

International Journal of Stroke

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Global Stroke Statistics 2023: Availability of reperfusion services around the world

Journal:	*International Journal of Stroke*
Manuscript ID	IJS-09-23-11362.R1
Manuscript Type:	Systematic Review
Date Submitted by the Author:	05-Oct-2023
Complete List of Authors:	Kim, Joosup; Southern Clinical School, Monash University, Department of Medicine, Monash Medical Centre, Stroke and Ageing Research, Department of Medicine; Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health - Austin Campus Olaiya, Muideen; Monash University, Department of Medicine, School of Clinical Sciences at Monash Health De Silva, Deidre; National Neuroscience Institute, Department of Neurology Norrving, Bo; Lund University, Department of Clinical Sciences Bosch, Jackie ; Population Health Research Institute Aguiar de Sousa, Diana; Hospital de Santa Maria, Department of Neurosciences (Neurology); Universidade de Lisboa Faculdade de Medicina, Institute of Anatomy Christensen, Hanne; University of Copenhagen Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences; Bispebjerg Hospital, Department of Neurology Ranta, Anna; University of Otago Faculty of Medicine, Medicine; Wellington Regional Hospital, Neurology Donnan, Geoffrey ; Melbourne Brain Centre at Royal Melbourne Hospital, Department of Neurology; The University of Melbourne Faculty of Medicine Dentistry and Health Sciences Feigin, Valery; AUT University, National Institute for Stroke & Applied Neurosciences Martins, Sheila; Hospital Moinhos de Vento, Neurology Department Schwamm, Lee; Yale University Werring, David; UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, Stroke Research Centre Howard, George; University of Alabama at Birmingham, Biostatistics Owolabi, Mayowa; University of Ibadan, Medicine Pandian, Jeyaraj; Christian Medical College, Stroke Unit, Department of Neurology Mikulik, Robert; St.Anne's University Hospital, Masaryk University, International Clinical Research Center, Neurology Thayabaranathan, Tharshanah; Monash University, Stroke and Ageing Research, Department of Medicine Cadilhac, Dominique; Monash University, Medicine; The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, Stroke: Public health
Keywords:	Stroke, worldwide, Reperfusion, Telemedicine, mobile stroke unit, review, Thrombolysis, endovascular thrombectomy

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Global Stroke Statistics 2023: Availability of reperfusion services around the world

Joosup Kim*[1,2], Muideen T Olaiya*[1], Deidre A De Silva[3], Bo Norrving[4], Jackie Bosch[5], Diana A de Sousa[6], Hanne K Christensen[7], Anna Ranta[8], Geoffrey A Donnan[9], Valery Feigin[10], Sheila Martins[11], Lee H Schwamm[12], David J Werring[13], George Howard[14], Mayowa Owolabi[15], Jeyaraj Pandian[16], Robert Mikulik[17], Tharshanah Thayabaranathan^[1], Dominique A Cadilhac[1,2]

*co-first authors: these authors contributed equally

^co-senior authors: these authors contributed equally

Affiliations

1. Stroke and Ageing Research, Department of Medicine, School of Clinical Sciences at Monash Health, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia
2. The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, Heidelberg, Victoria Australia
3. Department of Neurology, Singapore General Hospital Campus, National Neuroscience, Institute, Singapore
4. Department of Clinical Sciences, Section of Neurology, Lund University, Skåne University Hospital, Lund, Sweden
5. Hamilton Health Sciences, Population Health Research Institute, Ontario, Canada
6. Department of Neurosciences (Neurology), University of Lisbon, Portugal
7. Department of Neurology, University of Copenhagen and Bispebjerg Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark,
8. Department of Medicine, University of Otago Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand
9. Melbourne Brain Centre, University of Melbourne, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Parkville, Victoria, Australia

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

10. National Institute for Stroke and Applied Neurosciences, Auckland University of Technology, Auckland, New Zealand
11. Neurology Department, Hospital Moinhos de Vento, Porto Alegre, Brazil
12. Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America
13. Stroke Research Centre, Department of Brain Repair and Rehabilitation, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, United Kingdom
14. Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, USA
15. Center for Genomic and Precision Medicine, University of Ibadan, Nigeria
16. Department of Neurology, Christian Medical College and Hospital, Ludhiana, India
17. Health Management Institute, Brno, Czech Republic and Neurology Department, Bata Hospital, Zlin, Czech Republic

Author for correspondence: Professor Dominique A Cadilhac

Address: Clinical Sciences at Monash Health, Stroke and Ageing Research Group, Level 2, Victorian Heart Hospital, Monash University, Clayton VIC 3168, Australia

Email: dominique.cadilhac@monash.edu

Twitter: @Tharshanah T @DominiqueCad

Seven key words: stroke, worldwide, reperfusion, telemedicine, mobile stroke unit

Word count:

Total Words: 10136 (5473 words excluding references which is 4663 words)

Abstract only words: 309/500

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Conflicts of interest: none declared.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Abstract

Background: Disparities in the availability of reperfusion services for acute ischaemic stroke are considerable globally, and require urgent attention. Contemporary data on the availability of reperfusion services in different countries provide the necessary evidence to prioritise where access to acute stroke treatment is needed.

Aims: To provide a snapshot of published literature on the provision of reperfusion services globally, including when facilitated by telemedicine or mobile stroke unit services.

Methods: We searched PubMed to identify original papers, published up to January 2023, with the most recent, representative and relevant data for each country. Keywords included thrombolysis and telemedicine. We also screened reference lists of review papers, citation history of papers, and the grey literature. The information is provided as a narrative summary.

Results: Of 11,222 potentially eligible papers retrieved, 148 were included for review following de-duplications and full text review. Data were also obtained from national stroke clinical registry reports, Registry of Stroke Care Quality (RES-Q) and Pre-hospital Stroke Treatment Organization (PRESTO) repositories, and other national sources. Overall, we found evidence of the provision of intravenous thrombolysis services in 70 countries (63% high-income countries (HICs)) and endovascular thrombectomy services in 33 countries (68% HICs), corresponding to far less than half of the countries in the world. Recent data (from 2019 or later) were lacking for 35 of 67 countries with known year of data (52%). We found published data on 74 different stroke telemedicine programs (93% in HICs) and 14 active mobile stroke unit pre-hospital ambulances services (80% in HICs) around the world.

Conclusion: Despite remarkable advancements in reperfusion therapies for stroke, it is evident from available data that their availability remains unevenly distributed globally. Contemporary published data on availability of reperfusion services remain scarce, even in HICs, thereby

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

making it difficult to reliably ascertain current gaps in the provision of this vital acute stroke treatment around the world.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Introduction

Stroke is a complex and time-sensitive medical emergency. To improve outcomes following stroke, there is a need for systems of acute care that will optimise timely access to evidence-based therapies,[1] including the provision of reperfusion treatments, i.e. intravenous thrombolysis or endovascular thrombectomy, for patients with ischaemic stroke. Reperfusion services are provided by interdisciplinary stroke units in hospitals often designated as a comprehensive or primary stroke centre.[1] Where stroke centres are unavailable, telemedicine networks can be used to coordinate the provision of reperfusion therapies with specialist investigations and interventions in stroke-capable hospitals often situated in non-urban locations.[1] Additionally, with the advent of mobile stroke unit (MSU) pre-hospital ambulance services, reperfusion therapies are now also provided in the pre-hospital setting.

Due to the increasing burden of stroke globally, the World Stroke Organization (WSO) is leading a global call to action to increase access to reperfusion services for acute stroke.[2] Availability of country-level data on the provision of reperfusion services is important for guiding national healthcare planning and policy, or funding/resource allocation decisions, to improve outcomes after stroke. We have previously reported stroke statistics (incidence, case-fatality and mortality) by country,[3-6] described the global access to stroke units, and the use of national clinical quality registries for routine monitoring of the quality of acute stroke care.[3, 4] In this new Global Stroke Statistics article, we present an overview of the provision of reperfusion services (i.e., intravenous thrombolysis and endovascular thrombectomy) for treating acute ischaemic stroke. Specifically, we provided a repository of the latest published country-specific data on the provision of reperfusion services, either directly or as facilitated by telemedicine or MSU ambulance services.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Methods

We undertook a comprehensive search of PubMed for peer-reviewed literature on the provision of thrombolytic therapy (intravenous thrombolysis), endovascular thrombectomy, stroke telemedicine services, or MSU pre-hospital ambulances services, for acute stroke care, using the search terms described previously.[3] Original peer-reviewed articles and review articles published in English as of January 2023 were identified. The most recent report from national clinical stroke registries were also identified, since these reports comprised a standardized overview of country-specific acute stroke care treatments.[6] We included articles in which nationally representative patient-level data on the provision of reperfusion were reported. Where multiple studies from one country were identified, data were extracted from the most recent and nationally representative source only. For countries in which nationally representative patient-level data were not available, we included patient-level data from regional or single centre studies.

