ARTICLE OPEN Cytokine profiling and transcriptomics in mononuclear cells define immune variants in Meniere Disease

Marisa Flook ^{1,2,3 ×}, Elena Rojano^{4,5}, Alvaro Gallego-Martinez ^{1,2}, Alba Escalera-Balsera ^{1,2}, Patricia Perez-Carpena^{1,2,6}, M. del Carmen Moleon^{2,7}, Rocio Gonzalez-Aguado⁸, Victoria Rivero de Jesus⁹, Emilio Domínguez-Durán¹⁰, Lidia Frejo^{1,2,11}, Juan A. G. Ranea^{4,5,12,13} and Jose Antonio Lopez-Escamez ^{1,2,11×}

© The Author(s) 2024

Meniere Disease (MD) is a chronic inner ear disorder characterized by vertigo attacks, sensorineural hearing loss, tinnitus, and aural fullness. Extensive evidence supporting the inflammatory etiology of MD has been found, therefore, by using transcriptome analysis, we aim to describe the inflammatory variants of MD. We performed Bulk RNAseq on 45 patients with definite MD and 15 healthy controls. MD patients were classified according to their basal levels of IL-1 β into 2 groups: high and low. Differentially expression analysis was performed using the ExpHunter Suite, and cell type proportion was evaluated using the estimation algorithms xCell, ABIS, and CIBERSORTx. MD patients showed 15 differentially expressed genes (DEG) compared to controls. The top DEGs include *IGHG1* ($p = 1.64 \times 10^{-6}$) and *IGLV3-21* ($p = 6.28 \times 10^{-3}$), supporting a role in the adaptative immune response. Cytokine profiling defines a subgroup of patients with high levels of IL-1 β with up-regulation of *IL6* ($p = 7.65 \times 10^{-8}$) and *INHBA* ($p = 3.39 \times 10^{-7}$) genes. Transcriptomic data from peripheral blood mononuclear cells support a proinflammatory subgroup of MD patients with high levels of *IL6* and an increase in naïve B-cells, and memory CD8⁺ T cells.

Genes & Immunity; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41435-024-00260-z

INTRODUCTION

Meniere Disease (MD, MIM 156,000) is a rare chronic inner ear syndrome, characterized by sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL), vertigo attacks, aural fullness, and tinnitus [1]. The condition is multifactorial, including genetic and epigenetic factors [2–4]. MD is a highly heterogeneous disease, hence various classifications of this syndrome have been reported according to clinical history [5–7], radiological findings [8], and molecular subtypes [9].

There is extensive evidence supporting the autoimmune/ autoinflammatory etiology of MD [10], namely the high prevalence of diseases in this spectrum [11–13], the existence of variants in immune-related genes associated with disease progression in MD [3], and increased cytokine levels in MD patients [9, 14–17].

Previous expression studies in MD have involved targeted gene expression [18, 19] or small case series [9, 20, 21]. Shew et al. [19] identified a downregulated miRNA in MD patients' serum and perilymph linked to inflammatory and autoimmune pathways, using a miRNA array for the study of 5 MD patients and 5 controls. Chen et al. [20] performed RNA sequencing on the intact vestibular system of 5 delayed endolymphatic hydrops/ delayed MD patients, and Sun et al. [21] studied the transcriptome of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) from three unilateral MD type 1 patients. Despite the low sample size, both found the involvement of immune factors in the disease pathogenesis. Frejo et al. [9] identified two different subgroups of MD patients according to cytokine levels in PBMC supernatant, namely IL-1 β . Moreover, these patients showed a differential gene expression profile in genes related to immune diseases and inflammation.

The gene expression profile of PBMC could indicate the molecular features of the immune cells in the affected systems in MD. We hypothesize that MD patients with high levels of IL-1 β may have an autoinflammatory background. Thus, we aim to describe autoimmune/autoinflammatory variants of MD through PBMC transcriptome analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Patient recruitment

We included a total of 45 patients with definite MD and 15 healthy controls that were recruited between February 2018 and June 2021, from Spanish referral centers for MD. Patients were diagnosed according to the

Received: 22 September 2023 Revised: 7 February 2024 Accepted: 8 February 2024 Published online: 23 February 2024

¹Otology and Neurotology Group CTS495, Division of Otolaryngology, Department of Surgery, Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria, ibs.GRANADA, Granada, Universidad de Granada, Granada, Spain. ²Sensorineural Pathology Programme, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red en Enfermedades Raras, CIBERER, Madrid, Spain. ³UCL Ear Institute, University College London, London, UK. ⁴Department of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, Faculty of Sciences, University of Malaga, Malaga, Spain. ⁵Institute of Biomedical Research in Malaga (IBIMA-Plataforma BIONAND), Malaga, Spain. ⁶Department of Otolaryngology, Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria, ibs.Granada, Hospital Universitario Virgen de las Nieves, Granada, Spain. ⁷Department of Otolaryngology, Hospital Universitario San Cecilio, Granada, Spain. ⁸Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Hospital Universitario Marques de Valdecilla, Santander, Spain. ⁹Hospital Clínic, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Baccelona, Spain. ¹⁰Unidad de Gestión Clínica de Otorrinolaringología, Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena, Sevilla, Sanin. ¹¹Meniere Disease Neuroscience Research Program, Faculty of Medicine & Health, School of Medical Sciences, The Kolling Institute, Universitario Virgen Macarena, Sevilla, Suain. ¹¹Centro de Investigación Biomedica en Red de Enfermedades Raras (CIBERER), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, 29029 Madrid, Spain. ¹³Spanish National Bioinformatics Institute (INB/ELIXIR-ES), 08034 Barcelona, Spain. ⁵⁶email: marisa.pereira@ucl.ac.uk; jose.lopezescamez@sydney.edu.au

diagnostic criteria of the Barany Society for MD [1]. Patients with another associated otological disease or any other cause that could mimic MD and patients under immunosuppressor or antihistaminic treatment were excluded from this study. Individuals were considered healthy controls if they presented no history of hearing loss nor vestibular symptoms and were not under corticosteroid medication. The experimental protocols of this study were approved by the Institutional Review Board in all participating hospitals and every patient signed a written informed consent. The study was carried out according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki revised in 2013 for investigation with humans.

