Rational Catalyst Structural Design to Facilitate Reversible Li-CO₂ Batteries with Boosted CO₂ Conversion Kinetics

Shiming Chen^{1†}, Kai Yang^{2†*}, Hengyao Zhu¹, Jianan Wang³, Yi Gong², Huanxin Li⁴, Manman Wang², Wenguang Zhao¹, Yuchen Ji¹, Feng Pan¹, S. Ravi P. Silva², Yunlong Zhao^{5,6*}, Luyi Yang^{1,*}

¹ School of Advanced Materials, Peking University, Shenzhen Graduate School, Shenzhen 518055, China

² Advanced Technology Institute, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey, GU2 7XH, UK

³ Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, State Key Laboratory of Multiphase Flow

in Power Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, 28 Xianning West Road, Xi'an 710049, China

⁴ Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, 9 JJ Thomson Avenue, Cambridge, CB3 0FA, UK

⁵ Dyson School of Design Engineering, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2BX, UK

⁶ National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, TW11 0LW, UK

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondance: <u>kai.yang@surrey.ac.uk; yunlong.zhao@imperial.ac.uk; yangly@pkusz.edu.cn</u>

Abstract

Lithium-CO₂ batteries (LCBs) are regarded as a promising energy system for CO₂ drawdown and energy storage capability which has attracted widespread interest in carbon neutrality and sustainable societal development. However, their practical application has been limited by slow kinetics in catalytic reactions and poor reversibility of Li₂CO₃ products which leads to the issue of a large overpotential, low energy efficiency and poor reversibility. Herein, an efficient catalyst design and synthesis strategy is proposed to overcome the abovementioned bottleneck. Through an electrical joule heating procedure, Pt with random crystal orientations is converted into a 3D porous Pt catalyst with preferred (111) crystal orientation within seconds, exhibiting enhanced CO₂ conversion kinetics with superior electrochemical performance. This includes ultralow overpotential (0.45 V), fast rate charging (up to 160 μ A cm⁻²) and high stability (over 200 cycles under 40 μ A cm⁻²). A proof-ofconcept stacked Li-CO₂ pouch cell, with stable operation under practical current density is demonstrated, indicating significant potential for large-scale operations. This bottom-up design of efficient catalysts and synthesis strategy offers a rapid and cost-effective approach to maximizing catalytic sites for CO₂ conversion under restricted catalyst loading, showcasing its versatility across a broad spectrum of catalyst-based energy conversion and storage systems.

Keywords: Li-CO2 battery, CO2 conversion, Joule heating, Electrocatalyst, Pouch cell

Introduction

The massive consumption of non-renewable fossil fuels has caused increasingly energy shortage issues and corresponding CO₂ emissions also trigger serious environmental crises such as global warming and extreme climate change.[1, 2] New negative emissions technologies to both address the ever-increasing energy demand and reduce CO₂ emissions are of great importance for carbon neutrality and the sustainable development of human society.[3, 4] Among the novel carbon dioxide capture and utilization technologies (e.g., CO₂ reduction techniques),[5-7] metal-CO₂ batteries have attracted considerable attention due to their unique characteristic of CO₂ recyclability and providing green energy storage simultaneously.[8, 9] With CO₂ as the reactant, rechargeable Li-CO₂ batteries (LCBs) deliver a high theoretic energy density of 1876 Wh kg⁻¹ and theoretical equilibrium potential at ~2.8 V (vs. Li/Li⁺, based on the reaction of 3CO₂+4Li↔2Li₂CO₃+C), which is substantially higher than that of high-energy lithium-ion battery systems (e.g., ~300 Wh/kg of Si-graphite//NCM systems). The direct utilization of CO2 in energy conversion and storage devices also provide effective approaches for the sustainable development of carbon neutral society. These advantages make LCBs as promising next-generation energy storage devices not only for substitution of conventional Li-ion batteries but also extended application in aerospace exploration (especially on predominant CO₂ environments, such as Mars).[10]

Despite being very promising and extensively investigated since its emergence, the widespread applications of LCBs still suffer from several thorny research bottlenecks. The sluggish CO₂ conversion leads to large overpotential (> 1 V), low energy efficiency (< 75%) and inferior rate performance (normally operated at 0.1 C).[10, 11] The poor reversibility caused by the incomplete decomposition of insulating Li₂CO₃ products and the unexpected side reactions (e.g. electrolyte decomposition, carbon corrosion, etc. at high charging potentials) leads to limited cycle life.[12, 13] The lack of rational electrode and electrocatalyst structure design fails to ensure large-scale practical application and exhibits uncompetitive areal capacity (< 1 mAh cm⁻²).[14] Therefore, the key challenge to promote LCBs performance lies in the development of highly efficient cathode electrocatalysts such as carbon-based materials (graphene, carbon nanotubes, carbon aerogel, porous activated carbon, etc.), transition metal compounds (oxide, sulphide, carbide, etc.), and noble metal/alloys (Pt, Ir, Ru, etc.).[8, 10, 12] Despite efforts to improve electrochemical performance, many electrocatalysts have not yet demonstrated satisfactory results when evaluated under practical operating conditions such as higher operating current density and larger electrode size.

On the other hand, achieving a balance between long-term durability, high catalytic performance,

and cost control remains a challenge.[10, 12] For instance, the development of single-atom electrocatalysts has been pursued to reduce costs, but some have exhibited susceptibility to side reaction chemicals (e.g., carbon monoxide) during CO_2 conversion, leading to structural damage and limited cycle life.[15-21] Additionally, incomplete exposure of catalytic sites for CO_2 conversion can result in suboptimal rate performance.[16, 22] Thus, designing catalysts with an optimal crystal structure to maximize catalytic sites for CO_2 conversion is a critical principle to consider.

