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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) and cardiovascular diseases (CVD) commonly co-exist. Outcomes of people living with both conditions are poor in terms of symptom burden, receiving evidence-based treatment and mortality. Increased understanding of the underlying mechanisms may help to identify treatments to relieve this disease burden. This narrative review covers the overlap of COPD and CVD with a focus on clinical presentation, mechanisms, and interventions. Literature up to December 2023 are cited.


Expert Opinion: The prospective identification of co-morbid COPD in CVD patients and of CVD and CV risk in people with COPD is crucial for optimizing clinical outcomes. This includes the identification of novel treatment targets and the design of clinical trials specifically designed to reduce the cardiovascular burden and mortality associated with COPD. Databases searched: Pubmed, 2006–2023.

1. What is COPD?

The Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) defines COPD as ‘a heterogeneous lung condition, characterized by chronic respiratory symptoms (dyspnoea, cough, expectoration and/or exacerbations) due to abnormalities of the airways (bronchitis, bronchiolitis) and/or alveoli (emphysema) that cause persistent, often progressive, airflow obstruction’ [1]. This generally requires a significant long-term respiratory exposure, such as tobacco smoke, in a genetically susceptible individual. Despite this definition including emphysema – a radiological diagnosis – GOLD still specifies that the diagnosis of COPD must be based on spirometry and the demonstration of persistent airway obstruction after bronchodilatation, defined as the ratio between the volume of air exhaled in 1 second (FEV₁) to the vital capacity (FVC) being <0.7. Given that this ratio declines with age, a single cutoff may lead to over-diagnosing COPD in the elderly and other guidelines have recommended using the lower limit of normal for diagnosing airway obstruction [2]. Breathlessness is a cardinal feature of COPD but also of many cardiac diseases, introducing immediate complexity for differential diagnosis and comorbid cardiorespiratory conditions that we discuss further below [3].

There are limitations to the aforementioned approach to diagnosing COPD [4]. Even in healthy individuals, trajectories of pulmonary function differ greatly over the lifespan. FEV₁ typically peaks at age 25 years for males and 18 for females, followed by a plateau phase ahead of a gradual decline through physiological aging [5]. People with COPD usually (but not always) demonstrate a more rapid decline in pulmonary function [5]. As spirometry is relatively insensitive in the early stages of COPD, and a diagnosis of COPD is often made later in the disease trajectory, efforts are ongoing to support earlier diagnosis with the opportunity for earlier intervention. The term pre-COPD has been proposed to describe people at risk of developing COPD, defined as occurring in people with risk factors (such as smoking) and symptoms (sputum production, cough), with or without other abnormalities on CT or lung function but without airflow obstruction [6]. The term PRISm (Preserved Ratio Impaired Spirometry) is used to describe patients with a reduced FEV₁ and a preserved FEV₁/FVC ratio, some of whom will progress to COPD [7]. This heterogeneous group, previously referred to as having ‘restrictive’ spirometry, does not meet the diagnosis of COPD but does not have normal spirometry. People with PRISm have been largely excluded from therapeutic trials [8]. A large population-based study showed that patients meeting the PRISm criteria are at significant risk of mortality, especially due to cardiovascular...
disease [9], making this group of patients a further target for more detailed study and early intervention.

In those that meet the diagnostic criteria for COPD, GOLD advises that the severity of airflow obstruction is determined by examining the FEV₁ from mild (>80%) to very severe (<30%). As there is a complex relationship between airflow obstruction and clinical impact, the burden of COPD is also defined in terms of symptoms (using questionnaires such as Modified Medical Research Council Scale (mMRC) and/or the COPD Assessment Test (CAT) and exacerbation risk, with patients at first diagnosis divided into three groups, termed A (low symptoms, low exacerbation risk), B (high symptoms but low exacerbation risk) and E (high exacerbation risk irrespective of symptoms) [10]. This classification can be used to guide both initial non-pharmacological and pharmacological therapies in COPD to reduce symptoms and exacerbation risk.

2. The co-existence of COPD and cardiovascular disease: prevalence and challenges

COPD is the most common chronic respiratory condition, affecting around 10% of the global population [11]. Many people living with COPD are diagnosed late or remain undiagnosed -especially in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC) [12]. With an aging population and continued exposure to risk factors such as (indoor and outdoor) air pollution and smoking, this number will increase over the coming decades [13]. An increase in the prevalence of COPD means an increase in the subsequent burden of the disease. According to the Global Burden of Disease study, COPD was responsible for 3.3 million deaths in 2019, accounting for 5% of all deaths and COPD is the third leading cause of death in the world [8]. This burden is distributed unevenly, with 90% of COPD-related deaths occurring in LMIC [14]. There are particular problems in LMIC with under-diagnosis [12], the absence of guidelines [15], challenges in guideline implementation [16], and lack of access to effective and affordable interventions [17]. COPD also causes a significant economic burden. In the European Union, the direct cost of COPD-associated healthcare in 2003 was estimated at 38.6 billion Euros, increasing to approximately 48.4 billion Euros in 2011 [18]. Approximately 40–70% of the costs are due to treatment of acute exacerbations [18]. The cost of COPD is estimated to increase to 4.3 trillion dollars globally by 2050 [14].

Studies have shown that the prevalence of cardiovascular disease in patients with COPD is variable, ranging from 20–70% depending on the study population and cardiovascular conditions considered. Additionally, the risk of COPD is elevated in patients with established cardiovascular disease [19]. The rate of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) in patients with COPD is 25% higher compared to those without COPD and thus is similar to the excess cardiovascular risk seen in individuals with diabetes [20]. This can only be partially explained by shared risk factors such as aging, smoking and socioeconomic deprivation. Pathophysiological mechanisms including hypoxemia, hyperinflation, oxidative stress, and systemic inflammation likely play a major role [21]. A systematic review by Chen et al. showed that compared to the general population, people living with COPD have an increased risk of cardiovascular disease. (OR 2.46, 95% CI 2.02–3.00) [19,21,22]. This increase in risk persists even when corrected for shared risk factors including aging, smoking, and socioeconomic deprivation [19,23]. Many epidemiological studies have been performed with selected patient groups, typically those presenting in secondary care with advanced disease, making it likely that the actual prevalence of CVD in mild COPD is underestimated [23]. This is of importance since cardiovascular mortality is highest in mild to moderate COPD [9].

