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SUMMARY

Lysosomes and the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) are Ca\textsuperscript{2+} stores mobilized by the second messengers NAADP and IP\textsubscript{3}, respectively. Here, we establish Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals between the two sources as fundamental building blocks that couple local release to global changes in Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. Cell-wide Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals evoked by activation of endogenous NAADP-sensitive channels on lysosomes comprise both local and global components and exhibit a major dependence on ER Ca\textsuperscript{2+} despite their lysosomal origin. Knockout of ER IP\textsubscript{3} receptor channels delays these signals, whereas expression of lysosomal TPC2 channels accelerates them. High-resolution Ca\textsuperscript{2+} imaging reveals elementary events upon TPC2 opening and signals coupled to IP\textsubscript{3} receptors. Biasing TPC2 activation to a Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-permeable state sensitizes local Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals to IP\textsubscript{3}. This increases the potency of a physiological agonist to evoke global Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals and activate a downstream target. Our data provide a conceptual framework to understand how Ca\textsuperscript{2+} release from physically separated stores is coordinated.

INTRODUCTION

Changes in cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} underpin a conserved signaling mechanism that controls numerous cellular events from contraction to gene expression.\textsuperscript{1} Its exquisite specificity, perhaps best exemplified by activation of diametrically opposed Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent outcomes in the same cell,\textsuperscript{2} is mediated by complexities in the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signal. This complexity is manifested both spatially and temporally and is decoded by cellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} sensors, ensuring fidelity of outputs.\textsuperscript{3} Understanding the genesis of these Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals is thus key to understanding Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent function and, in turn, Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent dysfunction in disease.

Ca\textsuperscript{2+} release from intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} stores is initiated by numerous extracellular stimuli such as hormones, neurotransmitters, and growth factors, which are coupled to second-messenger synthesis.\textsuperscript{1,4} As such, these stores represent an important source of physiologically relevant Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals, particularly in non-excitatory cells. The best-characterized pathway is that mediated by IP\textsubscript{3} produced by receptor-evoked activation of phospholipase C.\textsuperscript{4} IP\textsubscript{3} targets a family of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels, the IP\textsubscript{3} receptors present on the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Importantly, the dynamics of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} release are not only regulated by IP\textsubscript{3} binding, but also by other regulatory inputs including, most importantly, Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, which is considered an obligate co-agonist.\textsuperscript{5,6} Elevated cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} initially enhances channel activity, while at higher Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels, the channel activity is reduced.\textsuperscript{7} This dynamic interplay between co-agonists, at any given point in time, is thought to underlie the continuum of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling events observed experimentally.
For example, at threshold stimulation, “Ca\(^{2+}\) blips”—elemental events from a few channels—are evoked. As stimulation intensity increases, localized Ca\(^{2+}\) puffs from small clusters of channels are generated as an elevated local Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration engages neighboring channels. Summation of activity between close IP\(_3\) receptor clusters can ultimately lead to global propagating waves. But Ca\(^{2+}\) from other Ca\(^{2+}\)-permeable channels in the immediate locale can also influence IP\(_3\) receptor activity. Examples include ryanodine receptors as well as plasma membrane Ca\(^{2+}\) channels such as Orai and TRPC.

NAADP is a distinct second messenger often co-produced with IP\(_3\) during signaling. But in contrast to IP\(_3\), NAADP targets two-pore channels (TPCs) on acidic organelles, such as lysosomes, in many cells. TPCs are highly unusual in dynamically toggling between a Ca\(^{2+}\)-permeable state upon activation with NAADP to a largely Na\(^{+}\)-selective state upon activation with the lysosomal-enriched lipid PI(3,5)P\(_2\). NAADP-evoked Ca\(^{2+}\) signals are mediated indirectly through associated NAADP receptors and thought to act locally to regulate vesicular and non-vesicular membrane traffic and organelle morphology. But these signals are also long thought to be amplifiable by ER Ca\(^{2+}\) channels, resulting in global Ca\(^{2+}\) signals through an ill-defined mechanism. Indeed, in contrast to the ER, we know little of the nature of the putative elementary events stemming from the lysosome or how they interface with IP\(_3\)-receptor-mediated Ca\(^{2+}\) signals. Nevertheless, despite a lack of mechanistic understanding of these events, such coupling is physiologically relevant. In endothelial cells, for example, where the Ca\(^{2+}\)-mobilizing effects of NAADP have been well characterized, stimuli, such as histamine and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), regulate vascular contractility and angiogenesis by driving nitric oxide production, hyperpolarization, and von Willebrand factor secretion in an NAADP-dependent manner.

Here, we addressed interplay between Ca\(^{2+}\)-release channels resident in lysosomes and the ER, leveraging recently described cell-permeable activators of TPC2, to study lysosome-derived Ca\(^{2+}\) signals in an endogenous setting. Our measurements mechanistically dissect these signals and define a continuum from elementary events associated with TPC2 opening, through sensitization of IP\(_3\)-receptor-driven events on the neighboring ER, to global, cell-wide Ca\(^{2+}\) signals evoked by physiological Ca\(^{2+}\)-mobilizing stimuli.

**RESULTS**

**Activation of lysosomal TPC2 evokes local and global Ca\(^{2+}\) signals involving the ER**

We recently resolved Ca\(^{2+}\) signals evoked by activation of endogenous TPC2 channels using synthetic lipophilic cell-permeable TPC2 agonists, TPC2-A1-N and TPC2-A1-P. These agonists mimic NAADP and PI(3,5)P\(_2\) action, respectively, and evoke robust channel activation when combined. Here, we explored organelle crosstalk in the genesis and propagation of these signals.

