- Model based estimation of posaconazole
- ² tablet and suspension bioavailability in
- hospitalised children using real-world
- ⁴ therapeutic drug monitoring data in
- **5** patients receiving intravenous and oral
- 。 dosing

Zoe Kane,^a lek Cheng,^{a,b} Orlagh McGarrity,^b Robert Chiesa,^c Nigel Klein,^a Mario
 Cortina-Borja,^a Joseph F Standing,^{a,b} Silke Gastine,^{a,*}

Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University College London, London, UK^a; Department of Pharmacy,

Great Ormond Street Hospital, London, UK^b; Department of Bone Marrow Transplantation, Great Ormond Street
 Hospital, London, UK^c

ABSTRACT Invasive fungal infections are a major cause of morbidity and mortality 12 for immunocompromised patients. Posaconazole is approved for treatment and pro-13 phylaxis of invasive fungal infection in adult patients with intravenous, oral suspen-14 sion and gastro-resistant/delayed-released tablet formulations available. In Europe 15 posaconazole is used off-label in children and there is an urgent need for greater un-16 derstanding of posaconazole pharmacokinetics in this special population. 17 A population pharmacokinetic model was developed using posaconazole therapeutic 18 drug monitoring data following intravenous and oral dosing in hospitalised children, 19 thus enabling estimation of paediatric suspension and tablet oral bioavailability. In to-20 tal 297 therapeutic drug monitoring plasma levels from 104 children were included in 21 this analysis. The final model was a 1-compartment model with first order absorption 22

and non-linear elimination. Allometric scaling on clearance and volume of distribu-

- tion was included *a priori*. Tablet bioavailability was estimated to be 66%. Suspension bioavailability was estimated to decrease with increasing dose, ranging from 3.8
- to 32.2% in this study population. Additionally, concomitant use of proton pump in hibitors was detected as a significant covariate, reducing suspension bioavailability by
- 28 41.0%.

This is the first population pharmacokinetic study to model posaconazole data from
 hospitalised children following intravenous, tablet and suspension dosing simultane-

ously. Key to the credible joint estimation of tablet and suspension bioavailability has

³² been incorporation of saturable posaconazole clearance into the model. To aid ra-

tional posaconazole dosing in children the model has been used alongside published
 pharmacodynamic targets to predict the probability of target attainment using typical

³⁵ paediatric dosing regimen.

³⁶ **KEYWORDS:** Posaconazole; paediatric dosing; bioavailability; population

37 pharmacokinetics.

Compiled March 3, 2023 This is a draft manuscript, pre-submission

Address correspondence to Silke Gastine s.gastine@ucl.ac.uk, Joseph F. Standing j.standing@ucl.ac.uk.

38 INTRODUCTION

Invasive fungal infections (IFIs) present a serious risk for morbidity and mortality in im-39 munocompromised patients undergoing both solid organ and stem cell transplanta-40 tion. Posaconazole was first approved for use in Europe for adults in 2005, with Merck 41 Sharp and Dohme (MSD) initially launching the oral suspension, followed by a gastro-42 resistant/delayed release tablet and then an intravenous (IV) formulation. Recently, in 43 the US, a new posaconazole suspension has been approved for use in children above 2 44 vears of age, (1). However, in Europe, paediatric posaconazole use is still off-label, with 45 children often receiving the suspension product, due to inability to swallow tablets. As 46 more formulation options are becoming available for posaconazole dosing in children, 47 there is an urgent need for greater understanding of posaconazole pharmacokinetics 48 (PK) and its formulation dependent absorption and absolute bioavailability (F) in this 49 special population (2). 50 Posaconazole is lipophilic (logP=4.6), dibasic, poorly soluble, and highly plasma protein 51

bound (97 to 99% bound, predominately to albumin) (3). Posaconazole PK after IV dose
escalation (50, 100, 150, 200 and 300 mg) in healthy adults (*n*=9) follows bi–exponential
distribution and elimination, with saturable clearance. Clearance decreased on dose
escalation from 10.9 L/hr to 6.9 L/hr (determined by non-compartmental analysis, NCA)
and inter-individual variability was 32%. Half-life increased from 19 hrs at 50 mg to 25
hours at 300 mg and the mean volume of distribution of posaconazole was 261 L (226295 L) (4).

Posaconazole undergoes metabolism in healthy adults, primarily mediated by uridine 5'-diphospho-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) enzymes (especially UGT1A4). The predominant route of elimination is through faecal excretion with only trace amounts of posaconazole measured in urine (5). Posaconazole is a substrate for P-gp efflux, and biliary and intestinal secretions are likely (6). The PK of the original posaconazole suspension has been extensively studied in both adult healthy volunteers and patients at risk of IFIs (7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12).

Previous population PK analysis of paediatric posaconazole therapeutic drug mon-66 itoring (TDM) data has confirmed that exposure following suspension posaconazole 67 dosing increases in a sub-proportional manner (13). This is thought to be due to a re-68 duction in the fraction of dose absorbed with escalating dose, due to the poor intesti-69 nal solubility of posaconazole. As observed in adults, elevated gastric pH also reduces 70 exposure in children following suspension dosing (13). The gastro-resistant/delayed 71 release tablet formulation that was approved in Europe in 2014 was developed specif-72 ically to improve the extent of oral absorption relative to the suspension and to over-73 come issues such as the requirement for multiple daily dosing in conjunction with a 74 high fat meal. 75

76

Here, we present the population PK analysis of real world posaconazole TDM data
from hospitalised children receiving both IV and oral posaconazole. While previous
studies have reported paediatric posaconazole PK data, simultaneous model based
analysis of IV, suspension and tablet data to enable estimation of formulation dependant F in children has not previously been reported.

82 **RESULTS**

⁸³ Pharmacokinetic model building for the paediatric population was informed by pre-

- viously published adult dose escalation data in a first step. These results were then
- taken forward as initial estimates to inform the scaled paediatric model parameters.
- ⁸⁶ Subsequently, the paediatric TDM data was analysed.

