Expanding the Substrate Scope of N- and O-Methyltransferases from Plants for Chemoselective Alkylation**

Pia M. Hebecker,[a] Désirée Popadić,[a] Helen C. Hailes,[b] and Jennifer N. Andexer*[a]

Methylation reactions are of significant interest when generating pharmaceutically active molecules and building blocks for other applications. Synthetic methylating reagents are often toxic and unselective due to their high reactivity. S-Adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM)-dependent methyltransferases (MTs) present a chemoselective and environmentally friendly alternative. The anthranilate N-MT from Ruta graveolens (RgANMT) is involved in acridone alkaloid biosynthesis, methylating anthranilate. Although it is known to methylate substrates only at the N-position, the closest relatives with respect to amino acid sequence similarities of over 60% are O-MTs catalysing the methylation reaction of caffeate and derivatives containing only hydroxyl groups (CaOMTs). In this study, we investigated the substrate range of RgANMT and a CaOMT from Prunus persica (PpCaOMT) using compounds with both, an amino- and hydroxyl group (aminophenols) as possible methyl group acceptors. For both enzymes, the reaction was highly chemoselective. Furthermore, generating cofactor derivatives in situ enabled the transfer of other alkyl chains onto the aminophenols, leading to an enriched pool of products. Selected MT reactions were performed at a preparative biocatalytic scale in vivo and in vitro experiments resulting in yields of up to 62%.

Introduction

S-Adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) is an ubiquitous enzyme cofactor.[5] In nature, only S'-adenosine triphosphate (ATP) is used more often as an enzyme cosubstrate.[22] The chemical structure of SAM was determined in 1952 by Cantoni et al. and can be divided into two main components: an amino acid part arising from L-methionine, and an adenosyl part derived from ATP. Both moieties are linked by the positively charged sulphonium, activating the cofactor for nucleophilic attack.[3–5] In addition, SAM can also act as a source for radicals and as an ylide.[1,8] Methylation is one important function of SAM – the acceptor molecules vary from small compounds such as dopamine or anthranilate (1) to macromolecules such as proteins or DNA.[2–6] Under physiological conditions, SAM is unstable and degrades non-enzymatically.[6,7] In the last few years, different multienzyme systems have been established for SAM supply and regeneration:12–15 in this work we use a three-enzymes cascade as described by others and us.[6,7] ATP and L-methionine are used for the in situ synthesis of the cofactor SAM, catalysed by an L-methionine adenosyltransferase (MAT, EC 2.5.1.6, first step); the methyl group of SAM is transferred onto a substrate by a methyltransferase (MT, EC 2.1.1.x, second step); S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine (SAH), the by-product of the previous reaction is then cleaved into adenine and S-ribosyl-L-homocysteine by a methylthioadenosine/ SAH nucleosidase (MTAN, EC 3.2.2.9, third step) (Figure 1a). Such enzyme cascades offer several advantages: the in situ synthesis ensures a stereoselective production of the cofactor; moreover, the starting substrates (ATP and L-methionine) can be modified to produce more stable derivates.[18] Also, MAT enzymes accept L-methionine analogues forming cofactor derivatives with altered residues such as ethyl, propargyl, allyl, and benzyl groups that are further transferred by MTs.[19–22] Furthermore, SAH, the by-product of the alkylation reaction is known to be an inhibitor for many MTs.[23] Cleavage of SAH catalysed by the third enzyme in the cascade – the MTAN – is irreversible, shifting the equilibrium towards the product side and preventing inhibition effects on the methylation step.
Figure 1. a) Three-enzyme cascade used in this work. l-Methionine (L-Met) and ATP serve as starting material for the in situ synthesis of the cofactor SAM, catalysed by a MAT enzyme. The methyl group of SAM is transferred onto different substrates by an MT. The reaction catalysed by the ANMT N-methylates antranilate (1a) (green). The natural reaction catalysed by the CaOMT is the methylation in 3-position of caffeate (2) to 3-methoxy-4-hydroxycinnnamate 2b (blue). In SAM-dependent methylation reactions the by-product SAH is formed, a known inhibitor for many MTs. Therefore, a third enzyme – MTAN – is used to cleave SAH into adenine and S-ribosyl-L-homocysteine (SRH) to shift the equilibrium to the product side. b) Representation of the MsCaOMT crystal structure (pdb-ID 1KYZ, blue) and an ANMT Alphafold model (Uniprot accession number ARK7U0, green). c) Comparison of the active sites of the crystal structure and model from b. MsCaOMT was co-crystallised with SAH and product 2b. The labelled histidine residue from MsCaOMT acting as the catalytic base is also found in the RgANMT model.

