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Abstract

Introduction: Fetal surgery for open spina bifida (OSB) requires comprehensive preoperative assessment using imaging for appropriate patient selection and to evaluate postoperative efficacy and complications. We explored patient access and conduct of fetal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for prenatal assessment of OSB patients eligible for fetal surgery. We compared imaging acquisition and reporting to the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology MRI performance guidelines.

Material and methods: We surveyed access to fetal MRI for OSB in referring fetal medicine units (FMUs) in the UK and Ireland, and two NHS England specialist commissioned fetal surgery centers (FSCs) at University College London Hospital, and University Hospitals KU Leuven Belgium. To study MRI acquisition protocols, we retrospectively analyzed fetal MRI images before and after fetal surgery for OSB.

Results: MRI for fetal OSB was accessible with appropriate specialists available to supervise, perform, and report scans. The average time to arrange a fetal MRI appointment from request was 4 ± 3 days (range, 0–10), the average scan time available was 37 ± 16 min (range, 20–80 min), with 15 ± 11 min (range, 0–30 min) extra time to repeat sequences as required. Specific MRI acquisition protocols, and MRI reporting templates were available in only 32% and 18% of units, respectively. Satisfactory T2-weighted (T2W) brain imaging acquired in three orthogonal planes was achieved preoperatively in all centers, and 6 weeks postoperatively in 96% of FSCs and 78% of referring FMUs. However, for T2W spine image acquisition referring FMUs were less able to provide three orthogonal planes presurgery (98% FSC vs. 50% FMU, p < 0.001), and 6 weeks post-surgery (100% FSC vs. 48% FMU, p < 0.001). Other standard imaging recommendations such as T1-weighted (T1W), gradient echo (GE) or echoplanar fetal brain and spine imaging in one or two orthogonal planes were more likely available in FSCs compared to FMUs pre- and post-surgery (p < 0.001).

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.


Abbreviations: DTI, diffusion tensor imaging; DWI, diffusion weighted imaging; EPI, echoplanar images; FLAIR, fluid attenuated inversion recovery; FMU, fetal medicine unit; FSC, fetal surgery centers; GE, gradient echo; ISUOG, International Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology; MFM, maternal fetal medicine; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; OSB, open spina bifida; SRR, super resolution reconstruction; SSFP, steady-state free precession; T1W, T1-weighted; T2W, T2-weighted.
1 | INTRODUCTION

Fetal open spina bifida (OSB) surgery is now offered in many countries based on level I evidence of improved postnatal outcome. Before embarking on fetal surgery, robust imaging is essential to provide comprehensive preoperative evaluation and prognostication. Whilst ultrasound remains the primary imaging modality for both first-line screening and detailed assessment due to its low cost, real-time capability and high spatial resolution, it is susceptible to variable image quality due to factors such as maternal habitus, fetal position, and reverberation artifacts from the calvarium. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is an important adjunct as it provides excellent soft tissue contrast and enhances visualization through multiplanar imaging with a large field-of-view. Moreover, MRI is more likely to detect and characterize supratentorial anomalies (e.g., corpus callosum dysgenesis, heterotopia). Individuals with these migrational disorders can have neurodevelopmental delay which is of prognostic significance. Postoperative MRI permits detailed assessment of hindbrain herniation reversal, which has been proposed as a method to gauge fetal surgery response. It also allows for evaluating ventricular growth, aqueduct integrity, and identifying intracranial hemorrhage post-procedure which may be an exacerbating factor for hydrocephalus and predictive of ventriculoperitoneal shunt requirement. MRI quality, however, varies due to factors such as operator experience, different MRI equipment, and accessibility. When MRI imaging is suboptimal due to fetal motion artifacts, post-acquisition research advances such as super resolution reconstruction (SRR) may mitigate these effects allowing for three-dimensional segmentation, and volumetry. In offering an OSB fetal surgery service it is important to promote MRI consistency and enhance fetal imaging expertise to benefit patient care. This is in line with work from other organizations such as the European Society of Pediatric Radiology which aim to improve obstetric MRI uniformity. Our study objectives were to explore MRI capacity and acquisition for prenatal OSB assessment. We first undertook a survey assessing access, conduct and reporting of fetal MRI for OSB fetal surgery in the UK, Belgium and Ireland.