Herein, we demonstrate an efficient modulation strategy of the catalyst structure to enhance CO₂ conversion kinetics and improve the overall electrochemical performance of LCBs. Following the theoretic simulations on the preferred Pt facet for LCBs reactions, electrical Joule heating is employed to regulate both the structural and morphological properties of Pt-based electrocatalysts which are expected to enhance the CO₂ conversion reaction and increase catalytic reaction sites respectively. This bottom-up design of efficient catalysts synthesis strategy offers a rapid, cost-effective, and controllable approach to maximizing catalytic sites for CO₂ conversion under restricted catalyst loading. Also, this strategy showcases versatility across a broad spectrum of catalyst-based energy conversion and storage systems. With restricted areal mass loading of Pt catalyst, the as-developed porous electrocatalyst exhibits superior electrochemical performance over currently reported studies including low overpotential (< 0.5 V), excellent rate performance (up to 1.6 C, 1 C = 100 μ A cm⁻²), and high stability under elevated current density (over 200 cycles under 0.4 C). Moreover, stacked Li-CO₂ pouch cells can be fabricated and operated under more practical operation conditions (280 mAh_{cell}, and cycled at 0.2 C with over-potential < 0.6 V).

Structural regulation and engineering for Pt catalyst

According to previous studies, the reaction process in platinum-based LCBs can be described with the following reversible equations: $3CO_2+4Li\leftrightarrow 2Li_2CO_3+C$ (Figure 1a). DFT calculations were first conducted to compare the electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction reaction (CO₂RR) and CO₂ evolution reaction (CO₂ER) activity on different orientations of Pt. In the typical CO₂RR process, the most important parameters to evaluate the LCBs performance are the adsorption of the reactant (CO₂ and lithium ions) and the reaction product (Li₂CO₃ and C). The CO₂ conversion kinetics is mainly dominated by catalysts in LCBs, as the supporting carbon matrix (e.g., carbon paper, carbon cloth.) generally exhibits poor catalytic performance toward CO₂ conversion.[23] The adsorption energy of CO₂, Li⁺ and Li₂CO₃ on typical thermodynamically stable surfaces (i.e. (111), (200) and (220)) of Pt are calculated (adsorption configuration in Figure 1b). For the CO₂ reactant, Pt (111) facet exhibits the largest adsorption energies (-0.43 eV) compared with (200) and (220) facets as shown in Figure 1c. Meanwhile, the adsorption energy for Li⁺ on Pt (111) facet (-1.12 eV) is much higher than that of the other two facets, which indicates the higher lithiophilicity on the Pt (111) facet. Thus, from the reactant perspective, Pt (111) exhibits the best compatibility and adsorption toward CO₂ and Li⁺, indicating a larger concentration of reactants on the catalyst surface to promote higher CO₂RR activity.[24] The adsorption behaviour of the main reaction product (Li₂CO₃) is further calculated, Pt (111) exhibits larger adsorption energy of -1.53 eV than (200) and (220) facets. In this case, Li₂CO₃ can be more easily generated on Pt (111), leading to an enhanced reaction activity for potentially higher rate and capacity performance.[25]



Figure 1. Design and characterizations of Pt electrocatalyst. (a) Schematic CO_2 conversion process in Ptbased LCBs. (b) Side view of adsorption behaviour of CO_2 , Li and Li₂CO₃ on different orientations of Pt surface and (c) comparison of corresponding adsorption energy. (d) Decomposition energy of Li₂CO₃ on different orientations of Pt surface. (e) XRD analysis of different electrodes. (f) Detailed surface structure and TEM observation of the electrode after HTS (scale bar = 200 nm).

The sluggish decomposition of Li₂CO₃ has been considered the rate-determining step for the CO₂ER process in LCBs. The large overpotential for the decomposition of Li₂CO₃ during CO₂ER greatly reduces the energy efficiency and further results in limited cycle life owing to the incomplete decomposition of discharging product and electrolyte instability under high charging potential.[16, 22] A low decomposition energy barrier of Li₂CO₃ could promote its complete decomposition, thus improve the cycling stability of the battery. The decomposition barrier of Li₂CO₃ (C + Li₂CO₃ \rightarrow Li⁺ + LiC₂O₃ + e⁻) is evaluated as shown in **Figure 1d**. As a result, Pt (111) also exhibits the lowest decomposition energy of Li₂CO₃ (1.09 eV) among the three typical facets, hence in favour of Li₂CO₃ dissociation. According to the above theoretical calculations, Pt (111) has been identified as the most active interfacial facet to catalyse both CO₂RR and CO₂ER for LCBs.

High-temperature shock (HTS), as a non-equilibrium extreme method based on the electrical Joule heating, has been regarded as a low cost and highly efficient technique to regulate morphology and structure properties of diverse functional nanomaterials.[26, 27] Next, we employed HTS technique to regulate the crystal orientation and exposed active sites on Pt catalysts (**Figure S1**). Carbon cloth (**Figure S2**) with good conductivity, flexibility and porosity was used as the electrode substrate.[23, 28] Firstly, Pt was uniformly deposited on the carbon cloth (CC) via thermal evaporation (**Figure S3**), and the resulting areal mass loading of Pt is ~ 0.1 mg cm⁻². The Pt-coated carbon cloth (pristine-Pt@CC) was further loaded on the HTS holder (**Figure S4**) and thermal-treated under vacuum conditions with a cut-off heating temperature of 1500 °C (**Figure S5**).

