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SUMMARY

Thymus is necessary for lifelong immunological tolerance and immunity. It displays a distinctive epithelial complexity and undergoes age-dependent atrophy. Nonetheless, it also retains regenerative capacity, which, if harnessed appropriately, might permit rejuvenation of adaptive immunity. By characterizing cortical and medullary compartments in the human thymus at single-cell resolution, in this study we have defined specific epithelial populations, including those that share properties with bona fide stem cells (SCs) of lifelong regenerating epidermis. Thymic epithelial SCs display a distinctive transcriptional profile and phenotypic traits, including pleiotropic multilineage potency, to give rise to several cell types that were not previously considered to have shared origin. Using here identified SC markers, we have defined their cortical and medullary niches and shown that, in vitro, the cells display long-term clonal expansion and self-organizing capacity. These data substantively broaden our knowledge of SC biology and set a stage for tackling thymic atrophy and related disorders.

INTRODUCTION

Epithelial tissues constantly renew during development, homeostasis, and regeneration, albeit at different rates. These processes are driven by self-renewing epithelial stem cells (SCs), the only bona fide SCs that can to date be extensively expanded in vitro. Maintenance of stemness largely relies on SC crosstalk with their specialized in vivo microenvironment, known as the niche. Co-cultures with irradiated feeder fibroblasts for stratified epithelial SCs or Paneth cells for intestinal SCs provide evidence of the importance of the niche signals to expand functional SCs for several generations in vitro. With rare exceptions (i.e., LGR5 in the gut crypt epithelium), most bona fide epithelial SCs do not present unique markers but rather are defined by multiple phenotypic and functional features. Nonetheless, high expression of the TP63 transcription factor (TF) — especially its ΔNTP63α isoform — has been correlated with epithelial stemness. More recently, a transcriptional signature has been reported for the epidermal “holoclone”—i.e., the keratinocyte clonogenic SCs that are capable of self-renewal in vitro and in vivo.

The thymus stands out among organs for the unique three-dimensional (3D) morphological complexity of its epithelium and for its undergoing progressive atrophy during postnatal life that is, however, reversible. The essential capacity of the thymus to generate and select for a diverse yet self-tolerant T cell repertoire reflects critical spatial and temporal interactions of developing thymocytes with the thymic stroma. The stroma is itself highly complex, comprising different types of thymic epithelial cells (TECs) (medullary thymic epithelial cells [mTECs] and cortical thymic epithelial cells [cTECs]); myoid and neuroendocrine cells; thymic interstitial cells; endothelial cells; and...
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hematopoietic subtypes such as dendritic cells, B cells, and macrophages. Hematopoietic cells colonize the epithelial-interstitial thymic anlagen during development and promote lympho-stroma crosstalk that orchestrates both thymocyte development and epithelial differentiation and morphogenesis. Failure of thymic epithelial specification during development results in congenital thymic agenesis and leads to severe combined immunodeficiency and autoimmunity.18,19

Embryonic thymic epithelial progenitors and their differentiation into cTECs and mTECs have been extensively studied using lineage tracing, reporter systems, and transplantation in mouse models.20,21 Recently, distinct cortical and medullary bipotent progenitor clusters were identified based on their developmental dynamics via CRISPR-Cas9 barcoding in mice supporting progenitor heterogeneity.22 By contrast, the mechanisms contributing to postnatal thymus repair and regeneration are very poorly understood, with the origin of the multiple stromal subtypes that shape the tolerogenic medullary microenvironment remaining unelucidated.23,24 Current models for thymic regeneration that may revert its involution are based on inducing injury (e.g., by irradiation and lymphocyte depletion) or modulating hormonal regulation (castration).25,26 The underpinning mechanisms of these procedures and their pathophysiologic relevance are uncertain. Nonetheless, tissue regeneration implies the existence of long-lasting, self-renewing SCs.

Indeed, despite reports of various putative epithelial progenitors and claims regarding marker genes to identify them,22,26–29 the identification of SCs in the postnatal human thymus has not been achieved.30 To address this, we combined high-resolution in vivo and in vitro single-cell analysis with prospective isolation, clonal expansion, and differentiation assays. We integrated these findings with spatial phenotyping and transcriptomics. This has permitted the identification of postnatal human thymic SCs, the resolution of their niches, and a comprehensive definition of specialized TECs in the postnatal cortex and medulla, providing important insights into mechanisms driving thymic epithelial regeneration and multilineage specification. In addition to those insights into thymus biology, these findings have implications in addressing disorders of the adaptive immune system and its functional decline with age.

RESULTS

Identification of a thymic cell population with an atypical epithelial signature and stemness traits

Although single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) allows cellular heterogeneity to be defined in an organ microenvironment, full characterization of thymic stroma is confounded by several factors, including the limited representation of epithelial cells (<0.02%) that need to be efficiently isolated from an organ where over 99% of the cells are developing thymocytes.33–36

To identify all epithelial cells of the postnatal thymus at high resolution, we performed independent scRNA-seq analysis of cortical and medullary populations that were sorted based on EpCAM<sub>low</sub>CD205<sup>pos</sup> (cortex) and EpCAM<sub>high</sub>CD205<sup>neg</sup> (medulla) after several rounds of stromal cell enrichment (Figure S1A). All TECs were visualized in a UMAP (uniform manifold approximation and projection) plot where cTEC clusters are depicted in light/dark green colors and mTEC clusters in pink/red (Figure 1A). The most upregulated genes for each of these 16 clusters are shown in a comprehensive cluster marker heatmap (Figure S1B).

Independent scRNA-seq of each of the cTEC and mTEC sorted populations allowed us to define a specific cluster present in both cortex and medulla that is visualized in orange in the center of the UMAP plot (Figure 1A). We then studied the transcriptional profile of this cluster and defined a distinctive signature that is visualized in the average gene expression scatter plot, in the feature view UMAP (Figure 1B), and in the volcano plot (Figure S1C). Of note, transcripts of several genes encoding for extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins or molecules for anchoring to ECM (including fibronectin [FN1], TIMP1, basal cell adhesion molecule [BCAM], and VCAM1) argued that these cells could produce components contributing to their own niche (Figure 1B).

In addition, we noted expression of genes mediating anti-viral response (i.e., CH25H and IFITM3), which confers high viral infection resistance to various tissue SCs.37 Enrichment analysis of this cluster versus all other thymic clusters confirmed the activation of ECM-binding as well as of inflammatory response pathways in these cells (Figure 1C). Strikingly, such genes as CEBPD, CLU, and LIFR involved in cell-cycle regulation and self-renewal of limbal, intestinal, and embryonic SC were also featured genes of this cluster (Figure 1B).

In addition, these cells expressed multiple cytokeratins (KRTs), which ordinarily define the specific lineage differentiation of simple, stratified, and glandular epithelial cell types, respectively, found in different tissues.38,39 The expressed keratins included KRT5, KRT8, KRT13, KRT14, KRT15, KRT17, KRT18, and KRT19. Of note, cells in this cluster co-expressed KRTs that in other tissues are associated with either proliferating SC (e.g., KRT15) or differentiated layers (e.g., KRT13) (Figures 1B, 1D, S1B, and S1C). They also co-expressed KRTs that are usually found only in simple (e.g., KRT7/18) or in basal layers (e.g., KRT5/14) of stratified epithelia.40 The KRT profile of this cluster shows unprecedented breadth, but was nonetheless selective, as indicated by the finding that some KRTs that characterize other differentiated cell types, e.g., KRT7 (lung ionocytes) [lo]}
Figure 2. Single-cell trajectory analysis reveals that PolyKRT cells differentiate into specialized mTECs and cTECs
(A and B) UMAP plot of cells colored according to pseudotime analysis run with Monocle software: PolyKRT cells differentiate toward cortical and medullary clusters. PolyKRT cells differentiate along trajectory toward mTEC-myoid (left) and mTEC-neuroendocrine/mechanosensory clusters (right).
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and KRT1/KRT10 (upper layers of epidermis), were expressed only by specialized thymic clusters, i.e., Io and cornified Hassall’s body (HB), respectively (Figures S1 D and S1E). Thus, we named the cluster Polykeratin (PolyKRT) rather than pankeratin.

A second small cluster shared PolyKRT genes but was also characterized by genes related to active proliferation and holo-clone signature (Figures 1A and S1F). We hypothesized that PolyKRT cells and PolyKRT-proliferating cells might jointly represent putative SCs of the postnatal thymus.

High-resolution scRNA-seq defines diverse functional epithelial clusters

Before studying the putative SC populations further, we needed to define the identities and gene signatures of all other clusters in the cortex and medullary datasets. First, we found four cortical clusters that were clearly identified by a functional cortical cell signature (e.g., TBATA, PRSS16, CTSV, and KCNIP3) as shown in the feature view UMAP and dot plots (Figure 1E). Conventional cTECs I–III confirmed cortical clusters that were previously described by us and others, whereas identification of the fourth cTEC cluster reflected the higher level of resolution of this study. When we determined the marker genes of this cluster, we identified a group of immediate-early genes (IEGs) (i.e., JUN, FOS, ATF3—so-called AP-1 family genes—and EGR1), associated with rapid responses to regulatory signals, such as immune responses or cellular stress (Figure S1G). This signature may reflect a specific functional status of cortical cells (cTEC-IEGs), which also express high levels of CD274 (encoding PD-L1) in addition to genes established as contributing to cortical function, e.g., FOXN1, TBATA, and LY75 (Figure 1E). Of note, the AP-1 family (c-JUN, c-FOS, and ATF3) has been previously implicated in CD274 (PD-L1) gene regulation in relation to its upregulation in immune responses or cellular stress.

Second, we defined seven specialized medullary cell types that we grouped into five categories: (1) myoid cells (mTEC-myoid), characterized by molecules and TFs of smooth, skeletal, and cardiac muscle cells, i.e., MYH3, MYOD, MYOG, and TTN (Figure 1F). (2) Io were defined by, among other genes, CFTR, FOXI1, and KRT7, evoking the CFTR-expressing pulmonary Io (Figure 1E). Of note, the AP-1 family (c-JUN, c-FOS, and ATF3) has been previously implicated in CD274 (PD-L1) gene regulation in relation to its upregulation in immune responses or cellular stress.