Consistent with our previous analysis, stimulation of HeLa cells with a combination of TPC2 agonists evoked a robust Ca\(^{2+}\) signal that was readily detected by epifluorescence microscopy of the Ca\(^{2+}\) indicator Fura-2 (Figure 1A). To dissect the origins of these Ca\(^{2+}\) signals, we first examined the effects of the Ca\(^{2+}\) chelator EGTA. In initial experiments, we titrated the concentration of its acetoxymethyl ester (AM) to define conditions able to block Ca\(^{2+}\) signals in response to the Ca\(^{2+}\) ionophore, ionomycin (Figure 1B). Under these conditions, the response to the agonist combination was substantially reduced but not eliminated (Figure 1A), with a readily resolveable residual signal.

Because EGTA is a slow chelator that is considered ineffective in buffering Ca\(^{2+}\) around the open pore of channels, we considered that the residual signal was a local signal. To test this, we examined the effect of the fast Ca\(^{2+}\) chelator, BAPTA. As shown in Figure 1A, pretreating cells with 10 μM BAPTA-AM fully blocked the TPC2-evoked response as well that to ionomycin (Figure 1B).

To test if the blocking effects of the chelators was due to a direct action on TPC2, we inferred TPC2 activity by measuring luminal pH, which increases upon TPC2 activation in response to TPC2-A1-N. As shown in Figure 1C, TPC2 activation evoked a time-dependent increase in luminal pH in cells loaded with fluorescein dextran. This response was not inhibited by EGTA or BAPTA. Individual wavelengths used to derive ratiometric images are shown in Figure S1. Thus, TPC2 channel activation is intact upon chelator treatment. The differential effects of the Ca\(^{2+}\) chelators on cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) and lysosomal pH are quantified in Figures 1D–1F.

---

Figure 1. Activation of lysosomal TPC2 evokes local and global Ca\(^{2+}\) signals involving the ER

(A and B) Effect of DMSO (0.5% [v/v]), EGTA-AM (50 μM), or BAPTA-AM (10 μM) pretreatment on cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) responses to a combination of TPC2-A1-N (30 μM) and TPC2-A1-P (60 μM) (N + P) (A) or to ionomycin (Iono.; 2 μM) (B) in individual HeLa cells loaded with Fura-2. Each trace is the fluorescence ratio response of a single cell imaged from a typical field of view. The thicker trace is the average of the population. External Ca\(^{2+}\) was removed (0 Ca) prior to stimulation.

(C) Effect of DMSO (0.5% [v/v]), EGTA-AM (50 μM), or BAPTA-AM (10 μM) pretreatment on lysosomal pH responses to TPC2-A1-N (30 μM) and TPC2-A1-P (60 μM) (N + P) in individual HeLa cells loaded with fluorescein dextran. External Ca\(^{2+}\) was removed (0 Ca) prior to stimulation. Each trace is the fluorescence ratio response of a single cell imaged from a typical field of view. The thicker trace is the average of the population. External Ca\(^{2+}\) was removed (0 Ca) prior to stimulation.

(D–F) Pooled data (mean ± SEM from 3–6 biological replicates) quantifying the effect of Ca\(^{2+}\) chelators on the peak change in cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) (D and E) and lysosomal pH (F) in response to TPC2 agonists or Iono. Each point represents the mean response of all cells from an independent experiment. *p < 0.05, n.s., not significant (unpaired t test, two-tailed).

(G and I) Effect of DMSO (0.1% [v/v]), thapsigargin (1 μM) (G), or tetraneurine (30 μM) (I) on Ca\(^{2+}\) signals evoked by a combination of TPC2-A1-N and TPC2-A1-P (N + P) in individual HeLa cells loaded with Fura-2. The agonist concentrations were 30 μM TPC2-A1-N/60 μM TPC2-A1-P and 10 μM TPC2-A1-N/30 μM TPC2-A1-P, respectively. Each trace is the fluorescence ratio response of a single cell imaged from a typical field of view. The thicker trace is the average of the population. External Ca\(^{2+}\) was removed (0 Ca) prior to stimulation. External Ca\(^{2+}\) was removed (0 Ca) prior to addition to drug treatment.

(H and J) Pooled data (mean ± SEM from 4–6 biological replicates) quantifying the effect of thapsigargin (Thap.) (H) and tetraneurine (Tet.) (J) on the peak change in cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) in response to TPC2 agonists. Each point represents the mean response of all cells from an independent experiment. ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 (unpaired t test, two-tailed).
To probe the involvement of the ER in Ca\(^{2+}\) signals evoked by TPC2 activation, we depleted ER Ca\(^{2+}\) stores using the SERCA inhibitor thapsigargin. As shown in Figures 1G and 1H, stimulation of HeLa cells with thapsigargin (1 \(\mu\)M) in the absence of external Ca\(^{2+}\) evoked a transient Ca\(^{2+}\) signal consistent with leak of stored Ca\(^{2+}\). Subsequent stimulation with the TPC2 agonist combination evoked a substantially smaller response than in cells treated with vehicle. Therefore, the majority of signal evoked by TPC2 agonist involves Ca\(^{2+}\) release from the ER.

We previously showed that Ca\(^{2+}\) signals in response to the TPC2 agonists were TPC2 dependent based on the use of inactive analogs, dominant-negative TPC2 and TPC2 knockout cells generated using CRISPR-Cas9.\(^{37}\) To provide further evidence that the Ca\(^{2+}\) response was TPC2 derived, we examined the effect of the TPC2 blocker tetrandrine.\(^{37}\) Tetrandrine, like thapsigargin, also blocked the TPC2-agonist-evoked Ca\(^{2+}\) signal (Figures 1I and 1J). This block together with demonstrable engagement of lysosomes (Figures 1C, 1F, and S1) confirm on-target effects of the TPC2 agonists.

In summary, these data resolve both local and global components of the Ca\(^{2+}\) signal evoked upon TPC2 activation and uncover a significant role for the ER in lysosomal-initiated Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling.