After 2 seconds of HTS process, the loaded Pt melted during the rapid temperature rise, and then self-assembled into nanoparticles during the abrupt temperature drop.[29] Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observation identified the existence of nanoparticles with a size range of 5-10 nm (**Figure S6**). The structural information of different air electrodes was investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) as shown in **Figure 1e**. The broad peak at around 26° in all three electrodes can be ascribed to the carbon fibre of the CC substrate. After the Pt deposition, weak Pt peaks at (39.9°) can be identified according to the standard PDF cards (01-070-20057).[30] In sharp contrast, the (111) peak was greatly intensified after the HTS treatment, indicating the successful implementation of the preferred orientation of Pt (111) which was demonstrated by TEM.[31, 32] Besides, XRD patterns with fitting data (**Figure S7**) showed a significantly increased content of (111) facet from 48.6 % to 63.6 % and the narrowed full width high maximum values also suggested larger crystalline grains. The lattice spacing of 0.225 nm can be observed, corresponding to the (111) crystal plane of Pt, which is also confirmed by the speckle rings of the selected area electron diffraction pattern (**Figure 1f**).[33, 34] With more observation of other nanoparticles, it was found that the Pt (111) orientations dominated the surface of nanoparticle platinum (**Figure S8**). To elucidate the As origin of preferred

Pt (111) orientation during HTS process, surface energies of (111), (200) and (220) facets of Pt are compared in **Figure S9**. Pt (111) facet exhibited the lowest surface energy (1.44 J m⁻²) compared with (200) and (220) facets, which indicates that the (111) facet is a more thermodynamically stable facet. Thus, Pt (111) orientation exposed more during the abrupt cooling process.[35] Such approach could be adopted to synthesizing other metallic catalysts with desirable crystalline orientations.

Moreover, the dense thin-film morphology of the pristine Pt-coated electrode was transformed into a 3D porous structure (**Figure S10** and **Figure S11**). The N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherm of the HTS-treated catalyst (**Figure S12**) shows a typical hysteresis loop characteristic of a porous structure.[36] The introduced porosity not only significantly increased the specific surface area from 0.66 m² g⁻¹ to 1.25 m² g⁻¹ but also created hierarchical pore size distribution on the electrode surface.[37] Finite element method analysis was then employed to simulate the CO₂ flow on the surface of different electrodes (see **Figure S13** and **Figure S14** for detailed results). As a result, catalyst with porous structure allows faster CO₂ diffusion. In addition, such 3D porous catalyst structure is expected to promote electrolyte permeation and increase catalytic sites to facilitate CO₂ conversion.





Figure 2. Enhanced CO₂ conversion and reversibility for Li-CO₂ battery. (a) Electrode morphology at discharging state (scale bar = 10 μ m). (b) Schematic illustration of the different CO₂ conversion processes on the catalysts. (c) Galvanostatic charge/discharge curves under the current density of 40 μ A cm⁻². (d) EIS spectra during cycling.

After the Pt (111) preferred orientations and porous catalyst structure were successfully introduced

in the air electrode, the CO₂ conversion process was then evaluated in Li-CO₂ batteries under CO₂ atmosphere (Figure S15). The maximum areal capacity was first measured with a cut-off voltage at 2 V and under a current density of 20 µA cm⁻² (Figure S16). Notably, the porous-Pt-(111)@CC enabled a high areal capacity of 5.8 mAh cm⁻², which is more than twice the capacity delivered by pristine-Pt@CC (2.6 mAh cm⁻²). To evaluate the utilization efficiency of Pt, the normalized specific areal capacity (areal capacity divided by the areal mass loading of Pt) is defined and compared with the reported literature as shown in Figure S17. The porous-Pt-(111)@CC delivered the highest normalized specific areal capacity (58.1 Ah g⁻¹_{catalyst}) with the minimum catalyst loading among all the listed catalysts (Table S2), suggesting a highly efficient utilization of the catalyst to promote CO₂ conversion. Besides, the discharging voltage of porous-Pt-(111)@CC also outperforms pristine-Pt@CC under the same operating condition. The significantly improved areal capacity is contributed by the elevated specific surface and porous catalyst morphology that provide more available sites to accommodate the reaction product; meanwhile, higher contents of exposed preferred Pt (111) orientation accelerate CO₂ conversion and product generation during the CO₂RR process. Interestingly, distinctively different morphologies for the discharging product could be observed on porous-Pt-(111)@CC and pristine-Pt@CC (Figure 2a and Figure S18). For pristine-Pt@CC, the discharging products tend to form isolated micro-sized particles following the Volmer-Weber mode due to the lack of catalytic sites, leading to residual CO2RR products on the electrode surface (Figure S19). As illustrated in Figure 2b, Benefiting from abundant and highly efficient catalytic sites, thin-film products are formed on porous-Pt-(111)@CC following the Frank-van der Merwe mode which can easily decompose upon the charging process (Figure S20).[38]

The cyclic voltammetry (CV) tests (**Figure S21**) of the Li-CO₂ batteries were conducted at the scan rate of 1 mV s⁻¹ within the potential range from 2.0 to 3.5 V to observe the redox reaction process for different electrocatalysts. The cathodic peaks started at 2.5 V corresponding to the Li₂CO₃ and carbon formation during the CO₂RR process. And during the anodic scanning, the peaks started at 2.8 V can be ascribed to the decomposition of the discharging product. The porous Pt catalyst showed a larger reaction current and lower overpotential during charging compared with the pristine Pt catalyst and pure carbon electrode (CC) (**Figure S22**), indicating an enhanced CO₂ utilization during CO₂RR and facilitated decomposition of reaction product during CO₂ER. Galvanostatic charging and discharging tests were carried out as shown in **Figure 2c**. To make a better comparation with recent LCB literature, the cut-off capacity was accordingly set as 100 μ Ah cm⁻² was applied. Porous-Pt-(111)@CC exhibits a charging plateau of 3.08 V with an overpotential of merely 0.65 V, which is one of the lowest values among all reported electrocatalysts (excluding

the photo-electrocatalyst or redox mediator works) in LCBs under the same current density.

Since the reaction kinetics of CO₂RR and CO₂ER have a great impact on reversibility, electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) were recorded during cycling to evaluate the overall reversibility of LCBs. The generation of reaction product during discharging will passivate the electrode, hence increasing the interfacial charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) for both catalysts (**Figure 2d** and **Table S1**). Upon the charging process of the first cycle, the resistance recovered nearly to the pristine state before cycling for porous-Pt-(111)@CC, confirming the complete decomposition of the discharging product. By contrast, R_{ct} for pristine-Pt@CC was partially recovered at the charged state, indicating residual discharging product on the electrode. R_{ct} for pristine-Pt@CC became even higher after 10 cycles, suggesting a deteriorating interface, whereas porous-Pt-(111)@CC exhibited well-maintained interfacial resistance owing to the high reversibility.