2.1. COPD and ischemic heart disease

Ischemic heart disease (IHD) is the most frequent cardiac comorbidity in people with COPD. Conversely, the prevalence of COPD ranges between 7 and 28% in patients presenting with an acute myocardial infarction. (24). Reciprocally, the relative risk of myocardial infarction was shown to be 1.4 times higher in people living with COPD compared to those without COPD. Patients with severe COPD are at an even higher risk of IHD, with a relative risk of 3.00 [24]. CVD is a leading cause of death in people living with COPD, with up to a third of the deaths being attributable to ischemic heart disease, making it more likely for a person with COPD to die from cardiovascular disease than respiratory failure [25,26].

Despite this, tools to accurately assess cardiovascular risk in COPD, without the need for invasive diagnostics, are generally lacking. The GOLD strategy document recommends using the National Heart and Lung Institute global risk calculator [10]. In 2015 a risk calculator specific for screening ischemic heart disease in COPD was developed. This COPDCoRi algorithm demonstrated an accuracy of 81% (95%CI: 77.78–84.71) in predicting the presence of coronary artery disease in COPD [27]. Interestingly, it seems that (centrilobular) emphysema-phenotype COPD patients have a particularly high rate of coronary calcification, suggesting an important role of emphysema in the development of atherosclerosis, [28] with recent
studies suggesting that hyperinflation may be the main driver of this risk [29].

2.2. COPD and heart failure

The prevalence of COPD ranges from 9 to 41% in patients with heart failure in studies from European cohorts [30]. Large trials investigating heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) have often excluded people living with COPD, leading to a lower reported prevalence (11–19%) [31]. The prevalence of heart failure increases in hospitalized COPD patients, rising to 70% in COPD patients on mechanical ventilation [30].

Healthcare use in patients with concomitant COPD and heart failure is high. A retrospective study from Canada showed an annual rate of 12–14 visits, 39% of which were cardiorespiratory [32]. These patients with combined COPD and heart failure are also more prone to hospitalizations and are at an increased risk of rehospitalization [33]. For instance, patients with concomitant HFpEF and COPD have a 1.5-fold increased risk of (re)hospitalization and a 1.42 increased risk of cardiovascular death [31]. Importantly, patients with COPD and concomitant heart failure remain less likely to receive adequate treatment for heart failure, such as with beta-blockers [34].

The diagnosis of heart failure in patients with COPD is challenging [35]. Symptoms of heart failure and COPD can be difficult to differentiate, with exertional dyspnea, nocturnal cough, and paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea not specific to either. Findings on physical examination may also overlap. Additionally, chest radiography is not reliable in detecting features of heart failure in those patients with COPD with severe hyperinflation, disturbing the cardiothoracic ratio. Signs of pulmonary congestion on chest X-rays may be seen permanently in people with severe COPD [36]. Transthoracic echocardiography windows in COPD can be impaired due to lung hyperinflation [37]. BNP and NT-proBNP levels are normal to mildly elevated in stable patients with COPD but can be useful in excluding heart failure in patients with COPD when present at higher concentrations [37].

2.3. COPD and pulmonary hypertension

Although a degree of pulmonary hypertension (PH) in patients with COPD is not uncommon, severe pulmonary hypertension is rare. A meta-analysis by Zhang et al. found a pooled prevalence of 39% (95% CI: 34–44.412 = 97.6%) for COPD-related pulmonary hypertension, with an increasing prevalence in patients with severe COPD [38,39]. The pathophysiology underlying PH associated with lung disease and/or hypoxia is characterized by the proliferation of endothelial and smooth muscle cells with rarefaction of blood vessels [40]. This differs from classic pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) [40]. This distinct vasculopathy in COPD has been linked with long-term exposure to tobacco smoke. Severe PH in COPD with a high pulmonary vascular resistance (more than 5 WU) may reflect severe underlying pulmonary vasculopathy [40]. There are currently no PH-specific treatments registered for PH-associated with COPD, although PDE5 inhibitors could be considered in severe PH associated with COPD (PVR >5 WU) [40], with some studies suggesting an improvement in exercise capacity [41].

2.4. COPD and atrial fibrillation

Atrial fibrillation is the most common sustained arrhythmia. The prevalence of atrial fibrillation in patients with COPD is high and estimated to be around 25% [42]. When both diseases are present, patients experience a higher symptom burden, poorer quality of life and worse cardiovascular and bleeding outcomes. Concomitant COPD and AF also increase the number of hospital admissions and are associated with an increase in all-cause mortality [43,44]. All-cause mortality in patients with combined COPD-AF is 50% at 5 years [45] and data suggest a temporal relation such that patients diagnosed with COPD before AF show a worse prognosis than patients found to have COPD after an AF diagnosis [46]. There are no specific treatment guidelines for the management of COPD-AF, and undertreatment of both diseases (inhaled pharmacotherapy and beta-blockers) is often seen, despite studies suggesting no increased risk of adverse events [42].

2.5. COPD-OSA overlap syndrome and cardiovascular disease

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a prevalent and well-recognized comorbidity in cardiovascular disease. The combined presence of COPD and OSA is referred to as COPD-OSA overlap syndrome [47]. This overlap syndrome is highly prevalent in patients diagnosed with OSA or COPD [47], and the relationship is likely to be bidirectional [48]. Overlap syndrome is more prevalent in moderate to severe COPD compared to milder COPD [48].

