## BMJ Open Acceptability of aspirin for cancer preventive therapy: a survey and qualitative study exploring the views of the UK general population

Kelly E Lloyd , <sup>1</sup> Louise H Hall, Lucy Ziegler, Robbie Foy , <sup>1</sup> Sophie M C Green, Mairead MacKenzie, David G Taylor, Samuel G Smith , Aspirin for Cancer Prevention AsCaP Steering Committee

To cite: Llovd KE. Hall LH. Ziegler L, et al. Acceptability of aspirin for cancer preventive therapy: a survey and qualitative study exploring the views of the UK general population. BMJ Open 2023;13:e078703. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-078703

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-078703).

Received 09 August 2023 Accepted 28 November 2023



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

<sup>1</sup>Leeds Institute of Health Sciences, University of Leeds Faculty of Medicine and Health, Leeds, UK

<sup>2</sup>Independent Cancer Patients' Voice, London, UK <sup>3</sup>School of Pharmacy, University College London, London, UK

### **Correspondence to**

Dr Kelly E Lloyd; k.e.lloyd@leeds.ac.uk

#### **ABSTRACT**

Objectives Aspirin could be offered for colorectal cancer prevention for the UK general population. To ensure the views of the general population are considered in future guidance, we explored public perceptions of aspirin for preventive therapy.

**Design** We conducted an online survey to investigate aspirin use, and awareness of aspirin for cancer prevention among the UK general population. We conducted semistructured interviews with a subsample of survey respondents to explore participants' acceptability towards aspirin for cancer preventive therapy. We analysed the interview data using reflexive thematic analysis and mapped the themes onto the Theoretical Domains Framework, and the Necessity and Concerns Framework.

Setting Online survey and remote interviews. Participants We recruited 400 UK respondents aged 50-70 years through a market research company to the survey. We purposefully sampled, recruited and interviewed 20 survey respondents.

Results In the survey, 19.0% (76/400) of respondents were aware that aspirin can be used to prevent cancer. Among those who had previously taken aspirin, 1.9% (4/216) had taken it for cancer prevention. The interviews generated three themes: (1) perceived necessity of aspirin; (2) concerns about side effects; and (3) preferred information sources. Participants with a personal or family history of cancer were more likely to perceive aspirin as necessary for cancer prevention. Concerns about taking aspirin at higher doses and its side effects, such as gastrointestinal bleeding, were common. Many described wanting guidance and advice on aspirin to be communicated from sources perceived as trustworthy, such as healthcare professionals.

**Conclusions** Among the general population, those with a personal or family history of cancer may be more receptive towards taking aspirin for preventive therapy. Future policies and campaigns recommending aspirin may be of particular interest to these groups. Multiple considerations about the benefits and risks of aspirin highlight the need to support informed decisions on the medication.

### STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ The online survey collected unique data on aspirin use and reasons for taking aspirin among the UK general population aged 50-70 years.
- ⇒ The interviews offered the opportunity to explore the potential barriers and facilitators to taking aspirin for cancer prevention among the general public.
- Self-selection to the survey and interviews may have resulted in recruiting participants with stronger views on aspirin than the wider UK population.

### INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer is one of the most common cancers worldwide, with an estimated two million cases and nearly one million deaths from the disease globally in 2020. There is increasing interest in the pharmacological prevention of cancer,<sup>2</sup> including aspirin to prevent colorectal cancer.<sup>3</sup> A pooled analysis of 423 495 people from 2 cohort studies found that daily aspirin use was associated with a 15% reduced risk of colorectal cancer (HR: 0.85, 95% CI=0.80 to 0.89). Furthermore, a meta-analysis of four randomised controlled trials investigating aspirin for vascular disease prevention found that aspirin was associated with reduced colon cancer incidence (HR: 0.76, 95% CI=0.60 to 0.96). Studies have investigated the use of aspirin for preventing other cancers; however, the evidence is more limited.6

Aspirin is often recommended for people at higher risk of developing colorectal cancer. Australian national guidance<sup>7</sup> and the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (guidance NG151) recommend aspirin for colorectal cancer prevention among people with Lynch syndrome.8 The guidance does not state a recommended dose, but 150-300 mg is commonly used in



practice. Australian guidance also recommends considering 100–300 mg daily aspirin for those in the general population aged 50–70 years to prevent colorectal cancer. There is no current equivalent national guidance for the public in the UK.

Decisions on whether to use aspirin involve consideration of potential benefits and side effects, such as an increased risk of gastrointestinal bleeding. For individuals at population risk of colorectal cancer, regular aspirin use between 75 and 325 mg appears to have a favourable benefit–harm profile, although the risk of side effects increases substantially after age 70. 10 The current use and acceptability of aspirin for colorectal cancer prevention among the UK public is unknown. It is likely though that a proportion of the public have experience taking aspirin, such as for pain relief, or cardiovascular disease risk reduction. People's prior use of aspirin could support the implementation of the medication for the purpose of colorectal cancer prevention.

Most research examining barriers to using preventive therapy has focused on pharmacological prevention of breast cancer among women at higher risk of the disease. Barriers to use include concerns about side effects, 15-18 and perceptions of the medication as a 'cancer drug'. 15 Research has also explored the views of people with Lynch syndrome on aspirin for colorectal cancer prevention. 19 Several barriers to taking aspirin were observed, including concerns regarding aspirin's side effects and confusion on the recommended dose. It is important to explore the views of the general population on aspirin for cancer prevention, as there are likely to be similar and different barriers to initiating aspirin compared with those at higher risk. Australian cross-sectional research has observed moderately high acceptance (>70%) for taking aspirin regularly for colorectal cancer prevention among the general population.<sup>20</sup> However, the study did not explore participants' motivators and barriers towards the use of aspirin.

The potential impact of using aspirin for cancer prevention in the wider population will depend on acceptability as well as effectiveness, and an understanding of the barriers to implementation. Public perceptions of aspirin for cancer preventive therapy should be explored to inform both clinical guideline development and support informed decision-making. In this study, we aimed to investigate aspirin use and associated knowledge among people from the UK general population. We also aimed to explore the potential facilitators and barriers towards taking aspirin for colorectal cancer prevention.

