lower total gray matter volume, hippocampal volume, and cognitive scores. Although these associ- ations were independent of total cholesterol levels, it is worth noting that gender influenced baseline asso- ciations but not the longitudinal ones. This raises the prospect that 27-OHC could serve as a marker for AD

risk and be a potential tool to monitor the effects of  $\qquad$  379 preventive interventions [33].

The emerging insights from these studies show 381 important relationships between cholesterol home-<br>382 ostasis, oxysterol production, neuroinflammation, 383 oxidative stress, and other potential factors such as 384 infections, genetics, and lifestyle. This understand-<br>385 ing will aid in delineating the pathological factors and <sub>386</sub> identifying novel therapeutic targets and prevention 387 strategies. 388

# **CHOLESTEROL AND** <sup>389</sup> **APOLIPOPROTEINS** <sup>390</sup>

Apolipoproteins, a class of proteins integral to lipid  $_{391}$ metabolism, are broadly distributed across a diverse 392 array of vertebrates, including both terrestrial and 393 aquatic species. The evolutionary history *APOE* is <sup>394</sup> traced back to gene duplications of apolipoprotein  $C1$  395  $(APOC1)$  occurring approximately 400 million years  $396$ ago, before the divergence of fish and tetrapods [34]. 397 Remarkably, functional analogs of these proteins 398 have been identified in choanoflagellates, indicating 399 that apolipoproteins represent an ancient protein family that emerged prior to the evolutionary advent of  $401$ modern animal lineages (Fig. 4). This widespread  $402$ distribution and deep evolutionary root suggest a fun-<br>403 damental role for apolipoproteins in lipid transport 404 and metabolism across the animal kingdom. <sup>405</sup>

Human apoE is a major determinant in lipid transport, playing a critical role in atherosclerosis and  $407$ other diseases. Binding to lipid and heparan sulfate  $408$ proteoglycans induces apoE to adopt active confor- <sup>409</sup> mations for binding to the low-density lipoprotein  $410$ receptor (LDLR) family. ApoE also interacts with 411 the  $\text{AB}$  peptide, exhibiting critical isoform-specific  $412$ effects.  $413$ 

The NMR structure of apoE3 reveals a unique 414 topology of three structural domains. The C-terminal  $415$ domain presents a large exposed hydrophobic surface  $416$ likely to initiate interactions with lipids, heparan sulfate proteoglycans, and  $\overrightarrow{AB}$  peptides. This topology  $418$ precisely regulates the tertiary structure of apoE to <sup>419</sup> permit only one possible conformational adaptation 420 upon binding, preventing premature binding to apo $E_{421}$ receptors during receptor biogenesis. This ensures  $422$ optimal receptor-binding activity by fully lipidated 423 apoE during lipoprotein transport in circulation and <sup>424</sup> in the brain  $[35]$ .

The role of *APOE* in AD has long been established [36]. It is widely recognized that the presence  $427$ 



Fig. 4. This illustration represents the NMR structure of full-length apolipoprotein E3 (apoE3), determined by Chen et al. [35]. The structure was resolved using solution NMR spectroscopy, providing detailed insights into the molecular conformation of apoE3, a protein critical for lipid metabolism. The image displays the helical regions and overall architecture of the protein, highlighting its structural features. Image credit: Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics Protein Data Bank.

 of the E4 isoform of the ApoE lipoprotein is a sig- nificant genetic risk factor for sporadic late-onset AD. The intricate role of ApoE in regulating choles- terol metabolism further emphasizes its importance [37]. ApoE is a lipid carrier in the brain and body, crucial in maintaining cholesterol homeostasis. Therefore, understanding how changes in cholesterol metabolism impact ApoE expression is key to deci-phering its role in AD pathology.

 Humans possess three primary *APOE* alleles: E2, E3, and E4 [36]. While the *APOE3* allele is con- sidered the reference allele found in most of the population, it is the other two variants that have shown significant association with AD. The *APOE4* allele increases the risk of AD in a dose- and age-dependent manner, while the *APOE2* allele decreases it. *APOE2* homozygotes are estimated to have about a 40% lower risk of developing AD, though this number can vary based on factors such as gender and ethnicity [38] and other genetic influences [39].

 Conversely, *APOE4* homozygotes face an increased risk of atherosclerosis and AD by 8–12 times. *APOE4* carriers with AD have an earlier dementia onset, poorer memory performance, and a higher A $\beta$  burden than non-carriers [40]. The effects

of *APOE4* on tauopathy, another key hallmark of AD,  $453$ remain uncertain. Beyond structural pathological <sup>454</sup> changes, *APOE4* also seems to exacerbate functional  $455$ abnormalities of synaptic plasticity and neuronal <sup>456</sup> network connectivity [41].

Several investigators have proposed that restoring some critical ApoE functions in E4 carriers 459 and inhibiting the detrimental activities of ApoE4 460 may favorably impact AD  $[42]$ . The implication of  $461$ ApoE4 in AD development and its possible modulation served as the subject of extensive research, as  $463$ reviewed elsewhere [40, 43].

Lipoprotein research and its role in AD development and progression has benefited significantly from using various mouse models such as  $ApoE-deficient$  mice, and APOE knock-in mice  $[44]$ . However, it is crucial to remember certain key differences in lipoprotein biology between mice and humans. These differences can impact our interpretation and application of ApoE-related findings from mouse studies to humans. For example, in mice, most circulating cholesterol associates with HDL, whereas in humans, <sup>474</sup> most of it binds to LDL [45]. Mice also lack the cholesteryl ester transfer protein (*CETP*) gene, which <sup>476</sup> plays a significant role in the transfer of cholesteryl esters and triglycerides between lipoproteins [46].

One of the most frequently used mouse models  $479$ to investigate the function of human ApoE in the 480 central nervous system  $(CNS)$  is the human ApoE tar-  $481$ geted replacement (TR) mice, developed in Nobuyo 482 Maeda's laboratory [47]. These ApoE4 TR mice have 483 the endogenous ApoE gene replaced with human 484 ApoE4 and exhibit phenotypes such as altered choles-  $485$ terol trafficking in the brain, blood-brain barrier 486 (BBB) leakiness, and cognitive deficits  $[48, 49]$ . A  $487$ compelling correlation has been observed across dif- <sup>488</sup> ferent study models—mouse models of AD, *in vitro* <sup>489</sup> cell culture models and human data—regarding the <sup>490</sup> effects of apoE isoforms. Each context consistently  $491$ underscores the detrimental influence of apoE4, as  $492$ this isoform disrupts various pathways involved in the  $493$ progression of AD, ultimately leading to dementia. <sup>494</sup> The hierarchy of influence among the isoforms consistently ranks apoE4 as the most impactful, followed  $496$ by apoE3, and finally apoE2.  $497$ 

The research conducted by Petanceska and colleagues introduces the possibility that the deleterious 499 effects of hypercholesterolemia might partially operate by escalating the expression of apoE4 [50]. These  $\frac{501}{201}$ investigators sought to elucidate the relationship 502 between cholesterol and apoE expression by modulating cholesterol levels with diet or pharmacological  $_{504}$ 



Fig. 5. The image illustrates the impact of dietary and pharmacological modulation of cholesterol on apolipoprotein E (apoE) expression in the liver and brain. Brain extracts were prepared using 70% formic acid and then adjusted with 2% SDS/PBS, as previously detailed by Refolo et al. [3] BM15.766 is an inhibitor of cholesterol synthesis. For the western blot analysis, 30 micrograms of protein from both brain and liver extracts were probed using a goat-derived anti-ApoE antibody sourced from Calbiochem in La Jolla, CA. From Petanceska et al. (2003) *J Mol Neurosci* **20**, 395-406 [50], with permission.

 intervention in a transgenic mouse model of AD [50]. They found that chronic increases or decreases in total cholesterol levels in plasma corresponded with changes in brain apoE mRNA levels and apoE pro- tein expression. Also, cholesterol loading of primary glial cells led to an uptick in cellular and secreted apoE. In contrast, long-term treatment of astrocytes and microglia with statins, which lower cholesterol levels, decreased cellular and/or secreted apoE levels. These findings suggest that a disruption in cholesterol metabolism may elevate the risk of AD, partly due to cholesterol's impact on the expression of apoE in the brain (Fig. 5), which, in turn, leads to increased amyloid accumulation (Fig. 6).

<sup>519</sup> It should be emphasized, however, that the rela-<sup>520</sup> tionship between cholesterol metabolism and ApoE



Fig. 7. Molecular structures of statins: simvastatin and pravastatin. This figure illustrates the chemical structures of two commonly used statins: Simvastatin (left) and Pravastatin (right). Simvastatin, a lipophilic statin, has a higher ability to cross cell membranes, including the BBB, and is effective in lowering LDL-c. Pravastatin, a hydrophilic statin, is less likely to cross the BBB but effectively lowers LDL-c levels. The distinct structural differences between these molecules contribute to their varying pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic effects.

expression is complex and implicates numerous pathways involved in neurodegeneration. Understanding  $\frac{522}{2}$ how cholesterol imbalance impacts ApoE functionality and subsequent AD pathology may lead to novel  $\frac{524}{2}$ therapeutic targets and a more profound comprehension of disease progression.

### **CHOLESTEROL AND AβPP PROCESSING** 527

Several theories have been proposed to clarify the <sub>528</sub> apparent correlation between high cholesterol and 529 amyloid accumulation. One theory is that choles-<br>530 terol might boost the  $\beta$  or  $\gamma$ -secretase enzymes that  $\qquad$ produce  $\text{A}\beta$  from A $\beta$ PP, hinder the  $\alpha$ -secretase pathway that is less likely to lead to amyloid formation,  $\frac{533}{2}$ or alter other elements such as inflammation or tau 534 metabolism (reviewed in  $[14]$ ).



Fig. 6. Plasma- and CNS-cholesterol, brain apoE, and brain Aß in hypercholesterolemic transgenic mice. Graphs illustrate high correlations between plasma and CNS cholesterol, brain levels of apoE, and brain AB. From Petanceska et al. (2003) *J Mol Neurosci* 20, 395-406 [50]. with permission.

 Research in animals has suggested that elevated cholesterol could suppress the  $\alpha$ -secretase pathway, potentially heightening the risk of AD [2]. This is supported by findings where applying extra choles- terol to human cultured cells overexpressing human  $_{541}$  ABPP reduced the  $\alpha$ -cleavage product of ABPP. Sim- ilar results were observed in mice on a high-fat and cholesterol diet [2]. On the other hand, decreasing  $_{544}$  cholesterol from cultured cells increased AβPP  $\alpha$  fragment secretion [51]. Removing cholesterol from <sub>546</sub> hippocampal neurons expressing human AβPP, using  $_{547}$  treatments like lovastatin and methyl- $\beta$ -cyclodextrin,  $_{548}$  also significantly lowered A $\beta$  production, an effect reversible upon reintroducing cholesterol [52].

 Additionally, cholesterol levels might influence A- aggregation by several mechanisms, including cell membrane alterations [53] or pathological seed-ing [54].

 In conclusion, elevated cholesterol levels may exacerbate AD risk by influencing β- or  $\gamma$ -secretase activity and suppressing the  $\alpha$ -secretase pathway,  $_{557}$  thereby impacting A $\beta$  production and aggregation. Additionally, the conversion of cholesterol into oxys- terols, such as 24-S-hydroxycholesterol, can play a substantive role in brain cholesterol homeostasis and has been implicated in AD pathology. The vary- ing levels of oxysterols in AD and their influence on neuroinflammation, oxidative stress and mito- chondrial function could exacerbate the risk for developing AD. Understanding these complex inter- actions is crucial for developing targeted therapeutic approaches.

# <sup>568</sup> **CHOLESTEROL AND THE SIGMA** <sup>569</sup> **RECEPTORS**

 The sigma ( $\sigma$ ) receptors, particularly the  $\sigma$ 2 sub- type identified as TMEM97, intricately associate with cholesterol metabolism and AD pathophysi- ology [55]. Hypercholesterolemia may exacerbate AD pathology by modulating the  $\sigma$ 2 receptor func- tions, enhancing their pathological association with A $\beta$  oligomers. It has been shown that the  $\sigma$ 2 recep- tor, in concert with progesterone receptor membrane component 1 (PGRMC1) and low-density lipopro- tein receptor (LDLR), forms a complex that regulates the uptake of A $\beta$  oligomers. The interaction between  $_{581}$  cholesterol,  $\sigma$ 2 receptors, and A $\beta$  has been proposed to promote synaptic and neuronal damage character-istic of AD [55].