Patients with IL-1 β levels superior to 4 pg/mL in PBMC supernatant were considered MD patients with high levels of cytokines (MDH), and patients with IL-1 β levels inferior to 4 pg/mL in PBMC supernatant were considered MD patients with low levels of cytokines (MDL), according to in house measures in a set of 90 healthy individuals [22]. Therefore, of the 45 patients recruited for the study, 9 patients were MDH, and 36 patients were MDL. Sample group sizes were based on the recommendations in the work of Schurch et al. [23].

Clinical data

A descriptive analysis was conducted using R studio for all clinical data. Patients were classified according to cytokine levels and clinical variables were compared between both groups and controls by applying Fisher's Exact Test for qualitative variables and Student's t-test for the quantitative variables. The level of significance considered was p < 0.05.

RNA extraction

Peripheral blood samples were obtained and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated as previously described elsewhere [24]. RNA was extracted from approximately 8 million PBMCs per sample using High Pure RNA Isolation Kit (Hoffmann-La Roche, Switzerland, #11828665001) or NZY Total RNA Isolation kit (NZYtech, Portugal, #MB13402), following the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration and quality were verified by Nanodrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, California, USA). The minimum quality parameters considered were a concentration superior to 20 ng/ μ L, a 260/280 and 260/230 ratio superior to 1.8, RIN superior to 6.8, and no degradation or contamination.

Bulk RNA sequencing (RNAseq)

The total RNA from 60 samples were sequenced to a minimum of 40 million 150 bp paired-end reads (12 Gb) per sample. Library preparation was performed using the NEBNext[®] UltraTM Directional RNA Library Prep Kit (New England BioLabs, Massachusetts, USA). RNA-seq was performed on a Novaseq 6000 (Illumina, California, USA) at the Novogene Cambridge Science Park (UK) installations.

The data files from the Novaseq 6000 sequencing platform are transformed to sequence reads by CASAVA base recognition (Base Calling). RSEM [25] software package was used for estimating gene and isoform expression levels. The FASTQ files (one per sample) were pre-processed with BBTools [26] to remove adapters as described in the sequencing library documentation, to trim low-quality regions (discarding reads of quality lower than 26) and selecting reads with a minimum length of 135 nucleotides. Reads were aligned to the GRCh38 reference human genome assembly using STAR [27] (version 2.5).

Differential expression analysis and functional analysis

Differential expression analysis was performed using the ExpHunter Suite Bioconductor package [28], which used DESeq2 and edgeR packages. Genes are labeled as prevalent or possible differentially expressed genes (DEG), based on package results: if a gene is detected as differentially expressed by the two packages it is considered a prevalent DEG. On the other hand, if a gene is detected as differentially expressed by only one, it is considered a possible DEG. A gene is considered differentially expressed if it presents an adjusted p < 0.05 and absolute logFC ≥1. The comparisons for this study were: MD patients versus controls, MDL patients versus controls, MDH patients.

ExpHunter Suite performs score integration to obtain combined logFC and adjusted *p* value/FDR values for each gene across all packages. The functional analysis module of ExpHunter Suite was used to search for enrichment of sets of functionally related genes, which integrates Gene Ontology and KEGG using clusterProfiler [29]. Differential transcript usage (DTU) was analyzed with satuRn [30] package and post-processing of

results was performed with stageR [31] package. Transcription factors (TFs) linked with gene enrichment were analysed with GeneCodis 4 [32].

xCell [33], ABIS [34] and CIBERSORTx [35] are computational methods used to estimate the individual cell type abundance from bulk RNA sequencing data from PBMC. Evaluation of cell type proportion was performed with the estimation algorithms xCell, ABIS, and CIBERSORTx. For CIBERSORTx analysis, we used the LM22 signature matrix with TPM gene expression matrix. Cell proportions were compared between groups performing a Mann–Whitney U test. The level of significance considered was p < 0.05. Differences in cell proportion were considered true if found by at least 2 methods.

RESULTS

MD patients have DEGs enriched in immune response

For the RNAseq data, we first checked the quality of reads after trimming (Supplementary Fig. 1). We observed that after removal of adapters and contaminants, we retained most of the readings to proceed with their alignment. We also confirmed if the alignment using STAR was correctly performed. In Supplementary Fig. 2, we can observe that for each sample a large number of reads was aligned against genes (blue boxes). With these considerations, we performed the differential expression analysis of the samples.

We evaluated the transcriptomic differences between MD patients and healthy controls. When we first run ExpHunter Suite with all samples for the MD patients against controls comparison, we observed in the data quality analysis that patient samples MD01, MD02, MD03 and MD04 were more related to the control samples in the principal component analysis (PCA), and control samples C11, C09 and C10 were more related to the patient samples, indicating possible errors in the sequencing process (Supplementary Fig. 3). After different tests in which we compared the distribution of samples in the PCA we discarded MD01, MD02, MD04, C11, C09, C10 from our analysis. We decided to keep MD03 as it was more related to other patient samples in the PCA (Supplementary Fig. 4). Once we removed these samples from our analysis, we observed in Supplementary Fig. 4 a certain mixing between controls and MD patient samples.