### METHODS Design

We carried out a survey and qualitative study. We conducted an online survey for two reasons: (1) to collect initial data on participants' prior use of aspirin and their knowledge on taking aspirin for cancer prevention and (2) to recruit people from the UK general population to an interview. Following the survey, we conducted theory-informed, semistructured, one-to-one interviews with a subsample of survey participants to explore their perceptions of aspirin for colorectal cancer prevention. We preregistered the methods and analysis plan (https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/3EFG7). We initially preregistered the study to explore the views of people with Lynch syndrome, members of the public and healthcare professionals on aspirin. We deviated from the protocol by publishing the Lynch syndrome and healthcare professional findings in a separate paper. <sup>19</sup> Study reporting was guided by the Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research checklist. <sup>23</sup>

### **Participants and recruitment**

We hosted the online survey on Qualtrics, and recruitment was advertised through a market research company (Dynata). We recruited people from the UK public between the ages of 50 and 70 years, as the benefits of prophylactic aspirin use are estimated to be greater than the risks of side effects within this age range. At the end of the survey, we asked respondents for contact details if they wished to take part in a follow-up interview. All interviewees received £25 from Dynata. One author (KEL) recruited participants until data saturation was considered to have been achieved, following an established method for assessing data saturation.<sup>24</sup> Data saturation was used to ensure that the sample size was large enough to provide informative data on the topic, but not so large as to waste resources and participants' time. We used a 10+3 stopping criterion to assess for data saturation, which is a tested and recommended approach for theorybased interview studies.<sup>24</sup> After 10 interviews, we assessed for data saturation and stopped recruitment once three subsequent interviews had been conducted and no new themes were identified.<sup>24</sup> For example, recruitment would stop after participant 13, if no new themes were observed from participants 11, 12 and 13.

### **Survey measures**

### Aspirin use

We asked participants whether they had ever taken aspirin. Those who answered yes were asked if they took aspirin regularly (ie, most days or every day) and their reasons for taking aspirin, such as for pain relief, cancer prevention or cardiovascular disease prevention (online supplemental materials 1).

### Knowledge

We asked participants if they were aware prior to the survey that aspirin can be used to reduce the risk of developing certain cancers. We also asked whether a health-care provider had previously discussed with them about taking aspirin to prevent colorectal and other cancers.

### Characteristics of the sample

We collected data on participant characteristics, including any previous cancer diagnoses, gender, age, ethnicity and highest educational or professional qualification obtained.



### Interview schedule

We developed the interview schedule based on the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF; V.2), 25 which is a framework derived from multiple behaviour change theories (online supplemental materials 2). Each of the 14 framework domains describes a factor that could influence individual behaviour when implementing new clinical practices. The TDF domains cover both internal factors, such as a person's knowledge and emotions, and external factors, such as social influences and available resources.

We conducted semistructured interviews with flexibility to the order of questions, and improvised follow-up questions. At the beginning of all interviews, participants were informed that aspirin is currently only recommended in the UK for people at higher risk of colorectal cancer due to a genetic syndrome, but that there is potential for wider recommended use in the future.

### Patient and public involvement

A public representative (MM) reviewed the draft interview schedule to ensure the questions were comprehensible to participants.

### **Data collection and analysis**

One author (KEL) analysed the survey data in R Studio (R V.4.2.1), with findings presented in proportions and frequencies. One author (KEL), with previous experience in collecting and analysing qualitative data, conducted all interviews by video call or telephone. Interviews took place from July to August 2022. Interviews were audio recorded, transcribed verbatim and pseudonymised using initials to replace participants' names.

The interview data was analysed in two stages, which is recommended for the TDF to optimise its use in qualitative research<sup>26</sup> and can identify themes not captured by a theoretical framework. <sup>26 27</sup> The interview transcripts were coded and analysed using reflexive thematic analysis. 28 29 The developed themes were then mapped onto the TDF domains.<sup>25</sup> During analysis, we found the Necessity-Concerns Framework to be an additional useful framework for guiding our analytic process.<sup>30</sup> The framework specifies that people consider their treatment necessity beliefs against their concerns when making decisions on medication<sup>31 32</sup> and that these beliefs and concerns on the medication can be general or specific. One author (KEL) coded and analysed all transcripts, and two authors (SGS and SMCG) double coded a proportion of interviews. The findings and potential themes were discussed collaboratively among the three authors, with the aim to explore new perspectives on the data and enhance interpretative depth.<sup>33</sup> One author (KEL) mapped the themes onto the domains in the TDF (V.2), which was reviewed by coauthors. All transcripts were managed in NVivo (V.1.6.1) and Microsoft Word.

The survey dataset and analysis scripts generated for the study are publicly available in the Research Data Leeds Repository.<sup>34</sup>

Table 1 Characteristics of the survey respondents, recruited from the UK general population (n=400)

	n (%)
Age	
50–55	88 (22.0%)
56–60	106 (26.5%)
61–65	103 (25.8%)
66–70	103 (25.8%)
Gender	
Women	186 (46.5%)
Men	213 (53.3%)
Another identity	1 (0.3%)
Ethnicity	
White British or Irish	371 (92.8%)
White Gypsy or Irish Traveller	1 (0.3%)
Any other white background	12 (3.0%)
Asian or Asian British	9 (2.3%)
Mixed white and Asian	1 (0.3%)
Arab or Arab British	2 (0.5%)
Black/African/Caribbean background/ mixed background	2 (0.5%)
Any other ethnic background/mixed background	2 (0.5%)
Education	
Degree level and above	149 (37.3%)
Below degree level	251 (62.8%)
Previously diagnosed with cancer	
Yes	46 (11.5%)
No	354 (88.5%)
Among those 'yes' to cancer (n=46), which	cancer(s)
Prostate	11 (23.9%)
Breast	10 (21.7%)
Skin	6 (13.0%)
Colorectal	5 (10.9%)
Other cancers	14 (30.4%)

### **RESULTS**

### **Survey findings**

In total, 400 people participated in the survey (table 1). The mean age of the sample was 60.8 years (SD=5.7). Most of the sample were men (213; 53.3%), educated below degree level (251; 62.8%) and were white British or Irish (371; 92.8%). In total, 76 (19.0%) were aware prior to the survey that aspirin can be used to reduce the risk of developing certain cancers and 15 (3.8%) had previously discussed aspirin for this purpose with a healthcare professional.

