

1 **Title:** Predation of anurans in southern England by *Batracobdella algira*, a leech previously
2 unknown in the UK.

3

4 **Abstract**

5 Leech predation of amphibians is known to occur in Europe. Observation of severe leech
6 infestation affecting a common toad (*Bufo bufo*) in southern England in summer 2020, with
7 leeches covering the toad's eyes, throat, and axillae, initiated a collaborative investigation to
8 learn more about the occurrence of such leech predation of anurans in the UK. Soliciting
9 reports from the general public identified leech predation of common toads and common
10 frogs (*Rana temporaria*) in Devon, Greater London, Hampshire, the Isle of Wight, and
11 Somerset in southern England. Through morphological and/or molecular investigation of
12 samples, *Batracobdella algira*, a leech species not previously reported in the UK, was
13 identified in the majority of cases. The known native *Placobdella costata* was also identified,
14 with the observed feeding behaviour on anuran hosts being indistinguishable from that of *B.*
15 *algira*. Whether the latter is a previously unrecorded or an introduced species has not yet
16 been established. However, sequence data from multiple gene loci were identical to *B.*
17 *algira* found in Tunisia, suggesting it more likely to be a non-native species to the UK.
18 Further work is required to elucidate the potential origin and distribution of *B. algira* in the
19 UK and whether it has any impact on amphibian populations.

20

21 **Keywords:** amphibians, *Bufo bufo*, Glossiphoniidae, Hirudinea, *Rana temporaria*

22 INTRODUCTION

23

24 Leeches (Hirudinea) are a small, but ecologically important group of highly specialised
25 segmented worms. Around 680 species have been described worldwide, the majority of
26 which are found in, or beside, freshwater bodies (Sket & Trontelj, 2008). Leeches differ from
27 other segmented worms in having anterior and posterior suckers and typically a firm,
28 muscular, segmented body (Govedich et al., 2019).

29

30 There are 17 species of freshwater leeches known in the United Kingdom (UK) (Elliott &
31 Dobson, 2015). They range in size at rest from 7 mm for *Piscicola siddalli*, to up to 160 mm
32 for *Trocheta subviridis*, and when fully extended, larger leeches may reach a length of over
33 200 mm. Morphologically, these species can be identified using a combination of the number
34 and position of the eyes, the size of the caudal sucker, the width and pattern of the
35 annulation, the presence and pattern of the papillae, and the position of the gonopores.
36 Depending on the species, they have been reported to feed on live fish, amphibians,
37 mammals, or birds, as well as invertebrates, but may also scavenge on dead animals (Elliott
38 & Dobson, 2015).

39

40 Four British leech species have been reported to be sanguivorous (blood-feeding) on live
41 amphibians: the medicinal Leech (*Hirudo medicinalis*) (family Hirudinidae); and three species
42 of Glossiphoniidae — *Glossiphonia paludosa*, *Hemiclepsis marginata*, and *Placobdella costata*
43 (Elliott & Dobson, 2015). In addition, the horse leech (*Haemopsis sanguisuga*) (family
44 Haemopidae) is macrophagous on froglets and toadlets and may also feed on dead or
45 moribund adult amphibians. It is likely that *Erpobdella* spp. and *Dina lineata* (both

46 Erpobdellidae) may also occasionally feed on dead amphibians (Elliott & Dobson, 2015).
47 Despite increased monitoring of the health of amphibian populations in the UK over recent
48 years, observations of leeches feeding on live amphibians are rarely reported (e.g., Price et
49 al., 2017; Franklinos et al., 2018; Seilern-Moy et al., 2019).

50

51 In summer 2020, the sighting of a severe leech infestation affecting a live common toad (*Bufo*
52 *bufo*) in southern England, with leeches covering both eyes and the throat of the toad (Fig.
53 1A), raised public concern on social media. Here we report the results of a subsequent
54 collaborative investigation to explore the occurrence of such feeding behaviour on anurans
55 and to identify the leech species involved.