Third, we confirmed seven specialized medullary cell types that we grouped into five categories: (1) myoid cells (mTEC-myoid), characterized by molecules and TFs of smooth, skeletal, and cardiac muscle cells, i.e., MYH3, MYOD, MYOG, and TTN (Figure 1F). (2) Io were defined by, among other genes, CFTR, FOXI1, and KRT7, evoking the CFTR-expressing pulmonary Io (Figure 1E). (3) HB-region cluster cells, which are crucial for tolerance induction, were defined by the expression of KRT1, KRT6A, KRT10, AIRE, and FEZF2 (Figure S1E). Finally, (4) and (5), two main groups of neuroendocrine (NEUROD1, NNLH1, STMN2, GNG8, and NLRP1) and mechanosensory (ATOH1, IRX2, SOX2, PAX2, POU4F3, CCER2, and S100A1) cells were identified. We studied the heterogeneity of these clusters: mTEC-neuroendocrine-early characterized by the preferential expression of SOX11, NKX6-2, MGP, and AVP and mTEC-neuroendocrine-intermediate by the expression of POU4F1, HIGD1B, and GKAP1. Similarly, we named mTEC-mechanosensory-high and mTEC-mechanosensory-low on the basis of the SOX2 expression level (Figure 1G). These clusters displayed distinct signatures at the single-cell level, thus representing different bona fide neuroendocrine cell types within the thymus gland.

In short, we have identified several specialized cells and putative SCs in addition to two “transition” clusters that projected from the PolyKRT cluster toward either medullary or cortical differentiated cells in the UMAP plot (Figures 1A and S1H).

Multilineage differentiation of thymic epithelial PolyKRT cells

To begin to test our hypothesis that the PolyKRT clusters comprised human postnatal thymic SCs, we sought to investigate their differentiation toward cells with pre-medullary and pre-cortical progenitor signatures. By single-cell trajectory analysis (Pseudotime), we obtained a UMAP plot with an unsupervised Monocle algorithm that highlighted different trajectories from the PolyKRT cluster toward all specialized cell types via the two transition clusters (Figures 2A–2C).

The pseudotime heatmaps detail sequential steps of differentiation with progressive gene regulation patterns. The heatmaps clearly displayed differentiation of the PolyKRT cluster toward medullary mTEC-myoid, mTEC-neuroendocrine, mTEC-mechanosensory, mTEC-Io, and mTEC-HB fates (Figures 2D and S2A). Therefore, we studied which genes were upregulated in mTEC-myoid, mTEC-neuroendocrine, and mTEC-mechanosensory cells derived from the mTEC-transitioning cluster characterized by ASCL1, CLDN3, and CLDN4 upregulation (Figures 2D and S2B). The expression of TF ASCL1 is notable in that it plays a key role for activating neuronal pathways, thus, it was an unexpected trait of a progenitor population differentiating into both myoid and neuroendocrine fates. We therefore confirmed ASCL1 protein expression in the postnatal thymus medulla by using immunohistochemistry (IHC) (Figure S2C). Interestingly, the trajectory toward the Io cluster also passed through the mTEC-transition cluster and similarly expressed ASCL1, CLDN3, and CLDN4 (Figure S2A), supporting the view that mTEC-myoid, mTEC-neuroendocrine, mTEC-mechanosensory, and mTEC-Io cell types all derived from a common mTEC progenitor.

Conversely, trajectories toward the HB region appeared to adopt a more direct differentiation path, with enhanced expression of genes already expressed in the PolyKRT cluster and with progressive acquisition of a cornified epithelial phenotype that is typical of stratified HB cells (Figure S2A). We noticed that CD24 was expressed in all mTEC differentiated clusters (HB region, Io, mTEC-transition, mTEC-neuroendocrine, mTEC-mechanosensory, and mTEC-myoid clusters), with its broad medullary-specific expression confirmed by IHC and contrasting with the more restricted expression of ASCL1 in sparsely represented cells within the same region (Figures S2C and S2D).
Figure 3. Thymic SCs reside within the subcapsular and perivascular niches and express BCAM
(A) 3D reconstruction of thick sections (300 μM) of human postnatal thymus stained with fibronectin (FN1, green), FN1 stains highly dense tubular structures in the medulla (M) and subcapsular (SCap) regions in the cortex (C). Nuclei are counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Scale bars, 200 μm.
The Ouija algorithm allowed us to retrospectively confirm the accuracy of the unsupervised pseudotime and to infer from a set of genes how cTEC fate was acquired. Interestingly, cTEC fate was determined by coordinated upregulation of functional cortical genes that remained stably expressed in the mature cortex as shown by the pseudotime heatmap (Figure 2E), which was further supported by selected single-gene plots, e.g., PSMB11, PRSS16, and CTSV (Figure 2F). Concomitantly, PolyKRT genes such as CLU were progressively downregulated and others, e.g., ATF3 and CCL5, were transiently expressed in the transition cluster and then downregulated when the cells acquired cTEC identity (Figure 2F). Thus, acquisition of cTEC fate appeared to be determined by synchronous activation of cortical TFs and marker genes (e.g., KCNIP3, SCX, PSMB11, CTSV, and PRSS16) that established both differentiation commitment and traits associated with cortical function.

Viewed collectively, our data provide a first line of support for the hypothesis that the PolyKRT epithelial population comprises multipotent SCs of the postnatal thymus that are distinct from cortical and medullary intermediate progenitors.

Subcapsular and perivascular spaces are niches for PolyKRT cells

We next sought to identify the localization of PolyKRT cells within the complex 3D architecture of the human thymus. Because the expression of ECM-related genes was one of the identifying traits of PolyKRT cells, we used the expression of ECM-related transcripts and their protein products as markers of PolyKRT cells.

The ECM and, in particular, the basal laminae represent important components of epithelial SC niches in several tissues. We therefore performed 3D reconstruction of confocal images of 300 μm thick sections for FN1, which is one of the main ECM components (also expressed by PolyKRT cells) to define the spatial distribution of the basal lamina of the postnatal human thymus. FN1 immunostaining clearly defined the subcapsular (SCap) and the perivascular spaces within the cortex and medulla; of note, the 3D reconstruction revealed that vascular structures were strikingly more abundant and larger in the medulla (Figures 3A, 3A, and 3B).

Next, we took advantage of GeoMx Digital Spatial Profiling (DSP) that allows whole-transcriptomics expression profiling of selected, immunostained regions of paraffin-embedded tissue sections. This technology represents an unbiased solution to profiling anatomical regions by spatial resolution based on morphological markers. In 2D thin (5 μm) sections, it is challenging to define 3D vascular structures that in the medulla are organized in a dense, twisted net as shown by FN1 staining (Figures 3A and 3B). Therefore, guided by the IHC (above), we identified FN1-enriched medullary regions and SCap spaces as candidate areas to be enriched for PolyKRT transcripts. The challenge of this approach for the thymus tissue resides in the very high density of thymocytes intercalated within a network of TECs with branching morphology that are also relatively underrepresented. Anti-KRT8/18 immunostaining-defined epithelial cells and CD3/CD45 antibodies were used to maximize exclusion of the highly dense nuclei of thymocytes in each of these areas (segmentation). We also segmented regions of the central cortex (CC) as well as HB regions enriched for specialized cortical and medullary epithelial cells, respectively. SCap, CC, FN1-medulla (FNm), and HB were segmented for KRT8/18-positive and CD3/CD45-negative as regions of interest (ROIs) (Figure 3C). Four ROIs for each tissue section of three biological samples were then processed for sequencing (Figure 3C).

The dimensional reduction analysis (principal-component analysis [PCA] plot) clearly illustrates how the selected ROIs clustered accordingly, evidently profiling functionally distinct regions (Figure 3D). Strikingly, the PolyKRT signature (including FN1, BCAM, LIFR, and ITFTM3) was enriched in both SCap and FNm but neither in the CC nor in the HB, which were instead defined by their specialized signatures (Figure 3D).

The BCAM emerged in the scRNA-seq dataset as well as in GeoMx DSP as one of the ECM-related genes defining the PolyKRT signature (Figures 1C and 3E). BCAM is the receptor of laminin-5 (LAMAS), an ECM glycoprotein of the basal laminae, and its expression was detected together with other PolyKRT-specific proteins (FN1, ITFTM3, TIMP1, and KRTs) in SCap spaces and perivascular regions (Figures 3E

(B) Immuno-fluorescence labeling of thymic epithelial cells co-stained with anti-ITGA6 (CD49f) antibody (magenta), FN1 (green), and EpCAM (gray). Left: co-staining in the subcapsular region; right: co-staining in the medullary area. Asterisks indicate areas of colocalization. Nuclei counterstained with DAPI. Scale bars, 50 μm (n = 4, human thymus).
(C) Top: representative immuno-fluorescence image of an entire human thymus paraffin section (5 μm) analyzed for spatial transcriptomics (n = 4). FN1 staining is shown in green, CD45 and CD3 in red, while KRT18 areas in cyan. Nuclei counterstained with SYTO85 dye. Regions of interest (ROIs) localization is displayed and named in white for each type. Scale bars, 5 mm. Bottom: representative image of each ROI segmented for KRT18-positive cells is displayed in white and labeled as follows: HB (Hassall’s body), FN1 medulla (FNm), central cortex (CC), and subcapsular (SCap).
(D) Dimension reduction analysis displays transcriptional variation of ROIs along PCA2 versus PCA3. The heatmap graph shows the gene expression of PolyKRT and specialized cortical and medullary signature per each ROI. HB (dark red), FNm (red), CC (dark green), and SCap (green). Scale log10 (~3.3).
(E) UMAP feature view plot of BCAM showing the expression in the PolyKRT cluster.
(F) Representative immunofluorescence of a human thymus entire paraffin section (5 μm) analyzed for multiplex multispectral imaging (AKOYA): KRT15 (white), BCAM (green), and TP63 (red). Nuclei counterstained with DAPI. Scale bars, 1 mm (n = 6, human thymus).
(G) Higher magnification of subcapsular and medullary areas show rare cells with triple colocalization of KRT15, BCAM, and TP63. Scale bars, 50 μm. BCAM-single-positive endothelium is marked by asterisks. Triple panel of each area highlights BCAMpositiveTP63positive single cells in the subcapsular (left) and medullary areas (right). Scale bars, 20 μm.
(H) Triple-positive (KRT15+ , BCAM+ , and TP63+ ) single cells have been quantified as both absolute number per annotated area and percentage of total epithelial cells (TP63+ ) in each cortical and medullary area (10–15 cortical and medullary annotated areas, average annotation size 0.29 mm², n = 6 human thymus). Medulla shows a higher number of triple-positive cells than cortex. Significance: Mann-Whitney test, non-parametric; ***p < 0.0001.
(I) Triple-positive cells were quantified as both absolute number per annotated area and percentage of total epithelial cells (TP63+) in CC and SCap areas. SCap areas are enriched for triple-positive cells. Significance: Mann-Whitney test, non-parametric; ****p < 0.0001.
Figure 4. Prospective isolation of mTEC and cTEC PolyKRT

(A) Workflow of thymic tissue digestion, stromal cell enrichment, and fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) for cortical and medullary epithelial populations. Image created with Biorender.com. Representative FACS plots of dissociated and enriched thymic cells from human postnatal thymi (n = 13). cTECs and mTECs were gated for BCAM expression. Four TEC populations were sorted.