Conclusions: There was timely access to supervised MRI for OSB fetal surgery assessment. However, the provision of images of the fetal brain and spine in sufficient orthogonal planes, which are required for determining eligibility and to determine the reversal of hindbrain herniation after fetal surgery, were less frequently acquired. Our evidence suggests the need for specific guidance in relation to fetal MRI for OSB. We propose an example guidance for MRI acquisition and reporting.
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Key message
Agreed criteria for fetal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in cases of open spina bifida (OSB) is a priority to ensure correct patient selection as well as to critically evaluate the efficacy of fetal surgery. Guidance for fetal MRI acquisition and reporting are required to improve the evaluation of fetal OSB with enhanced communication between fetal surgery and referring units.

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 | Survey

We devised an electronic questionnaire (Table S1) sent to healthcare professionals in regional referring fetal medicine units (FMUs) in the UK and Ireland who had referred patients to the two NHS England commissioned Fetal Surgery Centers (FSCs) at University College London Hospital, London and University Hospitals KU Leuven Belgium. The questionnaire was collaboratively designed by maternal fetal medicine (MFM) specialists, neurosurgeons, pediatric radiologists, fetal neuroradiologists, MRI physicists, and fetal medical image analysis specialists in the FSCs. Respondents' professional role, hospital, and country of practice was collected. In the questionnaire, we described the clinical rationale for fetal MRI use in prenatal OSB closure, which includes diagnosis of intracranial and extracranial anomalies that may not be detectable on ultrasound but are important to aid with selection of appropriate fetal surgery candidates. The NHS England fetal surgery protocol also requests NHS centers to perform an MRI evaluation approximately
6 weeks postoperatively to evaluate hindbrain herniation reversal and ventriculomegaly.

The questionnaire was distributed between April and November 2021 to regional referring FMUs across the UK and Ireland that had referred patients to the FSCs since December 2018. More than one answer could be selected for some questions, accompanied by a free text field to enter any additional information that was not listed. Responses were collected and analyzed using questionnaire survey software (Survey Monkey, Momentive Inc., San Mateo, California, USA, www.momentive.ai). We requested the respondent’s views on MRI accessibility in their units, the interval between the request and the OSB fetal MRI appointment, the average duration of a second trimester fetal MRI slot, and how much extra time is available during imaging to repeat sequences due to fetal motion, if necessary. We also analyzed details (where questions could have multiple responses) on the professional roles and expertise of the individuals supervising, conducting, and reporting the fetal MRI scans of OSB patients, and assessed what clinical details and ultrasound imaging details were available to the radiologist prior to reporting of the MRI scan. Information on available MRI reporting templates, MRI fetal centile growth charts, and MRI acquisition protocols was also collected.

2.2 MRI acquisition and sequences

A consecutive sample of 50 OSB patients were retrospectively analyzed to infer MRI details on acquisition protocols, sequences and parameters. Images were obtained in patients meeting the management of myelomeningocele study criteria for fetal surgery. MRI was performed as recommended by the NHS England commissioned service, at three (or more) time points for each NHS patient: before prenatal surgery, approximately 1 week after surgery which was always performed by the FSC, and 6 weeks after fetal surgery. The initial MRI scan was performed by the regional referring FMUs and/or the FSCs. The six-week post fetal surgery MRI scan was performed in either the regional referring FMUs or the London FSC. For each time point, MRI acquisition parameters were collected including details of the sequences used and area of body scanned, field strength, slice thickness (mm), spacing between slices (mm), echo time (TE, ms), repetition time (TR, ms), pixel bandwidth (Hz), pixel spacing (mm), flip angle (FA, degrees), and specific absorption rate. Data on the standard, additional, and advanced MRI sequences, along with the number of orthogonal planes acquired for the fetal brain and body, were also analyzed and compared to the ISUOG MRI practice guidelines. We furthermore objectively assessed the quality of the three orthogonal T2-weighted 2D MRI stacks of the fetal brain through the application of post-acquisition SRR of the fetal brains which was performed using the NiftyMIC algorithm. SRR is an emerging research tool which depends on satisfactory original data quality acquired in at least three orthogonal T2-weighted 2D MRI stacks of the fetal brain.