Three authors (JK, MTO, TT) undertook the (a) screening of articles by title and abstract; (b) initial review of articles to confirm eligibility; (c) development of templates for data extraction; and (d) extraction of relevant data from included articles or reports. Templates used for data extraction were reviewed by a senior author (DAC), and approved by all authors. Co-authors scrutinized the list of identified articles and reports to assess whether relevant data were missing, and contributed any additional relevant original peer-reviewed articles or recent registry reports that were missed in the initial search. Co-authors from Sweden, Denmark, Canada, and Singapore provided unpublished, but verified, latest data from relevant national stroke clinical registries. For the availability of MSUs, we compared published articles with information available in the Pre-hospital Stroke Treatment Organization (PRESTO) website.[7]

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Data extracted included: (i) the availability of intravenous thrombolysis services in a country; (ii) proportions of patients with ischaemic stroke provided with intravenous thrombolysis, and of hospitals providing intravenous thrombolysis, in countries where such services were reported to be available; (iii) the availability of endovascular thrombectomy services in a country; (iv) proportions of patients with ischaemic stroke provided with endovascular thrombectomy, and of hospitals providing endovascular thrombectomy, in countries where such services were reported to be available; (v) availability of stroke telemedicine programs, including the name, location (city and country), and model/composition (number of hospitals, hubs and spokes) of such programs; and (vi) availability of MSU pre-hospital ambulance services, including the location (city and country).

Results

Overall, 11,222 publications were identified from electronic databases, and four published articles and one report recommended by a co-authors DADS and JB respectively. Data were also obtained from reports from national stroke clinical quality registries of 9 countries, the PRESTO, and the Registry of Stroke Care Quality (RESCQ) repositories, and nine other sources. Overall, 148 records with data from 70 countries met our inclusion criteria (Figure 1). Data were mostly from the regions of Western Europe (25.7%), Central Europe (12.9%), Southeast Asia (10.0%) and North Africa and the Middle East (10.0%; Table 1). Data from 26 countries (37.1%) were nationally representative, including 17 from national registry sources, and nine from other sources (Table 1). For 35/67 countries in which the year of data is known (52.2%), the latest data reported were from 2019 onwards.

Provision of acute stroke reperfusion services

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

There was evidence on the availability of intravenous thrombolysis services in all the 70 countries with data on reperfusion services (Table 1), including 44 high-income countries (HICs; 62.9%), 14 upper middle-income countries (20.0%), 10 lower middle-income countries (14.3%), and two low-income countries (i.e. Nepal, Democratic Republic of the Congo; 2.9%). We found evidence on availability of endovascular thrombectomy services in 33 countries (Table 1), including 22 HICs (67.7%), 8 upper middle-income countries (24.2%) and three from lower middle-income countries (i.e. Egypt, India, Vietnam; 9.1%). There was no evidence of availability of endovascular thrombectomy services in any of the low-income countries with published data on intravenous thrombolysis services. Approximately half of the countries with evidence of endovascular thrombectomy services were in Western Europe (10 countries) or Central Europe (5 countries; Figure 2).

We identified 14 countries with nationally representative and recent (from 2019 onwards) data on the proportion of patients with ischaemic stroke provided with reperfusion services. This included 12 countries with information on both intravenous thrombolysis and endovascular thrombectomy, and two countries (Scotland and Barbados) with information on intravenous thrombolysis only. Among countries with nationally representative data, the proportion of patients with ischaemic stroke treated with thrombolysis ranged from <10% (Singapore, China, France, Barbados), 10-14% (United Kingdom, Australia, New Zealand, Sweden), and ≥15% (Czech Republic, Scotland, Denmark, Italy, Slovakia, Switzerland; Table 1). This proportion ranged from <5% (Singapore, United Kingdom, China), 5-9% (Denmark, Czech Republic, New Zealand, Sweden, France, Italy), and >10% (Australia, Slovakia, Switzerland) for the provision of endovascular thrombectomy.

Availability of stroke telemedicine services

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

We identified 74 stroke telemedicine programs, with 69 programs located in HICs (Table 2). Majority of the stroke telemedicine programs identified were located in the United States (n=39; 52.7%; Figure 3). Other countries with multiple programs included Germany (n=7), Spain (n=4), Australia (n=3), France (n=3), Italy (n=3), and India (n=2). Only one program was identified in Hong Kong, Laos, New Zealand, Norway, Scotland, Singapore, Taiwan, Thailand and England. Among 68 programs (91.9%) in which the model for the telemedicine program was reported, 56 (82.4%) operated with one central service (hub) providing telemedicine support to multiple spoke hospitals (i.e. 'hub and spoke' model). There were three single-centre programs, i.e. Aachen (Germany), Hallingdal (Norway), Porto Alegre (Brazil), and one program in the East of England described as a horizontal hubless model (n=7 hospitals). We identified only three stroke telemedicine programs, described to have nationwide coverage, i.e. providing services across the country (all hospital networks and based in the United States), including the Veterans Affairs National Telestroke Program (n=40 hospitals), TelaDoc Health (n=132 hospitals), and the Telespecialist company (n=171 hospitals). The largest identified stroke telemedicine program outside of the United States was based in India (100 hospitals: 22 hubs and 78 spokes).

Availability of mobile stroke unit pre-hospital ambulance services

We identified 16 MSUs in the literature, 14 of which were already listed in the PRESTO repository of active MSUs. We found one MSU in Homburg (Germany) that appears to be inactive (https://www.mobile-stroke-unit.org/), and another in Tennessee, United States, in implementation phase.[8] In the PRESTO registry, there were 30 active MSU services as of August 2023. Twenty-four of these active MSUs (80%) were located in HICs, 19 of which were located in the United States alone. Other MSU programs present in the American region included those located in Edmonton (Canada), Brasilia (Brazil) and Buenos Aires (Argentina). Four MSUs were present in Europe, all located in Western Europe, including in Berlin

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

(Germany), Hamburg (Germany), Drobak (Norway), and Ipswich (England). Similarly, four MSUs were present in South East Asia, located in Assam (India), Coimbatore (India), Bangkok (Thailand), and Sichuan (China). There was one MSU present in Imo (Nigeria) and Melbourne (Australia).

Discussion

In this paper, we presented a repository of the latest published country-specific data on the availability of reperfusion services directly within hospitals, and those facilitated via telemedicine or MSU services. We described the worldwide coverage of reperfusion services, and highlighted regions where data are limited or not recent.

The information presented likely illustrates the disparities in access to reperfusion services for acute stroke around the world. Currently, the WSO is leading a call to action for increasing access to thrombectomy provision as a game-changing treatment for stroke. The policy objectives of the Stroke Action Plan for Europe also include targets by 2030 for guaranteeing access to reperfusion services to 95% of eligible patients, and achieving intravenous thrombolysis rates above 15% and endovascular therapy above 5% in all European countries.[2] Therefore, the data reported in this review are necessary for underpinning these objectives and targets, commensurate with investments in workforce and system improvements that are required to ensure equitable access to reperfusion services.

Telemedicine services facilitate access to intravenous thrombolysis, especially in more remote areas or smaller hospitals, with this service requiring a robust technical set up, both at the hub and spoke hospitals. Targets to provide all people within a country access to acute stroke reperfusion services may be ambitious. For example in France, a national strategy to have a stroke telemedicine service that began in 2011 is still in progress.[9] However, the required investments are economical compared to other solutions (e.g. MSUs or stroke air

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

ambulances).[10, 11] Additionally, stroke telemedicine service is used in many regions but nationwide approaches are rare, and solutions are often regionally-based and not standardised. Significant progress has been made in the United States (2019), where it was estimated that 96% of the population had access to a stroke center or stroke telemedicine service.[12] Also, there is evidence from Germany that wider adoption of stroke telemedicine service is feasible and safe, with 38,895 consultations successfully undertaken across 14 telemedicine networks in nine German states.[13]

The availability and extent of MSU services varied widely within each country, and implementation was often limited to specific regions or cities. MSUs were more common in the United States, with several cities successfully integrating MSUs into their emergency response systems. Investments to establish MSUs are considerable, including costs of procuring equipment, training, and integrating into existing healthcare systems. However, the benefits offset the upfront investment with reductions in time to diagnosis and earlier provision of time-critical treatments, especially in settings requiring extensive time to travel to hospital.[14]

An important finding from this review is that contemporary data on availability of reperfusion services remain scarce, even in HICs. Based on published data, intravenous thrombolysis and endovascular thrombectomy services were notably absent in low-income countries. Potential barriers to providing reperfusion services in these countries include lack of necessary infrastructure, equipment and trained personnel, and high costs associated with procuring reperfusion drugs/devices. For countries with no data available on provision of reperfusion services, it is difficult to ascertain current gaps in this important aspect of stroke in these countries. We also found huge variations in access to reperfusion services in HICs, which underlines the need for investment in robust stroke care services and infrastructure globally.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

An important source of recent standardised data comes from national stroke clinical registries. To allow for reliable comparison of performance in stroke care (e.g. provision of intravenous thrombolysis) within and between countries over time, the Action Plan for Stroke in Europe has recently established a tool (Stroke Service Tracker) presenting Stroke data in European countries on an annual basis.[15] This initiative also supports development of reliable stroke registries in European countries. Establishment of such tools in other regions could facilitate collection of data essential for reliably monitoring the provision of reperfusion services, globally.