Finally, our study included 42 MD patients and 12 controls with a mean age of 58.09 ± 13.01 and 46.33 ± 14.81 years, and a female percentage of 57.14% and 33.33%, respectively. No clinical differences were found between MDH and MDL patients (Table 1).

We identified 15 DEG (Table 2) between MD and controls, of which 4 were upregulated and 11 were downregulated, namely *IGHG1* (logFC = -2.08, p.adjust = 1.63×10^{-6}), *KRT72* (logFC = -1.76, p.adjust = 3.99×10^{-4}), and *IGLV3-21* (logFC = -1.65, p.adjust = 6.28×10^{-3}) were the genes with highest differential expression (Fig. 1A).

The biologic processes associated with the identified DEG were evaluated through KEGG and gene ontology (GO). KEGG analysis identified pathways related to cell survival and growth (Supplementary Table 1). Whereas GO analysis identified pathways related to immunological processes and cytoskeleton organization (Fig. 1B).

The study of differential transcript usage (DTU) allows the identification of differences at the transcript-level, such as alternative splicing [30]. We performed DTU analysis with satuRn package to identify alterations in transcript expression between patients and controls. After post-processing of results with stageR package, which performs powerful gene-level tests while maintaining biological interpretation at transcript-level resolution, we observed no differences in transcript usage between patients and controls (Supplementary Table 2). No transcription factors were significantly associated with the DEG identified comparing MD to controls.

MDH and **MDL** patients have different transcriptome profiles Previous studies have shown that MD patients can be subgrouped according to their IL-1 β levels [9] and that these patients show a

Table 1.	Clinical and demographic features of Meniere Disease
patients.	

	MDH (<i>N</i> = 8)	MDL (<i>N</i> = 34)	p
Sex (% woman) (N)	50% (4)	59% (20)	0.7061
Age (mean ± SD)	58.5 ± 6.6	58.0 ± 14.2	0.8832
Age of onset (mean ± SD)	44.5 ± 13.2	45.02 ± 13.2	0.9208
Years of evolution (mean ± SD)	14.0 ± 10.3	12.9 ± 7.8	0.7852
Laterality (% unilateral) (N)	75% (6)	74% (25)	1.0000
Affected ear (% right) (N)	25 (2)	29 (10)	0.8822
Family history of ear affections (% yes) (N)	38% (3)	59% (20)	0.4330
Family history of MD (% yes) (N)	25% (2)	15% (5)	0.6012
Headache (% yes) (N)	38% (3)	50% (17)	0.6997
Migraine (% yes) (N)	38% (3)	29% (10)	0.6861
History of Autoimmune disease (% yes) (N)	38% (3)	29% (10)	0.6861
Number of crises in last 6 months (mean±SD)	2.0 ± 3.3	1.8 ± 2.7	0.8554
Hearing stage (%) (N)			0.2398
1	20% (1)	17% (5)	
2	40% (2)	31% (9)	
3	0% (0)	38% (11)	
4	40% (2)	14% (4)	
AAO-HNS Functional Scale (%) (N)			0.0968
1	67% (2)	30% (9)	
2	0% (0)	22% (6)	
3	0% (0)	22% (6)	
4	0% (0)	19% (5)	
5	0% (0)	7% (2)	
6	33% (1)	0% (0)	
Tumarkin crisis (% yes) (N)	25% (2)	12% (4)	0.0562
Clinical MD group (%) (N)			0.6635
Metachronic/ Classic (type 1)	43% (3)	39% (13)	
Synchronic/ Delayed (type 2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	
Familiar History (type 3)	0% (0)	15% (5)	
Migraine (type 4)	14% (1)	21% (7)	
Autoimmunity (type 5)	43% (3)	24% (8)	

MDH Meniere Disease patients with high levels of cytokines, *MDL* Meniere Disease patients with low levels of cytokines, *SD* standard deviation.

different epigenetic signature [4], therefore we wanted to evaluate if these patients also presented transcriptomic differences. We observed that MDL patients had 13 DEG when compared to healthy controls (Table 2, Fig. 1C), and MDH patients had 17 DEG when compared to healthy controls (Table 2, Fig. 1D), of which only *PRSS23* gene was shared between comparisons (Fig. 1D). Moreover, we compared MDL to MDH patients, revealing 2 DEG, of which *IL-6* was the most downregulated gene in MDL (logFC = -2.48, p.adjust = 7.65×10^{-8}) (Table 2, Fig. 1E). When performing enrichment analysis, KEGG only retrieved significant pathways when comparing MDL to controls—the Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction (p.adjust = 0.044).

Nevertheless, GO analysis associated various biological processes, molecular functions, and cellular components to the three analyses (Fig. 1B). Interestingly, the top terms associated with each comparison did not overlap. MDH are associated with signal transduction, MDL associated with immunoglobulin complexes, and comparing MDH to MDL hormonal secretion and B-cell activation seem to differentiate these patients.

No DTUs and no transcription factors were significantly associated with the DEG identified comparing MDH or MDL to controls, nor MDH to MDL.

Differences in immune cell composition were found between MD and controls

We sought to evaluate if there were enriched gene signatures associated with an immune cell population in our RNAseq data. Thus, we used three deconvolution methods: CIBERSORTx, xCell and ABIS. We considered a population enriched if identified as such by at least 2 of the programs. CIBERSORTx found a positive enrichment of naïve B-cells (Fig. 2A), activated and resting memory CD4⁺ T-cells (Fig. 2B, C) (p < 0.05). In agreement, xCell found a positive enrichment of naïve B-cells (Fig. 2D) central memory CD4⁺ T-cells (Fig. 2E), and effector memory CD8⁺ T-cells (Fig. 2E), and effector memory CD8⁺ T-cells (Fig. 2G), and memory CD8⁺ T-cells (Fig. 2H) (p < 0.05). Overall, we observed an increase in naïve B-cells in MDH patients when compared to MDL patients and controls, and a decrease in memory CD4⁺ T-cells and an increase in memory CD8⁺ T-cells in MD patients compared to controls.