**ER and lysosomal channel levels set the timing of TPC2-evoked Ca\(^{2+}\) signals**

To explore mechanisms underpinning lysosome-ER crosstalk, we focused on the role of IP3 receptors, which are ubiquitous ER Ca\(^{2+}\)-release channels.\(^{34,35}\) For these experiments, we used HEK cells where all three IP3 receptor subtypes were knocked out by CRISPR-Cas9.\(^{36}\) Similar to HeLa cells,\(^{18}\) stimulation of wild-type HEK cells with TPC2-A1-N evoked low-amplitude Ca\(^{2+}\) signals (Figure 2A). TPC2-A1-N also evoked Ca\(^{2+}\) signals in IP3 receptor knockout cells (Figure 2A). But these signals were substantially delayed in terms of time to reach their peak, although their amplitude was not affected (Figures 2A and 2B). Similar results were obtained with the agonist combination (Figures S2A and S2B). Thus, IP3 receptors appear to set the timing of the Ca\(^{2+}\) signals.

In a converse set of experiments, we examined the effect of overexpressing TPC2 versus overexpressing the non-channel lysosomal protein LAMP1 as a negative control. As shown in Figure 2C, cells expressing TPC2 responded to TPC2-A1-N much sooner compared to cells expressing LAMP1. There was no significant difference in the amplitude of the signals, although the responses in individual TPC2-expressing cells were variable, probably due to differing expression levels resulting from transient transfection (Figures 2C and 2D). More prompt responses were also obtained with the agonist combination upon TPC2 overexpression (Figures S2C and S2D). Thus, promoting Ca\(^{2+}\) release through TPC2 overexpression selectively affects the timing of the Ca\(^{2+}\) signal. This effect was specific to TPC2, as overexpressing the unrelated lysosomal Ca\(^{2+}\) channel TRPML1 had no effect on the timing of Ca\(^{2+}\) release or signal amplitude compared to LAMP1 overexpression (Figures 2C and 2D). We also examined the effect of overexpressing TPC2 mutated in the pore.\(^{37}\) TPC2L265P inhibited TPC2-A1-N-evoked Ca\(^{2+}\) signals (Figures 2C and 2D), consistent with dominant-negative activity and further confirming a specific effect of TPC2-A1-N on endogenous TPC2. Responses in neighboring untransfected cells in the populations were not different (Figures 2D and S3).

Taken together, these experiments show that both IP3 receptors and TPC2 modulate the timing of lysosomal-derived-Ca\(^{2+}\) signals.

**IP3 receptors locally couple to TPC2**

To further explore mechanisms underpinning lysosome-ER crosstalk, we performed high-resolution total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy of cells loaded with Cal520 to resolve elementary Ca\(^{2+}\) signals. Measurements were made during the first 30 s of stimulation, where Ca\(^{2+}\) signals were not readily detectable by epifluorescence microscopy.

Consistent with our previous analysis,\(^{18}\) stimulation of HEK cells with TPC2-A1-N or TPC2-A1-P evoked transient, spatially resolved Ca\(^{2+}\) signals (Figure 3A). These “tuffs” were more prevalent upon activation of TPC2 with TPC2-A1-N than TPC2-A1-P, consistent with biasing of the channel by TPC2-A1-N to a Ca\(^{2+}\)-permeable state.\(^{17}\) To determine whether these tuffs represent pure TPC2-evoked responses or secondary signals through IP3 receptors (or both), we examined the effect of IP3 receptor knockout. As shown in Figure 3A, tuffs were readily observable in the knockout cells. Thus, tuffs likely represent elementary TPC2-dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) signals.

We next tested the requirement of IP3 receptors in response to TPC2 co-activation, which goes on to generate global Ca\(^{2+}\) signals (Figure 1). In wild-type HEK cells, a combination of TPC2-A1-N and TPC2-A1-P significantly increased activity (Figures 3B and 3C; Video S1), as reported previously.\(^{18}\) In contrast, the TPC2 agonist combination had more modest effects in the IP3 receptor knockout cells (Figures 3B and 3C; Video S2). This analysis is summarized in Figure 3D. The data show that the number of tuffs recorded and the number of tuff sites/cell, when cells were stimulated with TPC2-A1-N, were not affected by IP3 receptor knockout (Figure 3D). Both parameters were increased in response to the agonist combination in wild-type cells but less so in IP3 receptor knockout cells. Thus, both IP3 receptor-dependent events and IP3 receptor-independent events occur during TPC2 activation. Neither the amplitude (Figure 3D) nor the kinetics (Figure S4A) of events was affected.

In summary, we provide genetic evidence that lysosome-derived Ca\(^{2+}\) signals are locally amplified by the ER.

**TPC2 sensitizes IP3 receptors in an agonist-selective manner**

In a converse approach, we examined the effect of TPC2 activation on IP3-evoked Ca\(^{2+}\) responses. To do this, we loaded cells with a submaximal concentration of ciIP3, a caged form of IP3, and liberated the active compound by UV photolysis. As shown in Figure 4A, activation of IP3 receptors in this way evoked elementary events (puffs; Video S3) that were similar to those evoked by activation of TPC2 (tuffs). When ciIP3 was uncaged in the presence of TPC2-A1-N, there was a substantial increase in activity (Figure 4B; Video S4). Thus, TPC2-A1-N synergizes with IP3 to regulate local Ca\(^{2+}\) signals.

We performed similar experiments with TPC2-A1-P. In marked contrast to TPC2-A1-N, TPC2-A1-P had no effect on the response to uncaging ciIP3 (Figure 4C; Video S5). This was despite a clear
synergism between TPC2-A1-P and TPC2-A1-N recorded under identical conditions (Figures 3A and 3B). Thus, crosstalk with IP3 receptors and TPC2 is only apparent with TPC2-A1-N (which renders TPC2 Ca2+ permeable) and not with TPC2-A1-P (which renders TPC2 largely Na+-selective).

