RAB32 Ser71Arg in autosomal dominant Parkinson’s disease: linkage, association, and functional analyses


Summary

Background Parkinson’s disease is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder with multifactorial causes, among which genetic risk factors play a part. The RAB GTPases are regulators and substrates of LRRK2, and variants in the LRRK2 gene are important risk factors for Parkinson’s disease. We aimed to explore genetic variability in RAB GTPases within cases of familial Parkinson’s disease.

Methods We did whole-exome sequencing in probands from families in Canada and Tunisia with Parkinson’s disease without a genetic cause, who were recruited from the Centre for Applied Neurogenetics (Vancouver, BC, Canada), an international consortium that includes people with Parkinson’s disease from 36 sites in 24 countries. 61 RAB GTPases were genotyped, and candidate variants were genotyped in relatives of the probands to assess disease segregation by linkage analysis. Genotyping was also done to assess variant frequencies in individuals with idiopathic Parkinson’s disease and controls, matched for age and sex, who were also from the Centre for Applied Neurogenetics but unrelated to the probands or each other. All participants were aged 18 years or older. The sequencing and genotyping findings were validated by case-control association analyses using bioinformatic data obtained from publicly available clinicogenomic databases (AMP-PD, GP2, and 100 000 Genomes Project) and a private German clinical diagnostic database (University of Tübingen). Clinical and pathological findings were summarized and haplotypes were determined. In-vitro studies were done to investigate protein interactions and enzyme activities.

Findings Between June 1, 2010, and May 31, 2017, 130 probands from Canada and Tunisia (47 [36%] female and 83 [64%] male; mean age 72.7 years [SD 11.7; range 38–96]; 109 White European ancestry, 18 northern African, two east Asian, and one Hispanic) underwent whole-exome sequencing. 15 variants in RAB GTPase genes were identified, of which the RAB32 variant c.213C>G (Ser71Arg) cosegregated with autosomal dominant Parkinson’s disease in three families (nine affected individuals; non-parametric linkage Z score=1.95; p=0.03). 2604 unrelated disease in three families (nine affected individuals; non-parametric linkage Z score=1.95; p=0.03). 2604 unrelated individuals with Parkinson’s disease and 6249 controls were additionally genotyped, and five more people without a genetic cause, who were recruited from the Centre for Applied Neurogenetics (Vancouver, BC, Canada), an international consortium that includes people with Parkinson’s disease from 36 sites in 24 countries. 61 RAB GTPases were and controls, matched for age and sex, who were also from the Centre for Applied Neurogenetics but unrelated to the probands or each other. All participants were aged 18 years or older. The sequencing and genotyping findings were validated by case-control association analyses using bioinformatic data obtained from publicly available clinicogenomic databases (AMP-PD, GP2, and 100000 Genomes Project) and a private German clinical diagnostic database (University of Tübingen). Clinical and pathological findings were summarized and haplotypes were determined. In-vitro studies were done to investigate protein interactions and enzyme activities.

Interpretation RAB32 Ser71Arg is a novel genetic risk factor for Parkinson’s disease, with reduced penetrance. The variant was found in individuals with Parkinson’s disease from multiple ethnic groups, with the same haplotype. In-vitro assays show that RAB32 Arg71 activates LRRK2 kinase, which indicates that genetically distinct causes of familial parkinsonism share the same mechanism. The discovery of RAB32 Ser71Arg also suggests several genetically inferred causes of Parkinson’s disease originated to control intracellular immunity. This shared aetiology should be considered in future translational research, while the global epidemiology of RAB32 Ser71Arg needs to be assessed to inform genetic counselling.

Funding National Institutes of Health, the Canada Excellence Research Chairs program, Aligning Science Across Parkinson’s, the Michael J Fox Foundation for Parkinson’s Research, and the UK Medical Research Council.
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Introduction

Parkinson’s disease is a progressive neurodegenerative disease that can have many causes. This movement disorder is invariably associated with a loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta. To date, 19–37% of the genetic heritability of Parkinson’s disease has been accounted for, and efforts are ongoing to identify novel Parkinson’s disease loci and pathogenic variants.1 Thus far, Mendelian genetic discoveries have highlighted autophagic (lysosomal), mitochondrial, and endosomal proteins that are implicated in the disease. For example, pathogenic variants have been identified in SNCA in families with dominantly inherited Parkinsonism.2,3 Monomeric α-synuclein (which is encoded by SNCA) functions as a vesicular chaperone, but it can aggregate into Lewy body pathology, which is pathognomonic for Parkinson’s disease.4 Additionally, PRKN and PINK1 mutations were discovered in young people with recessively inherited Parkinson’s disease, and variants in these genes highlight deficits in mitochondrial function, mitophagy, and intracellular immunity.5 A pathogenic variant in VPS35 (c.1858G>A; Asp620Asn; NCBI accession number NM_018206.4) also leads to dominantly inherited Parkinsonism. VPS35 is part of the retromer complex that enables cargo recycling of endosomal membrane-associated proteins, including the dopamine transporter.6–8 A pathogenic variant in LRRK2 (Ser71Arg) functions as a molecular switch to regulate intracellular vesicular chaperone, but it can aggregate into Lewy body pathology, which is pathognomonic for Parkinson’s disease. Moreover, our data suggest that a convergence of evolution underlies this molecular mechanism for disease pathogenesis.

Research in context

Evidence before this study

We searched PubMed with the search terms “Parkinson’s disease”, “Parkinsonism” and “RAB32” for articles published in English on or before Jan 4, 2024, in any field. We found a total of seven articles. No studies were found describing genetic variability in RAB32 in Parkinson’s disease or parkinsonism. One epigenome-wide study of CD14+ monocytes suggests hypomethylation and increased expression of RAB32 is associated with idiopathic Parkinson’s disease. Altogether, the articles serve to highlight an interaction between LRRK2 and RAB32, which potentially coordinates a cell-intrinsic icacone-dependent defence pathway against intracellular pathogens. A similar search for “RAB32” and “PINK1” revealed no results. However, PINK1 is well described in mitochondrial quality control and mitophagy, and this process might restrict inflammatory cytokine secretion and directly regulate antigen presentation and immune cell homeostasis.