56

57 **METHODS**

58

59 Following the initial report of leeches feeding on a common toad in southern England, the
60 “Hampshire and Isle of Wight Amphibian and Reptile Group” (HIWARG) and the “Isle of Wight
61 Reptilium” launched a regional social media campaign and citizen science survey appealing
62 for reports of leeches feeding on amphibians (www.reptilium.org/leech-survey). This was
63 combined with a similar national appeal by the “Amphibian and Reptile Groups of the UK”
64 (ARG UK) ([www.arguk.org/get-involved/news/a-new-report-of-leech-predation-on-](http://www.arguk.org/get-involved/news/a-new-report-of-leech-predation-on-amphibians)
65 [amphibians](http://www.arguk.org/get-involved/news/a-new-report-of-leech-predation-on-amphibians)). Additionally, reports of sick or dead amphibians continued to be solicited from
66 the public by the Garden Wildlife Health project (www.gardenwildlifehealth.org), a national
67 disease scanning surveillance scheme that launched in 2013.

68

69 For each sighting, the location and species of amphibian affected and, where possible, the
70 number of amphibians affected and the distribution of the leeches on the body, were
71 recorded. Photographs of affected amphibians accompanied reports when available. In a
72 subset of cases, leech specimens were collected, stored in 70% ethanol, and submitted for
73 species identification.

74

75 Morphological identification of 18 leeches from 8 sites (Table 1) was conducted by
76 microscopical examination using a stereomicroscope (Olympus SZ40 Stereomicroscope,
77 magnification x 6.25 – 80). Features including the number and position of the eyes, the width
78 and pattern of the annulation, the presence and pattern of papillae, the size of the caudal
79 sucker, and the position of the gonopores were compared with UK and European taxonomic
80 keys and species descriptions (Sládeček & Košel, 1984; van Haaren et al. 2004; Ben Ahmed et
81 al., 2015; Elliott & Dobson, 2015; Govedich et al., 2019). Additionally, high-resolution pictures
82 of leeches attached to a common frog, taken using macro-photography, were submitted from
83 a single site in Hampshire.

84

85 For molecular identification of the *Batracobdella* sp., DNA was extracted from the caudal
86 suckers of 16 leeches from seven sites (Table 1). Each caudal sucker was placed in a
87 microcentrifuge tube and incubated at 37°C for 30 min to evaporate residual ethanol before
88 using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen) as per manufacturer's instructions. A PCR
89 protocol targeting the cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene was then conducted
90 (Richardson et al., 2010; Martinsson and Erséus, 2014). Additionally, other multi-loci PCR
91 protocols, as described by Świątek et al. (2023), focusing on the 12S, 28S, and histone H3
92 genes (Vân Lê et al., 1993; Trontelj and Utevsky, 2005; Martinsson and Erséus, 2014), were

93 carried out on each of three leech samples collected from three separate sites. PCR amplicons
94 were subjected to bidirectional Sanger sequencing and the resulting sequence data were
95 screened against GenBank entries using BLASTn (<http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>).
96 Additionally, available COI nucleotide sequences for *Batrachobdella* species were retrieved
97 from GenBank and augmented with the sequence data generated in this study. Sequences
98 were aligned in MEGA7 (Kumar et al., 2016) and trimmed in case they exceeded the 'Folmer
99 region' (excluding primer sequences). A web server version of IQ-TREE (Trifinopoulos et al.,
100 2016) was used to estimate the best-fitting models of nucleotide and to subsequently
101 construct a maximum likelihood tree with 1000 replicates. Bootstrap support values were
102 calculated using the ultrafast algorithm with default settings and the SH-aLRT branch test was
103 used to evaluate the tree branch supports. The tree was rooted at *Haementeria ghilianii* and
104 visualized with Interactive Tree of Life v6 (Letunic & Bork, 2007).

105

106 Three common frogs from separate sites, from August 2020 (Isle of Wight), September 2020
107 (Somerset), and January 2023 (Devon), which were observed by members of the public with
108 leeches feeding on them and were subsequently found dead, were submitted for post-
109 mortem examination (PME). A systematic external and internal examination protocol was
110 followed, including parasitological examination of intestinal contents as well as qPCR testing
111 of liver samples for ranavirus and skin swab samples for *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and
112 *B. salamandrivorans* (Franklinos et al., 2018).