(B) Rhodamine-B staining of sorted cTEC and mTEC populations after two passages in culture demonstrating high clonogenic potential of BCAMpos cells that gave rise to colonies of variable sizes that stained either strongly or dimly with rhodamine-B (n = 4, donor-derived cultures).

(legend continued on next page)
and S3C–S3G). We next investigated the pattern of expression of the TP63 TF and of its isoform ΔNTP63α that is expressed in the basal layer of the epithermis and that was previously associated with stemness in various epithelia, including that of the thymus.13,15,55 We found that TP63 antibody (recognizing all TP63 isoforms) detected a very broad expression pattern in the thymus epithelium, with some brightly stained cells in both the cortex and medulla that colocalized with KRT17 and KRT18 (Figure S3H). Conversely, the ΔNTP63α isoform displayed a more restricted expression pattern, largely reflecting that of BCAM-expressing epithelial cells (Figure S3I).

Therefore, we used the single-cell spatial phenotyping technology by Akoya Biosciences to confirm PolyKRT cells across whole-tissue sections of thymi aged from 3 months to 10 years. Using the PhenolmagerHT multispectral system, we validated the co-expression of the marker gene BCAM with KRT15 and TP63 (all isoforms). PolyKRT cells were detected in both cortical and medullary compartments as a fraction of TP63-positive nuclei (Figures 3F and 3G). Single cells positive for BCAM, KRT15, and TP63 were detected in the areas where the basal laminae are more strongly represented, thus demonstrating a higher proportion of BCAM+ in the medulla compared with the cortex (Figures 3H and 3I).

In sum, the combined use of spatial transcriptomics and immunophenotyping allowed us to define the niches of PolyKRT SCs as the SCap and perivascular regions.

**PolyKRT cells are the clonogenic SCs of human thymus**

Clonogenicity is a feature defining epithelial SCs in several tissues and was first established for skin keratinocyte SCs.6,16 Our previous data indicated that thymic clonogenic cells were included in the mTEC and cTEC populations that are CD49f+ (ITGA6). We therefore performed scRNA-seq of the CD49f+ sorted population and found that PolyKRT cluster segregated in this sorted population along with other specialized TEC clusters (Figures S4A and S4B). In this dataset, we observed that PolyKRT cells were negative for CD24, a surface marker expressed by specialized mTECs and for CD83, a marker expressed by specialized cTECs (Figure S4B). CD90 was previously described as expressed by TECs in vivo and in vitro.54,57 When we validated the CD24 protein expression pattern in the CD49f+ population using flow cytometry, we also observed that the CD24neg population was CD49f+ and CD90neg as shown by mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) quantification (Figure S4C). Indeed, when cortical (CD205negEpCAMneg) and medullary (CD205negEpCAMneg) cells were further subdivided based on the level of expression of CD49f, CD90, and CD24 (Figure S4C) and assessed independently for clonogenicity in culture, only CD49f+CD90negCD24neg cells gave rise to expanding colonies, irrespective of being derived from either the medulla or the cortex (Figures S4D and S4E).

To confirm whether clonogenicity trait was displayed by the PolyKRT cells, we endeavored to purify them based on the level of expression of the just validated marker, BCAM. cTECs and mTECs were further subdivided into BCAMpos and BCAMneg TEC; all four cell fractions were sorted and plated to test their clonogenic capacities (Figures 4A and S4F). Following flow cytometry sorting, we found that only BCAMpos cells in both cortex and medulla were clonogenic and gave rise to epithelial colonies that could be extensively sub-cultured and expanded in vitro (Figures 4B and S4G).

Freshly isolated clonogenic (BCAMpos) and non-clonogenic (BCAMneg) TECs were subjected to nCounter automated analysis (NanoString) that allows multiplex gene expression profiling. Of note, clonogenic BCAMpos cells when freshly isolated, retained some of their cortical or medullary identity reflected by the differential expression of compartment-specific genes such as cortical CTSV, FOXN1, PSMB11, SCX, and LY75 and medullary CCL21, EpCAM, CLDN3, and CLDN4, respectively (Figure S4H). Furthermore, they retained the expression of keratins (KRT5, KRT13, KRT14, KRT17, and KRT18) typical of PolyKRT cells (Figure S4I). Of note, the volcano plot displaying the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between clonogenic and non-clonogenic TECs provided an independent confirmation, using an independent method, of PolyKRT gene expression in the clonogenic fractions, together with BCAM (Figures 4C and 4D).

It has been observed that once isolated from their niche in vivo and challenged for in vitro expansion under defined conditions, SCs activate and adapt to the culture microenvironment as reflected in modified gene expression profiles.58,59 To investigate whether clonogenic SCs retained cortical and medullary identities, respectively, together with PolyKRT traits in culture, we performed scRNA-seq of cTEC and mTEC expansion in vitro. Such analysis also allowed us to address whether clonogenic cells expanding in culture would differ depending on their compartment of origin (cortex or medulla) and/or method of isolation. Cells were expanded for several passages and sub-confluent (i.e., still expanding) cultures were harvested and processed for 10x transcriptomic single-cell sequencing (Figure 5A). For comparison with cells of known long-term regenerative potential, we also included a culture of epithermis-derived clonogenic SCs, i.e., skin keratinocytes. All samples were further processed for sub-clustering to eliminate contaminating mouse feeder cells (Figure S5A).

All thymic cultures, independent of their derivation, showed comparable profiles in the UMAP plots with identification of three main cell groups i.e., cluster 1 (C1), C2, and C3 (Figure 5B). Of note, none of the cultures expressed cortex- or medulla-specific transcripts, e.g., LY75, PRSS16, FOXN1, CD74, ASCL1, CLDN3, CCL21, SOX2, and MYOG (Figure S5B). Thus, the transcriptional memory of the cortical or medullary origin was essentially silenced when clonogenic TECs were seeded and expanded in 2D culture (Figures 5B and S5C).

When we compared scRNA-seq datasets of SCs growing in vitro and the PolyKRT cluster in vivo, the degree of transcriptional overlap was striking, with the PolyKRT signature equally

(C) Volcano plot analysis of freshly sorted clonogenic versus non-clonogenic thymic epithelial cells. All genes present on the StemCell NanoString nCounter Panel-Plus have been plotted. Each dot represents one gene. A p value of 0.05 and a fold change of 2 are indicated by gray, highlighting the most significantly upregulated (red) and downregulated (blue) genes (n = 3, thymic samples).
(D) Single-gene expression profiles of adhesion molecules and surface markers expressed by BCAMpos and BCAMneg TECs via nCounter NanoString Technologies (n= 3, thymic samples).
Figure 5. Single-cell RNA-seq analysis defines a thymus-specific cell signature in vitro

(A) Workflow of cell preparation for single-cell transcriptome profiling of cultivated human cTEC and mTEC cultures. Image created with Biorender.com.

(B) UMAP plot visualization of cultured mTECs and cTECs colored by cell cluster group per each representative sample (n = 2, donor-derived cultures of mTECs and cTECs).

(C) MA Plot of DEGs of PolyKRT in vivo vs TEC in vitro

(D) Category enrichment analysis for DEGs of TEC in vitro vs PolyKRT in vivo
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expressed in both conditions, as shown by key signature genes lying along the middle line in the MA plot (Figure 5C). This integration analysis also highlighted a few differences between these populations, driven by their two highly distinct environments. In vitro-expanding SCs unsurprisingly activated genes associated with proliferation, cell motility, and ECM-regulator pathways, e.g., COL1A1, COL1A2, UKP1B, MFAP5, and SFRP1 (red data points), while in vivo PolyKRT were characterized by the expression of chemokines and receptors, e.g., CCL19, CCL21, CCL25, and CD74 (blue data points), most likely facilitating the crosstalk with thymocytes and other components of their niche that are missing in vitro (Figures 5C and 5D). Thus, PolyKRT are the clonogenic SCs of human thymus and retain their signature while expanding in the in vitro microenvironment.

**Clonogenic PolyKRT SCs display thymus-specific traits in culture**

Clustering analysis showed that in vitro-expanding PolyKRT and epidermal cells shared C2 and C3 clusters, although cluster C1 emerged as thymus specific, strikingly illustrating its atypical epithelial signature, i.e., FN1, TIMP1, IFITM3, and VCAM1 in addition to CD90 (THY1) (Figures 5E and SS–SSF). The C1 cluster was further confirmed to be a distinctive, thymus-specific feature in another dataset, which also included a culture of airway basal cells (Figure SS5). By contrast, clusters C2 and C3 were common to TEC, epithelial keratinocyte, and airway cell cultures and included established markers of stratified and cornified epithelia (Figure SS4).