87

Pharmacokinetic model building - adult literature data A two-compartment 88 model was found to be superior (difference in objective function value,- OFV, 24.95 for 89 two degrees of freedom, df) to a one-compartment model when using linear clearance 90 to describe the IV dose escalation (50-300 mg) data published by Kersemaker et. al. (4). 91 Introducing saturable clearance further improved the model (- OFV 34.82 for one df). 92 Saturable clearance is represented by parameters CL_{sat} , the maximum (or saturated) 93 rate of clearance and K_m , the concentration at which clearance is half its maximal 94 value. Figure 1A presents the model predicted concentration-time profiles versus the 95 96 extracted observed data and Figure 1B the estimated model parameters along with visualisation of the impact of posaconazole concentration on adult adjusted clearance. 97 CL_{sat} is estimated to be 12.11 L/hr/70kg, total volume of distribution (Vss = V1 + V2) 98 is 260.2 L/70kg and Km is 0.49 mg/L (490 ng/mL, 0.7 μM). No inter–individual variability 99 (IIV) was estimated for these data as only the average concentration-time profiles were 100 available. 101

The clearance and volume of distribution parameter estimates were carried forward to model adult tablet PK data taken from the control arm of the 5-way crossover study published by Kraft et. al. (400 mg tablet, *n*=20 healthy adults) (14). With Ka_{lab} fixed to the previously estimated value of 0.588 /hr (15) tablet F was estimated to be 0.59 (5.9% RSE) and visually C_{max} and AUC_{f_m} were well described.

107

Observed Pardiatric TDM and Covariate Data The final paediatric dataset is described in Table 1. Age and body weight (BW) of patients in the study population ranged from 0.4 to 16.8 years (median 6.2 years) and 4.3 to 86.1 kg (median 19.5 kg) respectively.

Dose frequency varied between the formulations and doses ranged from 2.0 to 113 11.5, 1.6 to 10.6, 1.8 to 35.5 mg/kg for the IV, tablet and suspension formulations re-114 spectively. Across all formulations, 69% percent of the plasma levels were collected 115 during periods of concomitant proton–pump inhibitor (PPI) administration and 49% of 116 levels during a period of diarrhoea. Non-surgical prophylaxis accounts for the majority 117 (43.7%) of in-patient poaconazole dosing.

The median [inter-quartile range, IQR] alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and plasma protein albumin (ALB) concentrations in blood were 49 [33-83] U/L and 33 [30-36] g/L respectively. Of the 297 plasma levels in the final data set 94.3% have an ALT concentration measured within 24 hours, for ALB this is higher at 98.3%.

The measured plasma concentrations pooled by formulation and compared to the calculated time after last dose (TALD) are presented in Figure 2. Nineteen of the plasma concentrations in this dataset (6.4%) are reported as below the limit of quantification (BLOQ) these include 5 tablet and 14 suspension levels.

The paediatric data set included 47 plasma levels collected after IV dosing in 13 children. Cross over data (plasma levels following oral and IV dosing) was available for 7 of the 13 subjects. The IV data set includes data from children aged 2.8 to 13.8 years, weighing 12.0 to 52.9 kg.

130

Pharmacokinetic model building - paediatric real-world data The base structural model was a one compartment model with linear clearance. IIV was introduced only on clearance. A combined error model was used to describe residual unexplained variability. Bioavailability was estimated for suspension and tablets separately. Allometric weight scaling was included *a priori*. Base model parameter estimates are presented in Supplementary Table S1. Tablet bioavailability estimated from this linear model was 1.39 (41.1% RSE). No improvement was seen with a two compartment model. Adding dose-dependent bioavailability for the suspension improved the overall fit (- OFV 21.26) but tablet F remained above 1 (F_{tab} 1.36 with 33.4% RSE).

Non-linear clearance with parameters fixed to adult estimates increased OFV but tablet F decreased below 1. A sensitivity analysis varying Km found a value of 2 mg/L to adequately predict the observed data. Addition of IIV to volume, tablet F and suspension D_{\uparrow} . was tested in a next step, however, the data set only supported estimation of IIV on CL_{sat} and volume of distribution .

¹⁴⁵ Covariate analysis revealed PPI co-administration on F_{sus} to significantly improve the ¹⁴⁶ model (- OFV 50.72 for 1 df). No further covariate effects were found to be significant ¹⁴⁷ at a level of p<0.01 on backward elimination. Age was not tested in the model as no ¹⁴⁸ relationship was detected with CL_{sat} using visual exploration of the base model, see ¹⁴⁹ Figure 3.

The final paediatric population pharmacokinetic model consisted of a one-compartment model with non-linear elimination. Bioavailability was estimated separately for tablet and suspension data. A dose-dependent decrease in bioavailability could be detected for suspension. Also, an effect of concomitant PPI use was estimated on suspension bioavailability. Additive error was removed in the final model as it was estimated to be zero.

Table 2 presents the final model parameter estimates. The NONMEM code is included in supplementary material. Goodness of fit (GOF) plots and prediction-corrected visual predictive checks (VPCs) split by formulation are presented in Figure 4. Combined GOF plots can be found in supplementary Figure S1. Visualisation of covariate effects alongside the effect of plasma concentration on clearance are visualised in Figure 5. The model estimated dose independent tablet bioavailability, was included in Figure 5 for comparison.

163

Pharmacokinetic simulations and probability of target attainment predictions The age and weight distributions of the full hypothetical population are presented in supplementary information Figure S2, the median (range) age and weight were 4.5 years (0.51 to 16.0 years) and 19.1 kg (2.88 to 79.67 kg) respectively. The median age and weight in each simulation group are presented in Figure S3.

To visually assess the model predicted time to steady state for the different formu-169 lations using 'typical' dosing regimens, 5 mg/kg QD tablet and IV simulations are com-170 pared with 10 mg/kg TID suspension simulations (both with and without PPI). The me-171 dian (50th percentile) concentration-time profiles for all age groups following 8 days of 172 dosing using these 'typical' regimens are presented in supplementary information Fig-173 ure S4, while a comparison of the predicted 2.5th, 50th and 95th percentiles for each 174 regimen in the 4-6 year old age group on day 8 of dosing are compared in Figure S5. 175 The youngest child in the observed population to receive a posaconazole tablet was 176 8.9 years. However, visualisation of all formulations was conducted across all chosen 177 age groups to allow a theoretical comparison. That said, it is also acknowledge that 178 swallowing a tablet maybe challenging for most 4 year olds. 179

Figure 6 presents the probability of target attainment (PTA) for all ages groups. To aid comparisons between the tablet and liquid formulations the red circle highlights the probability of target attainment for the 4-6 year old group at a dose of 10 mg/kg using either QD IV or tablet dosing and TID suspension dosing.

