Exploring copyrolysis characteristics and thermokinetics of peach stone and bituminous coal blends

Asif Hussain Khoja1 | Hamad Gohar1 | Waqar Ul Habib Khan1 | Umair Yaqub Qazi2 | Israf Ud Din3 | Abdulaziz Al-Anazi4 | Waqar Muhammad Ashraf5 | M. A. Mujtaba6 | Fahid Riaz7 | Syed Sheraz Daoood8

1Fossil Fuels Laboratory, Department of Thermal Energy Engineering, U.S.-Pakistan Centre for Advanced Studies in Energy (USPCAS-E), National University of Sciences & Technology (NUST), Islamabad, Pakistan
2Department of Chemistry, College of Science, University of Hafr Al Batin, Hafr Al Batin, Saudi Arabia
3Chemistry Department, College of Science and Humanities, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Alkhairj, Saudi Arabia
4Department of Chemical Engineering, College of Engineering, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
5The Sargent Centre for Process Systems Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, University College London, London, UK
6Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Engineering and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan
7Faculty of Engineering, Abu Dhabi University, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates
8Institute of Energy and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Electrical, Energy and Environmental Engineering, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

Correspondence
Asif Hussain Khoja, Fossil Fuels Laboratory, Department of Thermal Energy Engineering, U.S.-Pakistan Centre for Advanced Studies in Energy (USPCAS-E), National University of Sciences & Technology (NUST), Sector H-12, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan. Email: asif@uspcase.nust.edu.pk
Waqar Muhammad Ashraf, The Sargent Centre for Process Systems Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, University College London, Torrington Pl, London WC1E 7JE, UK. Email: waqar.ashraf.21@ucl.ac.uk

Abstract
Copyrolysis, being an active area of research due to its synergistic impact in utilizing diverse fuel resources, including waste materials, like, peach stone (PS), has been the focal point for this study. PS, produced in vast quantities annually and typically intended for landscaping or insulation purposes, is being studied in combination with low-grade bituminous coal for energy utilization focusing on thermokinetics and synergistic aspects. Coal-peach stone (C-PS) blends were formulated at different ratios and subjected to comprehensive characterization techniques, including ultimate analysis (CHN-S), gross calorific value (GCV), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, and thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA). The ultimate analysis revealed an enhancement in carbon and hydrogen content from 45.38% to 68.08% and from 3.89% to 6.96%, respectively. Additionally, a reduction in sulfur and nitrogen content from 0.54% to 0.11% and from 1.16% to 0.42%, respectively, was observed with an increase in the ratio of PS in the C-PS blends. The GCV of C-PS blends ranged from 20.75 to 26.01 MJ kg⁻¹. The pyrolysis conditions simulated in TGA are pivotal for evaluating thermokinetics and synergistic effects. The 60C:40PS blend shows a positive synergy index (SI) value of
0.0203% concerning total mass loss (MLT) indicating a favorable condition for bio-oil generation. Coats-Redfern model-fitting method reveals that the activation energy ($E_a$) of C-PS blends increases in Section II with the addition of PS, and conversely, it decreases in Section III. The $E_a$ for 100PS and 100C was 106.76 and 45.85 kJ mol$^{-1}$ through (D3) and (F1), respectively, which was improved through the optimal blend 60C:40PS with an $E_a$ of 94.56 and 27.58 kJ mol$^{-1}$ through (D3) and (F2), respectively. The values obtained from linear regression prove that the kinetic models are effective while the thermodynamic analysis indicates that the pyrolytic behavior of C-PS blends is characterized as endothermic, nonspontaneous, and capable of achieving thermodynamic equilibrium more rapidly.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Biomass is a viable alternative renewable resource that outperforms other renewable resources in maintaining environmental quality while addressing global energy requirements. Owing to its abundance, renewability, and significant environmental advantages, biomass energy has emerged as one of the most significant sustainable sources of energy, accounting for around 14% of global energy consumption or 38% of that in underdeveloped countries, biomass holds about 50% of the total renewable energy produced. Peach waste is one of the biomass sources which can be used for various energy applications. Due to favorable climatic conditions for peach tree growth, the southern regions of Brazil, Italy, China, Spain, Greece, and the United States are the main producers. On the other hand, 1.5 million hectares of land are harvested to yield nearly 23 million tonnes of peach stone (PS) worldwide. As a result, a substantial amount of nonedible waste is generated during the preparation of these fruits. The PS is produced as agroindustrial waste during the canned peach production process. They account for 10% of the total amount produced by mass, becoming an environmental issue for companies due to a lack of a suitable end destination.

Coal as a fossil fuel is known to be one of the principal energy sources for energy production in many industrialized and developing countries of the world due to escalating energy demands. However, coal usage has implications such as air pollution, which causes various health and environmental challenges, and the rapid global energy consumption of coal, which contributes to its depletion. These difficulties sparked an exploration of renewable, sustainable, environmentally friendly, and clean energy alternatives. Pyrolysis is a thermochemical process that converts coal and biomass into valuable products, allowing for variable operating conditions and output. It is an endothermic process that produces hydrocarbons in liquid, solid, and gas forms by thermally breaking materials from the exterior to the interior surface. The pyrolysis of coal is inefficient due to the low H/C ratio resulting in significant carbon emissions and low pyrolytic products. Although worldwide an energy policy is acceptable to reduce the share of coal as a primary type of fuel in renewable energy, still occupies a prominent spot in power generation in the future because there are some technologies known as coal utilization that are developed to provide sensible and ecologically sustainable energy production. One of the primary possibilities for the effective and harmonized use of renewable and fossil energy mix is the co-thermochemical conversion of biomass and coal via copyrolysis. To supply hydrogen for coal, biomass with a higher H/C ratio can be employed.

Previously several investigations have been conducted on the coprocessing of carbonaceous materials, including wheat straw with plastic waste and corn cob with polyethylene. Recently, a multitude of studies has been conducted on the copyrolysis of coal and other biomasses, such as microalgae, sawdust, coconut shell, corn stalks, and corncob. Additionally, a number of investigations have been conducted utilizing a thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA) for an in-depth examination of the thermal degradation process during copyrolysis. During copyrolysis, a synergistic effect was
seen at a blend ratio of 30% coal slime and 70% coffee industry residue. The existence of sufficient hydrogen donors, free ions, and volatile compounds may trigger the synergistic effect because biomass has a greater hydrogen-to-carbon ratio than coal. Copyrolysis of biomass and coal tar asphaltene revealed the occurrence of a synergistic effect. The copyrolysis of coal and polyethylene demonstrates how the synergistic effect decreases the copyrolysis activation energy. Additionally, it offered details on the concentrations of hydrogen and hydroxyl ions, which are significant for the interaction mechanisms. Several kinetic models have been used to analyze the mechanistic activity of blended samples and their reactivity at various heating rates, blending ratios, and coal and biomass types. Designing a pyrolysis system requires careful consideration of the thermokinetic properties. The Coats-Redfern (CR) model-fitting method was used to predict the behavior of coal-biomass blends and anticipated reaction pathways, as well as to compute the actual amounts of activation energy (Ea), pre-exponential factor (A), and linear regression \( R^2 \) for every phase of copyrolysis. The CR technique evaluates the rationality of multiple models and recommends the best model for coal-biomass blend breakdown. Several studies have investigated the thermal behavior, synergistic effects, and thermokinetic characteristics of coal-biomass copyrolysis.