There are also current initiatives to map the availability of reperfusion services in certain regions. In Europe, although there was an increase in reperfusion therapy rates in many countries between 2016 and 2019 (based on expert opinion), this was halted in 2020.[16] The authors highlighted the ongoing inequalities in acute stroke treatment across Europe.[16] Similarly, there is variability in the standard of acute stroke care reported in Latin America, with reperfusion services reported to be available for a small proportion of the population in several countries.[17, 18] In addition, efforts to map reperfusion services are being conducted in South East Asia (personal communication with DADS).

Much can be learned from countries identified in this report that represent similar socio-demographic and economic profiles. International collaborations and partnerships between HICs, and lower middle-income or low-income countries could promote knowledge transfer, training opportunities, and resource sharing. One such initiative is the international stroke telemedicine service. This new model of care appears feasible and has the potential to improve access to specialist care, and timely reperfusion services, in regions (e.g. lower middle-income and low income countries) where stroke specialist input is limited.[19, 20] These alliances may build local capacity, improve infrastructure, and enhance stroke care networks.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

There are some limitations of this review. For nearly half of the countries included in the review, the most recent data on the availability of intravenous thrombolysis, endovascular thrombectomy, and stroke telemedicine services were from 2019 or earlier. We acknowledge there may be delays in publishing recent data that may have impeded our ability to know with certainty the current gaps in these aspects of stroke care, and our findings may not fully represent the current state of stroke care services in all countries. Indeed, there is evidence from surveys of national organisations that reperfusion services are available in more countries than identified in this review.[16-18] Our findings rely on published patient-level data, and there may be bias in favour of countries with more research infrastructure or motivation to publish data on stroke services. Where possible, data from publications were supplemented by unpublished and verified data available from co-authors or trusted websites (e.g. PRESTO, RES-Q repositories) which are routinely updated. Also, some countries may have made significant strides in acute stroke care that have not yet been published or are published in languages other than English. The quality and reliability of data may also vary across different countries and sources. To keep the repository up-to-date, and to assist us in updating this review, we encourage readers to submit any relevant unpublished country-specific data on reperfusion services to the corresponding author.

Conclusion

Despite remarkable advancements in reperfusion therapies for stroke, it is evident from published data that their availability and provision remain unevenly distributed globally. Bridging this treatment gap requires a multi-faceted approach, including advocacy on the importance of reperfusion therapies to drive policy changes and secure additional funding for stroke programs, investment in infrastructure, workforce training, awareness campaigns, and collaborative efforts. By providing an updated repository of the latest country-specific data on stroke care services, this review can inform future policy and funding decisions aimed at

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

improving access to evidence-based stroke care worldwide, and can inform organisations, such as the WSO, to advocate for gaps in coverage to be addressed. By prioritizing stroke care as a global health priority, we can strive towards equitable access to reperfusion therapies and improve stroke outcomes worldwide.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Acknowledgements

The analyses and interpretations of the data are of the authors alone.

Authors' contributions

JK, MTO, TT, contributed to the design, undertook literature search, data collection, data analyses and interpretation, wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and revised the manuscript. DAC contributed to the design, data interpretation, wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and revised the manuscript. All authors interpreted the data, provided additional data reports as relevant, revised and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

DAC reports Research Fellowship support from the National Health and Medical Research Council (1154273).

ORCID IDs

Joosup Kim: 0000-0002-4079-0428

Muideen T Olaiya: 0000-0002-4070-0533

Deidre A De Silva: 0000-0002-7123-2381

Bo Norrving: 0000-0002-8024-5096

Jackie Bosch: 0000-0001-6292-4207

Diana A de Sousa: 0000-0002-6702-7924

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Hanne K Christensen: 0000-0002-7472-3194

Anna Ranta: 0000-0002-3223-3330

Geoffrey A Donnan: 0000-0001-6324-3403

Valery Feigin: 0000-0002-6372-1740

Sheila Martins: 0000-0002-8452-712X

Lee H Schwamm: 0000-0003-0592-9145

David Werring: 0000-0002-2074-1861

George Howard: 0000-0002-3068-5720

Mayowa Owolabi: 0000-0003-1146-3070

Jeyaraj Pandian: 0000-0003-0028-196

Robert Mikulik: 0000-0002-7458-5166

Tharshanah Thayabaranathan: 0000-0003-2504-7772

Dominique A Cadilhac: 0000-0001-8162-682X

Supplemental materials

None

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

References

1. Langhorne P, Audebert HJ, Cadilhac DA, et al. Stroke systems of care in high-income countries: what is optimal? *Lancet.* 2020;396(10260):1433-42.
2. Norrving B, Barrick J, Davalos A, et al. Action Plan for Stroke in Europe 2018-2030. *Eur Stroke J*. 2018;3(4):309-36.
3. Kim J, Thayabaranathan T, Donnan GA, et al. Global Stroke Statistics 2019. *Int J Stroke*. 2020;15(8):819-38.
4. Thayabaranathan T, Kim J, Cadilhac DA, et al. Global stroke statistics 2022. *Int J Stroke*. 2022;17(9):946-56.
5. Thrift AG, Cadilhac DA, Thayabaranathan T, et al. Global stroke statistics. *Int J Stroke*. 2014;9(1):6-18.
6. Thrift AG, Thayabaranathan T, Howard G, et al. Global stroke statistics. *Int J Stroke*. 2017;12(1):13-32.
7. The PRE-hospital Stroke Treatment Organization (PRESTO). (https://www.prestomsu.org/i4a/pages/index.cm?pageid=1) (accessed January 2023).
8. Alexandrov AW, Arthur AS, Bryndziar T, et al. High-resolution CT with arch/neck/head CT angiography on a mobile stroke unit. *J Neurointerv Surg*. 2022;14(6):623-7.
9. Ohannessian R, Schott AM, Colin C, et al. Acute telestroke in France: A systematic review. *Rev. Neurol*. 2020;176(5):316-24.
10. Klaus F, Fatma M, Martin L, et al. Impact of mobile stroke units. J *Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2021;92(8):815.
11. Walter S, Zhao H, Easton D, et al. Air-Mobile Stroke Unit for access to stroke treatment in rural regions. *Int J Stroke*. 2018;13(6):568-75.
12. Zachrison KS, Cash RE, Adeoye O, et al. Estimated Population Access to Acute Stroke and Telestroke Centers in the US, 2019. *JAMA Network Open*. 2022;5(2):e2145824-e
13. Vollmuth C, Miljukov O, Abu-Mugheisib M, et al. Impact of the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic on stroke teleconsultations in Germany in the first half of 2020. *Eur. Neurol.* 2021;28(10):3267-78.
14. Chen J, Lin X, Cai Y, et al. A Systematic Review of Mobile Stroke Unit Among Acute Stroke Patients: Time Metrics, Adverse Events, Functional Result and Cost-Effectiveness. *Front Neurol*. 2022;13:803162.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

15. Stroke Service Tracker (https://actionplan.eso-stroke.org/stroke-service-tracker-2) (accessed July 2023).

16. Aguiar de Sousa D, Wilkie A, Norrving B, et al. Delivery of acute ischaemic stroke treatments in the European region in 2019 and 2020. *Eur. Stroke J*. 2023:23969873231186042.

17. Ouriques Martins SC, Sacks C, Hacke W, et al. Priorities to reduce the burden of stroke in Latin American countries. *Lancet Neurol*. 2019;18(7):674-83.

18. Martins SCO, Lavados P, Secchi TL, et al. Fighting Against Stroke in Latin America: A Joint Effort of Medical Professional Societies and Governments. *Front Neurol*. 2021;12.

19. Rama A, Whitehead M, Gunawardana C, et al. International Telestroke: The First Five Cases. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2016;25(4):e44-5.

20. Chutinet A, Keosodsay S, Vorasayan P, et al. The First 10 Thrombolysis for Acute Ischemic Stroke in Lao People's Democratic Republic under Teleconsultation from Thailand. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2019;28(11):104327.

21. Cadilhac DA, Dalli LL, Morrison J, et al. The Australian Stroke Clinical Registry Annual Report 2021. The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, Report No. 14, 66 pages. doi.org/10.26188/21753812. 2022

22. Cardiovascular Disease in Barbados: Annual Report 2022 [Available from: http://www.bnr.org.bb/cms/home/37-home/91-other-annual-reports.