113

114 **RESULTS**

115

116 From August 2020 to January 2023 inclusive, reports of leeches feeding on common frogs and
117 common toads were received from 41 sites in the counties of Devon, Greater London,
118 Hampshire, the Isle of Wight, and Somerset in southern England (Table 1; Fig. 1). Reports from
119 37 sites (37/41) involved live anurans, whereas at four sites (4/41) dead common frogs were
120 observed. Estimates of the number of affected amphibians was typically low (range 1–3 per
121 site), however, this information was only available from a minority of sites. The estimated
122 number of leeches per individual varied (1–≥50). Where details were available, for affected
123 common toads, leeches were typically observed to cover the eyes and periocular region (Fig.
124 1A) as well as attach to the throat, the axillae, and occasionally the ventral body. In common
125 frogs, leeches were reported to be present over the entire body, on occasion also covering
126 the eyes, although most notably on the underside, in the axillae, and inguinal area (Fig. 1B).

127

128 Leeches were submitted for species identification from a total of eight sites (eight leeches
129 from three sites in Devon, one from a single site in Hampshire, six from three sites on the Isle
130 of Wight, and three from a single site in Somerset; Fig. 2). All leeches examined
131 microscopically were identified as Glossiphoniidae species. Morphological features, including
132 the number of eyes, the width and patterning of the annulation, the presence of many small
133 papillae, the size of the caudal sucker, and the position of the gonopores (Fig. 3), were
134 indicative of *Batracobdella* sp. (Ben Ahmed et al., 2009) in leeches from seven sites (16/18
135 individual leeches examined), while they were consistent with those of the native freshwater
136 leech *P. costata* from a single site in Devon (2/18 individual leeches examined). Additionally,
137 high-resolution photographs of leeches from a single site in Hampshire were also
138 morphologically consistent with *P. costata*.

139

140 Molecular investigation of the 16 putative *Batracobdella* leeches revealed an identical COI
141 gene sequence (GenBank accession number OR381498; 658 bp), which also was identical to
142 a Tunisian *B. algira* COI sequence (GenBank accession number OR366856, 100% query
143 coverage). Furthermore, the obtained COI sequence shares 99% identity with other Tunisian
144 and Algerian specimens of the same leech species (Fig. 4; GenBank accession numbers:
145 OR366855 and OR367453-OR367454). The obtained COI sequence also exhibits a 92% match
146 (86% query coverage) with a previously published sequence from a leech in Spain, reported
147 as *B. algira* sequence (Trajanovski et al., 2010; GenBank accession number HM246609).
148 Identification as a *B. algira* was further supported through multi-loci PCR sequence analyses
149 of leeches from three sites in England. We successfully amplified fragments of three
150 additional commonly used marker genes, which were identical in all three leeches analysed:
151 nuclear 28S rRNA (GenBank accession number OR381568; 329 bp), histone H3 (GenBank
152 accession number OR453923; 328 bp), and mitochondrial 12S rRNA (GenBank accession
153 number OR381567; 508 bp). The mitochondrial 12S rRNA and histone H3 sequences were
154 found to be identical to the Tunisian *B. algira* specimens (GenBank accession numbers
155 OR388126 and OR453924), and the 28S rRNA sequence was identical to those of Tunisian as
156 well as Algerian *B. algira* specimens (GenBank accession numbers OR371771 and OR371772).

157

158 Upon PME, numerous dead leeches (subsequently morphologically identified as *P. costata*)
159 were found loosely attached to the body of a common frog found dead in Devon in January
160 2023, particularly in the axillae and inguinal area, as well as being free within the plastic bag
161 in which the body was stored. However, no leeches were observed to still be attached to the
162 common frog carcasses submitted from the Isle of Wight and Somerset in August and
163 September 2020 respectively, both of which had been removed from the pond and kept

164 separated from other amphibians prior to death. No macroscopic lesions associated with
165 leech feeding behaviour (e.g., skin abrasions and/or haemorrhages) were observed in these
166 amphibians; however, the compromised state of carcass preservation may have masked
167 subtle changes. All three common frogs that were examined post-mortem were adult males,
168 two in normal and one in thin (August 2020) body condition. No significant abnormalities
169 indicative of disease were observed in two of these common frogs, whereas macroscopic
170 evidence of haemorrhagic enteritis of unknown aetiology was found in the animal submitted
171 from Somerset in September 2020, which might have contributed to ill health and/or death.
172 All three frogs tested negative for ranavirus, *B. dendrobatidis* and *B. salamandrivorans* and
173 their proximate cause of death remains undetermined.