Added value of this study

We have shared our discovery of RAB32 Ser71Arg in prior conference proceedings and a review hoping more affected probands and families would be identified. However, through genetic linkage and association meta-analysis, this study is the first to provide strong evidence to suggest that RAB32 Ser71Arg causes familial parkinsonism. We demonstrate that RAB32 Ser71Arg activates LRRK2 kinase, we show that it colocalises with PINK1, and we highlight its association with retromer (VPS35 via VARP). Hence, RAB32 is a nexus that unites at least four major gene discoveries for familial and seemingly sporadic Parkinson’s disease. Moreover, our data suggest that a convergence of evolution underlies this molecular mechanism for disease pathogenesis.

Implications of all the available evidence

Our study provides the first evidence for RAB32 Ser71Arg as a cause of late-onset Parkinsonism. The Ser71Arg mutation seems to originate from one founder despite its worldwide diaspora, and appears to segregate with disease, albeit with reduced penetrance. Functional analysis shows that RAB32 Arg71 activates LRRK2 kinase to a level greater than RAB32 Ser71 (wild-type) without disrupting the interaction between these two proteins. RAB32 Ser71Arg also reduced colocalisation with the mitochondrial kinase PINK1. Mutations in LRRK2 that lead to constitutive activation of its kinase domain are genetically linked to Parkinson’s disease and are associated with mitochondrial health and impaired mitophagy. Our study provides a unique, genetically stratified cause of Parkinson’s disease that is distinct from LRRK2 and is likely to both benefit from and provide unmet insight into LRRK2 function and inhibitor testing within the clinic. As LRRK2 kinase inhibitors are being trialled as a disease-modifying therapy in Parkinson’s disease, further work is needed to establish their potential in RAB32 Ser71Arg Parkinson’s disease.

Methods

Participants

We recruited probands and asymptomatic family members from the Centre for Applied Neurogenetics, which was established through a Canada Excellence Research Network, Chevy Chase, MD, USA (E K Gustavsson, M Grant-Peters, Prof M Hyten, R Real, Prof H R Morris, P Lus, F Tonelli PhD, Prof D R Alessi); Queen Mary College, University of London, London, UK (M T Pentland PhD); Service de Neurologie, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, Université Paris-Descartes, Paris, France (T Ünö, M Sharma PhD), Department of Neurology and Neurotherapeutics, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA (Prof A B West PhD); The Neuro, Montreal Neurological Institute-Hospital, Montreal, QC, Canada (Prof Z Gan-Or); Department of Clinical Neurosciences, DMU Neurology, Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK (Prof M T Hu MD); The Neuro, Montreal Neurological Institute-Hospital, Montreal, QC, Canada (Prof Z Gan-Or MD); Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, and Department of Human Genetics, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada (Prof Z Gan-Or);Behavioural Neurology and Movement Disorders Unit, Department of Neurology, Istanbul Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey (B Samanci MD); MRC Protein Phosphorylation and Ubiquitination Unit, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK (P Lis PhD, F Tonelli PhD, Prof D R Alessi PhD); Aligning Science Across Parkinson’s Collaborative Research Network, Chevy Chase, MD, USA (E K Gustavsson, M Grant–Peters, Prof M Hyten, R Real, Prof H R Morris, P Lus, F Tonelli PhD, Prof D R Alessi); Queen Mary College, University of London, London, UK (M T Pentland PhD); Service de Neurologie, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, Université Paris-Descartes, Paris, France (T Ünö, M Sharma PhD)}
Research Chair (MJF). This 7-year award, based at the University of British Columbia (Vancouver, BC, Canada), enabled an international network for research into Parkinson's disease. Probands and their families from 36 sites in 24 countries were included in the network, and recruitment was done between July 1, 2010, and June 30, 2017. All sites within the network obtained local ethics committee approval before participant recruitment. Within the network, all probands and asymptomatic family members were examined by neurologists with expertise in movement disorders, generally as part of their clinical care (appendix 1 p 2). Affected probands and their families provided written informed consent to participate in this clinicogenetic research, as well as blood or DNA samples. Probands were diagnosed with Parkinson’s disease according to UK Brain Bank Criteria,13 which had been modified to allow for a positive family history of Parkinson’s disease. For the purposes of this study, we only included probands from Canada and Tunisia who were from pedigrees with multi-incident parkinsonism (ie, two or more family members diagnosed as having Parkinson’s disease or primary parkinsonism) in whom no known Mendelian causes of Parkinson’s disease had been identified. Neuropathological analyses were performed in accordance with biomedical research ethics procedures at the University of Saskatchewan (Saskatoon, SK, Canada; appendix 1 p 3).

Procedures
To explore the genetic variability of RAB GTPases in Parkinson’s disease, probands were screened for known pathogenic variants in Parkinson’s disease-related genes by WES (appendix 1 p 2); asymptomatic family members were not included in this screening process. All variants observed were assigned a Genomic Evolutionary Rate Profiling scores (GERP; range –12.3 to 6.17, with 6.17 being the most conserved) and Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion values (CADD; a compositive prediction of how deleterious a nucleotide variant is in the human genome, range 1–99. A CADD≥20 is the top 1% of the most deleterious variants). Public references were also used to provide minor allele frequencies (MAF) including the NCBI Allele Frequency Aggregator dataset (ALFA) and the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD version 4.0.0.0).

Step 1: Genetic discovery
A Whole-exome sequencing of 61 RAB GTPases in 130 probands, without a known genetic cause, from the Centre for Applied Neurogenetics, identifies three probands with RAB32 Ser71Arg

B Genotyping of case–control samples (2604 patients and 344 controls) from the Centre for Applied Neurogenetics identifies five additional patients with RAB32 Ser71Arg; frequencies observed were compared to those in gnomAD

C Large-scale Consortia screening of RAB32 Ser71Arg in AMP-PD, GP2, a German clinical diagnostic database, and 100000 Genomes Project (6043 cases and 62549 controls) identifies eight additional cases with RAB32 Ser71Arg

D Clinical description and haplotype analysis of RAB32 Ser71Arg heterozygotes

Step 2: Functional biology
E Expression and conservation RAB32 expression is ubiquitous across tissues and cells; RAB32 Ser71 is highly conserved across species

F Protein modeling and immunoprecipitation shows that RAB32 directly interacts with LRRK2

G RAB32 Arg71 influences LRRK2 kinase activity and PINK1 colocalisation

Figure 1: Schematic outline of the methodological framework used in the study
AMP-PD=Accelerating Medicines Partnership in Parkinson’s Disease. GP2=Global Parkinson’s Genetics Program.