Most participants (216; 54.0%) had taken aspirin at least once. Among the 216 previous aspirin users, most

**Table 2** Characteristics of the general public interview respondents (n=20)

respondents (n=20)	
	n (%)
Age	
50–55	3 (15.0%)
56–60	7 (35.0%)
61–65	5 (25.0%)
66–70	5 (25.0%)
Gender	
Women	12 (60.0%)
Men	8 (40.0%)
Ethnicity	
White British or Irish	17 (85.0%)
Asian or Asian British	3 (15.0%)
Country in the UK	
England	18 (90.0%)
Scotland	1 (5.0%)
Northern Ireland	1 (5.0%)
Previously diagnosed with cancer	
Yes	6 (30.0%)
No	14 (70.0%)

had taken it for pain relief (150; 69.4%). Fewer had taken aspirin for prevention of cardiovascular disease (61; 28.2%) and cancer (4; 1.9%). One person (0.5%) had taken aspirin as part of a trial. Among the 216 previous aspirin users, 59 (27.3%) reported using aspirin regularly, defined as most days or every day. Most of the 59 regular aspirin users took it for cardiovascular disease prevention (45; 76.3%). Other reasons for regularly taking aspirin were pain relief (11/59, 18.6%) and cancer prevention (3/59; 5.1%).

### **Interview findings**

A total of 202 (50.5%) survey respondents expressed interest in a follow-up interview. We invited participants by email to be interviewed until we judged data saturation was reached. We purposefully sampled participants from different demographic groups (eg, gender) and a balance of current and never aspirin users. In batches of 5–10 invitations, we invited 53 survey respondents to be interviewed and 20 (37.7%) responded and were interviewed (table 2). Interview duration ranged between 15 and 30 min. We identified three overarching themes, and interview findings were mapped onto the TDF (table 3).

### Perceived necessity of aspirin Did not perceive a need for aspirin

Participant beliefs varied about the perceived necessity of taking aspirin for colorectal cancer prevention. Several people perceived themselves to be at low risk of the disease, typically because they had no family history or personal history of cancer. Often, these participants described how they would only consider using aspirin for cancer prevention if a medical doctor assessed them to be at higher risk, or if they were diagnosed with colorectal cancer.

If someone said to me I was high risk and aspirin was the, was a possible option, I would take it. (J.J., 56–60 years).

Yeah, well I think probably if I have had bowel cancer and I was in recovery [...] then I probably would take [aspirin]." (W.G., 66–70 years).

Several participants were averse to using daily medication in general, unless deemed highly necessary for their health.

I would only take [medication] if it was really necessary. (A.G., 66–70 years).

**Table 3** The themes, and corresponding barriers, facilitators and domains within the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF; V.2)

Potential barriers to the use of aspirin for preventive therapy	Potential facilitators to the use of aspirin for preventive therapy	Main TDF domain(s)
Those who perceive themselves at lower risk of cancer because they do not have a personal or family history of the disease.	Those who perceive themselves at higher risk of cancer because they have a personal or family history of the disease.	Beliefs about consequences.
High concerns about using daily aspirin because of the side effects, such as increased risk of gastrointestinal bleeding. Concerns about taking aspirin daily at doses above 75 mg.	Low concerns regarding the side effects of aspirin because it is a well-known over-the-counter medication for pain relief.	Beliefs about consequences.
Current lack of a recommendation to support the use of aspirin for colorectal cancer prevention among the UK general public.	Wanting information on aspirin to come from trusted online sources of information, such as the National Health Service and UK cancer charities (eg, Cancer Research UK).  Wanting information on aspirin to come from a medical professional.	Environmental context and resources.
from Burgess et al. <sup>60</sup>		
	Those who perceive themselves at lower risk of cancer because they do not have a personal or family history of the disease.  High concerns about using daily aspirin because of the side effects, such as increased risk of gastrointestinal bleeding.  Concerns about taking aspirin daily at doses above 75 mg.  Current lack of a recommendation to support the use of aspirin for colorectal cancer prevention among the UK general public.	Those who perceive themselves at lower risk of cancer because they do not have a personal or family history of the disease.  High concerns about using daily aspirin because of the side effects, such as increased risk of gastrointestinal bleeding.  Concerns about taking aspirin daily at doses above 75 mg.  Current lack of a recommendation to support the use of aspirin for colorectal cancer prevention among the UK general public.  Wanting information on aspirin to come from trusted online sources of information, such as the National Health Service and UK cancer charities (eg, Cancer Research UK).  Wanting information on aspirin to come from a medical professional.

On the whole I don't like taking pills, [...]. Unless there was very definite proof that not taking [aspirin] would lead to bowel cancer. (W.G., 66–70 years).

A few participants perceived other lifestyle changes, such as diet and attending preventive screening, as more effective and necessary than taking medication to prevent cancer.

I think [colorectal cancer] can be broadly governed by diet, fibre, etc. (E.Y., 56–60 years).

So I'm at the age now where I get a bowel screening, [...] So I'm having difficulty seeing what aspirin would add to the mix if I'm kind of okay at the moment. (R.C., 56–60 years).

### Perceived a necessity for aspirin

A number of participants discussed having previously been diagnosed with cancer (eg, breast, colorectal, prostate), which in turn increased their interest in using aspirin, and their feelings on the importance of cancer prevention.

If I saw the GP yesterday [...] 'oh would you like to take aspirin as a preventative for bowel cancer?' I would say yes, particularly now that I've been diagnosed with, with breast cancer. (I.N., 61–65 years).

Several participants appeared more inclined to use aspirin because friends or family members had been diagnosed with cancer. All three interviewees who currently used or had previously used aspirin for cancer prevention had started because of a family history of cancer.

A few years ago I heard an article on [a public service radio station] and there was two eminent doctors, and they were talking about the possibility that aspirin prevented not just bowel cancer but other cancers. [...] That triggered me to start taking it, my father died of cancer and my sister also died of cancer. (H.B., 66–70 years).