The pathophysiology of COPD-OSA overlap syndrome is complex since there are both risk factors and protective factors in COPD that contribute to the co-occurrence. Risk factors for developing OSA in COPD include the use of corticosteroids, pharyngeal muscle weakness, smoking and fluid shifts when sleeping in the supine position [49]. There are also protective factors for OSA in COPD. Upper airway patency is associated with lung volume and the hyperinflation seen in COPD may thus protect against OSA. Indeed, higher indices of air trapping and emphysema severity are associated with a lower apnea-hypopnea index. Hyperinflation, however, is associated with a lower arousal threshold which could contribute to the pathogenesis of overlap syndrome [50]. Furthermore, COPD is associated with increased sleep fragmentation and lower REM sleep, which contributes to poor sleep quality in COPD, yet paradoxically protects from OSA. Other protective factors include older age and lower body mass index [51]. Given this heterogeneity in risk factors, it is likely that different COPD phenotypes may drive OSA [51], with COPD patients with airway-predominant COPD being at a particularly increased risk for developing OSA [52].

Concomitant COPD and OSA have a profound impact on patients. Not only do patients with overlap have worse sleep quality, overlap syndrome is associated with an increased risk of exacerbations of COPD and increased medical costs [53]. A recent meta-analysis showed that COPD-OSA overlap
syndrome is also associated with increased cardiovascular risk [47]. Patients with overlap syndrome had an increased risk of hypertension and peripheral arterial disease compared to patients with OSA alone. There are also studies suggesting an increased risk of ischemic heart disease, heart failure and stroke in COPD-OSA overlap.

It is thought that the increased hypoxic burden seen in the overlap syndrome, compared to either disease alone [54], is the most important contributor to the development of cardiovascular disease. This was recently confirmed using data from the European Sleep Apnea Database, showing that nocturnal hypoxia was strongly associated with hypertension, diabetes, and heart failure [55]. Other contributing factors increasing the risk of cardiovascular disease are systemic inflammation, increased sympathetic nerve activation and endothelial dysfunction [51].

Gender differences further exacerbate this issue. Women with OSA show elevated markers of systemic inflammation and hypoxic burden, putatively translating to an increased risk of cardiovascular risk observed in women with OSA [56]. Since both COPD and OSA are poorly recognized in women, there are important challenges remaining to COPD-OSA overlap diagnosis in women [56]. Diagnosing COPD-OSA overlap is important since effective treatment may lower mortality and hospitalizations for exacerbations of COPD [48]. Although the optimal type of positive airway pressure therapy (PAP) remains controversial, as does the impact of PAP on cardiovascular outcomes, recent studies suggest that correct treatment with PAP improves cardiovascular outcomes [57]. Evidence of the benefit of chronic noninvasive ventilation is stronger in patients living with COPD and concomitant hypercapnia in terms of reducing mortality and hospitalizations and improving quality of life and symptoms. Furthermore, guidelines also suggest screening such patients for underlying obstructive sleep apnea [58].

3. Mechanisms of cardiovascular disease in COPD (Figure 1)

3.1. Shared risk factors and systemic inflammation

The most important shared risk factor between COPD and cardiovascular disease is tobacco smoking. Smoking is a known and significant risk factor for the development of atherosclerotic disease, including coronary artery disease, and is the major risk factor for the development of COPD [59]. The mechanism by which smoking contributes to cardiovascular disease is not fully understood. It is thought to be a complex cascade of events leading to endothelial dysfunction and damage due to oxidative stress, the development of a hypercoagulative state, altered lipid status with increasing amounts of cholesterol and a hyperinflammatory state [60]. This hyperinflammatory state is also thought to drive the

![Figure 1. Mechanism of cardiovascular risk in COPD.](image-url)

Cardiovascular disease and COPD share common risk factors, such as smoking and putatively shared pathways such as accelerated aging and the risk of COPD and CVD in individuals with a low lung function trajectory during childhood. Once COPD has been established, the risk of CVD is increased through the effects of systemic inflammation, hypoxemia and hyperinflation on the heart, vasculature, and autonomic nerve system. These combined effects lead to impaired cardiac function, endothelial dysfunction, and autonomic nerve disbalance, which predisposes these individuals living with COPD to the development of cardiovascular events such as myocardial infarction, heart failure, stroke and arrhythmias. The pathophysiology of COPD also increases the risk of developing other cardiovascular risk factors such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus and sleep apnea which further augments the development of such cardiovascular events. Created with BioInfer.com.
development of COPD. Repeated exposure to irritants, such as cigarette smoke, leads to airway and alveolar epithelial inflammation and subsequent damage to the lung parenchyma in those individuals with a genetic susceptibility. This eventually leads to tissue damage and infiltration of macrophages and neutrophils into the lung tissue [61].

Smoking cessation leads to a significant reduction in cardiovascular disease and is the primary CVD preventive strategy for tobacco smokers. A retrospective study by Duncan et al. showed that heavy smokers (>20 pack years) who quit smoking had a significant risk reduction for incident cardiovascular disease (HR > 0.61; 95% CI, 0.49–0.76) within 5 years [62]. Similarly, ang Ho Doo et al. showed that patients with COPD who stopped smoking gained a 17% reduction in all-cause mortality (aHR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.69–1.00) and a 44% reduction in cardiovascular mortality (aHR, 0.56; 95% CI, 0.33–0.95) when compared to continuing smokers [59].

3.2. Accelerated ageing

Many of the characteristics seen in COPD, such as increased air trapping, loss of lung elastic recoil, and dilatation of the alveoli, can also be seen with healthy aging of the lung and there is evidence that COPD can be considered a disease of accelerated aging [63]. This process of accelerated aging is complex but includes telomere shortening, cell senescence of endothelial cells and a reduction in cell proliferation [64]. Similar aging pathways have also been identified in the cardiovascular system [65], suggesting common molecular mechanisms of accelerated aging explaining the increased incidence of COPD and cardiovascular disease [64].