To obtain the correlation between the componential information on electrode surfaces and the cell reversibility, LCBs under different states of charge were disassembled for ex-situ interfacial spectroscopy analysis. The ex-situ XPS (**Figure S23** and **Figure 3a**) analysis of both catalysts exhibit distinctive Li₂CO₃ signals in both C 1s (290.3 eV) and Li 1s spectra (55.5 eV) at discharged state, confirming the generation of Li₂CO₃.[36, 39] In the following charging process, all signals belonging to Li₂CO₃ disappeared on porous-Pt-(111)@CC, suggesting the complete decomposition of Li₂CO₃. However, partial Li₂CO₃ could still be detected on pristine-Pt@CC at charged state. Exsitu Raman (**Figure 3b**) and FTIR (**Figure S24**) were also employed to reveal the reversibility of the catalyst-dependent CO₂ conversion. Consistent with the XPS measurement, porous-Pt-(111)@CC enhanced the reversible decomposition of discharging product Li₂CO₃ as confirmed by the evolution of typical Raman stretching peaks (~1090 cm⁻¹) and FTIR spectra (~1510 cm⁻¹).[40-43] Besides, XRD measurements for different electrochemistry states were analysed (**Figure S25**). It could be observed that the characteristic peak (21.34°, 30.64° and 31.79°) of Li₂CO₃ disappeared in the charging state on porous-Pt-(111)@CC.

It is reported that the nanostructure of the catalyst can affect the growth/evolution of discharging product on the catalyst surface.[36, 38] In return, the repeated catalytic behaviour will also introduce reaction stress and change the catalyst structure.[44, 45] The accumulation of incompletely decomposed discharge product will passivate the catalyst surface and worse still lead to catalyst structural collapse or detachment from the substrate owing to the residue stress.[44, 46] The disassembled air electrodes were also observed by scanning electron microscope (SEM) (**Figure 3c**). Surprisingly, the air electrode with pristine Pt catalyst suffered severe structure destruction. The pristine Pt catalyst was peeled off the carbon fibre and the non-active carbon substrate was exposed. While in the air electrodes with porous Pt catalyst, the structural integrity was maintained stable

during cycling with the porous Pt catalyst structure well preserved. Based on the above results, it can be concluded that porous-Pt-(111)@CC facilitates the complete decomposition of thin film discharging product during charging owing to abundant and highly efficient catalytic sites, hence improve the excellent electrochemical reversibility. The porous structure is also beneficial to the dissipation of the reaction stress to maintain electrode structure integrity (**Figure 3c**); while the residual Li₂CO₃ on pristine-Pt@CC gradually accumulates, and eventually causes structure disintegration of the electrode owing to Pt detachment from the substrate. Furthermore, the SEM results of porous-Pt-(111)@CC after long-term cycling also confirmed the improved reversibility of Li₂CO₃ decomposition (**Figure S26**).



Figure 3. Characterizations of the reversibility. (a) Li 1s XPS spectra for air electrodes under different electrochemical status: discharge state and charge state. (b) Ex-situ Raman analysis of the cycled air electrodes. (c) SEM images of different electrodes after 20 cycles (scale bar = $10 \mu m$). (d) In-situ Raman characterization of the porous Pt electrode. (e) Gas evolution during cycling of porous Pt electrode.

For further insights into the role of porous-Pt-(111)@CC in enhancing CO₂ conversion kinetics and reversibility, in-situ Raman and differential electrochemical mass spectrometry (DEMS) were conducted to probe the reaction mechanism. As shown in **Figure 3d**, the Raman intensity of reaction product Li₂CO₃ (typical peak at ~1090 cm⁻¹) and carbon (G-bond at ~1600 cm⁻¹ and D-bond at ~1350 cm⁻¹) was recorded.[47] During the galvanostatic discharging process, the intensity of the reaction products gradually increased. In the following charging process, the corresponding intensity gradually vanished. This result indicates that apart from Li₂CO₃, the evolution of C is also reversible. indicating superior reversibility. DEMS results (**Figure 3e**) show that CO₂ was the only gas specie that being consumed/released during discharging/charging. The charge evolution during discharging or charging is 2.93×10^{-6} mol (20 µA cm⁻², 100 µA cm⁻²). The molar amounts of the consumed and released CO₂ based on DEMS are calculated to be 2.192×10^{-6} mol and 2.183×10^{-6} mol for discharging and charging process, respectively. The CO₂ mass-to-charge ratio during discharging or charging is very close to 0.75, indicating a highly reversible pathway ($3CO_2+4Li^+\leftrightarrow 2Li_2CO_3+C$). Since irreversible Li-CO₂ reaction has been reported to generate O₂ upon charging process as the following reaction path: $2Li_2CO_3 \rightarrow 2CO_2+O_2+4Li^++4e^-$,[11, 12] this result has ruled out the above mentioned Li₂CO₃ decomposition route and further confirmed the high reversibility of CO₂ conversion on porous-Pt-(111)@CC.

Electrochemical performance under more practical operating conditions

As previously mentioned, the real application of LCBs relies on their performance under practical current densities. Herein, additional electrochemical tests were carried out to evaluate the battery performance of porous-Pt-(111)@CC. Porous-Pt-(111)@CC exhibited a steady discharging/charging plateau under various current densities (Figure 4a) and small overpotentials (Figure 4b) of 0.45 V, 0.65 V, 0.89 V and 1.26 V at 20, 40, 80 and 160 µA cm⁻², respectively, which correspond to energy efficiencies (Figure 4c) of 87.5%, 82.1%, 75.6% and 65.9%. In comparison, pristine-Pt@CC exhibited much poorer rate capabilities. The charging voltage of pristine-Pt@CC increased sharply (as high as 4.5 V) with the current density (Figure S27 and Figure 4b), resulting in inferior energy efficiencies of 85.0%, 71.9%, 63.7% and 42.4% at 20, 40, 80 and 160 µA cm⁻², respectively. To further highlight the excellence of the as-developed porous Pt catalyst, the electrochemical performances (including charging voltage, overpotential and energy efficiency) were compared with previously reported Li-CO₂ battery cathode catalysts as summarised in Figure 4d and Table S2. Porous-Pt-(111)@CC clearly demonstrates the highest energy efficiency and lowest overpotential among all the listed metal or metal-based catalysts even under higher current densities (Figure S28). Notably, the as-developed Pt catalyst with optimized catalytic sites also delivered the highest energy efficiency with the minimum areal mass loading compared with previously reported works (Figure



S29), indicating a much improved catalyst utilization efficiency.