174

175 **DISCUSSION**

176

177 A number of different species of native leeches are known to feed on amphibians in the UK.
178 Here, we describe the detection of a leech species novel to the UK, and the first report of
179 *Batracobdella algira* in this country, feeding on anurans in southern England. Like the native
180 *P. costata*, *Batracobdella* spp. belong to the family Glossiphoniidae (order Rhynchobdellida),
181 which includes leeches which feed on the blood of amphibians (Siddall et al., 2005). The
182 species identified in this study appears to be genetically identical to the Tunisian lineage of *B.*
183 *algira* studied by Ben Ahmed et al. (2009, 2015, 2021), which strongly suggests that the UK *B.*
184 *algira* may be an introduced, non-native species.

185

186 Generally, *B. algira* is described from freshwater habitats in the Mediterranean rim (e.g.,
187 Algeria, Tunisia, the Pyrenean Peninsula, Balearic Islands, Corsica, Sardinia, and Sicily) as well

188 as from Bulgaria and Ukraine (Minelli, 1979; Neseemann, 1991; Sori et al., 2011; Ben Ahmed
189 et al., 2015), where it is reported to feed on several species of amphibian (Ben Ahmed et al.,
190 2015; Manenti et al., 2016). However, the true geographic range of *B. algira* could be more
191 limited, while the reported broad distribution might be attributed to hidden cryptic diversity
192 of leeches (Raja Ben Ahmed, pers. comm.). Sightings and investigations of *Batracobdella*
193 species remain scarce, and the overall understanding of their distribution and diversity is
194 limited (Neseemann, 1991; Trajanovski et al., 2010).

195

196 The apparently restricted southern England distribution of *B. algira* within the UK might
197 suggest this to be the area of arrival in the country, for example through natural routes from
198 continental Europe or anthropogenic movement. The latter route, e.g. through live animal or
199 plant trade, may offer the more likely explanation given the identical sequences of multiple
200 loci of *B. algira* found in the UK and Tunisia despite their geographical distance. However,
201 natural long-range dispersal, possibly mediated by birds, has also been documented in
202 glossiphoniid leeches such as *P. costata* (Kvist et al., 2022), and therefore remains plausible.
203 Additionally, a reporter bias may have occurred due to the regional emphasis of the HIWARG
204 and Isle of Wight Reptilium social media campaign appealing for sightings of leeches feeding
205 on amphibians, resulting in a perceived localised observation. Potential abiotic environmental
206 features (e.g., temperature, humidity), which influence the regional distribution of leeches,
207 might also restrict the species' distribution (Lunghi et al., 2018).

208

209 Based on public reports, the observed distribution on the body and appearance of leeches
210 feeding on common frogs in the two sites from which *P. costata* was morphologically

211 identified were indistinguishable from the reports involving common frogs affected by *B.*
212 *algira*. In an additional report of leeches attaching to the eyes and throat of a common toad
213 on the Isle of Wight in 2011, another native widespread freshwater leech (*Hemiclepsis*
214 *marginata*) was morphologically identified (Craig Macadam, unpublished data). The
215 explanation that leeches are generally observed to accumulate mostly on the eyes and
216 periorcular areas as well as the throat and axillae of common toads is thought to be due to the
217 avoidance of toxic skin secretions and therefore attaching to areas that are unprotected by
218 skin glands (Kutschera et al., 2010). Our findings highlight the importance of citizen science
219 and the morphological and/or molecular identification of the leech species involved to
220 identify new species records and to differentiate potentially introduced species from known
221 native species that form an important part of the natural fauna diversity (Elliott & Dobson,
222 2015).