## THE POTENTIAL ROLE OF LDL **RECEPTORS** 585

The LDL receptor family, encompassing key members like LDL receptor, LRP1, and VLDLR, was 587 postulated to play a pivotal role in central nervous system health and neurodegeneration, particularly AD 589 [56]. These receptors are integral to synaptic development, endocytosis, and signal transduction within <sup>591</sup> the brain. They modulate cholesterol metabolism <sub>592</sub> in the CNS and play roles in neuronal function 593 and synaptic plasticity. In AD, dysregulated recep- <sup>594</sup> tor function can influence cholesterol homeostasis 595 and amyloid dynamics, impacting production and 596 clearance. LRP1, for instance, facilitates cholesterol <sub>597</sub> transport to neurons, which is critical for synaptic 598 integrity, while also engaging in the endocytic pathway that influences  $\text{A}\beta$  accumulation [56].  $\qquad \qquad \text{so}$ 

The evidence suggests that alterations in the func- $601$ tion or expression of these LDLR family members 602 could disrupt ABPP processing pathways, thereby 603 augmenting the amyloidogenic processing of  $A\beta PP$  604 [57]. This LDL-linked mechanism offers another 605 potential therapeutic target, emphasizing the impor- <sup>606</sup> tance of understanding receptor-mediated  $A\beta PP$  607 trafficking and AD. 608

In a study by Zambon and collaborators  $[58]$ , we 609 investigated the incidence of MCI in individuals with  $610$ familial hypercholesterolemia, a condition character<sub>611</sub> ized by early life exposure to elevated cholesterol 612 levels and LDL receptor dysfunction. Patients with 613 familial hypercholesterolemia showed a significantly  $614$ higher incidence of amnestic MCI compared to those 615 without familial hypercholesterolemia (21.3% ver- 616 sus 2.9%;  $p = 0.00$ ). This finding was unrelated to  $617$ structural brain pathology or white matter disease, 618 suggesting that early exposure to elevated cholesterol 619 or LDL receptor dysfunction is a risk factor. These  $\qquad$  620 findings may add evidence on the roles of these recep- $_{621}$ tors in  $\overrightarrow{AB}$  accumulation. Additional research in this  $\qquad \qquad$  622 area is essential.

### **STATINS AND COGNITIVE FUNCTION** 624

Statins are essential in the pharmacological management of hypercholesterolemia and cardiovascular 626 disease prevention. They can broadly be categorized 627 into naturally occurring (Type 1) and synthetic (Type  $\qquad$  628 2) statins. Type 1 statins, such as lovastatin and  $629$ pravastatin, originated from fungal metabolites and 630 were some of the first members of this class to be uti- $_{631}$ 

<sup>632</sup> lized clinically. On the other hand, synthetic statins <sup>633</sup> are specifically designed to enhance specific pharma-<sup>634</sup> cokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties.

 Pharmacologically, statins function by competi- tively inhibiting HMG-CoA reductase (HMGR), the rate-limiting enzyme in the mevalonate pathway of cholesterol synthesis. This inhibition effectively reduces the synthesis of cholesterol and LDL while modestly increasing HDL levels [59]. The ideal statin exhibits a high affinity for HMGR, selective uptake into hepatic cells, minimal systemic availability, and a prolonged duration of action, reflecting the criti- cal balance between reducing pathogenic lipid levels while maintaining essential cholesterol functions [60, <sup>646</sup> 61].

 All statins share a common pharmacophore that mimics the natural substrate of HMGR, but they dif-<sup>649</sup> fer in their ring structures and substituents, affecting their pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics [61]. Lipophilicity is a particularly important characteristic that influences a statin's ability to cross cell mem- branes, including the BBB, which is pertinent in the context of neurodegenerative diseases like AD [62]. Statin metabolism is primarily hepatic and involves cytochrome P450 isoenzymes, which dictate their plasma half-life, systemic bioavailability, and poten-tial for drug-drug interactions [63].

 The bioavailability, potency, and specific affinities for proteins and transport mechanisms vary among statins, contributing to their individual efficacy and side effect profiles. Understanding these properties is necessary for designing statin trials for AD, as they differentially modulate various processes that can lead to neurodegeneration [64]. Choosing a particular statin requires consideration of these characteristics and patient-specific factors such as genetics, comor-bidities, tolerance, and overall treatment goals.

 In addition, statins may influence cognitive func- tions through a spectrum of mechanisms, both by <sup>671</sup> directly modulating cholesterol levels and through diverse "pleiotropic" pathways [64, 65]. These agents can disrupt amyloidogenesis and affect tau protein phosphorylation. Additionally, they may enhance endothelial functions and facilitate the removal of neurotoxic factors while diminishing neuroinflam-<sup>677</sup> mation and oxidative stress [66].

### <sup>678</sup> **OBSERVATIONAL STUDIES**

<sup>679</sup> Many observational studies examined the role of <sup>680</sup> statins in AD prevention (Table 1). In exploring the role of statins in AD prevention or disease modifica<sub>681</sub> tion, it is essential to consider various factors that can 682 influence the study outcomes. These include analytic  $\qquad$  683 methods, the age of the participants, the duration of 684 statin use, the specific type of statin employed, sample  $\qquad \circ$ size, and individual AD risk factors. These elements 686 significantly impact the findings, leading to variable  $687$ results, from positive to inconclusive or negative. For 688 example, many observational studies (and clinical trials) have been conducted in populations older than 690 65, overlooking the previously discussed age-related <sup>691</sup> relationship between cholesterol and AD risk  $[4, 6]$ . 692 Thus, they missed the "window of opportunity" that 693 would have best captured the potential benefits of 694 these drugs.  $695$ 

Despite such diversity, each study contributes 696 unique data. This section reviews representative 697 investigations to understand the implications of the 698 mentioned variables. Due to space limitations, many 699 excellent studies could not be included.

The pioneering investigation on statins and  $AD$   $701$ was conducted by Ben Wolozin et al. [67], marking one of the first efforts to understand the 703 impact of cholesterol-lowering medications on AD.  $\frac{704}{600}$ Utilizing hospital records, the study performed a 705 cross-sectional analysis comparing the prevalence of  $\qquad$  706 probable AD among an entire patient population of  $\frac{707}{707}$ patients on statins and patients on medications for 708 hypertension or cardiovascular disease. The findings  $\frac{708}{200}$ revealed that the prevalence of probable AD was 710 60% to 73% lower in patients taking statins (specif- <sup>711</sup> ically lovastatin and pravastatin) compared to the  $\frac{712}{212}$ total patient population or those on other treatments.  $\frac{713}{200}$ Although this study did not establish causation, it  $_{714}$ highlighted a potential association between statin  $\frac{715}{215}$ use and reduced prevalence of AD, setting the stage 716 for further research. This study was criticized, and  $717$ the results were partly attributed to reverse causation bias. However, subsequent recent research, as  $719$ detailed later in this paper, mitigates some of these  $\frac{720}{200}$ concerns and highlights alternative explanations.  $\frac{721}{221}$ 

Having established the conflicting nature of statin  $\frac{722}{2}$ research in AD prevention, particularly concerning  $\frac{723}{2}$ the age-related dynamics of cholesterol and AD risk,  $_{724}$ let's review some individual studies to draw insights  $\frac{725}{256}$ from each study's unique approach and patient demographics.

The Yaffe et al. study, an observational anal-<br>  $728$ ysis involving  $1037$  postmenopausal women with  $728$ coronary heart disease, investigated the relationship  $\frac{730}{200}$ between serum lipoprotein levels, statin use, and cog-  $\frac{731}{731}$ nitive function over four years  $[68]$ . It assessed how  $\frac{732}{2}$ 

Part A					
Study	Participants	Age Group	Duration	<b>Statin Type</b>	<b>Key Findings</b>
Jick et al. (2000) [71]	284 cases with dementia and 1080 controls	50 years and older	6 years	Various	Individuals of 50 years and older prescribed statins had a lowered risk of developing dementia, independent of the presence or absence of untreated hyperlipidemia
Wolozin et al. $(2000)$ [67]	57,104 participants	60 years or older	1.9 years	Lovastatin and Pravastatin	60% to 73% lower prevalence of AD in statin users
Yaffe et al. $(2002)$ [68]	1,037 postmenopausal women	65 years or older	4 years	Various	Statin users had better cognitive performance and higher 3MS scores. Higher LDL cholesterol levels were associated with worse cognitive scores and a higher likelihood of cognitive impairment
Zandi et al. (2005) [69]	4,895 elderly residents	65 years or older	3 years	Various	Cross-sectional analyses showed an inverse relationship of statin use with prevalent dementia. However, no association was evident with incident dementia in either cross-sectional or prospective analyses
Part B					
Rea et al. (2005) $[70]$	2,798 participants	65 years or older	5 years	Various	Statin therapy was not associated with a decreased risk of dementia. In secondary analyses, current use of statins showed some protective association, but primary analyses did not support a reduced risk of dementia
Masse et al. $(2005)$ [73]	342 AD patients	65 years or older	2.9 years	Various	LLAs were associated with slower cognitive decline, but the effect of statins alone was not statistically significant
Li et al. (2007) $[72]$	110 participants	$65-79$ years	N/A	Various	Statin use was associated with reduced NFT and NP burden but was not associated with decreased risk of dementia
Wolozin et al. $(2007)$ [76]	4.5 million subjects	65 years or older	N/A	Lovastatin, Simvastatin, Atorvastatin	Simvastatin associated with reduced incidence of dementia and Parkinson's disease
Part $C$					
Arvanitakis et al. $(2008)$ [79]	929 older Catholic clergy	Average baseline age 74.9 years	Up to 12 years	Various	Statin use at baseline was not associated with incident AD, change in global cognition, or cognitive domains. Statin use any time prior to death was not related to global AD pathology. Persons taking stating were less likely to have amyloid, but statins were not related to tangles or infarction.
Cramer et al. $(2008)$ [74]	1,674 patients with MCI or dementia	65 years or older	5 years	Various	Statin users were about half as likely to develop dementia/CIND
Haag et al. (2009) $[75]$	6,992 participants	65 years or older	Various	Various	Statin use significantly decreased the risk of AD
Kemp et al. (2020) $[77]$	1,629 participants	48 to 91 years	24 months	Various	No significant association with cognitive changes; slower memory decline in early <b>MCI</b>
Patek et al. (2023) [78]	15,586 participants	Mean age 79.5 years	11 years	Various	Dose-dependent cognitive benefit in statin users, especially in younger users. Simvastatin associated with a slower decline in MMSE scores compared to atorvastatin and rosuvastatin. No differences observed with statin lipophilicity

Table 1 Summary of key observational studies on statin use and AD risk or cognitive decline

This table (parts A-C) summarizes key observational studies examining the association between statin use and the risk of AD as well as cognitive decline. The key findings highlight both positive associations and null results. The studies are presented in chronological order. AD, Alzheimer's disease; LLAs, lipid-lowering agents; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; NFT, neurofibrillary tangles; NP, neuritic plaques; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; 3MS, Modified Mini-Mental State Examination.

 lipoprotein levels and statin treatment changes corre- late with cognitive outcomes. Women in the highest quartile for LDL cholesterol exhibited poorer cog- nitive scores, while those who had reduced LDL levels over the study showed less cognitive impair- ment. Statin users, including those on simvastatin, atorvastatin, pravastatin, lovastatin, or fluvastatin, demonstrated better cognitive performance than nonusers, suggesting statins' independent beneficial effect on cognition. The cognitive scores in this study were calculated using the Modified Mini-Mental State Examination (3MS), which evaluates various cognitive functions, including orientation, concentra- tion, language, praxis, and immediate and delayed memory, with scores ranging from 0 to 100. Higher scores indicate better cognitive performance. Partici- pants were classified as having cognitive impairment if their 3MS score was less than 84 points, which is more than 1.5 standard deviations below the mean score of the cohort. Specifically, participants in the highest quartile for LDL cholesterol showed signifi- $_{754}$  cantly lower cognitive scores (91.9  $\pm$  7.6) than those in the lower quartiles (93.7  $\pm$  6.0), with a *p*-value of 0.002. They also had a higher likelihood of cogni- tive impairment, with an adjusted odds ratio of 1.76 (95% CI, 1.04–2.97). Those who showed reduced LDL cholesterol over four years were associated with a decreased risk of impairment, with an adjusted odds ratio of 0.61 (95% CI, 0.36–1.03). Conversely, statin  $_{762}$  users displayed higher cognitive scores (93.7  $\pm$  6.1 vs 92.7  $\pm$  7.1 for nonusers) and a trend toward reduced cognitive impairment, with an odds ratio of 0.67 (95% CI, 0.42–1.05), suggesting benefits independent of lipid levels.