The chemical and physical properties of molecules are altered in different ways by the installation of the methyl group. Not only in nature, but also in pharmaceutical and biotechnological industry, methylation is of great interest. Many small molecule drugs contain at least one methyl group availing the so-called “magic methyl effect”. Traditionally, methylation is carried out with reagents such as methyl iodide. Enzyme cascades present a non-toxic and environmentally friendly approach that can be used at scale following process optimisation.

MTs are highly chemo- and stereoselective, they can be grouped into C-, N-, O-, S- and halide MTs, depending on the heteroatom receiving the methyl group. O-MTs are the largest group, with several subgroups being explored as biocatalysts for technical application. A recent example is a carboxyl MT (FtpM) from Aspergillus fumigatus reported to dimethylate dicarboxylic acids. The enzyme was adapted to a cascade forming FDME, a bioplastic precursor. Later, replacing the enzyme by a rationally designed variant led to improved conversion numbers of FDME over 98%, showing the potential for industrial use of enzyme cascades. Other O-MTs are involved in important reactions such as neurotransmitter deactivation and lignin biosynthesis due to their often rather broad substrate range, they are also promising candidates for chemical synthesis. This includes catechol O-MTs (COMTs) known for the O-methylation of dopamine. In previous studies it was found that the COMT from Myxococcus xanthus (MxSaC) was also able to perform a double methylation of tetrahydroisoquinolines while the related enzyme from Rattus norvegicus (RnCOMT) transferred only one methyl group regioselectively. Different classification systems have been suggested to distinguish between similar enzymes. Regarding plant hydroxyl O-MTs, Joshi et al. introduced a classification system dividing O-MTs into class I and II, according to their amino acid sequence, size and dependence on metal ions. Class I O-MTs range between 231 and 248 amino acids and have metal ions such as Mg²⁺ as cofactor; this classification can be extended to enzymes from other organisms, grouping mammalian metal ion dependent COMTs such as RnCOMT and the bacterial MxSaC into class I. Class II enzymes are typically comprised of 344–384 amino acids and are independent of metal ions; a prominent example are class II O-MTs catalysing the methylation reaction of caffeate (2) (CaOMTs, EC 2.1.1.68). This enzyme family is involved in the biosynthesis of lignin (Figure 1). Besides 2 and derivatives, CaOMT has also shown activity towards 5-hydroxyferulic acid and analogues and methylates at the hydroxyl group in 3- or 5-position. Not only O-MTs fall into this group, also N-MTs such as the antranilate NMT from Ruta graveolens (RgANMT, EC 2.1.1.111) clusters with class II enzymes (Figure 1).