DISCUSSION

In this work, we used bulk RNA sequencing of peripheral blood mononuclear cells from MD patients and controls to define the molecular signature of autoimmune/autoinflammatory variants of MD.

In the PCA of our data we observed low variability between samples. This could be due to low biological variability between MD patients and controls, batch effect, or sample heterogeneity, as PBMC are composed of various cell types.

Our results demonstrate that MD patients have a different transcriptomic profile than healthy controls. Moreover, we observed MD patients classified according to cytokine levels, as previously described [9] also show differing profiles between them and compared to controls, despite no clinical or demographic differences being observed. Blood samples were obtained out of the vertigo episode to generate a baseline transcriptomic profile.

Among the DEG between MD and controls the top three genes are related to the activation of the adaptative immune response and phagocytosis involving the immunoglobulin proteins P01857 (Immunoglobulin heavy constant gamma 1, *IGHG1*), P80748 (Immunoglobulin lambda variable 3-21, *IGLV3-21*) and Q14CN4 (Keratin 72, *KRT72*), probably related to non-specific changes in epithelial expression, since the genes *KRT72* and *KRT73* are also DEG when MDL are compared to controls.

MD patients show a significant decreased expression of *AREG*, encoding Amphiregulin, a member of the epidermal growth factor family which promotes the restoration of tissue integrity following damage associated with acute or chronic inflammation [36]. Moreover, AREG-gene deficient mice have impaired resolution of a variety of inflammatory challenges [36]. Thus, it is plausible that considering the role that AREG in restoring tissue integrity following infection or injury, the downregulation of this gene in MD patients could indicate a persistent inflammatory status.

ANKRD55, FXYD7, and MMP28 were found downregulated in MDL patients when compared to controls. Variants in ANKRD55 have

			-						
Gene Symbol	Gene Name	MD vs C	ontrols	MDL vs	Controls	WDH vs	Controls	MDL vs	HDH
		logFC	p.adjust	logFC	p.adjust	logFC	p.adjust	logFC	p.adjust
ADGRG1	Adhesion G Protein-Coupled Receptor G1	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.16	1.34E–02	NS	NS
ANKRD55	Ankyrin Repeat Domain 55	-1.09	3.00E-03	-1.10	3.66E-03	NS	NS	NS	NS
AREG	Amphiregulin	-1.23	4.76E-03	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
C19orf84	Chromosome 19 Open Reading Frame 84	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.47	1.32E-02	NS	NS
CRYBG2	Crystallin Beta-Gamma Domain Containing 2	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.34	1.32E-02	NS	NS
DISP2	Dispatched RND Transporter Family Member 2	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.04	1.25E-02	NS	NS
ртнр1	Death Domain Containing 1	1.01	9.08E-03	NS	NS	1.35	4.95E-05	NS	NS
ENSG00000244649	Long Intergenic Non-Protein Coding RNA 2086	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.53	7.02E-03	NS	NS
ENSG00000255819	KLRC4-KLRK1; Killer Cell Lectin-Like Receptor Subfamily K Member 1	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.42	1.57E-03	NS	NS
FAM238C	Family With Sequence Similarity 238 Member C	-1.05	9.89E-03	-1.05	1.14E-02	NS	NS	NS	NS
FCRL6	Fc Receptor Like 6	1.25	9.21E-03	NS	NS	1.66	6.10E-03	NS	NS
FRMPD3	FERM And PDZ Domain Containing 3	1.02	4.17E-03	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
FXYD7	FXYD Domain Containing Ion Transport Regulator 7	-1.03	4.30E-03	-1.11	2.88E-04	NS	NS	NS	NS
FZD4	Frizzled Class Receptor 4	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.62	7.02E-03	NS	NS
GLB1L2	Galactosidase Beta 1 Like 2	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.39	1.19E-02	NS	NS
IGHG1	Immunoglobulin Heavy Constant Gamma 1 (G1m Marker)	-2.08	1.64E-06	-2.03	1.47E-04	NS	NS	NS	NS
IGHV3-30	Immunoglobulin Heavy Variable 3-30	-1.13	6.67E-03	-1.19	7.03E-03	NS	NS	NS	NS
IGLV3-21	Immunoglobulin Lambda Variable 3-21	-1.65	6.28E-03		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
116	Interleukin 6	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	-2.48	7.65E-08
INHBA	Inhibin. Beta A (Activin A. Activin AB Alpha Polypeptide)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	-1.97	3.39E-07
KLRC4	Killer Cell Lectin Like Receptor C4	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.41	7.15E–03	NS	NS
KRT72	Keratin 72	-1.76	3.99E-04	-1.89	1.47E–04	NS	NS	NS	NS
KRT73	Keratin 73	-1.51	5.65E-03	-1.64	9.57E-04	NS	NS	NS	NS
KRT73-AS1	KRT73 Antisense RNA 1	-1.48	4.99E-03	-1.65	2.88E-04	NS	NS	NS	NS
LINC00239	Long Intergenic Non-Protein Coding RNA 239	-1.05	5.36E-03	-1.07	7.83E-03	NS	NS	NS	NS
MMP28	Matrix Metallopeptidase 28	NS	NS	-1.41	2.43E-03	NS	NS	NS	NS
PDGFRB	Platelet Derived Growth Factor Receptor Beta	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.60	4.95E-05	NS	NS
PRSS23	Serine Protease 23	1.42	4.85E-03	1.24	1.13E-02	1.98	1.18E-04	NS	NS
RHOU	Ras Homolog Family Member U	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.13	1.34E-02	NS	NS
S100P	S100 Calcium Binding Protein P	NS	NS	1.92	8.84E-03	NS	NS	NS	NS
SCUBE3	Signal Peptide. CUB Domain And EGF Like Domain Containing 3	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.23	7.15E-03	NS	NS
SETBP1	SET Binding Protein 1	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.01	9.03E-03	NS	NS
TRGV7	T Cell Receptor Gamma Variable 7 (Pseudogene)	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.17	1.60E-03	NS	NS
VIPR1	Vasoactive Intestinal Peptide Receptor 1	NS	NS	-1.04	3.64E-03	NS	NS	NS	NS
NS non-significant.									