23. Tsalta-Mladenov M, Andonova S. Health-related quality of life after ischemic stroke: impact of sociodemographic and clinical factors. *Neurol Res*. 2021;43(7):553-61.

24. Vuletić V, Dikanović M, Lezaić Z, et al. Are we ready for intravenous thrombolysis in acute stroke treatment in our region? *Acta Clin Croat*. 2011;50(2):145-8.

25. Sedova P, Brown RD, Jr., Bryndziar T, et al. Treat COVID-19, but Not Only COVID-19: Stroke Matters as Well. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2022;51(1):52-9.

26. Folyovich A, Biczó D, Jarecsny T, et al. Daylight saving time and the incidence of thrombolysis to treat acute ischemic stroke. *Rev Neurol*. 2020;176(5):361-5.

27. Maluchnik M, Ryglewicz D, Sienkiewicz-Jarosz H, et al. Differences in acute ischaemic stroke care in Poland: analysis of claims database of National Health Fund in 2017. *Neurol Neurochir Pol*. 2020;54(5):449-55.

28. Uivarosan D, Bungau S, Tit DM, et al. Financial Burden of Stroke Reflected in a Pilot Center for the Implementation of Thrombolysis. *Medicina*. 2020;56(2).

29. Ždraljević M, Pekmezović T, Stanarčević P, et al. Influence of Thrombocytopenia on the Outcome of Mechanical Thrombectomy in Patients with Acute Ischemic Stroke. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2022;31(2):106240.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

30. Gdovinová Z, Vitková M, Baráková A, et al. The impact of the COVID-19 outbreak on acute stroke care in Slovakia: Data from across the country. *Eur J Neurol*. 2021;28(10):3263-6.

31. Jeromel M, Miloševicˇ Z, Zaletel M, et al. Endovascular therapy for acute stroke is a safe and efficient evolving method: a single-center retrospective analysis. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2015;26(7):1025-30.

32. Zhao J, Li H, Kung D, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 Epidemic on Stroke Care and Potential Solutions. *Stroke*. 2020;51(7):1996-2001.

33. Hsieh C-Y, Chen C-H, Chen Y-C, et al. National Survey of Thrombolytic Therapy for Acute Ischaemic Stroke in Taiwan 2003-2010. *J. Stroke Cerebrovasc. Dis*. 2013;22(8):e620-e7.

34. Smajlović D, Salihović D, Avdić L, et al. Intravenous thrombolytic therapy for acute ischemic stroke in Tuzla Canton, Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Int J Stroke*. 2013;8(7):E48.

35. Gross-Paju K, Thomson U, Adlas R, et al. Implementation of the Helsinki Model at West Tallinn Central Hospital. *Medicina*. 2022;58(9).

36. Katsarava Z, Akhvlediani T, Janelidze T, et al. Establishing Stroke Services in the Republic of Georgia. *Eur Neurol*. 2022;85(1):56-64.

37. Karelis G, Micule M, Klavina E, et al. The Riga East University Hospital Stroke Registry-An Analysis of 4915 Consecutive Patients with Acute Stroke. *Medicina*. 2021;57(6).

38. Jucevičiūtė N, Mikužis P, Balnytė R. Absolute blood eosinophil count could be a potential biomarker for predicting haemorrhagic transformation after intravenous thrombolysis for acute ischaemic stroke. *BMC Neurol*. 2019;19(1):127.

39. Toyoda K, Yoshimura S, Nakai M, et al. Twenty-Year Change in Severity and Outcome of Ischemic and Hemorrhagic Strokes. *JAMA Neurol*. 2022;79(1):61-9.

40. Kim JY, Kang K, Kang J, et al. Executive Summary of Stroke Statistics in Korea 2018: A Report from the Epidemiology Research Council of the Korean Stroke Society. Korean Stroke Society. http://www.stroke.or.kr/bbs/code=epidemic1. (2018. Accessed April, 2023).

41. Pardhan A, Sharp S, Yearwood K, et al. Ontario and Local Health Integration Network (LHIN) 2017/18 Stroke Report Cards and Progress Reports. *Corhealth*. 2019.

42. Asaithambi G, Tong X, Lakshminarayan K, et al. Current trends in the acute treatment of ischemic stroke: analysis from the Paul Coverdell National Acute Stroke Program. *J Neurointerv Surg*. 2020;12(6):574-8.

43. Alet M, Lucci FR, Ameriso S. Mechanical thrombectomy for reperfusion of acute ischemic stroke in a Stroke Unit in Argentina. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr*. 2020;78(1):39-43.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

44. Rosário CFD, Fernandes Neto WG, Pessotti AL, et al. Epidemiological analysis of stroke patients with emphasis on access to acute-phase therapies. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr*. 2022;80(2):117-24.

45. Brunser AM, Mazzon E, Cavada G, et al. Low dosis of alteplase, for ischemic stroke after Enchanted and its determinants, a single center experience. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr*. 2020;78(11):681-6.

46. Gil-Rojas Y, Lasalvia P. Budgetary impact analysis of alteplase - recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rtPA) - as a thrombolytic treatment for acute ischemic stroke in Colombia. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res*. 2022;22(7):1095-102.

47. González-Aquines A, Cordero-Pérez AC, Cristobal-Niño M, et al. Contribution of Onset-to-Alarm Time to Prehospital Delay in Patients with Ischemic Stroke. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2019;28(11):104331.

48. Abanto C, Valencia A, Calle P, et al. Challenges of Thrombolysis in a Developing Country: Characteristics and Outcomes in Peru. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2020;29(7):104819.

49. Zakaria MF, Aref H, Abd ElNasser A, et al. Egyptian experience in increasing utilization of reperfusion therapies in acute ischemic stroke. *Int J Stroke*. 2018;13(5):525-9.

50. Aref H, Zakaria M, Shokri H, et al. Changing the Landscape of Stroke in Egypt. *Cerebrovasc Dis Extra*. 2021;11(3):155-9.

51. Khazaei M, Davoodian A, Taheri M, et al. Former antiplatelet drug administration and consequences of intravenous thrombolysis in acute ischemic stroke. *Hum Antibodies*. 2020;28(1):53-6.

52. Acherqui M, Khattab H, Habtany Y, et al. Assessment of eligibility for thrombolysis in acute ischaemic stroke patients in Morocco. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2020;36:351.

53. Al Harthi HA, Al Kashmiri A, Zakaryia LM, et al. Clinical Profile of Stroke Patients Presenting to the Emergency Department of a Major Stroke Centre in Oman. *Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J*. 2022;22(1):91-7.

54. Al Khathaami AM, Al Bdah B, Tarawneh M, et al. Utilization of Intravenous Tissue Plasminogen Activator and Reasons for Nonuse in Acute Ischemic Stroke in Saudi Arabia. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2020;29(5):104761.

55. Kömürcü HF, Gözke E, Doğan Ak P, et al. Changes in neutrophil, lymphocyte, platelet ratios and their relationship with NIHSS after rtPA and/or thrombectomy in ischemic stroke. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2020;29(8):105004.

56. Hasan A, Das SC, Islam MS, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on hospital admission of acute stroke patients in Bangladesh. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(1):e0240385.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

57. Mathew T, John SK, Sarma G, et al. COVID-19-related strokes are associated with increased mortality and morbidity: A multicenter comparative study from Bengaluru, South India. *Int J Stroke*. 2021;16(4):429-36.

58. Nepal G, Yadav JK, Basnet B, et al. Status of prehospital delay and intravenous thrombolysis in the management of acute ischemic stroke in Nepal. *BMC Neurol*. 2019;19(1):155.

59. Zaman Babar MU, Khan AZ, Hakim H, et al. Utilization and outcomes with low dose tissue plasminogen activator as intravenous thrombolytic therapy for ischaemic stroke at Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi: a retrospective analysis. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2019;69(1):170-10.

60. Mesiano T, Kurniawan M, Saputri KM, et al. Endovascular Treatment in Acute Ischemic Stroke Adoption and Practice: A Single-Center Indonesian Experience. *Cerebrovasc. Dis. Extra*. 2021;11(2):72-6.

61. Aziz ZA, NN. S. Annual Report of the Malaysian stroke registry 2009-2016. Kuala Terengganu: Clinical Research Centre. 2017.

62. Navarro JC, San Jose MC, Collantes E, et al. Stroke thrombolysis in the Philippines. *Neurol. Asia*. 2018;23(2):115-20.

63. Herath H, Rodrigo C, Alahakoon A, et al. Outcomes of stroke patients undergoing thrombolysis in Sri Lanka; an observational prospective study from a low-middle income country. *BMC Neurol*. 2021;21(1):434.