The N-methylation of 1, catalysed by the ANMT is a crucial step for the formation of acridone alkaloids and important for plant growth. Despite the high similarity in amino acid sequences of CaOMTs and CAOMTs (> 50% amino acid identity), the methyl acceptor atoms are different. Regarding the methylation mechanism, CaOMTs use a histidine residue as the
catalytic base deprotonating the hydroxyl group that receives the methyl group of SAM.\textsuperscript{[31]} This histidine residue is found at an equivalent position in ANMTs (Figure 1c).\textsuperscript{[34]} However, \textit{RgANMT} has been described to exclusively catalyse the methylation of the amino group in N.\textsuperscript{1,34} In a recent paper describing an MT screening assay, initial insights into an extended substrate range towards other aniline substrates were reported.\textsuperscript{[31]}

To our knowledge, only one ANMT has been described to date, which makes a bioinformatic analysis of the underlying molecular differences challenging. In this study, we analysed a panel of putative N- and O-selective MTs regarding their substrate scopes. The results led to the discovery of a new ANMT, and the detailed characterisation of aminophenols as substrates for ANMT as well as CaOMT enzymes.

## Results and Discussion

Based on homology searches for \textit{RgANMT} using Protein BLAST, five enzymes with an amino acid identity >50\% were selected for use in further experiments (Table 1). Three candidates were from \textit{Citrus sinensis} (Cs), one from \textit{Prunus persica} (Pp), and one from \textit{Medicago sativa} (Ms); the latter had already been characterised as a CaOMT (amino acid alignment in S; Figure S2a).\textsuperscript{[31]}

The cloning of \textit{RgANMT} has been described previously.\textsuperscript{[36]} The \textit{Escherichia coli} codon optimised synthetic genes encoding the other enzymes were cloned into pET-28a(+) vectors and were heterologously produced in \textit{E. coli} BL21-Gold(DE3). All proteins contain an N-terminal His\textsubscript{6}-Tag and were purified via immobilised-metal affinity chromatography (IMAC). All proteins, except for one from \textit{Citrus sinensis} (KDO86634.1) were soluble (Figure S1) and tested for activity using an aniline screening assay, initial insights into an extended substrate scope (Figure 2). All compounds tested contained an amino- and hydroxyl group, making them potential substrates for both, N- and O-MTs. The third substituent was a nitro (3, 4), bromo (5, 6), or chloro (7, 8) group either in the C-3 or C-4 position. \textit{PpCaOMT} accepted all substrates containing a phenolic group (Figure 3). The acceptance of substrates 3, 4 and 6 by \textit{RgANMT} as suggested previously\textsuperscript{[35]} was confirmed; in addition, \textit{RgANMT}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Identity [%]*</th>
<th>Similarity [%]*</th>
<th>UniProt/ NCBI accession number</th>
<th>N-methylation</th>
<th>O-methylation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Ruta graveolens} (Rg)</td>
<td>ANMT\textsuperscript{[34]}</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A9X7L0.1</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Citrus sinensis} (Cs)</td>
<td>ANMT</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>KAH9787224.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Citrus sinensis} (Cs)</td>
<td>not soluble</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>KDO86634.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Prunus persica} (Pp)</td>
<td>CaOMT</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>XP 007218135.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Citrus sinensis} (Cs)</td>
<td>CaOMT</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>XP 006494578.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Medicago sativa} (Ms)</td>
<td>CaOMT\textsuperscript{[31]}</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>P28002.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*referring to the amino acid sequence of \textit{RgANMT}. The protein from \textit{Citrus sinensis} (KDO86634.1) could not be used for activity assays due to solubility issues. + + + = full conversion (>99\%); + = medium conversion (>50\%); + = low conversion (<10\%); - = no conversion

### Substrate Scope

Comparing the results of the two ANMTs and three CaOMTs, \textit{RgANMT} and \textit{PpCaOMT} showed the highest conversions for 1 and 2, respectively. These two enzymes were then used in more detailed investigations with an extended substrate scope (Figure 2). All compounds tested contained an amino- and hydroxyl group, making them potential substrates for both, N- and O-MTs. The third substituent was a nitro (3, 4), bromo (5, 6), or chloro (7, 8) group either in the C-3 or C-4 position. \textit{PpCaOMT} accepted all substrates containing a phenolic group (Figure 3). The acceptance of substrates 3, 4 and 6 by \textit{RgANMT} as suggested previously\textsuperscript{[35]} was confirmed; in addition, \textit{RgANMT}