223

224 Although interactions between leeches and their hosts are poorly characterised to date, it is
225 known that leeches may use amphibians as an opportunistic food source (Elliott & Dobson,
226 2015), generally without leading to host death (Getz, 2011; Rocha et al., 2012). However, the
227 impairment of vision caused by leeches covering the eyes of their host will likely affect its
228 ability to forage or evade predators. Other potential health impacts, e.g. by affecting host
229 fitness, pathogen transmission (Siddall & Desser, 1992; Jiménez Sánchez, 1997), or increasing
230 host vulnerability to further infections (Lunghi et al., 2018), have also been previously
231 discussed (Merilä & Sterner, 2002; Stead & Pope, 2010). It has further been proposed that
232 environmental changes (e.g., climate warming or reduced water levels) may enhance
233 negative impacts of leech predation on their hosts (Berven & Boltz, 2001; Ayres & Comesaña
234 Iglesias, 2008).

235

236 Although facultative parasitism of the *B. algira* identified in this study seems likely, a phoretic
237 relationship between anurans and this leech species (Khan & Frick, 1997; Maia-Carneiro et
238 al., 2012; Starzecka et al., 2020) remains possible. Molecular investigation of stomach content
239 of leeches could be used to explore the trophic relationship between *B. algira* and anurans in
240 the UK (Marrone et al., 2016). Whilst to date there is no evidence of native leech species
241 affecting amphibians in the UK at a population level, the significance of *B. algira* to amphibian
242 health is yet to be established. In its native habitat, *B. algira* is not known to have negative
243 health or population impacts on amphibians (Ben Ahmed et al., 2014).

244

245 Further surveillance across the UK is required to determine the distribution and frequency of
246 occurrence of *B. algira*. Additional genomic sequence analyses across the *Batracobdella* genus
247 might help identify closely related species and determine whether it is a historically
248 unrecorded species in England or if it has originated from elsewhere. Regardless, a risk
249 assessment to elucidate possible incursion pathways of non-native leeches to the UK,
250 combined with a mitigation plan to address anthropogenic routes of introduction, should be
251 developed.

252

253 Acknowledgments

254

255 We thank the members of the public who reported sightings of leeches feeding on
256 amphibians, with special thanks to Chryssa M. L. Brown and Charis Hayles for providing the
257 photos of the anurans affected by leeches, and the volunteers supporting this investigation.

258 We thank Emily Jones from the Institute of Zoology and Dr Raja Ben Ahmed from the

259 University of Tunis El Manar, Tunisia, for their support with this investigation. This study was
260 part of the Garden Wildlife Health project (www.gardenwildlifehealth.org) co-ordinated by
261 the Zoological Society of London, in collaboration with the British Trust for Ornithology,
262 Froglife, and the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds.

263 Financial support for the Garden Wildlife Health Project came in part from the Department
264 for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra), the Welsh Government and the Animal and
265 Plant Health Agency (APHA) Diseases of Wildlife Scheme (DoWS); and from the Banister
266 Charitable Trust, Esmée Fairbairn Foundation, Garfield Weston Foundation, and the
267 Universities Federation for Animal Welfare. IoZ staff receive financial support from Research
268 England.

269 The research activities at the Institute of Biology, Biotechnology and Environmental
270 Protection, Faculty of Natural Sciences, were co-financed by the funds granted under the
271 Research Excellence Initiative of the University of Silesia in Katowice (to PŚ).

272

273 Data Accessibility

274 All new DNA sequences generated in the present study are deposited in GenBank under
275 Accession Numbers: OR381498 (COI), OR381567 (12S), OR381568 (28S), and OR453923
276 (histone H3).

277

278 References:

279 Ayres, C. & Comesaña Iglesias, J. (2008). Leech presence on Iberian Brown frog, *Rana iberica*,
280 (Amphibia: Anura: Ranidae) from north-western Spain. *Acta Herpetologica*, 3, 155—159.

281

282 Ben Ahmed, R., Ben Rached, B. & Tekaya, S. (2014). Observations on leech parasitism and
283 predation for Tunisian Amphibians. *Lauterbornia*, 77, 1—7.

284

285 Ben Ahmed, R., Romdhane, Y. & Tekaya, S. (2015). Checklist and distribution of marine and
286 freshwater leeches (Annelida, Clitellata, Hirudinea) in Tunisia with identification key.
287 *Ecological Monographs*, 2, 3—19.

