

Abstract

 Marine adaptations are considered to have been significant factors in the evolution of our species (*Homo sapiens*). As humans dispersed from Africa around 100 kya, marine resources provided essential nutrients in island environments of the tropical Southeast Asian seas. The Philippine archipelago has revealed significant evidence of early human settlement during this period of coastal migration. Yet, despite being a global marine biodiversity hotspot, few studies have investigated prehistoric marine adaptations there. In this study, we focus on the analysis of fish bones from three sites in Occidental Mindoro, Philippines: Bubog I, Bubog II, and Bilat Cave with the aim of enhancing the understanding of *H. sapiens*' coastal adaptations and maritime interactions since at least 32,000 BP. We utilized expanded skeletal element identification protocols to determine the lowest taxonomic level possible, combined with diversity indices. The analyses indicate that *H. sapiens* on Mindoro mostly exploited near-shore environments, with temporal variations in fishing practices reflecting differences in site occupation intensity and periods of climate-mediated habitat change. Inter-site variations in fishing practices are likely related to disparities in local marine habitats. Spatiotemporal differences in marine environments influenced the development of diverse fishing methods and technologies, demonstrating a detailed knowledge of local aquatic environments and the adaptability of modern humans in Island Southeast Asia since the Pleistocene.

- **1. Introduction**
-

 The study of fish remains from archaeological sites (ichthyoarchaeology) in the Asia- Pacific tropical island region is of global significance for reconstructing *Homo sapiens* interactions with diverse marine environments (Boulanger, 2021; Boulanger et al., 2022; Butler, 1994; Colley, 1990). Many recent studies demonstrate shifting fishing strategies in response to changing marine environments during periods of climate and culture change dating back to 46 kya (Boulanger et al., 2022, 2019; Colley, 1987; O'Connor et al., 2019; Ono et al., 2019; Ono and Intoh, 2011; Samper Carro et al., 2016). Coastal archaeological cave sites east of Huxley's line associated with Pleistocene *H. sapiens* indicate open water crossings to the oceanic islands of Southeast Asia, representing the earliest known period of human maritime adaptation (Clarkson et al., 2017). These marine adaptations are considered to have been significant factors in the survival of our species during dispersal from Sunda to Sahul as other hominin species became extinct (O'Connor et al., 2017; Shipton et al., 2021).

 The Philippines archipelago is situated at the apex of the Coral triangle (Allen, 2008; Randall, 1998), yet despite its outstanding fish biodiversity, few ichthyoarchaeological studies have been conducted on these islands. Three main factors have contributed to this paucity of data. Firstly, preservation conditions vary throughout the Asia-Pacific. In Island Southeast Asia (ISEA), complex geological and sea level changes, necessitates a reliance on cave sites for zooarchaeological inquiry, which often act as traps for vertebrate deposition (Louys et al., 2017). Secondly, extensive and detailed comparative reference collections are only in their early stages of development (Boulanger, 2021). Comparative anatomy and other classical methods used in ichthyoarchaeology to reconstruct fishing techniques and palaeoenvironments, such as osteometry (Chaix and Desse, 1994) or sclerochronology (Panfili et al., 2002) depend on diverse and well-curated modern reference collections.

 Asia-Pacific fishes are notoriously difficult to identify to species as large families often limit identification to the family level, making ecological reconstructions less detailed (Boulanger, 2021; Lambrides and Weisler, 2013). This is problematic for interpretations of human interactions with marine habitats which one considers diverse families such as scombrids have many species sharing different habitats and life histories, including changes in habitats for breeding and spawning behaviors, some of which could be caught close to shore while others are found in pelagic habitats (Anderson, 2013). Thirdly, matching ethnoarchaeological accounts of traditional fishing practices to ichthyofaunal assemblages is often complex. Scholars have attempted classification of contemporary Pacific islander fishing techniques in specific marine habitats, which varied by geographic region in the Asia-Pacific (Butler, 1994; Giovas et al., 2016; Johannes, 1981; Kirch and Dye, 1979; Masse, 1986; Ono, 2010; Ono et al., 2009).

 However, in ISEA, very few studies on traditional fishing practices have been conducted. Umali and Warfel (1949), in a report dedicated to coral reef fishing in the Philippines, described the fishing methods commonly used by contemporary fishers. Fishing using traditional techniques had already declined in society at the time of their study, with the introduction of new modern methods and materials that included explosives, poisons, diving and spearing, fish pots, lines, reef drag seine and various drive-in-nets (Bohol and Japanese). This doubtlessly caused dramatic modifications to local ecologies and does not allow us to make direct

 ethnological comparisons with archaeological material from the Philippines. Nevertheless, many authors seem to agree that Pacific fishing strategies are diverse and highly specialized, aimed at capturing specific species, and are adapted to a variety of ecological conditions. Therefore, local fishing communities choose the techniques that are the most appropriate and efficient in their environment and with the available resources (Boulanger, 2021; Boulanger et al., 2022; Giovas et al., 2017; Ono and Clark, 2012; Walter, 1989).

 The early development of fishing in ISEA appears to have focused on a variety of fish near the edge of the reef shelf, indicating that fishing methods and technology were being employed to adapt to a range of marine and freshwater habitats (Boulanger, 2021; Boulanger et al., 2023, 2022). In Wallacea, which has never been connected to a continental landmass (Kealy et al., 2016), archaeological excavations of coastal cave sites in the Lesser Sunda Islands, including eastern Indonesia and Timor-Leste, have revealed the highest abundances of fish remains in ISEA (Boulanger, 2021; Boulanger et al., 2022; Kealy et al., 2020; O'Connor et al., 2019, 2011; Samper Carro et al., 2016). Further, shell fishhooks were recovered in burial contexts at Tron Bon Lei on Alor in Indonesia by 12 kya indicating the importance of maritime culture in socio- ritualized treatment of the dead by the end of the Pleistocene (O'Connor et al., 2017). Later, between 4,000-2,900 BP, populations speaking languages related to the Austronesian family, spread from Taiwan and Southeast Asia throughout ISEA. Their subsistence mode combining food production and near shore marine foraging indicates the noteworthy maritime adaptations that Neolithic cultures engaged in (Bedford et al., 2007; Bouffandeau et al., 2018; Butler, 1994; Fitzpatrick et al., 2011; Fitzpatrick and Kataoka, 2005; Giovas et al., 2017, 2016; Kirch and Dye, 1979; Lambrides et al., 2018; Ono, 2004, 2003; Ono et al., 2019; Ono and Intoh, 2011; Walter, 1989; Weisler and Green, 2013).

 This paper builds on these developments by incorporating ichthyoarchaeological data from Bubog I (Boulanger et al., 2019), combined with unpublished detailed analysis of this site, and new data from Bubog II, located on the small island of Ilin, and Bilat Cave, which is situated on the coast of Mindoro facing Ilin (Fig. 1). The study utilizes a wide range of skeletal elements to the lowest taxonomic level possible and focuses on how this analysis facilitates a more detailed understanding of *H. sapiens* fishing practices at Occidental Mindoro, Philippines over the last 30,000 years. The study seeks to understand the variations in marine habitat and temporal changes in culture spanning the transition from Pleistocene to Holocene and encompassing the Austronesian expansion ca. 4,000 cal. BP, and beyond.

-
-

2. Archaeological background

 Mindoro is the seventh largest island in the Philippines by land area with a total of 10,571 162 km², located to the southwestern coast of Luzon, in the Southwest corner of the South China Sea (Fig. 1). The limestone formations in the southern part of Occidental Mindoro have been the target of archaeological explorations since 2010 (Pawlik et al., 2014; Porr et al., 2012). Due to its geographic situation, the island may have served as a stepping-stone for migration to the Philippine archipelago via the central Sunda Shelf route to Palawan (Porr et al., 2012), where the earliest evidence for the presence of *H. sapiens* at Tabon Cave is AMS-dates to ca. 39- 33,000 BP (Choa, 2018), while less secure U-series dated the human remains to as early as ca.

 47,000 BP (Détroit et al., 2004; O'Connell et al., 2018). This study focuses on two sites located on Ilin, Bubog I and Bubog II, and one site, Bilat Cave, in Sta. Teresa, Magsaysay at the southwestern end of Mindoro, separated from Ilin Island by a ca. 900 to 1,300 m wide channel (Fig. 1). These sites have produced evidence of a variety of human activities, including fish bone assemblages that, based on their chronology and long sequence, are crucial to understanding how changes in landforms and sea levels might have influenced the mobility of human populations and changing subsistence behaviors (Neri et al., 2015; Pawlik et al., 2015, 2014; Pawlik and Fuentes, 2023; Pawlik and Piper, 2019).