### Concerns about side effects

### Expressed high concerns about aspirin

Many participants expressed concerns about the potential side effects of daily aspirin use. Several participants expressed feeling more comfortable using a lower dose of daily aspirin (eg, 75 mg), due to the concerns about these side effects.

Because [150-300mg] seems to be a lot. [...], but 75mg, a small dose, I would feel comfortable with that. (S.S., 66–70 years).

Several participants' concerns regarding aspirin appeared to be related to the perceived harm of taking any long-term medication, and the perception that taking daily medication would mean that they were an 'unhealthy' person.

[From taking medication] you suddenly step from being a healthy person to a potentially unhealthy person because it's a medical intervention (R.C., 56–60 years).

Most participants wanted further information on the side effects of aspirin before they would consider initiating the medication. Participants often wanted to know if the benefits of aspirin for preventive therapy substantially outweighed the side effects.

I probably would like to know more about what effects it would have on my body, what damage it could do to my heart, or other organs. (K.H., 56–60 years).

### Did not have concerns about aspirin

Despite widespread concerns about aspirin, these views were not universally held among participants. Aspirin was a familiar drug to many, which lowered some concerns about side effects.

Because it's been well known to me that [aspirin] can help prevent heart problems and that's kind of been around for decades [...] So I think knowing that and not hearing of anything happening to anybody then that's very reassuring for me." (R.L., 56–60 years).

Some participants expressed low concerns about aspirin because of their own or family members previous experiences using the medication without encountering side effects.

No, no I don't [have concerns about the side-effects], because you know whenever I've taken it, [...] I don't take them every day but my mother had no side-effects whatsoever. (I.N., 61–65 years).

### Preferred information sources

Participants discussed their preferred source of information on the use of aspirin for colorectal cancer prevention. Many participants wanted the information on aspirin to come from trusted online sources, such as the National Health Service and UK cancer charities (eg, Cancer Research UK).

Cancer Research would probably be, or Macmillan, it would be a trusted website that [...] I would look at. (K.H., 56–60 years).

Other important information sources discussed were medical professionals. Several participants wanted the information on aspirin for cancer prevention to come from their general practitioner (GP), while others preferred speaking to a cancer specialist. In most cases, participants felt that they would not initiate aspirin for preventive therapy without a doctor's recommendation.

Well certainly I think I would first and primarily go to the GP. (A.N., 66–70 years).

Maybe not a GP but somebody who was specialised in that area, maybe a gastroenterologist [...] I wouldn't automatically [take aspirin] because I wouldn't have

to me. (K.H., 56–60 years).

Several people expressed positive views towards speaking to a pharmacist about aspirin, often because they had previously had positive experiences consulting their pharmacists on other medical issues. However, not all were comfortable with this approach, and only wanted to speak with a medical doctor about aspirin.

Well I think my doctor is the trustworthy cause, but also my pharmacy is really good. (A.G., 66–70 years).

I've always gone to GP, I've never discussed anything with a pharmacist ever [...] I mean they're giving a lot of powers to the pharmacist, but I don't feel comfortable talking to a pharmacist. (L.L., 61–65 years).

Official UK guidance recommending aspirin for cancer prevention for the general public was an important factor to some participants. However, this was only mentioned by a small number of people as a potential barrier to using aspirin.

I'd be happy if [aspirin] was recommended though, but I'd wait till it was recommended, I wouldn't jump on things too quickly. (F.L., 50–55 years).

### DISCUSSION

This study of the UK general population found low reported current use of aspirin for cancer prevention among survey respondents, and several barriers and motivators towards taking aspirin for this purpose across interviewees. In the interviews, those with a personal or family history of cancer were more receptive to taking aspirin while those who considered themselves at lower risk of colorectal cancer, or cancer in general, expressed more resistance. Public perceptions towards taking aspirin for cancer prevention should be considered in any future guidance recommending aspirin outside of a Lynch syndrome population.

Most survey respondents had taken aspirin, often for pain relief. People's perceptions of aspirin as a well-known medicine may support its use for prevention, as several participants interviewed held positive views towards aspirin for this reason. However, many had concerns about side effects, highlighting the need to support informed choices about taking medication. Awareness of aspirin for cancer prevention among survey respondents was low, and only a small percentage took aspirin for prevention. The interview findings suggest family history of cancer was an important motivator to taking aspirin among those at population risk.

We considered our qualitative findings in relation to the 14 domains in the TDF, and identified 2 main domains. 25 These domains were the 'beliefs about the consequences' of using aspirin and the potential 'environmental context and resources' which would aid in implementing aspirin for the public. Participant beliefs about the consequences of taking aspirin, such as the necessity for and side effects of the medication, were particularly important and relate to the Necessity-Concerns Framework.<sup>30</sup> Several participants expressed specific beliefs and concerns on taking aspirin for cancer prevention, as well as general concerns on taking any medication daily. Previous evidence has found those who report low necessity for a medication and high concerns on the side effects are less likely to initiate and adhere to a range of different medications. 35-38 Similarly, a UK prospective study found women at higher risk of breast cancer with low concerns about side effects were significantly more likely to initiate preventive medication.<sup>39</sup>

While the interview findings suggest a relationship between perceived cancer risk and uptake of aspirin, a large population survey is warranted to investigate these potentially motivating factors further. Although minimal previous research has been conducted in this area, 12 surveys in the USA and Australia have observed mixed findings on a relationship between cancer risk and interest in aspirin for colorectal cancer prevention.<sup>20 40</sup> Interventions aiming to support informed uptake of aspirin for preventive therapy should consider targeting people's beliefs regarding the side effects and benefits of taking the medication. There is also scope for research to explore the relationship between the Necessity-Concerns Framework and adherence to aspirin.