184 **DISCUSSION**

We describe the first intravenous and oral population PK model based on real-world therapeutic drug monitoring data from immunocompromised children. This enabled the first joint estimation of posaconazole tablet and suspension oral bioavailability in children. It is also the first population PK model estimating the non-linear clearance previously reported by Kersemaekers et. al.(4), which was key to a meaningful estimation of tablet bioavailability.

The starting point for our model development was a one-compartment distri-191 bution and elimination model with linear clearance. Indeed this model is used in 192 most published posaconazole models irrespective of the underlying study popula-193 tion. (16, 17, 18, 19, 15) While an acceptable description of this sparse paediatric TDM 194 dataset could be achieved with a model employing linear clearance, it did not allow 195 meaningful estimation of both tablet and suspension F. Although this model was able 196 to reconcile the low exposures seen following suspension dosing, significantly improv-197 ing predictions for tablet exposures was only achieved through estimation of a tablet 198 bioavailability > 1. Thus, our analysis suggests, that the poor exposure seen following 199 suspension dosing, is not simply due to poor intestinal posaconazole solubility but is 200 also compounded by a saturable clearance mechanism. 201

A comparison of different formulations and their NCA based parameters has been published by Dekkers et al. (20). Volume of distribution for the IV formulation is reported at 261L, whereas V/F for tablet is stated at 394 L and oral suspension at 1774 L. This agrees well with the adult estimated V of 250 L for IV and the derived Tablet V/F of 379L when considering the estimated F of 66%. With an estimated oral suspension bioavailablility of 18% at a common adult dose of 200 mg, V/F is calculated at 1406 L.

Our estimated adult CL_{sat} of 12 L/h/70kg would equal CL/F of 60 L/h/70kg for oral suspension taking the model estimated median bioavailability of around 20%. At the C_{avg} of 0.7 mg/L, CL is reduced to 10 L/h/70kg, which equivalent to 50 L/h/70kg for CL/F. This agrees well with the range of CL/F of 30 to 113 L/h for oral suspension reported in literature (16, 17, 18). For tablet data a CL/F is reported at 7.3 and 8 L/h (19, 15), which is lower than a converted CL/F of 12.5 L/h at C_{avg} of 0.7 mg/L taking the estimated 80% bioavailability into account.

The reason non-linearity of posaconazole CL has not previously been found in suspension PK modelling is likely due to the fact that intestinal absorption is so poor that nonlinear clearance was masked. The enhanced solubility of posaconazole in the tablet combined with real world dosing in the fed state means tablet F is estimated to be > 1 if clearance is assumed to be linear. Whilst this has not previously been reported in human PK models, it has however been seen preclinically in IV/tablet crossover studies in dog (21).

When we tried to estimate K_m and CL_{sat} using this paediatric dataset, K_m would go to the upper boundary essentially collapsing clearance back to a linear process. F_{tab} would however be estimated well over 1. A value of 2 mg/L for the K_m was identified through parameter sensitivity analysis and rationalised since between 97-99% of posaconazole is bound to plasma proteins (3). Thereby only small increases in plasma protein binding moving from healthy adults to a sick paediatric population could lead to commensurate increases in the free/unbound posaconazole K_m .

Tablet bioavailability estimated by this analysis is 66% (22.1% RSE). The fasted state tablet F reported to the EMEA as part of clinical development was 54% (31.9 %CV) (22). In addition an absolute bioavailability study in healthy adult Chinese subjects has been published recently and after 300 mg IV/tablet crossover (*n*=18 Chinese subjects) in the fasted state the geometric mean F of the tablet is 42.2%, Tmax 4.0 hours (range; 2 $_{234}$ 6 hours). The authors also found that tablet exposure increased 2-fold in the fed state (fed state $F_{tab} = 87.1\%$) (23). Unfortunately for our real-world data, information on the patients fed or fasted status was unavailable.

The suspension $D_{\uparrow\bullet}$ has been estimated previously by Boonsathorn et. al. at 99 mg•m^{*f*} (13). Due to the lack of IV data availability at the time, this was estimated relative to the tablet CL/F and thus, was estimated relative to tablet exposure. Figure 5 shows how estimated suspension bioavailability evolves across dose range evaluated in this study population. With IV data available for this analysis, we estimate suspension $D_{\uparrow\bullet}$ relative to IV exposure to be 43.25 mg•m^{*f*} (14.2% RSE).

Concomitant PPI dosing is known to be an important covariate in uencing suspen-243 sion exposure (F_{sus}). Our analysis was able to re-con rm this nding with concomitant 244 PPI dosing on F_{sus} reducing suspension bioavailability by 41% (27.5% RSE). This is in 245 agreement with the e ect estimated by Boonsathorn et. al.; 42% and the 45% esti-246 mated by Dolton et. al. in healthy volunteers (13, 16). Figure 5 shows, that at the 247 highest suspension dose evaluated (625 mg•m^f) only 3.8% of the posaconazole given 248 to the patient is estimated to reach the systemic circulation when administered along-249 side a PPI. 250

While diarrhoea has previously been reported to be an important covariate on 251 Fsus (13), this covariate e ect was not retained when employing a 1% level of signi -252 cance in the backward elimination step. However curating information regarding the 253 occurrence of diarrhoea is complex and also highly subjective relying on an individual's 254 interpretation of diverse patient history notes. It should also be of noted that the per-255 centage of posaconazole levels in this modelling dataset identi ed as being collected 256 during periods of diarrhoea was higher; 49% as compared to the 20% of samples iden-257 ti ed in the Boonsathorn dataset. 258

The construction of a population pharmacokinetic model further enabled us to simulate di erent dosing regimen for the three formulations to allow a side-by-side exposure comparison and evaluation against PKPD indices. This was expressed through probability of target attainment calculations in Figure 6.