Fig. 1 Differentially expressed genes in Meniere disease patients and controls. A Volcano plot of possible and prevalent differentially expressed genes (DEG) in Meniere Disease patients and controls. In pink can be found DEG with $\log_2 FC > 1$ and p < 0.05; in green DEG with p < 0.05; in yellow DEG with $\log_2 FC > 1$; in gray non-significant DEG. **B** Volcano plot of possible and prevalent differentially expressed genes (DEG) in MDL and controls. **C** Volcano plot of possible and prevalent differentially expressed genes (DEG) in MDL and controls. **C** Volcano plot of possible and prevalent differentially expressed genes (DEG) in MDH and controls. **D** Volcano plot of possible and prevalent differentially expressed genes (DEG) in MDH and controls. **D** Volcano plot of possible and prevalent differentially expressed genes (DEG) in MDH and controls. **D** Volcano plot of possible and prevalent differentially expressed genes (DEG) in MDH and controls. **D** Volcano plot of possible and prevalent differentially expressed genes (DEG) in MDL and MDH. **E** Dot-plot representing the gene ratio and adjusted *p* value associated to the retrieved gene ontology terms for the top 15 term for biological processes, and all terms for cellular components and molecular functions, from comparing MD patients to controls, MDL patients to controls, MDH patients to controls, and MDH patients to MDL patients, with GO functional analysis.

been previously described as a risk factor for various autoimmune and inflammatory diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis, type 1 diabetes, and inflammatory myopathies, which contrastingly to our findings are associated with higher expression of *ANKRD55* in CD4⁺ T lymphocytes [37]. *MMP28*, which is expressed by leukocytes, has been described in mice to have a role towards M2 macrophage polarization [38], and in promoting chronic inflammation and tissue remodeling, in a mouse model of exposure to cigarette smoke [39].

MD patients present endolymphatic hydrops, which have been described to be triggered by aberrant regulation of sodium by Na,K-ATPase or epithelial sodium channels [40]. Elevated dietary salt consumption increases specific inhibitors and ligands of the Na/K-ATPase potentially changing the activity of the Na,K-ATPase in cochlea. The downregulation of the *FXYD7* gene, responsible for encoding a Na,K-ATPase, has been observed in MDL. This downregulation suggests a potential association with Na,K-ATPase dysfunction, thereby contributing to the development of endolymphatic hydrops in individuals with Meniere's disease.

PRSS23, FCRL6, ADGRG1, KLRC4, and *DTHD1* genes were found upregulated in MDH patients compared to controls. These genes are associated with various aspects of immune function [41], including cytotoxicity [42], NK cell maturation [42, 43], and T cell activity [44]. Their dysregulation or altered expression in Meniere's disease patients suggests potential links to higher cytotoxic activity and immune processes involved in the development of the condition. MD patients with high levels of IL-1 β (MDH) have a persistent proinflammatory response and represent around 15-20% of cases in MD [9]. Cochlear autoinflammation and activation of NLRP3 inflammasome in vestibular-resident macrophage-like cells seems to be a common mechanism leading to chronic inflammation, in both sensorineural hearing loss [45] and MD [46].

Of note, two genes *IL6* and *INHBA* genes were upregulated in MDH patients when compared to MDL. IL-6 is a pleiotropic cytokine, with many roles in inflammation and immune response [47]. Activin A encoded by *INHBA* is described as a T_h2 cytokine, as it is abundant in these cells, furthermore the neutralization of this cytokine in vivo significantly decreased IgE production in mice immunized with ovalbumin [48]. We have recently identified a cluster of patients with high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines and IgE levels by mass cytometry [49]. Together, this might suggest that that differences in expression in *IL6* and *INHBA* in MDH patients might be related to a type 2 immune response.

Our deconvolution analysis of RNAseq data revealed an increase in naïve B-cells among MDH patients. Earlier studies using mass cytometry have identified elevated levels of IL-4 in MD patients with high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines [49]. Considering that IL-4 has been demonstrated to inhibit the apoptosis of naive B lymphocytes [50], this observation suggests a potential inclination towards a type 2 immune response in MDH patients. Unfortunately, we cannot confirm this hypothesis with this data,