See Online for appendix 1
For the ALFA dataset see www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp/docs/gsr/alfa/
For the gnomAD see https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/
Putatively pathogenic variants in RAB GTPases were ranked by their rarity, high GERP and CADD scores, then analysed for segregation with Parkinson’s disease within the multi-incident families in which they were identified. Top candidates—ie, those variants in RAB genes that were identified in more than one family—were genotyped in additional series of people with Parkinson’s disease at the Centre for Applied Neurogenetics (who were unrelated to the probands and to each other), and controls who were matched by country of origin, age, and sex. Subsequent, large-scale screening of the single most promising RAB gene and variant was bioinformatically performed using whole-genome sequencing (WGS) data from three publicly available clinicoepidemiologic databases—Accelerating Medicines Partnership in Parkinson’s Disease (AMP-PD), Global Parkinson’s Genetics Program (GP2), and the 100,000 Genomes Project—and a privately owned German clinical diagnostic database (University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany; appendix 2 p 3).

To assess whether candidate RAB variants shared a common ancestral haplotype, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were genotyped in the pedigrees (figure 1D; appendix 1 p 3). Gametic phase was assessed within pedigrees. Genotypes for the same SNPs were retrieved from whole genome data within public databases as a confirmatory step, using bcftools (release 1.18).

Functional biology included assessment of mRNA and protein expression of the candidate RAB using tissue samples obtained from publicly available datasets. mRNA expression was assessed using Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx version 8, that includes 54 different human tissues; Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard) and protein expression was assessed with the Human Protein Atlas (that includes 44 normal human tissue types; Knut & Alice Wallenberg Foundation; appendix 1 p 3). Furthermore, we did immunohistochemical staining in CS7BL/6 mice (JAX stock #000664) to assess candidate protein expression in the substantia nigra pars compacta (appendix 1 pp 4–5).

To predict the potential effect of the candidate RAB variant on LRRK2 protein, structural modelling was done with AlphaFold (Google DeepMind and the European Molecular Biology Laboratory–European Bioinformatics Institute; appendix 1 p 3). Resulting structures were analysed using PyMOL 2.5.5. (Informer Technologies) BIOVIA Discovery Studio Visualizer 2021 (Dassault Systemes) was used to determine possible intermolecular interactions (ie, the non-covalent interactions between atoms) and to predict potential rotamers (ie, that represent the local energy minima and ranges of torsional angles for amino acid side chains in the protein model).

Quantitative western blotting was done in HEK293 cells to assess the potential relationship between LRRK2 activity and the candidate protein variant (appendix 1 p 4). RAB29 was used as a positive control as it is known to induce activation of LRRK2. We also treated cells with the small molecule kinase inhibitor (MLi-2) to inhibit LRRK2 kinase activity. Densitometric signals from western blots were analysed in ImageJ software. Several RAB GTPases are known to activate and be substrates of LRRK2. Therefore, we used two assays to determine whether the candidate variant would change the interaction between the mutated RAB protein and LRRK2 and cause activation of LRRK2 kinase. An in vitro cell system tested the interaction between LRRK2 and the mutated RAB32 protein whereas phosphorylation sites known to correlate with LRRK2 activity (LRRK2-Ser1292 [autophosphorylation site], LRRK2-Ser935 [biomarker site], and a LRRK2-direct substrate [RAB10-Thr73]) provided an in-vitro assessment of LRRK2 kinase activity.

### Statistical analysis
To assess segregation of the candidate variant with Parkinson’s disease, non-parametric linkage analysis (Whittemore and Halpern) was done in multi-incident families, using the Kong and Cox 1997 exponential model, as implemented by Merlin 1.1.2 (Abecasis Lab, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Association analyses were done to examine the association of candidate variant with Parkinson’s disease risk in each case–control series, using Fisher’s exact test and random-effects meta-analysis modelling, with the metafor package for R. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant in single variant analyses, and in ANOVA, prior to performing post-hoc Tukey’s tests adjusted for multiple comparisons.

### Role of the funding source
The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report.
Results

130 probands from pedigrees with multi-incident parkinsonism were included, of whom 47 were female and 83 were male, mean age at onset was 54.2 years (SD 14.1; range 13–83), and 109 were White, 18 were of north African ancestry, two were east Asian, and one was Hispanic (table 1). No known Mendelian causes of Parkinson’s disease were found. Proband underwent WES and RAB GTPase variant analysis in 61 RAB GTPase genes (figure 1A; appendix 2 p 1). 15 heterozygous rare (gnomAD v4.0 MAF<0.001) variants in the RAB GTPase genes were cosegregated with parkinsonism in their pedigrees (table 3). Three family members (III-2 and III-4) did not. In the third pedigree from Canada (CAN1; of French origin), two affected siblings (III-2 and III-3) were heterozygous for RAB32 Ser71Arg. The brother (III-2) was 70 years old and had presented with clumsiness on his left side and postural instability at age 50 years. He was diagnosed with akinetic-rigid Parkinson’s disease. He had slowly progressive parkinsonism (Hoehn and Yahr stage 2) and mild cognitive impairment (Montreal Cognitive Assessment [MoCA] score of 26) after 17 years of disease.