288

289 Ben Ahmed, R., Ropelewska, E., Bielecki, A. & Cichocka, J. (2009). *Batracobdella algira*
290 Moquin-Tandon, 1846 (Hirudinida: Glossiphoniidae) – morphometric analysis and internal
291 morphology. *Wiadomości Parazytologiczne*, 55, 353—358.

292

293 Ben Ahmed, R., Urbisz, A.Z. & Świątek P. (2021). An ultrastructural study of the ovary cord
294 organization and oogenesis in the amphibian leech *Batracobdella algira* (Annelida, Clitellata,
295 Hirudinida). *Protoplasma*, 258, 191—207.

296

297 Berven, K. & Boltz, R. (2001). Interactive effects of leech (*Desserobdella picta*) infection on
298 Wood Frog (*Rana sylvatica*) tadpole fitness traits. *Copeia*, 907—915.

299

300 Elliott, J. M. & Dobson, M. (2015). Freshwater Leeches Of Britain And Ireland: Keys To The
301 Hirudinea And A Review Of Their Ecology. *The Freshwater Biological Association, Ambleside:*
302 United Kingdom.

303

304 Franklino, L. H. V., Fernandez, J. R. R., Hydeskov, H. B., Hopkins, K. P., Everest, D. J.,
305 Cunningham, A. A. & Lawson, B. (2018). Herpesvirus skin disease in free-living common frogs
306 *Rana temporaria* in Great Britain. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 129(3), 239—244.

307

308 Getz, W. M. (2011). Biomass transformation webs provide a unified approach to consumer-
309 resource modelling. *Ecological Letters*, 14, 113—124.

310

311 Govedich, F. R., Moser, W. E., Nakano, T., Bielecki, A., Bain, B. A. & Utevsky, S. (2019). Phylum
312 Annelida. In: *Thorp and Covich's Freshwater Invertebrates*, Thorp, J. H. & Rogers, D. C., 2nd
313 Eds. Academic Press.

314

315 Jiménez Sánchez, M. S. (1997). Contribución al conocimiento de la parasitofauna de la *Rana*
316 *perezi* Seoane, 1885 (Amphibia: Ranidae) de la provincia de Ávila. *Parasitología*, Universidad
317 Complutense de Madrid - Facultad de Farmacia, Madrid: Spain.

318

319 Khan, R. N., & Frick, M. (1997). *Erpobdella punctata* (Hirudinea: Erpobdellidae) as phoronts
320 on *Ambystoma maculatum* (Amphibia: Ambystomatidae). *Journal of Natural History*, 31, 157–
321 161.

322

323 Kumar, S., Stecher, G. & Mega, K.T. (2016) Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version
324 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 33(7), 1870—1874.
325

326 Kutschera, U., Roth, M. & Ewert, J. P. (2010). Feeding on bufoid toads and occurrence of
327 hyperparasitism in a population of the medicinal leech (*Hirudo verbana* Carena 1820).
328 *Research Journal of Fisheries and Hydrobiology*, 5(1), 9—13.
329

330 Kvist, S., Utevsky, S., Marrone, F., Ben Ahmed, R., Gajda, Ł., Grosser, C., Huseynov, M., Jueg,
331 U., Khomenko, A., Ocegüera-Figueroa, A., Pešić, V., Pupins, M., Rouag, R., Sağlam, N., Świątek,
332 P., Trontelj, P., Vecchioni, L. & Müller, C. (2022) Extensive sampling sheds light on species-
333 level diversity in Palearctic *Placobdella* (Annelida: Clitellata: Glossiphoniiformes).
334 *Hydrobiologia*, 849(5), 1239—1259.
335

336 Letunic, I. & Bork, P., 2007. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL): an online tool for phylogenetic tree
337 display and annotation. *Bioinformatics*, 23(1), 127—128.
338

339 Lunghi, E., Ficetola, G. F., Mulargia, M., Cogoni, R., Veith, M., Corti, C. & Manenti, R. (2018).
340 *Batracobdella* leeches, environmental features and *Hydromantes* salamanders. *International*
341 *Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife*, 7(1), 48—53.
342