The novelty of this study lies in the exploration of a previously uninvestigated area: the copyrolysis of PS with low-rank coal blending. This investigation seeks to elucidate the thermal behavior of these blended materials, necessary energy barriers (required energy input) and operating temperature ranges crucial for the preliminary design of a pyrolysis reactor tailored for the copyrolysis process. While peach stone (100PS) biomass waste is an annual by-product among various available biomasses, its effective energy utilization due to its higher H/C ratio, volatile matter, and availability has not yet been reported with a view to copyrolyse with low-rank coal for more beneficial applications such as bioproducts synthesis instead of the disposal of PS in landfills. To the best of our knowledge, there is no investigation about the synergistic effects and thermokinetics in the copyrolysis of PS with low-rank coal at different blending percentages. Analyzing each feedstock type is crucial in achieving the desired quality and yield in the pyrolysis process. Furthermore, a thorough understanding of the kinetics and overall pyrolysis process is essential for successfully commercializing pyrolysis technology.

Therefore, the CR model-fitting technique used in this study is presented for the first time to determine the thermokinetic parameters of PS and coal blends during copyrolysis. The most precise kinetic parameters are predicted based on a higher value of \( R^2 \) (0.9–1.0). This work offers helpful insights into the copyrolysis process of PS and coal blends by assessing the synergistic effects coupled with kinetics and thermodynamics parameters. These results may assist in finding the optimal blending ratio for copyrolysis, developing sustainable and environmentally friendly strategies for disposing of waste PS and optimizing the parameters to design copyrolysis reactors.

In this study, the coal-peach stone (C-PS) blends were prepared for the copyrolysis process. The C-PS blends were characterized by ultimate analysis (CHN-S), gross calorific value (GCV), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), and TGA. The thermal behavior of C-PS blends were assessed using the TGA in an inert (N\(_2\)) atmosphere. The difference between experimental and computed data was used to calculate the synergistic effects. The kinetic and thermodynamics characteristics were evaluated by the CR method, which involved the application of eighteen (18) different reaction models. The kinetic and thermodynamic insights reveal the potential of the coutilization of PS in the field of bioenergy production.

## 2 | EXPERIMENT AND METHODS

### 2.1 | Materials preparation

For this study, locally collected bituminous coal (100C) and peach stone (100PS) were utilized. Before use, the samples underwent a drying process in the open air for 5 days followed by 1 day in a drier at 105°C to eliminate any remaining moisture, as illustrated in Figure 1. The materials were manually pulverized and sieved automatically in a Sieving WS Tyler RX-29-10 to get the requisite size of 74 μm. Blends were prepared in varying mass ratios of 100:0, 80:20, 60:40, 40:60, 20:80, and 0:100, referred to as 100C, 80C:20PS, 60C:40PS, 40C:60PS, 20C:80PS, and 100PS, respectively. Each blend was homogenized with a vortex mixer for 20 min to ensure homogeneity.

### 2.2 | Characterization

To assess the proportion of carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, and sulfur content, the ultimate analyses (CHN-S) were carried out on 100PS, 100C, and their blends. In a CHN analyzer (model; SECHN2200-CKIC), the CHN analyses were carried out. Whereas, the sulfur content in the materials was determined by a sulfur analyzer (model; SEIRS II-CKIC). The 80 mg of each blend and parent
fuels were weighed and put in the aluminum foil. Then, the aluminum foil was placed inside the holder of the analyzer. The CHN composition of the material was determined using helium, nitrogen, and oxygen gases. To assess sulfur content, 300 mg of each sample was placed in a ceramic cup, which was subsequently introduced into the analyzer’s furnace. The furnace was set to a temperature of 1350°C, while the oven was maintained at 50°C. Oxygen was introduced into the system at a flow rate of 20 mL min$^{-1}$. The GCV of each sample was analyzed using the Parr 6200 bomb calorimeter using ASTM D5865-13 standards.

FTIR was conducted in Cary 630, Agilent Technologies to further characterize the chemical bonds present in C-PS blends. The infrared radiation (IR) was directed at each C-PS blend. The IR absorbed by the materials was transformed into vibrational energy and the signals generated will be helpful in the determination of chemical bonding. The absorption range of IR was 650–4000 cm$^{-1}$ having a resolution of 2 cm$^{-1}$. The Diamond ATR module was used to perform analysis.

To ascertain the copyrolysis behavior, the TG/DTG analyses of C-PS blends were carried out in TGA 5500 (TA Equipment) at a heating rate of 10°C min$^{-1}$ at N$_2$ environment keeping its flow at 35 mL min$^{-1}$. To eliminate the systematic error during thermogravimetric (TG), the base experiment was performed thrice to confirm the least variation in the results.

The theoretical value was derived from Equation (1)$^{30}$ to illustrate the synergistic effect that occurs during the copyrolysis of blends.

$$Y_{th} = X_{PS} \cdot Y_{PS} + X_{C} \cdot Y_{C},$$

where $X_{PS}$ and $X_{C}$ were the mass ratios of 100PS and 100C, respectively. While $Y_{PS}$ and $Y_{C}$ were the experimental values obtained from individual pyrolysis of 100PS and 100C, respectively. Furthermore, the synergy index (SI) was calculated from Equation (2)$^{21}$ which provides the presence or absence of interaction and is further helpful in finding the intensity of interaction between C-PS blends, during the copyrolysis process.

$$SI = \frac{Y_{exp} - Y_{th}}{Y_{th}}.$$
2.3 Kinetic and thermodynamics study

The thermal decomposition of C-PS blends was analyzed using reaction kinetic and it helps in deducing the activation energy \((E_a)\), pre-exponential factor \((A)\), and reaction mechanism of solid materials that decompose under specific temperature ranges to produce volatiles and char as described in Equation (3). \(^{17}\)

\[
A_{\text{Solid}} = B_{\text{Volatiles}} + C_{\text{Char}}.
\] (3)

An accurate way to assess the reaction kinetics for solid-state materials is the CR method. The general CR method kinetic equation is given in Equation (4). \(^{31}\)

\[
\ln \left( \frac{G(\alpha)}{T^2} \right) = \ln \frac{AR}{\beta E_a} - \frac{E_a}{RT},
\] (4)

where \(A\) is the pre-exponential factor \((s^{-1})\), \(E_a\) is the activation energy \((kJ \text{ mol}^{-1})\), \(T\) is the absolute temperature \((K)\), \(\beta\) is the heating rate \((^\circ C \text{ min}^{-1})\), \(G(\alpha)\) is the kinetic function of various reaction mechanisms, and \(R\) \((R = 0.008314 \text{kJ mol}^{-1} K^{-1})\) is the general gas constant.

From Equation (4), \(^{32}\) it was assumed that the expression \(-2RT/E_a \ll 1\), so this term is neglected during calculation, Equation (4) simplifies and gives Equation (5). \(^{32}\)

\[
\ln \left( \frac{G(\alpha)}{T^2} \right) = \ln \frac{AR}{\beta E_a} - \frac{E_a}{RT},
\] (5)

From the literature, the most common 18 kinetic functions \((G(\alpha))\) were highlighted in Table 1. By plotting a graph between \(\ln((G(\alpha))/T^2)\) and \(1/T\), a straight line was obtained. After separating the data of the active pyrolysis zone, the linearization of that line was performed, which contributed to finding the intercept and slope of that line. The slope and intercept of the line give \(E_a\) and \(A\), respectively. The \(E_a\) was calculated from Equation (6), \(^{33}\) while the \(A\) was calculated from Equation (7). \(^{33}\)

\[
\text{Slope of line} = -\frac{E_a}{R},
\] (6)

\[
\text{Intercept of line} = \ln \frac{AR}{\beta E_a}.
\] (7)