A variant in the RAB32 gene, c.213C>G (Ser71Arg; rs200251693) was identified in three probands, which cosegregated with parkinsonism in their pedigrees (figure 2A). In the first pedigree from Tunisia (TUN1), a sib-pair (III-3 and III-4) and their aunt (II-3) were heterozygous for RAB32 Ser71Arg, and presented with resting tremor at ages 60, 40, and 81 years, respectively (table 3). Three family members in TUN1 without signs of parkinsonism (III-1, III-2, and II-1) did not carry the mutation. In the second pedigree from Tunisia (TUN2), a first-cousin pair (III-1 and III-5) were both heterozygous for RAB32 Ser71Arg. They initially presented with resting tremor at the ages of 54 years and 63 years, respectively. During their last visit, both individuals exhibited cognitive impairment, as evidenced by Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) scores of 17. Additionally, III-3 (sister of III-1 and first-cousin of III-5), who was asymptomatic at age 77 years, also carried RAB32 Ser71Arg, whereas two additional unaffected family members (III-2 and III-4) did not. In the third pedigree from Canada (CAN1; of French origin), two affected siblings (III-2 and III-3) were heterozygous for RAB32 Ser71Arg. The brother (III-2) was 70 years old and had presented with clumsiness on his left side and postural instability at age 50 years. He was diagnosed with akinetic-rigid Parkinson’s disease. He had slowly progressive parkinsonism (Hoehn and Yahr stage 2) and mild cognitive impairment (Montreal Cognitive Assessment [MoCA] score of 26) after 17 years of disease.

His affected sister (III-3) noticed pain in her right upper limb at age 51 years and died at age 66 years due to metastatic colorectal adenocarcinoma, at which time she had late-stage Parkinson’s disease (Hoehn and Yahr stage 4). Additionally, two siblings (III-1 and III-4), who showed no signs of neurological disease at ages 77 years and 59 years, respectively, also carried RAB32 Ser71Arg. No information on more subtle signs such as hyposmia, REM-sleep behaviour disorder, or orthostasis were available. Non-parametric linkage analysis was performed for all three families using their pedigree relationships, RAB32 Ser71Arg genotyping results, and the frequency of the RAB32 Arg71 allele in gnomAD=0.000093 (15/1613550 alleles). This analysis showed the mutation and Parkinson’s disease cosegregate (Z score=1.95; p=0.03) with a Kong and Cox logarithm of odds score LOD=1.51 at θ=0 (p=0.004). This is a statistical estimate of the relative probability that Parkinson’s disease and the RAB32 Arg71 allele are inherited together, and is significant for a single marker test.

We subsequently genotyped RAB32 Ser71Arg in population-matched individuals including 2604 unrelated people with Parkinson’s disease (figure 1B). Of these, members (III-2 and III-4) did not. In the third pedigree from Canada (CAN1; of French origin), two affected siblings (III-2 and III-3) were heterozygous for RAB32 Ser71Arg. The brother (III-2) was 70 years old and had presented with clumsiness on his left side and postural instability at age 50 years. He was diagnosed with akinetic-rigid Parkinson’s disease. He had slowly progressive parkinsonism (Hoehn and Yahr stage 2) and mild cognitive impairment (Montreal Cognitive Assessment [MoCA] score of 26) after 17 years of disease.

His affected sister (III-3) noticed pain in her right upper limb at age 51 years and died at age 66 years due to metastatic colorectal adenocarcinoma, at which time she had late-stage Parkinson’s disease (Hoehn and Yahr stage 4). Additionally, two siblings (III-1 and III-4), who showed no signs of neurological disease at ages 77 years and 59 years, respectively, also carried RAB32 Ser71Arg. No information on more subtle signs such as hyposmia, REM-sleep behaviour disorder, or orthostasis were available. Non-parametric linkage analysis was performed for all three families using their pedigree relationships, RAB32 Ser71Arg genotyping results, and the frequency of the RAB32 Arg71 allele in gnomAD=0.000093 (15/1613550 alleles). This analysis showed the mutation and Parkinson’s disease cosegregate (Z score=1.95; p=0.03) with a Kong and Cox logarithm of odds score LOD=1.51 at θ=0 (p=0.004). This is a statistical estimate of the relative probability that Parkinson’s disease and the RAB32 Arg71 allele are inherited together, and is significant for a single marker test.

We subsequently genotyped RAB32 Ser71Arg in population-matched individuals including 2604 unrelated people with Parkinson’s disease (figure 1B). Of these, members (III-2 and III-4) did not. In the third pedigree from Canada (CAN1; of French origin), two affected siblings (III-2 and III-3) were heterozygous for RAB32 Ser71Arg. The brother (III-2) was 70 years old and had presented with clumsiness on his left side and postural instability at age 50 years. He was diagnosed with akinetic-rigid Parkinson’s disease. He had slowly progressive parkinsonism (Hoehn and Yahr stage 2) and mild cognitive impairment (Montreal Cognitive Assessment [MoCA] score of 26) after 17 years of disease.

His affected sister (III-3) noticed pain in her right upper limb at age 51 years and died at age 66 years due to metastatic colorectal adenocarcinoma, at which time she had late-stage Parkinson’s disease (Hoehn and Yahr stage 4). Additionally, two siblings (III-1 and III-4), who showed no signs of neurological disease at ages 77 years and 59 years, respectively, also carried RAB32 Ser71Arg. No information on more subtle signs such as hyposmia, REM-sleep behaviour disorder, or orthostasis were available. Non-parametric linkage analysis was performed for all three families using their pedigree relationships, RAB32 Ser71Arg genotyping results, and the frequency of the RAB32 Arg71 allele in gnomAD=0.000093 (15/1613550 alleles). This analysis showed the mutation and Parkinson’s disease cosegregate (Z score=1.95; p=0.03) with a Kong and Cox logarithm of odds score LOD=1.51 at θ=0 (p=0.004). This is a statistical estimate of the relative probability that Parkinson’s disease and the RAB32 Arg71 allele are inherited together, and is significant for a single marker test.

We subsequently genotyped RAB32 Ser71Arg in population-matched individuals including 2604 unrelated people with Parkinson’s disease (figure 1B). Of these, members (III-2 and III-4) did not. In the third pedigree from Canada (CAN1; of French origin), two affected siblings (III-2 and III-3) were heterozygous for RAB32 Ser71Arg. The brother (III-2) was 70 years old and had presented with clumsiness on his left side and postural instability at age 50 years. He was diagnosed with akinetic-rigid Parkinson’s disease. He had slowly progressive parkinsonism (Hoehn and Yahr stage 2) and mild cognitive impairment (Montreal Cognitive Assessment [MoCA] score of 26) after 17 years of disease.