64. Tiamkao S, Ienghong K, Cheung LW, et al. Stroke Incidence, Rate of Thrombolytic Therapy, Mortality in Thailand from 2009 to 2021. *Open Access Maced. J. Med. Sci*. 2022;10(E):110-5.

65. Mai DT, Dao XC, Luong NK, et al. Current State of Stroke Care in Vietnam. *Stroke vasc. interv. neurol*. 2022;2(2):e000331.

66. Ohnmar, Kyaaw M S, Kyaw KMPP, et al. The pattern and burden of neurological disorders: A systemic review of Neurology Department, Yangon General Hospital, Myanmar. *Neurol. Asia*. 2020;25(4):555-61.

67. Venketasubramanian N, Khine YM, Ohnmar O, et al. Burden of Stroke in Myanmar. *Cerebrovasc Dis Extra*. 2021;11(2):49-51.

68. Arabambi B, Oshinaike O, Ogun SA, et al. Stroke units in Nigeria: a report from a nationwide organizational cross-sectional survey. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2022;42:140.

69. Mandizvidza V, London L, Bryer A. Shortfall in stroke care: A study of ischaemic stroke care practices in a South African metropole. *S Afr Med J*. 2021;111(3):215-9.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

70. Marko M, Posekany A, Szabo S, et al. Trends of r-tPA (Recombinant Tissue-Type Plasminogen Activator) Treatment and Treatment-Influencing Factors in Acute Ischemic Stroke. *Stroke*. 2020;51(4):1240-7.

71. Vanhoucke J, Hemelsoet D, Achten E, et al. Impact of a code stroke protocol on the door-to-needle time for IV thrombolysis: a feasibility study. *Acta Clin Belg*. 2020;75(4):267-74.

72. Varjoranta T, Raatiniemi L, Majamaa K, et al. Prehospital and hospital delays for stroke patients treated with thrombolysis: A retrospective study from mixed rural-urban area in Northern Finland. *Australas Emerg Care*. 2019;22(2):76-80.

73. Oriá V, Grave C, Tuppin P, et al. Patients Hospitalized for Ischemic Stroke and Intracerebral Hemorrhage in France: Time Trends (2008-2019), In-Hospital Outcomes, Age and Sex Differences. *J Clin Med*. 2022;11(6).

74. Weber R, Krogias C, Eyding J, et al. Age and Sex Differences in Ischemic Stroke Treatment in a Nationwide Analysis of 1.11 Million Hospitalized Cases. *Stroke*. 2019;50(12):3494-502.

75. Siskou O, Korompoki E, Nikaiss C, et al. Access of Stroke Patients' to Optimal Healthcare Technology in Greece: Messages to Policy Makers. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2020;272:421-4.

76. Griffin E, Murphy S, Sheehan M, et al. Early reperfusion post-thrombectomy: a model of care which maximises the capacity of a stroke network to treat patients with large vessel ischaemic stroke. *J Neurointerv Surg*. 2020;12(12):1166-71.

77. Gur AY, Shopin L, Bornstein NM. Lessons learned from 2 years experience in intravenous thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke in a single tertiary medical center. *Isr Med Assoc J*. 2009;11(12):714-6, 8.

78. Manganotti P, Naccarato M, Scali I, et al. Stroke management during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic: experience from three regions of the north east of Italy (Veneto, Friuli-Venezia-Giulia, Trentino-Alto-Adige). *Neurol Sci*. 2021;42(11):4599-606.

79. Kuhrij LS, Wouters MWJM, van den Berg-Vos RM, et al. The Dutch Acute Stroke Audit: Benchmarking acute stroke care in the Netherlands. *Eur. Stroke J*. 2018;3(4):361-8.

80. Varmdal T, Indredavik B, Phan A, et al. [Stroke in Norway 2015–16 – treatment and outcomes]. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen*. 2020;140(2).

81. Sobral S, Taveira I, Seixas R, et al. Late Hospital Arrival for Thrombolysis after Stroke in Southern Portugal: Who Is at Risk? *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2019;28(4):900-5.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

82. Rosa JL, Alves M, Ferreira P, et al. Previous Disability and Benefit of Acute Phase Therapy in Functional Prognosis of Selected Patients with Ischemic Stroke. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2022;31(1):106183.

83. Scottish Stroke Improvement Programme 2022: national report. A Management Information release for Scotland. 2022.

84. Tejada Meza H, Lambea Gil Á, Saldaña AS, et al. Impact of COVID-19 outbreak on ischemic stroke admissions and in-hospital mortality in North-West Spain. *Int J Stroke*. 2020;15(7):755-62.

85. De Marchis GM, Wright PR, Michel P, et al. Association of the COVID-19 outbreak with acute stroke care in Switzerland. *Eur J Neurol*. 2022;29(3):724-31.

86. The road to recovery: Sentinel Stroke National Audit Programme annual report 2022 (accessed 10 November 2022).

87. Demeestere J, Sewell C, Rudd J, et al. The establishment of a telestroke service using multimodal CT imaging decision assistance: "Turning on the fog lights". *J. Clin. Neurosci*. 2017;37:1-5.

88. Bladin CF, Kim J, Bagot KL, et al. Improving acute stroke care in regional hospitals: clinical evaluation of the Victorian Stroke Telemedicine program. *Med J Aust*. 2020;212(8):371-7.

89. Nagao KJ, Koschel A, Haines HM, et al. Rural Victorian Telestroke project. *J. Intern. Med*. 2012;42(10):1088-95.

90. Mahawish K, Gommans J, Kleinig T, et al. Switching to Tenecteplase for Stroke Thrombolysis: Real-World Experience and Outcomes in a Regional Stroke Network. *Stroke*. 2021;52(10):e590-e3.

91. Lin CH, Lee KW, Chen TC, et al. Quality and safety of Telemedicine in acute ischemic stroke: Early experience in Taiwan. *J Formos Med Assoc*. 2022;121(1 Pt 2):314-8.

92. Fong WC, Ismail M, Lo JWT, et al. Telephone and Teleradiology Guided Thrombolysis Can Achieve Similar Outcome as Thrombolysis by Neurologist On-site. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2015;24(6):1223-8.

93. Mong R, Tiah L, Wong M, et al. Improving telestroke treatment times through a quality improvement initiative in a Singapore emergency department. *Singapore Med J*. 2019;60(2):69-74.

94. Khan K, Shuaib A, Whittaker T, et al. Telestroke in Northern Alberta: A Two Year Experience with Remote Hospitals. *Can J Neurol Sci*. 2010;37(6):808-13.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

95. Porter J, Hall RE, Kapral MK, F et al. Outcomes following telestroke-assisted thrombolysis for stroke in Ontario, Canada. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2018;24(7):492-9.

96. Huang JF, Greenway MRF, Nasr DM, et al. Telestroke in the Time of COVID-19: The Mayo Clinic Experience. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2020;95(8):1704-8.

97. Nalleballe K, Sharma R, Kovvuru S, et al. Why are acute ischemic stroke patients not receiving thrombolysis in a telestroke network? J *Telemed Telecare*. 2020;26(6):317-21.

98. Agrawal K, Raman R, Ernstrom K, et al. Accuracy of Stroke Diagnosis in Telestroke-Guided Tissue Plasminogen Activator Patients. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2016;25(12):2942-6.

99. Zansky M, Chalouhi N, Starke RM, et al. Epidemiology of a large telestroke cohort in the Delaware valley. *Clin. Neurol. Neurosurg*. 2014;125:143-7.

100. Cheshire WP, Barrett KM, Eidelman BH, et al. Patient perception of physician empathy in stroke telemedicine. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2021;27(9):572-81.

101. Demaerschalk BM, Boyd DL, Barrett KM, et al. Comparison of Stroke Outcomes of Hub and Spoke Hospital Treated Patients in Mayo Clinic Telestroke Program. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2018;27(11):2940-2.

102. Bruno A, Lanning KM, Gross H, et al. Timeliness of Intravenous Thrombolysis via Telestroke in Georgia. *Stroke*. 2013;44(9):2627-2.

103. Switzer JA, Singh R, Mathiassen L, et al. Telestroke: Variations in Intravenous Thrombolysis by Spoke Hospitals. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2015;24(4):739-44.

104. Sanders KA, Figiel C, Kiely JM, et al. Expanding access to intravenous tissue-type plasminogen activator treatment with a practice-based telestroke system. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2013;22(8):e546-8.

105. Chaffin HM, Nakagawa K, Koenig MA. Impact of Statewide Telestroke Network on Acute Stroke Treatment in Hawai'i. *Hawaii J Health Soc Welf*. 2019;78(9):280-6.

106. Lee VH, Cutting S, Song SY, et al. Participation in a Tele-Stroke Program Improves Timeliness of Intravenous Thrombolysis Delivery. *Telemed and e-Health*. 2016;23(1):60-1.