The thermodynamic parameters were calculated with the help of experimental data from TG/DTG, along with data on kinetics \((E_a\) and \(A)\). The thermodynamic parameters were calculated for parent fuels and each transition zone of the C-PS blend through 18 \(G(\alpha)\). This analysis comprises change of enthalpy \(\Delta H\) \((kJ \text{ mol}^{-1})\),

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinetic mechanism</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>(G(\alpha))</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One and third orders</td>
<td>F1/3</td>
<td>(-3/2[1 - (1 - \alpha)^{3/2}])</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First order</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>(-\ln(1 - \alpha))</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-and-a-half order</td>
<td>F3/2</td>
<td>(2[(1 - \alpha)^{-1/2} - 1])</td>
<td>[31, 36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second order</td>
<td>F2</td>
<td>((1 - \alpha)^{-1} - 1)</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third order</td>
<td>F3</td>
<td>(1/2[(1 - \alpha)^{-2} - 1])</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forth order</td>
<td>F4</td>
<td>(1/3[(1 - \alpha)^{-3} - 1])</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracting disk</td>
<td>R1</td>
<td>(\alpha)</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracting cylinder</td>
<td>R2</td>
<td>(1 - (1 - \alpha)^{1/2})</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracting sphere</td>
<td>R3</td>
<td>(1 - (1 - \alpha)^{1/3})</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power law</td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>(\alpha^{1/2})</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power law</td>
<td>P3</td>
<td>(\alpha^{1/3})</td>
<td>[28, 29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power law</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>(\alpha^{1/4})</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parabolic law</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>(\alpha^2)</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valansi equation</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>(\alpha + (1 - \alpha)\ln(1 - \alpha))</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jander equation</td>
<td>D3</td>
<td>[1 - (1 - \alpha)^{1/3}]^2 ]</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginstling–Brounstein equation</td>
<td>D4</td>
<td>((1 - 2\alpha/3) - (1 - \alpha)^{2/3})</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avrami–Erofeev</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>((-\ln(1 - \alpha))^{1/2})</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avrami–Erofeev</td>
<td>A3</td>
<td>((-\ln(1 - \alpha))^{1/3})</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gibbs-free energy $\Delta G$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$), and entropy $\Delta S$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$) as presented in Equations (8)－(10).  

$$\Delta H = E_a - RT_p, \quad (8)$$  
$$\Delta G = E_a + RT_m \ln(K_b T_p/hA), \quad (9)$$  
$$\Delta S = (\Delta H - \Delta G)/T_p, \quad (10)$$

where $T_p$ (K) is the maximum decomposition temperature, $K_b$ (1.381 $\times$ 10$^{-23}$ J K$^{-1}$) the Boltzmann constant, and $h$ (6.626 $\times$ 10$^{-34}$ J s) is the Planck constant.

### 2.4 Kinetic and thermodynamics model validation

The kinetic parameters during the thermal degradation of C-PS blends were calculated in two active degradation zones.  

The inputs, outputs, and boundary conditions for calculating kinetic and thermodynamic parameters are presented in Figure 2A, B. First, the TG data were compiled in THINKS_v1.08 software. Then, the kinetic parameters were calculated in MagicPlot3.0 software. The transition zones were determined and their kinetics parameters through each $G(\alpha)$ were obtained. Then, the values of $E_a, A$, and linear regression ($R^2$) were extracted from the MagicPlot3.0 to Microsoft Excel for further calculation of thermodynamic parameters. The comparison was made for the specific stage of decomposition of each C-PS blend. The $G(\alpha)$ that showed the highest value for $R^2$ (0.9－1.0) among all other models was considered the best model that describes the pyrolytic kinetics of C-PS blends.

### 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Characterization of materials

The CHN-S analysis was performed to investigate the carbon (C), hydrogen (H), nitrogen (N), and sulfur (S) content present in the C-PS blends as illustrated in Figure 3A－D. The CHN-S analysis was performed not only on parent fuels (100C, 100PS) but also performed for each C-PS blend. The analysis of blends had the goal of determining how blending affected the contents of C, H, N, and S. Figure 3A represents the C content in C-PS blends, it was observed that the C content of 100C was 68.08%, while the C content for 100PS was 45.37%. In the case of 100C, the coalification process dictates the C content. Specifically, as the coalification processes advance, the carbon content tends to increase.  

The C content within the C-PS blends ranged from 49.69% to 64.92%, achieved through the incorporation of 20%－80% of 100PS biomass. Additionally, it was found that the C content increased with higher proportions of 100C in the blend. It has been reported that homogenized blends possessing compatible properties tend to improve both pyrolytic vapor quality and product quality.  

Figure 3B illustrates the H content of 100C (3.89%) and 100PS (6.96%). The higher H content in 100PS signifies that biomass possesses a higher hydrogen content in comparison to coal. This disparity contributes to the increased thermal reactivity of biomass in contrast to coal. The hydrogen content for C-PS blends ranged from 4.66% to 6.22% which indicates that H content in the blends was increased by the addition of 100PS. Furthermore, the structure of 100C predominantly comprised aromatic C=C rings. These rings were not readily broken at lower pyrolysis temperatures, resulting in lower product yields.  

The higher H content in 100PS, as illustrated in Figure 3B, serves as a hydrogen donor to accelerate the breakdown of 100C during copyrolysis.  

The N concentration of C-PS blends is presented in Figure 3C. In comparison to 100PS (with a nitrogen concentration of 0.42%), 100C exhibited a higher nitrogen concentration of 1.16%. However, the N content in C-PS blends ranged from 0.49% to 0.99%. With an increase in the proportion of 100PS in the C-PS blends, the N content demonstrated a reduction. In addition, studies undertaken on NO$_x$ emission during copyrolysis, observed that copyrolysis process has the capability to transform char-bound nitrogen (char-N) into volatile nitrogen (volatile N), potentially leading to a reduction of NO$_x$ emissions.  

The S content present in C-PS blends is presented in Figure 3D. It was observed that the S content is mostly found in 100C (0.54%) rather than 100PS (0.11%). The S content within the C-PS blend ranged from 0.19% to 0.45% which indicates that the addition of 100PS in the blends reduces the S content. Additionally, in the copyrolysis of C-PS blends the hydrogen donor nature of 100PS effectively desulfurizes 100C and permits sulfur to release in the form of H$_2$S.  

Hence, copyrolysis of C-PS blends effectively will reduce SO$_x$ emissions. The CHN-S analysis of several coal and biomass feedstocks sourced from the literature is depicted in Table 2. This comparison highlights that the C, H, N, and S content of 100C and 100PS align with the findings reported in the existing literature. The chemical composition of each biomass type is influenced by its distinct climate and formation conditions, thereby leading to alterations in its chemical properties.
Figure 4 depicts the investigation of the C-PS blends GCV. As could be seen, 100C had a GCV of 27.85 MJ kg\(^{-1}\) while 100PS had a GCV of 18.61 MJ kg\(^{-1}\). The GCV for C-PS blends ranged from 20.75 to 26.01 MJ kg\(^{-1}\). The GCV of C-PS blends was greater than individual 100PS. The GCV study of a few coal and biomass feedstocks is presented in Table 2, which demonstrates that the GCV of 100C and 100PS coincides with the literature. The addition of 100C to C-PS blends enhanced their GCV as a result of the higher C content in 100C, as previously discussed. The GCV of 60C:40PS was 24.03 MJ kg\(^{-1}\) which is much better than individual 100PS and also comparable to the energy content of imported fuels considered to be of relatively high quality. As discussed in the ultimate analysis, the C-PS blend cointilization