2.1. Bubog I

 Bubog I rockshelter is located at a grid reference of 12°10'16"N, 121◦07'52"E, at the southeast end of Ilin Island (Fig. 1), approximately 30 m above mean sea level, and about 180 m from the present shoreline. The main rockshelter consists of a broad, bright, and spacious northeast-facing platform, measuring approximately 20 m in length with a maximum width from the lip of the overhang to the rear limestone wall of about 4 m, and a height of around 10 m. The archaeological record consists of twelve well-stratified layers and a shell midden (Layers 9 to 1) with a total depth of ca. 1.5 m and Pleistocene deposits of about 2 m recently discovered underneath the shell midden (Pawlik, 2019; Pawlik et al., 2014). The stratigraphic sequence is anchored to an absolute chronology by 16 radiocarbon dates, ranging from 33,040- 31,736 cal. BP at the bottom of Layer 9 to 4,848 to 4,651 cal. BP in Layer 2, with an important hiatus within Layer 9 (Pawlik et al., 2014; Pawlik and Piper, 2019). It provides records of the important palaeoenvironmental and palaeogeographic changes that occurred at the end of the Pleistocene and during the mid-Holocene.

 Pawlik and co-authors (2014) notably pointed out a major shift in mollusks from Layers 7- 6, around 6000 BP, transitioning from a predominantly mangrove to a mainly marine origin. The malacofauna from Bubog I indicates the presence of mangrove bivalves (*Polymesoda* (*Geloina*) *coaxans*, *Terebralia sulcata*), and mangrove crabs (*Cardisoma carnifex*, *Scylla* sp.) in Layers 9 and 8, and the presence of marine gastropods (*Lambis* sp., *Strombus* sp., *Turbo* sp., *Lunella* sp., *Trochus* sp., and *Conus* sp.) and marine bivalves (e.g., *Tridacna* sp., *Hippopus* sp.) in Layers 6 to 3 (Lim, 2016; Pawlik et al., 2014). This clearly reflects the adaptation of subsistence strategies to changing landscapes (Boulanger, 2015; Boulanger et al., 2019; Lim, 2016; Pawlik et al., 2014). The rest of the fauna consists of fish remains, micromammal remains such as murids (Reyes, 2019; Reyes et al., 2017), and a few large mammals including the native pig of Mindoro (*Sus oliveri*), as well as few remains of tamaraw, an endemic water buffalo (*Bubalus mindorensis*), and two endemic deer species (*Rusa marianna* and *Cervus alfredi*) (Boulanger, 2015; Boulanger et al., 2019; Pawlik and Piper, 2019). The interpretation for a shift in subsistence strategies in relation to changing paleo-landscape is consistent with results obtained from the macrobotanical analysis, with the lower layers (Layers 9 to 5) rich in mangrove forest taxa such as Rhizophoraceae which were rapidly replaced in the upper layers by secondary forest taxa (Carlos et al., 2018).

 The faunal material was associated with a lithic industry mostly composed of unmodified pebbles with wear traces, which were used as hammerstones to extract meat from the mollusks. Among the relatively few flaked artefacts were seven obsidian flakes found at the base of the shell midden in Layer 9 and below the midden in the silty deposits of Layer 10 (Pawlik, 2021; Pawlik et al., 2014). As Palawan is non-volcanic, the obsidian would have been acquired remotely and transported to sites (Neri et al., 2015; Pawlik and Fuentes, 2023). In addition, a *Tridacna* shell adze was also recovered and directly AMS-dated to 7,341-7,012 cal. BP (Pawlik et al., 2015), as well as flaked shell artefacts made of *Tridacna*, *Conus* and *Geloina* shells. From the terrestrial deposits below the shell midden, an almost complete fishing gorge was recovered in Layer 10, dated by association to before 30,000 years ago. Another bone point and potential fishing gorge fragment have also been found in Layer 5, together with a heavily fragmented modified bone artefact and a modified suid canine with wear traces (Pawlik et al., 2015). Two igneous pebbles with waisted modifications possibly used as net-sinkers were also recovered from the shell midden (Boulanger et al., 2019; Pawlik and Piper, 2019). Moreover, the excavation of Trench 4 in 2013 led to the discovery of a buried gracile mature individual directly dated to ca. 5,000 years ago (Pawlik et al., 2019). From underneath the burial, a single AMS date of 35,034-33,847 cal. BP on *Terebralia* shell provides the currently earliest radiocarbon date for Bubog I.

2.2. Bubog II

 Bubog II rockshelter is located at a grid reference of 12◦10'25"N, 121◦07'42"E, about 232 250 m north of Bubog I (Fig. 1), at an elevation of 45 m above mean sea level. The rockshelter faces southeast and has a level rectangular platform ca. 6 m in width, surrounded by high ceil- ings and walls to the north, south, and west, and two large rock falls to the east. The site has a shell midden that is similar in structure, though less extensive, than the midden in Bubog I, with a stratigraphic profile of 14 distinct layers in the eastern Trench 2, AMS-dated from 9,536- 237 9,316 cal. BP to the 16th-17th Century AD and the beginning of the colonial period (Fig. 2). The earliest available dates for Bubog II of 10,785-10,562 cal. BP were retrieved from Trench 3 in the center of the habitation platform (Pawlik, 2021; Pawlik et al., 2014; Pawlik and Piper, 2019). The fauna and the lithic assemblages are similar, albeit in smaller numbers, to those in Bubog I (Pawlik, 2021; Pawlik et al., 2014; Pawlik and Piper, 2019).

 A total of 42 gastropod genera and twelve bivalve genera were identified at Bubog II (Pawlik et al., 2014). Fish and large mammal remains were also found. Micromammals such as rats (murids), bats (Chiroptera) and shrews (Soricidae) were also present, but less numerous and less diversified than in Bubog I (Reyes, 2019). A small assemblage of 27 lithic artefacts, consisting of nine complete but damaged pebbles used as hammers, one with a waisted modification like the ones from Bubog I, two pebbles fragments and 16 flakes and shattered pieces were recovered throughout the sequence (Pawlik et al., 2014). A large preform of a *Tridacna* shell adze was found in Layer 5 of Trench 3, directly dated to 8,970-8,600 cal. BP (Pawlik and Piper, 2019).

2.3. Bilat Cave

 Bilat Cave is located directly at the coast of Sta. Teresa, Magsaysay at a grid reference of 12◦14'482"N and 121◦07'642"E. The cave is situated at an elevation of approximately 2-3 m above mean sea level and composed of three connecting chambers, which were still used by fishers for storage. The first chamber faces the landside to the northeast and contains a levelled platform of approximately 18 m in length and about 6 m in width. A large, but relatively shallow shell midden (compared to Bubog I) covered the surface of the entrance area. The northern chamber is connected to two other chambers to the south and west, which both have openings to the sea, facing the Ilin Strait (Fig. 1). They are almost at sea level, and the present cave floors show signs of occasional flooding. The cave was probably flooded during the Holocene climatic optimum around ca. 6,000 BP when sea levels rose to approximately 3 to 5 m higher than present (Sathiamurthy and Voris, 2006; Voris, 2000). Two excavation trenches were set up in Chamber 1, Trench 1 with a size of 3x2 m, and Trench 2 with a size of 4x1 m. Excavation of Trench 1 reached the water table at a depth of 100-90 cm above sea level in Squares C and D, while in Square A, a 100x50 cm shell sampling column was exposed, and shell samples were taken in controlled units. Several AMS-dates on charcoal and shell provided a chronology ranging from the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) until the present day or 287-3 cal. BP (Fig. 2). A charcoal sample from a stratified context in Square 1A, at the bottom of the shell midden at 2.30-2.20 m above sea level, returned an AMS-date of 8,185-8,033 cal. BP (Pawlik and Piper, 2019). The deeper strata of squares C and D, Layer 3, provided a date on charcoal associated with a lithic flake in Layer 3 of 13,790-13,596 cal. BP, while two samples of *Melanoides* shells from Layer 4 delivered radiocarbon dates of 21,891-21,367 and 21,252-20,745 cal. BP, respectively, indicating human presence during the terminal Pleistocene and LGM (Pawlik and Piper, 2019).

 The excavation produced remains from terrestrial and marine vertebrates, several flaked cherts as well as unmodified but used pebble artefacts and their fragments. Trench 2 produced an edge-ground *Tridacna* shell adze, similar in form and age to the one found in Bubog I, di- rectly dated to 7,292-6,984 cal. BP, suggesting a cultural connection to the Bubog sites across the Ilin Strait and, in the context of the shell adze preform from Bubog II, a local manufacture of this type of tool during the early to mid-Holocene (Pawlik et al., 2015; Pawlik and Piper, 2019).