2.3 Statistical analyses

Automatic extraction of relevant MRI acquisition parameters from all stacks was performed using an automated Python script. Statistical analysis was performed with Excel (Microsoft 365) and SPSS Statistics (IBM Corp. Released 2020. IBM SPSS Statistics for Macintosh, version 27.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). Summary statistical calculations with 95% confidence interval of the mean were performed on all survey data. Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of variance with correction for multiple comparisons was used to assess MRI sequences and plane acquisition between centers. Results are documented as test statistic (degree of freedom) and the p-value. Statistical significance was set at <5%. Owing to the limited number of comments, for free text answers, a summary of the common theme was discussed without formal qualitative or quantitative analysis.

2.4 Ethics statement

Ethical approval was given for this retrospective observational study. All MRI data were analyzed under the study entitled “Guided Instrumentation for Fetal Therapy and Surgery (GIFT-Surg): Fetal MRI to Improve Prenatal Diagnosis and Therapy for Fetal Abnormality” (Hampstead Research Ethics Committee, 15/LO/1488 on October 19, 2015 with amendments approved on February 28, 2019 and August 16, 2022). Women provided written informed consent for fetal MRI research. All images were transferred with Caldicott Guardian approval from University College London Hospitals to collaborators at partner academic institutions (University College London, King's College London, and Katholieke Universiteit Leuven [KU Leuven]) via the secure GIFT-cloud platform, which ensures complete de-identification through XNAT technology. No ethical approval was required for the survey.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Fetal MRI survey respondents

The survey was sent to two FSCs and 27 regional referring FMUs which offered a fetal MRI service. A response rate of 76% was achieved (22 responses). Only one response was received per FMU and each of the two FSCs. Job roles included nine pediatric radiologists, seven pediatric and fetal neuroradiologists, five MFM specialists, and one senior radiographer (Figure 1).

3.2 Fetal MRI accessibility

From the time of MR request, it reportedly took an average of $4 \pm 3$ days (range, 0–10 days) to arrange any fetal MRI appointment. The reported duration of a second trimester MRI slot was $37 \pm 16$ min (range, 20–80 min), with $15 \pm 11$ min (range, 0–30 min) extra time available to repeat sequences due to fetal motion if necessary.
3.3 Fetal MRI performance and reporting

The 22 respondents indicated that OSB fetal MRI acquisition was mainly supervised by pediatric neuroradiologists (9), pediatric radiologists (9) and fetal radiologists (6). Other professionals who supervised the fetal OSB MRI scans included adult neuroradiologists (3), senior radiographers (2), pediatric MRI radiographers (2), and senior MRI physicists (2). The majority of our respondents indicated that a senior radiographer (21) carried out the OSB fetal MRI scan (Figure 2).

The fetal OSB MRI scans (n = 22 respondents) were reported by pediatric neuroradiologists (11), pediatric radiologists (9), and fetal radiologists (8). Radiologists reporting the MRIs (n = 22 respondents) had access to any available previous MRI images and reports (18), and detailed FMU ultrasound reports (19). Radiologists reported having limited access to the details of fetal surgery (4) and postoperative progress (6), Figure 3.

We furthermore wished to gauge the availability of MRI acquisitional protocols and reporting templates for fetal OSB MRI scans. Only 32% of
MRI units (which include both the FSCs) had specific MRI acquisition protocols and sequences available for fetal MRI OSB scans. Specific fetal OSB MRI reporting templates were available in 18% of MRI units whilst fetal centile growth charts for reporting were available in 55%.

### 3.4 Free text commentary

Respondents were also given the opportunity to leave any free text comments in the questionnaire. These are reported in Table 1, grouped by topic. The key themes are the need for guidance on optimal fetal OSB MRI acquisition and reporting, and improved communication between FSCs and referring FMUs.

### 3.5 Observational MRI pilot study

We collected MRI data of 50 consecutive patients eligible for OSB surgery before (mean $23+3.1+2\text{weeks} + \text{days}$ [range $20+4-26+1$]), approximately 1 week after (mean $26+3.1+2\text{weeks} + \text{days}$ [range $24+1-27+2$]) and 6 weeks after fetal surgery (mean $32+3.1+2\text{weeks} + \text{days}$ [range $28+2-34+1$]). One patient did not undergo any MRI due to claustrophobia, and one patient declined fetal surgery and opted for postnatal repair and were thus excluded.