Guidance recommending aspirin for preventive therapy among the public should consider how the information is communicated, as several participants want the advice to come from a healthcare professional. People who have previously had cancer or a precursor to cancer may be particularly receptive to taking aspirin for preventive therapy. There is trial and observational evidence supporting the use of aspirin among people with colorectal adenomas, 41–47 and this may be an appropriate group for policy-makers to target if the harmbenefit profile is deemed sufficient. While the evidence for using aspirin for secondary cancer prevention is less developed, 48 the ongoing Add-Aspirin trial is investigating the effectiveness of regular aspirin for patients with non-metastatic colorectal, breast, gastro-oesophageal and prostate cancer. 49 50 Our findings suggest such groups may be particularly receptive to receiving a recommendation to use aspirin for preventive therapy.

Our study had a number of strengths. We used two well-established frameworks, the TDF and Necessity-Concerns Framework, to develop a comprehensive analysis of the barriers and motivators towards taking aspirin for colorectal cancer prevention among the general population. A particular strength of the TDF is that the framework can aid in specifying the beliefs and attitudes that are amenable to change 25 and offers an explicit framework for mapping onto behaviour change strategies (eg, *The Behaviour Change Wheel*).<sup>51</sup> The online survey also collected unique data on use of daily aspirin and reasons for taking the medication among the UK general population aged 50-70 years.

This study also had limitations. We recruited a moderate sample size of 400 respondents to the survey, therefore the findings should be generalised to the wider UK population with caution. In some cases, weighting approaches can be employed with the aim to weight participants' responses to represent the target population. However, we concluded that weighting was inappropriate for our survey as several demographic (eg, ethnicity) categories contained little to no data, which can lead to over or under-representing responses for these population groups. Self-selection to the survey and interviews may have also resulted in recruiting people with stronger views on the topic than those in the general population.

For the interviews, most participants were white, with only three people recruited from an Asian background. Further research is warranted to explore the views of people from different ethnic minority groups on the use of aspirin for cancer preventive therapy. There are likely to be specific barriers among some ethnic minority groups. For example, research has observed South Asian respondents to view cancer as a taboo subject, <sup>55</sup> <sup>56</sup> and have discussed the stigma attached to taking long-term medications, <sup>57–59</sup> which could reduce uptake of cancer preventive therapy.

### **CONCLUSION**

People with personal or family history of cancer were particularly receptive towards aspirin for colorectal cancer prevention. Future guidance recommending aspirin for cancer prevention may be of particular interest to these groups. Concerns about the side effects of aspirin were common across the sample, highlighting the need to support informed decisions on the medication. Guidance and advice recommending aspirin should be communicated from sources perceived as trustworthy by the public, such as healthcare professionals.

Twitter Kelly E Lloyd @KellyElizaLloyd and Samuel G Smith @sgsmith\_87

**Acknowledgements** The authors thank all the participants who took part in the study. We also acknowledge the AsCaP senior executive board and committee members

Collaborators Aspirin for Cancer Prevention AsCaP Steering Committee Members: Professor Jack Cuzick, Queen Mary University of London. Chair. Professor Frances Balkwill, Queen Mary University of London. Professor Tim Bishop, University of Leeds. Professor Sir John Burn, Newcastle University. Professor Andrew T. Chan, Harvard School of Medicine. Dr Colin Crooks, University of Nottingham. Professor Chris Hawkey, University of Nottingham. Professor Ruth Langley, University College London. Ms Mairead McKenzie, Independent Cancer Patients' Voice. Dr Belinda Nedjai, Queen Mary University of London. Professor Paola Patrignani, Università "G. d'Annunzio" di Chieti-Pescara. Professor Carlo Patrono, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome. Professor Samuel Smith, University of Leeds. Dr Laura Greaves, Newcastle University.

Contributors Conceptualisation: KEL, SGS, RF, LHH and LZ. Methodology: KEL, SGS, RF, LHH, LZ, DGT and MM. Supervision: SGS, RF, LHH and LZ. Funding acquisition: SGS, DGT and MM. Investigation: KEL. Formal analysis: KEL, SMCG and SGS. Writing—original draft: KEL. Writing—review and editing: all authors. Guarantor: KEL.

Funding This work is fully funded by the Aspirin for Cancer Prevention AsCaP Group CRUK Grant Code: A24991, Senior Executive Board Professor J Burn,

Professor A T Chan, Professor J Cuzick, Dr B Nedjai, Professor Ruth Langley. During the study, KEL was supported by an Economic and Social Research Council studentship (grant number ES/P000745/1). KEL also acknowledges funding support from an ESRC Postdoctoral Fellowship (ES/Y00759X/1). This report is independent research supported by the National Institute for Health Research NIHR Advanced Fellowship, SGS, (grant number NIHR300588). SGS also acknowledges funding support from a Yorkshire Cancer Research University Academic Fellowship. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing interests** RF is a member of NICE Implementation Strategy Group. All other authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research. Refer to the Methods section for further details.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study involves human participants. Ethical approval was awarded by the University of Leeds School of Medicine Research Ethics Committee (MREC 19-091). Verbal informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in this study. Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available in a public, open access repository. The survey data is available in a public, open access repository. The survey dataset and analysis scripts are publicly available in the Research Data Leeds Repository: https://doi.org/10.5518/1379. The qualitative data is available upon reasonable request. The methods and analysis plan were preregistered: https://doi.org/10.17605/0SF.IO/3EFG7.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

### **ORCID** iDs

Kelly E Lloyd http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0420-2342 Robbie Foy http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0605-7713 Samuel G Smith http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1983-4470

### **REFERENCES**

- 1 Xi Y, Xu P. Global colorectal cancer burden in 2020 and projections to 2040. *Transl Oncol* 2021;14:101174.
- 2 Benetou V, Lagiou A, Lagiou P. Chemoprevention of cancer: current evidence and future prospects. F1000Res 2015;4:916.
- 3 Katona BW, Weiss JM. Chemoprevention of colorectal cancer. Gastroenterology 2020;158:368–88.
- 4 Hurwitz LM, Michels KA, Cook MB, et al. Associations between daily aspirin use and cancer risk across strata of major cancer risk factors in two large U.S. cohorts. Cancer Causes Control 2021;32:57–65.
- 5 Rothwell PM, Wilson M, Elwin C-E, et al. Long-term effect of aspirin on colorectal cancer incidence and mortality: 20-year follow-up of five randomised trials. *Lancet* 2010;376:1741–50.
- 6 Cuzick J, Thorat MA, Bosetti C, et al. Estimates of benefits and harms of prophylactic use of aspirin in the general population. Ann Oncol 2015;26:47–57.
- 7 Cancer Council Australia. Chemopreventive candidate agents. 2019. Available: https://wiki.cancer.org.au/australia/Clinical\_question: Aspirin\_for\_prevention\_of\_colorectal\_cancer [Accessed Aug 2022].

