# The value of Antarctic and Southern Ocean ecosystem services

Natalie Stoeckl,<sup>1,2†</sup>, Vanessa Adams<sup>3</sup>, Rachel Baird<sup>4</sup>, Anne Boothroyd<sup>3</sup>, Robert Costanza<sup>1,5</sup>, Darla Hatton MacDonald<sup>1</sup>, Glenn Finau<sup>1</sup>, Elizabeth A Fulton<sup>2,6</sup>, Matt A King<sup>3,8</sup>, Ida Kubiszewski<sup>1,5</sup>, Delphine Lannuzel<sup>8,9</sup>, Elizabeth Leane<sup>2,4</sup>, Jess Melbourne-Thomas<sup>2,6</sup>, Can-Seng Ooi<sup>10</sup>, Mala Raghavan<sup>1</sup>, Valeria Senigaglia<sup>11</sup>, Jing Tian<sup>1</sup>, and Satoshi Yamazaki<sup>1</sup>

† Email: Natalie.Stoeckl@utas.edu.au

- 1. College of Business and Economics, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia
- 2. Centre for Marine Socioecology, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia
- 3. School of Geography, Planning, and Spatial Sciences, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia
- 4. School of Humanities, College of Arts, Law and Education, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia
- 5. Institute for Global Prosperity, University College London, London, UK
- 6. CSIRO Environment, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia
- 7. Environmental Stewardship Program, Australian Antarctic Division, Kingston, Tasmania, Australia
- 8. Australian Centre for Excellence in Antarctic Science, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia
- 9. Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia
- 10. School of Social Sciences, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia
- Securing Antarctica's Environmental Future, School of Mathematical Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

#### Standfirst:

Antarctica and the Southern Ocean provide numerous ecosystem services that benefit people globally, but many are 'invisible' to markets and to some decision makers. A subset of these services – Antarctic tourism, commercial fisheries, and a suite of inter-related regulating services —are conservatively valued at ~USD180 billion annually, highlighting their importance.

Antarctica and the Southern Ocean (A&SO) are undergoing rapid environmental change: sea ice reached an all-time observational low in 2023, the Antarctic ice sheet has lost substantial mass, the Southern Ocean has become warmer and more acidic, and endemic marine biodiversity has diminished. These changes threaten the core physical, chemical and biological processes that create and sustain numerous ecosystem services that A&SO provide, including regulating services (climate control), provisioning services (fisheries) and cultural services (scientific research and tourism).

Previous studies have estimated the value of various types of ecosystems (including forests, grasslands, coastal areas, coral reefs and other marine systems). They have estimated that their ecosystem services generate benefits of ~USD145 trillion annually and that anthropogenic activities are eroding these values<sup>1-3</sup>. There are limited assessments of polar systems, although ecosystem service values in the Arctic have been estimated at USD ~290 billion annually<sup>4</sup>, suggesting A&SO values may also be high. While the supporting biophysical functions (and to some extent, social mechanisms) underpinning the A&SO's services are well understood, little is known about their economic value. Accordingly, prioritising actions and devising supporting strategies is challenging and, given rapid and ongoing anthropogenic changes, urgently needed.

Here, we outline and estimate the monetary value of a subset of A&SO's ecosystem services—fisheries, tourism and a suite of inter-related regulating and maintenance services. We acknowledge arguments about the ethics of valuation and the danger that it may lead to commodification and degradation but note that valuation can help raise awareness of otherwise 'invisible' services, garnering support for improved governance.

#### [H1] Regulating and maintenance services

A&SO regulate the Earth's climate through multiple, physical, chemical and biological processes that link ocean CO<sub>2</sub> uptake to water mass transport, ice melt, albedo, methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) retention and the distribution of heat, freshwater, carbon and nutrients around the globe. Of considerable importance is the ventilation, sequestration and storage of CO<sub>2</sub> and heat from the atmosphere into the Southern Ocean via atmosphere-ocean-biosphere interactions. This CO<sub>2</sub> and heat is subsequently redistributed over the global oceans via large-scale flows involving the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC), neighbouring subtropical gyres and vertical overturning circulation <sup>5</sup>. Thus, A&SO are central in regulating global CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, and related processes.