Figure 4. Electrochemical performance under practical operating conditions. (a) Voltage-capacity curves under different current densities of porous Pt catalyst, the cut-off capacity of 100 μ Ah cm⁻². (b) Charging/discharging voltage under different current densities for different catalysts. (c) Overpotential and energy efficiency under different current densities for different catalysts. (d) Comparison of LCB coin cell performance with literature from **Table S2**. (e) Long cycle performance of porous Pt catalyst-based LCB, under current densities of 20 μ A cm⁻² and 40 μ A cm⁻². (f) Stacked structure of LCB pouch cell. (g) Cycle performance of the stacked LCB pouch cell under 20 μ A cm⁻². (h) Energy efficiency comparison of the Li-CO₂ pouch cell performance with literature from **Table S3**.

Owing to the sluggish CO₂ conversion kinetics, many reported LCBs are normally cycled at impractically low areal current densities (**Figure S28**). Here, the cycle stability of the LCBs with different electrocatalysts was investigated at the current densities of 20 μ A cm⁻² and 40 μ A cm⁻². As shown in **Figure S30**, pristine-Pt@CC delivered a poor cycle performance under 40 μ A cm⁻². The charging voltage already reached 3.5 V within the first 10 cycles and gradually increased up to 4.5 V during sequential cycles. Worse still, the discharging voltage also dropped below 1.5 V, leading to disastrous energy efficiencies. The pure carbon electrode exhibited even poorer cycle performance as

shown in **Figure S31**. Remarkably, excellent cycle stability was exhibited by porous-Pt-(111)@CC as shown in **Figure 4e**. Under current densities of 20 μ A cm⁻², the as-assembled LCBs can be stably cycled for over 100 cycles (> 1000 hours) with an average low overpotential of 0.5 V. Under the elevated current density of 40 μ A cm⁻², LCBs based on the porous-Pt-(111) catalyst can be cycled over 1000 hours (corresponding to over 200 cycles) with high energy efficiency of over 80% (**Figure S32**), evidencing that the high-rate performance and cycling stability can be simultaneously achieved by porous-Pt-(111)@CC. The porous-Pt-(111) catalyst based LCBs were further operated under higher specific areal capacity (200 μ Ah cm⁻²). Low overpotential (**Figure S33**) and stable cycle performance (**Figure S34**) remained demonstrating the enhanced CO₂ conversion kinetics.

Single-layer Li-CO₂ pouch cells have been previously reported, but many of them can only power low-energy or low-power electronics with the limited practical operation.[37, 48-50] Developing practical pouch-cell-level Li-CO₂ batteries puts forward a challenging opportunity to realise the potential CO₂ utilisation and energy storage ability of Li-CO₂ batteries. Here, to further demonstrate the potential of porous-Pt-(111)@CC in practical application scenarios, proof-of-concept stacked Li-CO₂ pouch cells (Figure 4f) with two layers of air electrodes were assembled and tested. Under the current densities of 20 µA cm⁻², the stacked Li-CO₂ pouch cell delivered a total capacity of 280 mAh_{cell} (Figure S35). Apart from lighting the LED arrays as shown in Figure S36, the as-developed stacked Li-CO₂ pouch cell can be stably cycled under 20 µA cm⁻² (Figure 4g) with low overpotential (0.5 V) and high energy efficiency (82.2%) (Figure S37). Compared with the reported Li-CO₂ pouch cells (Figure 4h, Figure S38 and Table S3), the stable cycling of the porous Pt based stacked Li-CO₂ pouch cells with low overpotential and high energy efficiency demonstrates its potential for large scale application. Considering the utilization cost, the Pt/Carbon weight ratio in our cathode electrode is calculated to be 0.77 wt.% which is only one-fourth of that in the commercial Pt/C catalyst (3 wt.%). Meanwhile, no harmful or expensive chemicals are used during the synthesis process. Overall, compared with the traditional wet chemistry routine to synthesis electrocatalyst, the proposed catalyst regulation strategy not only provides a rapid, cost-effective, and controllable approach to enhance the LCBs, but also inspires synthesis of catalysts based on non-noble metals. Furthermore, through the strategy of simultaneously tuning the crystalline facets and morphology, catalysts that previously considered ineffective might be revived.

Conclusions

In summary, following the theoretical identification of the highly efficient catalytic orientation of platinum catalyst toward CO₂ conversion for LCBs, a porous Pt catalyst (porous-Pt-(111)@CC) with

preferred orientation of (111) has been controllably and efficiently developed by fast electrical joule heating techniques. Benefiting from the improved CO₂ conversion kinetics on the (111) facet (including compatibility and affinity toward reactant, and the lower energy barrier for Li₂CO₃ decomposition), porous-Pt-(111)@CC delivered remarkable electrochemical performance with minimum catalyst loading. Areal capacity could be doubled owing to the porous structure that with abundant catalytic reaction sites to accommodate reaction products. Ex-situ and in-situ characterizations have confirmed the high reversibility of the as-developed porous pt catalyst, which ensures the stable long-term cycling of LCBs with low overpotential and ultrahigh energy efficiency, outperforming most reported metal or metal-based electrocatalysts for LCBs. A proof-of-concept stacked Li-CO₂ pouch cells are further fabricated and delivered considerable areal capacity and stable cycle performance under 0.2 C, demonstrating its potential in practical use. The proposed strategy to regulate specific catalytic sites and consequently maximize the utilization of catalysts provides a rational and eco-efficient approach for the synthesis of advanced catalysts toward next-generation high-performance energy conversion and storage devices.

