

1 Cognitive decline in Alzheimer's: faster in early onset than late onset disease.

2
3 Commentary on "Clinical characteristics of early-onset versus late-onset
4 Alzheimer's disease - A systematic review and meta-analysis" by Seath *et al.*

5
6 Zuzana Walker, MD, FRCPsych^{1,2*}, and Tim Whitfield, PhD¹

7
8 1. Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, UK

9 2. Essex Partnership University NHS Foundation Trust, Wickford, UK

10
11 **Conflict of interest**

12 The authors have no conflicts to declare.

13
14 **Description of authors' roles**

15 The authors, Zuzana Walker and Tim Whitfield, equally contributed to the manuscript,
16 revised, read, and approved the submitted version.

17
18 **Word Count:** 1,653

19
20 **Number of References:** 11

21
22 *Corresponding author: Zuzana Walker, z.walker@ucl.ac.uk

23
24 The review by Seath *et al.* (2023) is important and timely, and very relevant to the present
25 climate, where disease-modifying treatments (DMTs) for Alzheimer's disease (AD) are
26 becoming a possibility but unlikely to be available soon for the majority of patients. It will thus
27 be increasingly important to identify patients with the most aggressive disease. The general
28 consensus in the field has been that, compared to late onset AD (LO-AD), early onset AD
29 (EO-AD) takes longer to diagnose, has a different cognitive profile, and a more aggressive
30 course of illness (Mendez, 2019). Surprisingly, no meta-analysis has ever been performed to
31 evaluate these conclusions from individual studies systematically.

32 The meta-analysis by Seath *et al.* (2023) was an ambitious undertaking, addressing six
33 different outcome domains. While it has some limitations, mainly due to constraints with the
34 available studies, it still provides us with some more definitive and less biased results.

35 The most robust result was that, compared to LO-AD, EO-AD had poorer baseline cognitive
36 performance and faster cognitive decline. The authors also showed that EO-AD, as would be

37 predicted, had better survival, most likely due to better physical health. In contrast, the
38 authors did not find evidence that EO-AD patients differed from LO-AD in time from symptom
39 onset to diagnosis, measures of activities of daily living (ADLs) or neuropsychiatric
40 symptoms (NPS).

41 Beginning with the meta-analysis comparing baseline cognitive performance, which included
42 the greatest number of studies ($k = 35$), there was strong evidence that patients with EO-AD
43 present with poorer cognition (as measured using the Mini-mental state examination;
44 MMSE), although the difference was small in magnitude. However, the MMSE is a very brief
45 screening test; future research using more in-depth cognitive testing and/or age-adjusted
46 scores may find the 'true' difference to be larger.

47 Because the meta-analysis ($k = 6$) showed that the time from symptom onset to diagnosis
48 did not significantly differ between EO- and LO-AD, the poorer cognition at presentation in
49 EO-AD cannot be explained by a longer period between onset of symptoms and diagnosis.
50 Nevertheless, the direction of the pooled effect suggested that the diagnosis of EO-AD is
51 relatively delayed, and thus the possibility that diagnostic delay contributed to the difference
52 in initial cognition cannot be ruled out.

53 An important result was the confirmation that patients with EO-AD have a more rapid rate of
54 cognitive decline on the MMSE ($k = 6$). This may partially account for the finding of poorer
55 cognition at presentation in EO-AD.

56 The meta-analysis did not show a significant difference in NPS (as measured using total
57 scores on the Neuropsychiatric Inventory) between EO- and LO-AD ($k = 6$), although there
58 was a trend towards LO-AD having worse NPS. Whilst the behavioural/dysexecutive variant
59 of AD – a subtype with elevated NPS versus typical AD – typically has a young age of onset,
60 this subtype only accounts for a minority of EO-AD cases overall (Ossenkoppele et al.,
61 2015).

62 A very small number of studies ($k = 3$) comparing ADLs between EO- and LO-AD using the
63 Functional Activities Questionnaire (FAQ) were meta-analysed, finding no significant
64 difference. The authors reported that the measures used to assess ADLs varied widely
65 across studies, thus limiting the data that could be pooled and the statistical power of this
66 element of the quantitative synthesis.

67 In contrast, whilst studies evaluating survival time were similarly few in number ($k = 3$), the
68 meta-analysis indicated that survival was significantly longer in EO-AD (in-keeping with the
69 findings reported within original studies); Seath *et al.* suggested that this may be accounted
70 for by individuals with LO-AD having a higher burden of age-related health problems. It is

71 instructive to consider this finding with reference to the results obtained for rate of cognitive
72 decline – patients with EO-AD have a more rapid cognitive decline but longer survival
73 compared to LO-AD. Whilst not addressed in the review, this suggests that individuals with
74 EO-AD may live with severe dementia for longer than people with LO-AD, which has
75 personal, societal and financial implications (e.g., relating to care home costs); this may be a
76 fruitful direction for future research (see Bakker et al. (2022)).