3.3. Impaired lung function trajectories in early adulthood

As described above, there are different lung function trajectories that lead to the development of COPD. A cohort study by Agusti et al. showed that approximately 4% of the general population starts with impaired FEV1 (<80%) in early adulthood. People with lower-than-normal FEV1 in adulthood may show a normal rate of decline in pulmonary function and still meet criteria for COPD. This group had an increased risk of cardiovascular and metabolic abnormalities in early childhood and developed comorbidities ten years earlier than patients starting with a normal FEV1 [66].

3.4. Hypoxemia

Hypoxemia may be seen in severe COPD and is most often caused by ventilation-perfusion (V/Q) mismatch. Hypoxemia can be the result of either a high V/Q mismatch from alveolar destruction as seen in emphysema or a low V/Q mismatch due to poor ventilation in areas with normal blood flow. Exercise-induced desaturation and hypoxemia are not generally seen until diffusion capacity is around 50% and hypoxemia at rest when diffusion capacity is as low as 30% [66]. When present, hypoxemia increases systemic inflammation, oxidative stress, foam cell production and upregulation of cellular adhesion in endothelial cells, which might contribute to the progression of atherosclerosis and coronary artery disease. [22] Chronic hypoxia causes pulmonary vascular remodelling and pulmonary artery endothelial dysfunction, which may contribute to the development of pulmonary hypertension [67].

3.5. Hyperinflation

COPD leads to a decrease in lung elastic recoil, shifting the equilibrium between lung and thoracic cage pressure and resulting in a change in lung volumes. Hyperinflation is defined as an increase in total lung capacity above the upper limit of normal together with an increase in RV/TLC or FRC/TLC ratio [2]. This static hyperinflation is associated with greater intrathoracic pressure swings during respiration which may impact cardiac function. MRI studies show that emphysema is associated with lower right and left ventricular end-diastolic volumes [68]. The latter study also suggested the mechanism underpinning this was low intrathoracic blood volume caused by depression of pulmonary vasculature, induced by increased intrathoracic pressure. Reducing hyperinflation either through lung volume reduction interventions or bronchodilatation improves cardiac preload and cardiac contractility [69,70]. Increased thoracic pressure swings also lead to increased transmural pressures as reflected by the association with emphysema and left ventricular mass in epidemiological studies [71]. Greater left ventricular mass in patients with COPD is associated with a 1.5-fold increased risk of mortality compared to COPD patients with normal left ventricular dimensions [72]. Intrathoracic pressure swings can be aggravated by exercise resulting in dynamic hyperinflation. COPD patients tend to increase their end-expiratory lung volume as a consequence of expiratory flow limitation. This dynamic hyperinflation results in an attenuated cardiac response in exercises [73].

4. Which populations with COPD are at risk of CVD?

In the context of community primary prevention of cardiovascular disease, it is common to use risk-scoring tools. A number of these are available, used in different settings, and varying considerably in complexity [74]. Direct evidence of benefit from implementation remains unclear [75]. Interestingly, the QRISK3 algorithm in the UK includes some systemic inflammatory conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis, and systemic lupus (SLE), but not COPD [76]. There is evidence to suggest that QRISK3 systematically underestimates cardiac risk in people living with COPD, as do other scores such as Framingham and ASSIGN [77]. Similarly, there has been the suggestion that people with COPD should be considered for anticoagulation at lower CHA2DS2-VASc scores [78]. Conversely, respiratory clinicians may not be using all the information available to them in assessing and managing cardiac risk. This is increasingly apparent with the wider use of CT imaging in COPD, and in lung cancer screening programs, permitting a qualitative or quantitative assessment of coronary artery calcification. COPD is a heterogeneous condition, which raises the important question of whether specific phenotypes of COPD may be
associated with excess cardiovascular risk. There is some evidence, for example, that CV risk may be tracked with airway phenotypes including those with airway wall thickening on CT [79].

5. Complexity in the co-diagnosis of COPD in those with cardiovascular disease

Diagnosing COPD in patients with cardiovascular disease requires the implementation of (post-bronchodilator) spirometry testing within cardiovascular care pathways. Despite the fact that COPD is highly prevalent in patients with cardiovascular disease, as described above, and has a significant impact on long-term survival in cardiovascular diseases, guideline recommendations on how and whom to screen for COPD in cardiovascular settings are scarce. The European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guideline on heart failure only states that screening ‘can be considered in patients with suspected COPD,’ proposing spirometry as the first-line screening tool. Analogous recommendations are lacking in guidelines on ischemic heart disease and atrial fibrillation [80–82]. As a consequence, spirometry testing remains underused in people with cardiovascular diseases [35]. This knowledge gap has recently been addressed by a European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA)-led multidisciplinary consortium (EHRA-PATHS) aimed at developing new multi-morbidity care pathways in AF [83]. In a recent survey, specific barriers to implementing such pathways included the lack of integrated care models, organizational or institutional issues and challenges with patient adherence [84].

Deploying spirometry in the setting of integrated cardiovascular care pathways comes with specific challenges. First, it is not clear who should be screened. Because of shared risk factors (e.g., smoking) and symptomatology (dyspnea, exercise intolerance), commonly used case-finding questionnaires for COPD probably lack sufficient accuracy – although there is very little data in this area. This is also true for patients with heart failure, and patients with AF, 60% of whom present with dyspnea as their main symptom [3]. This likely necessitates the upfront deployment of spirometry as the first-line case-finding tool in the setting of cardiovascular disease. Next, it is not yet established how and where such spirometry should take place in cardiovascular care pathways. The capacity to complete spirometry in lung function laboratories is likely not sufficient to allow mass screening of all people with cardiovascular diseases. Case-finding for underlying COPD using handheld micro-spirometers in primary care could be an alternative strategy but comes at the price of lower accuracy and performance [85] if not associated with appropriate training and quality control systems [86]. Third, correctly interpreting spirometry in the context of multimorbidity cardiopulmonary disease is challenging since lung function abnormalities similar to COPD can be seen in bronchial wall edema as a feature of heart failure [87]. Indeed, one study showed an obstructive ventilatory deficit (defined as FEV1/FVC <0.7) in 20% of heart failure patients admitted for acute cardiac decompensation that mostly ‘resolved’ six months after admission [88]. This highlights the risk of over-diagnosing ‘COPD’ in heart failure patients who are not euvolemic [89]. In general, restrictive ventilatory limitations (PRISm) are more frequently seen in patients with heart failure, ranging from mild to severe restrictive ventilatory limitations [90], even in the absence of underlying lung disease [91]. A fourth challenge is the interpretation of obstructive ventilatory limitations in the, often elderly, multimorbid patient presenting with dyspnea or exercise intolerance. Here both pulmonary and cardiac limitations may explain the symptomatology [3] complicating the correct interpretation of spirometry and subsequent treatment initiation. Measuring NT pro-BNP has added value in these settings to discriminate between COPD and heart failure and some guidelines have addressed diagnosis in these patients [92]. Trans-thoracic echocardiography may be challenging in hyper-inflated people with COPD. Though not available in every setting, cardiopulmonary exercise testing might add to identifying the limiting and contributing factors to reduced exercise intolerance and one study has suggested that ventilatory efficiency during exercise, the so-called Ve-slope, can help to identify cardiac and pulmonary limitations in patients with concomitant cardiopulmonary diseases [93].