Some patients had more than one scan before and after surgery. Of the 178 scans available for analysis, 63 were performed presurgery at FSCs, and 16 at referring FMUs. Approximately 1 week after surgery 49 scans were performed at FSCs, and only one at an FMU. Six weeks after surgery 26 scans were performed at FSCs, and 23 scans in FMUs.

### 3.6 Standard MRI acquisition protocol and sequences

All MRI data acquisitions, and sequences were compared against the ISUOG practice guidelines for performance of fetal MRI which recommends good quality acquisitions in at least three orthogonal planes for the fetal brain and body using T2-weighted (T2W) contrast, and one or two planes using T1-weighted (T1W) and T2* gradient echo (GE) or echoplanar images (EPI).

### 3.7 T2W imaging

T2-weighted (T2W) imaging in three orthogonal planes for the fetal brain was acquired in 100% of presurgery MRI scans. There

---

**TABLE 1**  Free text commentary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Fetal open spina bifida (OSB) magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) acquisition and reporting | • Queries as to which gestational ages are optimal for performance of the fetal OSB MRI scan  
• Guidance on what MRI acquisition protocols, sequences and parameters are required for fetal OSB MRI scans  
• One referring fetal medicine unit (FMU) expressed that they have standard fetal brain and body MRI acquisition protocols and reporting available, but neither are specific to OSB MRI scans  
• Guidance was requested as to what the priorities are for report content and what MRI images are necessary for fetal OSB  
• Guidance on who should interpret the examination once performed |
| Communication                                                       | • Most referring FMUs expressed the need for improved communication and feedback between fetal surgery centers (FSCs) and referring FMUs  
• Referring FMUs suggested visiting the FSCs to observe fetal OSB scans being performed and to have the opportunity to discuss cases with the multidisciplinary teams at the FSC  
• Referring FMUs requested shared webinars and lectures with FSCs in order to discuss any complex fetal OSB cases which would encourage learning and transfer of expertise  
• Referring FMUs expressed a desire for having a named fetal MRI OSB specialist in their area for second opinions if required. |
was no difference in T2W fetal brain imaging acquisition between FSCs (96%) and referring FMUs (78%) 6 weeks post-surgery (test statistic [1] = 3.6, \( p = 0.059 \)). However, there was a difference in the acquisition of T2W spine imaging in three orthogonal planes between FSCs and referring FMUs presurgery, and 6 weeks post-surgery (98% vs. 50% completion; test statistic [1] = 29.3, \( p < 0.001 \), and (100% vs. 48% completion; test statistic [1] = 17.6, \( p < 0.001 \)). Figure 4.

### 3.8 | T1W imaging

For T1W fetal brain imaging acquired in one or two orthogonal planes there was a significant difference in performance between FSCs and referring FMUs presurgery, and 6 weeks post-surgery (95% vs. 56% completion; test statistic [1] = 17.3, \( p < 0.001 \)), and (100% vs. 43% completion; test statistic [1] = 19.6, \( p < 0.001 \)). Similarly, there was also a difference in performance of T1W fetal spine imaging.
acquired in one or two orthogonal planes between FSCs and referring FMUs presurgery, and 6 weeks post-surgery (98% vs. 63% completion; test statistic $[1] = 20.1, p < 0.001$) and (100% vs. 43% completion; test statistic $[1] = 19.0, p < 0.001$) Figure 4.

3.9 | T2*W GE or EPI imaging

T2*W GE or EPI fetal brain image acquisition in one or two orthogonal planes was more often completed in FSCs versus referring FMUs presurgery, and 6 weeks post-surgery (98% vs. 31% completion; test statistic $[1] = 44.1, p < 0.001$) and (96% vs. 52% completion; test statistic $[1] = 12.5, p < 0.001$). Likewise, T2*W GE or EPI fetal spine image acquisition was more likely to be completed at FSCs compared to referring FMU’s presurgery, and 6 weeks post-surgery (100% vs. 38% completion; test statistic $[1] = 44.5, p < 0.001$) and (92% vs. 35% completion; test statistic $[1] = 17.4, p < 0.001$). Figure 4. Almost all scans performed one-week post-surgery were done in FSCs; the compliance for each ISUOG standard MRI practice recommendation was ≥96% (Figure S2).