Finally, using single-cell trajectory analysis (Monocle pseudotime), we investigated whether TEC clusters were hierarchically organized. Indeed, the thymus-specific cluster C1 appeared to give rise to all the TEC types (C2 and C3) (Figure 5F; Table S1). Therefore, using flow cytometry, we analyzed thymic cultures stained for the surface markers that were differentially expressed in culture including CD90EpCAMneg, CD90negEpCAMpos, and CD90posEpCAMneg (Figures 5G and S6D). We then assessed the phenotypic profile of these sorted populations by flow cytometry upon culture and serial passages. After only one passage, sorted CD90pos cells gave rise to all populations of the original culture including CD90posEpCAMneg, CD90negEpCAMpos, and CD90posEpCAMneg TEC. On the contrary, CD90posEpCAMneg maintained their phenotype, although they also generated a small proportion of CD90posEpCAMneg cells, thus confirming the capacity of TECs to downregulate EpCAM protein in culture (Figure S5G).

In summary, the experiments have further strengthened the case for PolyKRT being the human postnatal clonogenic SCs showing the notable trait of colony heterogeneity and unconventional epithelial traits.

**Distinct morphological traits of clones in vitro define SC properties**

To investigate whether the nature of thymic culture heterogeneity may reflect a differential self-renewal capacity of clonogenic PolyKRT SCs, we performed single-cell clonal analysis (Figure 6A).

Such analysis has previously established the functional heterogeneity of ex vivo human keratinocyte SCs that permanently repair and sustain human epidermal grafts as life-saving therapies for large burns and congenital genodermatoses.\(^6,11\) Once a keratinocyte clone is expanded, its capacity to sustain growth (self-renewal) can be evaluated from plating a fraction of its cells in an indicator dish (i.e., definition of holoclone, meroclone, and paracline).\(^6\) Furthermore, this approach enabled us to classify TECs based on colony diversity that had emerged (above) as a thymus-specific trait (Figures S7A–S7C). Phase-contrast images of individual colonies highlighted a morphological heterogeneity, permitting us to define the following: (1) “refractive-edges” colonies that were composed of cells with refractive borders under phase-contrast imaging and (2) “stratified” morphology for its similarity to the colony morphology of cultured stratified epithelia, e.g., epidermal keratinocytes (Figure 6B). Colonies that displayed a piled-up differentiation phenotype were named “aborted” as a reference to the aborted keratinocyte colonies, whereas cells that were highly mobile were called “scattered” (Figure S7A).

To evaluate which type(s) of colony was expressing the thymus-specific signature identified by scRNA-seq, i.e., the C1
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cluster, we stained the different clonal colonies for KRT14 and the identified proteins such as FN1, IFITM3, TIMP1, and CD90. We found the expression of these proteins only in refractive-edges morphologies and not in the stratified colonies derived from either thymus or epidermis (Figures 6C and S7B).

Next, we expanded single clones to study the growth potential and the hierarchical relationship of each morphological cell type. After 1 week of clonal culture, each clone was trypsinized and three-quarters of each clonal colony was replated for further expansion, whereas one-quarter was seeded in an indicator dish fixed and stained for rhodamine-B after 12 days to examine growth potency and morphology. The dim rhodamine-B-stained clones corresponded to refractive-edges morphology, while stratified clones were strongly stained with rhodamine-B. These results confirmed that single cells with refractive-edges colony-forming capacity were able to generate all morphology types upon subculture, while stratified produced only stratified and/or terminally differentiated (aborted) colonies (Figures 6D and S7C). When we analyzed the profile of the progeny of expanded single clones by flow cytometry, we observed that refractive-edges clones gave rise to distinctive CD90posEpCAMneg, CD90negEpCAMneg, and CD90negEpCAMpos subpopulations, while stratified clones gave rise only to CD90negEpCAMpos population (Figure 6E). Of note, the refractive-edges colonies could be expanded with an average efficiency of 72.9% (with a peak of 81%), while stratified clones had a lower efficiency (20.8%, with a peak of 33%) and gave rise to aborted colonies, reminiscent of meroclones and paraclones (Figures S7D and S7E). Thus, the properties of refractive-edges cells were consistent with those expected of a clonal thymic SC population in culture that self-renews while giving rise to progenitors with more limited expansion potency.

**Clonogenic SCs retain PolyKRT multilineage differentiation potency**

Our bioinformatic-based analyses of the scRNA-seq datasets, described at the start of this study, indicated that PolyKRT cells harbored multilineage differentiation potential in vitro. To determine if in vitro expanded SCs retained multilineage differentiation potency of PolyKRT, we developed an assay that favored the differentiation of clonogenic SCs obtained by seeding only one expanded epithelial cell type, with no support from other stromal or hematopoietic cells. Differentiated cells were then either fixed for whole-mount IHC or lysate processed for real-time quantitative PCR analysis (Figure 7A). Cortical differentiation, marked by LY75 and null for KRT5/14, and medullary differentiation, marked by KRT5/14 and null for LY75, were achieved independently from the compartment of origin of the cells (clonogenic cTECs or mTECs) that initiated the culture (Figure 7A). Of note, differentiating cells were able to generate and organize mTEC-HB-like regions (KRT10), sparse lo (KRT7), medullary progenitors (ASCL1), and myoid cells (DES) that evoked medullary areas of the native thymus (Figures 7B–7D), whereas the same markers were not expressed by clonogenic SCs in expansion (Figures S8A–S8D). Medullary fates were further confirmed by the upregulation of mTEC transient progenitor cluster genes (ASCL1, CLDN3, and CLDN4), myoid (MYOG), neuroendocrine, and mechanosensory (SOX11, SOX2, and SYP) cell lineage genes, whereas a cortical fate was evidenced by the upregulation of LY75, KCNIP3, CD74, CTSV, CD274, and FOXP1 genes in differentiated cultures, compared with counterpart cells undergoing expansion (Figure S8E). To conclusively demonstrate the intrinsic multipotency of thymic SCs, we expanded single clones and assessed their progenies by the same assay described above. All the clones capable of expansion growth demonstrated multilineage differentiation potency, giving rise to multiple medullary and cortical fates (Figure S9A).

Finally, we assessed whether multilineage differentiation of clonogenic SCs would also be achieved in vivo using a whole-organ thymus reconstitution assay that we had previously developed.35 Thymus reconstitution by expanded SCs was characterized by stroma compartmentalization into cortical and medullary regions including HB formation. Thymus reconstitution was characterized by the progressive maturation of the stroma and its compartmentalization into cortical and medullary regions, while seeding of hematopoietic progenitors followed by thymocyte (CD3+ cells) development demonstrated the appropriate functioning of the reconstituted organs (Figures 7E, S9B, and S9C). Strikingly, the reconstituted tissues displayed cortical and medullary epithelial subtypes derived from expanded clonogenic SCs with HB formation (KRT10+), medullary progenitors (ASCL1+), and neuroendocrine cells (SOX2+), which reflected the spatial phenotype of the native thymus (Figures 7F and 7G).

Thus, we could conclude that similarly to PolyKRT in vivo, clonogenic SCs retained multipotency after isolation and in vitro expansion, with the capacity to reconstitute multiple thymic compartments even from a single clone. These are akin to the defining criteria for human multipotent SCs, which include the proven capability of self-organization and organ reconstitution.

**DISCUSSION**

Our data collectively identify and characterize bona fide epithelial SCs with multilineage differentiation potency in the human...
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postnatal thymus. Thymic SCs have some unanticipated traits and are defined by gene expression signatures that reflect their capacity to sustain multiple functions and resist stress and insults of various types. Indeed, our data support a model whereby postnatal SCs can be isolated from both human cortical and medullary compartments based on common features and defined surface molecules. They have additional key properties, which contrasts them with the prior definition of “thymic progenitors”,52,62 Hitherto, putative “progenitors” were poorly characterized,4,33–35 while we define both SCs and progenitor cells based upon specific signatures that were experimentally validated.

Thymic SCs give rise to cTECs including a functionally distinct cell cluster (cTEC-IEGs) that constitutively express PD-L1 and may play a role in controlling the activation of immature thymocytes; they also generate specialized medullary cell types, including myoid and neuroendocrine cells that were hitherto not assigned to the epithelial lineage and previously considered of uncertain origin.33

Although studied essentially as the site of T cell development, the thymus is an endocrine gland. It is also known that the immune system is functionally linked to neuroendocrine axes, constituting an integrated homeostatic network.64 Here, we characterize two main subtypes of thymic cells with neuroendocrine features. The first cell type expresses TFs, e.g., NEUROD1, that are essential for endocrine cell development in other tissues such as the gut.65 A second neuroendocrine cluster upregulates transcripts (e.g., IRX2, SOX2, and ATOH1) involved in the development of sensory/neuroendocrine cells of epithelial origin such as Merkel cells of the skin,66,67 or the inner ear hair cells.58–70 These thymic sensory/neuroendocrine cells, as well as myoid and lobutotype, derive from a common ASC1L-expressing epithelial progenitor. ASC1L is critical for the ordered development of neuronal populations71,72 and also of neuroendocrine cells in the lung.73 Binding of ASC1L often precedes the appearance of regions of open chromatin that are associated with de novo gene expression during neuronal differentiation.74 Its function in transcription and chromatin landscape regulation might be critical also in the thymus. This trait seems germane to recent claims that the murine thymic medulla contains cells that mimic peripheral tissue antigen presentation for tolerance induction and that are defined by lineage-specific TFs.24 Thus, our finding of thymic epithelial SCs with pleiotropic multilineage differentiation may indicate that the medullary cells are bona fide diverse cell types and may represent a key to understanding mechanisms shaping the medullary tolerogenic microenvironment. Additionally, they may determine the high heterogeneity of thymic tumors (i.e., thymoma and thymic carcinoma), including the most aggressive rhabdomyosarcoma and primary neuroendocrine tumors of the thymus (NETTs), often associated with autoimmunity.75

Once isolated from healthy tissue, thymic SCs are clonogenic and can be extensively expanded, fulfilling the key property of SCs of constantly renewing tissues such as the epidermis. This seems paradoxical, given that the epidermis is characterized by high cell turnover and is entirely replaced every 3 weeks lifelong, whereas the thymic epithelium is not actively proliferating, and the thymus itself involutes with progressively decreasing functional outputs throughout postnatal life. Nevertheless, we isolated clonogenic SCs from pediatric to young adult age groups when involvement mechanisms are already active. Of note, thymic SCs display the striking capacity to phenocopy cortical and medullary compartments ex vivo without developmental stromal instructive signals, thus fulfilling the property of somatic SCs.