Pharmacodynamic target de nition varies across literature. Jang et. al. published 263 in 2010 on the posaconazole exposure-response relationship, which suggest Cava of 264 > 700 ng/mL to yield adequate antifungal coverage (24). Posaconazole e cacy in pre 265 clinical models by Gastine et. al. found an $AUC_{f...}$ of $30 \text{ mg.h/L or } C_{\text{min}} > 1 \text{ mg/L}$ 266 to be relevant (25). While Groll et. al. report intravenous/PO crossover PK data us-267 ing the 'new' posaconazole suspension in children and target an exposure window of 268 Cavg 500 ng/mL to 2500 ng/mL (26). Probability of Target attainment were therefore 269 performed for multiple indices: AUCf...of 30 mg.h/L; Cavg of > 500 ng/mL (which is 270 equivalent to an AUC_{f...} of 12 mg.h/L and a C_{min} of >1 mg/L, which was also sug-271 gested by Gastine et. al. due to better monitoring feasibility during clinical practice. 272

PTA following suspension TID dosing irrespective of concomitant PPI treatment suggests little di erence in PTA when applying the two targets previously described by Gastine et. al. (25, 24). Considering the 4-6 year age group, with PPI the PTA at steady state following a 10 mg/kg three times daily dosing regimen is 9.7% and 12.5% for the AUC and trough target respectively. For the lower C_{avg} target of > 500 ng/mL (AUC_f... 12 mg.h/L) this increases to 46.6%.

The probability of target attainment following tablet once daily dosing is described for multiples of the unit tablet strength (100 mg) rather than on a mg/kg basis as this was considered to be more useful to clinicians. However, to allow direct comparison to a suspension given at 10 mg/kg three times daily, and IV given at 10 mg/kg once daily a 200 mg tablet dose to the 4-6 year old group is highlighted (equates to a 10 mg/kg once 284daily tablet, in a 20 kg child.). Here, the probability of achieving a steady state $AUC_{f...}$ 28530 mg.h/L is 72.4% and 52.0% for exceeding a trough of 1 mg/L. If the $AUC_{f...}$ target286was reduced to12 mg.h/L the 4-6 year old age group is predicted to exceed 90% PTA287after 200 mg tablet once daily and all age groups are predicted to exceed 75% PTA.288Thus, tablet administration is more likely to reach adequate exposures compared to289the currently available suspension in Europe.

Finally, following IV dosing the PTA results show that in contrast to the oral formu-291 lations, it is easier to achieve the AUCf. targets than the Cmin target. Again, focusing 292 on a typical 4-6 year, 10 mg/kg once daily intravenous dosing is predicted to ensure 293 92.2% of children achieve an AUCf... 30 mg.h/L and 74.4% would have a steady state 294 trough > 1 mg/L. However while this regimen is predicted to result in 74.4% of the pop-295 ulation exceeding trough concentrations of 1 mg/L, it is also predicted that part of the 296 population is at risk of high exposures. For example the 95th percentile of trough con-297 centrations (after 7 days prior dosing of 10 mg/kg QD IV to 4-6 year old's) is predicted 298 to be 51.6 mg/L, see Figure S6. With a recommended C_{avg} below 2.5 mg/L used by 299 Groll et. al., this highlights the estimated high inter individual variability in the underly-300 ing population PK model. Therefore, therapeutic drug monitoring after posaconazole 301 administration with subsequent dose adaptation is warranted. If the AUCf...target is 302 12 mg.h/L (equivalent to a $C_{avg} > 500$ ng/mL) all age groups are predicted reduced to 303 to exceed 84.2% PTA after q24hr IV doses of 5 mg/kg or above. This is in good agree-304 ment with the paediatric IV PK study results reported by Groll et. al. where the authors 305 found that after 7 days of dosing 4.5 and 6.0 mg/kg once daily, 90% of participants 306 achieved a $C_{avg} > 500 \text{ ng/mL}$ (26). 307

There are limitations to our analysis that stem from the retrospective assessment of sparse real world TDM data combined with the relatively small number of patients contributing IV and tablet PK levels to the dataset. Because of this the dataset did not support estimation of Km and this parameter was xed based on ndings from modelling of adult IV data ,and parameter sensitivity analysis performed using the paediatric data.

The FDA granted regulatory approval of a new suspension posaconazole product to MSD in May 2021(1) and hopefully this will also be available to children in Europe in the near future. This new oral suspension combines the improved absorption characteristics of the tablet with the added dosing exibility of a typical liquid paediatric formulation.

319 CONCLUSION

290

From real world TDM data, more understanding of posaconazole PK in children can be generated.

The model that has been presented successfully describes the bioavailability differences seen following tablet and suspension dosing in children. Key to this has been incorporation of saturable posaconazole clearance into the model. Due to the sparse nature of posaconazole TDM data extrapolation of PK in adult populations informed the base model. Covariate analysis con rmed previously reported dose-dependent decreases in suspension bioavailability, which are then further reduced by concomitant PPIs.

The model has been used to evaluate typical paediatric IV, tablet and suspension dosing regimens using published PD targets. These simulations highlight, that both IV and tablet formulations are capable of achieving adequate posaconazole exposures across the pediatric population. However for the original/old suspension formulation, that is still widely used across Europe, escalation of dose beyond 10 mg/kg is essen-

- tially pointless and even with TID dosing many children are likely to be left with sub-
- therapeutic posaconazole exposures.

336 MATERIALS AND METHODS

A retrospective analysis of posaconazole TDM data captured by a single specialist pae diatric hospital electronic health records (EHRs) between Jan 2017 and July 2021 was
 performed. The study was approved by the London and South East Research Ethics
 Committee under ref. no. 21/LO/0646.

Preparation of the PK modelling data le was performed in R (version 4.1) (27) using posaconazole dosing information (formulation type, dose, route of administration, dose frequency and dose date/time). Corresponding posaconazole plasma concentration data were collected as part of routine TDM. Posaconazole bioanalysis was performed by external laboratories working under Good clinical Laboratory Practice. The assay's lower limit of quanti cation (LLOQ) ranged from 0.02-0.2 mg/L (20 to 200 mg/L) and the respective value was accounted for each sample.