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{Substrates 3–8 and the corresponding N-methylated (3a–8a) and O-methylated (3b–8b) products described in this study.}
\end{figure}
Figure 3. a: Formation of different products with conversion numbers starting with either substrates 3 and 4 using RgANMT (green) and PpCaOMT (blue).

b: Selected HPLC chromatograms representing the formation of 3a catalysed by RgANMT starting with 3, and the formation of 3ab catalysed by PpCaOMT starting with 3a as substrate. 3a was synthesised in vivo and purified before use. Small amounts of the starting material 3 were co-purified and methylated to product 3b during the reaction. The reactions were stopped after 20 h.

c: The chemoselectivity of the enzymes was confirmed by $^{13}$C-NMR experiments using $^{13}$C-labelled l-methionine in the enzyme cascade. The methyl group adjacent to the amino group gives a signal at 29 ppm and adjacent to the hydroxyl group at 56 ppm.
also accepted substrates 5, 7, and 8. The corresponding HPLC traces show a high chemoselectivity of the two enzymes: the $N$- and $O$-alkylated products show shifts in retention time with the $N$-alkylated products eluting first (Figure 3b; $Pp$CaOMT catalysed reaction). For the $O$-methylated products, the methylated compounds were available commercially, the $N$-methylated ones were produced enzymatically in vivo, purified and characterised with NMR spectroscopy (for details see SI). The chemoselectivity was additionally confirmed by $^{13}C$-NMR spectroscopy using $^{13}C$-labelled SAM produced from $^{13}C$-labelled L-methionine. The transfer of the $^{13}C$-labelled methyl group enables a distinct assignment of the group acting as the nucleophile. In the NMR spectra of the $Rg$ANMT reaction, the signal at 29 ppm could be assigned to the carbon adjacent to the amino group. For the $Pp$CaOMT reaction, the new signal appeared at 56 ppm, confirming methylation in the hydroxyl position (Figure 3c; Figure S 12–15).

For both enzymes, full conversion of 3 and 4 into the single methylated products 3a/b and 4a/b was reached after 20 hours. The two substrates were used to record a time course for comparison of the enzyme velocities. The results showed that substrate 4 was converted more rapidly in both cases, with $Rg$ANMT being the faster enzyme converting 4 and 3 in 5 min and 40 min, respectively. For the $Pp$CaOMT reactions, full conversions occurred after 240 min for 4 and after 420 min for 3 (Figure 4; Figure S6). The nitro substituents of both 3 and 4 have electron withdrawing effects; nevertheless, we did not recognise an impact on the biocatalytic methyl group transfer on either the amino or the hydroxyl group. In contrast to 3 and 4, we did not observe full conversion for all of the halide containing substrates. After 20 h, amount of starting material 5 and 7 were still present, while 6 and 8 were fully converted to the products by both enzymes. Here, the positioning of the third substituent seems to be more important than for the nitro compounds, possibly due to interactions with several residues in the active site.

In 2002, Zubieta et al. reported the crystal structure of MsCaOMT. This enzyme has been described to accept a wide range of caffeic acid derivatives such as caffeoyl aldehyde and 5-hydroxyconiferaldehyde, methylating them at the 3- or 5-position. They proposed that the spacious active site is one reason for the acceptance of different molecules. This can be