We also analyzed the effect of IP3 together with the TPC2 agonist combination. As shown in Figure 4D, there was a further increase in the fluorescence ratio response of a single cell imaged from a typical field of view. Notably, the triple combination evoked global Ca2+ signals at extended time points (Figure 4D; Video S6), which was not observed when IP3 was increased in the presence of TPC2-A1-N and TPC2-A1-P.

The effects of various channel agonist combinations on cytosolic Ca2+ are quantified in Figures 4E, 4F, and S4B. This analysis showed that the increased subcellular activity by IP3 in the presence of TPC2-A1-N results from an increase in both the number of events and sites per cell, but not amplitude (Figure 4E), with a tendency for the signals to globalize (Figure 4F). This activity is further increased by TPC2-A1-P, which invariably results in cell-wide Ca2+ signals. The kinetics of events are similar (Figure S4B).

In summary, we reveal that IP3 receptors can be modulated by TPC2 in an agonist-selective manner to control both local and global Ca2+ signals.

Figure 2. ER and lysosomal channel levels set the timing of TPC2-evoked Ca2+ signals
(A) Effect of TPC2-A1-N (15 µM) on cytosolic Ca2+ in individual wild-type (WT) and IP3 receptor knockout (3KO) HEK-293 cells loaded with Fura2. Each trace is the fluorescence ratio response of a single cell imaged from a typical field of view. The thicker trace is the average of the population. External Ca2+ was removed prior to stimulation.
(B) Pooled data (mean ± SEM from 4 biological replicates) quantifying the time to peak and the maximal change of the cytosolic Ca2+ signals in response to TPC2-A1-N in HEK-293 cells. Each point represents the mean response of all cells from an independent experiment. *p < 0.01, n.s., not significant (unpaired t test, two-tailed).
(C) Effect of TPC2-A1-N (30 µM) on cytosolic Ca2+ in individual HeLa cells transiently transfected with LAMP1-GFP, TPC2-GFP, TRPML1-GFP, or TPC2 L265P-GFP and loaded with Fura2. Each trace is the fluorescence ratio response of a single cell imaged from a typical field of view. External Ca2+ was removed (0 Ca) prior to stimulation.
(D) Pooled data (mean ± SEM from 3–9 biological replicates) quantifying the maximal change and the time to peak of the cytosolic Ca2+ signals in response to TPC2-A1-N in HeLa cells. Data are segregated into cells that were GFP positive and -negative. Each point represents the mean response of all cells from an independent experiment. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, n.s., not significant (one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test or Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn’s test).

Lysosome-ER crosstalk regulates physiological Ca2+ signals
To probe the physiological relevance of lysosome-ER crosstalk, we examined the effect of TPC2 activation on Ca2+ signals evoked by an IP3-forming agonist.
HeLa cells were stimulated with histamine, which couples to Gq and phospholipase C. Responses were measured using Fura-2 and automated plate-reading equipped with microfluidics. The effect of a range of histamine concentrations is shown in Figure 5A. The responses to histamine peaked within the 40 s recording period. Over this time frame, TPC2-A1-N had no detectable effect on cytosolic Ca²⁺ concentration. But when cells were stimulated with histamine in the presence of TPC2-A1-N, the response to histamine was exaggerated. Thus, TPC2-A1-N synergizes with endogenously produced IP₃ to regulate global Ca²⁺ signals.

The effect of TPC2-A1-P on histamine responses was examined in parallel (Figure 5A). Histamine responses were largely unaffected by co-stimulation. The marked specificity between the agonists mirror results with exogenous IP₃ (Figure 4).

Full concentration-effect relationships for histamine-evoked Ca²⁺ signals in the absence and presence of either TPC2-A1-N or TPC2-A1-P are shown in Figure 5B. This analysis revealed an approximate 5-fold decrease in EC₅₀, from ~2 μM in the presence of vehicle to ~0.4 μM in the presence of TPC2-A1-N, and a modest (<2-fold) increase in the presence of TPC2-A1-P.
To further characterize this sensitization, we examined the effect of increasing concentrations of TPC2-A1-N or TPC2-A1-P on a submaximal concentration of histamine. Figure 5C shows the response to a low concentration (0.8 μM) of histamine. TPC2-A1-N increased the response in a concentration-dependent manner, whereas TPC2-A1-P modestly decreased it. Essentially similar results were obtained when the histamine concentration was increased (to 2.6 μM) (Figure 5C).

We also examined the effects of TPC2 activation on histamine responses in U2OS cells, which mount robust responses to TPC2-A1-N.23 Here, we used a relatively low concentration of TPC2-A1-N (10 μM) such that it had no detectable effect on cytosolic Ca2+ concentration over the time frame of histamine stimulation. As in HeLa, cells TPC2-A1-N increased the responses to histamine in U2OS cells (Figure 5D). Again, this was due to a reduction in the EC50 for histamine (2- to 4-fold) and was selective for TPC2-A1-N over TPC2-A1-P (Figure 5E).

We validated the above findings at the single-cell level (Figure S5). A submaximal concentration of histamine evoked a prompt Ca2+ signal in HeLa (Figure S5A) and U2OS (Figure S5B) cells. Co-stimulation with TPC2-A1-N increased the peak response ~2-fold in both cell types (Figure S5), thereby mirroring results obtained with the automated assay.