Fig. 2 Immune cell composition inferred from bulk RNAseq deconvolution using CIBERSORTx, xCell, and ABIS. A Box-plot of the differences between controls, MD, MDH and MDL in naïve B-cells inferred by the CIBERSORTx score. **B** Box-plot of the differences between controls, MD, MDH and MDL in activated CD4⁺ memory T-cells inferred by the CIBERSORTx score. **C** Box-plot of the differences between controls, MD, MDH and MDL in resting CD4⁺ memory T-cells inferred by the CIBERSORTx score. **D** Box-plot of the differences between controls, MD, MDH and MDL in naïve B-cells inferred by the xCell score. **E** Box-plot of the differences between controls, MD, MDH and MDL in naïve B-cells inferred by the xCell score. **E** Box-plot of the differences between controls, MD, MDH and MDL in añve B-cells inferred by the xCell score. **E** Box-plot of the differences between controls, MD, MDH and MDL in effector memory CD4⁺ T-cells inferred by the xCell score. **F** Box-plot of the differences between controls, MD, MDH and MDL in effector we applied to the the differences between controls, MD, MDH and MDL in effector memory CD8⁺ T-cells inferred by the xCell score. **G** Box-plot of the differences between controls, MD, MDH and MDL in naïve B-cells inferred by the ABIS score. **H** Box-plot of the differences between controls, MD, MDH and MDL in naïve B-cells inferred by the XD, MDH and MDL in naïve B-cells inferred by the XD and MDL in the differences between controls, MD, MDH and MDL in naïve B-cells inferred by the XD and MDL in the differences between controls, MD, MDH and MDL in naïve B-cells inferred by the XD and MDL in naïve B-cells inferred by the ABIS score. **H** Box-plot of the differences between controls, MD, MDH and MDL in naïve B-cells inferred by the XD and MDL in naïve B-cells inferred by the ABIS score. **H** Box-plot of the differences between controls, MD, MDH and MDL in the ABIS score. In solution the ABIS score. S Box-plot of the differences between COB⁺ T-cells inferred by the ABIS score. In solution the ABIS

so further experiments are needed to confirm an increased activity of T_h2 cells in MDH patients.

Deconvolution of RNAseq data identified an increase in CD8⁺ and decrease in CD4⁺ memory T-cells in MD patients. Memory T-cells are generated after resolution of a primary response, it has been reported that the magnitude of the effector response from CD4⁺ memory T-cells correlates with the size of the resulting memory pool [51]. Notably, elevated numbers of memory CD4⁺ T-cells observed in autoimmune conditions like psoriasis suggest a potential role in promoting autoimmunity [52]. Furthermore, effector memory and resident memory CD8⁺ T-cells have been implicated in the pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases, such as multiple sclerosis and autoimmune diabetes, due to their ability to damage host tissues [53].

Previous studies suggested that patients with proinflammatory phenotype showed high levels of IL-1 β , TNF α and IL-6 [9]; in our current study despite finding differences in IL-1 β at a protein level, we did not observe IL-1 β statistically significant changes at a transcriptomic level, but did find differences in expression of *IL6*. The differences in the results, from those previously reported

[9, 19–21], may be explained by differences in the technology, sample size, tissue, and software used for the analyses, nevertheless, we have identified differences in immune response and inflammation related genes at RNA level.

Our study has some limitations. First, the sample size is small and some DEG could be missed, thus studies with a larger cohort are necessary to validate our findings. Moreover, the RNAseq technology is evolving to single cell and spatial transcriptomics that will improve the resolution at single cell level and in tissue sections. Future proteomic and transcriptomic studies at single cell level will be needed to get a better understanding of the inflammatory response in MD subgroups.

In conclusion, we found that MD patients present a different transcriptomic profile from healthy controls. MDH patients have higher expression of *IL6* than MDL patients. Furthermore, various cytotoxicity-related genes were found upregulated in MDH and may play a role in the pro-inflammatory state of these patients.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available in the Zenodo.org repository, under the https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10492619.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lopez-Escamez JA, Carey J, Chung WH, Goebel JA, Magnusson M, Mandalà M, et al. Diagnostic criteria for Menière's disease. J Vestib Res. 2015;25:1–7.
- Dai Q, Long L, Zhao H, Wang R, Zheng H, Duan M. Genetic advances in Meniere disease. Mol Biol Rep. 2022;50:2901–8.
- Gallego-Martinez A, Lopez-Escamez JA. Genetic architecture of Meniere's disease. Hear Res. 2020;397:107872.
- Flook M, Escalera-Balsera A, Gallego-Martinez A, Espinosa-Sanchez JM, Aran I, Soto-Varela A, et al. DNA methylation signature in mononuclear cells and proinflammatory cytokines may define molecular subtypes in sporadic Meniere disease. Biomedicines. 2021;9:1530.
- Frejo L, Martin-Sanz E, Teggi R, Trinidad G, Soto-Varela A, Santos-Perez S, et al. Extended phenotype and clinical subgroups in unilateral Meniere disease: a cross-sectional study with cluster analysis. Clin Otolaryngol. 2017;42:1172–80.
- 6. Frejo L, Soto-Varela A, Santos-Perez S, Aran I, Batuecas-Caletrio A, Perez-Guillen V, et al. Clinical subgroups in Bilateral Meniere disease. Front Neurol. 2016;7:182.
- Crossley J, Hussaini AS, Kim HJ, Hoa M. Ménière's disease clinical subtypes in a population from the USA. J Laryngol Otol. 2020;134:24–8.
- Bächinger D, Brühlmann C, Honegger T, Michalopoulou E, Monge Naldi A, Wettstein VG, et al. Endotype-phenotype patterns in Meniere's disease based on gadolinium-enhanced MRI of the vestibular aqueduct. Front Neurol. 2019;10:303–
- Frejo L, Gallego-Martinez A, Requena T, Martin-Sanz E, Amor-Dorado JC, Soto-Varela A. et al. Proinflammatory cytokines and response to molds in mononuclear cells of patients with Meniere disease. Sci Rep. 2018;8:5974.
- Frejo L, Lopez-Escamez JA. Cytokines and Inflammation in Meniere disease. Clin Exp Otorhinolaryngol. 2022;15:49–59.
- Hahn HJ, Kwak SG, Kim DK, Kim JY. A nationwide, population-based cohort study on potential autoimmune association of Ménière disease to atopy and vitiligo. Sci Rep. 2019;9:4406.
- Kim SY, Song YS, Wee JH, Min C, Yoo DM, Choi HG. Association between Ménière's disease and thyroid diseases: a nested case-control study. Sci Rep. 2020;10:18224
- Lopez-Escamez JA, Vela J, Frejo L. Immune-related disorders associated with Ménière's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2023;169:1122–31.
- Flook M, Frejo L, Gallego-Martinez A, Martin-Sanz E, Rossi-Izquierdo M, Amor-Dorado JC, et al. Differential proinflammatory signature in vestibular migraine and Meniere disease. Front Immunol. 2019;10:1229.
- Moleon MDC, Martinez-Gomez E, Flook M, Peralta-Leal A, Gallego JA, Sanchez-Gomez H, et al. Clinical and cytokine profile in patients with early and late onset Meniere disease. J Clin Med. 2021;10:4052.
- Zhang N, Lyu Y, Guo J, Liu J, Song Y, Fan Z, et al. Bidirectional transport of IgE by CD23 in the inner ear of patients with Meniere's disease. J Immunol. 2022;208:827–38.
- Roomiani M, Dehghani Firouzabadi F, Delbandi AA, Ghalehbaghi B, Daneshi A, Yazdani N, et al. Evaluation of serum immunoreactivity to common indigenous iranian inhalation and food allergens in patients with Meniere's disease. Immunol. Invest. 2021;1–10.