Acknowledgements

L. Y. acknowledge the support from Shenzhen Science and Technology Planning Project (JSGG20220831095604008). K.Y and Y.Z. acknowledge support from the EPSRC New Investigator Award (EP/V002260/1) "Scalable fabrication of on-chip Li-CO₂ batteries for efficient electrocatalysts screening and energy storage mechanism study" and the Faraday Institute - Battery Study and Seed Research Project "Rational design and manufacture of stacked Li-CO₂ pouch cells".

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

[1] T. Ahmad, S. Liu, M. Sajid, K. Li, M. Ali, L. Liu, W. Chen, Electrochemical CO₂ reduction to C₂₊ products using Cu-based electrocatalysts: A review, Nano Research Energy 1 (2022) e9120021. https://doi.org/10.26599/NRE.2022.9120021.

[2] J.-J. Wang, X.-P. Li, B.-F. Cui, Z. Zhang, X.-F. Hu, J. Ding, Y.-D. Deng, X.-P. Han, W.-B. Hu, A review of non-noble metal-based electrocatalysts for CO₂ electroreduction, Rare Metals 40(11) (2021) 3019-3037. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12598-021-01736-x.

[3] Z. Xie, X. Zhang, Z. Zhang, Z. Zhou, Metal–CO₂ Batteries on the Road: CO₂ from Contamination Gas to Energy Source, Advanced Materials 29(15) (2017) 1605891. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201605891.

[4] J. Wang, B. Marchetti, X.-D. Zhou, S. Wei, Heterogeneous Electrocatalysts for Metal–CO₂ Batteries and CO2 Electrolysis, ACS Energy Letters (2023) 1818-1838. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.2c02445</u>.

[5] H. Han, S. Jin, S. Park, Y. Kim, D. Jang, M.H. Seo, W.B. Kim, Plasma-induced oxygen vacancies in amorphous MnOx boost catalytic performance for electrochemical CO₂ reduction, Nano Energy 79 (2021) 105492. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2020.105492.

[6] Z. Wang, B. Liu, X. Yang, C. Zhao, P. Dong, X. Li, Y. Zhang, K. Doyle-Davis, X. Zeng, Y. Zhang, X. Sun, Dual Catalytic Sites of Alloying Effect Bloom CO₂ Catalytic Conversion for Highly Stable Li–CO₂ Battery, Advanced Functional Materials 33(28) (2023) 2213931. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202213931</u>.

[7] J. Choi, S. Park, H. Han, M. Kim, M. Park, J. Han, W.B. Kim, Highly efficient CO2 electrolysis to CO on Ruddlesden–Popper perovskite oxide with in situ exsolved Fe nanoparticles, Journal of Materials Chemistry A 9(13) (2021) 8740-8748. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/D0TA11328J</u>.

[8] S. Zhang, L. Sun, Q. Fan, F. Zhang, Z. Wang, J. Zou, S. Zhao, J. Mao, Z. Guo, Challenges and prospects of lithium–CO₂ batteries, Nano Research Energy 1 (2022) e9120001.
https://doi.org/10.26599/NRE.2022.9120001.

[9] W. Huang, J. Qiu, Y. Ji, W. Zhao, Z. Dong, K. Yang, M. Yang, Q. Chen, M. Zhang, C. Lin, K. Xu, L. Yang, F. Pan, Exploiting Cation Intercalating Chemistry to Catalyze Conversion-Type Reactions in Batteries, ACS Nano 17(6) (2023) 5570-5578. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.2c11029</u>.

[10] Y. Liu, R. Mao, B. Chen, B. Lu, Z. Piao, Y. Song, G. Zhou, H.-M. Cheng, Atomic design of bidirectional electrocatalysts for reversible Li-CO₂ batteries, Materials Today (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mattod.2022.12.008.

[11] J. Lin, W. Song, C. Xiao, J. Ding, Z. Huang, C. Zhong, J. Ding, W. Hu, A comprehensive overview of the electrochemical mechanisms in emerging alkali metal–carbon dioxide batteries, Carbon Energy (2023). https://doi.org/10.1002/cey2.313.

 [12] Y. Jiao, J. Qin, H.M.K. Sari, D. Li, X. Li, X. Sun, Recent progress and prospects of Li-CO₂ batteries: Mechanisms, catalysts and electrolytes, Energy Storage Materials 34 (2021) 148-170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2020.09.014.

[13] J. Li, A. Dai, K. Amine, J. Lu, Correlating Catalyst Design and Discharged Product to Reduce

Overpotential in Li-CO₂ Batteries, Small 17(48) (2021) 2007760. https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.202007760.

[14] X. Mu, H. Pan, P. He, H. Zhou, Li–CO₂ and Na–CO₂ Batteries: Toward Greener and Sustainable Electrical Energy Storage, 32(27) (2020) 1903790. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201903790</u>.

[15] Y. Xu, H. Gong, L. Song, Y. Kong, C. Jiang, H. Xue, P. Li, X. Huang, J. He, T. Wang, A highly efficient and free-standing copper single atoms anchored nitrogen-doped carbon nanofiber cathode toward reliable Li– CO₂ batteries, Materials Today Energy 25 (2022) 100967. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtener.2022.100967.

[16] J. Lin, J. Ding, H. Wang, X. Yang, X. Zheng, Z. Huang, W. Song, J. Ding, X. Han, W. Hu, Boosting Energy Efficiency and Stability of Li–CO2 Batteries via Synergy between Ru Atom Clusters and Single-Atom Ru–N4 sites in the Electrocatalyst Cathode, Advanced Materials 34(17) (2022) 2200559. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202200559.

[17] R. Li, J. Xu, Q. Zhao, W. Ren, R. Zeng, Q. Pan, X. Yan, J. Ba, T. Tang, W. Luo, Cathodic corrosion as a facile and universal method for the preparation of supported metal single atoms, Nano Research 15(3) (2022) 1838-1844. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12274-021-3767-3.