His affected sister (III-3) noticed pain in her right upper limb at age 51 years and died at age 66 years due to metastatic colorectal adenocarcinoma, at which time she had late-stage Parkinson’s disease (Hoehn and Yahr stage 4). Additionally, two siblings (III-1 and III-4), who showed no signs of neurological disease at ages 77 years and 59 years, respectively, also carried RAB32 Ser71Arg. No information on more subtle signs such as hyposmia, REM-sleep behaviour disorder, or orthostasis were available. Non-parametric linkage analysis was performed for all three families using their pedigree relationships, RAB32 Ser71Arg genotyping results, and the frequency of the RAB32 Arg71 allele in gnomAD=0.000093 (15/1613550 alleles). This analysis showed the mutation and Parkinson’s disease cosegregate (Z score=1.95; p=0.03) with a Kong and Cox logarithm of odds score LOD=1.51 at θ=0 (p=0.004). This is a statistical estimate of the relative probability that Parkinson’s disease and the RAB32 Arg71 allele are inherited together, and is significant for a single marker test.

We subsequently genotyped RAB32 Ser71Arg in population-matched individuals including 2604 unrelated people with Parkinson’s disease (figure 1B). Of these,
Figure 2: RAB32 Ser71Arg co-segregates with Parkinson’s disease
(A) Simplified pedigrees for three families with the RAB32 Ser71Arg mutation. (B) Subsequent genotyping and (C) Parkinson’s disease database searches that identified affected RAB32 Ser71Arg heterozygotes. Males are represented by squares and females by circles, numbers within those symbols refer to total counts, diamonds are where sex is undefined, probands are represented by a black arrowhead and a diagonal line indicates deceased individuals. People diagnosed with Parkinson’s disease have a black square in the bottom right corner; the individual with a black square in the top right corner had reported tremor without a diagnosis; the person with a grey filled symbol had an unspecified progressive neurological disorder. Heterozygote mutant and wild-type genotypes are indicated with corresponding age at study analysis and age at symptom onset (if known). M=mutant. Wt=wild-type.

*Age at study analysis. †Age at onset of the disease. ‡Age at death. §Lost to follow up.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Father’s origin</th>
<th>Mother’s origin</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>Age at onset, years</th>
<th>Disease duration, years</th>
<th>Initial symptom</th>
<th>Asymmetry at diagnosis</th>
<th>Diagnosis subtype</th>
<th>Cognition (MMSE score)</th>
<th>Positive response to levodopa</th>
<th>Other characteristics</th>
<th>Shared 0.36 Mb haplotype spanning RA8J2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TUN1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-3 Tunisian Tunisia Tunisia Male 70</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Resting tremor</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Tremor</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-3 Tunisian Tunisia Tunisia Female -98</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Resting tremor</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Tremor</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-4 Tunisian Tunisia Tunisia Female -78</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUN2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-1 Tunisian Tunisia Tunisia Male 69</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Resting tremor</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Tremor</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-2 Tunisian Tunisia Tunisia Female 85</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Resting tremor</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-5 Tunisian Tunisia Tunisia Male 70</td>
<td>Yes, brother</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Akineticrod parkinonism</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>With drug-induced dyskinesia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAN1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-2 Canada, Quebec French-Canadian NA Male 70</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Postural instability, clumsiness on left side</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Akinese-rigid</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Melanoma, Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-3 Canada, Quebec French-Canadian Female 66*</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Pain right upper limb</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Melanoma, died of colorectal cancer at 66 y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUN3: II-1 Tunisian Tunisia Tunisia Female 70</td>
<td>Yes, brother</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Akineticrod parkinonism</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>With drug-induced dyskinesia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAN2: II-1 Canada, Romanian Germany Female 80* NA 68</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Tremor (right leg), progressing to bradykinesia and rigidity within first year</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Amantadine, selegiline, before L-dopa after 9 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POL1: II-1 USA Poland Poland Female 60</td>
<td>Yes, both parents</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Tremor</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Tremor</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITL1: II-1 Canada, British Columbia Italy Female 70</td>
<td>Yes, mother unspecified disease, onset 37 years</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bradykinesia</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRA1: II-1 France Turkey NA Female NA No 44</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Tremor and bradykinesia</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Yes, but dyskinesia and dystonia</td>
<td>Autonomic dysfunction (urinary), high blood pressure and dyslipidemia</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US1: II-1 USA European NA Male NA Yes 38</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US2: II-1 USA European NA Female NA Yes 71</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US3: II-1 USA European NA Female NA Yes 72</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US4: II-1 USA European NA Male NA No 58</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK1: III-1 UK European NA Female 66</td>
<td>Yes, maternal grandmother (onset 63, death 78), maternal uncle (onset 60s)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Right-sided rest tremor</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Atypical</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Table 3 continues on next page)
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were people with Parkinson’s disease of North American and European ancestry (mean age at onset 60·2 years [SD 11·8, range 26–80]; male to female ratio 1:7;1-0) and 400 were people with Parkinson’s disease from Tunisia (mean age at onset 55·2 years [SD 14·7, range 13–87]; male to female ratio 1:0:1-0; appendix 2 p 2). Furthermore, 344 controls from Tunisia were included (mean age 66·3 [SD 11·1] years, range 39–100 years, male to female ratio 1:1:1-0; appendix 2 p 2). Five affected heterozygotes for \( RAB32 \) Ser71Arg were identified (table 3, figure 2B). One affected proband identified, designated CAN2, was a female of Canadian origin with German and Romanian ancestry (II-1), who was diagnosed with Parkinson’s disease at age 68 years and died 12 years later. She had onset of right leg tremor that progressed to include tremor, bradykinesia, and rigidity within 1 year. For the first 9 years, she had mild-to-moderate Parkinson’s disease (Hoehn and Yahr stage 2–3) and was treated with dopamine agonists, amantadine, and selegiline. Subsequently, she benefited from levodopa without dyskinesia, but with mild wearing off symptoms. At age 80 years, at the last visit before she died, she was at Hoehn and Yahr stage 3 with no cognitive impairment (MMSE score 28). Neuropathological findings from this individual at autopsy showed mild-to-moderate neuronal loss in the substantia nigra pars compacta with sparse neurofibrillary tangles. Similar inclusion pathology was found in the thalamus and locus coeruleus. Mild-to-moderate globus pallidus neuronal loss was also observed, but ubiquitin and α-synuclein stains were unremarkable. A second affected proband, denoted the ITL1 pedigree, was a 70-year-old Canadian female (II-1) with parents of Italian ancestry who presented with bradykinesia at age 60 years. Her mother (I-2) had been bedbound for several years before her death at age 78 years, and had an unspecified progressive neurological disorder, with an age at onset of 37 years. A third affected proband, POL1, comprised a 60-year-old female (II-1) who was living in the USA; both her parents had tremor, but DNA samples were not available for testing. A third pedigree was identified from Tunisia, TUN3. The affected proband II-4 was a 70-year-old female who was diagnosed with Parkinson’s disease at age 55 years. She had akinetic-rigid parkinsonism with good response to levodopa with drug-induced dyskinesia, as well as autonomic dysfunction including constipation and urinary dysfunction. No oculomotor abnormalities nor cognitive dysfunction were reported. Her brother (II-1) had developed Parkinson’s disease at 55 years, but he was lost to follow-up a year later. He had akinetic-rigid parkinsonism and his first symptom was deterioration in gait. The fifth affected proband, denoted FRA1 (contributed by Sorbonne University, Paris, France) comprised a Turkish female (II-1) with no known family history of disease. She had slowness of movements and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family history of parkinsonism</th>
<th>Age at onset, years</th>
<th>Disease duration, years</th>
<th>Initial symptom</th>
<th>Asymmetry at diagnosis</th>
<th>Diagnosis subtype</th>
<th>Cognition (MMSE score)</th>
<th>Positive response to levodopa</th>
<th>Other characteristics</th>
<th>G ilemmation (MMSE score)</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Father’s origin</th>
<th>Mother’s origin</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Father’s origin</th>
<th>Mother’s origin</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age, years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UK2: II-1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GER1: II-1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Right leg then right upper limb tremor</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAN3: II-1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Tremor</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Alberta</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAN1: II-1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>tremor</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITL1: II-1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Tremor</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUN3: II-4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>tremor</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Clinical characteristics of \( RAB32 \) S71R heterozygotes (Continued from previous page)
tremor at age 44 years. By age 57 years, her symptoms had slowly progressed to include bradykinesia and rigidity (Hoehn and Yahr stage 2), and an MMSE score of 23 after 13 years of disease duration. She developed non-motor signs, and symptoms including urinary disturbance. Levodopa treatment led to a considerable improvement of clinical signs, but she developed dyskinesia and dystonia.

