

Table 2

*Neuropsychological results of both participant groups*

	HC	VLOSLP				
	N=36	N=35				
	M (SD)	M (SD)	<i>t</i> (df)	p-value	partial eta-	Cohen's d
	Range	Range			squared	(CL effect size %)
DS forward	5.39 (0.93)	5.00 (0.94)	1.749 (69)	.085	.04	
	3-7	3-7				
DS backward	4.17 (1.11)	3.43 (0.78)	3.239 (69)	.002*	.13	0.78 (71%)
	2-6	2-5				
Stroop I	54.50 (10.46)	74.46 (17.71)	-5.761 (54.85)	<.004*	.33	1.40 (83%)
	39-90	46-120				
Stroop IF	62.90 (19.03)	128.35 (71.31)	-5.251 (38.69)	<.001*	.29	1.28 (81%)
	31-95.17	33.5-364.5				

RAVLT sum	47.78 (11.30)	32.71 (11.12)	5.660 (69)	<.001*	.32	1.36 (83%)
	24-70	14-62				
RAVLT delayed recall	9.89 (3.92)	6.11 (3.99)	4.017 (69)	<.001*	.19	0.97 (75%)
	1-15	0-15				
RAVLT recognition	13.61 (1.70)	12.17 (2.58)	2.768 (58.46)	.008	.10	
	7-15	4-15				
AVF	20.14 (5.46)	15.00 (6.71)	3.543 (69)	.001*	.15	0.85 (72%)
	9-33	5-43				
BNT	50.63 (7.09)	44.79 (9.26)	2.981 (63.70)	.004*	.12	0.72 (69%)
	30-60	27-59				

*Note.* HC = healthy controls, VLOSLP = Very-late-onset schizophrenia-like psychosis, M = mean, SD = standard deviation, Stroop IF: Stroop Interference Factor, DS = Digit Span, RAVLT = Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test, AVF = Animal Verbal Fluency, BNT = Boston Naming Test. After applying Bonferroni correction differences between the two groups were considered significant at a  $p < .005$  level; \* =  $p < .005$ . ES = Effect size: Cohen's  $d$  was used to measure effect sizes of the group differences (Zakzanis et al., 2001); CL effect size, common language effect

size, the % chance that in randomly selected pairs of individuals the participant from one group would score higher than the participant from the second group