 The study by Zandi et al. [69] examined 4,895 elderly residents (aged 65 years or older) to deter- mine the association of statin use with the prevalence and incidence of dementia and AD. During the three- year follow-up period, out of the initially assessed group, 355 cases of prevalent dementia were identi- fied, with the data indicating an inverse association between statin use and the prevalence of dementia, as reflected in an adjusted odds ratio of 0.44. How- ever, in the follow-up, among 3,308 survivors at risk, 185 cases of incident dementia were identified, and statin use at baseline did not predict the incidence of dementia or AD, nor did statin use at follow-up. The authors concluded that while there might be a lower prevalence of dementia among statin users, there was no clear evidence to suggest that statin use was associated with a reduced subsequent onset (develop-ment) of dementia or AD. This research emphasized

the challenges of epidemiological studies, including  $\frac{785}{100}$ limited follow-up duration, non-specificity regarding statin types, and demography limited to older  $\frac{787}{60}$ adults (average age of the participants was  $75$   $\frac{788}{60}$ years, far beyond the mentioned "window of 789 opportunity." $)$  790

The study conducted by Rea et al. [70], high-<br>  $791$ lights the complexity of possible outcomes resulting  $\frac{792}{792}$ from the type of analyses performed. It encompassed  $\frac{793}{2}$  $2,798$  individuals aged 65 and older, initially free  $\frac{794}{794}$ of dementia. The findings revealed that past statin <sup>795</sup> use did not significantly correlate with a lower risk 796 of various dementia types compared to never using <sup>797</sup> lipid-lowering agents. However, when the authors  $\frac{798}{2}$ examined current statin use, the data showed a protective effect against dementia. The investigation some revealed that prior statin use, as opposed to current use, did not show a significant correlation with  $802$ reduced risk of all-cause dementia. These results, some demonstrating both positive and negative outcomes,  $\frac{804}{804}$ highlight the importance of timing and duration of 805 statin use in addition to other factors.

Jick et al. study [71], encompassed  $1,364$  participants followed for over six years. It found statins 808 effective in reducing the risk of all forms of dementia. Importantly, the authors examined the effect of 810 statins and other lipid-lowering agents (LLAs) starting at 50 years of age (capturing the "window of  $812$  $opportunity$ "). Using a nested case-control design, the  $813$ study utilized data from 368 practices contributing to  $814$ the UK-based General Practice Research Database. 815 The methodology included three groups of patients  $816$ who had received LLAs, those with a clinical diag- $_{817}$ nosis of untreated hyperlipidemia, and a randomly 818 selected group of other individuals. From this base,  $819$ cases with a computer-recorded clinical diagnosis of 820 dementia were identified and matched with up to 821 four controls on age, sex, practice, and index date  $\frac{822}{822}$ of the case. The study included 284 cases of dementia and  $1,080$  controls. The relative risk estimates  $824$ of dementia, adjusted for various factors like age, 825 sex, history of coronary-artery disease, hypertension, 826 coronary-bypass surgery, cerebral ischemia, smok-<br>827 ing, and body mass index, were near  $1.0$  and not  $828$ significant for individuals with untreated hyperlipi- 829 demia or treated with non-statin LLAs. However, asc the adjusted relative risk for those prescribed statins 831 was substantially lower at  $0.29$  (95% CI 0.13–0.63;  $832$  $p = 0.002$ ), indicating a significantly reduced risk  $833$ of developing dementia. The interpretation of the 834 study's results is that individuals aged 50 and older  $835$ prescribed statins had a significantly lowered risk of 836

837 developing dementia, regardless of untreated hyper-<sup>838</sup> lipidemia or exposure to non-statin LLAs. Despite <sup>839</sup> several limitations, including cross-sectional design 840 and not distinguishing between AD and other forms 841 of dementia, the inclusion of a relatively younger <sup>842</sup> cohort, starting at age 50, might have enhanced the <sup>843</sup> observed beneficial effects of statins, capturing an age 844 group where early intervention could be particularly 845 efficacious in preventing or delaying the progression <sup>846</sup> to dementia.

847 The study by Li et al. [72], was particularly impor-848 tant because it analyzed the association between statin use and neuropathologic markers of AD, specif- ically, NP and NFT burden. Despite the small sample 851 size and older age group of the cohort, the study found that statin users had a significantly reduced odds ratio (OR) for each unit increase in the Braak NFT stage compared to non-users (OR 0.44; 95% CI: 0.20 to 0.95). This finding is significant because it shows an association between statin use and reduced NFT and 857 NP, which are important hallmarks of AD pathology. Although there was no significant deviation in odds for each unit increase in the Consortium to Estab- lish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD) 861 staging of NP, the risk for typical AD pathology (Braak stage > IV and CERAD rating > moderate) was significantly reduced in statin users (OR 0.20; 864 95% CI: 0.05 to 0.86). The authors concluded that statins have a protective role against AD-related neuropathology.

867 The study by Masse et al. showed that LLAs may <sup>868</sup> slow cognitive decline in AD patients, suggesting <sup>869</sup> a potential neuroprotective effect [73]. This was an 870 observational study on 342 patients with AD with <sup>871</sup> an average age of 73.5 years and an initial MMSE 872 of 21.3; the study followed them for an average of 873 34.8 months. Among these patients, 129 had dys-<sub>874</sub> lipidemia and were treated with LLAs (47% with <sup>875</sup> statins), 105 had untreated dyslipidemia, and 108 876 were normolipemic. The study calculated the rate 877 of cognitive decline based on changes in the MMSE 878 score over time and divided patients into slow and fast 879 decliners based on the median annual rate of decline. <sup>880</sup> Results indicated that patients treated with LLAs had 881 a significantly slower decline in MMSE scores (1.5) <sup>882</sup> points/year) compared to patients with untreated dys-<sup>883</sup> lipidemia (2.4 points/year) or normolipemic patients <sup>884</sup> (2.6 points/year). Logistic regression analysis further <sup>885</sup> supported the association between LLA treatment <sup>886</sup> and a lower probability of cognitive decline (odds  $887$  ratio = 0.45,  $p = 0.002$ ). This study concluded that <sup>888</sup> LLAs, including statins, might confer neuroprotective benefits in slowing cognitive decline among AD 889 patients.

The study by Cramer et al. [74] showed that over  $891$ a 5-year period, 1,674 older Mexican Americans 892 patients with dementia compared to cognitively normal older Mexican Americans were monitored to 894 assess the relationship between statin use and the 895 onset of dementia and cognitive impairment with-<br>see out dementia (CIND) [74]. Cognitive and clinical 897 evaluations were performed every 12 to 15 months. 898 Statin use was verified through home medicine cabinet inspections. Cox proportional hazards models, 900 adjusted for education, smoking,  $APOE \varepsilon_4$  allele  $\qquad$  901 presence, and history of stroke or diabetes, were 902 utilized. The study found that  $27\%$  (452) of partic- 903 ipants took statins during the study period, and of 904 those, statin users were about half as likely to develop  $\qquad$  905 dementia/CIND compared to non-users  $(HR = 0.52; \cdot \cdot \cdot)$ 95% CI 0.34, 0.80). This study did not separate the  $_{907}$ effect of individual statins.

The study by Haag et al. showed that among  $6,992$  909 participants in the prospective, population-based (the 910 Rotterdam Study) followed from 1990–1993 until 911 January 2005, statin use was associated with a 912 decreased risk of developing AD [75]. The study differentiated statin use into any, never used, lipophilic,  $914$ and hydrophilic categories, with data from pharmacy  $\qquad$ <sup>915</sup> records and Cox regression analysis adjusting for 916 sex, age, and potential confounders. Over an aver-<br>917 age follow-up of 9 years, 582 persons developed AD. 918 Compared with never use of cholesterol-lowering 919 drugs, statin use significantly decreased the risk of 920 AD (HR  $0.57$ ;  $95\%$  CI  $0.37$  to  $0.90$ ), but no significant 921 difference was found with non-statin cholesterol- 922 lowering drug use (HR 1.05; 95% CI 0.45 to 2.44). 923 Both lipophilic and hydrophilic statins showed a 924 decrease in risk, with similar hazard ratios. This study 925 did not separate the effect of individual statins.

Studies with larger samples that allowed exam-<br>927 ination of individual statins yielded more robust 928 data in favor of statins, particularly simvastatin. 929 In a study by Wolozin et al., the potential bene-<br>930 fits of different statins in reducing the incidence 931 of dementia and Parkinson's disease were explored 932 using data from the US Veterans Affairs database, 933 which includes information on 4.5 million subjects 934 [76]. The study specifically compared the effects of 935 lovastatin, simvastatin, and atorvastatin by employ-<br>936 ing Cox proportional hazard models to assess subjects  $_{937}$ on these statins against those taking cardiovascular 938 medications other than statins, adjusting for various 939 covariates related to dementia or Parkinson's disease. <sup>940</sup>  The study's key finding was that simvastatin was 942 significantly associated with a reduction in the inci- dence of dementia in subjects aged 65 years and older across three different models, each incorpo- rating various adjustments such as age, known risk factors for dementia (hypertension, cardiovascular 947 disease, diabetes), and the Charlson index, a measure of chronic disease. Over 700,000 subjects taking sim-949 vastatin and over 50,000 subjects taking atorvastatin (aged > 64 years) were analyzed. The hazard ratio 951 for incident dementia was notably lower for simvas- tatin (HR 0.46, *p* < 0.0001) than for atorvastatin (HR 0.91,  $p = 0.11$ ), while lovastatin showed no associa- tion with reduced dementia incidence. Additionally, simvastatin exhibited a reduced hazard ratio for newly diagnosed Parkinson's disease.

957 The study concluded that simvastatin is strongly associated with a reduction in the incidence of demen- tia and Parkinson's disease. In contrast, atorvastatin shows only a modest, non-significant trend in reduc-961 ing these conditions. These findings highlight the differential impacts of various statins and suggest that specific statins, particularly simvastatin, may offer more substantial neuroprotective benefits.

 In a 24-month longitudinal study, Kemp (2020) and colleagues examined the associations between statin use and cognitive changes in older adults 968 [77]. Their study included participants with vary- ing cognitive status, from cognitively normal to AD. Results revealed no significant association between 971 statin use and detrimental cognitive changes or an effect on diagnostic conversion. However, statin use 973 was linked to slower memory decline among those 974 with early MCI. These contributed to the grow- ing consensus that the statins' potential benefits 976 should be explored in the early stages of cognitive impairment.

 In a recent 2023 study, Patek et al. explored the 979 impact of statins, on cognitive decline in AD and mixed dementia patients with indications for lipid- lowering treatment [78]. Utilizing data from the 982 Swedish Registry for Cognitive/Dementia Disorders and other national registries, the study compared cognitive trajectories using the MMSE among statin users, non-users, and users of various statin types and non-statin lipid-lowering medications. A particularly significant finding of this study was the observa- tion of a dose-dependent cognitive benefit in patients with AD or mixed AD dementia who were taking statins. Based on longitudinal data from Swedish 991 registries, this study demonstrated that statin users, particularly those using simvastatin, experienced a

slower decline in cognitive function as measured by 993 MMSE compared to non-users of statins. Younger 994 simvastatin users showed a more pronounced benefit 995 than younger atorvastatin or rosuvastatin users. The 996 study did not find a significant difference in cognitive 997 decline based on the lipophilicity of the statins. However, the analysis of incident statin users (those who 999 began statin therapy during the study period) yielded  $_{1000}$ inconsistent results, which the researchers suggest 1001 could be due to the time-dependent or non-linear 1002 effects of statins on cognitive processes or differences 1003 in the selection of these users.