Figure 2  Block diagram for calculations of (A) kinetics parameters and (B) thermodynamics parameters. DTG, derivative thermogravimetry; TG, thermogravimetry; TGA, thermogravimetric analysis.
reduces the oxygen and hydrogen content while increasing the carbon. Additionally, the copyrolysis of the C-PS blend is expected to lower the emissions of NOₓ and SOₓ, while raising the GCV.44

Figure 5 displays the results of the FTIR analysis for C-PS blends, which reveals the chemical composition of both materials and the resulting blends. The chemical structures of 100C and 100PS contain a variety of
the presence of hydrocarbons and identify the extent of their functional groups, including O─H, C─H, C=O, and C─O─C. These functional groups indirectly represent the presence of hydrocarbons and identify the extent of their presence by absorbance intensity.\(^{55}\) The presence of phenols, alcohols, and organic acids is indicated by the peak for 100C being seen at 3681.0 cm\(^{-1}\) and having a range of 3571.13–3716.56 cm\(^{-1}\) attributed to the free O─H group.\(^{56}\) A self-contained hydrogen bond is shown by the peak at 3293.8 cm\(^{-1}\) and its surrounding interval of 3073.94–3531.74 cm\(^{-1}\).\(^{57}\) The asymmetric −CH\(_3\) and symmetric −CH\(_2\) stretching vibrations are responsible for the peaks at 2910.65 and 2845.0 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively, and their ranges are 2871.0–2976.77 and 2835.8–2871.1 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively.\(^{57}\) Additionally, the aromatic C≡C stretching vibration, which is dominant at 100C, is attributed to the peak at 1578 cm\(^{-1}\) in the range of 1513.78–1670.82 cm\(^{-1}\) as seen in Figure 5. Moreover, the −CH\(_3\) asymmetric deformation vibration is indicated by the minor peak at 1434.89 cm\(^{-1}\) and in the range of 1382.79–1493.6 cm\(^{-1}\). In the range of 1009.90–1127.50 cm\(^{-1}\), another strong peak at 1021.2 cm\(^{-1}\) suggests the presence of C─O stretching vibration.\(^{58}\) The peaks identified as the aromatic nucleus between 715.1 and 944.41 cm\(^{-1}\).\(^{57}\)

The 100PS is mostly composed of three major components hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin.\(^{58}\) The peak observed at 3285.91 cm\(^{-1}\) in a wider range of 2991.92–3678.89 cm\(^{-1}\) specifies the O─H group's stretching vibration due to carbohydrates and lignin.\(^{59}\) The peak at 2919.72, 2854.23, and 1422.23 cm\(^{-1}\) in the range of 2874.3–2991.92, 2782.7–2874.3, and 1389.49–1454.98 cm\(^{-1}\) ascribed to the presence of asymmetric −CH\(_3\), symmetric −CH\(_2\), and C─H deformation, respectively.\(^{58}\) The presence of an aromatic C=C ring is indicated by the peak at 1612.7 cm\(^{-1}\), which has a range of 1542.06–1697.6 cm\(^{-1}\).\(^{60}\) Peaks between 1696.8–1775.02, 1179.60–1291.24, and 833–1179.60 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributable to carbonyl C=O and C─O stretching vibration in esters and ethers bonds, respectively.\(^{61}\)

It further indicates that C-PS blends almost show intermediate behavior of both parent fuels. The intensity of O─H groups was very low in the case of 100C compared with 100PS. This indicates that the O─H groups are mostly found in 100PS. For C-PS blends, the O─H functional group was reduced by increasing the blending ratio of 100C which helped to increase the GCV of blends. Furthermore, the 100C contains fewer aliphatic hydrocarbons (−CH\(_2\)) as compared with 100PS. This indicates that hydrogen contents are also high in 100PS as shown previously in CHN-S analysis. The absorbance intensity of the aromatic C=C bond was high in the case of 100C which indicates 100C structure mostly contains carbons as exhibited in the ultimate analysis. Additionally, C-PS blending reduces the intensity of O─H, C=O, aliphatic C─H, C─O─C, and C─O functional groups while increasing the aromatic C=C ring. Hence, reducing the oxygen-holding functional groups and improving the GCV of C-PS blends.

Figure 6 depicts the TG/DTG of C-PS blends copyrolysis. The TG of C-PS blends was conducted at a heating rate of 10°C min\(^{-1}\) to establish effective particle interaction and reduce the amount of inorganic residue after copyrolysis.\(^{62}\) The material achieved the target temperature of 900°C more rapidly due to the faster heating rates, ultimately causing a temperature gradient between the material's interior and exterior layers, leading to elevated ignition, peak, and burnout.
temperatures.\textsuperscript{63} This phenomenon was explored in the analysis using Figure 6A, illustrating the TG behavior of C-PS blends, and also in Figure 6B, which depicts the derivative thermogravimetry (DTG) patterns of these blends. Due to the higher volatile content in 100PS, there was a notably more substantial mass loss (ML) compared with that observed in 100C. The three parts of the ML of 100PS and 100C are depicted in Figure 6A,B. The evaporation of lighter volatiles and moisture content is represented in the first part, which ranges from 28°C to 146°C. In Section I from Figure 6B, it could be seen that the moisture content was predominantly noticeable in 100PS compared with 100C because bituminous coal contains less moisture than the majority of biomass materials. Section II from Figure 6A,B indicates the active pyrolysis zone, in which most of the volatiles are emitted from 100PS. From Figure 6B, it can be seen that 100PS shows two major peaks for decomposition in Section II. The first peak represents the decomposition of hemicellulose components (146–338°C), while the second peak in Section II represents the decomposition of cellulose components (338–409.3°C).\textsuperscript{8} The primary thermal decomposition characteristics of Sections II and III are given in Table 2. For 100PS and 100C, the ignition temperature ($T_i$) was 146°C and 300.4°C, respectively. The $T_i$ for 100PS was less than that for 100C, which shows that 100PS is more reactive than 100C and ignited at much lower temperatures. Lower $T_i$ for 100PS than that for 100C is ascribed to the higher volatile matter present in 100PS.\textsuperscript{64} Moreover, when the $T_i$ of fuel is lower, the fuel will start to decompose at a lower temperature; hence, the reaction requires less energy from an external source and becomes self-sustaining at a lower temperature.\textsuperscript{65} The initiation of reactions at lower temperatures suggests a potential decrease in the energy barrier for these reactions. The final decomposition temperature ($T_f$) for 100PS and 100C was 409.4°C and 799.8°C, respectively. While the peak decomposition temperature ($T_p$) for 100PS was 314.2°C and 592.4°C for 100C. It can be seen that the temperature range of thermal decomposition for both 100C and 100PS was different due to the difference in chemical structure. The biomass structure is mainly composed of ether bonds that decompose at a lower temperature, while coal is composed of heavier aromatic $C=\overset{\text{N}}{=}$ bonds that decompose at high temperatures.\textsuperscript{66} The ML in Section II for 100PS was 59.2 wt%, whereas 100C does not have much ML in Section II. The residue left (RL) in Section II for 100PS and 100C was 37.5 and 58.5 wt%, respectively. The maximum decomposition rate ($DTG_{\text{max}}$) for 100PS in Section II was $-0.492$ wt% °C$^{-1}$. Hence, a significant decomposition for 100PS was done in Section II. Section III from Figure 6A,B represents the passive pyrolysis zone that indicates the slow decomposition of lignin and heavier hydrocarbon present in 100PS and 100C, respectively.\textsuperscript{67} The main characteristics of Section III are given in Table 2, which shows there was no peak in Figure 6B observed for the thermal decomposition of 100PS in this section. However, the 100C shows major ML in this section. The ML of 100C in Section III was 38.8 wt%, while the RL and $DTG_{\text{max}}$ of 100C were 58.5 wt% and $-0.128$ wt% °C$^{-1}$, respectively.