![Figure 4. Timeline of methylation reaction for 3 to methylated products 3a and 3b.](image-url)

a: Reaction catalysed by $Rg$ANMT. Full conversion was reached after 40 min. The decrease of the substrate 3 (black) and increase of product 3a (green) is shown after 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 40, 60, 120 and 240 min.
b: Reaction catalysed by $Pp$CaOMT. Full conversion was reached after 420 min. The decrease of the substrate 3 (black) and increase of product 3b (blue) is shown after 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 40, 60, 120, 240, 300, 360, 420 and 480 min.
extended to the highly similar PpCaOMT and RgANMT (Table 1, Figure S2) and might explain why the position of the hydroxyl and amino group is not decisive for substrate binding. So far, the molecular reasons for the differing chemoselectivity could not be explained by simple docking experiments with RgANMT with different substrates. In ongoing studies in our laboratory, a closer investigation of the active sites by co-crystallisation and computational methods such as QM/MM studies, as well as mutagenesis experiments will be carried out in order to understand the differences in the enzymes’ mechanisms leading to highly pure N- and O-alkylated products. Even though the two enzymes are similar regarding their amino acid sequences (and likely also their three-dimensional structures), the substrate positioning and or mechanism must differ leading to the high chemoselectivity of both enzymes.

Interestingly, when the N-methylated products 3a and 4a were incubated with the CaOMT they were accepted to achieve a second methylation step at the oxygen leading to full conversion for 3a and conversions >90% for 4a (Figure 3; Figure S10–S11). In contrast to this, 3b and 4b were not accepted as substrates by RgANMT. This might be due to differences in RgANMT’s active site hindering access by the bulkier O-methylated compounds or the correct binding mode near the cofactor.

**Preparative Synthesis of Selected Compounds**

The N-methylated products for all used substrates are not commercially available. We therefore decided to elucidate the potential of RgANMT as a catalyst for the preparative enzymatic synthesis of 3a and 4a. An in vitro and an in vivo approach was set up for comparison purposes. The in vitro experiments were performed at a 20 mL scale using 10% (V/V) crude clarified lysate of all enzymes involved in the three-enzyme cascade. ATP and L-methionine were added in excess (0.2 mmol), and 0.1 mmol of 3 or 4 was used as the methyl acceptor. After 20 h of incubation at 37°C the reaction was stopped and the products were extracted and purified via preparative HPLC. The purified yield of the products 3a and 4a were 62% and 34%, respectively. For the in vivo approach, 3 and 4 were methylated with *E. coli* BL21-Gold(DE3) whole cells transformed with pET28a(+)::rzganmt. A culture with an OD₆₀₀ of 3 in MM9-medium was incubated with 4 mmol L-methionine and 0.15 mmol of 3 or 4 for 48 h at 30°C followed by preparative purification via Puriflash. In the in vivo experiment the yield for 3a and 4a were 43% and 19%, respectively. NMR spectroscopic analysis confirmed the formation of the N-methylated products for both experiments (Figure S16–S19). In the HPLC traces, small amounts of the starting material remained and were observed (Figure S9). Optimised purification steps might increase the yields and purity for all experiments. Both methods were successful regarding the production of the desired substances (Table 2). In the in vitro approach, the required compounds can be added more precisely, and this also presents a straightforward opportunity to use cofactor analogues as described before.\[19\,20\] In the in vivo approach, the cofactor ATP is provided by the cell. Also, cells can be kept alive and be used for a continuous process while enzymes used in in vitro studies will lose activity over time. Substrates unable to pass the bacterial cell wall will not be methylated, as the cofactor and enzyme are only present inside of the cell.

**Alkylation Reactions**

Besides methylation, the three-enzymes cascade has already been used for the transfer of bulkier allyl chains in previous work.\[19–22\] For the in situ synthesis of SAM derivatives, we used L-ethionine or S-allyl-L-homocysteine together with ATP (forming either S-adenosyl-L-ethionine (SAE) as ethyl group donor, or S-adenosyl-S-allyl-L-homocysteine (SAAA) as allyl group donor). Instead of EcMAT, the MAT from *Thermococcus kodakarenensis* (Tk) was employed since previous data suggested its superior suitability for the transfer of bulkier alkyl chains.\[19,38\] In earlier work, it was shown that RgANMT accepts modified cofactors and can transfer ethyl groups onto 1.\[14\]