Negative observational studies have generally 1005 examined older populations. The Arvanitakis et al. 1006 study examined the relationship between statin use 1007 and AD, focusing on an older population with an 1008 average baseline age of 74.9 years [79]. The partic-<br>1009 ipants, predominantly women and free of dementia 1010 at the start, were part of the Religious Orders 1011 Study. Despite the extensive longitudinal data, the 1012 study found no significant association between statin 1013 use and AD incidence, cognitive change, or AD 1014 neuropathology. The older age of the participants 1015 might have influenced these findings, considering 1016 the potential late-stage intervention of statin ther- <sup>1017</sup> apy, which might be less effective in altering the 1018 course of AD or its neuropathological markers. As 1019 mentioned throughout this paper, preventative or 1020 therapeutic interventions might have a more pro-<br>1021 nounced impact if initiated earlier in life, addressing 1022 risk factors during mid-life. In addition, the study 1023 may have underrepresented patients taking brainpenetrant statins, such as simvastatin, which further 1025 reduces the ability to detect the effects of statins on 1026 AD pathology.

One major criticism against the purported ben-<br>1028 efits of statins is that the positive results seen in  $1028$ observational studies might be attributed to reverse 1030 causation bias. This argument stems from observa- <sup>1031</sup> tions that, following a dementia diagnosis, there is a 1032 decrease in statin usage among patients. However, a 1033 dose-response relationship observed in the Patek et 1034 al. study just discussed [78], where increased statin 1035 use correlates with more pronounced cognitive benefits, challenges this reverse causation hypothesis. If  $_{1037}$ reverse causation were the primary factor, one would 1038 expect to see a uniform decline in cognitive function 1039 regardless of statin dosage or duration of use. Instead, 1040 the dose-response trend suggests that the protective 1041 effects of statins are directly linked to their usage 1042 rather than reflect statin discontinuation because of 1043 dementia diagnosis.

# <sup>1044</sup> **SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS AND** <sup>1045</sup> **META-ANALYSES OF OBSERVATIONAL** <sup>1046</sup> **STUDIES: THE FDA BLACK BOX**

 The FDA issued a black box warning on the use of statins in 2012 due to reports of cognitive impairment associated with statin use. These reports included symptoms such as memory loss, confusion, and other cognitive issues. These events were uncommon, and the risk was unclear at that time. However, the Black Box warning initially discouraged patients and prac- titioners from using statins. Partly prompted by this FDA action, multiple investigators conducted several meta-analyses and systematic reviews. The results of these studies produced cumulative data strongly favoring the use of statins.

 Adhikari et al. (2021) conducted a system- atic review of studies investigating the association between statin use and cognitive impairment in indi- viduals aged 60 and older [80]. The authors analyzed 24 studies, which included a total of 1,404,459 participants. Of these studies, 21 were prospective observational studies, while three were randomized controlled trials (RCTs). The three RCTs, which had follow-up periods ranging from 3.2 to 5.6 years, showed no significant association between statin use and adverse cognitive effects. The observational stud- ies had follow-up periods ranging from three to fifteen years. Ten of these studies found a reduced inci- dence of dementia associated with statin use, while seven found no association with incident dementia. Three studies found that cognitive decline was sim- ilar regardless of statin use, while one found slower cognitive decline in statin users. The review chal- lenged the FDA black box warning and found no evidence that statin use is associated with adverse cognitive effects, including dementia or decline in global cognition or specific cognitive domains.

 In another meta-analysis, Elena Olmastroni (2022) and her colleagues, also motivated by the FDA's adverse stance on statins, sought to clarify the debated impact of these drugs on cognitive decline [81]. They reviewed observational studies that assessed the risk of AD and dementia in statin users compared to non- users. The researchers searched PubMed, Cochrane, and EMBASE databases up to January 2021 and included cohort or case-control studies reporting AD and/or dementia risk. The results showed that statin use was associated with a reduced risk of dementia (36 studies; odds ratio [OR] 0.80; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.75–0.86) and a reduced risk of AD (21 studies; OR 0.68; CI 0.56–0.81). In a stratified analysis by sex, both men and women showed a similar risk  $1095$ reduction of dementia (OR 0.86; CI 0.81–0.92). Fur- <sup>1096</sup> thermore, lipophilic and hydrophilic statins were both  $_{1097}$ associated with similar risk reductions. Interestingly, 1098 high-potency statins were linked to a  $20\%$  reduction  $1099$ in dementia risk, whereas low-potency statins were 1100 associated with a 16% risk reduction, although the 1101 difference between the two was of borderline statistical significance  $(p=0.05)$ . Overall, the study of  $_{1103}$ Olmastroni et al. indicates that statins may have a 1104 favorable effect on cognitive health.

Geifman and colleagues (2017) analyzed the 1106 potential protective and therapeutic effects of statins 1107 in AD from integrated clinical trials and prospective  $1108$ observational studies [82]. The researchers reexam- <sup>1109</sup> ined data from failed AD clinical trials of older  $1110$ individuals. They observed a trend suggesting that 1111 simvastatin could slow the progression of cognitive decline, with even more pronounced effects in 1113 patients homozygous for *APOE4*. The study found 1114 better cognitive performance among long-term statin 1115 users from multiple studies. These observations were 1116 further supported by data from an observational 1117 cohort, where the incidence of AD was significantly  $_{1118}$ lower among statin users.

A meta-analysis by Poly et al. scrutinized the 1120 potential of statins to reduce the risk of dementia 1121 by reviewing 30 observational studies from January 1122  $2000$  to March 2018, with a collective sample of  $1123$ 9,162,509 participants, of whom  $84,101$  were diag-<br> $1124$ nosed with dementia [83]. The authors found that  $1125$ statin users experienced a 17% lower risk of devel-<br>1126 oping any form of dementia compared to non-users. 1127 Specifically, the risk of developing AD was  $31\%$  1128 lower among statin users. In contrast, the effect of 1129 statins on the risk of developing vascular dementia 1130 was not significant. These results offer a compelling 1131 counterargument to the notion that reverse causa-<br>1132 tion bias in the context of statin use accounts for the  $_{1133}$ observed risk reduction of AD. As previously men- <sup>1134</sup> tioned, had reverse causation bias been a significant 1135 factor, one would anticipate a uniform effect of statin 1136 therapy across all forms of dementia. Instead, the 1137 degree of risk reduction observed for AD, as opposed  $_{1138}$ to vascular dementia, indicates that statins exert spe-<br>1139 cific biological effects on the neuropathology of AD.  $_{1140}$ 

These meta-analyses revealed no significant asso-<br>1141 ciation between statin use and detrimental cognitive 1142 changes or effect on diagnostic conversion. The find- <sup>1143</sup> ings challenged the FDA's black box warning on 1144 statins causing cognitive deficits and contributed to 1145 the growing consensus that statins' potential benefits 1146

Author (Year)	Number of <b>Studies</b>	Number of Participants	Follow-Up Period	<b>Key Findings</b>
Adhikari et al. $(2020)$ [80]	24 studies	1.404.459	3 to 15 years (observational); $3.2$ to $5.6$ years (RCTs)	RCTs: No significant association between statin use and adverse cognitive effects. Observational studies: Mixed results with some showing reduced incidence of dementia, others showing no association, and one showing slower cognitive decline in statin users.
Olmastroni et al. (2022) [81]	36 studies $(d$ ementia); 21 studies (AD)	1,229,672 (dementia). 832,844 (AD)	Up to January 2021	Statin use was associated with a reduced risk of dementia (OR 0.80; CI 0.75–0.86) and AD (OR 0.68; CI 0.56–0.81). Both lipophilic and hydrophilic statins showed similar risk reductions. High-potency statins were linked to a 20% reduction in dementia risk.
Geifman et al. $(2017)$ [82]	Multiple studies	4574 (statin users)	Various	Simvastatin showed a trend in slowing cognitive decline, particularly in ApoE4 homozygous patients. Long-term statin users had better cognitive performance and lower AD incidence.
Poly et al. $(2020)$ [83]	30 studies	9,162,509	January 2000 to March 2018	Statin users had a 17% lower risk of developing any form of dementia and a 31% lower risk of AD. Statins did not significantly reduce the risk of vascular dementia. Findings suggest statins exert specific biological effects on AD neuropathology rather than reverse causation bias.

Table 2

This table summarizes key meta-analyses examining the association between statin use, dementia or AD risk, and cognitive outcomes. The "Key Findings" column summarizes the main results related to statin use and cognitive outcomes or dementia risk. AD, Alzheimer's disease; OR, odds ratio.

<sup>1147</sup> should be explored in the early stages of cognitive <sup>1148</sup> impairment (Table 2).

### <sup>1149</sup> **CLINICAL TRIALS OF STATINS IN AD**

 As mentioned previously, epidemiological, pre- clinical, and observational studies have unveiled three main insights often overlooked in the design of clini- cal trials for statins in AD. First, elevated cholesterol levels during mid-life is strongly correlated with an increased risk of AD in later years, highlight- ing the need for early cholesterol management as a potential preventive strategy. Most statin trials (see below) have been conducted in populations older than 65, overlooking the previously discussed age-related dynamics between cholesterol and AD pathogenesis. The potential advantages of statins appear critically linked to the timing of administration, i.e., early intervention—either during the phase of MCI. Sec- ondly, the data favor statin administration either as a preventative strategy in individuals at high risk (hypercholesterolemic individuals or *APOE4* carri- ers). Thirdly, evidence suggests that specific statins, mainly simvastatin, may possess enhanced thera- peutic efficacy. At the time of this writing, no trials have addressed these three critical conditions concurrently.

While observational studies offer invaluable 1172 insights, they come with limitations, such as potential  $1173$ confounding factors and the challenge of causa- <sup>1174</sup> tion versus correlation. Addressing these through 1175 well-designed clinical trials is crucial, focusing 1176 on intervention timing, participant selection, statin 1177 types, and genetic and lifestyle considerations. This 1178 approach could unveil how personalized choles-<br>1179 terol management—particularly early-life hyperc-<br>1180 holesterolemia intervention using the appropriate 1181 statin—might effectively mitigate AD risk. 1182

For example, the Heart Protection Study (HPS) is 1183 often interpreted as showing no statin benefits for 1184 cognition [84]. The HPS, a large randomized con- <sup>1185</sup> trolled trial, evaluated the efficacy of simvastatin in 1186 reducing cardiovascular events among individuals at 1187 high risk for heart disease. Over five years, partici-<br>1188 pants received either simvastatin or a placebo. While 1189 the study provided substantial evidence of simvas-<br>1190 tatin's effectiveness in reducing heart disease risk, 1991 its findings did not conclusively demonstrate benefits 1192 regarding the prevention of cognitive decline or AD. 1193

This trial enrolled 20,536 adults from the UK, aged $1194$ between 40 and 80 years, who had existing coronary  $1195$ disease, other forms of occlusive arterial disease, or 1196 diabetes. The participants were randomly assigned to 1197 a daily dose of 40 mg of simvastatin over a planned 1198 five-year therapeutic timeframe. The study aimed 1199

Bunning you chincal that's on statin use and AD fisk and cognitive decime									
Study	Year	Participants	Age	Duration	<b>Statin Used</b>	<b>Key Findings</b>			
<b>Heart Protection</b>	2002	20.536	$40 - 80$	5 years	Simvastatin	Simvastatin reduced all-cause mortality and			
Study (HPS) [84]			years		$40 \,\mathrm{mg/day}$	vascular events, but no significant cognitive			
						benefits were found.			
<b>LEADe Study</b>	2010	640	$50 - 90$	72 weeks	Atorvastatin	Atorvastatin showed no significant benefits			
(Jones RW, et al.)			years		$80 \,\mathrm{mg/day}$	over placebo in cognition and global			
[85]						function in mild to moderate AD.			
Pravastatin Trial	2002	5.804	$70 - 82$	3.2 years	Pravastatin	Pravastatin reduced cardiovascular events			
(PROSPER)			years		$40 \,\mathrm{mg/day}$	but showed no cognitive benefits.			
(Shepherd J, et al.)									
[86]									
Sano et al. [87]	2011	406	$55 - 85$	18 months	Simvastatin	No significant cognitive benefits observed in			
			years		$20 - 40$ mg/day	mild to moderate AD patients, despite			
						lowering cholesterol levels.			

Table 3 Summary of clinical trials on statin use and AD risk and cognitive decline

This table summarizes key clinical trials examining the association between statin use and the risk of AD or rate of cognitive decline.

 to assess the impact on overall mortality rates and the incidence of fatal or non-fatal vascular events in specific subgroups, alongside secondary evaluations concerning cancer incidence and other significant health outcomes.