Finally, we examined the consequence of the sensitized Ca2+ signals evoked by TPC2-A1-N. For these experiments, we used primary endothelial cells and measured NO production in response to histamine using DAF-FM. NO is produced by endothelial nitric oxide synthase in a Ca2+-dependent manner. As shown in Figure 5F, histamine induced a concentration-dependent increase in NO production. When cells were co-stimulated with TPC2-A1-N, the NO responses were enhanced at low, but not high, histamine concentrations (Figures 5F and 5G). This is consistent with a left-ward shift in the concentration-effect relationships for NO production by histamine.

In summary, these data show that activating local Ca2+ fluxes by lysosomal TPC2 sensitizes global Ca2+ signals and a downstream response evoked by ER IP3 receptors upon physiological stimulation.

**DISCUSSION**

Overall, this work defines a continuum of Ca2+ signals from local elementary events derived from lysosomal TPC2, through Ca2+-coupled events requiring IP3 receptors on the neighboring ER, to global functionally relevant signals during physiological cell stimulation (Figure 5H).

NAADP-evoked Ca2+ signals are thought to comprise a primary event driven by NAADP-regulated channels and a secondary event as ER Ca2+ channels are engaged. This is based on early evidence showing blockade of NAADP-mediated Ca2+ signals by blockers of ER Ca2+ channels.39 But rarely have the putative triggering events been directly measured. In pancreatic acinar cells, use of Ca2+-activated Cl– currents as a sensitive measure of local Ca2+ spiking in the secretory pole could not resolve any NAADP-evoked activity in the absence of ER functionality.25 This, coupled with the reported direct effects of NAADP on ER ryanodine receptors,40 leaves gaps in our understanding of how lysosomal and ER Ca2+ stores are functionally coupled.

Here, we took full advantage of cell-permeable activators of TPC2 to probe lysosome-ER crosstalk in live cells. TPC2-A1-N is a functional NAADP mimic inducing almost identical biophysical currents through TPC217 but independently of NAADP-binding proteins.38 As shown recently, activation of TPC2 with TPC2-A1-N and its co-ligand induces robust Ca2+-permeability.16 Our results with Ca2+-chelators provide direct evidence that the resulting endogenous TPC2-evoked Ca2+ signals evoked in cells comprise a local and a global component (Figure 1). The former has been inferred indirectly, mostly through functional outputs such as endo-lysosomal morphology. This component likely corresponds to lysosomal Ca2+ release evoked by stimulation of the channel with TPC2-A1-N. The global component is unlikely to be solely represented by lysosomal Ca2+ release given the relatively small volume of the lysosome. In accord, depletion of ER Ca2+ stores profoundly inhibited the response despite its lysosomal origin. Importantly, we provide genetic evidence that such coupling between stores involves IP3 receptors given the delaying of TPC2-A1-N-evoked Ca2+ signals by IP3 receptor knockout (Figure 2).

Building on our previous high-resolution analysis, we characterized the local events evoked upon TPC2 activation (Figure 3). We did so in an IP3 receptor knockout background, thus providing direct evidence that tuffs are indeed elementary events mediated by TPC2. Although tuffs evoked by TPC2-A1-N alone were IP3-receptor independent, this was not the case for tuffs evoked by the TPC2 agonist combination, as there was a significant decrease in tuff frequency and number of tuff sites upon IP3 receptor knockout (Figure 3). These data uncover local recruitment of IP3 receptors by TPC2, which partially accounts for the demonstrable synergism between TPC2-A1-N and TPC2-A1-P. This is in addition to direct effects of the agonist combination on TPC2 at the channel level, where there is a selective increase in permeability of Ca2+ over Na+.18 Importantly, recruitment of IP3 receptors by TPC2 in this activation state occurred without overt increases in IP3 production. Coupling therefore likely reflects IP3 receptor activity at basal levels of IP3.
Figure 5. Lysosome-ER crosstalk regulates physiological Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals

(A–C) Effect of TPC2-A1-N or TPC2-A1-P on histamine-induced Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals in HeLa cells loaded with Fura-2. (A) Time course showing the effect of co-stimulating cell populations in an automated manner with increasing concentrations of histamine and a fixed concentration of DMSO (0.1% [v/v]), TPC2-A1-N (N, 30 μM), or TPC2-A1-P (P, 60 μM). (B) Concentration-effect relationship for peak histamine responses in the presence of indicated reagents. (C) Effect of co-stimulating cells with increasing concentrations of TPC2-A1-N or TPC2-A1-P at a fixed concentration of histamine (0.8 μM, left, or 2.6 μM, right). Data are expressed as mean ± SEM from 3–4 biological replicates.

(legend continued on next page)
Further evidence that TPCs and the IP₃ receptor cooperate comes from experiments where cells were co-stimulated with TPC2-A1-N and IP₃ (Figure 4). Both the number of elementary events and the number of sites from which they originate were increased. This synergism is remarkably similar to that when cells were co-stimulated with TPC2-A1-N and TPC2-A1-P and is consistent with a previous study showing potentiation of IP₃ responses by NAADP.41 Thus, local Ca²⁺ signals can be tuned at either side of the lysosomal-ER interface. Importantly, sensitization of IP₃ receptors by TPC2 was evident during physiological stimulation of cells with an IP₃-forming agonist, with marked leftward shifts in concentration-effect relationships for histamine-mediated Ca²⁺ and NO signals (Figure 5). Such sufficiency of acidic organelles in regulating IP₃ receptors, together with the necessity of acidic organelles for IP₃-forming agonists to mount global responses,13,42,43 cements organelle crosstalk during physiological signaling.