-
- **3. Material and methods**
-

3.1. Recovery procedure

 The majority of the excavated sediments were sieved through a 2 mm mesh screen on sites. All artefacts and ecofacts were removed, bagged, and recorded. A bag of 15 L of sediment from each stratigraphic horizon was transported to the beach where it was wet sieved through a 1 mm and 2 mm sieve rack in the sea. A bag of 5 L of sediment from each unit was floated in fresh water. The light fraction was poured into a 0.5 mm sieve, and the organic remains collected (Pawlik et al., 2014). This whole process of washing the sediment was repeated three times. The recovery strategy remained consistent throughout the excavated stratigraphic sequence of the three sites to ensure temporal and spatial comparability within and between excavated contexts. All fish remains from all excavation trenches were sorted from the vertebrate assemblage after sieving.

3.2. Comparative anatomy

 The Mindoro assemblages have been identified to the lowest anatomical and taxonomical level, thanks to the extensive modern reference collection housed at the Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle (UMR 7209 "Archéozoologie et Archéobotanique - Sociétés, Pratiques et Environnements"), comprised of 448 complete individual Indo-Pacific fish skeletons, from 73 families, 175 associated genera, and 320 species. All elements were considered for identification. This permitted a more detailed analysis of the assemblage of Bubog I, which was previously performed using the reference collection of the University of the Philippines Diliman where 36 families are represented (Boulanger et al., 2019).

3.3. Quantitative analysis

 Following taxon identification, the different skeletal elements were counted to estimate taxon frequencies using a range of standard quantification methods (Grayson, 1984). Here we use three main methods as follows: the NISP (number of identified specimens) (Payne, 1975), which can be severely affected by differential fragmentation (Lyman, 2008); the MNE (minimum number of elements), defined as the minimum number of elements for different skeletal elements (Bunn, 1986); and the MNI (minimum number of individuals). The MNI corresponds to the smallest number of individual animals necessary to account for all the NISP of a specific taxon in an assemblage (White, 1953). MNI can reduce the effects of fragmentation on quantification of relative abundance and is determined by combining the laterality or anatomical position of the elements (Poplin, 1976). In this paper, we opted to compute the NISP, MNE and MNI per layer of each archaeological site.

-
-

3.4. Analysis of cultural and taphonomical disturbances

 We took into account several types of anthropic and natural actions that may have had an impact on the assemblage, such as traces of combustion. Discolorations ranging from black to light-gray indicated potential heating, either from cooking or incidental firing (Lebon, 2008). We also considered potential cut marks, discernible by their shape, on fishbone surfaces caused by tools during preparation or consumption, as well as concretions present in the sediments coming from the precipitation of dissolved carbonates from speleothem. The fragmentation of the skeletal elements (FR) was also determined, allowing to assess the degree of preservation of the latter by quantifying the impact of taphonomic processes on bone fragmentation. FR is calculated by dividing NISP by MNE (Lyman, 2008; Richardson, 1980).

-
-

3.5. Rarefaction, richness, diversity, and evenness

 To evaluate the relationship between the defined chronological periods at each site in terms of foraged marine environments, quantification units were further used in indices. Additionally, we utilized rarefaction curves to ascertain whether an adequate number of taxa had been identified for conducting a comparison among the three sites. Rarefaction is defined as the probabilistic relationship between the number of individuals (NISP) and the number of taxa, based on an estimated rarefaction curve from the sample (Grayson, 1984; Lyman and Ames, 2007). Practically, rarefaction provides the expected species richness in random subsamples, therefore allowing to compare two samples of different sizes by comparing the shape of the curves. The larger the sampling is, the larger the number of taxa will be. Yet, such an inflation curve is asymptotic and effort grows very fast before reaching a plateau with declining returns with increasing sampling effort. In other terms, at first, each new sample has a high probability to represent a new unseen before taxon within the assemblage, but after a certain estimated number of samples, the probability to find a new taxon is weak. Rarefaction curves would therefore indicate whether differences in the number of taxa in the different sites result from a different sampling effort, or size of the assemblages. Beyond rarefaction (interpolation) from the effective number of taxa in our samples, we also computed predictions (extrapolations) of the number of taxa one would have found with larger samples using Chao and co-authors (2014) and Hsieh and co-authors (2016) formulas. Differences among distinct assemblages within the same site were assessed using a Chi-squared test for homogeneity based on the NISP, following the methodology outlined by Grayson (1984). As the sample sizes are small, the p-values were computed for a Monte Carlo test (Hope, 1968), which were then corrected using a Bonferroni correction for multiple tests (Dunn, 1961).

 Because the absence of certain taxa in one of the assemblages could not be accommodated within this specific test due to its calculation method, taxon richness, diversity, and evenness were also estimated following Cruz-Uribe (1988) recommendations. Richness is defined as the number of taxa (e.g. family) in a certain stratum. Additionally, to mitigate the influence of potential differences in sample size, we calculated the Menhinick's Richness Index which accounts for the number of taxa in a sample divided by the square root of the number of individuals in the sample. Higher values of Menhinick's index indicate greater taxa richness, meaning the community or sample contains a more diverse array of species. Conversely, lower values indicate lower species richness, with fewer unique species represented (Magurran, 1988). Diversity accounts for the frequency of each taxon in the same stratum, which is summarized by the Shannon's Diversity Index which is richness dependent. The index has a lower limit of 0, which corresponds to a few species with a variable number of specimens per taxon and has no upper boundary. A large Shannon's Diversity Index corresponds to several species being represented by a more even number of specimens one from the other. The Pielou's Evenness Index, which is commonly used to measure evenness, ranges from 0 and 1 with indicating complete inequality (i.e., only one taxon has all the individuals) and 1 indicating perfect equality (i.e., all taxa have the same number of individuals. All the indices, rarefaction curves and Chi-squared test were made using R freeware (R Core Team, 2020), and its native packages.

- *4.1. Bubog I*
-

 At Bubog I, 19 families are represented, as well as 22 genera, and 12 species (Tab. 1). The NISP up to the subclass level (Elasmobranchii) or infraclass level (Teleostei) is 1,445. Most of the fragments were identified from Layer 9 to 4, totalling 1,025 specimens (70.93%). Layer 9 had the highest NISP with 233 specimens (16.12%), while Layer 7 only had 129 fish specimens, likely due to stratigraphic disruption caused by rock falls. Of the bone fragments, 405 (28.08%) were identified to the family level, 73 (5.05%) to the genus level, and 17 (1.18%) to the species level, with the majority of bones (70.66%) belonging to the axial skeleton (Tab. 394 4; Tab. A1). Numerous bones (NISP = $1,038$), comprising 71.83% of the site's assemblage, showed traces of calcining, ranging from black to light-grey coloration, indicating potential cooking or incidental firing (Tab. 4).

 The Bubog I assemblage can be divided into two broad periods based on a change in the proportions of mangrove crustaceans between Layers 12 to 7 and 6 to 1 (Boulanger, 2015; Boulanger et al., 2019). For comparison purposes, we aggregated the data from these two layers 400 (Tab. 5). After applying the Chi-squared test, they appear to be statistically different (χ^2 = 77.236, $p = 9.999e-05$.

-
- *4.2. Bubog II*
-

 At Bubog II, we identified 19 families, 18 genera, and five species from the fishbone assemblage (Tab. 2; Tab. A2). The total NISP up to the subclass level (Elasmobranchii) or infraclass level (Teleostei) is 1,382. The majority of the remains were identified within Layers 8 to 1, totaling 1,187 specimens (85.89%). In terms of taxon identification, 309 bone fragments (22.36%) were identified to the family level, 111 (8.03%) to the genus level, and 18 (1.30%) to the species level, with the majority of remains belonging to the axial skeleton (768 specimens, 55.57%) (Tab. 4; Tab. A2). Only 13.46% of the bones(186 specimens) were partially or entirely covered with black to light-grey traces of combustion, indicating potential cooking or incidental firing (Tab. 4).

 The Bubog II fishbone assemblage is similar to the Bubog I assemblage in terms of size and the variety of faunal spectra (Tab. 1; Tab. 2). Based on radiocarbon dates, two main cultural phases have been identified at Bubog II: an early/mid-Holocene phase spanning Layers 14 to 7, followed by a Late Holocene to protohistoric phase covering Layers 6 to 1 (Pawlik et al., 2014; Pawlik and Piper, 2019). For comparative analysis, we aggregated these two layers together (Tab. 5). After applying the Chi-squared test, they appear to be statistically different $(\chi^2 = 35.203, p = 0.0036).$

-
-

4.3. Bilat Cave

 At Bilat Cave, we identified at least 14 families, 15 genera, and six species (Tab. 3; Tab. A3). The total NISP up to the subclass level (Elasmobranchii) or infraclass level (Tele- ostei) is 678. The majority of the remains were found in Spits 5 and 4, accounting for 443 specimens (65.33%). Out of the identified remains, 171 (25.22%) were classified to the family level, 70 (10.32%) to the genus level, and 12 (1.77%) to the species level (see Table 3). As expected, most of the bones (NISP = 456) (67.25%) belong to the axial skeleton, with 358 vertebrae identified (see Table 4 and Table A3). A total of 547 bones were found to be partially or entirely covered with traces of combustion, representing 80.68% of the assemblage at the site (Tab. 4). In Spit 6, we also observed the presence of a terminal Pleistocene requiem shark (Carcharhinidae) vertebra, which may have been used as an ornamental artifact. However, the preservation of this specimen is not sufficient to determine its anthropological nature or identify potential characteristic traces of shaping (Boulanger et al., 2020) (Fig. A4).