Eight RAB32 Ser71Arg heterozygotes were subsequently identified by bioinformatic analysis across four clinogenomic databases (figure 1C, 2C, table 3), of whom four individuals were White, North American, or European descent with Parkinsonism were identified via the AMP-PD database (US1, US2, US3, and US4). Notably, II-1 from US1 (age at onset of 38 years), II-1 from US2 (age at onset of 71 years), and II-1 from US3 (age at onset of 72 years) all had a positive family history for Parkinson’s disease, whereas II-1 from US4 (age at onset of 58 years) was a sporadic case. Unfortunately, no additional clinical details were available. A total of 19 coding substitutions were identified in RAB32 in the AMP-PD dataset (appendix 2 p 4), including two stop mutations, but only Ser71Arg was associated with Parkinson’s disease (unadjusted \( \chi^2 \) test 4.9, \( p=0.026 \)).

Two people in the 100,000 Genomes Project database were heterozygous for RAB32 Ser71Arg. The first, designated III-1 in the UK1 pedigree, was a 66-year-old British female of European ancestry. She was diagnosed with Parkinson’s disease at age 60 years. Her symptoms began with a right-sided rest tremor 1 year before her diagnosis. She was levodopa-responsive and developed dyskinesia and dystonia of the right leg. Her maternal grandmother (I-2) had Parkinson’s disease (age at onset of 63 years) and died at age 78 years, and her maternal uncle (II-1) had Parkinson’s disease in his 60s. The second individual identified from the 100,000 Genomes Project was a 54-year-old female (II-2 in the UK2 pedigree) of European ancestry. Her symptoms began in adolescence with a dystonic tremor affecting her upper limbs. She had features suggestive of a polyneuropathy that was later shown to be a hereditary demyelinating neuropathy with neurophysiology studies. She developed parkinsonism and was diagnosed with Parkinson's disease at age 49 years. DaT-SPECT imaging showed a profound dopaminergic reduction of tracer uptake in the left caudate nucleus. MRI showed non-specific white matter changes and her CSF was unremarkable. Her brother (II-1) had a similar clinical workup and developed early onset parkinsonism with dystonia. Her father (II-1) had tremor but was never diagnosed with Parkinson’s disease. 20 variants in RAB32 were identified via the 100,000 Genomes Project (appendix 2 p 4). Another pedigree (GER1) was identified in a private database for clinical genome and exome sequencing (University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany). This individual was male (II-1) and had reported difficulties with his right leg when jogging at age 31 years and had genetic testing. Subsequently, he noticed tremor in his right upper limb and micrographia. Neurological examination documented mild right-sided bradykinesia and rigor, mild bilateral resting tremor, abnormal gait with reduced right arm swing, and hypophonia. A likely diagnosis of levodopa-responsive early-onset Parkinson’s disease was made. In the same database, three other young female heterozygotes were identified with non-neurological disorders. Their symptoms included abnormal inflammatory responses, proteinuria (a nephropathy consisting of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis), and Tetralogy of Fallot with pulmonary stenosis. Diagnostic testing was done at age 22 years in one of these individuals and at age 15 years in the other two individuals, but no other clinical nor genetic data were available.