107. LaMonte MP, Bahouth MN, Hu P, et al. Telemedicine for Acute Stroke. *Stroke*. 2003;34(3):725-8.

108. Pervez MA, Silva G, Masrur S, et al. Remote Supervision of IV-tPA for Acute Ischemic Stroke by Telemedicine or Telephone Before Transfer to a Regional Stroke Center Is Feasible and Safe. *Stroke*. 2010;41(1):e18-e24.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

109. Moreno A, Schwamm LH, Siddiqui KA, et al. Frequent Hub-Spoke Contact Is Associated with Improved Spoke Hospital Performance: Results from the Massachusetts General Hospital Telestroke Network. *Telemed and e-Health*. 2018;24(9):678-83.

110. Sharma R, Zachrison KS, Viswanathan A, et al. Trends in Telestroke Care Delivery: A 15-Year Experience of an Academic Hub and Its Network of Spokes. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2020;13(3):e005903.

111. Belt GH, Felberg RA, Rubin J, et al. In-Transit Telemedicine Speeds Ischemic Stroke Treatment. *Stroke*. 2016;47(9):2413-5.

112. Grandhi R, Schwamm LH, Viswanathan A, et al. Evaluation of the Experience of Spoke Hospitals in an Academic Telestroke Network. *Telemed J E Health*. 2019;25(7):584-90.

113. Yu AT, Regenhardt RW, Whitney C, et al. CTA Protocols in a Telestroke Network Improve Efficiency for Both Spoke and Hub Hospitals. *Am. J. Neuroradiol*. 2021.

114. Nguyen-Huynh MN, Klingman JG, Avins AL, et al. Novel Telestroke Program Improves Thrombolysis for Acute Stroke Across 21 Hospitals of an Integrated Healthcare System. et al. 2018;49(1):133-9.

115. Sinha K, Bettermann K. Clinical outcomes of moderate to severe acute ischemic stroke in a telemedicine network. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2019;69:160-5.

116. Sobhani F, Desai S, Madill E, et al. Remote Longitudinal Inpatient Acute Stroke Care Via Telestroke. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2021;30(3):105749.

117. Sweid A, Atallah E, Saad H, et al. Correlation between pre-admission blood pressure and outcome in a large telestroke cohort. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2019;62:33-7.

118. Chalouhi N, Dressler JA, Kunkel ES, et al. Intravenous tissue plasminogen activator administration in community hospitals facilitated by telestroke service. *Neurosurg*. 2013;73(4):667-72.

119. Zaidi SF, Jumma MA, Urra XN, et al. Telestroke-Guided Intravenous Tissue-Type Plasminogen Activator Treatment Achieves a Similar Clinical Outcome as Thrombolysis at a Comprehensive Stroke Center. *Stroke*. 2011;42(11):3291-3.

120. Poupore N, Edrissi C, Sowah M, et al. Stroke Severity among Men and Women Acute Ischemic Stroke Patients in the Telestroke Network. *Cerebrovasc Dis Extra*. 2022;12(2):95-101.

121. Simpson AN, Harvey JB, DiLembo SM, et al. Population Health Indicators Associated with a Statewide Telestroke Program. *Telemed J E Health*. 2020;26(9):1126-33.

122. Hsiao J, Sayles E, Antzoulatos E, et al. Effect of COVID-19 on Emergent Stroke Care: A Regional Experience. *Stroke*. 2020;51(9):e2111-e4.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

123. Reddy ST, Savitz SI, Friedman E, et al. Patients transferred within a telestroke network for large-vessel occlusion. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2022;28(8):595-602.

124. Adcock AK, Choi J, Alvi M, et al. Expanding Acute Stroke Care in Rural America: A Model for Statewide Success. *Telemed J E Health*. 2020;26(7):865-71.

125. Ionita CC, Sharma J, Janicke DM, et al. Acute Ischemic Stroke and Thrombolysis Location: Comparing Telemedicine and Stroke Center Treatment Outcomes. *Hosp. Pract.* 2009;37(1):33-9.

126. Le VH, Howell R, Yadav R, et al. Thrombolysis of stroke mimics via telestroke. *Stroke Vasc Neurol*. 2022;7(3):267-70.

127. Meh[illegible] NA, Young T, Harland KK, et al. Telemedicine Is Associated with Faster Diagnostic Imaging in Stroke Patients: A Cohort Study. *Telemed J E Health*. 2019;25(2):93-100.

128. Gutovitz S, Leggett J, Hart L, et al. The impact of teleneurologists on acute stroke care at an advanced primary stroke centre. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2022;28(2):115-21.

129. Lyerly MJ, Daggy J, LaPradd M, et al. Impact of Telestroke Implementation on Emergency Department Transfer Rate. *Neurology*. 2022;98(16):e1617-e25.

130. Zachrison KS, Sharma R, Wang Y, et al. National Trends in Telestroke Utilization in a US Commercial Platform Prior to the COVID-19 Pandemic. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2021;30(10):106035.

131. Sevilis T, McDonald M, Avila A, et al. Telestroke: Maintaining Quality Acute Stroke Care During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Telemed J E Health*. 2022;28(4):481-5.

132. Carvalho VS, Jr., Picanço MR, Volschan A, et al. Impact of simulation training on a telestroke network. *Int J Stroke*. 2019;14(5):500-7.

133. Martins SO, Mont'Alverne F, Rebello LC, et al. Thrombectomy for Stroke in the Public Health Care System of Brazil. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(24):2316-26.

134. Kushwaha S, Talwar P, Chandel N, et al. Saving the brain initiative - Developing an effective hub-and-spoke model to improve the acute stroke management pathways in urban India. *J Neurol Sci*. 2018;393:83-7.

135. Srivastava PV, Sudhan P, Khurana D, et al. Telestroke a Viable Option to Improve Stroke Care in India. *Int J Stroke*. 2014;9(SA100):133-4.

136. Muengtaweepongsa S, Dharmasaroja PA, Maungboon P, et al. Feasibility and safety of remote radiology interpretation with telephone consultation for acute stroke in Thailand. *Neurol India*. 2010;58(5):740-2.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

137. Legris N, Hervieu-Bègue M, Daubail B, Daumas A, et al. Telemedicine for the acute management of stroke in Burgundy, France: an evaluation of effectiveness and safety. *Eur. Neurol*. 2016;23(9):1433-40.

138. Richard S, Lavandier K, Zioueche Y, et al. Use of telemedicine to manage severe ischaemic strokes in a rural area with an elderly population. *Neurological Sciences*. 2014;35(5):683-5.

139. Kaminsky AL, Mione G, Omorou Y, et al. Outcome of patients with large vessel occlusion stroke after first admission in telestroke spoke versus comprehensive stroke center. *J Neurointerv Surg*. 2020;12(8):753-7.

140. Hubert GJ, Kraus F, Maegerlein C, et al. The "Flying Intervention Team": A Novel Stroke Care Concept for Rural Areas. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2021;50(4):375-82.

141. Gabriel KMA, Jüttler E, Hill-Hillmann S, Kraft P, et al. Two years' experience of implementing a comprehensive telemedical stroke network comprising in mainly rural region: the Transregional Network for Stroke Intervention with Telemedicine (TRANSIT-Stroke). *BMC Neurol*. 2020;20(1):104.

142. Zerna C, Siepmann T, Barinka K, et al. Association of time on outcome after intravenous thrombolysis in the elderly in a telestroke network. *J. Telemed. Telecare*. 2015;22(1):18-24.

143. Busetto L, Sert M, Herzog F, et al. "But it's a nice compromise" - Qualitative multi-centre study of barriers and facilitators to acute telestroke cooperation in a regional stroke network. *Eur J Neurol*. 2022;29(1):208-16.

144. Wiborg A, Widder B. Teleneurology to Improve Stroke Care in Rural Areas. *Stroke*. 2003;34(12):2951-6.

145. Quadflieg LTM, Beckers SK, Bergrath S, et al. Comparing the diagnostic concordance of tele-EMS and on-site-EMS physicians in emergency medical services: a retrospective cohort study. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10(1):17982.

146. Klingner C, Tinschert P, Brodoehl S, et al. The Effect of Endovascular Thrombectomy Studies on the Decision to Transfer Patients in a Telestroke Network. *Telemed J E Health*. 2020;26(4):388-94.

147. Gallerini S, Marsili L, Groccia V, et al. Appropriateness, safety, and effectiveness of "drip and ship" teleconsultation model in Southeastern Tuscany: a feasibility study. *Neurol Sci*. 2020;41(10):2961-5.

148. Brunetti V, Broccolini A, Caliandro P, et al. Effect of the COVID-19 pandemic and the lockdown measures on the local stroke network. *Neurol Sci*. 2021;42(4):1237-45.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

149. Nardetto L, Dario C, Tonello S, et al. A one-to-one telestroke network: the first Italian study of a web-based telemedicine system for thrombolysis delivery and patient monitoring. *Neurol Sci*. 2016;37(5):725-30.