The copyrolysis behavior for C-PS blends is presented in Figure 6A,B. It could be seen that C-PS blends show three sections for ML. Section I indicates moisture release, Section II reflects the percentage of 100PS in blends, and Section III indicates the ratio of 100C in the blend.\textsuperscript{66} Mostly, the blend behavior was in between the parent fuels as shown in Table 2. Increasing the 100PS blending ratio impacts the $T_i$, $T_p$, and $T_f$ for C-PS blends. As could be seen, Section II $T_f$ and $T_p$ underwent very minor changes while the $T_i$ underwent a higher
reduction. The ML and DTGmax for C-PS blends increased by the increasing ratio of 100PS in the blend, while the RL exhibited a declining pattern. Section III from Figure 6B shows that Tf was reduced by the addition of 100PS in the blend. The reduction in Tf indicates that the energy consumption for thermal degradation of C-PS blends is becoming low as compared with individual coal.

Table 2 shows that the total mass loss (MLT) and total residue left (RLT) after 900°C in 100C were 47.61 and 52.39 wt%, respectively. MLT and RLT for 100PS were 78.81 and 21.8 wt% which is almost consistent with the literature. The MLT and RLT for C-PS blends were in the range of 53.75–68.87 and 31.13–46.25 wt%, respectively. The MLT for C-PS blends increased by raising the 100PS ratio in the blends, while RLT for blends decreased.

Synergistic and inhibitory effects in C-PS blends were investigated through Equation (1). The computed values derived from Equation (2) were compared with the experimental values of MLT and RLT, as revealed in Table 3. A positive value of the SI in terms of MLT indicates the presence of synergistic effect, whereas the negative values show an inhibitory effect. Figure 7A represents the synergistic evaluation in terms of TG analysis, while Figure 7B shows the DTG representation of the synergistic effect. From Figure 7A, it could be seen that the synergistic effect or inhibitory effect was not prominent before 400°C which might be due to the reflection of 100PS in the blend. After 400°C the synergistic effect was detected from the temperature range of 400–650°C that is due to the emission of volatiles from 100PS which help in the thermal cracking of 100C. As a result, for this specific temperature range, the experimental MLT of blends is much greater than the estimated values. Figure 7B shows that the Ti, Tf, andTp of the C-PS blend are also altered by adding 100PS and 100C, indicating the synergistic effect. At high temperatures (600–900°C), the alkaline earth metals present in biomass can absorb SOx, like, sulfites and sulfates, which provide a reduction in SOx emissions. Table 3 presents the SI in terms of MLT and RLT during co-pyrolysis which shows the presence and intensity of synergistic or inhibitory effects. It was observed that the blend 60C:40PS shows a positive value (0.0203 wt%) in terms of MLT whileShowing a negative value (−0.0303 wt%) in terms of RLT indicating the presence of a synergistic effect in this blend. The difference in values shows the volatile–volatile reaction and volatile–char reactions. Additionally, the other blends 80C:20PS, 40C:60PS, and 20C:80PS show the negative value (−0.0018, −0.0171, and −0.0509 wt%, respectively) in terms of MLT that refers to the inhibitory effect. The synergistic effect in

| TABLE 3 | TG/DTG thermal decomposition characteristics of C-PS blends (Sections II and III). |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Section II | 100C | 80C:20PS | 60C:40PS | 40C:60PS | 20C:80PS | 100PS |
| **Tf (°C)** | – | 179.5 | 163.9 | 156.2 | 151.9 | 146 |
| **Tp (°C)** | – | 310.8 | 309.0 | 312.0 | 315.7 | 314.2 |
| **Tf (°C)** | – | 397.2 | 401.7 | 402.4 | 406.6 | 409.4 |
| **ML (wt%)** | 13.0 | 26.5 | 34.7 | 49.3 | 59.2 |
| **RL (wt%)** | 84.3 | 71.0 | 62.4 | 48.0 | 37.8 |
| **DTGmax (wt% °C⁻¹)** | −0.112 | −0.227 | −0.304 | −0.409 | −0.492 |

| Section III | 100C | 80C:20PS | 60C:40PS | 40C:60PS | 20C:80PS | 100PS |
| **Tf (°C)** | 300.4 | 397.2 | 401.7 | 402.4 | 406.6 | – |
| **Tp (°C)** | 592.4 | 458.2 | 459.1 | 458.2 | 435.6 | – |
| **Tf (°C)** | 799.8 | 531.0 | 518.7 | 486.0 | 483.8 | – |
| **ML (wt%)** | 38.8 | 10.83 | 9.10 | 7.15 | 5.90 | – |
| **RL (wt%)** | 58.5 | 62.6 | 61.9 | 55.2 | 42.1 | – |
| **DTGmax (wt% °C⁻¹)** | –0.128 | –0.089 | –0.118 | –0.096 | –0.082 | – |

Overall (25–900°C)

| **MLT (wt%)** | 47.61 | 53.75 | 61.31 | 65.19 | 68.87 | 78.81 |
| **RLT (wt%)** | 52.39 | 46.25 | 38.69 | 34.81 | 31.13 | 21.18 |

Abbreviations: C-PS, coal-peach stone; DTG, derivative thermogravimetry; ML, mass loss; RL, residue left; TG, thermogravimetry.
C-PS blends may result from the transfer of H and OH radicals from 100PS to 100C, which accelerates the thermal cracking of 100C, and due to the presence of alkali and alkaline earth metals. Therefore, it is suggested that the blending ratio of 60C:40PS should be adopted (at 10°C min⁻¹) to gain a synergistic effect.