77 Interestingly, a recent meta-analysis by Sabates *et al.* (2023) pooled data from studies ($k =$
78 90) which investigated the relationship between NPS and cognition in clinical dementia. The
79 results from Seath *et al.* are not entirely in keeping with the findings from Sabates *et al.*
80 (2023). Sabates et al concluded that increased NPS were associated with worse cognition.
81 However, Seath *et al.* suggest that EO-AD have poorer cognition but (non-significantly)
82 fewer NPS at presentation versus LO-AD. One explanation for this could be that the review
83 by Sabates *et al.* pertained to all types of dementia, rather than AD specifically, yet most of
84 the included studies did feature AD patients (indeed, over 60% of included studies focused
85 on AD exclusively). Whilst unlikely, it remains possible that the association between poorer
86 cognition and greater NPS is unique to older individuals with dementia. Given the NPS meta-
87 analysis in Seath *et al.* only included six studies, and that the effect suggesting greater NPS
88 in LO-AD was only at a trend level, it is clear that further studies need to investigate this.

89 The work of Seath *et al.* is without doubt very timely. The field of AD research has been
90 greatly energised by the encouraging results from the recent phase III trials of the anti-
91 amyloid monoclonal antibodies lecanemab (van Dyck et al., 2023) and donanemab (Sims et
92 al., 2023). These trials raise the possibility of DMTs for AD being available in the near future.
93 Whilst exciting, the anticipated cost of treatment – including biomarker testing for candidate
94 patients – suggests that, if they are licensed, not all patients will be offered DMTs. It is
95 possible, therefore, that in some healthcare systems, DMTs will be offered to individuals who
96 may benefit the most. The finding of Seath *et al.* that EO-AD has a more rapid progression
97 may be one factor that influences clinical decision making regarding the targeting of DMTs.
98 From a health economic perspective, one of the reasons that EO-AD incurs greater costs is
99 that affected individuals are of working age but typically discontinue employment on health
100 grounds; delaying this may have a wide range of benefits. However, it is important to note
101 that most of the patients in recent DMT trials were aged >65 (the inclusion criteria were 50-
102 90 years for the lecanemab trial and 60-85 for the donanemab trial). Furthermore, those
103 aged <65 treated with lecanemab only showed a 6% slowing of decline on the Clinical
104 Dementia Rating, compared to 40% in those aged >75. One commentator speculated that
105 this may be due to more severe neuropathology in the younger group (Iwatsubo, 2023). We
106 are not aware of the equivalent, age-stratified data for donanemab.

107 A further strength of the work by Seath *et al.* is that the vast majority of included studies
108 were conducted in clinical services rather than academic settings. This suggests that the
109 findings should generalise to real-world clinical settings.

110 Whilst the paper has a number of strengths, it is also instructive to note its limitations.
111 Unfortunately, the only cognitive results that could be pooled were total scores from the
112 MMSE; this is a crude measure and does not enable cognitive deficits to be compared
113 between domains. It is regrettable that the forest plots presenting the results of the meta-
114 analyses did not include labels on the x-axes to enable the reader to quickly interpret the
115 effects. That is, whilst differences ‘in favour’ of the LO-AD studies (i.e., for which LO-AD >
116 EO-AD) were graphed to the right – and those in favour of the EO-AD studies to the left – of
117 zero, for some outcomes positive differences would be viewed as salutatory (e.g., cognition),
118 whilst for others they would be viewed as deleterious (e.g., NPS).

119 The authors acknowledged that comparing genetics (i.e., *APOE* ϵ 4), neuropathology, or
120 biomarkers was beyond the scope of the review. The review also did not consider whether
121 findings were influenced by the prevalence of autosomal-dominant (as opposed to sporadic)
122 EO-AD within original studies. More work including the genetics of EO-AD will be needed to
123 establish the influence of genetics on the clinical differences between EA0AD and LO-AD
124 (Sirkis *et al.*, 2022). Namely, whilst autosomal-dominant inheritance is thought to account for
125 only around 10% of EO-AD, there is a positive family history in a substantial proportion of
126 cases, and the heritability of AD in those aged <65 is estimated to be 90-100%. This
127 highlights that ongoing work is likely to identify additional causal and susceptibility genes
128 (beyond *APOE*) for EO-AD, which may facilitate future comparisons of the kind
129 recommended here.

130 Some additional material which is of interest in regard to the meta-analysis appeared in
131 papers published in recent theme-based issues of *International Psychogeriatrics*. For
132 example, Loi *et al.* (2022) found that the opening of a specialist early onset dementia service
133 in Melbourne, Australia reduced the time taken to diagnose patients by 12 months versus
134 the preceding period. Giebel *et al.* (2023) utilised National Alzheimer’s Coordinating Center
135 (NACC) data to compare medication use between early and late onset dementia, as well as
136 across different ethnic groups. The authors found that, compared to late onset dementia,
137 individuals with early onset dementia were more likely to use memantine and less likely to
138 use cholinesterase inhibitors. Importantly, across the whole sample, White individuals were
139 more likely to be prescribed any form of antidementia medication compared to other ethnic
140 groups. Whilst the social mechanisms giving rise to these data are likely multifactorial (and
141 certainly extend beyond healthcare services), these findings highlight that dedicated early

142 onset services need to be designed and delivered in ways that successfully engage and
143 serve individuals across ethnic groups.