Lastly, one female with RAB32 Ser71Arg was identified through the GP2 database (III-1 in CAN3). She was from Alberta, Canada, but was ancestrally European, with an age at onset of 44 years and family history of Parkinsonism, including her sister (III-2), father (II-1), and paternal grandfather (I-1). No other clinical data were available. Whole genome sequence data in GP2 showed nine coding variants in RAB32, but there were too few control participants to enable association analysis (appendix 2 p 4).

Next, we examined the association of RAB32 Ser71Arg with Parkinson’s disease risk in each case-control series (table 4). While results were equivocal in data from Tunisia (\( p>0.99 \)) and in the GP2 database (\( p=0.99 \)), as relatively few controls were included, the findings were significant in the AMP-PD database (\( p=0.044 \)) and the UK 100,000 Genomes Project (\( p=0.0005 \); table 4). Subsequent meta-analysis across all series confirmed a significant effect (OR 13.17, 95% CI 2.15–87.23).

Haplotype analysis established genetic phase for the RAB32 locus at chromosome 6q24.3 within...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case-control studies sample set</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)*</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>1/400 (0.25%)</td>
<td>0/344</td>
<td>2.59 (0.11–63.71)</td>
<td>&gt;0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North American</td>
<td>4/2204 (0.18%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP-PD</td>
<td>4/3105 (0.13%)</td>
<td>0/3670</td>
<td>10.65 (0.57–197.91)</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP2</td>
<td>1/1849 (0.05%)</td>
<td>0/349</td>
<td>0.57 (0.02–13.96)</td>
<td>&gt;0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German clinical diagnostic database</td>
<td>2/311 (0.32%)</td>
<td>3/23389 (0.01%)</td>
<td>32.28 (4.75–219.33)</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 000 Genomes Project</td>
<td>2/778 (0.26%)</td>
<td>0/3514</td>
<td>226.28 (10.85–4717.48)</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>13/8727 (0.15%)</td>
<td>3/65563 (+0.01%)</td>
<td>33.17 (2.15–87.23)!</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Association analyses in case-control datasets.

*The null hypothesis that RAB32 Ser71Arg confers no risk is rejected (Z score=2.77 \( p<0.006 \); Q\(_{WALD} \)=96.47, \( p=0.0001 \); \( \text{F}_{99} > 0.99 \%).

Database resources were last accessed between Sept 1 and Dec 20, 2023. AMP-PD=Accelerating Medicines Partnership in Parkinson’s Disease. GP2=Global Parkinson’s Genetics Program. NA=None or not applicable. *When zeros create computation challenges for the odds ratios or its SE, a constant (0.5) was added to all cells as a continuity correction.
three pedigrees (TUN1, TUN2, and CAN1; figure 1A). Genotypes of nine SNPs adjacent to RAB32 c.213C>G showed that 15 of 16 probands shared a 332413–384587 base pair ancestral haplotype that appeared to be inherited identical-by-descent (table 3; appendix 2 p 5).

Biological analysis of RAB32 mRNA and protein expression in various tissue samples obtained from people in publicly available databases showed a ubiquitous but low level of expression (figure 1E; appendix 1 p 9). Although expression was highest in non-brain tissues, such as immune cells and lung, brain expression was observed in spinal cord and substantia nigra pars compacta. Analyses with the Human Protein Atlas confirmed RAB32 protein expression in endothelial cells, neuronal cells, and glia (appendix 1 p 9). Subsequent immunohistochemical analysis revealed endogenous RAB32 expression within murine dopaminergic neurons (appendix 1 p 9).

Structural modelling suggests the RAB32 Ser71Arg substitution is pathogenic, and is supported by conservation of the variant in orthologs (GERP score 4–68, CADD score 19–2; figure 1F; appendix 1 p 10). Structural modelling using AlphaFold predicted a robust interaction between RAB32 and LRRK2, similar to RAB29 and RAB38 (appendix 1 pp 11–12). Modelling indicated that RAB32 Arg71 would not affect LRRK2 binding (appendix 1 p 12). Indeed, transfected RAB32 co-immunoprecipitated with endogenous LRRK2, and the Arg71 mutation did not impair this interaction (figure 1G; appendix 1 p 13). Overexpression of wild-type RAB32 increased LRRK2-direct substrate phosphorylation without enhancing LRRK2 autophosphorylation (Tukey’s multiple test comparison $q_{15}=12·3 p<0·001$ and $q_{15}=0·05 p>0·99$, respectively; appendix 1 p 14). Expression of RAB32 Arg71 enhanced LRRK2-direct substrate phosphorylation and LRRK2 auto-phosphorylation more than RAB32 Ser71 (Tukey’s $q_{15}=44 p<0·001$ and $q_{15}=13·5 p<0·001$, respectively). RAB32 Arg71 induced LRRK2 autophosphorylation to a lower level than observed with the positive control, RAB29 (RAB32 Arg71 vs RAB29 for LRRK2-direct substrate phosphorylation Tukey’s $q_{15}=12·3 p<0·001$ and LRRK2 autophosphorylation $q_{15}=36·5 p<0·001$, respectively; appendix 1 p 14). Consistent with increased LRRK2 activity, RAB32 Arg71 reduced phosphorylation at this biomarker site more than RAB32 Ser71 (Tukey’s $q_{15}=5·7 p<0·009$, comparable to RAB29 (Tukey’s $q_{15}=2·2 p=0·52$). In contrast, RAB32 Ala71 functioned like RAB32 Ser71 and did not enhance LRRK2 activation (appendix 1 p 14).

Lastly, we examined the potential interaction between RAB32 and PINK1. Confocal microscopy of HEK293 cells transiently cotransfected with mCherry-Parkin, GFP-RAB32, and HA-PINK1 revealed significant colocalization of GFP-RAB32 with HA-PINK1 but not with mCherry-Parkin (appendix 1 p 18). Colocalisation with PINK1 was significantly reduced in cells transfected with RAB32 Arg71 compared to Ser71, and was reduced to a similar extent as kinase dead PINK1 (KD-PINK1; appendix 1 p 18). Cells transfected with both RAB32 Arg71 and KD-PINK1 showed the lowest colocalisation (appendix 1 p 18). Our results suggest that both PINK1 kinase activity and RAB32 Ser71 are important for PINK1-RAB32 colocalisation.