Time-varying covariate data incorporated into the modelling data le included age, BW, PPI co administration, occurrence of diarrhoea, hepatic impairment surrogate ALT and ALB. Last observation carried forward method was applied to handle missing covariates. Information regarding episodes of diarrhoea were manually collated by a hospital pharmacist from patient records. For IV dosing a nominal infusion time of 90 minutes as per the local guidance was used to calculate infusion rate (mg/hr).

Population PK modelling and simulation was undertaken using rst-order conditional estimation method with interaction (FOCEI) in NONMEM version 7.4.3. During data le preparation, posaconazole TDM levels that were reported as less than the LLOQ were replaced with 1/2 the associated LLOQ. Only the rst value below LLOQ during one dose cycle was retained in the data set (M6 method, (28)).

Since published intravenous posaconazole pharmacokinetic data in healthy adults 360 demonstrated, that over a dose range of 50 to 300 mg (0.7 to 4.3 mg/kg assuming a 361 70 kg body weight) clearance is saturable (4) the decision was made to evaluate the 362 paediatric TDM data using both linear and non-linear clearance models. To help in-363 form paediatric model parameterisation, published rich PK data following tablet (14) 364 and IV dosing (4) in adult populations was extracted and modelled. Adult PK data ex-365 traction was done using a web based application called WebPlotDigitizer version 4.5 366 (29). 367

368

Pharmacokinetic Model Development One and two compartment models with 369 rst order absorption and either linear or non-linear clearance from the central com-370 partment were evaluated. Inter individual variability (IIV) was tested on clearance and 371 volume of distribution assuming a log-normal distribution, and on tablet and suspen-372 sion F using logistic transformation. A combined error model was tested initially and 373 separate additive or proportional models only employed if one component was esti-374 mated to be negligible. For nested models, the likelihood ratio test was employed to 375 detect signi cant model improvement. Assuming the di erence in log likelihood be-376 tween two nested modes was asymptotically Chi squared distributed, a drop in the 377 log likelihood ratio of >6.64 per degree of freedom was needed to be signi cant at 378 $< \bullet$:•, and >3.84 at a level of < •: • †. For univariate forward selection a level of 379 covariates were included if p<0.05 but removed from the combined covariate model 380 if p>0.01 on backward elimination. 381

Non-linear clearance was accounted for using a Michaelis Menten type function
 as shown in Equation 1. This allows clearance to vary depending on the concentration
 C in plasma based on two parameters CL_{sat}, the maximum (or saturated) rate of
 clearance and K_m, the concentration at which clearance is half its maximal value.

$$CL = \frac{CL_{sat} C}{K_{m}, C}$$

Due to wide ranging body weight in the observed study population, allometric scaling was included a priori using a xed exponent of 0.75 on CLsat and linear scaling on volume of distribution see Equation 2 and 3. A standard weight of 70 kg was used to allow comparison of parameter estimates with previous studies.

$$CL_{sati} = CL_{satpop}$$
 $\frac{BW_i}{\hat{\bullet}} \stackrel{\circ\uparrow\uparrow}{\bullet}$ (2)

392

391

$$= V_{pop} \qquad \frac{BW_i}{\hat{\bullet}}$$
 (3)

(1)

Covariate e ects were evaluated for dose, concomitant diarrhoea and PPI dosing as these have previously been reported to be signi cant determinants of suspension F (13, 30)

Vi

Posaconazole is known to undergo phase 2 metabolism (5) and to be highly plasma protein bound (3). Due to metabolism being an important route of elimination for posaconazole and in light of previous ndings by Petitcollin et. al. (15) regarding a potential association of ALT with posaconazole clearance, ALT was tested as a continuous covariate on clearance. Finally, because posaconazole is highly plasma protein bound, ALB was tested on the volume of distribution.

402 Continuous covariate e ects were modelled using a power function centred on
 403 the median value see Equation 4 and categorical covariates evaluated by estimation
 404 of their fractional change of any given xed e ect see Equation 5.

405

$$COV_{continous} = \frac{COV_i}{COV_{median}}$$
(4)

406

$$COV_{categorical} = 1,$$
 (5)

The function described by Boonsathorn et. al. (13) was used to account for the e ect of dose on bioavailability, see Equation 6, where D is the dose in mg/m f and D₁, is the dose at which F is 50%. IIV was tested on D₁, assuming a log-normal distribution. To calculate dose per body surface area (BSA) we used the Boyd method to estimate BSA based solely on body weight (31, 32).

$$F = , \frac{D}{D, D_{\dagger}}$$
(6)

⁴¹³ Due to the sparse nature of TDM data, absorption rate constants (Ka) for suspen-⁴¹⁴ sion (Ka_{sus}) and tablets (Ka_{tab}) were xed based on prior adult estimates (33, 15). The ⁴¹⁵ e ect of BW on Ka was also tested using the approach previously employed by Boon-⁴¹⁶ sathorn et. al. using a xed exponent of -0.25, see Equation 7.

417
$$Ka_{i} = Ka_{pop} \qquad \frac{BW_{i}}{\hat{\bullet}} \qquad (7)$$

118	Decisions during model development were made based on the likeli	hood ratio
419	test, goodness of t (GOF) plots and visual predictive checks (VPC) using	n=1000 simu-
420	lations and visualised using Xpose4 (34, 35).	