3.2 Kinetics and thermodynamics analysis

The thermokinetic characteristic of C-PS blends was investigated by employing the CR method. To compute the consequences of the blending ratio on the copyrolysis characteristics, 18 kinetic functions \( G(\alpha) \) were executed. The kinetic parameters \( E_a \), \( A \), and \( R^2 \) were extracted from TG/DTG statistics data and presented in Supporting Information Table S1. The \( E_a \) of C-PS blends through 18 kinetic functions \( G(\alpha) \) were computed and illustrated in Figure 8A,B. The moisture content sections of C-PS blends were not included in the kinetics study because kinetic parameters have a negligible or small influence on the overall process in this section. The kinetics characteristics were calculated in Sections II and III from Figure 6A,B. Also, the temperature range for the kinetic study was adopted from Table 2. The primary ML for 100PS occurred in Section II and was termed an active pyrolysis zone since the reaction occurred owing to the breakdown of hemicellulose and cellulose in this zone. While for 100C the main pyrolysis zone was Section III because major ML occurred in this section. For C-PS blends, there were two major zones of thermal degradation Sections II and III. Figure 8A represents the \( E_a \) during thermal decomposition in Section II, while Figure 8B shows the \( E_a \) during Section III. From Figure 8A,B and Supporting Information Table S1, it was perceived that the \( E_a \) and A for 100PS were more...
than 100C through all $G(\alpha)$. While for C-PS blends, it could be seen that the $E_a$ and $A$ were lowest for 80C:20PS in Section II, among all other blends. In Section II, the highest values of $E_a$ and $A$ for each C-PS blend were obtained through the Jander diffusion equation (D3) as revealed in Figure 8A. The D3 model shows high values of $E_a$ in Section II that was 106.76, 104.25, 98.40, 94.56, and 85.15 kJ mol$^{-1}$ while the $A$ was $1.4 \times 10^7$, $7.2 \times 10^6$, $1.2 \times 10^6$, $4.8 \times 10^5$, and $3.3 \times 10^4$ s$^{-1}$ for 100%, 80%, 60%, 40%, and 20% of PS in the blend, respectively. Figure 8A shows that the lowest values of $E_a$ and $A$ were obtained from the power law equation (P4). Confering to the P4 model the $E_a$ for 100%, 80%, 60%, 40%, and 20% of PS was 4.54, 4.30, 3.87, 3.42, and 2.28 kJ mol$^{-1}$, while the $A$ was 0.028, 0.025, 0.020, 0.016, and 0.007 s$^{-1}$, respectively. In Section III, Figure 8B shows that the highest $E_a$ and $A$ were obtained through a fourth-order chemical reaction (F4), while the $E_a$ and $A$ for 100%, 80%, 60%, 40%, and 20% of coal in the blend were 100.90, 116.55, 84.19, 66.24, and 70.10 kJ mol$^{-1}$, and $1.2 \times 10^6$, $9.6 \times 10^5$, $1.9 \times 10^6$, $6.9 \times 10^5$, and $1.1 \times 10^6$ s$^{-1}$, respectively. The lowest values of $E_a$ and $A$ were obtained through the P4 model in Section III. The literature presented on copyrolysis of date palm seeds and cashew shell waste revealed that the chemical reaction (CRO3) model produced high values of $E_a$ for blends containing 100%, 50%, and 0% of date palm seeds, respectively. These values were recorded as 186.05, 187.35, and 197.23 kJ mol$^{-1}$. In contrast, the nucleation reaction (NM3) model displayed the lowest values of $E_a$, which were measured as 2.30, 2.67, and 3.94 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for blends containing 100%, 50%, and 0% of date palm seeds, respectively. Furthermore, in another copyrolysis study of biochar derived from sewage sludge and lignin, the 3-D-J (Jander) model showed high values of $E_a$ in Stages 2 and 3. The $E_a$ in Stage 2 was 96.65, 86.91, 81.75, 73.97, and 69.05 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for 100%, 80%, 60%, 40%, and 20% of sewage sludge biochar in the blend. While the lowest values of $E_a$ in both stages of that study were obtained by reaction order (F0). Some of the $G(\alpha)$ show negative values of $E_a$ as shown in Supporting Information Table S1 which indicates this $G(\alpha)$ was not suitable for defining the copyrolysis behavior of C-PS blends. The values of parameter $A$ provide insights into the structure of the material. Lower values are indicative of surface reactions, while higher value refers to the complex reaction. Consequently, the higher value of $E_a$ and $A$ for 100PS indicates that the chemical reaction is more complicated. Whereas at a higher $A$ value, the $E_a$ was also observed to be high and vice versa.

The thermodynamic characteristics of C-PS blends were derived from the kinetics study. The calculations for thermodynamics parameters are presented in Supporting Information Table S2. The thermodynamics parameters obtained from 18 $G(\alpha)$ are shown in Figure 9A–F. The $T_p$ was taken from Table 2 for Sections II and III. The $\Delta H$ values for C-PS blends were higher in Section II than the values obtained from Section III for all $G(\alpha)$ as depicted in Figure 9A,B. In Section II, positive $\Delta H$ shows the reaction is endothermic. While in Section III, the $\Delta H$ values were negative and positive, as shown in Supporting Information Table S2, indicating the reaction is endothermic and exothermic. The values of $\Delta G$ were positive in both Sections II and III as shown in Figure 9C,D which indicates the reaction is nonspontaneous. Whereas as per Figure 9E,F, $\Delta S$ displays negative values in both Sections II and III, indicating that the structure of C-PS blends was more ordered.

### 3.3 Thermokinetic model validation

The behavior of coal and biomass blends during pyrolysis and combustion is often assessed using just first-order chemical reactions (F1). In this study, the most common 18 $G(\alpha)$ from Table 1 was used to examine the kinetic mechanism of C-PS blends for each stage of decomposition. The objective of using 18 $G(\alpha)$ is to determine the best response mechanism for each stage of C-PS blends. The comparison in 18 $G(\alpha)$ was made based on higher $R^2$. The $G(\alpha)$ was thought to be the best model to describe the kinetics and thermodynamics during copyrolysis since it demonstrates the best convergence of data sets. For each $G(\alpha)$ and C-PS blend during Sections II and III, Supporting Information Table S1 displays the value of $R^2$. Figure 10A–F represents the best-fitted $G(\alpha)$ that was based on a higher value of $R^2$.

From Figure 10A, it can be seen that the F1 model for 100C shows the highest value of $R^2$ (0.9931) among all other $G(\alpha)$. In Section III, the $E_a$ and $A$ for 100C were 45.85 kJ mol$^{-1}$ and 25.945 s$^{-1}$, respectively. For 100PS the highest value of $R^2$ (0.9873) was obtained from the Jander diffusion equation (D3) as shown in Figure 10B. The corresponding $E_a$ and $A$ for 100PS were 106.76 kJ mol$^{-1}$ and 1.44 $\times 10^4$ s$^{-1}$. The $E_a$ values in a study of the pyrolysis of hazelnut, walnut, and pistachio shells were 60.9, 69.6, and 73.5 kJ mol$^{-1}$, respectively. Additionally, Table 7 displays that the 100PS and 100C kinetic and thermodynamic parameters estimated using the CR approach are in good agreement with the findings given in the literature. The variation in $E_a$ during the pyrolysis of biomass and coal is caused by a number of variables, including the inert gas used for pyrolysis, the heating rate, the reaction mechanism, and the particle size of sample. For C-PS blends in Section II, the highest $R^2$ values (0.982–0.987) were obtained.
from the D3 model as shown in Figure 10C–F. The \( E_a \) and \( A \) was 85.15, 94.56, 98.40, and 104.25 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) and \( 3.37 \times 10^8, 4.87 \times 10^8, 1.29 \times 10^9, \) and \( 7.22 \times 10^8 \) s\(^{-1}\), respectively, for 80%, 60%, 40%, and 20% coal in the blend. It was observed that the \( E_a \) and \( A \) for C-PS blends in Section II were increased by the augment of PS in the blend. The findings of kinetic parameters evaluated for C-PS blends are consistent with recent literature presented in Table 7. In copyrolysis of *Miscanthus sacchariflorus* and three different rank coals, authors note that raising the biomass percentage in the blend raises the \( E_a \) of the blend.\(^{81}\) The \( E_a \) obtained based on a
Figure 10  Model fitted with highest $R^2$ for C-PS blends (A) 100C, (B) 100PS, (C) 80C:20PS, (D) 60C:40PS, (E) 40C:60PS, and (F) 20C:80PS. C-PS, coal-peat stone.
higher value of $R^2$ for each section of C-PS blends is illustrated in Figure 11 and the kinetics characteristics were presented in Table 4. In Section III from Table 4, it can be seen that the C-PS blend shows different models for the highest $R^2$. The 80C:20PS and 40C:60PS blends show the highest value of $R^2$ (0.998–0.999) through the D3 model. While, the $E_a$ and $A$ were 33.49 and 16.61 kJ mol$^{-1}$, at 0.763 and 0.052 s$^{-1}$ for 80% and 60% coal in the blend, respectively. 60C:40PS and 80C:20PS in Section III show a higher value of $R^2$ (0.994–0.995) through the second-order chemical reaction (F2). The $E_a$ of 60C:40PS and 80C:20PS was 27.58 and 19.62 kJ mol$^{-1}$, whereas the value of $A$ was 12.373 and 5.440 s$^{-1}$, respectively. For C-PS blends, Table 4 indicates that the $E_a$ and $A$ in Section III were lower by adding PS to the blends. Hence, adding PS to the blends is useful to reduce the $E_a$ in Section III$^{66}$ as illustrated in Figure 11. This finding substantiates that blended fuels in the post-425°C temperature range will require the selection of a short period of residence time while designing a copyrolyser reactor for biofuel production.