3.10 | Additional MRI sequences

Some additional MRI sequences performed in both FSC and referring FMUs included dynamic steady-state free precession (SSFP), diffusion weighted imaging (DWI), and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) which are described as optional sequences in the ISUOG MRI practice guidance. Other optional additional sequences performed by FSCs include fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR). Further additional MRI sequences performed by referring FMUs included short tau inversion recovery, and modified liver acquisition with volume acceleration flexible MRI (LAVA FLEX) (Figures S3 and S4).

3.11 | MRI acquisition parameters

Fetal MRI in FSCs and referring FMUs were all acquired at 1.5T, which is the most commonly used magnetic field strength providing acceptable resolution even in early second trimester scans.8,20 All MRI acquisition parameters of standard and additional optional sequences for FSCs pre-, one-week and 6 weeks after fetal surgery are illustrated in Tables S2–S4.

3.12 | Automated super-resolution reconstruction

SRR of the fetal brain was not possible in 10 (16%) of presurgery FSC scans, compared to nine (56%) of referring FMU scans due to excessive fetal motion. For the scans performed one-week post-surgery, SRR of the fetal brain was not possible in four (8%) of FSC scans due to excessive fetal motion, and one scan (100%) from a referring FMU due to an acquisition of less than three orthogonal T2-weighted 2D MRI stacks. SRR of the fetal brain was successful in all FSC scans performed 6 weeks post-surgery. In the referring FMUs, SRR of the fetal brain was not possible in 11 (48%) of the scans performed 6 weeks post-surgery. This was due to excessive fetal motion resulting in not enough artifact-free data in six (26%) cases, and an acquisition of less than three orthogonal planes in five (22%) cases (Figure 5).

![Figure 5](https://obgyn.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/aogs.14711) Flow chart of the success of post-acquisition fetal brain super resolution reconstruction (SRR) magnetic resonance imaging for (A) presurgery, (B) one week post-surgery scans, and (C) six weeks post-surgery scans in fetal surgery centers (FSC) and referring fetal medicine units (FMU).
4 | DISCUSSION

Our survey showed that there is MRI accessibility with appropriate time allocation allowing for protocol completion as well as extra time dedicated to sequence repetition in case of excessive fetal movement. MRI supervision, and performance was carried out by individuals with specific expertise and training which ensures appropriate choice of protocols, techniques, and adaptations based on unforeseen artifacts and fetal motion. MRI reporting was performed by specialists able to provide targeted information specific to fetal OSB, although 82% of units indicated they do not have a specific OSB reporting template. Table 2 shows a suggested targeted OSB reporting template which may be used in addition to the standard evaluation of the whole fetal and maternal anatomy (e.g., chest, abdomen, placenta, and cervix). Supporting information provided for MRI reporting consisted of mainly detailed MFM sonographic findings, and access to previous MR imaging and reports. However, communication about fetal surgery details, postoperative progress and access to genetic prenatal diagnostic results could be enhanced as this could aid reporting. Most FMUs (68%) indicated they did not have specific fetal OSB MRI acquisition protocols, which potentially contributed to the variability in sequences and plane acquisition observed. Satisfactory T2W brain imaging acquired in three orthogonal planes was, however, almost always achieved which is essential for comprehensive brain imaging and interpretation. This highlights the potential of standardized OSB imaging for optimal service provision with dissemination of knowledge and skills between units. Furthermore, although brain SRR success was reduced, this was owing to challenges of small fetal size and increased fetal motion encountered in the early second trimester in the majority of cases.

Comprehensive imaging is required to select the optimal OSB candidate for fetal surgery and to properly assess postoperative efficacy and complications. This assessment should be performed in accordance with the ISUOG MRI practice guidance advising good quality