- 8 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Colorectal cancer [NG151]. 2020. Available: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/NG151 [Accessed Aug 2022].
- 9 Sørensen HT, Mellemkjaer L, Blot WJ, et al. Risk of upper gastrointestinal bleeding associated with use of low-dose aspirin. Am J Gastroenterol 2000:95:2218–24.
- 10 McNeil JJ, Wolfe R, Woods RL, et al. Effect of aspirin on cardiovascular events and bleeding in the healthy elderly. N Engl J Med 2018;379:1509–18.
- McNeil JJ, Gibbs P, Orchard SG, et al. Effect of aspirin on cancer incidence and mortality in older adults. J Natl Cancer Inst 2021;113:258–65.
- 12 Lloyd KE, Hall LH, King N, et al. Aspirin use for cancer prevention: a systematic review of public, patient and healthcare provider attitudes and adherence behaviours. Prev Med 2022;154:106872.
- 13 Trinder P, Rajaratnam G, Lewis M, et al. Prophylactic aspirin use in the adult general population. J Public Health Med 2003;25:377–80.
- 14 Campbell CL, Smyth S, Montalescot G, et al. Aspirin dose for the prevention of cardiovascular disease: a systematic review. JAMA 2007:297:2018.
- 15 Donnelly LS, Evans DG, Wiseman J, et al. Uptake of tamoxifen in consecutive premenopausal women under surveillance in a high-risk breast cancer clinic. Br J Cancer 2014;110:1681–7.
- Heisey R, Pimlott N, Clemons M, et al. Women's views on chemoprevention of breast cancer: qualitative study. Can Fam Physician 2006;52:624–5.
- 17 Altschuler A, Somkin CP. Women's decision making about whether or not to use breast cancer chemoprevention. Women Health 2005;41:81–95.
- 18 Hackett J, Thorneloe R, Side L, et al. Uptake of breast cancer preventive therapy in the UK: results from a multicentre prospective survey and qualitative interviews. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2018:170:633–40.
- 19 Lloyd KE, Foy R, Hall LH, et al. Barriers and facilitators to using aspirin for preventive therapy: a qualitative study exploring the views and experiences of people with Lynch syndrome and healthcare providers. Hered Cancer Clin Pract 2022;20:30.
- Nguyen P, McIntosh J, Bickerstaffe A, et al. Benefits and harms of aspirin to reduce colorectal cancer risk: a cross-sectional study of methods to communicate risk in primary care. Br J Gen Pract 2019;69:e843–9.
- 21 Boivin A, Currie K, Fervers B, et al. Patient and public involvement in clinical guidelines: International experiences and future perspectives. Qual Saf Health Care 2010;19:e22.
- 22 Stiggelbout AM, Van der Weijden T, De Wit MPT, et al. Shared decision making: really putting patients at the centre of healthcare. BMJ 2012;344:bmj.e256.
- 23 O'Brien BC, Harris IB, Beckman TJ, et al. Standards for reporting qualitative research: a synthesis of recommendations. Acad Med 2014;89:1245–51.
- 24 Francis JJ, Johnston M, Robertson C, et al. What is an adequate sample size? Operationalising data saturation for theory-based interview studies. Psychol Health 2010;25:1229–45.
- 25 Atkins L, Francis J, Islam R, et al. A guide to using the theoretical domains framework of behaviour change to investigate implementation problems. *Implement Sci* 2017;12:77.
- 26 McGowan LJ, Powell R, French DP. How can use of the theoretical domains framework be optimized in qualitative research? A rapid systematic review. Br J Health Psychol 2020;25:677–94.
- 27 MacFarlane A, O'Reilly-de Brún M. Using a theory-driven conceptual framework in qualitative health research. Qual Health Res 2012;22:607–18.
- 28 Braun V, Clarke V. One size fits all? What counts as quality practice in (Reflexive) thematic analysis. Qual Res Psychol 2021;18:328–52.
- 29 Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qual Res Psychol 2006;3:77–101.
- 30 Horne R, Weinman J, Hankins M. The beliefs about medicines questionnaire: the development and evaluation of a new method for assessing the cognitive representation of medication. *Psychology & Health* 1999;14:1–24.
- 31 Horne R, Chapman SCE, Parham R, et al. Understanding patients' adherence-related beliefs about medicines prescribed for long-term conditions: a meta-analytic review of the necessity-concerns framework. PLoS One 2013;8:e80633.
- 32 Phillips LA, Diefenbach MA, Kronish IM, et al. The necessity-concerns framework: a multidimensional theory benefits from multidimensional analysis. *Ann Behav Med* 2014;48:7–16.
- 33 Braun V, Clarke V. Conceptual and design thinking for thematic analysis. *Qualitative Psychology* 2022;9:3–26.