The thermodynamics parameter of verified models is presented in Table 5. The $\Delta H$ values for 100C and 100PS were 38.59 and 101.88 kJ mol$^{-1}$, respectively, which shows that both individual fuels are endothermic and require an external energy source to produce biofuels.$^{75}$ For C-PS blends the $\Delta H$ values in Section II were in the range of 80.29–98.96 kJ mol$^{-1}$. While in Section III the $\Delta H$ values ranged from 13.79 to 27.34 kJ mol$^{-1}$. Table 5 demonstrates how the percentage of PS in the blend causes the $\Delta H$ to rise in Section II and behave oppositely in Section III, indicating that the $\Delta H$ and $E_a$ behave similarly during copyrolysis.$^{66}$ Furthermore, the difference between $E_a$ and $\Delta H$ for each C-PS blend was less than 6 kJ mol$^{-1}$, indicating a decreased energy barrier and the viability of biofuel production.$^{82}$

The $\Delta G$ values for 100C and 100PS were 242.63 and 173.52 kJ mol$^{-1}$, respectively, and show positive values that indicate 100C is more nonspontaneous than 100PS.$^{75}$ Section II for C-PS blends shows that the $\Delta G$ values are in the range of 176.76–185.34 kJ mol$^{-1}$. While in Section III, the $\Delta G$ values were 186.77–220.01 kJ mol$^{-1}$ as shown in Table 5 confirming that less energy from an external source will be required in the activated complex. It is also notable that $\Delta G$ values for the blends in both sections (Sections II and III) were less than 100C which also indicates that 100C requires less energy from an external source to make an activated complex.$^{76}$ The $\Delta S$ values for 100C and 100PS were $-0.235$ and $-0.122$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$. The $\Delta S$ for C-PS blends in Section II was in the range of $-0.128$ to $-0.172$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$. While Section III was $-0.240$ to $-0.285$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$. Table 6 shows that in Section II the $\Delta S$ values decreased with increasing the PS in the blend. While in Section III the vice versa trend was observed. The lower value of $\Delta S$ for 100C indicates lower activity and takes more time to move towards thermodynamic equilibrium as compared

![Figure 11](image-url)  
**Figure 11** $E_a$ of C-PS blends in Sections II and III having the highest $R^2$ values. C-PS, coal-peach stone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Experimental $ML_T$ (wt%)</th>
<th>Experimental $RL_T$ (wt%)</th>
<th>Calculated $ML_T$ (wt%)</th>
<th>Calculated $RL_T$ (wt%)</th>
<th>Synergy index (SI) $ML_T$ (wt%)</th>
<th>Synergy index (SI) $RL_T$ (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100C</td>
<td>47.61</td>
<td>52.39</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80C:20PS</td>
<td>53.75</td>
<td>46.25</td>
<td>53.85</td>
<td>46.14</td>
<td>-0.0018</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60C:40PS</td>
<td>61.31</td>
<td>38.69</td>
<td>60.09</td>
<td>39.90</td>
<td>0.0203</td>
<td>-0.0303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40C:60PS</td>
<td>65.19</td>
<td>34.81</td>
<td>66.33</td>
<td>33.66</td>
<td>-0.0171</td>
<td>0.0341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20C:80PS</td>
<td>68.87</td>
<td>31.13</td>
<td>72.57</td>
<td>27.42</td>
<td>-0.0509</td>
<td>0.1353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100PS</td>
<td>78.81</td>
<td>21.18</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4** Synergistic effect investigation on major characteristics from TG/DTG.

Abbreviations: DTG, derivative thermogravimetry; ML, mass loss; RL, residue left; TG, thermogravimetry.
with 100PS. The thermodynamic parameters evaluated for 100C and 100PS are consistent with the literature as presented in Table 7. The higher value of \( \Delta S \) in conjunction with lower values of \( E_a \) and \( A \) for the blends, in post 425°C operating temperature (Section III) signifies fast reactions with a relatively shorter time to reach thermodynamic equilibrium. According to the thermokinetics model-fitted study, C-PS blends are suitable for boosting bioenergy production and successfully turning PS waste into a useful product, the 60C:40PS blend showed optimal results and is favoring its selection via SI for bio-oil production.

### 3.4 Impact of properties of C-PS blends on the thermokinetics

This section discusses the influence of physiochemical aspects on thermokinetics. In-depth analyses of 100C and 100PS were carried out, and the results are compared with existing research in Table 1. When 100C and 100PS were compared with different coals and biomasses, the present study revealed that 100PS had the greatest hydrogen concentration, nearly 7%, while having the lowest carbon content (45%) that is also being verified in terms of the value of GCV (18.61 MJ kg\(^{-1}\)). In 100PS biomass, nitrogen and sulfur were discovered to be present in amounts less than 0.5%. This indicates that when mixing 100PS with 100C, less harmful gases, such as sulfur oxides and nitrogen oxides, will develop. The GCV of 100C, which is 27.85 MJ kg\(^{-1}\), is validated by the average carbon percentage of almost 68%, however, the hydrogen percentage is the lowest at 3.89%. The hydrogen content of 100PS is higher than that of 100C since the GCV reduces with oxygen content and increases with carbon and hydrogen concentrations.

### Table 5 Kinetic characteristics of C-PS blends copyrolysis after selection of the best model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>100C</th>
<th>80C:20PS</th>
<th>60C:40PS</th>
<th>40C:60PS</th>
<th>20C:80PS</th>
<th>100PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( G(\alpha) )</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>D3</td>
<td>D3</td>
<td>D3</td>
<td>D3</td>
<td>D3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_a ) (kJ mol(^{-1}))</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>85.15</td>
<td>94.56</td>
<td>98.40</td>
<td>104.25</td>
<td>106.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A ) (s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.37 \times 10^4</td>
<td>4.87 \times 10^5</td>
<td>1.29 \times 10^6</td>
<td>7.22 \times 10^6</td>
<td>1.44 \times 10^7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>0.987</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section II**

| \( G(\alpha) \) | – | F1 | D3 | F2 | D3 | F2 | – |
| \( E_a \) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) | 45.81 | 33.49 | 27.58 | 16.61 | 19.62 | – | – |
| \( A \) (s\(^{-1}\)) | 25.945 | 0.763 | 12.373 | 0.052 | 5.440 | – | – |
| \( R^2 \) | 0.993 | 0.998 | 0.994 | 0.999 | 0.995 | 0.997 | 0.998 |

**Section III**

| \( \Delta H \) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) | – | 80.29 | 89.27 | 93.53 | 98.96 | 101.88 |
| \( \Delta G \) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) | – | 181.08 | 185.34 | 176.70 | 180.57 | 173.52 |
| \( \Delta S \) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)) | – | -0.172 | -0.151 | -0.142 | -0.128 | -0.122 |

| \( \Delta H \) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) | 38.59 | 27.34 | 21.52 | 10.56 | 13.79 | – |
| \( \Delta G \) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) | 242.63 | 220.01 | 196.26 | 217.88 | 186.77 | – |
| \( \Delta S \) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)) | -0.235 | -0.263 | -0.240 | -0.285 | -0.246 | – |