[18] C.D. Koolen, W. Luo, A. Züttel, From Single Crystal to Single Atom Catalysts: Structural Factors Influencing the Performance of Metal Catalysts for CO₂ Electroreduction, ACS Catalysis 13(2) (2023) 948-973. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.2c03842</u>.

[19] F. Lü, H. Bao, Y. Mi, Y. Liu, J. Sun, X. Peng, Y. Qiu, L. Zhuo, X. Liu, J. Luo, Electrochemical CO₂ reduction: from nanoclusters to single atom catalysts, Sustainable Energy & Fuels 4(3) (2020) 1012-1028. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9SE00776H.

[20] G. Giannakakis, M. Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, E.C.H. Sykes, Single-Atom Alloys as a Reductionist Approach to the Rational Design of Heterogeneous Catalysts, Accounts of Chemical Research 52(1) (2019) 237-247. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.8b00490</u>.

[21] Z. Chen, J. Liu, M.J. Koh, K.P. Loh, Single-Atom Catalysis: From Simple Reactions to the Synthesis of Complex Molecules, Advanced Materials 34(25) (2022) 2103882. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202103882.

[22] A.K. Chourasia, A.D. Pathak, C. Bongu, K. Manikandan, S. Praneeth, K.M. Naik, C.S. Sharma, In Situ/Operando Characterization Techniques: The Guiding Tool for the Development of Li–CO₂ Battery, Small Methodes 6(12) (2022) 2200930. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/smtd.202200930</u>.

[23] B. Lu, B. Chen, D. Wang, C. Li, R. Gao, Y. Liu, R. Mao, J. Yang, G. Zhou, Engineering the interfacial orientation of MoS₂/Co₉S₈ bidirectional catalysts with highly exposed active sites for reversible Li-CO₂ batteries, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 120(6) (2023) e2216933120. <u>https://doi.org/doi:10.1073/pnas.2216933120</u>.

[24] B. Chen, D. Wang, B. Zhang, X. Zhong, Y. Liu, J. Sheng, Q. Zhang, X. Zou, G. Zhou, H.-M. Cheng, Engineering the Active Sites of Graphene Catalyst: From CO₂ Activation to Activate Li-CO₂ Batteries, ACS Nano 15(6) (2021) 9841-9850. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.1c00756</u>.

[25] B. Chen, D. Wang, J. Tan, Y. Liu, M. Jiao, B. Liu, N. Zhao, X. Zou, G. Zhou, H.-M. Cheng, Designing Electrophilic and Nucleophilic Dual Centers in the ReS₂ Plane toward Efficient Bifunctional Catalysts for Li-CO₂ Batteries, Journal of the American Chemical Society 144(7) (2022) 3106-3116. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c12096.

[26] Y. Yao, Z. Huang, P. Xie, S.D. Lacey, R.J. Jacob, H. Xie, F. Chen, A. Nie, T. Pu, M. Rehwoldt, D. Yu, M.R. Zachariah, C. Wang, R. Shahbazian-Yassar, J. Li, L. Hu, Carbothermal shock synthesis of high-entropyalloy nanoparticles, Science 359(6383) (2018) 1489-1494. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan5412.

[27] S. Xu, G. Zhong, C. Chen, M. Zhou, D.J. Kline, R.J. Jacob, H. Xie, S. He, Z. Huang, J. Dai, A.H. Brozena, R. Shahbazian-Yassar, M.R. Zachariah, S.M. Anlage, L. Hu, Uniform, Scalable, High-Temperature Microwave Shock for Nanoparticle Synthesis through Defect Engineering, Matter 1(3) (2019) 759-769. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matt.2019.05.022.

[28] Y. Jin, C. Hu, Q. Dai, Y. Xiao, Y. Lin, J.W. Connell, F. Chen, L. Dai, High-Performance Li-CO₂ Batteries Based on Metal-Free Carbon Quantum Dot/Holey Graphene Composite Catalysts, Advanced Functional Materials 28(47) (2018) 1804630. https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201804630.

[29] S. Liu, Y. Shen, Y. Zhang, B. Cui, S. Xi, J. Zhang, L. Xu, S. Zhu, Y. Chen, Y. Deng, W. Hu, Extreme Environmental Thermal Shock Induced Dislocation-Rich Pt Nanoparticles Boosting Hydrogen Evolution Reaction, Advanced Materials 34(2) (2022) 2106973. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202106973.

[30] M.K. Carpenter, T.E. Moylan, R.S. Kukreja, M.H. Atwan, M.M. Tessema, Solvothermal Synthesis of Platinum Alloy Nanoparticles for Oxygen Reduction Electrocatalysis, Journal of the American Chemical Society 134(20) (2012) 8535-8542. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja300756y.

[31] S.M. Geyer, R. Methaapanon, R. Johnson, S. Brennan, M.F. Toney, B. Clemens, S. Bent, Structural evolution of platinum thin films grown by atomic layer deposition, Journal of Applied Physics 116(6) (2014) 064905. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4892104.

[32] J. Zhu, D. Xiao, W. Peng, F. Lan, D. Wan, Influence of Rapid Thermal Processing on the Orientation Properties of PbTiO₃ Thin Film, Crystal Research and Technology 32(3) (1997) 449-453. https://doi.org/10.1002/crat.2170320312.

[33] W. Wang, Z. Wang, M. Yang, C.-J. Zhong, C.-J. Liu, Highly active and stable Pt (111) catalysts synthesized by peptide assisted room temperature electron reduction for oxygen reduction reaction, Nano Energy 25 (2016) 26-33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2016.04.022.

[34] M. Wang, H. Liu, J. Ma, G. Lu, The activity enhancement of photocatalytic water splitting by F- preoccupation on Pt(100) and Pt(111) co-catalyst facets, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 266 (2020) 118647. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2020.118647.

[35] C. Li, N. Clament Sagaya Selvam, J. Fang, Shape-Controlled Synthesis of Platinum-Based Nanocrystals and Their Electrocatalytic Applications in Fuel Cells, Nano-Micro Letters 15(1) (2023) 83. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-023-01060-2.