Experiments were performed to determine if RgANMT and PpCaOMT can use SAE and SA to transfer the ethyl- or allyl group onto the unnatural substrates 3 and 4. Along with consumption of the substrate, the HPLC traces showed a growing peak corresponding to adenine, and a new peak increasing in intensity, which was assigned to the ethylated and allylated products by LC–MS analysis, confirming successful alkylation reactions (Figure 5, Figure S5; S7). RgANMT preferably N-ethylated 3 to 3c with conversions of 41% (+/– 2%) and PpCaOMT O-ethylated 4 to give 4d in up to 36% (+/– 2%) (analysis by HPLC) (Figure S8). Conversions for the other products formed were between 15–30% supporting that both RgANMT and PpCaOMT can use SAE and SA to transfer the ethyl- or allyl group also onto the unnatural substrates 3 and 4, thereby increasing the pool of products accessible by the formation of compounds 3c–f and 4c–f (Figure 5).

**Conclusions**

Here, we described a set of novel enzymes related to CaOMTs, including a new ANMT from *C. sinensis*. Notably, PpCaOMT and RgANMT were established as promiscuous biocatalysts for small
molecule methylation. Besides their natural substrates, both enzymes accept a broad range of non-physiological substrates and catalyse their methylation chemoselectively in the presence of different nucleophilic species. Although both enzymes show high similarities in their amino acid sequence, both reactions interestingly lead to single O- or N-methylated products.

\[ \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{substrate} & \text{molecular weight [g mol}^{-1}\text{]} \\hline 3 a & 154.13 \\hline 3 c, 4 c, 3 d, 4 d & 182.18 \\hline 3 e, 4 e, 3 f, 4 f & 194.19 \\hline \end{array} \]

PpCaOMT accepted the produced N-methylated products and formed the double methylated products.

In addition to SAM, both enzymes accept analogues of the natural cofactor, such as SAE and SAA. Other L-methionine derivatives can be used in the future to expand the product pool. Recently, a four enzyme cascade was published, starting from thiol compounds to produce different L-methionine derivatives and subsequent transfers catalysed by MTs.\(^{[39]}\) Adapting this enzyme cascade will increase the pool of products even further. Finally, the methylation reactions were performed on a larger scale in vitro, and compared to an in vivo strategy using whole cells. The yield of the isolated products was between 19–62 %. Both methods led to the desired products, with none of them having clear advantages regarding yields. The decision of which method to use will therefore depend on the individual case: using compounds that do not...
enter the bacterial cells or the use of SAM analogues will require the in vitro method; the in vivo option might be better suited for a cost-efficient synthesis of methylated products, as no addition of a cofactor building block is required.

**Experimental Section**

**Synthesis of S-allyl-L-homocysteine**

S-allyl-L-homocysteine was used for the in situ synthesis of the cofactor derivative SAA. Since this compound is not commercially available, the synthesis was performed according to previous work.\(^{10}\) The purity was confirmed by \(^1H\)-NMR spectroscopic analysis (Figure S20).

**Cloning**

The plasmids coding for EcMAT, TkMAT, RgANMT, and EcMTAN have been described in previous publications.\(^{17,26}\) The synthetic genes encoding for the other enzymes were purchased from Invitrogen (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The primers used for amplification were from Eurofins (Table S2). After amplification, PCR samples were analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis (1% agarose, 100 V, 60 min). For the cloning procedure an In-Fusion protocol from Takara Bio Europe (Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France) was used. For the PCR, 20 ng of the DNA template (gene or vector) was used. Additionally, the samples contained 2.5 μL of forward and reverse primer (10 μmol·L\(^{-1}\)), 25 μL 2X Phusion Flash PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher), and were filled up to 50 μL with H\(_2\)O\(_{\text{di}}\)ii. A 3-step PCR protocol was performed (Table S3). For the In-Fusion cloning, 50 ng of the linearised vector and 100 ng of the amplified gene were combined with 2 μL of SX In-Fusion HD Enzyme Premix, and filled up to 10 μL with H\(_2\)O\(_{\text{di}}\)ii. The reaction took place at 50°C for 15 min. Afterwards, a standard chemical transformation into E. coli Stellar cells was performed. Successful cloning was confirmed by Sanger Sequencing (Eurofins Genomics).