Additionally, the thymic SCs display some distinctive traits that provide significant insights into the broader field of epithelial SC biology. First, thymic multipotent SCs express ECM-binding proteins, such as BCAM, that are important for polarization and adherence to the basal laminae54,76 and contribute to their niche by producing ECM proteins, e.g., FN1. In the thymus, the basal laminae are distributed along a complex 3D architecture, with the SCap spaces and the perivascular regions to be the areas where PolyKRT SCs reside. Of note, our findings define their localization to a much broader area than the cortical-medullary junction (CMJ) where thymic progenitors had been hypothesized to reside.77 The importance of epithelial adhesion to specific ECM for stemness maintenance is further demonstrated by the capacity of proteins such as FN1 to inhibit human keratinocyte terminal differentiation.78 Reflecting the abundance and distribution of the basal laminae, thymic SCs reside both in the cortical and medullary compartments but are more abundant in the medulla. Our 3D imaging data also unraveled a striking, hitherto unreported difference of blood vessel density between the two compartments.

Second, thymic epithelial SCs constitutively express several genes involved in immune and inflammatory responses. For
example, IFITM3 likely represents an increased resistance of these cells to viral infections, a property that has been observed in other SCs. 37 Indeed, its constitutive expression in unstimulated epithelial SCs is striking when compared with its strictly regulated expression in infected or inflamed tissues or in non-epithelial stromal cells.79–81 Nonetheless, we note that in vivo, the thymus is a site of constitutive type I interferon (IFN) α expression82 that may contribute to IFITM3 regulation.

Third, thymic SCs co-express several KRTs that are typically known for their specificity and lineage restriction.41,42 Thus, thymic SCs display a PolyKRT signature, not described so far among any other epithelia. This might underpin the plasticity of epithelial SCs—the capacity to increase their potency during differentiation—that has been reported for TECs in the context of transplantation.11,83

These data have important implications for future cell replacement therapies (i.e., transplantation in athymic patients) and may facilitate translational uses similar to epidermal and limbal SCs that are currently in clinical use.61,84 Thus, by studying how thymic SCs and their niche adapt during the progressive atrophy of thymus and how they respond to exogenous factors both in vivo and in vitro, we shall be able to study the mechanisms of thymic involution and design future strategies for increasing thymic output, e.g., to augment vaccination responses in vulnerable subjects or improve the immune response against cancer.

Limitations of the study
Further studies will be required to dissect the specific role of PolyKRT signature genes, for example, via genetic manipulation. The challenge of this approach would be to manipulate clonogenic SCs without affecting their self-renewal capacity in culture or in vivo, the thymus is a site of constitutive type I interferon (IFN) α expression that may contribute to IFITM3 regulation.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antibodies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM BV421</td>
<td>BD Bioscience</td>
<td>CAT#748007; RRID:AB_2872468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD24 APC</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>CAT#311117; RRID:AB_1877150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD24 BV605</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>CAT#311124; RRID:AB_2562288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD205 PE</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>CAT#342203; RRID:AB_1626209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD235ab Biotin</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>CAT#306618; RRID:AB_2565773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD45 Biotin BioLegend</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>CAT#103104; RRID:AB_312969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD45 APC</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>CAT#304011; RRID:AB_314399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD49f AF488</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>CAT#313608; RRID:AB_493635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C49f BV421</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>CAT#313624; RRID:AB_2562244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD90 AF700</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>CAT#328119; RRID:AB_2203303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD90 FITC</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>CAT#328108; RRID:AB_893429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EpCAM PE-Cy7</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>CAT#324222; RRID:AB_2561506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse Feeder</td>
<td>Miltenyi</td>
<td>CAT#30120168; RRID:AB_2752027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ly75 (CD205)</td>
<td>Biolegend</td>
<td>CAT#313608; RRID:AB_493635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK5</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>CAT#AB124897; RRID:AB_10976058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK7</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>CAT#AB17130; RRID:AB_443671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK10</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich</td>
<td>CAT#HPA007272; RRID:AB_1079181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK13</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>CAT#SC-53252;RRID:AB_629835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK14</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>CAT#AB16112; RRID:AB_302267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK15</td>
<td>Biolegend</td>
<td>CAT#905301;RRID:AB_2565048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK17</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich</td>
<td>CAT#HPA023910;RRID:AB_1852205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK8</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich</td>
<td>CAT#HPA000453;RRID:AB_1079176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK8/18</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>CAT#AB90923;RRID:AB_306948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EpCAM-efluor660 cojugated</td>
<td>eBioscience</td>
<td>CAT#BP5075;RRID:AB_979823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p63</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>CAT#50-9326-42;RRID:AB_10598658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFITM3</td>
<td>Thermofisher</td>
<td>CAT#AB735;RRID:AB_305870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FN1</td>
<td>Proteintech</td>
<td>CAT#MA5-32798;RRID:AB_2810074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THY-1 (CD90)</td>
<td>Biolegend</td>
<td>CAT#15613-1-AP;RRID:AB_2810074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deltaNTP63alpha</td>
<td>kindly provided by Michele De Luca</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM</td>
<td>BD Bioscience</td>
<td>CAT#748007;RRID:AB_2872468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCAM</td>
<td>Novus Biological</td>
<td>CAT#NBP2-31994;RRID:AB_2922815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD24-APC conjugated</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>CAT#311117;RRID:AB_2922815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCL1</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>CAT#AB74065;RRID:AB_1859937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMP1</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>CAT#MA1-773;RRID:AB_889482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX2</td>
<td>R&amp;D Systems</td>
<td>CAT#AF2018;RRID:AB_355110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD45</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>CAT#AB40763;RRID:AB_726545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD45</td>
<td>Novus Biological</td>
<td>CAT#NBP2-34528;RRID:AB_2864384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3E</td>
<td>Origene</td>
<td>CAT#UM500048;RRID:AB_2629062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3E APC conjugated</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>CAT#300411;RRID:AB_314065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DES</td>
<td>Agilent/DAKO</td>
<td>CAT#M0760;RRID:AB_2335684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Guinea Pig AF594</td>
<td>Jackson Immuno</td>
<td>CAT#706-165-148;RRID:AB_2340460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Mouse AF488</td>
<td>Jackson Immuno</td>
<td>CAT#715-545-150;RRID:AB_2340846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Mouse AF594</td>
<td>Jackson Immuno</td>
<td>CAT#715-165-150;RRID:AB_2340813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Mouse AF647</td>
<td>Jackson Immuno</td>
<td>CAT#715-605-150;RRID:AB_2340862</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Rabbit AF488</td>
<td>Jackson Immuno</td>
<td>CAT#711-545-152; RRID:AB_2313584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Rabbit AF594</td>
<td>Jackson Immuno</td>
<td>CAT#711-585-152; RRID:AB_2340621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Rabbit AF647</td>
<td>Jackson Immuno</td>
<td>CAT#711-605-152; RRID:AB_2492288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Rat AF488</td>
<td>Jackson Immuno</td>
<td>CAT#712-545-150; RRID:AB_2340683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Rat AF647</td>
<td>Jackson Immuno</td>
<td>CAT#712-605-150; RRID:AB_2340693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Chicken AF488</td>
<td>Jackson Immuno</td>
<td>CAT#703-225-155; RRID:AB_2492288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Chicken AF594</td>
<td>Jackson Immuno</td>
<td>CAT#705-585-155; RRID:AB_2340377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Goat AF488</td>
<td>Jackson Immuno</td>
<td>CAT#705-545-147; RRID:AB_2336933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Goat AF594</td>
<td>Jackson Immuno</td>
<td>CAT#705-165-147; RRID:AB_2307351</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Biological Samples

- **Human Postnatal Thymi**: Great Ormond Street Hospital (GOSH)-London [https://www.gosh.nhs.uk](https://www.gosh.nhs.uk)
- **Human Foetal liver**: HDBR UK [https://www.hdbr.org](https://www.hdbr.org)

Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins

- **Collagenase D**: Roche cat # 11088866001
- **Collagenase A**: Roche cat# 10103578001
- **Dispase II**: Gibco cat# 17105-041
- **ROCHE DNase I grade II from bovine pancreas**: Roche cat# 10104159001
- **DAPI**: Sigma-Aldrich cat# D9542
- **Hoescht 33342**: Sigma-Aldrich cat# B2261
- **Zombie Aqua Fixable Viability Kit (DMSO)**: Biolegend cat# 423102
- **Pneumacult ALI Basal Medium**: Stemcell Technologies cat# 05002
- **Pneumacult ALI 10X supplement**: Stemcell Technologies cat# 05003
- **Hydrocortisone Stock Solution**: Stemcell Technologies cat# 07925
- **Pneumacult ALI 100X maintenance**: Stemcell Technologies cat# 05006
- **Buffer RLT Plus**: Qiagen cat# 1030963
- **Triton X-100**: Sigma-Aldrich cat# T8787
- **Normal Donkey Serum Powder**: Jackson IMMUNO cat# 017-000-121
- **RPMI 1640, GlutaMAX(TM)**: Gibco cat# 61870010
- **Hanks’ Balanced Salt solution**: Sigma-Aldrich cat# H6648
- **DMEM, high glucose**: Gibco cat# 41965039
- **Gibco™ Ham’s F-12 Nutrient Mix**: Gibco cat # 21765029
- **Penicillin -streptomycin solution*stabilized**: Sigma-Aldrich cat# p4333-100
- **Cholera Toxin from Vibrio cholerae**: Sigma-Aldrich cat# 80052
- **3,3',5-Triiodo-L-thyronine sodium salt**: Sigma-Aldrich cat# T6397
- **Insulin, Human Recombinant**: Sigma Aldrich cat# 91077C
- **Hydrocortisone, Chromatographic Standard**: Sigma Aldrich cat# 386698
- **Sodium Deoxycholate**: Sigma Aldrich cat# 30970
- **DNase I from bovine pancreas**: Sigma Aldrich cat# D5025
- **Minimum essential medium. Non-essential aminoacids**: Gibco cat#. 11140-035
- **L-Glutamine 200mM**: Gibco cat# 25030-024
- **2-Mercaptoethanol 50mM**: Gibco cat# 31350-010
- **Human Epidermal Growth factor**: Peprotech cat# AF10015
- **Fetal Bovine Serum**: Sigma-Aldrich cat# F2442
- **Fetal Bovine Serum, qualified, heat inactivated, Brazil**: Sigma-Aldrich cat# 10500064
- **MegaCell™ Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium**: Sigma-Aldrich cat# M3942
- **TrypLE™ Express Enzyme (1X), no phenol red**: Life Technologies cat# 12604021
- **HyClone Calf Serum, U.S. origin**: HyClone cat# SH3007203
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### Reagents and Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human FGF-Basic, recombinant</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich</td>
<td>cat# F0291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humankine™ Recombinant Human SCF Protein</td>
<td>Proteintech</td>
<td>cat# HZ-1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humankine® recombinant human FLT3 Ligand protein</td>
<td>Proteintech</td>
<td>cat# HZ-1151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humankine® recombinant human IL-7 protein</td>
<td>Proteintech</td>
<td>cat# HZ-1281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhodamine B</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>cat# R6626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UltraPure™ Low Melting Point Agarose</td>
<td>Thermofisher</td>
<td>cat# 16520050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzyl benzoate</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>cat# W213802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzyl alcohol</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>cat# 108006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parafomaldehyde reagent, crystalline</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>cat# P6148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MagniSort™ Streptavidin Negative Selection Beads</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>cat# MSNB-6002-74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O.C.T.</td>
<td>VWR</td>
<td>cat# 361603E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mounting Medium</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>cat# ab104139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HyClone Medium 199</td>
<td>Hyclone</td>
<td>cat# SH30253.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatin</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>cat# G1393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic-Antimycotic 100X-solution</td>
<td>Gibco</td>
<td>cat# 15630080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPES</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>cat# 9041-08-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heparin</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>cat# E2759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECDS</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>cat# T8154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypan blue solution</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>cat# T8154</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Critical Commercial Assays

| Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 3' Kit v3.1 | 10-x genomics | cat# PN-1000268 |
| nCounter® Stem Cell Characterization Panel | Nanosting Technologies | cat# XT-CSO-HSCC-12 |
| GeoMx Human Whole Transcriptome Atlas | Nanosting Technologies | Merritt et al. |
| MagniSort™ Streptavidin Negative Selection Beads | Invitrogen | cat# MSNB-6002-74 |
| EasySep™ Human CD34 Positive Selection Kit II | Stemcell Technologies | cat# 17856 |
| Precision PLUS 2x 2x qPCR Master Mix with LR | Primer Design | cat# PPLUS-LR |
| RELIA Prep rna extraction kit | Promega | cat# Z6011 |
| Bond Polymer Refine detection kit | Leica | cat# D59800 |
| Opal 520 Reagent Pack | Akoyabio | cat# FP1487001KT |
| Opal 690 Reagent Pack | Akoyabio | cat# FP1497001KT |
| Opal 570 Reagent Pack | Akoyabio | cat# FP1488001KT |

### Deposited Data

| Sc-RNA-seq Human postnatal thymus | This paper | GSE220830 |
| Sc-RNA-seq cultured human airways and skin | This paper | GSE220207 |
| Sc-RNA-seq cultured human TEC | This paper | GSE220206 |
| Sc-RNA-seq cultured human thymic cortical and medullary progenitor/stem cells and skin keratinocyte | This paper | GSE220829 |

### Experimental Models: Cell lines

| 3T3–J2 cells | originally developed in Howard Green laboratory in Harvard Medical School | N/A |
| Human Thymic epithelial and interstitial cells patient derived cultures | derived as described from consenting patients undergoing cardiothoracic surgery at the Great Ormond Street Hospital | N/A |

(Continued on next page)
### Reagents and Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human Skin Keratinocytes patient derived cultures</td>
<td>kindly donated by Michele De Luca, University of Modena and Reggio-Emilia, Italy</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary normal human Bronchial/Tracheal Epithelial Cells</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>CAT# PCS-300-010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HuVEC-VeraVEC</td>
<td>Angiocrine</td>
<td>CAT# HVERA101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Experimental Models: Organisms/strains

| Mouse | N/A | N/A |
| NOD.Cg-Prkdcsid Il2rgtm1Wjl/SzJ | Jackson | CAT #:005557; RRID:IMSR_JAX:005557 |
| NOD.Cg-Foxn1em1Dvs Prkdcsid Il2rgtm1Wjl/J | Jackson | CAT# 026263; RRID:IMSR_JAX:026263 |
| Rat Wistar Han IGS | Charles River | CAT# 273 |

### Oligonucleotides

| Primers for Thymic epithelial cells | please see Table S3 | This paper | N/A |

### Software and Algorithms

| QuPath v0.3.2 | https://qupath.github.io | Bankhead et al. 85 |
| FlowJo 10.7.2 | https://www.flowjo.com | N/A |
| ImageJ v2.0.0-rc-69/1.52p | http://www.imagej.net | N/A |
| BD FACSDiva™ Software v8.0.1 | https://www.bdbiosciences.com/en-gb/products/software/instrument-software/bd-facdiva-software | N/A |
| ZEISS ZEN lite blue 2.3 | https://www.zeiss.com/microscopy/en/products/software/zeiss-zen-lite.html | N/A |
| ZEISS ZEN lite black 2.3 v14.0.21.201 | https://www.zeiss.com/microscopy/en/products/software/zeiss-zen-lite.html | N/A |
| QuantStudio Design Analysis Software v1.4 | https://www.thermofisher.com/uk/en/home/global/forms/life-science/quantstudio-3-5-software.html | N/A |
| Olympus cellSens Entry v1.18 | https://www.olympus-lifescience.com/en/software/cellsens/ | N/A |
| Imaris v9.5.1 | http://www.bitplane.com/imaris/imaris | N/A |
| Icy 2.4.2.0 | http://icy.bioimageanalysis.org/javadoc/ | De Chaumont et al. 96 |
| glmGamPoi R-package version 1.2.0 | https://drdr.io/bioc/glmGamPoi | Ahlmann-Eltze et al. 87 |
| nSolver Analysis Software v4.0 | https://nanostring.com | N/A |
| Prism 9.4.0 | https://www.graphpad.com | N/A |
| GeoMx Digital Spatial Profiler v2.0 | https://nanostring.com/products/geomx-digital-spatial-profiler/software-updates/v2-0/ | Merritt et al. 52 |
| GeoMx NGS Pipeline Dataset Package | https://nanostring.com/products/geomx-digital-spatial-profiler/software-updates/v2-0/ | Merritt et al. 52 |
| Phenochart version 1.0.2.2 software | https://www.akoyabio.com/support/software/phenochart-whole-slide-viewer/ | N/A |
| inForm® | https://www.akoyabio.com/phenomager/software/inform-tissue-finder/ | N/A |
| R-package Seurat 4.0.5 | https://satijalab.org/seurat/articles/install.html | Hao et al.38 |
| R-package glmGamPoi 1.2.0 | https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/glmGamPoi.html | Ahlmann-Eltze et al. 87 |
| R-package monocle3 0.2.2 | https://cole-trapnell-lab.github.io/monocle3/docs/installation/ | Cao et al. 89 |
| R-package ouija 0.99.1 | https://drdr.io/github/kierancampbell/ouija/ | Campbell et al. 51 |
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RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Paola Bonfanti, Email: paola.bonfanti@crick.ac.uk or p.bonfanti@ucl.ac.uk.

Materials availability
Human primary thymic cells used in this study will be shared by the lead contact upon request and signed MTA.

Data and code availability
All single cell RNA-sequencing data have been deposited at GEO and are publicly available as of the date of publication. Accession numbers are listed in the key resources table.

Microscopy data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request.

Any additional information required to reanalyse the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND STUDY PARTICIPANT DETAILS

Human tissues
Postnatal thymi were donated by patients undergoing cardiothoracic surgery at the Great Ormond Street Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from the patients or legally authorised representatives under ethical approval (REC No 15/YH/0334 and 07/Q0508/43-06-MI-13). Human foetal liver was provided by the Joint MRC/Wellcome Trust Human Developmental Biology Resource (HDBR) under informed ethical consent with Research Tissue Bank ethical approval (REC No 08/H0712/34+5 and 08/H0906/21+5).

Animal models
NOD.Cg-Prkdcsclld.12Rγcmt1Wjl (NSG) and NOD.Cg-Foxnem1em1Dvs.Prkdcsclld.12Rγcmt1Wjl (NSG-Nude, Stock No: 026263) were obtained from Jackson Laboratory, re-derived and maintained at The Francis Crick Institute’s biological resource facility. Mice are bred in isolators with aseptic standard operating procedures in the Biological Research Facility of The Francis Crick Institute under specific pathogen-free conditions. Once weaned, mice were kept in ventilated cages.

All animal experiments were performed in accordance with ethical approval under the UK Home Office Project License (PPL) PP9619702 in accordance with The Francis Crick Institute animal ethics committee guidance.

CD and Wistar Han rats were purchased from Charles River Laboratories.

METHOD DETAILS

Thymic epithelial cell (TEC) isolation and sorting
Thymic tissue fragments were dissociated to single cell suspension with enzymatic treatment (0.4 mg/mL Collagenase D (Roche), 0.6 mg/mL Dispase II (Gibco), 40 µg/mL DNAse I (Roche)) for around 30-45 minutes, using the Gentle MACS machine (Miltenyi). After the dissociation, the supernatant was collected, passed through a cell-strainer (100 µm), centrifuged at 1200 r.p.m. for 5 minutes and counted with trypan blue (SIGMA-ALDRICH). One portion of total cell suspension was used for culture (see below) and the other depleted for CD45+ and CD235+ cells by staining them with biotinylated antibodies (see Table S2), then incubating with magnetic negative beads (Magnisort SAV Negative Beads, Invitrogen) and placing the suspension into a magnet (STEMCELL Technologies) for 10 min. The flowthrough fraction was collected, and the enrichment step was repeated at least three times. The final enriched fraction (CD235-CD45-) was stained for surface markers to isolate epithelial cells. Cells were sorted using FACS Aria III or Fusion machine (BD) and sorted events were plated in culture or were lysated in RTL (Qiagen) for transcriptomic analysis or processed for 10-X single cell sequencing.