### TABLE 2 Targeted reporting template for fetal open spina bifida.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomy</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cranial biometric assessments</td>
<td>Measurements are performed according to gestational age and using fetal centile growth charts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Brain biparietal diameter (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Skull biparietal diameter (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Brain fronto-occipital diameter (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Skull fronto-occipital diameter (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Transverse cerebellar diameter (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Extra-axial fluid (mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>• Gyration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ventrices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Atrial diameter of lateral ventricles (left, and right). State if mild, moderate, severe, unilateral, or bilateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lining and if there is any suspicion of perinodular heterotopia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Third ventricle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Fourth ventricle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• White matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Signal intensity, thinning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Signs of intracranial hemorrhage or recent ischemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cavum septum pellucidum (CSP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Corpus callosum (CC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cerebellum/vermis:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Small posterior fossa, crowding of the posterior fossae structures²⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Symmetrical signal intensity of the cerebellar hemispheres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Vermis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Chiari II malformation – measure tonsillar herniation¹²,²⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Brainstem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spine and cord</td>
<td>• Assessment of myelum and spinal dysraphism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Neural tube defect – level starting at and extending to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Type of spinal dysraphism: myelomeningocele, myelolecele, meningocele</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Presence and length of myelomingocele; are edges open/overhanging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Kyphosis and degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cord tethering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musculoskeletal</td>
<td>• Extremities. Comment on talipes deformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Bilateral lower limb movement on dynamic steady-state free precession (SSFP) sequences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>• MRI conclusion (CNS and body)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Gestational age at reporting with estimated date of delivery (EDD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Date of fetal surgery if applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cervical length</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
acquisitions in three orthogonal planes (axial, coronal, and sagittal) using T2W information, and one or two orthogonal planes (preferably coronal and sagittal) using T1 and T2* -weighted GE or EPI sequences for the fetal brain and body. These should be repeated if excessive fetal motion corrupts good quality image acquisition. 8, 20 T2W information is the mainstay of fetal MRI, and the main two sequences used are single shot fast spin echo and SSFP. 8 In fetuses with OSB, single shot fast spin echo is more commonly used as it allows excellent depiction of the brain and fluid filled cavities, while SSFP allows better depiction of vessels and the fetal heart. 8 However with adjusted parameters SSFP may be used for fetal brain evaluation with equivalent diagnostic accuracy. 29 The most robust fetal T1W sequences are fast low angle shot or fast field echo sequences. In relation to fetal OSB they are helpful for identifying methaemoglobin in subacute hemorrhage. 20, 30 T2* -weighted sequences (with GE or EPI imaging) are extremely useful in detecting blood breakdown products such as deoxyhemoglobin suggesting acute hemorrhage, and hemosiderin representing an older bleed. 20 This is particularly important in fetal OSB with ventriculomegaly and hydrocephalus, as the detection of hemosiderin deposits in the ventricular lining is diagnostic of past hemorrhage. 8, 31, 32 T2* -weighted GE or EPI acquisitions are also useful for fetal OSB as they visualize susceptibility artifacts to detect bony structures which can be used for fetal skeleton evaluation. 8, 33, 34 After performing the above standard sequences, optional additional imaging such as dynamic SSFP, DWI, and DTI can be performed if there is sufficient time remaining. 20 Dynamic SSFP evaluates lower limb movements in OSB while DWI characterizes brain laminination by providing quantifiable measurements of the constant random (Brownian) water molecule movement using apparent diffusion coefficient values. 8, 35 – 39 This is also possible to visualize with the EPI-FLAIR sequence. 40 DTI can provide more detailed microstructural information through markers sensitive to the degree of directional restriction of water molecule diffusion, which can be presented as fractional anisotropy maps or post-processed to identify and reconstruct the brain connectivity by tractography. 41– 45 Table 3 displays standard and optional imaging sequences for fetal OSB as per the ISUOG guidance which is important to allow diversity and research between units. Although predominantly a research tool, SRR has the potential for clinical adoption. Post-acquisition SRR can be performed to mitigate fetal motion effects and allow for enhanced fetal brain assessment. This is particularly useful in fetal OSB where the initial MRI assessment is usually performed prior to 25+ 6 weeks (the upper gestational age limit for prenatal surgery) which poses challenges due to small fetal size. 3, 21, 22 SRR is dependent on good quality original imaging obtained with sufficient image stacks in all three planes, as evident from our study given the number of unsuccessful reconstructions, which further supports following the standard ISUOG MRI acquisition criteria. SRR may be used in conjunction with original imaging for diagnosis but further technical developments are still needed to support its full clinical translation. 21, 22

FSCs have an established multidisciplinary team of specialists such as pediatric radiologists, neuroradiologists, pediatric neurologists, MFM specialists, and neurosurgeons who are familiar with this condition and the option of fetal surgery to provide optimal parental counseling. Our survey highlights the need for improved communication and sharing of MRI acquisition and reporting guidance between FSCs and referring FMUs. Possible future studies could additionally include a DELPHI international survey in order to achieve a wider consensus protocol for the conduct of MRI, acquisition and reporting which is targeted for OSB at all time points pre- and post-surgery.

