PROSPECTIVE EVALUATION OF LOW DISEASE ACTIVITY STATE AS TREATMENT ENDPOINT IN A LARGE COHORT OF ADOLESCENTS AND YOUNG ADULTS WITH CHILDHOOD ONSET SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS

Ruby Gotch, Yumna Ahmed, Robert Wilson, Ellie Hawkins, Coziana Ciurtin

Department of Adolescent Rheumatology, University College London Hospitals NHS Trust (UCLH), London, UK. Centre for Adolescent Rheumatology, Division of Medicine, University College London (UCL), London, UK

Introduction

- Treat-to-target (T2T) strategies aim to facilitate tight disease control to improve outcomes.
- T2T outcome definitions in childhood-onset SLE (cSLE) include Childhood Lupus Low Disease Activity State (cLLDAS), cSLE clinical remission on-corticosteroids (cCR) and cSLE clinical remission off-corticosteroids (cCR-0)
- No previous studies evaluated prospectively the feasibility and impact of active implementation of T2T strategy in routine practice in a cohort of adolescents and young adults (AYA)

Method

- We used a prospective real-life cSLE quality improvement evaluation cohort study design.
- The study had two phases: a recruitment phase (Phase 1) and an evaluation phase (Phase 2)





PT72

with cSLE.

Objectives

This study aimed to:

- \checkmark Assess the feasibility of agreeing and documenting a treatment target in a large cohort of AYA with cSLE
- Explore the impact of setting cLLDAS as therapeutic target on disease states over a12-month routine follow-up period

Inclusion criteria

AYA with cSLE

- classified based on:

the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics (SLICC) 2012 criteria and/or

✓ the European Alliance of Associations of Rheumatology/ American College of Rheumatology (ACR) 2019 criteria

- *reviewed consecutively* in routine clinics during the *Phase 1* of the study

- with complete data collected longitudinally at each routine <u>appointment</u> during **Phase 2** of the study, pertaining to the following:

Cohort characteristics (N=135)

ledian age (years)	26.5±5.1 years		
lean disease duration ± SD (years)	13.5 ±4.8 years		
ledian age at onset	12.3		
thnicity (%)		Current Treatment (unless specified otherwise)	Number (%
Vhite	40 (29.6%)	None	10 (7.4%)
lack	38 (28.1%)	Current B-cell targeted therapy	15 (11.1%)
sian	40 (29.6%)	B-cell targeted therapy ever	45 (33.3%)
Other	17 (12.5%)	Hydroxychloroquine	115 (85.2%
umulative Clinical Features	Number (%)	Methotrexate	14 (10.3%)
Renal Involvement	60 (44%)	Azathioprine	27 (20%)
constitutional Involvement	96 (71.1%)	Mycophenolate Mofetil	74 (54.8%)
leuropsychiatric Involvement	24 (17.8%)	Cyclophosphamide in the past year	5 (3.7%)
lucocutaneous Involvement	116 (86%)	Cyclophosphamide ever	26 (19.2%)
lusculoskeletal Involvement	89 (66%)	Current Prednisolone dose ≤ 5mg daily	73 (54%)
laematological Involvement	101 (75%)	Current Prednisolone dose >5 mg but ≤7.5 mg/day	6 (14%)
ardiorespiratory Involvement	21 (15 5%)	Current Prednisolone dose > 8 mg daily	36 (26.6%)
Sastrointestinal Involvement	5 (3.7%)	Not on Prednisolone	20 (14.8%)
ophthalmic Involvement	0 (0%)	Disease activity/damage scores (within 6 months of Number	
Sumulative Serological Features	Number (%)	inclusion, N=135)	
NA positivity ever	135 (100%)	Average SLEDAI	1.6 (0-18)
Current ANA positive	113 (83 7%)	SLEDAI = 0	60 (44.4%)
nti-dsDNA positivity ever	72 (53 3%)	SLEDAI ≤4	66 (48.8%)
Current Anti-deDNA nositivity	54(40%)	SLEDAI = 5-9	6 (4.4%)
PS corponing positive twice (over)	34 (4070) 11 (9 107)	SLEDAI≥10	3 (2.2%)
Constructive electric fulfilled	11(0.170)	PedSDI≥1	50 (37%)
unulative classification criteria fulfilled	NUMBER (%)	PGA VAS = 0	90 (66.6%)
012 SLICC classification criteria	135 (100%)	$PGA VAS \le 1/3$	36 (26.6%)
019 ACR/EULAR classification criteria	132 (97.7%)	PGA VAS >1	9 (6.65)