 The results demonstrated a reduction in all-cause mortality, with 12.9% (1,328 individuals) in the sim- vastatin group experiencing mortality as opposed to 14.7% (1,507 individuals) in the placebo group, a 1209 statistically significant difference  $(p=0.0003)$ . This outcome was primarily driven by a notable 18% proportional decrease in coronary mortality rates ( $p = 0.0005$ ), a slight, yet statistically borderline, 1213 reduction in other vascular-related deaths  $(p=0.07)$  and an insignificant decrease in non-vascular deaths. In the HPS, the modified Telephone Interview for Cognitive Status (TICS-m) was employed as a cog- nitive assessment tool during the final follow-up of participants. This evaluation was conducted either in person at the clinic or via telephone. A TICS-m score of less than 22 out of 39 was predetermined to sug- gest potential cognitive impairment. As anticipated, lower scores were notably more frequent among older participants and those with a history of stroke.

 However, the analysis revealed no significant dif- ferences in the prevalence of cognitive impairment between the groups receiving simvastatin and those given a placebo. The proportion of participants deemed cognitively impaired was similar in both 1229 groups, 23.7% in the simvastatin group versus 24.2% in the placebo group. This pattern remained consis- tent across various subgroups, whether differentiated by age at the beginning of the study or by a his- tory of cerebrovascular disease. Additionally, there was no meaningful difference in the average TICS-m scores between the two groups, nor in the incidence of dementia, other psychiatric conditions, or suicide 1236 attempts during the follow-up period.

However, the interpretation of HPS as a negative  $1238$ intervention for AD is constrained by several factors,  $1239$ including the specificity of the cognitive measures  $1240$ employed. The HPS utilized the modified TICS-m to 1241 assess cognitive function. While this is a validated  $_{1242}$ tool, it might not be sensitive enough to detect subtle 1243 changes in specific domains relevant to early AD or to 1244 capture the long-term impact of cholesterol manage- <sup>1245</sup> ment on cognitive decline. Moreover, the HPS did not 1246 primarily target cognitive endpoints, particularly in <sup>1247</sup> younger cohorts before the initiation of statin therapy,  $_{1248}$ which limits the ability to draw definitive conclusions 1249 about the preventative potential of statins against  $AD$ .  $1250$ 

Finally, and most significantly, the study's dura-<br>1251  $\frac{1}{1252}$  tion (5 years) and the timing of cognitive assessments 1252 may not capture the long-term effects of statin therapy on AD risk or progression of cognitive decline, 1254 considering the extended preclinical phase of AD 1255 and the potential decades-long gap between mid-life 1256 cholesterol exposure and the subsequent emergence 1257 of clinical AD symptoms. Longitudinal studies with 1258 follow-up periods extending 10 to 15 years post-<br>1259 mid-life, focusing on statin administration, would be 1260 more indicative of the therapy's capacity to mitigate 1261 later-life cognitive decline or AD onset. Therefore, 1262 while the HPS provides valuable data on simvas-<br>1263 tatin's cardiovascular benefits, its implications for <sup>1264</sup> AD prevention remain unclear.

Another important trial was the LEADe study  $[85]$ ,  $_{1266}$ a randomized controlled trial that evaluated the effi- <sup>1267</sup> cacy and safety of atorvastatin in patients with mild 1268 to moderate AD. Participants aged  $50-90$ , with mild 1269 to moderate AD and taking donepezil, were administered atorvastatin 80 mg/day or a placebo for  $72$  1271

<sup>1272</sup> weeks. The study aimed to assess changes in cog-<sup>1273</sup> nition and global function but found no significant 1274 benefits of atorvastatin treatment over the placebo.

 The study's approach, although methodologically sound, has several limitations. Firstly, the timing 1277 of the intervention might not have been optimal, as intervening at the mild and moderate stages of AD could be too late to observe significant cog- nitive benefits from statin therapy. Secondly, the choice of atorvastatin and its comparison across dif- ferent statins is relevant. Not all statins have the same neuroprotective potential, with some evidence suggesting that lipophilic statins like simvastatin could be more effective. Lastly, the study included patients with normal cholesterol levels who might have obscured potential benefits, as statins could have varying effects based on the individual's lipid profile. The authors addressed some of these limitations in the discussion section of their publication.

 Another pivotal study, the randomized controlled trial assessing pravastatin's impact in an elderly cohort aged 70–82 at risk for vascular disease, aimed to elucidate its effects on cardiovascular health and cognitive function [86]. Conducted over 3.2 years, the trial demonstrated that while pravastatin sig- nificantly reduced cardiovascular events, it did not confer any cognitive benefits. This outcome high- lights, again, several considerations in statin research for AD, particularly the timing of intervention and the choice of statin. The study's elderly participants, beyond the optimal mid-life period for cholesterol- lowering interventions to potentially prevent AD, may point to the importance of early preventive strate- gies. Additionally, pravastatin's hydrophilic nature, which limits its ability to penetrate the BBB, may ren- der it less effective in mitigating neurodegenerative processes than lipophilic alternatives like simvas-<sup>1309</sup> tatin.

 The Sano et al. trial was a randomized, double- blind, placebo-controlled study investigating the impact of simvastatin on individuals with mild to moderate AD, including subjects with normal lipid levels [87]. The study aimed to explore whether sim- vastatin could slow the progression of AD symptoms. Over 18 months, participants received simvastatin or a placebo, with primary outcomes focused on cog- nitive changes measured by the ADAS-Cog scale. Despite effectively lowering cholesterol levels, the trial found no significant benefit of simvastatin on cognitive function, global change, or other secondary outcomes. Several factors might contribute to the lack of observed benefit: 1) The trial targeted individuals with mild to moderate AD beyond the early 1324 stages, where intervention might have altered the 1325 disease's trajectory more effectively; 2) Participants 1326 had normal cholesterol levels, suggesting that their 1327 AD pathology might not have been primarily driven  $1328$ by cholesterol-related mechanisms, thus limiting the 1329 potential impact of statins. This trial's results are consistent with other larger studies, suggesting that statin 1331 therapy, particularly in patients with normal choles-<br>1332 terol levels and beyond the early stages of AD, does 1333 not provide any cognitive benefits.

In a Cochrane systematic review conducted by 1335 McGinness and colleagues [88], the researchers 1336 assessed statins' clinical efficacy and tolerability 1337 in treating dementia. They identified three randomized controlled trials (748 participants) in which all 1339 patients were diagnosed with probable or possible 1340 AD. The pooled data showed no significant benefit 1341 in cognitive measures, as assessed by the ADAS- <sup>1342</sup>  $Cog$  and the MMSE. The analysis also revealed  $1343$ no significant treatment-related adverse effects and 1344 no evidence that statins were detrimental to cog- <sup>1345</sup> nition. One trail (the ADCLT 2005 trial) indicated 1346 that patients with high baseline cholesterol, higher 1347 baseline MMSE scores, or the presence of the 1348 apolipoprotein E4 allele might maintain better cogni- <sup>1349</sup> tive function on statins, a finding warranting further  $_{1350}$ investigation.

From all these data, the overwhelming evidence 1352 from clinical trials is that statins do not show mean-<br>1353 ingful clinical benefits in slowing AD progression, 1354 particularly in older adults or those already diagnosed 1355 with  $AD$ .

One should point out that exploratory studies on 1357 prevention suggest that statins administered to cog-<br>1358 nitively normal middle-aged subjects at high risk 1359 of developing AD may perhaps be modestly bene- <sup>1360</sup> ficial. A study by Sparks and colleagues investigated 1361 the association between elective statin use and the 1362 reduced incidence of AD in participants of the 1363 Alzheimer's Disease Anti-inflammatory Prevention 1364 Trial (ADAPT). Analyzing participants who self- <sup>1365</sup> reported statin use, the study found a significant  $1366$ decrease in AD risk among statin users after adjusting 1367 for demographic and genetic factors. This effect was 1368 evident when comparing all users of lipid-lowering 1369 agents to non-users. The authors concluded that statin 1370 therapy may be of benefit in reducing the risk of devel-<br>1371 oping AD. However, statin users are generally more 1372 educated and less likely to smoke [68] (factors that 1373 contribute to greater 'brain reserve' and may indepen- <sup>1374</sup> dently protect against AD). Thus, in our opinion, the 1375  possibility exists that the apparent benefits of statins 1377 in the Starks' study might be confounded by these lifestyle and demographic variables, suggesting that the statin therapy's role in reducing AD risk might be overestimated.

 In a subsequent study, the same investigators exam- ined the effects of statins on cognitive performance in individuals who had participated in the previous study and had transitioned to MCI. This investigation extended previous data from the ADEPT Trial, high- lighting a decrease in AD risk among statin users. However, this benefit did not extend to altering the incidence of MCI. The findings revealed that statin users showed an improvement in delayed recall after converting to MCI, in contrast to those who did not use lipid-lowering agents. This improvement in cog- nitive function among statin users might underlie the previously observed lowered risk of progressing to AD while maintaining the risk of developing MCI constant. The research thus suggests statins might confer a cognitive protective effect, particularly by enhancing memory recall in individuals post-MCI onset, potentially influencing their conversion to AD. The reasons why statin use modified the risk of developing AD but did not modify the risk for MCI remained unclear. However, one should consider the potential selection bias alluded to above. Also, it is possible that the type of statins evaluated by the stud- ies by Sparks et al. could have contributed to the discrepancies (decreased AD risk but no decreased MCI risk), suggesting the importance of choosing the appropriate statin to maximize potential cognitive benefits.

 The study by Carlsson et al. [89], when inter- preted in conjunction with another study by Rieske et al. [90], may shed light on this aspect, demonstrat- ing that simvastatin, administered to asymptomatic middle-aged adults at risk for AD, improved cer- tain cognitive functions through specific molecular mechanisms beyond cholesterol metabolism. In a 4- month randomized, double-blind, controlled study, Carlsson et al. evaluated the effect of daily sim- vastatin (40 mg) versus placebo on cognition in 57 asymptomatic middle-aged adults at increased risk 1420 for AD. Compared to placebo, simvastatin improved 1421 selected measures of verbal fluency  $(p=0.024)$  and working memory (*p* = 0.015), independent of *APOE4* genotype, gender, and vascular risk factors. In con- nection with these results, the study by Riekse and colleagues [90] offered insights into certain molecu-1426 lar aspects of such effects. Riekse's study specifically compared the effects of simvastatin with pravastatin

(which has limited CNS penetration) in hypercholes- <sup>1428</sup> terolemic subjects without dementia. Over a 14-week 1429 treatment period, simvastatin significantly reduced 1430 phospho-tau-181 (p-tau181) levels in the CSF of all  $_{1431}$ subjects, a form of tau considered a pathological hallmark of AD. No similar reduction was observed with  $_{1433}$ pravastatin, nor were there changes in total tau lev- <sup>1434</sup> els,  $\overrightarrow{AB}$  peptides (as also noted by Carlsson et al.), 1435 soluble amyloid precursor protein  $(sA\beta PP)$  alpha or  $1436$ beta, or F2-isoprostanes. These differential effects 1437 highlight the potential significance of some brain penetrant statins in impacting critical molecular markers 1439 of AD. Therefore, the timing of statin therapy and the <sup>1440</sup> type of statin may both be crucial.

# **CRITICAL INSIGHTS AND FUTURE** <sup>1442</sup> **DIRECTIONS** <sup>1443</sup>

This paper presents a comprehensive analysis of 1444 the molecular and clinical relationships between <sup>1445</sup> cholesterol, specifically hypercholesterolemia, and <sup>1446</sup> the risk of AD, alongside the potential therapeu- <sup>1447</sup> tic implications of statins. Observational studies 1448 highlight a significant association between midlife 1449 hypercholesterolemia and elevated AD risk, advo-<br>1450 cating for cholesterol management in midlife as a 1451 preventive strategy against AD. Conversely, the para-<br>1452 doxical association of high cholesterol levels in older 1453 population subgroups with reduced AD risk high- <sup>1454</sup> lights the intricate role of cholesterol in AD, as shown 1455 in the Pappolla-Herbert equation.

All things considered, the overwhelming evidence 1457 suggests that while statins still hold a modest promise  $1458$ as a risk-reduction tool in select populations, their 1459 overall effect is likely limited.