One strength of our study was that we received information from a range of healthcare professionals involved in fetal OSB MRI such as MFM specialists, radiologists, and radiographers. This is important as the clinical pathway from MRI performance to interpretation requires a multidisciplinary collaborative approach. Another strength of our study was reinforcing our survey results by analyzing tested data quality by performing post-acquisition SRR against ISUOG standards. We furthermore objectively performed post-acquisition SRR has the potential for clinical adoption. Post-acquisition SRR can be performed to mitigate fetal motion effects and allow for enhanced fetal brain assessment. This is particularly useful in fetal OSB where the initial MRI assessment is usually performed prior to 25+ 6 weeks (the upper gestational age limit for prenatal surgery) which poses challenges due to small fetal size. 3, 21, 22 SRR is dependent on good quality original imaging obtained with sufficient image stacks in all three planes, as evident from our study given the number of unsuccessful reconstructions, which further supports following the standard ISUOG MRI acquisition criteria. SRR may be used in conjunction with original imaging for diagnosis but further technical developments are still needed to support its full clinical translation. 21, 22

FSCs have an established multidisciplinary team of specialists such as pediatric radiologists, neuroradiologists, pediatric neurologists, MFM specialists, and neurosurgeons who are familiar with this condition and the option of fetal surgery to provide optimal parental counseling. Our survey highlights the need for improved communication and sharing of MRI acquisition and reporting guidance between FSCs and referring FMUs. Possible future studies could additionally include a DELPHI international survey in order to achieve a wider consensus protocol for the conduct of MRI, acquisition and reporting which is targeted for OSB at all time points pre- and post-surgery.

One strength of our study was that we received information from a range of healthcare professionals involved in fetal OSB MRI such as MFM specialists, radiologists, and radiographers. This is important as the clinical pathway from MRI performance to interpretation requires a multidisciplinary collaborative approach. Another strength of our study was reinforcing our survey results by analyzing tested data quality by performing post-acquisition SRR against ISUOG standards. We furthermore objectively tested data quality by performing post-acquisition SRR has the potential for clinical adoption. Post-acquisition SRR can be performed to mitigate fetal motion effects and allow for enhanced fetal brain assessment. This is particularly useful in fetal OSB where the initial MRI assessment is usually performed prior to 25+ 6 weeks (the upper gestational age limit for prenatal surgery) which poses challenges due to small fetal size. 3, 21, 22 SRR is dependent on good quality original imaging obtained with sufficient image stacks in all three planes, as evident from our study given the number of unsuccessful reconstructions, which further supports following the standard ISUOG MRI acquisition criteria. SRR may be used in conjunction with original imaging for diagnosis but further technical developments are still needed to support its full clinical translation. 21, 22

**TABLE 3** Imaging sequences for fetal OSB MRI adapted from ISUOG guidance. 20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomy (standard protocol)</th>
<th>Sequences</th>
<th>Open spina bifida (OSB) application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fetal brain and body (standard protocol)</td>
<td>Three planes T2W single shot fast spin echo (SSFSE), or HASTE One or 2 planes T1-weighted (T1W), fast low angle shot (FLASH) One or 2 plane gradient echo (GE) or echo planar (EP) susceptibility imaging (e.g., T2*)</td>
<td>Depiction of brain and fluid filled cavities Evaluation of subacute hemorrhage and T1 hyperintense tissues (e.g., myelin) Evaluation of hemorrhage and fetal skeleton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal brain (optional)</td>
<td>Diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI)</td>
<td>Evaluation of brain lamination Fractional anisotropy maps for structural brain development and tractography for evaluation of fibers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal body (optional)</td>
<td>Dynamic steady-state free precession (SSFP) Thick slab imaging</td>
<td>Assessing lower limb movement Provide 3D imaging of fetal movement to assess limb movement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSION

Our survey demonstrated that there is timely access to supervised MRI for assessment of patients with OSB for fetal surgery in our population. However, the provision of images in sufficient orthogonal planes, which are required to confirm eligibility and determine the efficacy of fetal surgery in the brain and spine, are less frequently acquired. There is a need for specific guidance on protocols for fetal MRI acquisition and image reporting to optimize diagnostic accuracy, parental counseling, and post-natal management. We propose an example guidance for MRI acquisition and reporting.
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