- 34 Lloyd KE, Hall LH, Ziegler L, et al. Data from: short survey on aspirin use for cancer prevention among the UK general public. Research Data Leeds Repsoitory 2023.
- 35 Clifford S, Barber N, Horne R. Understanding different beliefs held by adherers, unintentional nonadherers, and intentional nonadherers: application of the necessity–concerns framework. *J Psychosom Res* 2008;64:41–6.
- 36 Horne R, Cooper V, Gellaitry G, et al. Patients' perceptions of highly active antiretroviral therapy in relation to treatment uptake and adherence: the utility of the necessity-concerns framework. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2007;45:334–41.
- 37 Horne R, Parham R, Driscoll R, et al. Patients' attitudes to medicines and adherence to maintenance treatment in inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2009;15:837–44.
- 38 Clarkesmith DE, Pattison HM, Lip GYH, et al. Educational intervention improves anticoagulation control in atrial fibrillation patients: the TREAT randomised trial. PLoS One 2013:8:e74037.
- 39 Thorneloe RJ, Horne R, Side L, et al. Beliefs about medication and uptake of preventive therapy in women at increased risk of breast cancer: results from a multicenter prospective study. Clin Breast Cancer 2019;19:e116–26.
- 40 Jensen JD, Holton AE, Krakow M, et al. Colorectal cancer prevention and intentions to use low-dose aspirin: a survey of 1000 U.S. adults aged 40–65. Cancer Epidemiol 2016;41:99–105.
- 41 Logan RFA, Grainge MJ, Shepherd VC, et al. Aspirin and folic acid for the prevention of recurrent colorectal adenomas. Gastroenterology 2008;134:29–38.
- 42 Sandler RS, Halabi S, Baron JA, et al. A randomized trial of aspirin to prevent colorectal adenomas in patients with previous colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2003;348:883–90.
- 43 Baron JA, Cole BF, Sandler RS, et al. A randomized trial of aspirin to prevent colorectal adenomas. N Engl J Med 2003;348:891–9.
- 44 Benamouzig R, Deyra J, Martin A, et al. Daily soluble aspirin and prevention of colorectal adenoma recurrence: one-year results of the Apacc trial. *Gastroenterology* 2003;125:328–36.
- 45 Cole BF, Logan RF, Halabi S, et al. Aspirin for the chemoprevention of colorectal adenomas: meta-analysis of the randomized trials. J Natl Cancer Inst 2009;101:256–66.
- 46 Grau MV, Sandler RS, McKeown-Eyssen G, et al. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug use after 3 years of aspirin use and colorectal adenoma risk: observational follow-up of a randomized study. J Natl Cancer Inst 2009;101:267–76.
- 47 Chudy-Onwugaje K, Huang W-Y, Su LJ, et al. Aspirin, ibuprofen, and reduced risk of advanced colorectal adenoma incidence and recurrence and colorectal cancer in the PLCO cancer screening trial. Cancer 2021;127:3145–55.
- 48 Langley RE, Burdett S, Tierney JF, et al. Aspirin and cancer: has aspirin been overlooked as an adjuvant therapy. Br J Cancer 2011:105:1107–13.
- 49 Coyle C, Cafferty FH, Rowley S, et al. ADD-ASPIRIN: a phase III, double-blind, placebo controlled, randomised trial assessing the effects of aspirin on disease recurrence and survival after primary therapy in common non-metastatic solid tumours. Contemp Clin Trials 2016;51:56–64.
- 50 Joharatnam-Hogan N, Cafferty F, Hubner R, et al. Aspirin as an adjuvant treatment for cancer: feasibility results from the add-aspirin randomised trial. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;4:854–62.
- 51 Michie S, Atkins L, West R. The behaviour change wheel: A guide to designing interventions. London: Silverback Publishing, 2014.
- 52 Kalton G, Flores-Cervantes I. Weighting methods. *J Off Stat* 2003;19:81.
- 53 Vaske JJ, Jacobs MH, Sijtsma MTJ, et al. Can weighting compensate for sampling issues in internet surveys. Hum Dimens Wildl 2011;16:200–15.
- 54 Comley P, Beaumont J. Online market research: methods, benefits and issues — part 1. J Direct Data Digit Mark Pract 2011;12:315–27.
- 55 Randhawa G, Owens A. The meanings of cancer and perceptions of cancer services among South Asians in Luton, UK. *Br J Cancer* 2004;91:62–8.
- 56 Karbani G, Lim JNW, Hewison J, et al. Culture, attitude and knowledge about breast cancer and preventive measures: a qualitative study of South Asian breast cancer patients in the UK. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2011;12:1619–26.
- 57 Kumar K, Greenfield S, Raza K, et al. Understanding adherencerelated beliefs about medicine amongst patients of South Asian origin with diabetes and cardiovascular disease patients: a qualitative synthesis. BMC Endocr Disord 2016;16:24.
- 58 Éns TA, Seneviratne CC, Jones C, et al. Factors influencing medication adherence in South Asian people with cardiac disorders: an ethnographic study. Int J Nurs Stud 2014;51:1472–81.
- 59 Kamath DY, Bhuvana KB, Salazar LJ, et al. A qualitative, grounded theory exploration of the determinants of self-care behavior among

Indian patients with a lived experience of chronic heart failure. *PLoS One* 2021;16:e0245659.

60 Burgess C, Wright AJ, Forster AS, et al. Influences on individuals' decisions to take up the offer of a health check: a qualitative study. Health Expect 2015;18:2437–48.

# Supplementary Materials 1: Short survey on aspirin use for cancer prevention among the general public

1.	Do you	ever take aspirin?
	a.	Yes
	b.	No
2.	Do you	take aspirin regularly (i.e. most days or every day)?
	a.	Yes
	b.	No
	c.	N/A – do not take aspirin
3.	What i	s the main reason you take aspirin?
		Pain relief
	b.	Prevention of cardiovascular disease (i.e. heart and circulatory disease)
	C.	Prevention of cancer
	d.	Other reason, please specify
	e.	N/A – do not take aspirin
4.		completing this survey, were you aware that aspirin could reduce the risk of ping certain types of cancers?
	a.	Yes
	b.	No
5.	•	ur doctor or any other healthcare professional talked to you about how aspirin may
	lowery	our risk of developing bowel cancer or any other cancers?
	a.	Yes
	b.	No
6.	Have y	ou previously been diagnosed with cancer?
	a.	Yes, please specify which cancer(s):
	b.	No
7.	How w	ould you describe your gender?
	a.	Male
	b.	Female
		Non-binary
	d.	Different identity
	e.	Prefer not to say
8.	What i	s your age?
_		
9.		ould you describe your ethnicity?
	a.	White - English/ Welsh/ Scottish/ Northern Irish/ British
	b.	White - Irish