# **TRANSFORMING UNEXPECTED** <sup>1461</sup> **OUTCOMES INTO OPPORTUNITIES FOR** <sup>1462</sup> **DISCOVERY** <sup>1463</sup>

While disappointing, the data from statin trials 1464 should be the springboard for novel hypotheses. 1465 Although hypercholesterolemia-mediated mecha- <sup>1466</sup> nisms are established risk factors for AD, they <sup>1467</sup> may instigate or exacerbate processes that elude 1468 statin intervention. For instance, it has been shown 1469 that hypercholesterolemia could suppress antiviral 1470 cytotoxic T-cell responses [91] and impair antimicro- <sup>1471</sup> bial immune responses  $[92, 93]$  including infections  $1472$ by neurotropic viruses [94]. Hypercholesterolemia 1473 can induce changes in oxysterol pathways (see <sup>1474</sup>  previous section on oxysterols) in a manner imper- vious to statin therapy. Additionally, the interplay between hypercholesterolemia and LDL receptors [58, 95, 96], or sigma receptors, particularly sigma- 2 receptors [55], illustrates other dimensions where cholesterol may influence AD pathology, further complicating our traditional therapeutic thinking and highlighting the necessity for innovative approaches that extend beyond statin intervention.

 Future research should not focus exclusively on statins' preventive potential but dissect the multi- faceted nature of cholesterol-related neuropathology, aiming to delineate aspects that novel strategies can effectively target.

### <sup>1489</sup> **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

 Miguel Angel Pappolla (Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Project administration; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing); Lorenzo Refolo (Conceptualiza- tion; Data curation; Methodology; Writing – review & editing); Daniel Zambon (Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing); Kumar Sambamurti (Investigation; Writing – review & editing); Karen Duff (Conceptualization; Writing – review & editing; Collaborated in the research discussed in the paper).

#### <sup>1500</sup> **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

 We are deeply grateful to the late Dr. Don- ald Edmonds Herbert, whose profound expertise in physics, mathematics, and statistics was instrumen- tal in analyzing the data discussed in this paper, including a formula that describes the relationship between cholesterol levels and AD. Dr. Herbert, who passed away on March 4, 2016, had a distinguished career marked by significant contributions to medical physics and statistics, including his work with the US National Cancer Institute and his role as an Emeritus Professor at the University of South Alabama. His legacy in scientific inquiry and education continues to inspire us.

## <sup>1514</sup> **FUNDING**

<sup>1515</sup> The authors have no funding to report.

### <sup>1516</sup> **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

<sup>1517</sup> The authors have no conflict of interest to report.

### **REFERENCES** 1518

- [1] Sparks DL, Hunsaker JC, 3rd, Scheff SW, Kryscio RJ, Hen- <sup>1519</sup> son JL, Markesbery WR (1990) Cortical senile plaques 1520 in coronary artery disease, aging and Alzheimer's disease. 1521 *Neurobiol Aging* **11**, 601-607. <sup>1522</sup>
- [2] Refolo LM, Malester B, LaFrancois J, Bryant-Thomas T, 1523 Wang R, Tint GS, Sambamurti K, Duff K, Pappolla MA 1524 (2000) Hypercholesterolemia accelerates the Alzheimer's 1525 amyloid pathology in a transgenic mouse model. *Neurobiol* <sup>1526</sup> *Dis* **7**, 321-331. <sup>1527</sup>
- [3] Refolo LM, Pappolla MA, LaFrancois J, Malester B, 1528 Schmidt SD, Thomas-Bryant T, Tint GS, Wang R, Mercken 1529 M, Petanceska SS, Duff KE (2001) A cholesterol-lowering 1530 drug reduces beta-amyloid pathology in a transgenic <sup>1531</sup> mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Dis* **8**, <sup>1532</sup> 890-899. <sup>1533</sup>
- [4] Pappolla MA, Bryant-Thomas TK, Herbert D, Pacheco J, 1534 Fabra Garcia M, Manjon M, Girones X, Henry TL, Mat- <sup>1535</sup> subara E, Zambon D, Wolozin B, Sano M, Cruz-Sanchez 1536 FF, Thal LJ, Petanceska SS, Refolo LM (2003) Mild <sup>1537</sup> hypercholesterolemia is an early risk factor for the devel-<br>1538 opment of Alzheimer amyloid pathology. *Neurology* **61**, <sup>1539</sup> 199-205. 1540
- [5] Notkola IL, Sulkava R, Pekkanen J, Erkinjuntti T, Ehn- <sup>1541</sup> holm C, Kivinen P, Tuomilehto J, Nissinen A (1998) Serum 1542 total cholesterol, apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 allele, and 1543 Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroepidemiology* **17**, 14-20. <sup>1544</sup>
- [6] Kivipelto M, Solomon A (2006) Cholesterol as a risk factor 1545 for Alzheimer's disease – epidemiological evidence. *Acta* <sup>1546</sup> *Neurol Scand Suppl* **185**, 50-57. <sup>1547</sup>
- [7] Solomon A, Kivipelto M, Wolozin B, Zhou J, Whitmer 1548 RA (2009) Midlife serum cholesterol and increased risk 1549 of Alzheimer's and vascular dementia three decades later. <sup>1550</sup> *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* **28**, 75-80. <sup>1551</sup>
- [8] Power MC, Rawlings A, Sharrett AR, Bandeen-Roche K, 1552 Coresh J, Ballantyne CM, Pokharel Y, Michos ED, Pen- <sup>1553</sup> man A, Alonso A, Knopman D, Mosley TH, Gottesman RF 1554 (2018) Association of midlife lipids with 20-year cognitive 1555 change: A cohort study. *Alzheimers Dement* **14**, 167-177. <sup>1556</sup>
- [9] Reitz C, Tang MX, Luchsinger J, Mayeux R (2004) Rela- <sup>1557</sup> tion of plasma lipids to Alzheimer disease and vascular 1558 dementia. *Arch Neurol* **61**, 705-714. <sup>1559</sup>
- [10] Reitz C, Luchsinger J, Tang MX, Manly J, Mayeux R (2005) 1560 Impact of plasma lipids and time on memory performance 1561 in healthy elderly without dementia. *Neurology* **64**, 1378- <sup>1562</sup> 1383. 1563
- [11] Reitz C, Tang MX, Manly J, Schupf N, Mayeux R, <sup>1564</sup> Luchsinger JA (2008) Plasma lipid levels in the elderly are 1565 not associated with the risk of mild cognitive impairment. 1566 *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* **25**, 232-237. <sup>1567</sup>
- [12] Mielke MM, Zandi PP, Sjögren M, Gustafson D, Ostling S, 1568 Steen B, Skoog I (2005) High total cholesterol levels in late 1569 life associated with a reduced risk of dementia. *Neurology* <sup>1570</sup> **64**, 1689-1695. 1571
- [13] Sánchez-Ferro A, Benito-León J, Mitchell AJ, Bermejo- 1572 Pareja F (2013) A review of the potential therapeutic role 1573 of statins in the treatment of Alzheimer's disease: Current <sup>1574</sup> research and opinion. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* **9**, 55-63. <sup>1575</sup>
- [14] Shepardson NE, Shankar GM, Selkoe DJ (2011) Choles- 1576 terol level and statin use in Alzheimer disease: I. Review 1577 of epidemiological and preclinical studies. *Arch Neurol* **68**, <sup>1578</sup> 1239-1244. <sup>1579</sup>
- [15] Santanello NC, Barber BL, Applegate WB, Elam J, Curtis 1580 C, Hunninghake DB, Gordon DJ (1997) Effect of pharmaco- <sup>1581</sup>

<sup>1582</sup> logic lipid lowering on health-related quality of life in older <sup>1583</sup> persons: Results from the Cholesterol Reduction in Seniors <sup>1584</sup> Program (CRISP) Pilot Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* **45**, 8-14.