 The Bilat Cave assemblage is proportionally smaller compared to the other Mindoro assemblages (Tab. 3; Tab. 4). The site has been divided into two broad chronological periods: a terminal Pleistocene phase and an early to mid-Holocene phase. No evidence of a Neolithic occupation was found at Bilat (Pawlik and Piper, 2019). As a result, we compared these two layers aggregated together (Tab. 5). After applying the Chi-squared test, they appear to be sta-442 tistically different (χ^2 = 25.734, *p* = 0.027597).

5. Discussion

 Beyond indicating that fishing activities targeted approximately 17 different taxa, the rarefaction and extrapolation curves, further reveal insights into the diverse marine environments at the three archaeologicalsites - Bubog I, Bubog II, and Bilat Cave. These curves evidence that at the smallest NISP sample size which is Bilat Spits 9-5, the other site groups had a similar number of taxa. Furthermore, any additional sampling effort would only have resulted in the identification of a maximum of three new taxa. Therefore, one can conclude that any differences in terms of diversity between each of these groups are not related to the size of the assemblages but to subsistence behaviors.

 The zooarchaeological analysis of the fish bone assemblages in Mindoro has provided valuable insights into the taxonomic diversity of the ancient fish exploitation. The thorough identification of skeletal elements, with a notable focus on vertebrae, has allowed for the detection of less common taxa, including Clupeidae and Mullidae. However, when examining the overall richness across sites, we observed both inter- and intra-site variability, which appeared relatively normal considering that the three are located within the Mindoro Strait, but low considering the geographic location of the sites within the Coral Triangle. This phenomenon could be influenced by two main factors. Firstly, the smaller sizes of the samples compared to other ichthyoarchaeological assemblages in the broader Southeast Asian region (Boulanger et al., 2022) might introduce a preservation bias. This means that the relatively smaller sample sizes could impact the representation and diversity of fish taxa in the assemblages. Secondly, the similarity of local environments at Bubog I, Bubog II, and Bilat Cave under comparable localized climatic conditions may also play a role in shaping the observed pattern. These similar environmental conditions could lead to overlapping fish communities across the sites, which might contribute to the relatively limited variation in richness observed. Despite the fact that not all periods are preserved at the three sites, the chronostratigraphies of Bubog I, Bubog II, and Bilat Cave complement each other. By analyzing them collectively, we gain a broader perspective that allows us to interpret the results at the scale of the Mindoro Strait as a whole, revealing valuable information about changes in fishing practices over time in response to shifts in the paleoecological conditions that occurred in the region (Pawlik et al., 2014).

5.1. Late Pleistocene (from 32,000 BP) and Last Glacial Maximum (LGM)

 Between 32,000 years BP and approximately 8,000 BP, a period characterized by rising sea levels up to about -40 m, Ilin Island and Mindoro were connected (Pawlik et al., 2014) (Fig. 5). During this time, the coastal waters of Bubog I witnessed the regular exploitation of fishes from eight different families (Tab. 1). The assemblage included various species such as surgeonfishes, tangs, unicornfishes (Acanthuridae), and a diverse array of parrotfish species (Scaridae), which are commonly found in reef environments (Allen and Steene, 1999) (Fig. 6). The favorable marine conditions resulting from the land connection between Ilin Island and Mindoro provided ample opportunities for ancient coastal populations to engage in fishing activities. The abundance and diversity of fish species from different families suggest a thriving marine ecosystem, likely teeming with various resources that were essential for the sustenance of human communities during this period. Notably, surgeonfishes, tangs, and unicornfishes are known for their adaptability to reef habitats (Froese and Pauly, 2022), making them well-suited for exploitation in such coastal areas. Additionally, the presence of numerous parrotfish species indicates a rich and productive reef environment, as parrotfishes play crucial roles in maintaining coral reef health through their grazing and bioerosion (Froese and Pauly, 2022). However, wherever they are found archaeologically, parrotfish tend to be one of the dominant families of fishes identified in the Pacific (e.g. Bouffandeau et al., 2018; Fleming, 1987; Lambrides and Weisler, 2015; Rurua et al., 2020). This dominance is often related to the robusticity of their pharyngeal clusters (Boulanger et al., 2019; Fleming, 1987).

 Among the identified coastal taxa, grunts (*Pomadasys* sp., Haemulidae) and mullets (Mugilidae) were certainly consumed at Bubog I (Fig. 6). These fish typically prefer brackish and estuarine environments but can also be found in association with reef habitats (Froese and Pauly, 2023). Additionally, evidence suggests that the ancient inhabitants of Bubog I gathered brackish crabs (*Cardisoma carnifex* and *Scylla* sp.) and mollusks (*Polymesoda* (*Geloina*) *coaxans* and *Terebralia sulcata*) from mangrove swamps and brought them back to the site (Boulanger, 2015; Boulanger et al., 2019; Lim, 2016; Pawlik et al., 2014; Pawlik and Piper, 2019). This indicates the presence and exploitation of the mangrove environment in the Mindoro Strait during that time. Indeed, mangrove swamps play a crucial role in the subsistence strategies of coastal populations in tropical areas, particularly for shell gathering (Burgos and Dillais, 2012; Guillaud and Burgos, 2018). However, evidence of their exploitation in the archaeological record remains limited (Boulanger et al., 2019). These environments also serve as nurseries for many fish species, making them vital for ecosystem health. It is likely that both anadromous (fish that migrate from the sea to freshwater for breeding) and catadromous (fish that migrate from freshwater to the sea for breeding) taxa were collected from mangrove swamps by ancient inhabitants, although distinguishing between them in the fossil record may not be feasible. The exploitation of mangrove habitats at Bubog demonstrates the adaptability of coastal population to diverse environments and their ability to utilize a wide range of marine resources to meet their subsistence needs.

 The discovery of bonito (Scombridae) remains in Layers 9 and 10 at Bubog I is particularly intriguing (Boulanger et al., 2019; Pawlik and Piper, 2019). Bonitos, including the species *Euthynnus affinis*, are known to be pelagic fish that primarily inhabit open waters. However, it appears that they occasionally ventured into the external edges of the reef at Bubog I, possibly for opportunistic hunting or foraging for prey (Froese and Pauly, 2022). Given their predatory nature, catching bonitos would have required specific fishing skills and specialized tools. A bone fishing gorge was found in Layer 10, indicating the presence of fishing equipment probably used for hunting such fish (Boulanger et al., 2019). The fishing gorge was likely employed as a lure and hook mechanism, which demonstrates the ingenuity and resourcefulness of ancient fishing practices at Bubog I (Fig. 6).

 At Bubog I, during the initial phase of occupation (Fig. 6), the fish bone assemblage reveals a variety of exploited environments. The NISP is relatively low, totaling 89, and the taxonomic richness reaches about 12 identified families. However, the Menhinick's Richness Index is higher in this earlier phase that in the most recent one, indicating a greater taxa richness. In contrast, the second phase of occupation shows a higher NISP of 294 and a richness of 15 taxa. Interestingly, the Shannon's Diversity Index and evenness are higher during the oldest period (Tab. 5). Several factors could account for these observed differences. Firstly, the lower NISP and richness during the earliest period might be attributed to the longer travel required to access the coast. This could have been due to the larger landmass and the land connection between Ilin Island and Mindoro that existed until approximately 8,000 BP, as illustrated in Figure 5. This land connection could have affected the availability and accessibility of certain marine resources, leading to a more limited range of fish species exploited during that time. Secondly, the zooarchaeological analysis itself may have influenced the results. The presence of calcium carbonate concretions coating the bones, particularly between Layers 12 to 7 (Tab. 4), might have hindered the detailed identification of some fish remains. These concretions could have obscured important diagnostic features, resulting in less precise taxonomic identifications during this phase of occupation. In summary, the differences in NISP, richness, Menhinick's Richness Index, Shannon's Diversity Index, and evenness between the two phases of occupation at Bubog I can be attributed to a combination of environmental factors and taphonomic processes. The changing marine conditions and the potential challenges in bone preservation and identification during the earlier period highlight the complexity of interpreting and understanding the fishing practices and subsistence strategies of ancient coastal populations in the Mindoro Strait.