The economic value of these regulating services can be quantified as the global social and economic damages that are avoided by them. It is calculated by multiplying different estimates of the annual carbon sequestered by the Southern Ocean (1.28, 1.95 and 7.34 Mt CO<sub>2</sub> per year)<sup>6-8</sup> by different estimates of the social cost of carbon, SCC (22.00, 92.18 and 98.33 USD per tonne of CO<sub>2</sub>) (**Supplementary Methods**). Using the range of carbon sequestration and SCC estimates as a measure of uncertainty provides a low valuation of USD28.16 billion, a medium valuation of USD 175.98 billion, and a high valuation of USD 721.72 billion per year (**Table 1**). Estimates seemingly focus on CO<sub>2</sub>, but associated damages (such as infrastructure loss due to sea level rise) are embedded within most estimates of the SCC; even if the Southern Ocean's carbon sequestration rates are unaffected by climate change<sup>10</sup>, inter-related changes (in ice melt and sea level rise, for instance) will reduce the 'value' of these regulating services—fewer damages are avoided. Low estimates of SCC often include only a subset of potential impacts so underestimate total social costs. Our estimates are thus conservative although consistent with Dietz and Koninx <sup>9</sup>, who estimated the economic damages associated with Antarctic sea ice melt at \$49 billion USD per annum, and O'Garra <sup>4</sup>, who estimated values associated with albedo and CH<sub>4</sub> retention in in the Arctic permafrost at \$416 billion USD per annum.

Data limitations prevent quantifications of other regulating and maintenance services (including the filtering of airborne wastes, nuisances and noise). However, while these aspects can be of considerable value in ecosystems adjacent to large populations, they are unlikely to be substantial for Antarctica.

#### [H1] Provisioning services

In addition to critical roles in the ecosystem, particular marine species also have substantial value in fisheries <sup>11</sup>, contributing to their ecosystem services. Antarctic toothfish and krill, for example, are used as food for humans, high-value pharmaceuticals and food supplements. Considering tonnes of toothfish and krill caught in the Antarctic region managed by the Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR), the value of these fisheries is estimated at approximately USD 370 million per year (**Table 1**); values range between USD 80 million and USD 670 million depending on assumptions made about ex-vessel prices, profit margins and consumer surplus (**Supplementary Methods**). The ACC and neighbouring subtropical gyres transport Antarctic krill and nutrients thus supporting other global fisheries<sup>12</sup>. The additional value of these benefits is in the range of USD 260 million to USD 5.44 billion per annum, but is not included in the estimates above (**Supplementary Information**).

Several other provisioning services are also important in A&SO, including freshwater resources, ornamental resources or other raw materials, and medicinal and/or genetic resources, but data limitations prevent economic assessments of their value. While transport costs suggest that Antarctic freshwater resources are unlikely to be of substantial economic value, genetic resources might be, as suggested for a marine area north of Scotland where the option value of deep-sea organisms has been estimated at \$75 per household per annum<sup>13</sup>. Extrapolating the Scottish estimates globally (even if only across OECD countries), suggests large value. Accordingly, the USD 370 million estimate of provisioning value is likely an underestimate.

#### [H1] Cultural services

A&SO also provide cultural services in the form of tourism. Antarctic tourism has increased markedly, rising from ~8,000 to 105,000 tourists between the 1993-1994 and 2022-2023 seasons<sup>14</sup>. Shipborne tourism predominates, with 98% of tourism voyages visiting the Antarctic Peninsula in the summer and shoulder months (October-April). The value of the Antarctic tourism industry is estimated at approximately USD 820 million, ranging from USD 130 million to USD 1.5 billion (**Table 1**) depending on assumptions made about the cost of cruises, profit margins and the consumer surplus accruing to visitors (**Supplementary Methods**).

As with regulating and provisioning services, there are other cultural service values that cannot be quantified. Of note is the science undertaken within Antarctic research stations and under broader international programs whose monetary value has not been estimated. However, this research might have helped avoid economic damage—reports of ice melt and likely associated increases in sea levels may have encouraged the construction of sea walls to protect livelihoods and infrastructure —hence providing a monetarily valuable service. Symbolic, 'existence' or 'bequest' values are also important, but to the best of our knowledge, have not been assessed for Antarctica. Using another iconic region (the Great Barrier Reef) as an analogue, however, suggests these non-use values might be as high as USD 140 per household per annum.<sup>15</sup>

#### [H1] Governance

Only some of these ecosystem services are governed through the Antarctic Treaty System (ATS). When the Antarctic Treaty was first adopted in 1959, ecosystem services were not taken into consideration. The ATS has since evolved to incorporate a suite of related instruments including the Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Seals (CCAS, 1972), CCAMLR (1980), and the Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Antarctic Treaty (Madrid Protocol, 1991). Some of the A&SO ecosystem services discussed above are governed under the ATS, but not to the extent required to protect all into the future. This is because the ATS regulates activities which take place within the A&SO (including fishing, tourism and research), whereas most of the human activities that impact climate and the processes that underpin the A&SO's ability to provide regulating and maintenance services occur outside the region. The efforts of the Treaty parties to safeguard Antarctica as a place with unique environmental, cultural and scientific values, are undermined by forces beyond their remit.