There are particular challenges in correctly interpreting lung function in the context of cardiovascular diseases. First, there is accumulating evidence that lung function a priori predisposes people to develop cardiovascular disease. For instance, a reduced FVC has been associated with an increased risk for coronary artery disease [94], heart failure [95], and atrial fibrillation [96]. Likewise, an obstructive ventilatory limitation (‘COPD’) is independently associated with the future risk of heart failure and atrial fibrillation [97]. It is thought that subclinical lung function results in structural cardiac changes increasing the risk of overt cardiovascular disease. A low FEV1/FVC is associated with left ventricular (LV) underfilling and lower cardiac output and thus is a putative precursor for overt heart failure. Likewise, a low FVC is associated with higher LV mass, higher LV filling pressure, and higher pulmonary artery systolic pressure (PASP) in later life [98]. Recent exercise studies have increased our understanding of the detrimental effect of increasing pulmonary capillary pressure on ventilatory mechanics and oxygen uptake during exercise [99]. Exercise induces increases in pulmonary capillary pressure and triggers a cascade of pulmonary vascular dysfunction by increasing pulsatile loading while at the same time limiting distensibility [100]. Simultaneous increases in pulmonary extravascular fluid lead to a decrease in pulmonary vascular compliance and an increase in resistance, which in turn impairs pulmonary gas exchange. This cascade ultimately leads to right ventricular (RV) impairment and RV to PC uncoupling increasing respiratory drive relative to CO2 production, shown as an increase in the VE/VCO2 slope during exercise [100]. In addition, other mechanisms that contribute to the (restrictive) lung function impairment seen in cardiovascular disease are respiratory muscle fatigue, cardiac enlargement and reduced compliance due to the collapse of under-perfused alveoli as a consequence of low cardiac output [91].

Novel developments in digital respiratory technologies can overcome at least some of the challenges of deploying spirometry for COPD case-finding. Newer handheld spirometry devices share some advantages which make upfront spirometry in cardiology services within reach. First, these devices can
include an artificial intelligence-based quality assurance process which provides immediate feedback. This decreases the number of lung function measurement failures when performed by non-experts. Secondly, spirometry recordings may be directly uploaded to a cloud where they can be reviewed remotely by a physiologist or pulmonologist for quality and interpretation. We recently described the implementation of a COPD diagnostic work-up within the setting of an AF clinical care pathway and showed that COPD screening performed by cardiology nurses is both feasible and provides sufficient accuracy when using handheld (micro)spirometry in the cardiology clinic without direct supervision [101]. This is one example where digital technologies can support the development and execution of integrated care models. Future studies should aim at investigating whether such opportunistic screening can overcome organizational or institutional barriers for upscaling and whether the management approaches based on the screening improve treatment outcomes in patients with cardiovascular diseases.

6. Therapy for COPD and implications for cardiovascular events and risk

There are many effective pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions to mitigate symptoms and reduce the risk of COPD exacerbations [10]. The major non-pharmacological interventions are exposure reduction (e.g. smoking cessation), vaccinations against respiratory pathogens, and an exercise and education class termed Pulmonary Rehabilitation. Aside from short-acting, as-needed beta-2-agonist, the mainstay of pharmacologic treatment is the combination of a long-acting beta-2-agonist with a long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LABA-LAMA) with or without the addition of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) – ideally in a single device – for those in whom the risk-benefit profile is favorable [10].

Exacerbation prevention is a major goal of COPD therapy. Importantly, as exacerbations are associated with periods of elevated cardiac risk, it follows that exacerbation reduction interventions may prevent cardiovascular events. Direct evidence of that, however, has been more challenging to demonstrate in clinical trials. The SUMMIT study [102] tested the hypothesis that ICS-LABA therapy would reduce all-cause mortality and the incidence of cardiovascular events in people living with COPD at elevated risk of cardiovascular disease. Cardiovascular disease was defined as established cardiovascular disease or diabetes mellitus with end-organ disease, and increased cardiovascular risk was defined as aged >60 years and receiving medication for more than two of hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, or peripheral arterial disease. Whilst both individual treatments (ICS and LABA) and the combination ICS-LABA reduced COPD exacerbations, this did not translate to a significant reduction in all-cause mortality or in cardiovascular endpoints.

Two large studies called ETHOS [103] and IMPACT [104] have subsequently included all-cause mortality as a key secondary endpoint when comparing exacerbation rates between triple ICS-LABA-LAMA and dual comparators (ICS-LABA, and LABA-LAMA). ICS-LAMA has not been examined. In both studies, triple therapy delivered in one inhaler was superior to both comparators at exacerbation reduction. In ETHOS, there was a greater reduction in mortality compared to LABA-LAMA (but not ICS-LABA) [105] and the suggestion that the difference in deaths was driven by fewer cardiovascular events. A similar pattern was observed in IMPACT which used a different single inhaler triple therapy suggesting that there are class effects here with ICS-LABA-LAMA [104]. Note that these data primarily suggest that it is the addition of ICS that is associated with mortality reduction. The number-needed-to-treat is lower than many established CVD interventions. ICS are anti-inflammatory, and long-acting bronchodilators reduce hyperinflation thus all components are active against the key mechanisms linking COPD with CVD and which are exaggerated during exacerbations.