150. Kjelle E, Myklebust AM. Telemedicine remote controlled stroke evaluation and treatment, the experience of radiographers, paramedics and junior doctors in a novel rural stroke management team. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2021;21(1):554.

151. Kerr E, Dennis M, Keir S. Thrombolysis: Attempting to Reduce Postcode Prescribing in Scotland? *Int J Stroke*. 2010;5(6):486-8.

152. Pedragosa A, Alvarez-Sabín J, Rubiera M, et al. Impact of telemedicine on acute management of stroke patients undergoing endovascular procedures. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2012;34(5-6):436-42.

153. Martínez-Sanchez P, Miralles A, Sanz de Barros R, et al. The effect of telestroke systems among neighboring hospitals: more and better? The Madrid Telestroke Project. *J. Neurol*. 2014;261(9):1768-73.

154. Montaner J, Barragán-Prieto A, Pérez-Sánchez S, et al. Break in the Stroke Chain of Survival due to COVID-19. *Stroke*. 2020;51(8):2307-14.

155. Evans NR, Sibson L, Day D, et al. Hyperacute stroke thrombolysis via telemedicine: a multicentre study of performance, safety and clinical efficacy. *BMJ Open*. 2022;12(1):e057372.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Table 1. Availability of reperfusion services around the world

Region	Country	Year	Registry source	Num of hospitals	Nationally representative	Intravenous thrombolysis		Endovascular thrombectomy	
						% AIS patients	% hospitals	Available	% AIS patients
Australasia [21]	Australia	2021	Yes	62	Yes	11.0	-	Yes	10.0
Australasia	New Zealand[a]	2022	Yes	28	Yes	12.8	100	Yes	7.2
Caribbean [22]	Barbados	2020	No	1	Yes	2.5	25	Unknown	-
Central Europe [23]	Bulgaria	2020	No	1	No	-	-	Yes	-
Central Europe [24]	Croatia	2006	No	-	No	-	-	Unknown	-
Central Europe [25]	Czech Republic	2020	Yes	45	Yes	17.4	-	Yes	5.5
Central Europe [26]	Hungary	2015	No	-	Yes	-	-	Unknown	-
Central Europe [27]	Poland	2017	No	1	Yes	12.9	100	Unknown	-
Central Europe [28]	Romania	2017	No	1	No	6.6	-	Unknown	-
Central Europe [29]	Serbia	2020	No	1	No	-	-	Yes	-
Central Europe [30]	Slovakia	2019	Yes	43	Yes	20.1	-	Yes	10.7
Central Europe [31]	Slovenia	2012	No	-	No	-	-	Yes	-
East Asia [32]	China	2020	Yes	280	Yes	8.6	-	Yes	3.4
East Asia [33]	Taiwan	2010	No	285	Yes	0.60	37	Unknown	-
Eastern Europe [34]	Bosnia & Herzegovina	2011	No	-	No	-	-	Unknown	-

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Region	Country	Year	Registry source	Num of hospitals	Nationally representative	Intravenous thrombolysis		Endovascular thrombectomy	
						% AIS patients	% hospitals	Available	% AIS patients
Eastern Europe [35]	Estonia	2021	No	1	No	19.5	-	Yes	-
Eastern Europe [36]	Georgia	2019	No	-	No	3.4	-	Unknown	-
Eastern Europe [37]	Latvia	2020	Yes	1	No	17.8	-	Yes	1.2
Eastern Europe [38]	Lithuania	2018	No	-	No	-	-	Unknown	-
High-income Asia Pacific [39]	Japan	2019	Yes	130	Yes	-	-	Yes	-
High-income Asia Pacific	Singapore[a]	2022	Yes	4	Yes	7.1	100	Yes	2.0
High-income Asia Pacific [40]	South Korea	2014	Yes	16	Yes	15.2	-	Yes	4.6
High-income North America [41]	Canada	2019	Yes	136	No	12.2	33	Yes	-
High-income North America [42]	USA	2018	Yes	646	Yes	19.1	-	Yes	4.1
Latin America [43]	Argentina	2018	No	1	No	11.0	-	Yes	3.0
Latin America [44]	Brazil	2019	No	1	No	15.0	-	Yes	8.0
Latin America [45]	Chile	2018	No	1	No	-	-	Unknown	-
Latin America [46]	Columbia	-	No	-	No	12.0	-	Unknown	-
Latin America [47]	Mexico	2018	No	1	No	8.9	-	Yes	-
Latin America [48]	Peru	2016	No	1	No	2.0	-	Unknown	-
North Africa and Middle East [49, 50]	Egypt	2018	No	95 + 4	No	12.3	-	Yes	1.9
North Africa and Middle East [51]	Iran	-	No	-	No	-	-	Unknown	-

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Region	Country	Year	Registry source	Num of hospitals	Nationally representative	Intravenous thrombolysis		Endovascular thrombectomy	
						% AIS patients	% hospitals	Available	% AIS patients
North Africa and Middle East [52]	Morocco	2017	No	1	No	8.4	-	No	-
North Africa and Middle East [53]	Oman	2018	No	1	No	11.9	-	Unknown	-
North Africa and Middle East	Qatar	2010	No	-	No	9.0	-	Unknown	-
North Africa and Middle East [54]	Saudi Arabia	2018	No	1	No	8.6	-	Yes	-
North Africa and Middle East [55]	Turkey	2019	No	1	No	-	-	Yes	-
South Asia [56]	Bangladesh	2020	No	1	No	-	-	Unknown	-
South Asia [57]	India	2020	No	13	No	5.0	-	Yes	5.0
South Asia [58]	Nepal	2018	No	1	No	13.2	-	Unknown	-
South Asia [59]	Pakistan	2016	No		No	-	-	Unknown	-
Southeast Asia [60]	Indonesia	2020	No	1	No	2.4	-	Yes	-
Southeast Asia [61]	Malaysia	2016	Yes	15	Yes	-	16.5	Unknown	9
Southeast Asia [62]	Philippines	2016	No	10	No	1.3	-	Unknown	-
Southeast Asia [63]	Sri Lanka	2020	No	1	No	-	-	Unknown	-
Southeast Asia [64]	Thailand	2021	No	-	Yes	7.4	-	Unknown	-
Southeast Asia [65]	Vietnam	2021	No	13	Yes	8.0	-	Yes	7.4
Southeast Asia	Brunei Darussalam	2021	No	-	-	10.0	40	Unknown	-

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Region	Country	Year	Registry source	Num of hospitals	Nationally representative	Intravenous thrombolysis		Endovascular thrombectomy	
						% AIS patients	% hospitals	Available	% AIS patients
Southeast Asia [66, 67]	Myanmar	2019	No	10	No	17.4	-	No	-
Sub-Saharan Africa [68]	Nigeria	2021	No	58	Yes	-	9	No	-
Sub-Saharan Africa [69]	South Africa	2017	No	8	No	1.0	25	Unknown	-
Western Europe [70]	Austria	2018	Yes	38	Yes	21.8	-	Unknown	4.0
Western Europe [71]	Belgium	2017	No	1	No	-	-	Unknown	-
Western Europe	Denmark[a]	2022	No	10	Yes	20.0	-	Yes	6.0
Western Europe [72]	Finland	2015	No	1	No	-	-	Unknown	-
Western Europe [73]	France	2019	No	147	Yes	9.0	-	Yes	7.1
Western Europe [74]	Germany	2017	No		Yes	15.9	-	Unknown	5.8
Western Europe [75]	Greece	2020	Yes	7	No	6.9	-	Unknown	-
Western Europe [76]	Ireland	2018	No	-	Unknown	-	-	Yes	-
Western Europe [77]	Israel	2007	No	-	No	-	-	Unknown	-
Western Europe [78]	Italy	2020	No	93	Yes	19.1	-	Yes	9.4
Western Europe [79]	Netherlands	2016	Yes	81	Yes	20.4	93	Yes	4.1
Western Europe [80]	Norway	2016	Yes	75	Yes	-	-	Unknown	-
Western Europe [81, 82]	Portugal	2019	No	1	No	6.0	-	Yes	-
Western Europe [83]	Scotland	2021	Yes	-	Yes	18.0	-	Unknown	-

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Region	Country	Year	Registry source	Num of hospitals	Nationally representative	Intravenous thrombolysis		Endovascular thrombectomy	
						% AIS patients	% hospitals	Available	% AIS patients
Western Europe [84]	Spain	2020	No	16	No	17.3	-	Yes	22.0
Western Europe	Sweden	2022	Yes	72	Yes	13.9	-	Yes	7.0
Western Europe [85]	Switzerland	2020	Yes	25	Yes	22.1	-	Yes	15.0
Western Europe [86]	UK	2022	Yes	182	Yes	10.4	-	Yes	2.4