-
-

5.2. End of the Pleistocene and early Holocene

 The archaeological evidence from Bilat Cave indicates human occupation dating back to around 22,000 cal. BP during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM). At that time, Bilat was located several kilometers inland from the coast (Fig. 5). Over the transition from the Pleistocene to the early Holocene, roughly between 13,500 to 8,000 BP, there was a relatively rapid rise in sea level (Pawlik et al., 2014). This sea-level rise eventually led to partial flooding of the cave during the mid-Holocene, and today the cave sits at an elevation of 2-3 meters above sea level (Pawlik, 2019; Pawlik and Fuentes, 2023). Despite the changes in sea level and the distance to the coast and marine resources, the zooarchaeological analysis at Bilat Cave has revealed that all 14 identified families of fish remains are from reef fishes (Fig. 6). Interestingly, there were no significant changes in terms of the foraged environments observed along the stratigraphic profile. The richness of identified taxa remained the same in Spits 9 to 5 and Spit 4 to 1, with a total of 12 taxa identified. In this context, a higher Menhinick's Richness Index during the initial phase of site occupation indicates a comparable level of richness for a smaller NISP (Number of Identified Specimens) in Spits 9 to 5 compared to that in Spits 4 to 1. Notably, mangrove swamp or brackish water taxa were absent, suggesting the lack of such habitats in the area during the occupation, likely due to the steeply dropping profile of the southern coast of Mindoro.

 The archaeological record shows that the earliest phase of occupation at the site was 572 followed by a stratigraphic hiatus, and then a peak of occupation in Spits 4 to 1 (NISP = 101). This could possibly be explained by the sea-level rise during this period, which would have resulted in shorter distances to the coast, improving foraging efficiency and settlement conditions (Fig. 5). This period of increased occupation intensity also coincided with an increase in diversity and evenness of identified taxa (Tab. 5). Interestingly, no remains were identified to the family level between Spits 8 and 9, likely due to disturbance caused by the bedrock (Tab. 3; Tab. 5). Among the identified taxa in the assemblage, common reef species like parrotfishes (Scaridae) are present throughout the stratigraphic profile (Fig. 6). However, other taxa with known ecology and feeding behaviors suggest that a variety of different habitats within the coral reef were exploited by ancient inhabitants of the cave. For example, goatfishes (Mullidae) were likely favored in sandy and muddy bottoms, while rocky areas were likely frequented by nocturnal fishes like moray eels (Muraenidae). Predatory species were often found on the external edges of the reef, such as requiem sharks (Carcharhinidae) and needlefishes (Belonidae), which typically inhabit the sea bottom and hunt small prey near the water's surface (Fig. 6).

-
-

5.2. Mid-Holocene to pre-Spanish period

 Around 7,500 BP, at Bubog I, Layer 8 exhibits a notable decline in the consumption of taxa associated with mangrove swamps. This decline coincides with the formation of an estuary between the two islands after 8,000 BP and the disappearance of paleo-lakes (Pawlik et al., 2014) (Fig. 5), which corresponds to the beginning of the occupation of Bubog II. The decrease in evenness between Bubog I Layers 12 to 7 and Layers 6 to 1 may be attributed to the migration of fishermen to Bubog II following rock falls, particularly in Layer 7, or due to different uses of the site (Tab. 5). Nevertheless, the relatively low variations observed despite the rock falls and abrupt climate changes encountered during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) suggest a continuity in fishing practices over time, as seen at Bubog II as well.

 At the Bubog II site, the diversity of identified families remains relatively stable, encompassing 14 families within Layers 14 to 7 and 15 families within Layers 6 to 1. There's an elevated Menhinick's Richness Index during the initial phase of occupation due to a significantly smaller NISP, which is more than twice as small. Similarly, the Shannon's Diversity Index and evenness are higher for Layers 14 to 7 and lower for Layers 6 to 1 (Tab. 5), indicating no significant cultural changes throughout its occupation from Layers 14 to 7 to Layers 6 to 1. The exploitation of local reef habitats remained a constant feature. Various reef fish families were prevalent at Bubog II, including surgeonfishes, tangs, unicorn fishes (Acanthuridae), and parrotfishes (Scaridae), although their NISP may have been somewhat overestimated due to taphonomic biases (Boulanger et al., 2019; Fleming, 1987). Additionally, specific taxa were associated with distinct ecosystems within the coral reef. For example, hawkfishes (Cirrhitidae) and moray eels (Muraenidae) were found in rocky areas, while porcupinefishes (Diodontidae) typically inhabit sandy bottoms. Occasional catches of predators, such as needlefishes (Belonidae), jacks, pompanos, jack mackerels, runners, and scads(Carangidae), along with requiem sharks(Carcharhinidae), likely occurred on the external edge of the reef. Other species like herrings, shads, sardines, hilsa, menhadens (Clupeidae), and breams, porgies (Sparidae) were also part of the assemblage. The presence of such a diverse range of taxa and the continuity in fishing practices at both Bubog I and Bubog II suggest the importance of marine resources and the exploitation of various reef habitats by ancient coastal populations in the Mindoro Strait over millennia.

6. Conclusion

 The ichthyoarchaeological methods and protocols employed in this study allowed for the detailed analysis of exploited marine habitats from three archaeological sites at Occidental Mindoro, Philippines, identifying fish remains to the lowest possible taxonomic level. How- ever, the high biodiversity of the Coral Triangle and morphological variability at the family level presented challenges in reconstructing fish ecology in the Indo-Pacific region, especially given the old age of the sites and the highly fragmentary conditions of the archaeological ma-terial.

 Despite these difficulties, the study revealed both similarities and a few differences at inter- and intra-site levels in Mindoro's prehistory, suggesting that populations that practiced similar fishing strategies inhabited the Ilin Strait for over 30,000 years. Throughout the occu- pation of the sites, *Homo sapiens* on Mindoro predominantly relied on near-shore marine envi- ronments for their subsistence. Differences between sites likely arose due to variations in local marine habitats influenced by climate-mediated changes. As a result, a diverse range of fishing practices such as netting, spearing, angling, and hand-gathering (Boulanger, 2015, 2021; Bou- langer et al., 2019) were employed to exploit a wide variety of fish taxa, reflecting the excep-tional richness and biodiversity of the Coral Triangle.

 Evidence such as waisted pebbles, possibly used as fishing weights, suggests the use of net or trap fishing techniques (Boulanger et al., 2019; Pawlik, 2021). The presence of woody vines at Bubog II during the Holocene occupation indicates the use of baskets, while fish weirs, similar to those used in the modern shallow parts of Ilin Channel and surrounding mangrove areas, were likely utilized (Boulanger, 2021). The construction of dugout canoes may have fa- cilitated these fishing activities, possibly made using Holocene shell adzes found at each site (Pawlik et al., 2015; Shipton et al., 2020).

 Compared to other coastal sites in the Coral Triangle, such as Makpan (Kealy et al., 2020), Tron Bon Lei (Samper Carro et al., 2016), Here Sorot Entapa (O'Connor et al., 2019; Boulanger, 2021), and Asitau Kuru (Boulanger, 2021; Boulanger, Hawkins, Ono, et al., 2023), the Mindoro sites of Bubog I, Bubog II, and Bilat Cave show relatively low occupation intensity over time. It is possible that the inhabitants of Bubog preferred open coastal areas and only sought shelter in rock shelters during adverse weather conditions, relying more on opportunistic fishing. This is supported by the smaller sizes of the assemblages and the presence of taxa secreting powerful toxins, such as moray eels and pufferfish, which were nonetheless exploited (Boulanger et al., 2023). Despite this, the wide range of taxa represented at the three sites sug- gests the use of specific subsistence strategies tailored to each environment. These strategies persisted over time, demonstrating a detailed understanding of the aquatic environments near the archaeological sites and the development of sophisticated fishing techniques, which further reflects the behavioral complexity and modernity of *Homo sapiens* in the Philippines during prehistoric times (Pawlik, 2010, 2012, 2021; Pawlik and Fuentes, 2023).

References

 Allen, G.R., 2008. Conservation hotspots of biodiversity and endemism for Indo-Pacific coral reef fishes. *Aquat. Conserv. Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst.*

 Anderson, A., 2013. Inshore or offshore? Boating and fishing in the Pleistocene. *Antiquity* 87, 879–879. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X0004953X

 Bedford, S., Sand, C., Connaughton, S.P. (Eds.), 2007. *Oceanic Explorations: Lapita and West-ern Pacific Settlement*. ANU Press, Canberra.