**Table 6 Thermodynamic characteristics of C-PS blends copyrolysis after selection of the best model.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>100C</th>
<th>80C:20PS</th>
<th>60C:40PS</th>
<th>40C:60PS</th>
<th>20C:80PS</th>
<th>100PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta H ) (kJ mol(^{-1}))</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>80.29</td>
<td>89.27</td>
<td>93.53</td>
<td>98.96</td>
<td>101.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta G ) (kJ mol(^{-1}))</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>181.08</td>
<td>185.34</td>
<td>176.70</td>
<td>180.57</td>
<td>173.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta S ) (kJ mol(^{-1}) K(^{-1}))</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>-0.172</td>
<td>-0.151</td>
<td>-0.142</td>
<td>-0.128</td>
<td>-0.122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section III**

| \( \Delta H \) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) | 38.59 | 27.34 | 21.52 | 10.56 | 13.79 | – |
| \( \Delta G \) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) | 242.63 | 220.01 | 196.26 | 217.88 | 186.77 | – |
| \( \Delta S \) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)) | -0.235 | -0.263 | -0.240 | -0.285 | -0.246 | – |

**Abbreviation:** C-PS, coal-peach stone.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feedstock</th>
<th>Best-fitted model</th>
<th>$E_a$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta H$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta G$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta S$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peach stone (100PS),</td>
<td>Jander equation-diffusion models (D3), and</td>
<td>100PS = 106.76,</td>
<td>100PS = 101.88,</td>
<td>100PS = 173.52,</td>
<td>100PS = −0.122,</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bituminous coal (100C)</td>
<td>First-order-chemical reaction (F1)</td>
<td>100C = 45.81,</td>
<td>100C = 38.59,</td>
<td>100C = 242.63,</td>
<td>100C = −0.235</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date seeds (DS), Cashew</td>
<td>Ginstling–Brounstein, Jander, and Ginstling</td>
<td>DS = 104–118,</td>
<td>DS = 102–116,</td>
<td>DS = 150–156,</td>
<td>DS = −0.13 to −0.16,</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shells (CS)</td>
<td>equations-diffusion models</td>
<td>CS = 115–134</td>
<td>CS = 112–132,</td>
<td>CS = 161–168,</td>
<td>CS = −0.11 to −0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemp (H), sawdust (SD),</td>
<td>Deceleratory reaction mechanism (R3), second-</td>
<td>H = 44,</td>
<td>H = 39,</td>
<td>H = 160,</td>
<td>H = −0.197,</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sub-bituminous coal (C)</td>
<td>order chemical reaction (F2), and one-and-a-half</td>
<td>SD = 60,</td>
<td>SD = 55,</td>
<td>SD = 176,</td>
<td>SD = −0.187,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chemical reaction (F3/2)</td>
<td>C = 39,</td>
<td>C = 33,</td>
<td>C = 201,</td>
<td>C = −0.227</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice husk (RH),</td>
<td>Chemical reaction order 1 (F1), and chemical</td>
<td>RH = 59.15–67,</td>
<td>RH = 53.89–62.54,</td>
<td>RH = 443.5–445.7,</td>
<td>RH = −0.603,</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bituminous coal (C)</td>
<td>reaction order 1.5 (F1.5)</td>
<td>C = 25.28–30.64</td>
<td>C = 19.20–24.56,</td>
<td>C = 525.9–527.9,</td>
<td>C = −0.60 to −0.695</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date palm surface fibers</td>
<td>Diffusion models (one-way transport [DM1],</td>
<td>84–100</td>
<td>80–97,</td>
<td>151–164,</td>
<td>−0.17 to −0.18</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and Valensi equation [DM4])</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algae consortium (AC),</td>
<td>Jander equation-diffusion models (D3), and</td>
<td>AC = 78.22,</td>
<td>AC = 73.44,</td>
<td>AC = 170.70,</td>
<td>AC = −0.17,</td>
<td>[85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low-rank coal (BC)</td>
<td>third-order-chemical reactions (F3)</td>
<td>BC = 85.04</td>
<td>BC = 78.99,</td>
<td>BC = 197.10,</td>
<td>BC = −0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 7 Kinetic and thermodynamic parameters of coal and biomass calculated using the Coats–Redfern method.

In light of the findings, it is recommended to operate the 60C–40PS blend at temperatures greater than 400°C and 700°C, respectively. The outcomes of several factors, including the reduced mass loss and the potential interactions of radicals, suggested that the biomass would make a possible source of bioenergy, and the potential interactions of radicals. The outcomes of the kinetic analysis showed that a material's physiochemical characteristics influence its chemical reactions. The kinetic study demonstrated that the biomass would make a suitable source of bioenergy.
4 | CONCLUSIONS

The present study revealed that copyrolysis of PS, a waste material utilized effectively instead of disposal after peach utilization in industries, and bituminous coal outperform the pyrolysis of individual materials in terms of evaluating its SI, thermokinetics, and reaction mechanism. The TGA results indicate that the $ML_T$ for 100PS and 100C were 78.81% and 47.61%, respectively. This confirms a higher presence of volatiles in 100PS and with its increasing ratio within C-PS blends, the $ML_T$ also increases ranging from 53.75% to 68.87%. Furthermore, the SI of 0.0203% was observed only in the 60C:40PS blend, pertaining to the $ML_T$ recorded at 61.31%. This occurrence indicates a positive effect conducive to the bio-oil generation, while, an inhibitory effect was observed for the remaining C-PS blends in terms of $ML_T$ favoring the bio-char formation. To comprehend the thermokinetic properties of C-PS blends, the CR model-fitting method with 18 kinetic functions was applied. The $E_a$ for 100PS and 100C was 106.76 and 45.85 kJ mol$^{-1}$ through D3 and F1 reaction mechanistic models, respectively. In Section II, the $E_a$ of C-PS blends increased with the incorporation of 100PS. Conversely, in Section III, the opposite trend was observed, where the addition of 100PS led to a decrease in $E_a$. For C-PS blends, in Section II the kinetic study reveals the D3 model while, in Section III, F2, and D3 models were observed to be most suitable for accurately defining the copyrolysis reaction mechanism. The optimized blend, 60C:40PS, lowered the $E_a$ of the base fuels to 94.56 and 27.58 kJ mol$^{-1}$, as determined by the D3 and F2 models, respectively, in both sections of this study. The optimized blend showed a preference for selection based on the SI suitable for the purpose of bio-oil production. The positive values of $\Delta H$ and $\Delta G$ for C-PS blends indicate that the copyrolysis reactions were endothermic and nonspontaneous showing feasibility under specific conditions. The values of $\Delta H$ and $\Delta G$ were also optimized through the optimal blend 60C:40PS to 89.27 and 185.34 kJ mol$^{-1}$ in Section II and 21.52 and 196.26 kJ mol$^{-1}$ in Section III, respectively. While the $\Delta S$ shows negative values which indicates the reaction is nearer to accomplishing its thermodynamics equilibrium and stable product formation in copyrolysis of 100C and 100PS. The results obtained from this in-depth thermokinetic study are crucial for the efficient design of a copyrolysis system for bioenergy production. An important recommendation inferred from this study is to operate the full-scale copyrolyser reactor within the temperature range of 425–700°C, particularly for the 60C:40PS blend. This approach when adopted shortens the duration required to attain thermodynamic equilibrium, diminishes reliance on external sources (reduced energy barrier), and enhances interactions amongst radicals due to the increased presence of volatiles. This operational strategy is expected to increase the efficacy and efficiency of the copyrolysis process, especially for the generation of bio-oil; nevertheless, further investigations are necessary to use these by-products for commercial-level applications with minimum up-gradation.
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