144 In conclusion, Seath *et al.* are to be congratulated for writing this timely, comprehensive and
145 needed review, which addresses a clinically relevant topic. We hope that the work inspires
146 further investigations into the characteristics of EO-AD, to support advances in the diagnosis
147 and management of this extremely challenging form of dementia.

148 **References**

- 149 BAKKER, C., VERBOOM, M. & KOOPMANS, R. 2022. Reimagining Postdiagnostic Care
150 and Support in Young-Onset Dementia. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*, 23, 261-265.
- 151 GIEBEL, C., CATIONS, M., DRAPER, B. & KOMURAVELLI, A. 2023. Ethnic disparities in
152 the uptake of anti-dementia medication in young and late-onset dementia. *Int*
153 *Psychogeriatr*, 35, 381-390.
- 154 IWATSUBO, T. 2023. Editorial: Clinical Implementation of Lecanemab: Challenges,
155 Questions and Solutions. *J Prev Alzheimers Dis*, 10, 353-355.
- 156 LOI, S. M., GOH, A. M. Y., MOCELLIN, R., MALPAS, C. B., PARKER, S., ERATNE, D.,
157 FARRAND, S., KELSO, W., EVANS, A., WALTERFANG, M. & VELAKOULIS, D.
158 2022. Time to diagnosis in younger-onset dementia and the impact of a specialist
159 diagnostic service. *Int Psychogeriatr*, 34, 367-375.
- 160 MENDEZ, M. F. 2019. Early-onset Alzheimer Disease and Its Variants. *Continuum (Minneap*
161 *Minn)*, 25, 34-51.
- 162 OSSENKOPPELE, R., PIJNENBURG, Y. A., PERRY, D. C., COHN-SHEEHY, B. I.,
163 SCHELTENS, N. M., VOGEL, J. W., KRAMER, J. H., VAN DER VLIES, A. E., LA
164 JOIE, R., ROSEN, H. J., VAN DER FLIER, W. M., GRINBERG, L. T.,
165 ROZEMULLER, A. J., HUANG, E. J., VAN BERCKEL, B. N., MILLER, B. L.,
166 BARKHOF, F., JAGUST, W. J., SCHELTENS, P., SEELEY, W. W. & RABINOVICI,
167 G. D. 2015. The behavioural/dysexecutive variant of Alzheimer's disease: clinical,
168 neuroimaging and pathological features. *Brain*, 138, 2732-49.
- 169 SABATES, J., CHIU, W. H., LOI, S., LAMPIT, A., GAVELIN, H. M., CHONG, T. R. C.,
170 LAUNDER, N., GOH, A. M. Y., BRODTMANN, A., LAUTENSCHLAGER, N. &
171 BAHAR-FUCHS, A. 2023. The Associations Between Neuropsychiatric Symptoms
172 and Cognition in People with Dementia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.
173 *Neuropsychology Review*. reference incomplete
- 174 SEATH, P., MACEDO-ORREGO, L. E. & VELAYUDHAN, L. 2023. Clinical characteristics of
175 early-onset versus late-onset Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-
176 analysis. *Int Psychogeriatr*, 1-17. ? volume

177 SIMS, J. R., ZIMMER, J. A., EVANS, C. D., LU, M., ARDAYFIO, P., SPARKS, J.,
178 WESSELS, A. M., SHCHERBININ, S., WANG, H., MONKUL NERY, E. S., COLLINS,
179 E. C., SOLOMON, P., SALLOWAY, S., APOSTOLOVA, L. G., HANSSON, O.,
180 RITCHIE, C., BROOKS, D. A., MINTUN, M., SKOVRONSKY, D. M. &
181 INVESTIGATORS, T.-A. 2023. Donanemab in Early Symptomatic Alzheimer
182 Disease: The TRAILBLAZER-ALZ 2 Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*, 330, 512-527.
183 SIRKIS, D. W., BONHAM, L. W., JOHNSON, T. P., LA JOIE, R. & YOKOYAMA, J. S. 2022.
184 Dissecting the clinical heterogeneity of early-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Mol*
185 *Psychiatry*, 27, 2674-2688.
186 VAN DYCK, C. H., SWANSON, C. J., AISEN, P., BATEMAN, R. J., CHEN, C., GEE, M.,
187 KANEKIYO, M., LI, D., REYDERMAN, L., COHEN, S., FROELICH, L., KATAYAMA,
188 S., SABBAGH, M., VELLAS, B., WATSON, D., DHADDA, S., IRIZARRY, M.,
189 KRAMER, L. D. & IWATSUBO, T. 2023. Lecanemab in Early Alzheimer's Disease. *N*
190 *Engl J Med*, 388, 9-21.
191