Using endobronchial valves to reduce hyperinflation is also associated with improvements in cardiac preload and cardiac output [69], providing mechanistic support for the concept of dual bronchodilator drugs improving cardiac function through the reduction of hyperinflation.

Whilst the focus of this review is on atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease and arrhythmias resulting in acute cardiovascular events, it is important to note that COPD can also be associated with (group 3) pulmonary hypertension as described above. This is likely due to chronic hypoxic vasoconstriction, and loss of the capillary bed in emphysema [106]. The latter may explain the poor response of people with group 3 pulmonary hypertension to vasodilator drugs, and thus the poor prognosis of this group. For example, the phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor tadalafil was not associated with either improved exercise capacity or quality of life in COPD [107]. Detection of patients with significant pulmonary hypertension remains important, to guide the use of long-term oxygen therapy and assessment for transplantation. Whilst definitive assessment requires right-heart catheterization, the ratio of the pulmonary artery to aorta size on CT can provide an initial indication of likely pulmonary hypertension and risk of severe exacerbations [108].

Regarding pulmonary rehabilitation, physical activity outside of the class was more closely related to improvements in aortic stiffness – as a marker of cardiovascular risk – than improvement in exercise capacity in people with COPD attending pulmonary rehabilitation [109] such that responses in aortic stiffness during PR are variable [110].

6.1. Using cardiovascular drugs to treat COPD

Given the high prevalence of cardiovascular disease and risk factors in patients living with COPD, including smoking, hypertension and hypercholesterolemia, primary prevention through risk factor modification is an important treatment goal [22]. This includes regular cardiovascular assessment (blood pressure measurements) and adequate lifestyle advice (smoking cessation and regular exercise). Interestingly, influenza vaccination might offer both respiratory and cardiac benefits to patients with COPD and should be promoted accordingly [111]. Patients with clinically manifest cardiovascular disease and COPD should receive guideline-recommended treatment of cardiovascular disease irrespective
of COPD diagnosis. Of note, this includes beta-blockers, for instance, in patients with heart failure or atrial fibrillation. Current practices show the underuse of such medications, even when indicated using conventional criteria and despite the good safety profile of cardio-selective beta-blockers in COPD [112].

Real-world evidence suggested better COPD outcomes in people with COPD receiving treatment with cardiovascular drugs. [113] And incentivized randomized controlled trials of cardiovascular drugs in COPD, outside the primary cardiovascular indication, have evaluated if such drugs can ameliorate COPD outcomes including exacerbations. However, many of these interventions showed negative results when assessed in RCTs. For instance, the BLOCK-COPD, a study in people with moderate to severe COPD and no primary indication for β-blocker, was prematurely stopped because of an increased risk of hospitalization in those randomized to metoprolol [114]. Likewise, simvastatin did not reduce the risk of COPD exacerbations in a randomized controlled trial [115]. More recently, observational evidence has emerged suggesting that GLP-1 receptor agonists and SGLT-2 inhibitors (but not DPP-4 inhibitors) are associated with fewer severe exacerbations compared with sulfonylureas in people with COPD and type 2 diabetes [116]. Observational data also exist for benefits on mortality and exacerbations from drugs affecting the renin-angiotensin system [117]. The same is true for antiplatelet therapies, where observational studies have been associated with reduced exacerbation risk and mortality [118] and mechanistic studies support altered responses to aspirin in COPD [119], which could contribute to thrombotic complications. However, given the discrepancy between real-world and RCT evidence for β-blockers and statins, such results with other drug classes urgently require confirmation in RCTs. Such trials are additionally complex to conduct and interpret because of high screen-fail rates given the high prevalence of (previously undiagnosed) cardiovascular disease and CVD risk factors in clinical trial populations with COPD.

7. Cardiovascular risk and exacerbations of COPD

The increased vulnerability to cardiovascular events in patients with COPD is even more pronounced around the time of and following an exacerbation of COPD. In a post hoc analysis of the SUMMIT trial, described above, the hazard ratio (HR) for cardiovascular events was 4 times higher in the first 30 days after an exacerbation [120]. Similar results have been published from registry studies. In a national Danish study, the odds for a cardiovascular event was 3.7 times higher within 4 weeks after an exacerbation compared to exacerbation-free time periods [121]. This risk was consistent throughout each of the major cardiovascular outcomes studied; cardiovascular death OR 4.3, acute MI OR 3.6 and stroke OR 2.8 [121]. Recently, the results from a multi-country registry study were published investigating the risk of cardiovascular events after an exacerbation, the EXACOS-CV study [122]. This study showed that CV risk peaked around the onset of the exacerbation (day 1–7) with the odds ratios for cardiovascular events or death ranging between 1.2–3.9 and 15.8–49.6 after a moderate or severe (hospitalized) exacerbation respectively [123]. This and other studies have shown that CV risk is most pronounced when an exacerbation is more severe [120,124]. Importantly, the increased risk for cardiovascular events following an exacerbation persists beyond this short-term period. In the previously mentioned SUMMIT trial, the hazard risk of cardiovascular events remained doubled for up to one year after a moderate or severe exacerbation [120].