- <sup>1585</sup> [16] Kalmijn S, Foley D, White L, Burchfiel CM, Curb JD, Petro-<sup>1586</sup> vitch H, Ross GW, Havlik RJ, Launer LJ (2000) Metabolic <sup>1587</sup> cardiovascular syndrome and risk of dementia in Japanese-<sup>1588</sup> American elderly men. The Honolulu-Asia aging study. <sup>1589</sup> *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* **20**, 2255-2260.
- <sup>1590</sup> [17] Vemuri P, Lesnick TG, Przybelski SA, Knopman DS, Lowe <sup>1591</sup> VJ, Graff-Radford J, Roberts RO, Mielke MM, Machulda <sup>1592</sup> MM, Petersen RC, Jack CR, Jr. (2017) Age, vascular health, <sup>1593</sup> and Alzheimer disease biomarkers in an elderly sample. *Ann* <sup>1594</sup> *Neurol* **82**, 706-718.
- <sup>1595</sup> [18] Launer LJ, White LR, Petrovitch H, Ross GW, Curb JD <sup>1596</sup> (2001) Cholesterol and neuropathologic markers of AD: A <sup>1597</sup> population-based autopsy study. *Neurology* **57**, 1447-1452.
- 1598 [19] Martín MG, Pfrieger F, Dotti CG (2014) Cholesterol in brain <sup>1599</sup> disease: Sometimes determinant and frequently implicated. <sup>1600</sup> *EMBO Rep* **15**, 1036-1052.
- <sup>1601</sup> [20] Pappolla MA, Smith MA, Bryant-Thomas T, Bazan N, <sup>1602</sup> Petanceska S, Perry G, Thal LJ, Sano M, Refolo LM <sup>1603</sup> (2002) Cholesterol, oxidative stress, and Alzheimer's dis-<sup>1604</sup> ease: Expanding the horizons of pathogenesis. *Free Radic* <sup>1605</sup> *Biol Med* **33**, 173-181.
- <sup>1606</sup> [21] Abildayeva K, Jansen PJ, Hirsch-Reinshagen V, Bloks <sup>1607</sup> VW, Bakker AH, Ramaekers FC, de Vente J, Groen <sup>1608</sup> AK, Wellington CL, Kuipers F, Mulder M (2006) <sup>1609</sup> 24(S)-hydroxycholesterol participates in a liver X receptor-<sup>1610</sup> controlled pathway in astrocytes that regulates apolipopro-<sup>1611</sup> tein E-mediated cholesterol efflux. *J Biol Chem* **281**, 1612 12799-12808.
- <sup>1613</sup> [22] Hayashi H (2011) Lipid metabolism and glial lipoproteins <sup>1614</sup> in the central nervous system. *Biol Pharm Bull* **34**, 453-461.
- <sup>1615</sup> [23] Wang Y, Hao L, Wang T, Liu W, Wang L, Ju M, Feng W, Xiao <sup>1616</sup> R (2022) 27-hydroxycholesterol-induced dysregulation of <sup>1617</sup> cholesterol metabolism impairs learning and memory ability <sup>1618</sup> in ApoE epsilon4 transgenic mice. *Int J Mol Sci* **23**, 11639.
- <sup>1619</sup> [24] Gamba P, Giannelli S, Staurenghi E, Testa G, Sottero B, <sup>1620</sup> Biasi F, Poli G, Leonarduzzi G (2021) The controversial role <sup>1621</sup> of 24-S-hydroxycholesterol in Alzheimer's disease. *Antiox-*<sup>1622</sup> *idants (Basel)* **10**, 740.
- <sup>1623</sup> [25] Wang Y, Muneton S, Sjovall J, Jovanovic JN, Griffiths WJ ¨ <sup>1624</sup> (2008) The effect of 24S-hydroxycholesterol on cholesterol <sup>1625</sup> homeostasis in neurons: Quantitative changes to the cortical <sup>1626</sup> neuron proteome. *J Proteome Res* **7**, 1606-1614.
- <sup>1627</sup> [26] Janowski BA, Willy PJ, Devi TR, Falck JR, Mangelsdorf <sup>1628</sup> DJ (1996) An oxysterol signalling pathway mediated by the <sup>1629</sup> nuclear receptor LXR alpha. *Nature* **383**, 728-731.
- <sup>1630</sup> [27] Wang Y, Kumar N, Crumbley C, Griffin PR, Burris TP <sup>1631</sup> (2010) A second class of nuclear receptors for oxysterols: <sup>1632</sup> Regulation of RORalpha and RORgamma activity by 24S-<sup>1633</sup> hydroxycholesterol (cerebrosterol). *Biochim Biophys Acta* <sup>1634</sup> **1801**, 917-923.
- <sup>1635</sup> [28] Steven MP, James JD, Albert JR, Gabriel MB, Brian YC, <sup>1636</sup> Rebecca SH, Devon CC, Andrew JL, Hong-Jin S, Yukitoshi <sup>1637</sup> I, Steven JM, Charles FZ (2013) The major brain cholesterol <sup>1638</sup> metabolite 24(S)-hydroxycholesterol is a potent allosteric <sup>1639</sup> modulator of *N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptors. *J Neurosci* <sup>1640</sup> **33**, 17290.
- <sup>1641</sup> [29] Gamba P, Leonarduzzi G, Tamagno E, Guglielmotto M, <sup>1642</sup> Testa G, Sottero B, Gargiulo S, Biasi F, Mauro A, Vina J, <sup>1643</sup> Poli G (2011) Interaction between 24-hydroxycholesterol, <sup>1644</sup> oxidative stress, and amyloid-beta in amplifying neuronal <sup>1645</sup> damage in Alzheimer's disease: Three partners in crime. <sup>1646</sup> *Aging Cell* **10**, 403-417.
- [30] Dias IHK, Shokr H, Shephard F, Chakrabarti L (2022) Oxys- <sup>1647</sup> terols and oxysterol sulfates in Alzheimer's disease brain <sup>1648</sup> and cerebrospinal fluid. *J Alzheimers Dis* 87, 1527-1536. 1649
- [31] Wong MY, Lewis M, Doherty JJ, Shi Y, Cashikar AG, 1650 Amelianchik A, Tymchuk S, Sullivan PM, Qian M, Covey 1651 DF, Petsko GA, Holtzman DM, Paul SM, Luo W (2020) 25- <sup>1652</sup> Hydroxycholesterol amplifies microglial IL-1 $\beta$  production 1653 in an apoE isoform-dependent manner. *J Neuroinflamma-* <sup>1654</sup> *tion* **17**, 192. <sup>1655</sup>
- [32] Gc JB, Chen J, Pokharel SM, Mohanty I, Mariasoosai C, 1656 Obi P, Panipinto P, Bandyopadhyay S, Bose S, Natesan <sup>1657</sup> S (2023) Molecular basis for the recognition of 24-(S)-<br>1658 hydroxycholesterol by integrin ανβ3. Sci Rep 13, 9166. 1659
- [33] Sandebring-Matton A, Goikolea J, Björkhem I, Paternain L, 1660 Kemppainen N, Laatikainen T, Ngandu T, Rinne J, Soininen 1661 H, Cedazo-Minguez A, Solomon A, Kivipelto M (2021) 27- <sup>1662</sup> Hydroxycholesterol, cognition, and brain imaging markers 1663 in the FINGER randomized controlled trial. *Alzheimers Res* <sup>1664</sup> *Ther* **13**, 56. 1665
- [34] Huebbe P, Rimbach G (2017) Evolution of human 1666 apolipoprotein E (APOE) isoforms: Gene structure, protein <sup>1667</sup> function and interaction with dietary factors. *Ageing Res* <sup>1668</sup>  $Rev 37, 146-161.$
- [35] Chen J, Li Q, Wang J (2011) Topology of human apolipopro-<br>1670 tein E3 uniquely regulates its diverse biological functions. <sup>1671</sup> *Proc Natl Acad SciUSA* **108**, 14813-14818. <sup>1672</sup>
- [36] Strittmatter WJ, Saunders AM, Schmechel D, Pericak- 1673 Vance M, Enghild J, Salvesen GS, Roses AD (1993) 1674 Apolipoprotein E: High-avidity binding to beta-amyloid and 1675 increased frequency of type 4 allele in late-onset familial 1676 Alzheimer disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **90**, 1977-1981. <sup>1677</sup>
- [37] Walters S, Contreras AG, Eissman JM, Mukherjee S, Lee 1678 ML, Choi SE, Scollard P, Trittschuh EH, Mez JB, Bush 1679 WS, Kunkle BW, Naj AC, Peterson A, Gifford KA, Cuccaro 1680 ML, Cruchaga C, Pericak-Vance MA, Farrer LA, Wang LS, 1681 Haines JL, Jefferson AL, Kukull WA, Keene CD, Saykin 1682 AJ, Thompson PM, Martin ER, Bennett DA, Barnes LL, 1683 Schneider JA, Crane PK, Hohman TJ, Dumitrescu L (2023) 1684 Associations of sex, race, and apolipoprotein E alleles with 1685 multiple domains of cognition among older adults. *JAMA* 1686 *Neurol* **80**, 929-939. <sup>1687</sup>
- [38] Farrer LA, Cupples LA, Haines JL, Hyman B, Kukull 1688 WA, Mayeux R, Myers RH, Pericak-Vance MA, Risch N, 1689 van Duijn CM (1997) Effects of age, sex, and ethnicity 1690 on the association between apolipoprotein E genotype and 1691 Alzheimer disease. A meta-analysis. APOE and Alzheimer 1692 Disease Meta Analysis Consortium. *JAMA* 278, 1349-1356. 1693
- [39] Vélez JI, Lopera F, Sepulveda-Falla D, Patel HR, Johar 1694 AS, Chuah A, Tobón C, Rivera D, Villegas A, Cai Y, Peng 1695 K, Arkell R, Castellanos FX, Andrews SJ, Silva Lara MF, 1696 Creagh PK, Easteal S, de Leon J, Wong ML, Licinio J, <sup>1697</sup> Mastronardi CA, Arcos-Burgos M (2016) APOE\*E2 allele 1698 delays age of onset in PSEN1 E280A Alzheimer's disease. 1699 *Mol Psychiatry* **21**, 916-924. <sup>1700</sup>
- [40] Yamazaki Y, Zhao N, Caulfield TR, Liu CC, Bu G (2019) <sup>1701</sup> Apolipoprotein E and Alzheimer disease: Pathobiology and 1702 targeting strategies. *Nat Rev Neurol* **15**, 501-518. <sup>1703</sup>
- [41] Machulda MM, Jones DT, Vemuri P, McDade E, Avula R, 1704 Przybelski S, Boeve BF, Knopman DS, Petersen RC, Jack 1705 CR, Jr. (2011) Effect of APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4 status on intrinsic network 1706 connectivity in cognitively normal elderly subjects. *Arch* <sup>1707</sup> *Neurol* **68**, 1131-1136. 1708
- [42] Liu CC, Liu CC, Kanekiyo T, Xu H, Bu G (2013) <sup>1709</sup> Apolipoprotein E and Alzheimer disease: Risk, mechanisms 1710 and therapy. *Nat Rev Neurol* **9**, 106-118. <sup>1711</sup>

- <sup>1712</sup> [43] Williams T, Borchelt DR, Chakrabarty P (2020) Thera-<sup>1713</sup> peutic approaches targeting Apolipoprotein E function in <sup>1714</sup> Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Neurodegener* **15**, 8.
- <sup>1715</sup> [44] Getz GS, Reardon CA (2012) Animal models of atheroscle-<sup>1716</sup> rosis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* **32**, 1104-1115.
- <sup>1717</sup> [45] Camus MC, Chapman MJ, Forgez P, Laplaud PM (1983) <sup>1718</sup> Distribution and characterization of the serum lipoproteins <sup>1719</sup> and apoproteins in the mouse, Mus musculus. *J Lipid Res* <sup>1720</sup> **24**, 1210-1228.
- <sup>1721</sup> [46] Davidson MH (2010) Update on CETP inhibition. *J Clin* <sup>1722</sup> *Lipidol* **4**, 394-398.
- <sup>1723</sup> [47] Sullivan PM, Mezdour H, Quarfordt SH, Maeda N (1998) <sup>1724</sup> Type III hyperlipoproteinemia and spontaneous atheroscle-<sup>1725</sup> rosis in mice resulting from gene replacement of mouse <sup>1726</sup> Apoe with human Apoe\*2. *J Clin Invest* **102**, 130-135.
- <sup>1727</sup> [48] Methia N, Andre P, Hafezi-Moghadam A, Economopoulos ´ <sup>1728</sup> M, Thomas KL, Wagner DD (2001) ApoE deficiency com-<sup>1729</sup> promises the blood brain barrier especially after injury. *Mol* <sup>1730</sup> *Med* **7**, 810-815.
- <sup>1731</sup> [49] Grootendorst J, Bour A, Vogel E, Kelche C, Sullivan PM, <sup>1732</sup> Dodart JC, Bales K, Mathis C (2005) Human apoE targeted <sup>1733</sup> replacement mouse lines: h-apoE4 and h-apoE3 mice differ <sup>1734</sup> on spatial memory performance and avoidance behavior. <sup>1735</sup> *Behav Brain Res* **159**, 1-14.
- <sup>1736</sup> [50] Petanceska SS, DeRosa S, Sharma A, Diaz N, Duff K, Tint <sup>1737</sup> SG, Refolo LM, Pappolla M (2003) Changes in apolipopro-<sup>1738</sup> tein E expression in response to dietary and pharmacological <sup>1739</sup> modulation of cholesterol. *J Mol Neurosci* **20**, 395-406.
- <sup>1740</sup> [51] Kojro E, Gimpl G, Lammich S, Marz W, Fahrenholz F <sup>1741</sup> (2001) Low cholesterol stimulates the nonamyloidogenic <sup>1742</sup> pathway by its effect on the alpha -secretase ADAM 10. <sup>1743</sup> *Proc Natl Acad SciUSA* **98**, 5815-5820.
- <sup>1744</sup> [52] Simons M, Keller P, De Strooper B, Beyreuther K, Dotti CG, <sup>1745</sup> Simons K (1998) Cholesterol depletion inhibits the gener-<sup>1746</sup> ation of beta-amyloid in hippocampal neurons. *Proc Natl* <sup>1747</sup> *Acad SciUSA* **95**, 6460-6464.
- <sup>1748</sup> [53] Yip CM, Elton EA, Darabie AA, Morrison MR, McLaurin <sup>1749</sup> J (2001) Cholesterol, a modulator of membrane-associated <sup>1750</sup> Abeta-fibrillogenesis and neurotoxicity. *J Mol Biol* **311**, <sup>1751</sup> 723-734.
- <sup>1752</sup> [54] Mizuno T, Nakata M, Naiki H, Michikawa M, Wang R, <sup>1753</sup> Haass C, Yanagisawa K (1999) Cholesterol-dependent gen-<sup>1754</sup> eration of a seeding amyloid beta-protein in cell culture. *J* <sup>1755</sup> *Biol Chem* **274**, 15110-15114.
- <sup>1756</sup> [55] Wang T, Jia H (2023) The sigma receptors in Alzheimer's <sup>1757</sup> disease: New potential targets for diagnosis and therapy. *Int* <sup>1758</sup> *J Mol Sci* **24**.
- <sup>1759</sup> [56] Lane-Donovan C, Philips GT, Herz J (2014) More than <sup>1760</sup> cholesterol transporters: Lipoprotein receptors in CNS func-<sup>1761</sup> tion and neurodegeneration. *Neuron* **83**, 771-787.
- <sup>1762</sup> [57] Marzolo MP, Bu G (2009) Lipoprotein receptors and <sup>1763</sup> cholesterol in APP trafficking and proteolytic processing, <sup>1764</sup> implications for Alzheimer's disease. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* <sup>1765</sup> **20**, 191-200.
- 1766 [58] Zambón D, Quintana M, Mata P, Alonso R, Benavent J, 1767 Cruz-Sánchez F, Gich J, Pocoví M, Civeira F, Capurro <sup>1768</sup> S, Bachman D, Sambamurti K, Nicholas J, Pappolla MA <sup>1769</sup> (2010) Higher incidence of mild cognitive impairment in <sup>1770</sup> familial hypercholesterolemia. *Am J Med* **123**, 267-274.
- <sup>1771</sup> [59] Alenghat FJ, Davis AM (2019) Management of blood <sup>1772</sup> cholesterol. *JAMA* **321**, 800-801.
- <sup>1773</sup> [60] Lewington S, Whitlock G, Clarke R, Sherliker P, Ember-<sup>1774</sup> son J, Halsey J, Qizilbash N, Peto R, Collins R (2007) <sup>1775</sup> Blood cholesterol and vascular mortality by age, sex, and <sup>1776</sup> blood pressure: A meta-analysis of individual data from 61

prospective studies with 55,000 vascular deaths. *Lancet* **370**, <sup>1777</sup> 1829-1839. <sup>1778</sup>