421

Pharmacokinetic Simulations and Target Attainment Using the observed base-422 line demographic information for the children included in the nal modelling dataset 423 the variance covariance matrix was calculated between log transformed age and weight. 424 From this, n=10,000 hypothetical children were simulated and categorized into age 425 based groups; 0.5-2, 2-4, 4-6, 6-9, 9-12, 12-16 years. Using body weight, the Boyd 426 method (31) was used to calculate body surface area. Simulations with or without PPI 427 were performed for suspension. Tablet simulations were performed at 100, 200, 300, 428 400 and 500 mg once daily (QD), IV simulations at 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5 and 10 mg/kg QD and 429 suspension simulations at 1, 5, 10, 20 and 30 mg/kg three times daily (TID). While tablet 430 dosing in children less than 6 years of age maybe impractical, all age groups were sim-431 ulated for all formulations as this provides clinicians the most exibility when selecting 432 the appropriate formulation for a respective individual patient. 433

434

A full PK time course was simulated for 8 days (T_{last} = 192 hours) and AUC_{f...}and 435 Cmin from the last 24 hour period were used in calculation of the probability of target 436 attainment (PTA) using previously published PD targets of 30 mg.hr/L (AUC_f at steady 437 state) and 1 mg/L (Cmin at steady state) (25). This proposed AUCf...of 30 mg.hr/L, corre-438 sponds to a Cava of 1250 ng/mL, which is higher than a previously suggested posacona-439 zole Cavg target of 700 ng/mL(36, 37, 24). More recently a steady state Cavg of between 440 500 ng/mL (0.5 mg/L) and 2500 ng/mL (2.5 mg/L) has been used as an alternative PD 441 target (26) and as such a Cavg 0.5 mg/L (which is equivalent to an AUCf...at steady 442 state of 12 mg.h/L) was also included in the PTA assessments. 443 444

445 SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

SupplementalMaterials.docx includes the model code of the nal paediatric posaconazole model, Figure S1 showing combined goodness-of- t plots, Figure S2 and Figure
S3 showing detailed graphical exploration of the virtual population used for simulations and Figures S4 -S6 with plasma concentration time curves constructed from the
simulations. Table S1 presents the base model parameter estimates.

452 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the Digital Research team at Great Ormond
Street Hospital for Children for collating and de-identifying the data
Contributions: SG, JFS and RC conceived the study, which was carried out by ZK
with help from IC, OMcG and MCB under the supervision of JFS and SG. All authors

458 contributed to data interpretation and writing/revising the manuscript.

459

Funding: ZK received a PhD scholarship from UCL. JFS was supported by a UK MRC
 fellowship (MR/M008665/1). Support at the institution level came from the National
 Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at Great Ormond Street Hos pital for Children NHS Foundation Trust and University College London.

- 464 465
 - Informed consent: This study was restricted to retrospective de-identi ed data.

- ⁴⁶⁶ As such patients or their parents were not required to provide informed consent. The
- 467 study was approved by the London and South East Research Ethics Committee, refer-
- 468 ence 21/LO/0646.

469 **REFERENCES**

- Merck S, Corp D. May 2021. NDA approval (214770) Noxafil Powder-Mix for delayed-release oral suspension https://www.accessdata.fda. gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/f • f, /f, ...^•Orig, s • • • Approv.pdf.
- Chen L, Krekels EHJ, Verweij PE, Buil JB, Knibbe CAJ, Brüggemann RJM. 2020. Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of Posaconazole. Drugs 80 (7):671–695. doi:10.1007/s40265-020-01306-y.
- Hens B, Pathak SM, Mitra A, Patel N, Liu B, Patel S, Jamei M, Brouwers J, Augustijns P, Turner DB. 2017. In Silico Modeling Approach for the Evaluation of Gastrointestinal Dissolution, Supersaturation, and Precipitation of Posaconazole. Mol Pharm 14 (12):4321– 4333. doi:10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.7b00396.
- Kersemaekers WM, Van Iersel T, Nassander U, O'Mara E, Waskin H, Caceres M, Van Iersela MLPS. 2015. Pharmacokinetics and safety study of posaconazole intravenous solution administered peripherally to healthy subjects. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59 (2):1246– 1251. doi:10.1128/AAC.04223-14.
- Krieter P, Flannery B, Musick T, Gohdes M, Martinho M, Courtney R. 2004. Disposition of posaconazole following single-dose oral administration in healthy subjects. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48 (9):3543–3551. doi:10.1128/AAC.48.9.3543-3551.2004.
- Merck Sharp Dohme. Sep 2014. Noxafil IV Clin Pharm Review (NDA 205596) https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/f+, .../ f+tt\$tOrig, s+++ClinPharmR.pdf.
- Krishna G, Martinho M, Chandrasekar P, Ullmann AJ, Patino H. 2007. Pharmacokinetics of oral posaconazole in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients with graft-versushost disease. Pharmacotherapy 27 (12 I):1627–1636. doi: 10.1592/phco.27.12.1627.
- Krishna G, Ma L, Vickery D, Yu X, Wu I, Power E, Beresford E, Komjathy S. 2009. Effect of varying amounts of a liquid nutritional supplement on the pharmacokinetics of posaconazole in healthy volunteers. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53 (11):4749–4752. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00889-09.
- Krishna G, Moton A, Lei M, Medlock MM, McLeod J. 2009. Pharmacokinetics and absorption of posaconazole oral suspension under various gastric conditions in healthy volunteers. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53 (3):958–966. doi:10.1128/AAC.01034-08.
- Krishna G, Ma L, Martinho M, O'Mara E. 2012. Single-dose phase I study to evaluate the pharmacokinetics of posaconazole in new tablet and capsule formulations relative to oral suspension. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56 (8):4196–4201. doi:10.1128/AAC.00222-12.
- Courtney R, Pai S, Laughlin M, Lim J, Batra V. 2003. Pharmacokinetics, safety, and tolerability of oral posaconazole administered in single and multiple doses in healthy adults. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 47 (9):2788–2795. doi:10.1128/AAC.47.9.2788-2795.2003.
- Abutarif MA, Krishna G, Statkevich P. 2010. Population pharmacokinetics of posaconazole in neutropenic patients receiving chemotherapy for acute myelogenous leukemia or myelodysplastic syndrome. Curr Med Res Opin 26 (2):397–405. doi: 10.1185/03007990903485056.
- Boonsathorn S, Cheng I, Kloprogge F, Alonso C, Lee C, Doncheva B, Booth J, Chiesa R, Irwin A, Standing JF. 2019. Correction to: Clinical Pharmacokinetics and Dose Recommendations for Posaconazole in Infants and Children (Clinical Pharmacokinetics, (2019), 58, 1, (53-61), 10.1007/s40262-018-0658-1). Clin Pharmacokinet 58 (1):141. doi:

10.1007/s40262-018-0722-x.