 Bouffandeau, L., Béarez, P., Bedford, S., Valentin, F., Spriggs, M., Nolet, É., 2018. Fishing at Arapus-Mangaasi, Efate, Vanuatu (2800–2200 BP): New methodological approaches and re-sults. *J. Archaeol. Sci. Rep*. 18, 356–369. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jasrep.2018.01.025

 Boulanger, C., 2021. *Aquatic resources exploitation and adaptation of Anatomically Modern Human in Island Southeast Asia: palaeoenvironmental and cultural implications* (PhD disser- tation). Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Australian National University, Paris, Canberra.

Boulanger, C., 2015. *Etude des comportements de subsistance d'un site australo-mélanésien :*

 Bubog I (île d'Ilin, Mindoro, Philippines), ca. 11000-4000 ans BP Ichtyofaune, crustacés dé-capodes et grands mammifères (Master's thesis). Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris.

 Boulanger, C., Hawkins, S., Ono, R., O'Connor, S., 2022. Continuity and variability in prehis- toric fishing practices by *Homo sapiens* in Island Southeast Asia: new ichthyofaunal data from Asitau Kuru, Timor-Leste. *World Archaeol*. 54, 288–316.

 Boulanger, C., Hawkins, S., Shipton, C., Ingicco, T., Sémah, A.-M., O'Connor, S., 2023. Inland fishing by *Homo sapiens* during early settlement of Wallacea. *Front. Environ. Archaeol*. 2.

 Boulanger, C., Ingicco, T., Piper, P.J., Amano, N., Grouard, S., Ono, R., Hawkins, S., Pawlik, A.F., 2019. Coastal Subsistence Strategies and Mangrove Swamp Evolution at Bubog I Rock- shelter (Ilin Island, Mindoro, Philippines) from the Late Pleistocene to the mid-Holocene. *J. Isl. Coast. Archaeol*. 0, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1080/15564894.2018.1531957

 Bronk Ramsey, C., 2009. Bayesian Analysis of Radiocarbon Dates. *Radiocarbon* 51, 337–360. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033822200033865

 Bunn, H.T., 1986. Patterns of skeletal representation and hominid subsistence activities at Ol- duvai Gorge, Tanzania, and Koobi Fora, Kenya. *J. Hum. Evol*. 15, 673–690. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0047-2484(86)80004-5

 Burgos, A., Dillais, P., 2012. Les femmes, les coquillages et la mangrove. *Tech. Cult*. 326–337.

 Butler, V.L., 1994. Fish Feeding Behaviour and Fish Capture: The Case for Variation in Lapita *Fishing Strategies. Archaeol. Ocean*. 29, 81–90.

Carlos, J.B., Paz, V.J., Escobin, R.P., Conda, J.M., Rameros, M.D.R., Pawlik, A.F., 2018. Ar-

chaeological evidence of woody vines at Bubog 2, Ilin Island, Mindoro, Philippines. *Archaeol.*

Anthropol. Sci. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12520-018-0722-7

- Chaix, L., Desse, J., 1994. L'os et sa mesure. Archéozoologie et archéométrie. *Hist. Mes*. 9, 339–363.
-
- Chao, A., Gotelli, N.J., Hsieh, T.C., Sander, E.L., Ma, K.H., Colwell, R.K., Ellison, A.M., 2014.
- Rarefaction and extrapolation with Hill numbers: a framework for sampling and estimation in species diversity studies. *Ecol. Monogr*. 84, 45–67. https://doi.org/10.1890/13-0133.1
-
- Choa, O., 2018. *A geochemical history of Tabon Cave (Palawan, Philippines) : environment, climate, and early modern humans in the Philippine archipelago* (PhD dissertation). Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris.
- Clarkson, C.,Jacobs, Z., Marwick, B., Fullagar, R., Wallis, L., Smith, M., Roberts, R.G., Hayes,
- 724 E., Lowe, K., Carah, X., Florin, S.A., McNeil, J., Cox, D., Arnold, L.J., Hua, Q., Huntley, J., 725 Brand, H.E.A., Manne, T., Fairbairn, A., Shulmeister, J., Lyle, L., Salinas, M., Page, M., Con-Brand, H.E.A., Manne, T., Fairbairn, A., Shulmeister, J., Lyle, L., Salinas, M., Page, M., Con-
- 726 nell, K., Park, G., Norman, K., Murphy, T., Pardoe, C., 2017. Human occupation of northern
727 Australia by 65,000 years ago. *Nature* 547, 306. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22968 Australia by 65,000 years ago. *Nature* 547, 306. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22968
-
- Colley, S.M., 1990. The Analysis and Interpretation of Archaeological Fish Remains. *Archaeol. Method Theory* 2, 207–253.
- Colley, S.M., 1987. Fishing for Facts. Can We Reconstruct Fishing Methods from Archaeolog-ical Evidence? *Aust. Archaeol*. 16–26.
-

- Cruz-Uribe, K., 1988. The use and meaning of species diversity and richness in archaeological faunas. *J. Archaeol. Sci*. 15, 179–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-4403(88)90006-4
- Détroit, F., Dizon, E., Falguères, C., Hameau, S., Ronquillo, W., Sémah, F., 2004. Upper Pleis- tocene *Homo sapiens* from the Tabon cave (Palawan, The Philippines): description and dating of new discoveries. *Comptes Rendus Palevol* 3, 705–712. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crpv.2004.06.004
-

- Dunn, O.J., 1961. Multiple Comparisons among Means. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc*. 56, 52–64. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1961.10482090
- Fitzpatrick, S.M., Giovas, C.M., Kataoka, O., 2011. Temporal trends in prehistoric fishing in Palau, Micronesia over the last 1500 years. *Archaeol. Ocean*. 46, 6–16. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1834-4453.2011.tb00094.x
- Fitzpatrick, S.M., Kataoka, O., 2005. Prehistoric fishing in Palau, Micronesia: evidence from the Northern Rock Islands. *Archaeol. Ocean*. 40, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1834- 4453.2005.tb00574.x
-
- Fleming, M.A., 1987. *The Scaridae family in Pacific prehistory* (Thesis). University of Otago. Froese, R., Pauly, D., 2023. FishBase [WWW Document]. URL www.fishbase.org (accessed 11.25.22).
- Giovas, C.M., Fitzpatrick, S.M., Kataoka, O., Clark, M., 2016. Prey body size and anthropo- genic resource depression: The decline of prehistoric fishing at Chelechol ra Orrak, Palau. J. *Anthropol. Archaeol*. 41, 132–146.
-
- Giovas, C.M., Lambrides, A.B.J., Fitzpatrick, S.M., Kataoka, O., 2017. Reconstructing prehis-toric fishing zones in Palau, Micronesia using fish remains: A blind test of inter-analyst corre-
- spondence. *Archaeol. Ocean*. 52, 45–61. https://doi.org/10.1002/arco.5119
-
- Grayson, D.K., 1984. *Quantitative Zooarchaeology: Topics in the Analysis of Archaeological Faunas*, Academic Press. ed, Studies in Archaeology. New York.
-
- Guillaud, D., Burgos, A., 2018. Les sens de la forêt. Usages et évolutions de la forêt humide et de la mangrove de Siberut et Nias, Sumatra, Indonésie. *Nouv. Archéologie* 41–47. https://doi.org/10.4000/nda.4223
- Heaton, T.J., Köhler, P., Butzin, M., Bard, E., Reimer, R.W., Austin, W.E.N., Ramsey, C.B., Grootes, P.M., Hughen, K.A., Kromer, B., Reimer, P.J., Adkins, J., Burke, A., Cook, M.S., Olsen, J., Skinner, L.C., 2020. Marine20—The Marine Radiocarbon Age Calibration Curve (0– 55,000 cal BP). *Radiocarbon* 62, 779–820. https://doi.org/10.1017/RDC.2020.68
- Hope, A.C.A., 1968. A Simplified Monte Carlo Significance Test Procedure. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Methodo*l. 30, 582–598.
- Hsieh, T.C., Ma, K.H., Chao, A., 2016. iNEXT: an R package for rarefaction and extrapolation of species diversity (Hill numbers). *Methods Ecol. Evol*. 7, 1451–1456. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12613
- Johannes, R.E., 1981. *Words of the lagoon: fishing and marine lore in the Palau district of Micronesia*, University of California Press. ed. Berkeley.
- Kealy, S., Louys, J., O'Connor, S., 2016. Islands Under the Sea: A Review of Early Modern Human Dispersal Routes and Migration Hypotheses Through Wallacea. *J. Isl. Coast. Archaeol*. 11, 364–384. https://doi.org/10.1080/15564894.2015.1119218
-
- Kealy, S., O'Connor, S., Mahirta, Sari, D.M., Shipton, C., Langley, M.C., Boulanger, C., Kaharudin, H.A.F., Patridina, E.P.B.G.G., Algifary, M.A., Irfan, A., Beaumont, P., Jankowski, N., Hawkins, S., Louys, J., 2020. Forty-thousand years of maritime subsistence near a changing shoreline on Alor Island (Indonesia). *Quat. Sci. Rev*. 249, 106599. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2020.106599
- 798 Kirch, P.V., Dye, T.S., 1979. Ethno-archaeology and the development of Polynesian fishing
799 strategies. J. Polyn. Soc. 88, 53–76. strategies. *J. Polyn. Soc*. 88, 53–76.
-