Several mechanisms may explain the increased CV risk after an exacerbation. Central to the pathophysiology of an exacerbation is a worsening of airway inflammation evoked by viruses, bacteria and environmental pollutants [125]. These pro-inflammatory cytokines and inflammatory mediators, such as interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor-alpha, can ‘leak’ into the systemic circulation causing systemic inflammation, which in turn can activate inflammatory cells in atherosclerotic plaques [126]. This exacerbation stimulus-associated activity in atherosclerotic plaques contributes to plaque destabilization and increases the risk of thrombotic events such as myocardial infarction and stroke [126]. The risk of such ischemic vascular events may also be increased as a result of the accompanying hypoxemia seen during an exacerbation and the increased oxygen demand due to inflammatory- and medication-induced tachycardia [127]. Other pathogen-specific mechanisms could be the direct invasion of viruses into the heart causing local inflammation such as is seen in influenza [127].

This inflammatory response simultaneously activates coagulation and thrombosis pathways that further increase the risk of thrombosis at sites of plaque disruption causing cardiovascular events [128]. COPD exacerbations are associated with increased platelet activation, which can result in the formation of vascular thrombosis [129]. Plasma coagulation is further increased during an exacerbation of COPD, also predisposing patients to venous thromboembolisms [129]. The inflammatory response, combined with the increased oxidative stress seen with an exacerbation, can impair endothelial function. Indeed, markers of endothelial dysfunction, such as activated von Willebrand Factor, are increased during an exacerbation [42,129]. Such endothelial dysfunction can lead to the progression and rupture of atherosclerotic lesions and decreased blood flow due to vasospasm and thrombosis [130].

Changes in lung mechanics and accompanying VQ mismatch during an exacerbation also make COPD patients vulnerable to cardiovascular events [131]. Bronchospasm and mucus impaction lead to an increase in airway resistance and subsequent worsening of expiratory flow limitation [131]. Expiratory flow limitation in turn leads to (dynamic) hyperinflation and increased positive intrathoracic pressures [131]. This increase in dynamic hyperinflation (DH) impairs venous return and reduces right ventricular preload [132]. Right ventricular end-diastolic pressure increases as a result of increased pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) caused by the positive intrathoracic pressure and PVR is further increased as a result of hypoxemic vasoconstriction due to increased VQ mismatch seen in exacerbations [131]. The resulting increased right ventricular function impairs left ventricular diastolic function as a consequence of interventricular dependency [133]. Left ventricular function is further depressed by increased transmural pressure and left ventricular afterload resulting from negative thoracic pressure as a consequence of increased
airway resistance [132]. Tachycardia, hypoxemia and hypercapnia further contribute to a compromised left ventricular function and can induce myocardial infarction, (supra)ventricular arrhythmia, heart failure and cardiovascular death.

A fourth mechanism that could trigger cardiovascular events is increased sympathetic nerve activation in COPD [134]. An exacerbation promotes a milieu for sympathetic nerve overactivation: systemic inflammation, increased respiratory effort, increased thoracic pressure swings, secondary pulmonary hypertension, concomitant hypoxemia and hypercapnia can all trigger sympathetic nerve activity [135]. Though beta-agonist use has not been shown to be associated with sympathetic nerve overactivity [136], (over)use of beta-agonists is common during an exacerbation [137] and might therefore trigger the sympathetic nerve system. Overactivity of the sympathetic nerve system leads to vasoconstriction, hypertension and increased afterload contributing to cardiovascular events [135]. Increased sympathetic nerve activity also increases automaticity and action potential shortening which may lead to (supra)ventricular arrhythmias, such as atrial fibrillation [42]. Indeed, the risk of an AF-related hospitalization is indeed increased in the first 90 days after a severe exacerbation [42]. Other arrhythmogenic triggers during an exacerbation are the increased right atrial pressure as a consequence of the increased right ventricular end-diastolic pressure (RVEDP) and the transient shortening of the right atrial effective refractory period resulting from the increased intrathoracic pressure swings [42]. Interestingly, it has been shown that the transition from hypercapnia to normo-ventilation, rather than prolonged hypoxemia or hypercapnia itself, increases right atrial vulnerability leading to conducting disturbances [138].

Some of the above-mentioned mechanisms might also explain the extended risk (beyond the first 30 days) of cardiovascular events around the time of a COPD exacerbation although this is still poorly understood. The mechanisms may be similar to the prolonged risk of cardiovascular events observed after pneumonia and include persistent inflammatory activity and a prolonged procoagulant state [139]. Long-term studies on inflammatory trajectories in COPD are scarce. Two small studies show full resolution of systemic inflammation after 14 days, however [140]. Even less is known about whether the hypercoagulable state persists after an exacerbation [141]. Exacerbations lead to a decline in lung function. In around 7–8% of COPD patients, lung function does not fully recover >90 days after an exacerbation [142]. As mentioned previously such patients will have a sustained worsening of expiratory flow which depresses left ventricular function and could put them at risk for heart failure and ischemic heart disease. Other proposed mechanisms for the prolonged cardiovacular risk after an exacerbation are accelerated atherosclerosis induced either by endothelial dysfunction or oxidative stress, or reduced physical activity after an exacerbation [78]. In favor of the latter hypothesis is the prolonged and slow recovery of arterial stiffness that is seen after an exacerbation [143]. Another interesting hypothesis is that an exacerbation induces changes in the airway or gastrointestinal microbiome that could be more atherogenic [144]. There is indeed a link between changes in gut microbiota and the occurrence of cardiovascular disease [145]. A final putative mechanism explaining the extended cardiovascular risk after an exacerbation of COPD is the observation that exacerbations may induce myocardial fibrosis as seen in studies using MRI [146], which could subsequently be an ongoing substrate for left ventricular dysfunction or arrhythmias.