IP₃ and ryanodine receptor activity is biphasically regulated by Ca²⁺ such that low concentrations of Ca²⁺ stimulate activity, whereas higher concentrations inhibit it.6 A long-held idea is that NAADP in effect hijacks the positive effect of Ca²⁺ on ER Ca²⁺ release channels to mount global responses.28 This would be akin to coupling of voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels on the plasma membrane with ryanodine receptors on the sarcoplasmic reticulum during cardiac excitation-contraction coupling.43 Direct evidence for coupling between lysosomes and the ER in this way, however, is lacking. Our data, leveraging the malleable ion selectivity of TPC2, provide missing evidence for such a Ca²⁺-induced Ca²⁺ release model. TPC2-A1-P is a functional mimic of PI(3,5)P₂ at TPC2 that likely binds to an overlapping site.17,45 The Ca²⁺ permeability of TPC2 in the presence of TPC2-A1-P measured electrophysiologically is low, and TPC2-A1-P induces infrequent elementary Ca²⁺ events in cells. Importantly, TPC2-A1-P failed to synergize with IP₃ either directly (through uncaging experiments) or indirectly (through histamine-evoked IP₃ production). This dichotomy between TPC2-A1-P (“Na⁺ agonist”) and TPC2-A1-N (“Ca²⁺ agonist”) points to Ca²⁺ as the link between TPC2 and IP₃ receptors.

One intriguing aspect of our data relates to the mode by which TPC2 and IP₃ receptors communicate, pointing to a digital system of signaling. For example, promoting triggering (by increasing TPC2 levels) or demoting amplification (by decreasing IP₃ receptor levels) did not affect the amplitude of the lysosome-derived signals only their timing (Figure 2). Similarly, synergy between TPC2-A1-N and IP₃ (and with TPC2-A1-P too) was amplitude independent, instead manifesting as an increase in the number of elementary events recorded (Figure 4). Indeed, kinetically, events mediated by the various cues and their combinations were not readily distinguishable (Figure S4). Further, work is required to understand how these events are decoded. Indeed, it is interesting to note that elementary events evoked by IP₃ through each of the three IP₃ receptor subtypes are also not dissimilar.16

**Limitations of the study**

Cell-permeable TPC2 agonists used here to mimic the effects of NAADP and PI(3,5)P₂ have been most enabling for probing the mechanisms underpinning lysosomal Ca²⁺ signaling in live cells. But these agonists are mimics, so how faithfully they recapitulate the actions of their natural counterparts merits consideration. Certainly TPC2-A1-P, which synergizes with TPC2-A1-N just like PI(3,5)P₂ does with NAADP, shares, at least in part, common molecular determinants of action at TPC2 with PI(3,5)P₂.17 This is not the case for TPC2-A1-N and NAADP since NAADP requires NAADP-binding proteins JPT2 and Lsm12, which TPC2-A1-N bypasses.40 Thus, the results presented here require validation using natural messengers. Related to this is the specificity of the TPC2 agonists. To mitigate against potential off-target effects, we used both chemical (tetrandrine) and molecular (dominant-negative TPC2) inhibition approaches to validate TPC2-A1-N-evoked Ca²⁺ signals. But corroborating genetic knockout of TPC2 as reported previously17,18 is currently lacking in the cell types used here. Finally, we have focused on TPC2 and IP₃ receptors. But lysosomes and the ER possess a number of other Ca²⁺-permeable channels, namely TRPML1 and ryanodine receptors, which might also be functionally coupled.47 Thus, more work is required to understand the full scope of interorganelle crosstalk between acidic and ER Ca²⁺ stores.

To conclude, we provide insight into the molecular and organellar makeup of lysosomal-derived Ca²⁺ signals and establish a hierarchal framework for understanding how cellular Ca²⁺ signals are coordinated.
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(D and E) Effect of TPC2-A1-N or TPC2-A1-P on histamine-induced Ca²⁺ signals in U2OS cells loaded with Fura-2. (D) Time course showing the effect of co-stimulating cell populations in an automated manner with increasing concentrations of histamine and a fixed concentration of DMSO (0.1% [v/v]) or TPC2-A1-N (10 μM). (E) Concentration-effect relationship for peak histamine responses in the presence of TPC2-A1-N (10 μM) or TPC2-A1-P (20 μM). Data are expressed as mean ± SEM from 3–4 biological replicates. (F and G) Effect of TPC2-A1-N on histamine-induced NO production in rat brain microvascular endothelial cells (RBMVECs) loaded with DAF 2A. (F) Time course data showing the effect of co-stimulating cells with increasing concentrations of histamine and a fixed concentration of DMSO (0.1% [v/v]) or TPC2-A1-N (10 μM). Each trace is the fluorescence response of a single cell. The thicker trace is the average of the population. (G) Concentration-effect relationship for peak histamine responses in the presence of indicated reagents. Data were corrected for basal NO production in the absence of histamine. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM from 27–33 cells. **p < 0.0001, n.s., not significant, two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s test. (H) Proposed model whereby local Ca²⁺ signals stemming from the lysosome via TPC2 and the ER via IP₃ receptors form regional intermediaries that precede global Ca²⁺ signals upon cellular stimulation. Created with BioRender.com.
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## STAR METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fura-2-AM</td>
<td>Biotium (for HeLa and U2OS cells)</td>
<td>Cat# 50033-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fura-2-AM</td>
<td>Invitrogen (for HEK cells)</td>
<td>Cat# F1221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cal520-AM</td>
<td>AAT Bioquest</td>
<td>Cat# 21130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescein dextran</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat# D1821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAF-FM Diacetate</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat# D23844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGTA-AM</td>
<td>ChemCruz (for HeLa cells)</td>
<td>Cat# sc-495800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGTA-AM</td>
<td>Invitrogen (for HEK cells)</td>
<td>Cat# E1219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAPTA-AM</td>
<td>Cayman</td>
<td>Cat# 15551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ci-IP3/PM</td>
<td>Tocris</td>
<td>Cat# 6210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pluronic acid</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat# P3000MP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC2-A1-N</td>
<td>Franz lab (for HeLa, U2OS and HEK cells)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical commercial assays</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Round 13mm coverslips</strong></td>
<td>Academy</td>
<td>Cat# 400-12-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Round 25mm coverslips</strong></td>
<td>Warner Instruments</td>
<td>Cat# 64-0715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Round 15mm coverslips</strong></td>
<td>Warner Instruments</td>
<td>Cat# 64-0703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>96 well plate, black/clear bottom</strong></td>
<td>Corning</td>
<td>Cat# CC691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lipofectamine™ 2000</strong></td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat# 11668-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hanks’ Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS)</strong></td>
<td>Corning</td>
<td>Cat# 21-923-CV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental models: Cell lines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEK-293 3KO cells engineered using CRISPR-Cas9 technology to lack all three IP3 receptor isoforms.</td>
<td>Yule lab</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat brain microvascular endothelial cells</td>
<td>Cell Applications, Inc</td>
<td>Cat# 840-05a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recombinant DNA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC2-GFP</td>
<td>Patel lab</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMP1-GFP</td>
<td>Addgene</td>
<td>Plasmid #3483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC2L265P-GFP</td>
<td>Patel lab</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRPML1-GFP</td>
<td>Dr S Muallem, NIH, MD, USA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Software and algorithms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TillVision 4.0</td>
<td>Till Photonics</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MetaFluor 7.10.3.279</td>
<td>Molecular Devices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLIKA</td>
<td><a href="https://flika-org.github.io">https://flika-org.github.io</a></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CellSens Dimensions 2.3 (Build 189987)</td>
<td>Olympus</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mars 3.42 R3</td>
<td>BMG Labtech</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIS-Elements AR 3.1</td>
<td>Nikon Inc.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prism9</td>
<td>GraphPad Software</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Sandip Patel (patel.s@ucl.ac.uk).