- Lambrides, A., Weisler, M., Charleux, M., 2018. New taxonomic records and regional trends
- for the Marquesan prehistoric marine fishery, Eiao Island, Polynesia. *J. Pac. Archaeol*. 9, 44– 62.
- Lambrides, A.B.J., Weisler, M.I., 2013. Assessing Protocols for Identifying Pacific Island Ar- chaeological Fish Remains: The Contribution of Vertebrae. *Int. J. Osteoarchaeol*. 25, 838–848. https://doi.org/10.1002/oa.2354
-
- Lim, K.K.A., 2016. C*oastal adaptation of prehistoric Mindoro: The Archaeomalacology of*
- *Bubog 1, Ilin Island from the Terminal Pleistocene to the Mid Holocene* (Master's thesis). Uni-
- versity of the Philippines Diliman, Quezon City.
-
- Louys, J., Kealy, S., O'Connor, S., Price, G., Hawkins, S., Aplin, K., Rizal, Y., Zaim, J., Ma-
- hirta, Tanudirjo, D., Santoso, W.D., Hidayah, A.R., Trihascaryo, A., Wood, R., Bevitt, J., Clark,
- T., 2017. Differential preservation of vertebrates in Southeast Asian caves. *Int. J. Speleol*. 46.
- https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5038/1827-806X.46.3.2131
-
- Lyman, R.L., 2008. *Quantitative Paleozoology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Lyman, R.L., Ames, K.M., 2007. On the use of species-area curves to detect the effects of sample size. *J. Archaeol. Sci*. 34, 1985–1990. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2007.01.011
-
- Magurran, A.E., 1988. *Ecological Diversity and Its Measurement*. Springer Netherlands, Dor-drecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-015-7358-0
-
- 826 Masse, W.B., 1986. A millennium of fishing in the Palau Islands, Micronesia, in: Pacific An-
827 thropological Records. Presented at the Traditional Fishing in the Pacific: Ethnographical and thropological Records. Presented at the Traditional Fishing in the Pacific: Ethnographical and Archaeological Papers from the 15th Pacific Science Congress, Bishop Museum Press, Hono-lulu, pp. 85–119.
-
- Neri, L.A.M., Pawlik, A.F., Reepmeyer, C., Mijares, A.S.B., Paz, V.J., 2015. Mobility of early islanders in the Philippines during the Terminal Pleistocene/Early Holocene boundary: pXRF- analysis of obsidian artefacts. *J. Archaeol. Sci*. 61, 149–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2015.05.005
-
- O'Connell, J.F., Allen, J., Williams, M.A.J., Williams, A.N., Turney, C.S.M., Spooner, N.A., 837 Kamminga, J., Brown, G., Cooper, A., 2018. When did Homo sapiens first reach Southeast
838 Asia and Sahul? Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 115, 8482-8490. Asia and Sahul? *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A*. 115, 8482–8490. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1808385115
-
- O'Connor, S., Mahirta, Kealy, S., Boulanger, C., Maloney, T.R., Hawkins, S., Langley, M.C., Kaharudin, H.A.F., Suniarti, Y., Husni, M., Tanudirjo, D., Wattimena, L., Handoko, W., Alifah, Louys, J., 2019. Kisar and the Archaeology of Small Islands in the Wallacean Archipelago. *J. Isl. Coast. Archaeol*. 14, 198–225.
-
- O'Connor, S., Mahirta, Samper-Carro, S.C., Hawkins, S., Kealy, S., Louys, J., Wood, R., 2017. 847 Fishing in life and death: Pleistocene fish-hooks from a burial context on Alor Island, Indonesia. *Antiquity* 91, 1451–1468. https://doi.org/10.15184/aqy.2017.186
- O'Connor, S., Ono, R., Clarkson, C., 2011. Pelagic fishing at 42,000 years before the present and the maritime skills of modern humans. *Science* 334, 1117–1121. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1207703
- Ono, R., 2010. Ethno-archaeology and early Austronesian fishing strategies in near-shore en- vironments. *J. Polyn. Soc*. 119, 269–314.
- Ono, R., 2004. Prehistoric Fishing at Bukit Tengkorak, East Coast of Borneo Island. *N. Z. J. Archaeol*. 24, 77–106.
-
- Ono, R., 2003. Prehistoric Austronesian Fishing Strategies: A comparison between Island 861 Southeast Asia and the Lapita Cultural Complex. Cah. Archéologie En Nouv.-Caléd., Pacific
- Archaeology: assessments and prospects. Proceedings of the International Conference for the 50th anniversary of the first Lapita excavation 15, 191–201.
-

- Ono, R., Clark, G., 2012. A 2500-year record of marine resource use on Ulong Island, Republic of Palau. *Int. J. Osteoarchaeol*. 22, 637–654. https://doi.org/10.1002/oa.1226
- Ono, R., Hawkins, S., Bedford, S., 2019. Lapita maritime adaptations and the development of fishing technology: A view from Vanuatu, in: Bedford, S., Spriggs, M. (Eds.), *Debating Lapita: Distribution, Chronology, Society and Subsistence*, Terra Australis. ANU Press, Canberra, pp. 415–438.
-
- 873 Ono, R., Intoh, M., 2011. Island of Pelagic Fishermen: Temporal Changes in Prehistoric Fishing on Fais, Micronesia. *J. Isl. Coast. Archaeol*. 6, 255–286. https://doi.org/10.1080/15564894.2010.540531
- Ono, R., Morrison, A., Addison, D., 2009. *Prehistoric Marine Resource Use in the Indo-Pacific Regions*, Terra Australis. ANU Press, Canberra.
- Panfili, J., de Pontual, H., Troadec, H., Wright, P.J. (Eds.), 2002. *Manual of fish sclerochronology*, IFREMER. ed. Plouzané.
- Pawlik, A., Crozier, R., Fuentes, R., Wood, R., Piper, P., 2019. Burial traditions in early Mid- Holocene Island Southeast Asia: new evidence from Bubog-1, Ilin Island, Mindoro Occidental. *Antiquity* 93, 901–918. https://doi.org/10.15184/aqy.2018.190
- Pawlik, A.F., 2021. Technology, adaptation, and mobility in maritime environments in the Phil- ippines from the Late Pleistocene to Early/Mid-Holocene. *Quat. Int*. 596, 109–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2020.11.007
- Pawlik, A.F., 2019. *Field report on the archaeological excavations on Ilin Island and Sta Te- resa, Mindoro Occidental, Philippines - Update and campaign from 07-21 July 2019*. Ateneo de Manila University, Quezon City.
-

- Pawlik, A.F., Fuentes, R.B., 2023. Prehistoric Hunter-Gatherers in the Philippines—Subsist- ence strategies, adaptation, and behaviour in maritime environments. *Front. Earth Sci*. 11.
- Pawlik, A.F., Piper, P.J., 2019. The Philippines from c. 14,000 to 4,000 cal. BP in Regional Context. *Camb. Archaeol*. J. 29, 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959774318000306
- Pawlik, A.F., Piper, P.J., Faylona, M.G.P.G., Padilla, S.G., Carlos, J., Mijares, A.S.B., Vallejo,
- B., Reyes, M., Amano, N., Ingicco, T., Porr, M., 2014. Adaptation and foraging from the Ter-minal Pleistocene to the Early Holocene: Excavation at Bubog on Ilin Island, Philippines. J*.*
- *Field Archaeol*. 39, 230–247. https://doi.org/10.1179/0093469014Z.00000000090
-
- Pawlik, A.F., Piper, P.J., Wood, R.E., Lim, K.K.A., Faylona, M.G.P.G., Mijares, A.S.B., Porr, M., 2015. Shell tool technology in Island Southeast Asia: an early Middle Holocene *Tridacna* adze from Ilin Island, Mindoro, Philippines. *Antiquity* 89, 292–308. https://doi.org/10.15184/aqy.2015.3
-
- Payne, S., 1975. Partial recovery and sample bias. *Archaeozoological Stud*. 7–17.
-
- Poplin, F., 1976. A propos du nombre de restes et du nombre d'individus dans les échantillons d'ossements. *Cah. Cent. Rech. Préhistoriques* 5, e75.
- Porr, M., Mijares, A.S.B., Pawlik, A.F., Piper, P.J., Padilla, S., 2012. North of the Southern Arc 917 — The Mindoro Archaeological Research Program: A summary of the 2010 and 2011 field-work activities. *Aust. Archaeol.* 75, 110–117.
-

R Core Team, 2020. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*.