Epithelial stem cell culture (thymus, skin and airways cells)
Thymic epithelial cells, skin keratinocytes or airways basal cells derived from the dissociation and/or sorting, were plated on a layer of sub-lethally irradiated mouse fibroblast (3T3-J2) as described previously. These cells were kept in culture with cFAD medium composed by a mixture of 3:1 of DMEMX (Gibco) and F-12 Nut Mix (Gibco), 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (SIGMA-ALDRICH), 1% Fetal Bovine Serum (SIGMA-ALDRICH), 1%
penicillin and streptomycin (100X, Sigma), Hydrocortisone (0.4 µg/ml, Calbiochem), Cholera Toxin (10^{-10} M, Sigma), Triiodothyronine (T3) (2x10^{-9} M Sigma) and Insulin (5 µg/ml, SIGMA-ALDRICH). All the reagents were filtered through a 0.22 µm strainer. Epithelial cultures were kept in incubator at 37°C in a 6% CO2 atmosphere. Human epithelial growth factor (hEGF, 10 ng/ml, PeproTech) was added to the cultures after three days and then every other day. Epithelial cells were plated at a density of 2000-6000 cells/cm^2. Once sub-confluent, epithelial cells were harvested using TrypLe express (Gibco) for 3-5 minutes at 37°C, blocked with medium, spun down 1200 rpm and counted.

The colony forming efficiency assay (CFE) or plating efficiency (PE) was performed every other passage. A specific number of cells (i.e., 300-500 cells for cultures; 500-1500 for sorted events) were plated by a serial dilution in MW6 or 60mm dishes previously seeded with lethally irradiated 3T3-J2. At day 4 and 8, the culture was supplemented with hEGF (10 ng/ml, PeproTech). After 12 days, cultured cells were fixed by 4% Parafomaldehyde (PFA, SIGMA-ALDRICH) for 10 minutes and stained with Rhodamine-B (1%, SIGMA-ALDRICH) for 15 min. The dish was washed with tap water and left to dry at room temperature.

Single cell cloning was performed as follow: thymic epithelial cells were trypsinized and counted as described above. Once a single-cell suspension was obtained, serial dilutions were made to plate one single cell in each well of 12 or 48-well plates, pre-coated with a layer of sub-lethally irradiated mouse fibroblast (3T3-J2).

Coverslips immunophenotypic analysis: epithelial cells were seeded at the density of 1200-2500 cells/cm^2 per each well (12 wells plate) onto glass coverslips pre-seeded with irradiated 3T3-J2 and cultured up to 7 days. Then, cultured cells were fixed by 4% Paraformaldehyde (PFA, SIGMA-ALDRICH) for 10 minutes and washed twice with PBS and kept at 4°C until the immunofluorescence (IF) staining.

Quality control of primary cell cultures included Mycoplasma PCR screening, STR authentication to confirm unique profile and KarioStat™ Array (ThermoFisher, cat# 905403) to screen for possible chromosomal abnormalities.

Differentiation of thymic epithelial cultures
Thymic SC were plated onto a membrane insert for well plates (Greiner Bio) with a density of 400-800 cells/mm^2 and cultured in cFAD until day 2. Expansion media was replaced with differentiation media according to manufacturer’s instructions (PneumaCult™, STEMCELL Technologies) at day 3 and changed every other day for 25 to 30 days when cells were harvested for immunofluorescence (IF) and gene expression analysis (qRT-PCR). For IF, the membrane was washed three times with PBS and then fixed with 4% PFA (SIGMA-ALDRICH) for 10 min. After fixation, the membrane was washed three times in PBS and stored at 4°C or used immediately for immunostaining. Alternatively, the membrane was covered and washed with Lysis buffer+Thtoglycerol buffer (Promega) for the collection of RNA and stored at -80°C until extraction.

Flow cytometry analysis
Single-cell suspensions were stained with ad hoc antibody mix in Hanks Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS, Life Technologies) supplemented with 2% FBS (Life Technologies) for 30min on ice. DAPI (SIGMA-ALDRICH) or Zombie Live-Dead dye (Invitrogen) was used to discriminate live from dead cells.

A complete list of antibodies used for FACS staining is available in Table S2.

In vivo assay: grafting thymic rat scaffolds into NSG-Nude mice
Rat thymi vascular microsurgery, perfusion and decellularization has been performed as described. Mice humanisation protocol was performed using CD34+ isolated from 18 weeks post conception (wpc) human foetal liver samples and scaffold repopulation was achieved as previously described (35).

Sub-cutaneous implantation of the scaffold was performed in NSG and NSG-Nude mice 4 to 5 weeks post-CD34+ injection. Mice were culled and scaffold harvested at 10- and 16-week post-transplant (wpt). We carried out subcutaneous transplantation in three humanized NSG-Nude and three humanized NSG mice: all mice showed bone marrow reconstitution. The mice were implanted each with 4 repopulated scaffolds for a total of 24 repopulated scaffolds, 20 of which were retrieved.

RNA isolation and real-time quantitative PCR
Cultured cells were collected for gene expression analysis in Lysis buffer+Thtoglycerol buffer from ReliaPrep™ kit (Promega) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Precipitated and dried RNA was re-suspended in nuclease free water (Qiagen). RNA concentration was measured using Nanodrop1000 (ThermoScientific). RNA was converted into cDNA with GoScript™ Reverse Transcriptase kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. cDNA concentration was adjusted to 10ng/µl. Quantitative (q) PCR was performed using PCR master mix (PrecisionPLUS-R, Primerdesign Ltd) with low-ROX and Taqman qPCR probes (Integrated DNA Technology, Table S3) in MicroAmp Fast Optical 96 well Reaction Plates (Applied Biosystems) using the QuantStudio 3 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems).

Single-cell RNA sequencing – analysis of thymic fresh tissue and cultivated cells
Trypsinized cells and FACS-sorted events were resuspended in final volume of 50µl of HBSS+0.04%BSA solution.

Cell numbers were confirmed using an Eve automated cell counter (NanoEnTek). Where possible an appropriate volume for 10,000 cells was adjusted with nuclease-free water. Reverse transcription and library construction were prepared by following Chromium
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single-cell 3’ reagent v3 protocol (10X Genomics) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Total complementary-DNA synthesis was performed using 12 amplification cycles, with final cDNA yields ranging from ~3 ng/μl to 15 ng/μl. The 10X Genomics single cell RNA-seq libraries were constructed as described and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000.

**Bioinformatics data analysis of single-cell data**

10X FASTQ-files were aligned with the CellRanger toolkit (10X Genomics, version 5.0.0) toolkit to the Ensembl human GRCh38 reference transcriptome. To identify mouse feeder cells in the *in vitro* experiment, the *in vitro* dataset was also aligned to the combined human GRCh38 and mouse mm10 reference transcriptome. Mouse feeder cells were filtered from the overall cell population.

The filtered count tables output by CellRanger were further analyzed using the Seurat R-package (version 4.0.5) and filtered based on the following percentages of mitochondrial genes: *in vivo* samples: mTEC < 20%; cTEC < 30%; all *in vitro* culture samples were filtered at < 20%; cells were retained if they had at least 200 detected genes (nFeature) for all in *in vivo* samples and at > 750 genes for all *in vitro* samples. For *in vitro* datasets, we profiled 3872 cells for sorted EpCAM<sup>low</sup>CD205<sup>pos</sup> (medulla); 1349 cells for cTEC CD49f<sup>pos</sup> and 4935 cells for sorted EpCAM<sup>high</sup>CD205<sup>neg</sup> (medulla); 1349 cells for cTEC CD49f<sup>pos</sup> and 1414 for mTEC CD49f<sup>pos</sup>. For *in vitro* datasets, we profiled 2798 and 1871 cells for sorted cTEC; 3463 and 3430 cells for sorted mTEC; 3463 and 3430 cells for unsorted TEC cultures; and 5509 cells for skin keratinocytes cultures after performing QC and mouse feeder layer reads removal. For the second *in vitro* dataset, two additional replicates of thymic epithelial cells (5968 and 3876 cells), one of skin keratinocytes (7633 cells) and one of basal airways cells (4237 cells) have been profiled after performing QC and mouse feeder layer reads removal. Individual samples in the *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiment were integrated using the canonical correlation analysis method of the Seurat R-package.

Counts were normalized and scaled using the NormalizeData and ScaleData functions from Seurat, using default parameters. For dimensionality reduction highly variable genes were identified using the FindVariableFeatures function and the “vst” method from Seurat, keeping the top 2000 most variable features, and using them as input for Principal Component Analysis through the RunPCA Seurat function. Then, clusters were identified running the FindClusters Seurat function using a resolution value of 0.7. Cluster markers were identified using the FindAllMarkers function, with the following parameters: logfc.threshold = 0.25, min.pct = 0.1, test.use = “roc”.

**Differential gene expression and Trajectory analyses**

Differential gene expression analyses between various single-cell populations were carried out using the glmGamPoi R-package version 1.2.0. using default parameters and building the design matrix between single clusters.

Unbiased trajectory analyses were carried out using the monocle3 R-package version 0.2.2. using the PolyKRT cluster as the starting cluster, and removing the use of partitions. Marker-gene directed trajectory analyses were carried out using the Ouija R-package version 0.99.1.