- [61] Endo A (1992) The discovery and development of HMG- 1779 CoA reductase inhibitors. *J Lipid Res* **33**, 1569-1582. <sup>1780</sup>
- [62] McKenney JM, Ganz P, Wiggins BS, Saseen JS (2009) <sup>1781</sup> CHAPTER 22 – Statins. In *Clinical Lipidology*, Ballantyne <sup>1782</sup> CM, ed. W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, pp. 253-280. 1783
- [63] Jacobsen W, Kirchner G, Hallensleben K, Mancinelli L, <sup>1784</sup> Deters M, Hackbarth I, Benet LZ, Sewing KF, Chris- <sup>1785</sup> tians U (1999) Comparison of cytochrome P-450-dependent 1786 metabolism and drug interactions of the 3-hydroxy-3- <sup>1787</sup> methylglutaryl-CoA reductase inhibitors lovastatin and <sup>1788</sup> pravastatin in the liver. *Drug Metab Dispos* **27**, <sup>1789</sup> 173-179. 1790
- [64] Rudajev V, Novotny J (2023) Cholesterol-dependent amy- <sup>1791</sup> loid  $\beta$  production: Space for multifarious interactions 1792 between amyloid precursor protein, secretases, and choles- 1793 terol. *Cell Biosci* **13**, 171. <sup>1794</sup>
- [65] Liao JK, Laufs U (2005) Pleiotropic effects of statins. *Annu* <sup>1795</sup> *Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **45**, 89-118. <sup>1796</sup>
- [66] Pordel S, McCloskey AP, Almahmeed W, Sahebkar A 1797 (2024) The protective effects of statins in traumatic brain 1798 injury. *Pharmacol Rep* **76**, 235-250. <sup>1799</sup>
- [67] Wolozin B, Kellman W, Ruosseau P, Celesia GG, Siegel 1800 G (2000) Decreased prevalence of Alzheimer disease <sup>1801</sup> associated with 3-hydroxy-3-methyglutaryl coenzyme A 1802 reductase inhibitors. *Arch Neurol* **57**, 1439-1443. <sup>1803</sup>
- [68] Yaffe K, Barrett-Connor E, Lin F, Grady D (2002) Serum 1804 lipoprotein levels, statin use, and cognitive function in older 1805 women. *Arch Neurol* **59**, 378-384. <sup>1806</sup>
- [69] Zandi PP, Sparks DL, Khachaturian AS, Tschanz J, Norton <sup>1807</sup> M, Steinberg M, Welsh-Bohmer KA, Breitner JC (2005) 1808 Do statins reduce risk of incident dementia and Alzheimer 1809 disease? The Cache County Study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **62**, <sup>1810</sup> 217-224. <sup>1811</sup>
- [70] Rea TD, Breitner JC, Psaty BM, Fitzpatrick AL, Lopez OL, 1812 Newman AB, Hazzard WR, Zandi PP, Burke GL, Lyketsos <sup>1813</sup> CG, Bernick C, Kuller LH (2005) Statin use and the risk of 1814 incident dementia: The Cardiovascular Health Study. *Arch* <sup>1815</sup> *Neurol* **62**, 1047-1051. 1816
- [71] Jick H, Zornberg GL, Jick SS, Seshadri S, Drachman DA <sup>1817</sup> (2000) Statins and the risk of dementia. *Lancet* **356**, 1627- <sup>1818</sup> 1631. 1819
- [72] Li G, Larson EB, Sonnen JA, Shofer JB, Petrie EC, <sup>1820</sup> Schantz A, Peskind ER, Raskind MA, Breitner JC, Montine 1821 TJ (2007) Statin therapy is associated with reduced neu- <sup>1822</sup> ropathologic changes of Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* **69**, <sup>1823</sup> 878-885. <sup>1824</sup>
- [73] Masse I, Bordet R, Deplanque D, Khedr AA, Richard F, 1825 Libersa C, Pasquier F (2005) Lipid lowering agents are 1826 associated with a slower cognitive decline in Alzheimer's 1827 disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* **76**, 1624-1629. 1828
- [74] Cramer C, Haan MN, Galea S, Langa KM, Kalbfleisch <sup>1829</sup> JD (2008) Use of statins and incidence of dementia and 1830 cognitive impairment without dementia in a cohort study. 1831 *Neurology* **71**, 344-350. 1832
- [75] Haag MD, Hofman A, Koudstaal PJ, Stricker BH, Breteler 1833 MM (2009) Statins are associated with a reduced risk of 1834 Alzheimer disease regardless of lipophilicity. The Rotter- <sup>1835</sup> dam Study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* **80**, 13-17. <sup>1836</sup>
- [76] Wolozin B, Wang SW, Li NC, Lee A, Lee TA, Kazis LE 1837 (2007) Simvastatin is associated with a reduced incidence <sup>1838</sup> of dementia and Parkinson's disease. *BMC Med* **5**, 20. <sup>1839</sup>
- [77] Kemp EC, Ebner MK, Ramanan S, Godek TA, Pugh EA, 1840 Bartlett HH, McDonald JW, Mecca MC, van Dyck CH, <sup>1841</sup>

<sup>1842</sup> Mecca AP (2020) Statin use and risk of cognitive decline in <sup>1843</sup> the ADNI cohort. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* **28**, 507-517.

- 1844 [78] Petek B, Häbel H, Xu H, Villa-Lopez M, Kalar I, Hoang <sup>1845</sup> MT, Maioli S, Pereira JB, Mostafaei S, Winblad B, Gre-<sup>1846</sup> goric Kramberger M, Eriksdotter M, Garcia-Ptacek S (2023) <sup>1847</sup> Statins and cognitive decline in patients with Alzheimer's <sup>1848</sup> and mixed dementia: A longitudinal registry-based cohort <sup>1849</sup> study. *Alzheimers Res Ther* **15**, 220.
- <sup>1850</sup> [79] Arvanitakis Z, Schneider JA, Wilson RS, Bienias JL, <sup>1851</sup> Kelly JF, Evans DA, Bennett DA (2008) Statins, incident <sup>1852</sup> Alzheimer disease, change in cognitive function, and neu-<sup>1853</sup> ropathology. *Neurology* **70**, 1795-1802.
- <sup>1854</sup> [80] Adhikari A, Tripathy S, Chuzi S, Peterson J, Stone NJ <sup>1855</sup> (2021) Association between statin use and cognitive func-<sup>1856</sup> tion: A systematic review of randomized clinical trials and <sup>1857</sup> observational studies. *J Clin Lipidol* **15**, 22-32.e12.
- <sup>1858</sup> [81] Olmastroni E, Molari G, De Beni N, Colpani O, Galimberti <sup>1859</sup> F, Gazzotti M, Zambon A, Catapano AL, Casula M (2022) <sup>1860</sup> Statin use and risk of dementia or Alzheimer's disease: A <sup>1861</sup> systematic review and meta-analysis of observational stud-<sup>1862</sup> ies. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* **29**, 804-814.
- <sup>1863</sup> [82] Geifman N, Brinton RD, Kennedy RE, Schneider LS, Butte <sup>1864</sup> AJ (2017) Evidence for benefit of statins to modify cogni-<sup>1865</sup> tive decline and risk in Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res* <sup>1866</sup> *Ther* **9**, 10.
- <sup>1867</sup> [83] Poly TN, Islam MM, Walther BA, Yang HC, Wu CC, Lin <sup>1868</sup> MC, Li YC (2020) Association between use of statin and <sup>1869</sup> risk of dementia: A meta-analysis of observational studies. <sup>1870</sup> *Neuroepidemiology* **54**, 214-226.
- <sup>1871</sup> [84] (2002) MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of cholesterol <sup>1872</sup> lowering with simvastatin in 20,536 high-risk individuals: <sup>1873</sup> A randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* **360**, 7-22.
- <sup>1874</sup> [85] Jones RW, Kivipelto M, Feldman H, Sparks L, Doody R, <sup>1875</sup> Waters DD, Hey-Hadavi J, Breazna A, Schindler RJ, Ramos <sup>1876</sup> H (2008) The Atorvastatin/Donepezil in Alzheimer's Dis-<sup>1877</sup> ease Study (LEADe): Design and baseline characteristics. <sup>1878</sup> *Alzheimers Dement* **4**, 145-153.
- <sup>1879</sup> [86] Shepherd J, Blauw GJ, Murphy MB, Bollen EL, Buck-<sup>1880</sup> ley BM, Cobbe SM, Ford I, Gaw A, Hyland M, Jukema <sup>1881</sup> JW, Kamper AM, Macfarlane PW, Meinders AE, Norrie <sup>1882</sup> J, Packard CJ, Perry IJ, Stott DJ, Sweeney BJ, Twomey <sup>1883</sup> C, Westendorp RG (2002) Pravastatin in elderly individu-<sup>1884</sup> als at risk of vascular disease (PROSPER): A randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* **360**, 1623-1630.
- [87] Sano M, Bell KL, Galasko D, Galvin JE, Thomas RG, van <sup>1885</sup> Dyck CH, Aisen PS (2011) A randomized, double-blind, 1886 placebo-controlled trial of simvastatin to treat Alzheimer <sup>1887</sup> disease. *Neurology* **77**, 556-563. <sup>1888</sup>
- [88] McGuinness B, O'Hare J, Craig D, Bullock R, Malouf R, 1889 Passmore P (2010) Statins for the treatment of dementia. 1890 *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, CD007514. <sup>1891</sup>
- [89] Carlsson CM, Gleason CE, Hess TM, Moreland KA, Blazel 1892 HM, Koscik RL, Schreiber NT, Johnson SC, Atwood CS, 1893 Puglielli L, Hermann BP, McBride PE, Stein JH, Sager MA, 1894 Asthana S (2008) Effects of simvastatin on cerebrospinal 1895 fluid biomarkers and cognition in middle-aged adults at risk 1896 for Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis* **13**, 187-197. <sup>1897</sup>
- [90] Riekse RG, Li G, Petrie EC, Leverenz JB, Vavrek D, Vuletic 1898 S, Albers JJ, Montine TJ, Lee VM, Lee M, Seubert P, 1899 Galasko D, Schellenberg GD, Hazzard WR, Peskind ER 1900 (2006) Effect of statins on Alzheimer's disease biomarkers <sup>1901</sup> in cerebrospinal fluid. *J Alzheimers Dis* **10**, 399-406. <sup>1902</sup>
- [91] Ludewig B, Jäggi M, Dumrese T, Brduscha-Riem K, 1903 Odermatt B, Hengartner H, Zinkernagel RM (2001) Hyper- <sup>1904</sup> cholesterolemia exacerbates virus-induced immunopatho- <sup>1905</sup> logic liver disease via suppression of antiviral cytotoxic T 1906 cell responses. *J Immunol* **166**, 3369-3376. <sup>1907</sup>
- [92] Roselaar SE, Daugherty A (1998) Apolipoprotein E- <sup>1908</sup> deficient mice have impaired innate immune responses to 1909 Listeria monocytogenes *in vivo*. *J Lipid Res* **39**, 1740-1743. <sup>1910</sup>
- [93] de Bont N, Netea MG, Demacker PN, Verschueren I, Kull- <sup>1911</sup> berg BJ, van Dijk KW, van der Meer JW, Stalenhoef AF <sup>1912</sup> (1999) Apolipoprotein E knock-out mice are highly suscep- <sup>1913</sup> tible to endotoxemia and Klebsiella pneumoniae infection. 1914 *J Lipid Res* **40**, 680-685. <sup>1915</sup>
- [94] Cole GA, Nathanson N, Prendergast RA (1972) Require- 1916 ment for theta-bearing cells in lymphocytic choriomenin- <sup>1917</sup> gitis virus-induced central nervous system disease. *Nature* <sup>1918</sup> **238**, 335-337. 1919
- [95] de Oliveira J, Moreira ELG, de Bem AF (2024) Beyond <sup>1920</sup> cardiovascular risk: Implications of Familial hypercholes- <sup>1921</sup> terolemia on cognition and brain function. *Ageing Res Rev* <sup>1922</sup> **93**, 102149. 1923
- [96] Hong DY, Lee DH, Lee JY, Lee EC, Park SW, Lee MR, Oh 1924 JS (2022) Relationship between brain metabolic disorders 1925 and cognitive impairment: LDL receptor defect. *Int J Mol* 1926 *Sci* **23**, 8384. <sup>1927</sup>