Materials availability
No newly generated materials are associated with the paper.

Data and code availability
- All data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request.
- This paper does not report original code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND STUDY PARTICIPANT DETAILS

Cells
HeLa cells, U2OS cells, wild-type HEK-293 and HEK-293 cells engineered using CRISPR-Cas technology to lack all the three-native endogenous IP3 receptors were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium, supplemented with 10% (v/v) Fetal Bovine Serum, 100 μg/mL streptomycin and 100 units/mL penicillin (all from Invitrogen) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2. Rat brain microvascular endothelial cells (Cell Applications, Inc. (San Diego, CA, USA) were cultured in rat brain endothelial cell basal medium and rat brain endothelial cell growth supplement in flasks coated with attachment factor according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Cell Applications, Inc.)

For single cell epifluorescence imaging, HeLa and U2OS cells were plated onto round 13 mm diameter coverslips (Academy) coated with poly-L-lysine (20 μg/mL, Sigma). HeLa cells were transiently transfected with plasmids 18–26 h prior to imaging, using lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Rat brain microvascular endothelial cells were plated onto round 25 mm diameter coverslips (Warner) coated with human fibronectin (50 μg/mL, Corning). HEK-293 cells were plated onto round 15 mm diameter coverslips (Warner).

For TIRF imaging, HEK-293 cells were plated onto round 15 mm diameter coverslips (Warner) coated with poly-D-lysine (100 μg/mL, Sigma).

For plate reading, cells were plated onto opaque-walled 96 well microplates (Corning).

METHOD DETAILS

Single cell imaging
Cytosolic Ca2+ in HeLa and U2OS cells was measured using Fura-2 (from Biotium). Ca2+ imaging experiments were performed at room temperature in HEPES-buffered saline (HBS1) containing 10 mM NaHEPES, 1.25 mM KH2PO4, 2 mM MgSO4, 3 mM KCl, 156 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl2 and 10 mM glucose (pH 7.4; all from Sigma-Aldrich). For dye loading, cells were incubated with Fura-2-AM (2.5 μM) and 0.005% (v/v) pluronic acid (from Invitrogen) for 1 h in HBS1. In case of Ca2+ chelator treatment, after 1 h Fura-2 loading, HeLa cells were washed three times in HBS1 followed by 45-min treatment with EGTA-AM (50 μM; ChemCruz Biotechnology) or BAPTA-AM (10 μM; Cayman) in HBS1 at room temperature. After that, cells were washed in HBS1 and left in HBS1 for another 30 min at room temperature to allow de-esterification of AM esters. Where indicated, majority of the experiments were performed in nominally Ca2+-free HBS1 where CaCl2 was omitted.

Cytosolic Ca2+ in HEK-293 was measured using Fura-2 (from Invitrogen). Ca2+ imaging experiments were performed at room temperature in HEPES-buffered saline (HBS2) containing 137 mM NaCl, 0.56 mM MgCl2, 4.7 mM KCl, 1 mM Na2HPO4, 10 mM HEPES, 5.5 mM glucose, and 1.26 mM CaCl2 (pH 7.4). Cells were incubated with Fura-2-AM (2 μM) in HBS2 supplemented with 1% BSA for 30 min.

Lysosomal pH in HeLa cells was measured using fluorescein in nominally Ca2+-free HBS1 at room temperature. Cells were loaded with fluorescein-dextran (0.1 mg/mL; MW 10,000; from Invitrogen) by endocytosis overnight in culture followed by up to 10 h chasing period in dextran-free culture medium.