#### [H1] Summary

Collectively, a subset of A&SO ecosystem services—fisheries, tourism and a suite of interrelated regulating services—are valued at ~USD180 billion per year (**Table 1**). Rapid climate related changes are occurring, and the magnitude of these ecosystem service values underscores the importance and urgency of finding better ways to manage the anthropogenic activities that are reducing the ability of the A&SO to provide them into the future. In light of the value of A&SO's regulating and maintenance services – and that of other 'icy' regions – their protection is a global imperative. A success of the ATS has been its ability to evolve over the past 60 years to add instruments that address emerging issues.

With more support, the ATS might also be able to adapt and evolve to protect ALL of the region's ecosystem services – not just those that can be protected by looking at A&SO.

#### References

- 1 Costanza, R. *et al.* The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. *nature* **387**, 253-260 (1997).
- 2 De Groot, R. *et al.* Global estimates of the value of ecosystems and their services in monetary units. *Ecosystem services* **1**, 50-61 (2012).
- 3 Costanza, R. *et al.* Changes in the global value of ecosystem services. *Global environmental change* **26**, 152-158 (2014).
- 4 O'Garra, T. Economic value of ecosystem services, minerals and oil in a melting Arctic: A preliminary assessment. *Ecosystem Services* **24**, 180-186 (2017).
- 5 Morrison, A. K., Frölicher, T. L. & Sarmiento, J. L. Upwelling in the southern ocean. *Physics Today* **68**, 27-32 (2015).
- 6 Arrigo, K. R., Worthen, D. L., Lizotte, M. P., Dixon, P. & Dieckmann, G. Primary production in Antarctic sea ice. *Science* **276**, 394-397 (1997).
- 7 Long, M. C. *et al.* Strong Southern Ocean carbon uptake evident in airborne observations. *Science* **374**, 1275-1280 (2021).
- 8 Landschützer, P., Gruber, N. & Bakker, D. C. Decadal variations and trends of the global ocean carbon sink. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* **30**, 1396-1417 (2016).
- 9 Dietz, S. & Koninx, F. Economic impacts of melting of the Antarctic Ice Sheet. *nature communications* **13**, 5819 (2022).
- 10 Williams, R. G., Ceppi, P., Roussenov, V., Katavouta, A. & Meijers, A. J. The role of the Southern Ocean in the global climate response to carbon emissions. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A* **381**, 20220062 (2023).
- 11 Cavan, E. *et al.* The importance of Antarctic krill in biogeochemical cycles. *Nature communications* **10**, 4742 (2019).
- 12 Pikitch, E. K. *et al.* The global contribution of forage fish to marine fisheries and ecosystems. *Fish and Fisheries* **15**, 43-64 (2014).
- 13 Jobstvogt, N., Hanley, N., Hynes, S., Kenter, J. & Witte, U. Twenty thousand sterling under the sea: estimating the value of protecting deep-sea biodiversity. *Ecological Economics* **97**, 10-19 (2014).
- International Association of Antarctic Tourism Operators, I. IAATO Deep Field and Air Overview of Antarctic Tourism: The 2022-23 Season, and Preliminary Estimates for 2023-24, IP 57, ATCM XLIV – CEP XXIV, Berlin (2023). Available via
  - https://www.ats.aq/devAS/Meetings/DocDatabase?lang=e. (2023).
- 15 O'Mahoney, J. *et al.* At what price? The economic, social and icon value of the Great Barrier Reef. (Deloitte Access Economic, 2017).

#### **Display item**

# Table 1: Summary of a subset of Ecosystem service values in Antarctica and the Southern Oceans,\$billions USD per annum (Supplementary Methods)

Service	Medium	Min	Max
Antarctic Tourism	0.82	0.13	1.50
Fish captured in commercial fisheries: Antarctic and Patagonian toothfish, Antarctic krill	0.37	0.08	0.67
Regulating services that are inter-related to $\text{CO}_2$ uptake	179.30	28.26	721.72
Totals	180.49	28.46	723.89

# Acknowledgements

This article stems from a workshop held at the University of Tasmania from 25 May to 2 June, 2023. It was supported by the University's College of Business and Economics, Centre for Marine Socioecology, and Antarctic and Southern Ocean Mission Integrator. The authors thank reviewers and editors of *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment* for their useful insights that have significantly improved this paper.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

# **Supplementary information**

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s415XX-XXX-XXXX-X

### Peer review information

*Nature Reviews Earth & Environment* thanks [Referee#1 name], [Referee#2 name] and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.