- c. White Gypsy or Irish Traveller
- d. Any other White background
- e. Mixed White and Black Caribbean
- f. Mixed White and Black African
- g. Mixed White and Asian
- h. Any other mixed/ multiple ethnic background
- i. Asian or Asian British Indian
- j. Asian or Asian British Pakistani
- k. Asian or Asian British Bangladeshi
- I. Asian or Asian British Chinese
- m. Any other Asian background
- n. Black or Black British African
- o. Black or Black British Caribbean
- p. Any other Black/ African/ Caribbean background
- q. Arab or Arab British
- r. Any other ethnic group
- 10. What is the highest level of educational or professional qualification you have obtained?
  - a. GCSE/O-level/CSE
  - b. Vocational qualifications (e.g. NVQ1+2)
  - c. A-Level or equivalent (e.g. NVQ3)
  - d. Bachelor's degree or equivalent (e.g. NVQ4)
  - e. Masters/ PhD or equivalent
  - f. Other qualifications
  - g. No formal qualifications

Thank you for taking part in the survey study. If you are interested in taking part further, we are looking for people to take part in a follow-up interview study to explore their thoughts on the use of aspirin for bowel cancer prevention. The interviews will take between 30 to 60 minutes to complete and will be take place either over the telephone or video call, depending upon your preference. You will receive £25 for taking part in the follow-up interview study. You do not need to use aspirin or know anything about this topic to take part.

11.	Are you	interested in taking part in the follow-up interview study?
	a.	Yes, please provide your contact details (e.g. email, phone number) and we will
		contact you further about the study:
	b.	No

## Supplementary Materials 2: Interview schedule for general public interviews

Thank you for agreeing to take part. Just before we start the interview, I'd like to quickly go over some key points about your rights as a participant in this study. It is completely fine if at any point you would like to stop the interview, or if you do not want to answer a question, please just let me know. You can also withdraw from the study at any point and you do not have to provide a reason for why. Just to remind you the interview will be recorded, but anything you say to me today will be kept confidential, and if you are quoted in any of our reports your name will not be used and instead you will be given a pseudonym, which is a fake name. I will also anonymise anything identifiable, like places, you mention as well. After the interview, if you have any further questions about anything we discussed today, please feel free to contact me. I can also provide a debrief sheet at the end with website links with further information on this topic in case you are interested in reading more. Are you happy to continue?

### General public interview questions

As you read on the information sheet, the study is aiming to understand what people think about using aspirin for cancer prevention, including the reasons why people may or may not be willing to use it. Aspirin has previously been found to effectively reduce people's risk of bowel cancer in a healthy population sample. At the moment, aspirin is only officially recommended by the NHS for cancer prevention in people who have a genetic condition that makes them higher risk of developing bowel cancer. There is potential in the future though for this medication to be offered more widely to the general public for the purpose of bowel cancer prevention.

While there is the potential benefit of having a reduced likelihood of developing bowel cancer in the future, there are also side-effects to regular aspirin use. The main side-effect is an increased likelihood of experiencing internal bleeding.

I will now move onto the interview questions, which will explore your thoughts on using aspirin regularly for cancer prevention. Just a reminder before we start, you do not need to know anything about the use of aspirin for cancer prevention to answer these questions. We are just interested in hearing your initial thoughts.

Domains	Interview questions
Knowledge	What are your initial thoughts on taking aspirin daily for bowel cancer prevention?
Enviromental context	Had you heard of the use of aspirin for cancer prevention before this interview?
and resources	If yes, where and how did you hear about this?
Intentions	If no, how would you find such information? Prompts: from what sources? Internet?
Social influences	A healthcare professional?
	Have you ever been encouraged or discouraged by someone to take aspirin regularly for cancer prevention? Prompt: Why was this?
Optimism	In your opinion, do you think using aspirin would be a good way to reduce your risk
Beliefs about	of developing bowel cancer in the future? Prompt: Why do you think this?
consequences	Do you have any concerns about taking aspirin regularly? Prompt: How do you feel
Emotions	about the side-effects?
Enviromental context	What kind of information do you think you would need to help you make a decision on whether to take aspirin regularly for cancer prevention?
Skills	Where would you go to get this information? Prompts: What would your first step be to obtain this information? Internet? Healthcare professionals?
Beliefs about capabilities	[If they do take aspirin for cancer prevention] Have you previously encountered any problems with trying to get further information on the use of aspirin for cancer
Reinforcement	prevention? If yes, please describe these problems.
	[If yes to above question] Is there anything about this experience that makes you more or less likely to try and take aspirin regularly in the future?
Beliefs about	I mentioned before one of potential side-effects of regular aspirin use can be
consequences	internal bleeding. There are several risk factors that make a person more likely to experience internal bleeding from regular aspirin use. These include:
	<ul><li>Active or previous stomach ulcers</li><li>Bleeding disorders</li></ul>

	- Being over the age of 65
	- Uncontrolled hypertension
	- Previous history of stroke
	- Abnormal liver or renal function
	- Experience indigestion on aspirin
	If you had any of these risk factors, your doctor may offer you an additional
	medication to reduce your risk of internal bleeding.
	What are your thoughts on taking regular aspirin alongside another medication to
	reduce your risk of bowel cancer?
Goals	How much of a priority is taking aspirin for cancer prevention to you? Prompts: how
	high or low a priority is it?
Memory, attention and	
decision processes	Are there any other higher priorities? Prompt: Prevention priorities? What are they?
	1

### **Brief quantitative questions**

Thank you so much for your answers so far. Just before we end the interview, there are several brief demographic questions that I would just like to go through with you. If you do not want to answer one of these questions, please just let me know and we can skip it.

- 1) What is your age?
- 2) Please describe your gender? Male; Female; Non-binary; Another identity; Prefer not to say.
- How would you describe your ethnicity? Examples include White British, Indian, Mixed –
   White and Black Caribbean
- 4) What country in the UK do you live?
- 5) Have you previously been diagnosed with cancer?

### **Debrief**

Thank you for all your help with answering my questions. We really appreciate your time and hope that it will be useful in the future when we are trying to support people when making a decision about whether to use aspirin for cancer prevention. Before we end, do you have any questions you would like to ask me?

If you would like more information on the use of aspirin for cancer prevention, I can email you an information sheet with links to website with further information on this topic. If you would like to ask me further questions on the study, please do not hesitate to contact me.