Discussion

The findings of our genetic and clinical analyses showed that the RAB32 variant Ser71Arg cosegregates with Parkinson’s disease in three families; an additional 13 unrelated heterozygotes were identified in case-control analyses. All affected people had a clinical diagnosis of levodopa-responsive Parkinson’s disease, with a mean age at onset of 54–6 years (SD 12–75, range 31–81), and two-thirds had a known family history of parkinsonism. These findings are comparable with those in people with Parkinson’s disease with mutations in LRRK2 (mean age at onset of 58–2 years [SD 12]) or VPS35 (mean age at onset of 56–5 years [SD 12]).

In most of our RAB32 Ser71Arg heterozygotes, tremor was the initial symptom, and Parkinson’s disease onset, clinical variability, and progression were consistent with typical late-onset Parkinson’s disease. The cases of melanoma (in the CAN1 and ITL1 pedigrees) and polynuropathy (in the UK1 pedigree) could be incidental. The RAB32 Ser71Arg variant was also identified in three asymptomatic family members, one in TUN2 (aged 77 years at the time of the study) and two in CAN1 (aged 51 and 77 years at the time of the study), and the variant had a non-negligible frequency in gnomAD that suggests penetrance is incomplete. Although incomplete penetrance is typical for monogenic forms of Parkinson’s disease, and consistent with a disease mechanism that activates LRRK2 kinase, penetrance estimates also depend on how carefully non-manifesting heterozygotes are characterised. Therefore, studies on dopaminergic dysfunction in clinically asymptomatic heterozygotes, along with more comprehensive prodromal testing, will be important.

Given the disparate geographical origin of the identified RAB32 Ser71Arg heterozygotes, it is remarkable that only one Arg71 haplotype was observed (appendix 2, p 5), suggesting that all probands who were genotyped originate from one ancestral founder, reminiscent of LRRK2 Gly2019Ser.

Neuropathological findings in II-1 from CAN2 revealed mild-to-moderate neuronal loss and neurofibrillary tangle inclusions in the substantia nigra, with no Lewy body pathology. Almost half of LRRK2 cases examined at autopsy have dopaminergic neuronal loss with gliosis, without the presence of α-synuclein, which suggests this intracellular inclusion pathology is a secondary phenomenon.

Our initial in-vitro characterisation of the RAB32 variant Ser71Arg showed that the Arg71 mutant protein does not
disrupt the binding of RAB32 to LRRK2 (appendix 1 pp 12–13), but rather stimulates LRRK2 kinase activity (appendix 1 p 14). This interaction is likely to be mediated by a specific LRRK2–RAB interaction domain (known as “site-1”) because RAB32 Arg71 is no longer able to activate LRRK2 when site-1 is mutated to Leu403 (appendix 1 p 16). Despite these observations, how RAB32 Arg affects the ability of LRRK2 to form an active conformation remains to be determined.

RAB32 is expressed in multiple human tissues, including immune cells and dopaminergic neurons of the brain (appendix 1, p 9). Pathogenic mutations in LRRK2 lead to hyper-phosphorylation of a subset of RAB GTPases proteins, and our in vitro studies suggest that RAB32 Arg71 might lead to a similar hyper-phosphorylated state of RAB GTPases (appendix 1, pp 14-17). This in turn, can stall endosomal and lysosomal trafficking, and accelerate the accumulation of intracellular misfolded proteins leading to dopaminergic cell loss and the activation of immune cells, two processes involved in disease progression in Parkinson’s disease.

Our study has limitations. Although, several rare variants were identified in RAB GTPases, including many in RAB32 (appendix 2 pp 3, 4), the power of our sample size was limited for burden testing of all variants in RAB GTPases. Future studies will require much larger case series with matched controls. Nevertheless, RAB32 Ser71Arg was observed in three affected probands and led us to test the a priori hypothesis of linkage analysis for a single marker, rather than genome-wide. Although, our sample size was sufficient for rare variant meta-analysis, genome-wide genotyping to match cases with controls could help correct for potential population stratification. To the best of our knowledge, only one individual with the RAB32 Ser71Arg variant has come to autopsy, with sparse neurofibrillary tangle pathology in the midbrain and thalamus, without Lewy body pathology. Similar post-mortem observations have been made in LRRK2 and PINK1 parkinsonism, but further comparisons would be helpful. Our functional studies are limited to in-vitro assays that overexpress mutant proteins, so additional studies in knock-in rodent and patient-derived samples are needed for validation.

RAB32 Ser71Arg most likely has an important role in the pathogenesis of Parkinson’s disease. Our results show that RAB32 interacts with LRRK2, and that the RAB32 Ser71Arg pathogenic variant activates LRRK2 kinase, reminiscent of LRRK2 and VPS35 mutations. RAB32 also interacts with known retromer binding partner, VARP,7 and can colocalise with PINK1 (appendix 1 p 18). However, RAB32 is best described to mediate mitochondrial and lysosome-related pathways,9 and it is unlikely to be a coincidence that missense mutations in LRRK2, VPS35, and RAB32 all constitutively activate LRRK2 kinase activity. While each gene linked to Parkinson’s disease compromises the age-associated viability of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra, RAB32 indicates that several of these proteins might have a more intimate synergistic relationship to control pathogen growth in immune cells.10 Further study in different cellular contexts, of cell autonomous and non-autonomous effects, is imperative. Given the shared ancestral haplotype of affected probands with RAB32 Ser71Arg, and their dispersed geographical origin, we anticipate more heterozygotes with this pathogenic variant can be identified through genotyping array data that has already been generated in GWAS of Parkinson’s disease.1 The population origin and frequency of those heterozygotes, their genealogic data, affection status, and associated clinical findings might readily inform penetrance estimates, prognosis, and genetic counselling and should be pursued.
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