 Sekine K, Morita K, Masuda K, Sato G, Rokutan K, Takeda N. Microarray analysis of stress-related gene expression in patients with Ménière's disease. ORL. 2005;67:294–9.

- Shew M, Wichova H, St. Peter M, Warnecke A, Staecker H. Distinct MicroRNa profiles in the perilymph and serum of patients with Menière's disease. Front Neurol. 2021;12:646928.
- Chen BJ, Luo WW, Zhao WD, Qian XQ, Wang YM, Zheng Y, et al. The transcriptome characteristics of vestibular organs from delayed endolymphatic hydrops patients (Meniere's disease). Clin Otolaryngol. 2021;46:823–33.
- Sun Y, Zhang D, Sun G, Lv Y, Li Y, Li X, et al. RNA-sequencing study of peripheral blood mononuclear cells in sporadic Ménière's disease patients: possible contribution of immunologic dysfunction to the development of this disorder. Clin Exp Immunol. 2018;192:33–45.
- Flook Pereira M Characterization of cellular phenotype and proinflammatory response in Meniere's Disease [Internet]. Universidad de Granada; 2023 [cited 2023 Apr 20]. Available from: https://digibug.ugr.es/handle/10481/79641.
- Schurch NJ, Schofield P, Gierliński M, Cole C, Sherstnev A, Singh V, et al. How many biological replicates are needed in an RNA-seq experiment and which differential expression tool should you use? RNA. 2016;22:839–51.
- Szczepek AJ, Frejo L, Vona B, Trpchevska N, Cederroth CR, Caria H, et al. Recommendations on collecting and storing samples for genetic studies in hearing and tinnitus research. Ear Hear. 2019;40:219–26.
- Li B, Dewey CN. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. BMC Bioinforma. 2011;12:323.
- B Bushnell. BBtools software package [Internet]. Available from: sourceforge.net/ projects/bbmap/
- Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013;29:15–21.
- Jabato FM, Córdoba-Caballero J, Rojano E, Romá-Mateo C, Sanz P, Pérez B, et al. Gene expression analysis method integration and co-expression module detection applied to rare glucide metabolism disorders using ExpHunterSuite. Sci Rep. 2021;11:15062.
- Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y, He QY. clusterProfiler: an r package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. OMICS: A J Integr Biol. 2012;16:284–7.
- Gilis J, Vitting-Seerup K, Van den Berge K, Clement L satuRn: Scalable analysis of differential transcript usage for bulk and single-cell RNA-sequencing applications. F1000Research [Internet]. 2021 Nov 5 [cited 2023 Aug 25];10. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36762203/
- Van den Berge K, Soneson C, Robinson MD, Clement L. stageR: a general stagewise method for controlling the gene-level false discovery rate in differential expression and differential transcript usage. Genome Biol. 2017;18:151.
- Garcia-Moreno A, López-Domínguez R, Villatoro-García JA, Ramirez-Mena A, Aparicio-Puerta E, Hackenberg M, et al. Functional enrichment analysis of regulatory elements. Biomedicines. 2022;10:590.
- Aran D, Hu Z, Butte AJ. xCell: digitally portraying the tissue cellular heterogeneity landscape. Genome Biol. 2017;18:220.
- Monaco G, Lee B, Xu W, Mustafah S, Hwang YY, Carré C, et al. RNA-Seq signatures normalized by mRNA abundance allow absolute deconvolution of human immune cell types. Cell Rep. 2019;26:1627–40.e7.
- Newman AM, Steen CB, Liu CL, Gentles AJ, Chaudhuri AA, Scherer F, et al. Determining cell type abundance and expression from bulk tissues with digital cytometry. Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37:773–82.
- Zaiss DMW, Gause WC, Osborne LC, Artis D. Emerging functions of amphiregulin in orchestrating immunity, inflammation and tissue repair. Immunity. 2015;42:216–26.
- Ugidos N, Mena J, Baquero S, Alloza I, Azkargorta M, Elortza F, et al. Interactome of the autoimmune risk protein ANKRD55. Fron Immunol. 2019;10.
- Tokmina-Roszyk D, Onwuha-Ekpete LC, Refai M, Tokmina-Lukaszewska M, Bothner B, Fields GB. Matrix metalloproteinase -28 alters cellular energetics in macrophages, influencing polarization and activation. J Immunol. 2019;202:180.8–180.8.
- Manicone AM, Gharib SA, Gong KQ, Eddy WE, Long ME, Frevert CW, et al. Matrix metalloproteinase-28 is a key contributor to emphysema pathogenesis. Am J Pathol. 2017;187:1288–300.
- 40. Pathak S, Vambutas A. NaCl exposure results in increased expression and processing of IL-1 β in Meniere's disease patients. Sci Rep. 2022;12:4957.
- Patil VS, Madrigal A, Schmiedel BJ, Clarke J, O'Rourke P, de Silva AD, et al. Precursors of human CD4+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes identified by single-cell transcriptome analysis. Sci Immunol. 2018;3:eaan8664.
- 42. Davis RS. Roles for the FCRL6 immunoreceptor in tumor immunology. Front Immunol. 2020;11.
- 43. Chang GW, Hsiao CC, Peng YM, Vieira Braga FA, Kragten NAM, Remmerswaal EBM, et al. The adhesion G protein-coupled receptor GPR56/ADGRG1 is an inhibitory receptor on human NK cells. Cell Rep. 2016;15:1757–70.