8. Practical advice: pro-active identification and management of CV risk in COPD

The best current advice is to carefully consider and manage cardiovascular risk factors in people living with COPD, Figure 2, and to consider the presence of undiagnosed COPD in people with established cardiovascular disease with institution of appropriate COPD management. Active steps include regular cardiovascular risk management in every person living with COPD allowing prevention of, or early detection of cardiovascular comorbidities. Likewise, COPD should be actively sought (or excluded) early in people with cardiovascular disease through active case-finding and detection of abnormal lung function (COPD and PRISM). The presence of abnormal spirometry should prompt the cardiovascular clinician to optimize respiratory health. Given the overlapping symptoms and intertwined pathophysiology, patients with combined cardiovascular and respiratory disease will likely benefit from a multidisciplinary and integrated care approach [3]. People living with COPD and clinically manifest cardiovascular disease should receive cardiovascular treatment according to existing guidelines, mitigating current evidence suggesting undertreatment of CV disease in people with COPD. However, there is no current evidence to support the wider use of cardiovascular therapies beyond established cardiovacular indications in COPD to improve COPD outcomes. Optimizing COPD treatment, particularly with regards to preventing exacerbations, reduces premature, avoidable cardiopulmonary mortality and that seems, at least in part, to be driven by a reduced risk of cardiovascular events with ICS-LABA-LAMA. Those working in cardiovascular medicine should be alert to undiagnosed or inadequately treated COPD and recognize that appropriate diagnosis and management of COPD may provide additional benefit on cardiovascular outcomes.

9. Conclusion

COPD and CVD commonly coexist but the overlap is often not recognized. Combined COPD-CVD has a severe impact on quality of life and results in premature, avoidable mortality throughout the spectrum of cardiovascular diseases. Key mechanisms include inflammation, hyperinflation, and hypoxia. Proactive identification of CVD and CV risk in people with COPD, and COPD in people with CVD is essential to reduce cardiopulmonary risk and improve clinical outcomes.

10. Expert opinion

Given the high prevalence of co-existing COPD and CVD, and the elevated CV risk in COPD, not adopting a pro-active approach to the identification of COPD in those with CVD,
and not managing CV risk in those with COPD will lead to preventable ill-health and avoidable, premature mortality. The challenge here is primarily one of health policy and policy implementation: the tools to diagnose COPD are not complex, yet access to spirometry remains limited in many settings. Similarly, there is a lack of awareness that COPD (including undiagnosed COPD) is a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease. Addressing both is key to making progress toward reducing premature cardiopulmonary mortality, including meeting WHO Sustainable Development Goals. A deeper understanding of the complex mechanisms underlying the interactions between COPD and CVD could assist development of novel interventions. Meanwhile, effective treatment of both conditions according to up-to-date guidance is the current best practical approach. Closer integration of care between cardiologists, pulmonologists and primary care teams – in partnership with patients can best support this. Given that COPD exacerbations are a major driver of CV risk in COPD, a renewed focus on preventing exacerbations is an important approach. This includes a ‘zero tolerance’ of exacerbations by patients and clinicians: having frequent exacerbations in COPD should not be seen as an inevitable consequence of living with COPD, in the same way, that myocardial infarction is not an inevitable consequence of living with cardiovascular risk. Future opportunities include the ability of artificial intelligence to support the interpretation and quality assurance of spirometry, and the wider roll-out of CT-based lung cancer screening, and CT-based cardiac imaging, the images from both of which can support the identification of those with emphysema, and those with elevated cardiovascular risk through coronary artery calcification assessment. As a result of these developments, and greater awareness of the importance of co-existent COPD and CVD, we advocate for and anticipate better identification of co-existent COPD and CVD, and management of CV risk in COPD over the coming years.

Given that patients with COPD are at an increased risk both for future pulmonary (i.e. exacerbations) as well as for cardiovascular (i.e. MACE) adverse events, the term cardiopulmonary risk has been introduced [147]. Cardiopulmonary risk can be understood as the chance of a patient with COPD experiencing a major adverse cardiovascular or pulmonary event, or both. Introducing this term conveys two powerful messages that could change the lives of people living with COPD. First, it emphasizes the need to treat patients with COPD in such a way that both cardiovascular and pulmonary adverse outcomes are minimized. Second, it steers treatment approaches away from the current reactive and symptom-based treatment algorithms toward a more proactive and preventive approach, mitigating future risks and -putatively- improving survival. Fundamental gaps in knowledge exist to realize this risk-reducing potential of COPD treatments and future studies should focus on unravelling the mechanisms driving this increased

---

**Figure 2.** Cardiovascular risk assessment in COPD.

Proposed algorithm for the assessment and treatment of cardiovascular risk in people living with COPD. This includes the recognition of shared risk factors, case finding for underlying cardiovascular disease, and the identification of COPD-related factors associated with the increased risk of cardiovascular disease such as recurrent exacerbation. Additional studies should include the use of formal risk assessment tools, blood measurements, lung function, ECG and CT thorax. If indicated, screening for pulmonary hypertension and sleep apnea should follow. Treatment of cardiovascular risk is based on three pillars, namely addressing risk factors with (non)-pharmacological interventions, guideline-based treatment of cardiovascular disease and optimizing COPD treatment.

Abbreviations: ABG, arterial blood gas; AF, atrial fibrillation; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ECG, electrocardiogram; ICS, inhalation corticosteroid; LABA, long-acting beta-2-agonist; LAMA, long-acting muscarinic antagonist; OSA, obstructive sleep apnea; PPG, photoplethysmography.
cardiovascular risk, as well as on exploring different treatment approaches to reduce cardiopulmonary events in people living with COPD. For instance, further evidence is required to understand the mechanisms of all-cause and CVD-related mortality reduction observed with ‘triple therapy’ (ICS-LABA-LAMA) in COPD. Second, current trials on cardiovascular drugs have so far failed to show any cardiopulmonary benefit in people living with COPD, which begs the question of whether specific endotypes of phenotypes of COPD exist that show favorable cardiopulmonary outcomes. Other unresolved research questions surround the timing of such cardiovascular drugs in COPD. The existing prothrombotic milieu of an exacerbation of COPD for instance suggests that anticoagulant therapy after an exacerbation might be helpful to decrease cardiovascular risk. These and other researchable questions that have been addressed [147], open a new research area with exciting possibilities for people living with COPD.
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* A report that introduces the term ‘cardiopulmonary risk’ and puts forward a research agenda to address the cardiovascular sequelae of COPD