[36] K. Wang, D. Liu, L. Liu, X. Li, H. Wu, Z. Sun, M. Li, A.S. Vasenko, S. Ding, F. Wang, C. Xiao, Isolated Metalloid Tellurium Atomic Cluster on Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanosheet for High-Capacity Rechargeable Lithium-CO₂ Battery, Advanced Science 10(7) (2023) 2205959. https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202205959.

[37] Q. Deng, Y. Yang, C. Mao, T. Wang, Z. Fang, W. Yan, K. Yin, Y. Zhang, Electronic State Modulation and Reaction Pathway Regulation on Necklace-Like MnO_x-CeO₂@Polypyrrole Hierarchical Cathode for

Advanced and Flexible Li–CO₂ Batteries, Advanced Energy Materials 12(14) (2022) 2103667. https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.202103667.

[38] J. Zhou, T. Wang, L. Chen, L. Liao, Y. Wang, S. Xi, B. Chen, T. Lin, Q. Zhang, C. Ye, X. Zhou, Z. Guan, L. Zhai, Z. He, G. Wang, J. Wang, J. Yu, Y. Ma, P. Lu, Y. Xiong, S. Lu, Y. Chen, B. Wang, C.-S. Lee, J. Cheng, L. Gu, T. Zhao, Z. Fan, Boosting the reaction kinetics in aprotic lithium-carbon dioxide batteries with unconventional phase metal nanomaterials, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 119(40) (2022) e2204666119. <u>https://doi.org/doi:10.1073/pnas.2204666119</u>.

[39] L. Chen, J. Zhou, J. Zhang, G. Qi, B. Wang, J. Cheng, Copper Indium Sulfide Enables Li-CO₂ Batteries with Boosted Reaction Kinetics and Cycling Stability, Energy & Environmental Materials 0 (2022) 1. https://doi.org/10.1002/eem2.12415.

[40] P.-F. Zhang, T. Sheng, Y. Zhou, Y.-J. Wu, C.-C. Xiang, J.-X. Lin, Y.-Y. Li, J.-T. Li, L. Huang, S.-G. Sun, Li-CO₂/O₂ battery operating at ultra-low overpotential and low O₂ content on Pt/CNT catalyst, Chemical Engineering Journal 448 (2022) 137541. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.137541.

[41] Y. Jin, F. Chen, J. Wang, Achieving Low Charge Overpotential in a Li-CO₂ Battery with Bimetallic RuCo Nanoalloy Decorated Carbon Nanofiber Cathodes, ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering 8(7) (2020) 2783-2792. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b06668.

[42] Y. Qiao, J. Yi, S. Wu, Y. Liu, S. Yang, P. He, H. Zhou, Li-CO₂ Electrochemistry: A New Strategy for CO₂ Fixation and Energy Storage, Joule 1(2) (2017) 359-370. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2017.07.001.

[43] X. Zhang, T. Wang, Y. Yang, X. Zhang, Z. Lu, J. Wang, C. Sun, Y. Diao, X. Wang, J. Yao, Breaking the Stable Triangle of Carbonate via W–O Bonds for Li-CO₂ Batteries with Low Polarization, ACS Energy Letters 6(10) (2021) 3503-3510. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.1c01428</u>.

[44] Z.-Z. Shen, Y.-Z. Zhang, C. Zhou, R. Wen, L.-J. Wan, Revealing the Correlations between Morphological Evolution and Surface Reactivity of Catalytic Cathodes in Lithium–Oxygen Batteries, Journal of the American Chemical Society 143(51) (2021) 21604-21612. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c09700</u>.

[45] O. Keisar, Y. Ein-Eli, Y. Alfi, Y. Cohen, Insights into the surface and stress behavior of manganeseoxide catalyst during oxygen reduction reaction, Journal of Power Sources 450 (2020) 227545. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2019.227545.

[46] H. Dykes, Rosy, D. Sharon, M. Noked, Ö. Çapraz, In Situ Stress Measurements on Thin Film Au Positive Electrode during the First Discharge of Li-O₂ Batteries, Journal of The Electrochemical Society 168(11) (2021) 110551. <u>https://doi.org/10.1149/1945-7111/ac3937</u>.

[47] T. Jian, W. Ma, C. Xu, H. Liu, J. Wang, Intermetallic-driven highly reversible electrocatalysis in Li–CO₂ battery over nanoporous Ni₃Al/Ni heterostructure, eScience (2023) 100114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esci.2023.100114.

[48] G. Qi, J. Zhang, L. Chen, B. Wang, J. Cheng, Binder-Free MoN Nanofibers Catalysts for Flexible 2-Electron Oxalate-Based Li-CO₂ Batteries with High Energy Efficiency, Advanced Functional Materials 32(22) (2022) 2112501. https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202112501.

[49] Y. Wang, J. Zhou, C. Lin, B. Chen, Z. Guan, A.M. Ebrahim, G. Qian, C. Ye, L. Chen, Y. Ge, Q. Yun, X.

Wang, X. Zhou, G. Wang, K. Li, P. Lu, Y. Ma, Y. Xiong, T. Wang, L. Zheng, S. Chu, Y. Chen, B. Wang, C.-S. Lee, Y. Liu, Q. Zhang, Z. Fan, Decreasing the Overpotential of Aprotic Li-CO₂ Batteries with the In-Plane Alloy Structure in Ultrathin 2D Ru-Based Nanosheets, Advanced Functional Materials 32(30) (2022) 2202737. https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202202737.

[50] L. Liu, Y. Qin, K. Wang, H. Mao, H. Wu, W. Yu, D. Zhang, H. Zhao, H. Wang, J. Wang, C. Xiao, Y. Su, S. Ding, Rational Design of Nanostructured Metal/C Interface in 3D Self-Supporting Cellulose Carbon Aerogel Facilitating High-Performance Li-CO₂ Batteries, Advanced Energy Materials 12(20) (2022) 2103681. https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.202103681.