**Protein Overproduction**

The plasmids were transformed into E. coli BL21-Gold(DE3) cells. One colony was incubated in 5 mL LB-medium containing kanamycin (50 μg·L\(^{-1}\)) at 37°C, 170 rpm overnight. The preculture (1%) was added to 400 mL LB-medium containing kanamycin (50 μg·L\(^{-1}\)) and incubated at 37°C, 170 rpm until an OD\(_{\text{600}}\) of 0.5–0.7 was reached.

Isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added to a final concentration of 0.25 mM for overexpression induction. The overproduction took place at 20°C, 140 rpm for 20 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (4°C, 8000 rpm, 7.8×1000 g, 20 min) and stored at -20°C until following purification.

For test expression experiments cell lysis was performed using BugBuster (Merck AG) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Proteins were visualised via SDS-PAGE analysis.

**Protein Purification**

Pellets were resuspended (4 mL·g\(^{-1}\)) in lysis buffer (40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM, NaCl, 10% (w/v) glycerol). Cell lysis was performed via sonication (Branson Sonifier 250, Emerson, St. Louis, MO, USA (duty cycle 50%, intensity 50%, 5×30 s with 30 s breaks within)). The lysis solution was centrifuged for 40 min at 4°C (24,9×1000 g) to precipitate non-soluble cell fragments. The crude lysate was applied to a nickel-NTA column followed by washing and elution steps. First, 5 mL of lysis buffer was used containing 5, 10, 20, 50 mM imidazole, followed by elution steps with 5 mL of lysis buffer containing 100, 150, 200, 300 mM imidazole. Later, it was shown that a reduced two-step purification was sufficient. Here, 30 mL lysis buffer containing 10 mM imidazole were used for washing, followed by the elution step using 20 mM lysis buffer with 250 mM imidazole. After, the protein solution was desalted, using PD-10 columns (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Little Chalfont, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Protein concentration was determined with a NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at 280 nm. The molecular weight and extinction coefficient (including His\(_{6}\)-tag) was calculated with the Expasy ProtParam tool for further concentration measurements via Nanodrop.\(^{140}\)

**Assays**

In general, the qualitative and quantitative assays were performed at least in triplicates. The in vivo and in vitro syntheses were performed one time and confirmed by NMR analysis.

**In vitro assays (small scale)**

A standard assay for the characterisation of the MTs and the substrate screening was performed in 200–500 μL with 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 20 mM MgCl\(_2\) and 50 mM KCl. 10 μM MAT and MT and 2 μM MTAN enzymes were used. 3 mM ATP and L-methionine (or L-ethionine or L-allylhomocysteine) and 2 mM acceptor substrate was added. Assays were incubated at 37°C, 300 rpm and samples were taken after 1 and 20 h. The reaction was stopped by the addition of perchloric acid (total conc. 2.5%) and the samples stored at -20°C until analysis. For samples analysed by \(^{13}C\)-NMR, \(^{15}N\)-labelled L-methionine was used instead of L-methionine.

For the time course assay, the enzyme and substrate concentrations were adapted due to solubility issues of the N-methylated compounds. The concentration of the MAT and MT enzyme was 3 μM and of MTAN 1 μM. ATP and L-methionine concentration was 1 mM and MT substrate concentration was 0.5 mM.

Conversion rates of the quantitative assays were calculated from substrate and product AUC at certain time points.