ledian age (years)	26.5±5.1 years		
lean disease duration ± SD (years)	13.5 ±4.8 years		
ledian age at onset	12.3		
thnicity (%)		Current Treatment (unless specified otherwise)	Number (%
Vhite	40 (29.6%)	None	10 (7.4%)
lack	38 (28.1%)	Current B-cell targeted therapy	15 (11.1%)
sian	40 (29.6%)	B-cell targeted therapy ever	45 (33.3%)
Other	17 (12.5%)	Hydroxychloroquine	115 (85.2%)
umulative Clinical Features	Number (%)	Methotrexate	14 (10.3%)
Renal Involvement	60 (44%)	Azathioprine	27 (20%)
Constitutional Involvement	96 (71.1%)	Mycophenolate Mofetil	74 (54.8%)
leuropsychiatric Involvement	24 (17.8%)	Cyclophosphamide in the past year	5 (3.7%)
Iucocutaneous Involvement	116 (86%)	Cyclophosphamide ever	26 (19.2%)
lusculoskeletal Involvement	89 (66%)	Current Prednisolone dose ≤ 5mg daily	73 (54%)
laematological Involvement	101 (75%)	Current Prednisolone dose >5 mg but ≤7.5 mg/day	6 (14%)
ardiorespiratory Involvement	21 (15 5%)	Current Prednisolone dose > 8 mg daily	36 (26.6%)
Sastrointestinal Involvement	5 (3.7%)	Not on Prednisolone	20 (14.8%)
ophthalmic Involvement	0 (0%)	Disease activity/damage scores (within 6 months of Number	
Sumulative Serological Features	Number (%)	inclusion, N=135)	
NA positivity ever	135 (100%)	Average SLEDAI	1.6 (0-18)
Current ANA nositive	113 (83 7%)	SLEDAI = 0	60 (44.4%)
nti-deDNA positivity ever	72 (53 3%)	SLEDAI ≤4	66 (48.8%)
Anti-usuna positivity	$F_{2}(33.370)$	SLEDAI = 5-9	6 (4.4%)
DC acroaning positive twice (aver)	54(40%)	SLEDAI ≥10	3 (2.2%)
PS screening positive twice (ever)	11(8.1%)	PedSDI ≥ 1	50 (37%)
umulative classification criteria fulfilled	Number (%)	PGA VAS = 0	90 (66.6%)
012 SLICC classification criteria	135 (100%)	$PGA VAS \le 1/3$	36 (26.6%)
019 ACR/EULAR classification criteria	132 (97.7%)	PGA VAS >1	9 (6.65)

- cumulative organ involvement
- serological markers
- \checkmark cumulative treatment, including steroid dose
- paediatric British Isles Lupus Assessment Group (pBILAG) score
- ✓ SLE Disease Activity Index (SLEDAI)- 2K score
- paediatric SLICC/ACR Damage Index score (pedSDI)
- \checkmark physician global assessment on a 0-3 VAS (PGA)

Results 1: Implementing routine outcome measure collection in clinical practice was feasible:

- ✓ Only 13/135 (9.8%) AYA with cSLE had incomplete assessments/no therapeutic target discussed/recorded
- ✓ The SLEDAI)-2K, PedSDI and PGA, were recorded in 122/135 (91.2%) AYA with cSLE
- ✓ The pBILAG score was systematically recorded only in 92/135 (68.1%) of clinical letters (significantly less frequently, P<0.00001)
- ✓ Median SLEDAI-2K = 0 (IQR=2), mean SLEDAI-2K = 1.6 ± 2.79 (N=122)

✓ Median global pBILAG score = 0 (IQR=1) and mean global pBILAG

Results 2: Agreeing with AYA with cSLE on a treatment target was achievable:

✓ 122/135 (90.4%) had a therapeutic target initially agreed and assessed against at least at two, and ✓ 82/122 (67.2%) at least at three different time points over 12-months routine follow-up (338 routine clinical assessments for the whole cohort during *Phase 2* of the study).

The reasons for not agreeing on a target in 13/135 cases were the following:

- \checkmark 5/13 (38.5%) AYA were experiencing cSLE flares at baseline, and setting a target was not feasible
- \checkmark 8/13 (62.5%) cases, the assessment against a feasible treatment target was not consistently documented, potentially because of time constraints.

Results 3: Setting cLLDAS as minimum therapeutic target in cSLE was associated with improved disease outcomes after 12 months follow-up

Treatment target achieved

Baseline N=122 Last assessment N=122 P value

- $score = 0.96 \pm 2.97 (N=92)$
- \checkmark The median PedSDI was 0 (IQR=1), with 47 (38.5%) overall having already acquired damage: mild damage (PedSDI = 1 or 2) in 37/47 and severe damage (PedSDI≥3) in 10/47 AYA with Csle (N=122)

Conclusion:

- \checkmark T2T strategy implementation was achievable and associated with improved cSLE control.
- \checkmark Spending at least 3/12 months in cLLDAS led to less damage.
- **Complete remission off steroid treatment Complete remission on steroid treatment* Clinical remission off steroid treatment (cCR-0)** Clinical remission on steroid treatment (cCR)* cLLDAS**

Not on target because of moderate flare Not on target because of severe flare Not on target despite no clinical activity AYA with cSLE in target (minimum cLLDAS)

00/122 in target	112/122 in target	
3 (10.6%)	17 (13.9%)	0.43
4 (27.8%)	32 (26.2%)	0.77
(4.1%)	13 (10.7%)	0.048
9 (23.8%)	39 (31.9%)	0.158
<mark>9 (15.5%)</mark>	11 (9%)	0.121
(4.1%)	<mark>2 (1.6%)</mark>	0.24
(4.1%)	5 (4.1%)	0.99
2 (9.8%)	3 (2.5%)	0.017
00 (81.9%)	112 (91.8%)	0.022

Achieving minimum cLLDAS for longer than 3 months was associated with reduced damage accrual (HR=1.7; 95%CI=1.1-2.5; P<0.0001) at 12 months.

Key messages:

This is the first large prospective study in AYA with cSLE to evaluate the impact of active T2T implementation. T2T strategies were feasible to implement in 122/135 (91.2%) AYA with cSLE in routine practice. T2T approach was associated with improved disease control and decreased damage accrual at 12 month.

<u>References:</u>

1. Gotch R, Ahmed Y, Wilson R, Hawkins E, Ciurtin C (2024); Impact of active implementation of low disease activity state as treatment endpoint in childhood onset systemic lupus erythematosus, *Clinical Rheumatology, in press* 2. Smith EMD, Aggarwal A, Ainsworth J, Al-Abadi E, Avcin T, Bortey L, Burnham J, Ciurtin C, Hedrich CM, Kamphuis S, et al.. (2024), Defining Remission in Childhood Lupus: An International cSLE T2T Task Force Collaborative Effort endorsed by the Paediatric Rheumatology European Society (PReS), *Clinical Immunology*, https://doi.org/10.1016/ i.clim.2024.110214