- 44. Chiang NY, Peng YM, Juang HH, Chen TC, Pan HL, Chang GW, et al. GPR56/ ADGRG1 activation promotes melanoma cell migration via NTF dissociation and CTF-mediated Gα12/13/RhoA signaling. J Investigative Dermatol. 2017;137:727–36.
- 45. Nakanishi H, Kawashima Y, Kurima K, Chae JJ, Ross AM, Pinto-Patarroyo G, et al. NLRP3 mutation and cochlear autoinflammation cause syndromic and nonsyndromic hearing loss DFNA34 responsive to anakinra therapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2017;114:E7766–75.
- 46. Zhang DG, Yu WQ, Liu JH, Kong LG, Zhang N, Song YD, et al. Serum/glucocorticoid-inducible kinase 1 deficiency induces NLRP3 inflammasome activation and autoinflammation of macrophages in a murine endolymphatic hydrops model. Nat Commun. 2023;14:1249.
- 47. Hirano T. IL-6 in inflammation, autoimmunity and cancer. Int Immunol. 2021;33:127–48.
- Li F, Long Y, Yu X, Tong Y, Gong L. Different immunoregulation roles of activin A compared with TGF-β. Front Immunol. 2022;13:921366.
- Flook M, Escalera-Balsera A, Rybakowska P, Frejo L, Batuecas-Caletrio A, Amor-Dorado JC, et al. Single-cell immune profiling of Meniere Disease patients. Clin Immunol. 2023;252:109632.
- Dufort FJ, Bleiman BF, Gumina MR, Blair D, Wagner DJ, Roberts MF, et al. Cutting edge: IL-4-mediated protection of primary B lymphocytes from apoptosis via Stat6-dependent regulation of glycolytic metabolism1. J Immunol. 2007;179:4953–7.
- Nguyen QP, Deng TZ, Witherden DA, Goldrath AW. Origins of CD4+ circulating and tissue-resident memory T-cells. Immunology. 2019;157:3–12.
- Raphael I, Joern RR, Forsthuber TG. Memory CD4+ T cells in immunity and autoimmune diseases. Cells. 2020;9:531.
- Collier JL, Weiss SA, Pauken KE, Sen DR, Sharpe AH. Not-so-opposite ends of the spectrum: CD8+ T cell dysfunction across chronic infection, cancer and autoimmunity. Nat Immunol. 2021;22:809–19.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by PI17/1644 and PI20-1126 grants from ISCIII by FEDER Funds from the EU; CLINMON-2 from the Meniere's Society UK. MF is funded by F18/ 00228 grant from ISCIII by FEDER Funds from the EU. AEB is funded by Impact Data Science (IMP00019) by FEDER funds. LF is funded by CD20/0153 grant from ISCIII by FEDER Funds from the EU. Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness [PID2019-108096RB-C21& PID2022-1400470B-C21] and the Institute of Health Carlos III (project IMPaCT-Data, exp. IMP/00019) to JAGR, co-funded by the European Union, European Regional Development Fund (ERDF, "A way to make Europe"); and the European Union [HORIZON-HLTH-2022-DISEASE-06, Project ID: 101080580] to JAGR. CIBERER is an initiative from the Institute of Health Carlos III. ER holds a grant of the Consejería de Salud y Familias of the Junta de Andalucía (RH-0079-2021). AGM was funded by the Andalusian Government (CECEU 2020, Grant code: DOC_01677). JALE has received funds to support research on genetics in Meniere's disease from The University of Sydney (K7013_B3413 Grant), Asociación Sindrome de Meniere España (ASMES).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization – JALE; Data curation –AEB, MF, LF; Formal Analysis – MF, ER; Funding acquisition – JALE, LF, MF; Investigation – MF; Methodology – MF, ER, JAGR; Project administration – JALE; Resources – PPC, MCM, RGA, VRJ, ED; Software – ER, MF; Supervision – JALE, LF, AGM; Visualization – MF; Writing – original draft – MF; Writing – review & editing – JALE, ER, AGM, AEB, PPC, MCM, RGA, VRJ, ED, LF, JAGR.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

This study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of the Declaration of Helsinki and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects. The protocol number PI17/1644 was approved by the Granada Ethical Review Board on the 29/01/18.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41435-024-00260-z.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Marisa Flook or Jose Antonio Lopez-Escamez.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024