343 Maia-Carneiro, T., Dorigo, T. A., Wachlevski, M. & Rocha, C. (2012). Evidence of phoresy by
344 leeches (Hirudinoidea) on *Rhinella abei* (Anura: Bufonidae) in the Atlantic Rainforest in the
345 state of Santa Catarina, southern Brazil. *Acta Herpetologica*, 7, 163–169.
346 http://dx.doi.org/10.13128/Acta_Herpetol-10807

347

348 Manenti, R., Lunghi, E., Canedoli, C., Bonaccorsi, M. & Ficetola, G. F. (2016). Parasitism of the
349 leech, *Batracobdella algira* (Moquin-Tandon, 1846), on Sardinian cave salamanders (genus
350 *Hydromantes*) (Caudata: Plethodontidae). *Herpetozoa*, 29, 27–35.

351

352 Merilä, J. & Sterner, M. (2002). Medicinal leeches (*Hirudo medicinalis*) attacking and killing
353 adult amphibians. *Annales Zoologici Fennici*, 39, 343–346.

354

355 Minelli, A. (1979). Fauna D'Italia - Hirudinea. *Edizioni Calderini*, Bologna: Italy.

356

357 Martinsson, S. & Erséus, C. (2014). Cryptic diversity in the well-studied terrestrial worm
358 *Cognettia sphagnetorum* (Clitellata: Enchytraeidae). *Pedobiologia* 57(1), 27–35.

359

360 Marrone, F., Sacco, F., Kehlmaier, C., Arizza, V. & Arculeo, M. (2016) Some like it cold: the
361 glossiphoniid parasites of the Sicilian endemic pond turtle *Emys trinacris* (Testudines,
362 Emydidae), an example of 'parasite inertia'?. *Journal of Zoological Systematics and*
363 *Evolutionary Research*, 54(1), 60–66.

364

365 Nesemann, H. (1991). Zoogeography and composition of leech fauna of Danubian lowland
366 rivers in the Kisalföld compared with some molluscs (Hirudinea, Gastropoda). *Miscellanea*
367 *zoologica hungarica*, 6, 35–51.

368

369 Platt, T. R., Sever, D. M. & Gonzalez, V. L. (1993). First report of the predaceous leech
370 *Helobdella stagnalis* (Rhynchobdellida: Glossiphoniidae) as a parasite of an amphibian,
371 *Ambystoma tigrinum* (Amphibia: Caudata). *American Midland Naturalist*, 208—210.
372
373 Price, S. J., Wadia, A., Wright, O. N., Leung, W. T., Cunningham, A. A. & Lawson, B. (2017).
374 Screening of a long-term sample set reveals two *Ranavirus* lineages in British herpetofauna.
375 *PLoS One*, 12(9), e0184768.
376
377 Richardson, D. J., Moser, W. E., Hammond, C. I., Shevchenko, A. C. & Lazo-Wasem, E. (2010).
378 New geographic distribution records and host specificity of *Placobdella ali* (Hirudinida:
379 Glossiphoniidae). *Comparative Parasitology*, 77(2), 202—206.
380
381 Rocha, R., Borda, E., Andreone, F. & Rosa, G. M. (2012). First reports of leech parasitism in
382 Malagasy anurans. *Comparative Parasitology*, 79, 352—356.
383
384 Seilern-Moy, K., Fernandez, J. R. R., Macgregor, S. K., John, S. K., Linton, C., Cunningham, A. A.
385 & Lawson, B. (2019). Fatal phaeohyphomycosis due to *Exophiala* sp. infection in a free-living
386 common toad *Bufo bufo*. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 133(1), 19—24.
387
388 Siddall, M., Budinoff, R. & Borda, E. (2005). Phylogenetic evaluation of systematics and
389 biogeography of the leech family Glossiphoniidae. *Invertebrate Systematics*, 19, 105—112.
390
391 Siddall, M. E. & Desser, S. S. (1992). Alternative leech vectors for frog and turtle
392 trypanosomes. *Journal of Parasitology*, 78, 562—563.

393

394 Sládeček, V. & Košel, V. (1984). Indicator value of freshwater leeches (Hirudinea) with a key
395 to the determination of European species. *Acta Hydrochimica et Hydrobiologica*, 12(5), 451—
396 461.