- 921
922 Randall, J.E., 1998. Zoogeography of shore fishes of the Indo-Pacific region. *Zool. Stud*. 37, 227–268.
- 924
925

Reimer, P.J., Austin, W.E.N., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Blackwell, P.G., Ramsey, C.B., Butzin, M., 926 Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., Friedrich, M., Grootes, P.M., Guilderson, T.P., Hajdas, I., Heaton, 927 T.J., Hogg, A.G., Hughen, K.A., Kromer, B., Manning, S.W., Muscheler, R., Palmer, J.G., T.J., Hogg, A.G., Hughen, K.A., Kromer, B., Manning, S.W., Muscheler, R., Palmer, J.G., Pearson, C., Plicht, J. van der, Reimer, R.W., Richards, D.A., Scott, E.M., Southon, J.R., Tur- ney, C.S.M., Wacker, L., Adolphi, F., Büntgen, U., Capano, M., Fahrni, S.M., Fogtmann- Schulz, A., Friedrich, R., Köhler, P., Kudsk, S., Miyake, F., Olsen, J., Reinig, F., Sakamoto, M., Sookdeo, A., Talamo, S., 2020. The IntCal20 Northern Hemisphere Radiocarbon Age Cal- ibration Curve (0–55 cal kBP). *Radiocarbon* 62, 725–757. https://doi.org/10.1017/RDC.2020.41

 Reyes, M.C., 2019. *Micromammals of Ilin Island and Bulalacao sites, Southern Mindoro, Phil- ippines: Insights on paleoecology and taphonomy from Terminal Pleistocene to Holocene ma- terials* (Master's thesis). University of the Philippines Diliman, Quezon City.

- Reyes, M.C., Ingicco, T., Piper, P.J., Amano, N., Pawlik, A.F., 2017. First fossil evidence of the extinct Philippine cloud rat *Crateromys paulus* (Muridae: Murinae: Phloeomyini) from Ilin Island, Mindoro, and insights into its Holocene abundance. *Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash*. 130, 84–97. https://doi.org/10.2988/17-00012
-
- Rurua, V., Béarez, P., Hermann, A., Conte, E., 2020. Length and weight reconstruction of Chlorurus microrhinos (Scaridae) from isolated cranial bones and vertebrae. *Cybium Int. J. Ich-thyol*. 44, 61–68. https://doi.org/10.26028/cybium/2020-441-008
-
- Samper Carro, S.C., O'Connor, S., Louys, J., Hawkins, S., Mahirta, M., 2016. Human maritime subsistence strategies in the Lesser Sunda Islands during the terminal Pleistocene–early Holo- cene: New evidence from Alor, Indonesia. *Quat. Int., Southeast Asia: human evolution, disper-sals and adaptation* 416, 64–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2015.07.068
-
- Sathiamurthy, E., Voris, H.K., 2006. Maps of Holocene Sea Level Transgression and Sub-merged Lakes on the Sunda Shelf. *Trop. Nat. Hist*. 1–44.
-
- Shipton, C., O'Connor, S., Kealy, S., 2021. The biogeographic threshold of wallacea in human evolution. *Quat. Int*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2020.07.028
- Umali, A.F., Warfel, H.E., 1949. *Reef Fishing in the Philippines*, Fish and Wildlife Service
- United States Department of the Interior. ed, Fishery Leaflet. Manila.
-
- Voris, H.K., 2000. Maps of Pleistocene sea levels in Southeast Asia: shorelines, river systems and time durations. *J. Biogeogr*. 27, 1153–1167. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365- 2699.2000.00489.x
- Walter, R., 1989. Lapita fishing strategies: A review of the archaeological and linguistic evi-dence. *Pac. Stud*. 13, 127–149.
-
- Weisler, M.I., Green, R.C., 2013. Mangareva Fishing Strategies in Regional Context: an Anal-
- ysis of Fish Bones from Five Sites Excavated in 1959. *J. Pac. Archaeol*. 4, 73–89.
- 971
972
- 972 White, T.E., 1953. A Method of Calculating the Dietary Percentage of Various Food Animals
973 Utilized by Aboriginal Peoples. Am. Antiq. 18, 396–398. https://doi.org/10.2307/277116
- Utilized by Aboriginal Peoples. A*m. Antiq*. 18, 396–398. https://doi.org/10.2307/277116
-

Acknowledgements

We thank the members of the Mindoro Archaeological Research Team, the National Museum

- of the Philippines, and the municipalities and people of San Jose and Magsaysay in Occidental
- Mindoro. This research and the authors received support from the University of Western Aus-
- tralia, National Geographic Society, POSCO TJ Park Foundation, Ateneo de Manila University,
- University of the Philippines OVPAA and the University of the Philippines Diliman OVCRD.
- We acknowledge the CartoGIS Services (ANU College of Asia and the Pacific, The Australian
- National University) for providing all base maps (Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike
- 4.0 international license (CC BY SA). We also thank the UMR7209 laboratory (Muséum Na-
- tional d'Histoire Naturelle) and Philippe Béarez for giving us access to the fish osteological reference collection they patiently built year after year. We thank Simon Puaud for his help
- with the Hirox digital microscope and Léa Bouteille for her precious advice. Finally, we would
- like to thank the reviewers for their comments that helped improve the manuscript.

Author contributions

991
992 **Clara Boulanger:** Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Method-ology, Writing- original draft. **Thomas Ingicco:** Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal

analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing- original draft. **Anne-Marie**

Sémah: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing- review & editing. **Stuart Hawkins**: Writing-

original draft. **Rintaro Ono:** Writing- review & editing. **Marian C. Reyes:** Review & editing.

- **Alfred Pawlik:** Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Writing-review &
- editing.
-

Figures and captions

Figure 1. a. Map of Island Southeast and the Coral Triangle showing the position of the main

 sites mentioned in the text. b. Map of Southwest Mindoro showing the position of Ilin Island, Bubog I, Bubog II and Bilat Cave.

 Figure 2. Stratigraphic profiles of a. Bubog I, b. Bubog II (Trench 2) and ca. Bilat Cave shell midden and associated datings (Boulanger et al., 2019; Pawlik and Piper, 2019). Radiocarbon dates cited were calibrated with OxCal 4.4 (using the most recent calibration curves of IntCal20 (file version intcal20.14c) for dating charcoal, and Marine 20 (file version marine20.14c) for dating marine shells and reported as modelled data at 95.4% confidence intervals (Bronk Ramsey, 2009; Heaton et al., 2020; Reimer et al., 2020). Dates published before 2020 were corrected accordingly where necessary.

Number of Identified Specimens (NISP)

- Figure 3. Average rarefaction curves built on NISP counts per taxa for each cultural layer of each site. Plain lines are the interpolated predicted curves. Dashed lines are the extrapolated
- rarefied curves.

 Figure 4. a.1. Dorsal spine of Scombrinae recovered from Layer 10 at Bubog I. a.2. Left hyomandibular (external view) of *Lethrinus* sp. (Lethrinidae) recovered from Layer 5 at Bubog I. b.1. Left dentary (external view) of *Lutjanus* sp. (Lutjanidae) recovered from Layer 2 at Bubog II. b.2. Left premaxilla (external view) of *Chlorurus* sp. (Scaridae) recovered from Layer 4 at Bubog II. c.1. Right articular (external view) of *Cetoscarus ocellatus* (Scaridae) recovered

- from Spit 7 at Bilat Cave. c.2. Premaxilla (ventral view) of *Diodon hystrix* recovered from Spit
- 2 at Bilat Cave.

1028
1029

Figure 5. Sea level and landscape reconstructions of the Ilin Island and the southwestern Mindoro region. a. ca. 32-13 kya; b. ca. 10 kya; c. ca. 7.6 kya; d. since ca. 6 kya., modified

from (Pawlik et al., 2014).

 Figure 6. Proportions of NISP per taxa ecological settings and chronological phase (Tab. 5) at

 Bubog I, Bubog II and Bilat. Here, 'reef' refers to fishes that are found only in the coral reef, 'generalist' refers to fishes that can be found on the reef but also in mangrove swamps and

coastal and estuarine areas, while 'predators' refers to carnivorous fishes, some of them being

categorized as pelagic but often found in the reef for hunting.

Supplemental material

 Figure A4. Perforated vertebra of Carcharhinidae (requiem shark) using digital microscopy 1063 (Hirox) with a magnification of \times 35 on the surface of the centrum in order to highlight use-wear traces.

- Table A1. Minimum Number of Elements (MNE) at Bubog I.
- Table A2. Minimum Number of Elements (MNE) at Bubog II.
-

- Table A3. Minimum Number of Elements (MNE) at Bilat Cave.
-
-
-