**Gene expression profile nCounter analysis**

The multiplexed NanoString nCounter<sup>TM</sup> Stem Cells panel enriched with a bespoke Stem Plus panel was used as expression assay for profiling of 800 genes environ (NanoString Technologies, Inc., Seattle, WA, USA). The assay was performed according to manufacturer’s protocol. In brief, crude cell lysate was used as input material and sorted TEC (CD205<sup>pos</sup>BCAM<sup>pos</sup>, CD205<sup>neg</sup> BCAM<sup>neg</sup>, EpCAM<sup>pos</sup>BCAM<sup>pos</sup> and EpCAM<sup>pos</sup>BCAM<sup>neg</sup>) were lysed in RLT lysis buffer (Qiagen) at 2.000 – 10.000 cells/sample. For the multiplex NanoString nCounter<sup>TM</sup> CAR-T Characterization panel, spleens were harvested from Nude-NSG mice implanted with repopulated scaffolds, dissociated to single cells, treated for red blood cell-lysis, and stained for CD45 and CD3. CD3<sup>pos</sup> cells were sorted at each time point and lysed in RLT lysis buffer (Qiagen) at 1000 - 5910 cells/sample.

Samples were snap-frozen on liquid nitrogen and stored at –80°C. mRNA expression was measured on NanoString nCounter<sup>TM</sup> MAX system in a final volume of 15 μl by using 2 μl cell lysates mixed with a 3’ biotinylated Capture Probe/Capture probe* and a 5’ Reporter Probe/Reporter probe* tagged with a fluorescent barcode. Probes and target transcripts were hybridized overnight at 65°C for 22 hours following manufacturer recommendations.

Data were collected on an nCounter digital analyzer (NanoString<sup>TM</sup>) and imported into nSolver Analysis Software v4.0 (www.nanosting.com) for data quality check, background thresholding and normalization. The quality of the run for each sample was confirmed by the quality control that considered the 6 spiked-in RNA Positive Control and the 8 Negative controls present in the panel, the FOV (fields of view per sample) counted and the binding density.

Gene expression data were normalized in two steps: (a) by using all the 12 housekeeping genes present in the panels and (b) by adjusting the number of cells/sample to 2000 cells total for each sample.

Background level was determined by mean counts of 8 negative control probes plus two standard deviations. Samples that contain less than 50% of probes above background, or that have imaging or positive control linearity flags, were excluded from further analysis. Probes that have raw counts below background in all samples were excluded from differential expression analysis to avoid false positive results.

For the differential gene expression analysis, we followed the procedure outlined previously. NanoString differential matrices were normalized using the RUVSeq R-package and differential gene expression was performed using the R-package DESeq2.
Histology

Human thymic samples were fixed (for 2 hrs to overnight) in 4% PFA and processed for either cryo- or paraffin-embedding. For cryo-embedding, fixed tissue was equilibrated in sucrose 25% and embedded in O.C.T. compound (VWR). Cryosections (thickness, 7 μm) were cut on a Leica Cryostat 3050. For paraffin-embedding, a Leica Peloriss tissue processor and Sakura Tissue-Tech embedding station were used. Paraffin section (thickness, 3-5 μm) were produced using ThermoFisher rotary microtome.

Cryo- or Paraffin sections were stained with haematoxylin-eosin using an automatic station (Tissue-Tek Prisma) to verify histology of each tissue and subsequently used for immunohistochemistry analysis.

Immunostaining

OCT embedded tissue sections or coverslips fixed in 4% PFA were directly blocked and permeabilised simultaneously using a solution of 5% Normal Donkey Serum (NDS, Jackson Immuno Research) in PBS, containing 0.5% of TritonX (TritonTMX-100, SIGMA-ALDRICH).

Paraffin-embedded samples underwent heat inactivated antigen retrieval process in Cytrate Buffer (Sigma-Aldrich) pH 6.0 prior to blocking.

Tissue sections/coverslips were incubated with primary antibodies 5% NDS, 0.01% TritonTMX solution overnight at 4 °C. Secondary antibodies were incubated at room temperature (RT) for 45 minutes. Nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst 33432 (10^-6 M) or DAPI present in the Fluoroshield™ Mounting Medium (Abcam).

List of primary and secondary antibodies used are listed in A complete list of antibodies used for FACS staining is available in Table S2.

3D Immunostaining of human thymic tissue

Fresh thymic tissue was washed with PBS twice, and subsequently embedded into 8% Ultrapure LMP Agarose #16520-050) and 300μM sections cut with Vibratome (Leica). Excess of agarose was removed, and sections were blocked in 5% Normal Donkey Serum (NDS, Jackson Immuno Research) in PBS, containing 0.5% of TritonX (TritonTMX-100, SIGMA-ALDRICH), Tissues sections were stained in a 12wells plate (Corning) with primary antibodies in 5% NDS, 0.01% TritonTMX solution at RT for 48h. After 3 washes in PBS for 2h each, they were incubated with secondary antibodies in 5% NDS, 0.01% TritonTMX solution ON at RT, the day after stained with DAPI for 1h at RT and finally washed in PBS twice 30min each. Tissue dehydration was performed with ascending methanol concentrations 20min each at RT (1-25-50-75-100% in PBS). Tissue clearing was obtained by incubating with 50%BABB-Sigma-Aldrich in methanol for 1h RT and subsequently in 100% BABB only for 1h RT. Tissue was kept in fresh BABB 100% at 4°C and imaged within 16h at Leica SP8 Microscope (10X objective), after being mounted on a metallic chamber (#A7816, Thermofisher).

Spatial profiling with GeoMx

The NanoString GeoMx Digital Spatial Profiler (DSP) enables spatially resolved RNA gene expression. Detailed methods have previously been described. 5,6 5-μm-thick serial sections derived from four FFPE thymic blocks (4 months to 1.5 years old) were cut and mounted on a positively charged histology slide for use in the DSP. After baking at 65 °C for 2 hrs for paraffin removal, slides were loaded onto a Leica Bond Rx for rehydration, heat-induced epitope retrieval (HIER2 for 20 minutes at 100°C) and digestion with proteinase K (1.0 μg/ml at 37°C). The tissue sections were then hybridized with the Human WTA probes overnight. Following 2x 5min stringent washes in 1:1 4x SSC buffer & formamide, the slides were blocked and three antibodies (morphology markers) were used as a means to separate the ROIs into our cell populations of interest (CD3 (Origene cat# UM500048CF, conjugated by TAP with AF 647 using a kit from Thermo (cat# A20186)) and CD45 (Novus Bio Cat# NBP2-34528 – TAP also conjugated CD45 with AF647 as per above) for thymocytes, Fibronectin (FN1, Protein tech, 1:200) and anti-KRT8-18 (Acris/2BeScientific, cat# BP5075 1:200) to select for thymic epithelial cells) and perform segmental analysis. After 1.5 hr incubation with primary antibodies, slides were subsequently incubated with fluorescently conjugated secondary antibodies anti-rabbit AF594 and anti-guinea pig AF488 (all diluted 1:500). Nuclei have been counterstained with 300nM Syto83.

Four types of regions of interest (ROIs) were selected using polygon tool in cortical and medullary areas, respectively: central cortex (CC), Subcapsulae (SCap), FN1 medulla (FNm) and Hassall’s Bodies region (HB). Areas have been segmented by selecting KRT8/18 positive and CD45/CD3 negative cells.

Slides were loaded onto a DSP instrument and a programmable digital micromirror device directed UV light to precisely illuminate the ROI and to cleave the photo-cleaveable-oligo-labelled primary antibodies in a region-specific manner and then collected and quantified by NGS as described (52). Library preparation was performed according to manufacturer’s instruction (Nanostring DSP-Genomics Library Preparation Protocol). Photocleaved oligonucleotides from each ROI were PCR amplified using Illumina’s i5/i7 dual-indexing system to preserve ROI identity. PCR products were pooled and purified with two rounds of AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter). Bioanalyzer High Sensitivity DNA chip (Agilent technologies) was used to measure library concentration and purity. Paired end (2 x 75 bp reads) sequencing was performed on an Illumina NextSeq instrument. Reads were then aligned to analyze barcodes with Bowtie.

Sequencing quality was inspected for sufficient saturation, ensuring sensitivity of low expressors and data was normalized to the third quartile (Q3) to account for differences in cellularity and ROI Size.
AKOYA Multiplex Imaging analysis

3μm thymus sections were baked for 1hr and run on the Leica Bond Rx platform using Epitope Retrieval 1 for 20 minutes antigen retrieval and stripping steps between each antibody. Antibodies were applied with Opal™ pairings in the following order: BCAM (NBP2-31994) 1:100 with Opal520 1:1000, TP63 (Ab735) 1:100 with Opal690 1:1000 and KRT15 (HPA023910) 1:500 with TSA-DIG 1:100 followed by anti-DIG-Opal780 1:25. Bond Post-Primary and Polymer secondaries were used for antibodies raised in mouse and Vector ImmPRESS™ HRP Horse anti-Rabbit IgG Polymer (MP-7401-50) secondary was used for antibodies raised in rabbit. Slides were scanned using the Phenolmager HT (formerly Vectra Polaris). Spectral unmixing and autofluorescence removal were performed using Phenochart™ and Inform® software.

Cell quantification has been performed in QuPath Version 0.3.2. Using available software tools to annotate images, annotations have been created around 15-20 cortical and medullary regions. TP63 positive epithelial cells have been detected and counted in each area. All epithelial cells have been assessed for the expression of KRT15 and BCAM marker independently, via object classification and triple positive cells for TP63, KRT15 and BCAM and have been counted combining the single classifications in a composite classifier. At least 2000 TP63pos cells per cortical or medullary area were counted for each biological replicate. Triple positive cells have been represented as percentages of total epithelial cells.

Imaging

Phase contrast images of cultivated cells were acquired using an Olympus CK40 inverted microscope and Olympus SC50 camera. Zeiss LSM880 inverted confocal microscope and Zen Black software was used to acquire immunofluorescence images on tissue thin sections as well as coverslips.

3D-thick stacks were acquired both with Leica SP8 Microscope (10X objective) and Zeiss LSM880 and analysed with Imaris 9.3 software.

Confocal images were processed using Fiji 2.9.0 and Icy Imaging Analysis Software 2.4.3.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

In all the experiments included in this study, three or more biological replicates were performed; the exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, is given as a discrete number and indicated in figure legends.

Statistical analysis of non-sequencing data was performed with the GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad) 9.0. Unless otherwise specified, data are presented as the mean with ± SEM and statistical significance was determined using specified test (figure legends). P values < 0.05 are considered significant.