397

398 Sorgi, G., Di Pietro, R. & Alicata, P. (2011). I macroinvertebrati degli stagni dei Nebrodi:
399 diversita'e problemi di conservazione. *Biogeographia - The Journal of Integrative*
400 *Biogeography*, 30(1), 551–565.

401

402 Starzecka, A., Kolenda, K. & Kuśmierk, N. (2020). Interactions between the leech *Helobdella*
403 *stagnalis* (Linnaeus, 1758) and amphibians: new data from Poland. *Herpetology Notes*, 13,
404 1009—1012.

405

406 Stead, J. E. & Pope, K. L. (2010). Predatory leeches (Hirudinida) may contribute to amphibian
407 declines in the Lassen Region, California. *Northwestern Naturalist*, 91, 30—39.

408

409 Świątek, P., Novo, M., Marchán, D. F., Gajda, Ł., Małota, K. & Urbisz, A. Z. (2023). Ovary
410 micromorphology in hormogastrid earthworms with a particular emphasis on the
411 organization of the germline cysts. *Zoology* 158, 126081.

412

413 Trajanovski, S., Albrecht, C., Schreiber, K., Schultheiß, R., Stadler, T., Benke, M. & Wilke, T.
414 (2010). Testing the spatial and temporal framework of speciation in an ancient lake species
415 flock: the leech genus *Dina* (Hirudinea: Erpobdellidae) in Lake Ohrid. *Biogeosciences*, 7(11),
416 3387—3402.

417

418 Trifinopoulos, J., Nguyen, L.T., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B.Q. (2016). W-IQ-TREE: a fast online
419 phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 44(W1), W232—
420 W235.

421 Trontelj, P. & Utevsky, S. (2005). Celebrity with a neglected taxonomy, molecular systematics
422 of the medicinal leech (genus *Hirudo*). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 34(3), 616—
423 624.

424

425 Van Haaren, T., Hop, H., Soes, M. & Tempelman, D. (2004). The freshwater leeches (Hirudinea)
426 of the Netherlands. *Lauterbornia*, 52, 113—131.

427

428 Vân Lê, H. L., Lecointre, G. & Perasso, R. (1993). A 28S rRNA-based phylogeny of the
429 gnathostomes: first step in the analysis of conflict and congruence with morphologically
430 based cladograms. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 2(1), 31—51.

431

432 Figure captions

433 Figure 1. Common toad (*Bufo bufo*) reported from Devon, England, in August 2020, with
434 leeches covering both eyes and attaching to the throat (A), and common frog (*Rana*
435 *temporaria*) reported from the Isle of Wight, England, in 2020, with leeches attached to the
436 ventro-lateral body (B). Leech samples from both cases were molecularly identified as
437 *Batracobdella algira*. Image credits: Chryssa M. L. Brown (A); Charis Hayles (B).

438

439 Figure 2. Map of Great Britain highlighting the counties from which reports of leeches feeding
440 on common frogs (*Rana temporaria*) and common toads (*Bufo bufo*) were received from the
441 general public (summer 2020 – January 2023 inclusive), including information on the number
442 of sites and leech species identified.

443

444 Figure 3. Microscopic images of a leech found feeding on a common frog (*Rana temporaria*)
445 on the Isle of Wight in 2022, with morphological features of a *Batracobdella* sp.: (a) lateral
446 whole body, (b) one pair of eyes, (c) gonopores separated by two annulae (d) large posterior
447 sucker. Olympus SZ40 Stereomicroscope. Magnification x 6.25 – 40.

448

449 Figure 4. Maximum Likelihood tree resulting from the analysis of COI nucleotide sequences of
450 *Batracobdella* species. SH-aLRT and UFBoot support values are given below the branches. The
451 sequence obtained from the *Batracobdella* species identified from the UK is identical to
452 sequences from *B. algira* BA2-BA6 from Tunisia and is grouped within the Tunisian-Algerian
453 *B. algira* clade. This clade is considered to represent *B. algira* sensu stricto, which inhabits the
454 type locality.

455

456 Table captions

457 Table 1. Reports received from the general public of leeches feeding on common frogs

458 (*Rana temporaria*) and common toads (*Bufo bufo*) in England, summer 2020 – January 2023

459 inclusive. Where possible, leech species were identified using morphological and/or

460 molecular methods.