Intracellular nitric oxide (NO) in rat brain microvascular endothelial cells was measured using DAF-FM (Life Technologies Corporation, Eugene, OR). NO imaging experiments were performed at 21°C in Hanks’ Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) (Coming). For dye loading, cells were incubated with DAF-FM diacetate (0.5 μM) for 45 min in HBSS. For HeLa and U2OS cells, after transfection and/or dye loading, cells were washed in HBS1 and were subsequently mounted in a 1 mL imaging chamber (Biosciences Tools) for microscopy. Epifluorescence images were acquired every 3 s. For some Fura-2 measurements (Figures 1A, 1B, S2C, and S5A) and Fluorescein measurements (Figures 1C, S1A, and S1B), images were captured using a Megapixel monochrome cooled coupled device camera attached to an Olympus IX73 inverted fluorescence microscope fitted with a CoolLED multiple wavelength LED source under the control of MetaFluor 7.10.3.279 software. Fura-2 was excited at 340/380 nm and
emitted fluorescence was captured using a 425 nm long-pass filter with a 20X objective. Fluorescein was excited at 470 nm/405 nm and emitted fluorescence was captured using a 510 nm long-pass filter at 20X magnification. For other Fura-2 measurements (Figures 1G, 1I, 2C, S3, and S5B), images were captured with a cooled coupled device camera (TILL photonics) attached to an Olympus IX71 inverted fluorescence microscope fitted with a monochromator-based illumination system under the control of TILLVision 4.0 software. Fura-2 was excited at 340/380 nm and emitted fluorescence was captured using a 440 nm long-pass filter at 20X magnification.

For HEK-293 cells (Figures 2A and S2A), Fura-2-loaded cells on coverslips were adhered to a Warner perfusion chamber using vacuum grease and perfused with HBS2. Fura-2 measurement was performed using an inverted epifluorescence Nikon microscope equipped with a 40X oil immersion objective lens. Cells were alternately excited at 340 and 380 nm, and emission was monitored above 505 nm. Images were captured every second with an exposure of 15 ms and 4 x 4 binning using a digital camera (Sensicam QE). Image acquisition was performed using TILLvision 4.0.

For rat brain microvascular endothelial cells, DAF-FM-loaded cells on coverslips were mounted in an open bath chamber (Warner Instruments, Hamden, CT) on the stage of an inverted Nikon Eclipse TiE microscope (Nikon Inc., Melville, NY). The microscope was equipped with a 40X oil immersion objective lens, Photometrics CoolSnap HQ2 CCD camera (Photometrics, Tucson, AZ), and a Perfect Focus System. During the experiments, the Perfect Focus System was activated. DAF-FM fluorescence (excitation/emission – 480 nm/540 nm) was acquired at a frequency 0.1 Hz using NIS-Elements AR 3.1 software.

Subcellular imaging
Elementary cytosolic Ca2+ signals HEK-293 cells were measured using Cal-S20 and TIRF microscopy. Prior to imaging, the cells were washed three times with HBS2. The cells were subsequently incubated with Cal520-AM (5 μM; AAT Bioquest) and ci-IP3/PM (0.5 μM, Tocris) in HBS2 supplemented with 1% BSA in dark at room temperature. After 1-h incubation, the cells were washed three times with HBS2 and incubated in HBS2 containing EGTA-AM (5 μM, Invitrogen). After 45 min incubation, the media was replaced with fresh HBS2 and incubated for additional 30 min at room temperature to allow for de-esterification of loaded reagents.

Following loading, the coverslip was mounted on a chamber and imaged using an Olympus IX83 inverted total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRFM) equipped with an oil-immersion LAPO OTIRFM 60x objective lens/1.45 numerical aperture. The cells were illuminated using a 488 nm laser to excite Cal-520 and the emitted fluorescence was collected through a band-pass filter from Invitrogen. The angle of the excitation beam was adjusted to achieve TIRF with a penetration depth of ~140 nm. Images were captured from a field of view by directly streaming into RAM. TIRF images were captured using 2 X 2-pixel binning (216 nm/pixel) from equal field of views for both HEK-293 and HEK-3KO cells at a rate of ~50 frames per second. Agonists were applied directly to the imaging chamber and ci-IP3 was uncaged by delivering a UV flash from a 405 nm laser uniformly to uncage ci-IP3 for 1 s when indicated.

After visualizing images with the cellSens [Ver.2.3] life science imaging software (Olympus), images were exported as vsi files as described in.53 The vsi files were converted to TIFF files using ImageJ 1.53f54 and further processed using FLIKA (Ver 1), a Python programming-based tool for image processing.55 From each recording, 100 frames (~2 s) before agonist stimulation were averaged to obtain a ratio image stack (F/F0) and standard deviation for each pixel for recording up to 30 s following photolysis. The image stack was Gaussian-filtered, and pixel that exceeded a critical value (0.8 for our analysis) were located. The ‘Detect-puffs’ plug-in was utilized to detect the number of clusters, number of events, amplitudes and durations of localized Ca2+ signals from equal areas across different conditions from individual cells. All the puffs identified automatically by the algorithm were manually confirmed before further analysis.46,56

Cell population measurements
Cytosolic Ca2+ in populations of HeLa and U2OS cells was measured using Fura-2 and a fluorescence plate reader (Clariostar, BMG Labtech) under the control of Mars 3.42 R3 software. Cells were incubated with Fura-2-AM (2.5 μM) and 0.005% (v/v) pluronic acid (from Invitrogen) for 1 h in HBS1. A single measurement comprised 16 flashes at 335 nm and 380 nm (each at 8 nm bandpass) while recording fluorescence at 520 nm (90 nm bandpass). Measurements were repeated on an individual well at 3 s intervals. Defined volumes of TPC2-A1-N, TPC2-A1-P and histamine were added simultaneously through two independent injector needles to achieve the indicated final concentrations. Background fluorescence was measured from wells containing cells that were incubated with HBS1 without Fura-2.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistics
Parametric tests were performed using Unpaired t test, two-tail, One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test or two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s test. Non-parametric tests were performed using Kruskal-Wallis analysis followed by Dunn’s test. All data were analyzed using Prism 9 (GraphPad Software). Values for n and the exact statistical test used are detailed in the figure legends. *p < 0.05 **p < 0.01 ***p < 0.001 ****p < 0.0001, n.s. not significant.