**In vitro Production**

The reaction volume of the in vitro upscale experiments was 20 mL. 2 mL of a stock solution [100 mM ATP and L-methionine in 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5)] was added to substrate 3 or 4 (0.1 mmol, 15.4 mg). 10% (v/v) of EcMAT and RgANMT and 2% (v/v) of EcMTAN lysate was added. The solution also contained 20 mM MgCl\(_2\) and 200 mM KCl. The reaction was incubated at 37°C and 180 rpm overnight. After centrifugation, the supernatant was extracted with ethyl acetate (3×20 mL). The combined organic fractions were evaporated under vacuum. The residue was dissolved in a water acetonitrile mixture (9:1) and purified via HPLC method D (SI). Product fractions were freeze-dried.

**In vivo Production**

For the in vivo synthesis of the N-methylated products 3a and 4a pre and main cultures with E. coli BL21-Gold(DE3) cells containing pET28al+::rganmt were prepared as described for protein overproduction. Instead of 0.25 mM, 1 mM IPTG was used for the induction of overexpression. For cell harvest, the main cultures
were incubated on ice for 10 min and centrifuged for 20 min at 4 °C (2500g). After discarding the supernatant, the cell pellets were resuspended in precooled MM9 medium to an OD600 of 3.0. IPTG (1 mM) and kanamycin (50 μg·mL⁻¹) were added. 200 mL of the cultures were transferred in 500 mL shaking flasks with baffles. For the following in vivo reaction sterile filtered l-methionine (20 mM final concentration) was added. 750 μM of the MT substrate was added (stock solution 100 mM in DMSO). The reaction took place at 37 °C, 170 rpm for 48 h. The product was extracted using ethyl acetate (3×equal volume). Afterwards, the organic phase was separated from the cells and evaporated in a rotary evaporator. Purification of the N-methylated products was performed with a Puriflash system PF_XS_520 and a Biotage SNAP Cartridge (KP-Sil, 50 g). A flow of 15 mL/min was used and the gradient was performed with cyclohexane (Solution A) and ethyl acetate (Solution B) starting with 80% A until 5 min, lowering to 70% A until 7 min, 60% A until 9 min, 50% A until 11 min and holding until 14.2 min, then lowering to 20% A until 16 min and holding until 17 min, lowering to 0% A until 17 min and holding until 20 min. The formation of the products was confirmed by NMR analysis (Figure S16–Figure S17).

**HPLC Analysis**

Four different methods were used for HPLC analysis. Method A was used for the characterisation of the different MT candidates methylating either substrate 1 or 2. This method has been described before.[17] Method B was used for the analysis of the substrate screen and the in vivo experiments and has been described recently.[18] The scaled up reactions were analysed and purified using method C and D (Table S4).

**LC–MS Analysis**

An LC–MS method that has been described earlier to analyse MT substrates and products was used to analyse the ethylated and allylated products of the MT reactions.[19] The samples were diluted 1:50 and filtered before measurement. A Q1 scan was performed searching for the masses of the mother ion fragments (Table S5) in positive mode.

**NMR Analysis**

A Bruker Avance III HD 400 MHz instrument was used to analyse the chemoselective substrate methylation and the in vivo synthesis of the N-methylated amino nitrophenols by 13C-NMR analysis. The up-scaled reactions and synthesis of S-allyl-L-homocysteine were measured by 13C- and 1H-NMR analysis using Bruker Avance Neo 500 and Bruker Avance Neo 700 spectrometers. In Table S6 the 13C signals for the used assay compounds are listed. The signals for N- and O-methylation are displayed in the corresponding NMR spectra (Figure S10–15).

**Computational Methods**

Structural comparison was performed using a crystal structure of MsCaOMT (1KYZ) and an AlphaFold mode[41,42] available on the Uniprot server (A9X7L0).
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Chemoselective S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM)-dependent methyltransferases (MTs) are a promising alternative to traditional synthetic methylation reactions. In presence of multiple nucleophiles, the enzymatic transfer of the carbon fragment is highly chemoselective for N- and O-MTs. Besides methylation, the generation of SAM derivatives enables the transfer of altered groups onto the substrates increasing the pool of products.