- Kraft WK, Chang PS, Van Iersel MLPS, Waskin H, Krishna G, Kersemaekers WM. 2014. Posaconazole tablet pharmacokinetics: Lack of effect of concomitant medications altering gastric pH and gastric motility in healthy subjects. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58 (7):4020–4025. doi:10.1128/AAC.02448-13.
- Petitcollin A, Boglione-Kerrien C, Tron C, Nimubona S, Lalanne S, Lemaitre F, Bellissant E, Verdiera MC. 2017. Population pharmacokinetics of posaconazole tablets and monte carlo simulations to determine whether all patients should receive the same dose. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61 (11). doi:10.1128/AAC.01166-17.
- Dolton MJ, Brüggemann RJ, Burger DM, McLachlan AJ. Nov 2014. Understanding variability in posaconazole exposure using an integrated population pharmacokinetic analysis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58 (11):6879–6885.
- Kohl V, Müller C, Cornely OA, Abduljalil K, Fuhr U, Vehreschild JJ, Scheid C, Hallek M, Rüping MJ. Jan 2010. Factors influencing pharmacokinetics of prophylactic posaconazole in patients undergoing allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54 (1):207–212.
- Vehreschild JJ, Müller C, Farowski F, Vehreschild MJ, Cornely OA, Fuhr U, Kreuzer KA, Hallek M, Kohl V. Jun 2012. Factors influencing the pharmacokinetics of prophylactic posaconazole oral suspension in patients with acute myeloid leukemia or myelodysplastic syndrome. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 68 (6):987–995.
- Peña-Lorenzo D, Rebollo N, Sánchez-Hernández JG, Zarzuelo-Castañeda A, Vázquez-López L, Otero MJ, Pérez-Blanco JS. Jan 2022. Population pharmacokinetics of a posaconazole tablet formulation in transplant adult allogeneic stem cell recipients. Eur J Pharm Sci 168:106049.
- Dekkers BGJ, Bakker M, van der Elst KCM, Sturkenboom MGG, Veringa A, Span LFR, Alffenaar JC. 2016. Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of Posaconazole: an Update. Curr Fungal Infect Rep 10:51–61.
- Kendall J, Papich MG. 2015. Posaconazole pharmacokinetics after administration of an intravenous solution, oral suspension, and delayed-release tablet to dogs. Am J Vet Res 76 (5):454–459. doi: 10.2460/ajvr.76.5.454.
- Merck S, Corp D. Feb 2014. EMEA Assessment Report (EMA/159150/2014) https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/ variation-report/noxafil-h-c-‡, •-x-••f‰epar-scientific-discussionextension_en.pdf.
- Li H, Wei Y, Zhang S, Xu L, Jiang J, Qiu Y, Mangin E, Zhao XM, Xie S. 2019. Pharmacokinetics and Safety of Posaconazole Administered by Intravenous Solution and Oral Tablet in Healthy Chinese Subjects and Effect of Food on Tablet Bioavailability. Clin Drug Investig 39 (11):1109–1116. doi:10.1007/s40261-019-00833-1.
- 24. Jang SH, Colangelo PM, Gobburu JV. Jul 2010. Exposure-response of posaconazole used for prophylaxis against invasive fungal infections: evaluating the need to adjust doses based on drug concentrations in plasma. Clin Pharmacol Ther 88 (1):115–119.
- Gastine S, Hope W, Hempel G, Petraitiene R, Petraitis V, Mickiene D, Bacher J, Walsh TJ, Groll AH. 2021. Pharmacodynamics of posaconazole in experimental invasive pulmonary aspergillosis: Utility of serum galactomannan as a dynamic endpoint of antifungal efficacy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 65 (2). doi:10.1128/AAC.01574-

20.

- Groll AH, Abdel-Azim H, Lehrnbecher T, Steinbach WJ, Paschke A, Mangin E, Winchell GA, Waskin H, Bruno CJ. 2020. Pharmacokinetics and safety of posaconazole intravenous solution and powder for oral suspension in children with neutropenia: an open-label, sequential dose-escalation trial. Int J Antimicrob Agents 56 (3):106084. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106084.
- R Foundation for Statistical Computing Core Team. 2013. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing http://www.Rproject.org.
- 28. **Bergstrand M, Karlsson MO**. Jun 2009. Handling data below the limit of quantification in mixed effect models. AAPS J 11 (2):371–380.
- Ankit Rohatgi. WebPlotDigitizer version 4.5 https://github.com/ ankitrohatgi/WebPlotDigitizer.
- Bentley S, Davies JC, Gastine S, Donovan J, Standing JF. 2021. Clinical pharmacokinetics and dose recommendations for posaconazole gastroresistant tablets in children with cystic fibrosis. J Antimicrob Chemother doi:10.1093/jac/dkab312.
- Sharkey I, Boddy AV, Wallace H, Mycroft J, Hollis R, Picton S. 2001. Body surface area estimation in children using weight alone: Application in paediatric oncology. Br J Cancer 85 (1):23–28. doi: 10.1054/bjoc.2001.1859.
- 32. **Boyd E**. 1935. The growth of the surface area of the human body. Milford, Univ. Minnesota Press.
- Ezzet F, Wexler D, Courtney R, Krishna G, Lim J, Laughlin M. 2005. Oral bioavailability of posaconazole in fasted healthy subjects: Comparison between three regimens and basis for clinical dosage recommendations. Clin Pharmacokinet 44 (2):211–220. doi: 10.2165/00003088-200544020-00006.
- Jonsson EN, Karlsson MO. Jan 1999. Xpose–an S-PLUS based population pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic model building aid for NON-MEM. Comput Methods Programs Biomed 58 (1):51–64.
- Lindbom L, Pihlgren P, Jonsson EN, Jonsson N. Sep 2005. PsN-Toolkit-a collection of computer intensive statistical methods for non-

linear mixed effect modeling using NONMEM. Comput Methods Programs Biomed 79 (3):241–257.