[a] Unpublished and latest registry data verified by co-author; Num: Number; AIS: acute ischaemic stroke

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Table 2. Availability of stroke telemedicine services around the world

Region	Country	Location	Telemedicine Program	Year	Hospitals	Spokes	Hubs
Australasia [87]	Australia	NSW	John Hunter Hospital	2015	4	3	1
Australasia [88]	Australia	Victoria	Victorian Stroke Telemedicine Program	2016	17	16	1
Australasia [89]	Australia	Wangaratta	Royal Melbourne Hospital	2009	2	1	1
Australasia [90]	New Zealand	Central New Zealand	Central Region Telestroke Network	2021	8	7	1
East Asia [91]	Taiwan	Changhua, Yunlin and Nantou	Changhua Christian Hospital	2019	7	6	1
East Asia [92]	Hong Kong, China*	Hong Kong	Queen Elizabeth Hospital	2012	-	-	-
High-Income Asia Pacific [93]	Singapore	Singapore	National Neuroscience Institute	2013	2	1	1
High-income North America [94]	Canada	Alberta	University of Alberta Hospital	2009	8	7	1
High-income North America [95]	Canada	Ontario	Ontario Telestroke Program	2017	-	-	-
High-income North America [96]	USA	Arizona, Florida, Iowa, Minnesota, Wisconsin	Mayo Clinic Telestroke Network	2020	28	27	1
High-income North America [97]	USA	Arkansas	Arkansas Stroke Assistance through Virtual Emergency Support programme	2016	49	48	1
High-income North America [98]	USA	California	Temecula Valley Hospital	2015	2	1	1
High-income North America [99]	USA	Delaware	Thomas Jefferson University Hospital	2013	30	29	1
High-income North America [100]	USA	Florida	Name unknown	2019	2	1	1
High-income North America [101]	USA	Florida and Arizona	Mayo Clinic	2014	15	13	2

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Region	Country	Location	Telemedicine Program	Year	Hospitals	Spokes	Hubs
High-income North America [103]	USA	Georgia	Georgia Regents Medical Center	2012	18	17	1
High-income North America [102]	USA	Georgia and South Carolina	Respectful and Equitable Access to Comprehensive Healthcare (REACH)	-	34	32	2
High-income North America [104]	USA	Georgia	AcuteCare Telemedicine	2012	7	-	-
High-income North America [105]	USA	Hawai'i	Hawai'i Telestroke Program	2017	8	7	1
High-income North America [106]	USA	Illinois	RUSH	-	11	10	1
High-income North America [107]	USA	Maryland	University of Maryland Medical Center	2001	-	-	1
High-income North America [108]	USA	Massachusetts	Massachusetts General Hospital	2008	13	12	1
High-income North America [109]	USA	Massachusetts, Maine, and New Hampshire	MGH Telestroke Network	2015	17	16	1
High-income North America [110]	USA	Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Maine	Partners TeleStroke Consultation Network	2018	43	-	-
High-income North America [111]	USA	New Jersey	Overlook Medical Center	2016	7	6	1
High-income North America [112]	USA	North Eastern region	Name unknown	2019	21	20	1
High-income North America [113]	USA	North Eastern Region	Name unknown	2017	23	22	1
High-income North America [114]	USA	Northern California	Kaiser Permanente Northern California	2016	21	20	1
High-income North America [115]	USA	Pennsylvania	Penn State College of Medicine	2018	16	15	1
High-income North America [84]	USA	Pennsylvania	Name unknown	-	30	29	1
High-income North America [116]	USA	Pennsylvania	University of Pittsburgh Medical Center (UPMC) Presbyterian Hospital	-	3	2	1

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Region	Country	Location	Telemedicine Program	Year	Hospitals	Spokes	Hubs
High-income North America [117]	USA	Pennsylvania and New Jersey	Name unknown	2016	41	40	1
High-income North America [118]	USA	Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware	Thomas Jefferson University Hospital	2012	29	28	1
High-income North America [119]	USA	Pittsburgh	University of Pittsburgh Medical Center (UPMC) telestroke network	2009	13	12	1
High-income North America	USA	South Carolina	Medical University of South Carolina Telestroke Program	2019	27	26	1
High-income North America [120]	USA	South Carolina	Neuro-Direct telestroke network, Greenville Health System	2020	8	7	1
High-income North America [121]	USA	South Carolina	South Carolina Telestroke Network	2016	43	40	3
High-income North America [122]	USA	Southwest Ohio, Northern Kentucky, and Eastern Indiana	University of Cincinnati Stroke Team	2020	31	30	1
High-income North America [123]	USA	Texas	Lone Star stroke consortium telestroke registry	2019	18	17	1
High-income North America [124]	USA	West Virginia	West Virginia University	2018	9	8	1
High-income North America [125]	USA	Western New York Region	Respectful and Equitable Access to Comprehensive Healthcare (REACH)		11	10	1
High-income North America [126]	USA*	Ohio	Name unknown	2017	26	-	-
High-income North America [127]	USA*	Sioux Falls, South Dakota	Avera eCare	-	155	-	-
High-income North America [128]	USA*	South Carolina	Grand Strand Medical Center	2018	-	-	-

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Region	Country	Location	Telemedicine Program	Year	Hospitals	Spokes	Hubs
High-income North America [129]	USA*		Nationwide, Veterans' Affairs National Telestroke Program	2021	50	-	-
High-income North America [130]	USA*		Nationwide, name unknown	2019	132		
High-income North America [131]	USA*		Nationwide, Telespecialists	2020	171		
Latin America [132]	Brazil	Rio de Janeiro	Hospital Pró-Cardíaco	2016	6	5	1
Latin America [133]	Brazil*	Porto Alegre	Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre (HCPA)	2015	1	-	-
South Asia [134]	India	East Delhi	Name unknown	2017	7	6	1
South Asia [135]	India	India	Name unknown	2014	100	78	22
Southeast Asia [20]	Laos	Laos	Thailand	2017	3	2	1
Southesat Asia [136]	Thailand*	Thailand	Thammasat	2009			
Western Europe [137]	France	Burgundy	Burgundy telestroke network	2014	13	11	2
Western Europe [138]	France	East France	CHU Nancy, Hospital Central	2012	2	1	1
Western Europe [139]	France	Grand-East Region	Virtual	2017	7	6	1
Western Europe [13]	Germany	Germany	German telemedicine stroke networks	2020	186	155	31
Western Europe [140]	Germany	Bavaria	TEMPiS	2021	26	24	2
Western Europe [141]	Germany	North-Western Bavaria	TRANSIT Stroke Network	2019	10	7	3
Western Europe [142]	Germany	Saxony	Stroke Eastern Saxony Network (SOS-NET)	2012	15	14	1
Western Europe [143]	Germany	South West Germany	FAST	2020	8	7	1
Western Europe [144]	Germany	Swabia	Telemedicine in Stroke in Swabia (TESS) Project	2002	8	7	1
Western Europe [145]	Germany*	Aachen	Name unknown	2015	1	-	-

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Region	Country	Location	Telemedicine Program	Year	Hospitals	Spokes	Hubs
Western Europe [146]	Germany*	Thuringia	the stroke telemedicine network in Thuringia (SATELIT)	2015	-	-	-
Western Europe [147]	Italy	Grosetto	Siena	2018	2	1	1
Western Europe [148]	Italy	Lazio	Name unknown	2020	4	3	1
Western Europe [149]	Italy	Treviso	Treviso Hospital	2013	2	1	1
Western Europe [150]	Norway	Hallingdal	Hallingdal Local Medical Centre	2020	1	-	-
Western Europe [151]	Scotland	Lothian	NHS Lothian	2008	3	-	-
Western Europe [152]	Spain	Catalunya	Name unknown	2008	3	2	1
Western Europe [153]	Spain	Madrid	The Madrid Telestroke Project	2013	2	1	1
Western Europe [154]	Spain*	Andalusia	Centro Andaluz de Tele-ictus	2020	-	-	-
Western Europe [155]	England**	East of England	East of England Stroke Telemedicine Partnership	2019	7	-	-

In some cases, the name of the hub was used as the name of the program; * Unknown model of operation; ** Horizontal hubless model

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448

Figures

Figure 1. PRISMA flowchart showing screening and selection process

Figure 2. Map showing the availability of intravenous thrombolysis and endovascular thrombectomy services around the world.

Figure 3. Map illustrating the number of stroke telemedicine services around the world. The size of the dot in a location reflects the number of services available in that location.

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448



Figure 1

68x74mm (300 x 300 DPI)

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448



Figure 2

[illegible]8mm (300 x 300 DPI)

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448



Figure 3

[illegible]x2[illegible]8mm (300 x 300 DPI)

DOI: 10.1177/17474930231210448