- 36. Cornely OA, Robertson MN, Haider S, Grigg A, Geddes M, Aoun M, Heinz WJ, Raad I, Schanz U, Meyer RG, Hammond SP, Mullane KM, Ostermann H, Ullmann AJ, Zimmerli S, Van Iersel MLPS, Hepler DA, Waskin H, Kartsonis NA, Maertens J. 12 2017. Pharmacokinetics and safety results from the Phase 3 randomized, open-label, study of intravenous posaconazole in patients at risk of invasive fungal disease. J Antimicrob Chemother 72 (12):3501.
- Tissot F, Agrawal S, Pagano L, Petrikkos G, Groll AH, Skiada A, Lass-Flörl C, Calandra T, Viscoli C, Herbrecht R. 03 2017. ECIL-6 guidelines for the treatment of invasive candidiasis, aspergillosis and mucormycosis in leukemia and hematopoietic stem cell transplant patients. Haematologica 102 (3):433–444.
- Merck Sharp Dohme Corp. Jun 2022. FDA Noxafil Prescribing Information https://www.merck.com/product/usa/pi_circulars/n/ noxafil/noxafil_pi.pdf.
- Wiederhold NP. 2015. Pharmacokinetics and safety of posaconazole delayed-release tablets for invasive fungal infections. Clin Pharmacol Adv Appl 8:1–8. doi:10.2147/CPAA.S60933.
- Bhatnagar S, Mukherjee D, Salem AH, Miles D, Menon RM, Gibbs JP. 2021. Dose adjustment of venetoclax when co-administered with posaconazole: clinical drug–drug interaction predictions using a PBPK approach. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 87 (4):465–474. doi: 10.1007/s00280-020-04179-w.
- 41. Lu Chen. June 2022. PAGE2022 Abstract 10036: An integrated population pharmacokinetic analysis for posaconazole oral suspension, delayed-release tablet, and intravenous infusion in healthy volunteers https://www.page-meeting.org/default.asp?abstract=, ••, ‡.
- Vaitkute G, Panic G, Alber DG, Faizura-Yeop I, Cloutman-Green E, Swann J, Veys P, Standing JF, Klein N, Bajaj-Elliott M. 2022. Linking gastrointestinal microbiota and metabolome dynamics to clinical outcomes in paediatric haematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Microbiome. 10 (1):89. doi:10.1186/s40168-022-01270-7.

470 **TABLES**

TABLE 1 Study population demographic, formulation and bioanalytical information.

Population Variable	Formulation	Median (Range) or Number
Age at baseline observation (years)	IV	9.7 (2.8 - 13.8)
	Tablet	13.8 (8.9 - 16.8)
	Suspension	4.7 (0.4 - 16.5)
Weight at baseline observation (kg)	IV	35.0 (12.0 - 52.9)
	Tablet	44.0 (26.8 - 86.1)
	Suspension	16.2 (4.3 - 61.3)
Number of patients providing plasma levels	IV	13 ¹
	Tablet	18 ²
	Suspension	83 ²
Dose (mg/kg)	IV	4.5 (2.0 - 11.5)
	Tablet	5.6 (1.6 - 10.6)
	Suspension	9.3 (1.8 - 35.5)
Plasma concentrations g/mL	IV	1.8 (0.1 - 5.4)
	Tablet	2.0 (0.01 - 11.4)
	Suspension	0.5 (0.01 - 9.3)
Number of plasma concentrations	IV	47
	Tablet	39
	Suspension	211

¹Of which seven patients also provide oral plasma levels

²Of which three patients provide tablet and suspension plasma levels

TABLE 2 Final population pharmacokinetic model parameter estimates. All disposition terms are centred on a fully mature 70 kg individual using allometric scaling with exponents of 1 for volume and 0.75 on CL_{sat} . Condition number for the final model is 43.7 and 70% of bootstrap runs were successful. IIV %CV = (standard error $i \cdot i^{\circ}$, ••

Parameter	Estimate (%RSE)	IIV %CV (%RSE)	Bootstrap 90% Cl
CL _{sat} (L/hr/70kg)	13.47 (11.8)	57 (20.5)	11.2 - 15.7
K _m (mg/L)	2 (fixed)	-	-
V (L/70kg)	186.01 (37.6)	120 (33.1)	114 - 258
Ka _{tab} (/hr)	0.59 (fixed)	-	-
Ka _{sus} (/hr)	0.2 (fixed)	-	-
Tablet F	0.66 (21)	-	0.45 - 0.87
Suspension D ₅₀ (mg/BSA)	43.25 (14.2)	-	31.4 - 55.1
_{ppi} on F _{sus}	-0.41 (27.5)	-	-0.540.28
Proportional Error (%)	63 (22.1)	-	0.5 - 0.74

Kane et al.

FIGURES



FIG 1 Adult IV dose escalation modelling. (A) Observed model predicted concentration time profiles. Red line, model prediction; open black circles, observed concentrations. (B) Visualisation of the effect of posaconazole concentration on adult adjusted clearance. Blue circles; observed posaconazole concentrations.



FIG 2 Pooled plasma concentrations (TDM levels) versus calculated time after last dose (TALD) included in the final modelling dataset. Panels from left to right: IV, tablet and suspension data.



FIG 3 Effect of age on clearance assessed using the base model. Points; IV - red triangle, tablet - blue circle, suspension - purple cross. Lines; loess smooth - black dashed line, linear regression - black dotted.



FIG 4 Conditional weighted Residuals (CWRES) versus population prediction (top row) and prediction-corrected visual predictive check (VPC) plots stratified by formulation for the final model (bottom row). VPCs show the observed data (black circles), 2.5th, 50th and 97.5th percentiles of the observed data (black lines) compared with 95 percent confidence intervals of the corresponding simulations from the final model (shaded areas). TALD, time after last dose administered. In the VPCs a red asterisk highlights that the observed percentile is outside of the model prediction interval.



FIG 5 Visualisation of the model estimated (A) Tablet bioavailability. (B) The covariates effecting suspension bioavailability. (C) The concentration dependence of clearance in the final model. TVF1: Typical value of tablet bioavailability. TVF2: Typical value of suspension bioavailability. _{ppi}: Fractional change in suspension bioavailability during concomitant PPI dosing.