

Swan modules over Laurent polynomials

F.E.A. Johnson

Abstract

Let $\Omega = P_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z}[C_p])$ and $A = P_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z})$ where p is a positive integer and $P_{n,m}(R)$ is the R -algebra $P_{n,m}(R) = R[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n, t_n^{-1}] \otimes_R R[x_1, \dots, x_m]$. A *Swan module* is an extension module of the form $0 \rightarrow I^{(k)} \rightarrow X \rightarrow A^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$ where I is the kernel of the augmentation homomorphism $\epsilon : \Omega \rightarrow A$. We show that, when p is prime, every such projective Swan module is free; this is false if p is not prime and $n + m > 0$. The proof relies on the fact that when R is the ring of algebraic integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ and \mathbb{F}_p is the field with p elements then the canonical homomorphism $GL_k(P_{n,m}(R)) \rightarrow GL_k(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ is surjective for all $k \geq 1$.

Keywords: Swan module, derived module category, Laurent polynomial ring.

Mathematics Subject Classification (AMS 2020): 19A13; 19B14; 18G80.

Let Ω be an algebra over a commutative ring A , augmented by an A -algebra homomorphism $\epsilon : \Omega \rightarrow A$. By a *Swan module of rank k* we shall mean an extension module X of the form $0 \rightarrow I^{(k)} \rightarrow X \rightarrow A^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$ where $I = \text{Ker}(\epsilon)$. The *augmentation exact sequence* $0 \rightarrow I \hookrightarrow \Omega \xrightarrow{\epsilon} A \rightarrow 0$ then shows that $\Omega^{(k)}$ is a Swan module of rank k . Given another such Swan module X' we write $X \cong_{\text{Id}} X'$ when there exists a commutative diagram of Ω homomorphisms.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & I^{(k)} & \xrightarrow{i} & X & \xrightarrow{p} & A^{(k)} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \hat{\alpha} & & \downarrow \text{Id} & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & I^{(k)} & \xrightarrow{i'} & X' & \xrightarrow{p'} & A^{(k)} & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

in which α , and hence also $\hat{\alpha}$, is an isomorphism.

If \mathbb{A} is a commutative ring and n, m are non-negative integers we denote by $P_{n,m}(\mathbb{A})$ the \mathbb{A} -algebra $P_{n,m}(\mathbb{A}) = \mathbb{A}[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n, t_n^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbb{A}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ where $\mathbb{A}[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n, t_n^{-1}]$ is the algebra of Laurent polynomials in n commuting variables and $\mathbb{A}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ is the algebra of ordinary polynomials in m commuting variables. We allow the degenerate cases $n = 0, m = 0$ provided that $n + m > 0$. Now take $\Omega = P_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z}[C_p])$ where $C_p = \langle x \mid x^p = 1 \rangle$ is the finite cyclic group of order $p \geq 2$ and $A = P_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z})$. With the augmentation $\epsilon : \Omega \rightarrow A$ defined by the correspondence $x \mapsto 1$ we shall then prove:

(I) Let X be a projective Swan module of rank $k \geq 1$; if p is prime then $X \cong_{\text{Id}} \Omega^{(k)}$.

We point out that, by the theorem of Montgomery and Uchida (cf. [14], Chapter 11), for each prime $p \geq 23$ there are projective modules induced from $\mathbb{Z}[C_p]$ which are not Swan modules and which are not free.

Our primary interest is in the cases $n \geq 1$ and $m = 0$ when we may identify Ω and A with the integral group rings $\Omega = \mathbb{Z}[T_n \times C_p]$, $A = \mathbb{Z}[T_n]$. Statement **(I)** is then best possible and may be compared with the original theorem of Swan ([10], Corollary 6.1) which corresponds to the (degenerate) cases $n = m = 0$, $k = 1$ of the present paper. In that case projective Swan modules are free for all finite cyclic groups whereas the statement of **(I)** is already false for $k = 1$ whenever p fails to be prime, as can be seen from a result of Bass and Murthy ([1], Theorem 8.10).

To prove **(I)** we consider a statement of independent interest. We denote by $E_k(\mathbb{A})$ the subgroup of $GL_k(\mathbb{A})$ generated by elementary matrices. If the ring homomorphism $f : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is surjective the induced homomorphism $f_* : E_k(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow E_k(\mathbb{A})$ is also surjective. It is natural to ask under what conditions the homomorphism $f_* : GL_k(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow GL_k(\mathbb{A})$ is also surjective. In this connection, it has long been apparent that particular difficulties surround the study of GL_2 and its subgroups (cf [3], [12]). As an example, consider the groups

$$SL_k^\pm(\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_m]) = \{X \in GL_k(\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_m]) \mid \det(X) = \pm 1\}$$

where \mathbb{F} is a field. When $m = 1$, $SL_k^\pm(\mathbb{F}[x_1]) = E_k(\mathbb{F}[x_1])$. When $m \geq 2$, the following matrix (cf [3]) shows the corresponding statement is false for $k = 2$;

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ -x_1^2 & 1 - x_1x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

However a remarkable theorem of Suslin [9] shows that if $k \geq 3$ then for all n, m ,

$$SL_k^\pm(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F})) = E_k(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F})).$$

Let p be an odd prime, let R denote the ring of algebraic integers in the field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ where $\zeta = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{p})$, let R_0 denote its real subring and let \mathbb{F}_p be the field with p elements. If p is odd then $R_0 = \mathbb{Z}(\mu)$ where $\mu = \zeta + \zeta^{-1}$. The correspondence $\zeta \mapsto 1$ induces a surjective ring homomorphism $\nu : \mathbb{Z}(\zeta_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ under which μ maps to 2. As 2 generates the additive group of \mathbb{F}_p , ν restricts to a surjective ring homomorphism $\nu : R_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ and induces a surjective ring homomorphism $\nu : P_{n,m}(R_0) \rightarrow P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. If $p = 2$ then $R_0 = R = \mathbb{Z}$ and we still have a surjective ring homomorphism $\nu : P_{n,m}(R_0) \rightarrow P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_2)$. We shall prove

(II) The induced homomorphism $\nu_* : GL_k(P_{n,m}(R_0)) \twoheadrightarrow GL_k(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ is surjective for all $k \geq 1$ and all n, m .

When $k \neq 2$, the statement **(II)** follows from the results of Higman [4] and Suslin [9]. In §6 we prove that $\nu_* : GL_2(P_{n,m}(R_0)) \twoheadrightarrow GL_2(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ is also surjective for all n, m . As an immediate corollary we have:

(III) $\nu_* : GL_k(P_{n,m}(R)) \twoheadrightarrow GL_k(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ is surjective for all $k \geq 1$ and all n, m .

As we shall see in §7, statement **(I)** above follows directly from **(III)**.

§1: The derived module category:

Let $\Omega = A[\Phi]$ be the group algebra of a finite group Φ over a commutative Noetherian integral domain A . We denote by $\mathcal{M}\text{od}_\Omega$, $\mathcal{M}\text{od}_A$ the categories of right Ω -modules and right A -modules respectively. The natural inclusion $i : A \rightarrow \Omega$ induces an *extension of scalars* functor

$$i_* : \mathcal{M}\text{od}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\text{od}_\Omega ; i_*(M) = M \otimes_A \Omega.$$

There is a corresponding *restriction of scalars* functor $i^* : \mathcal{M}\text{od}_\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\text{od}_A$. By a *lattice* we shall mean a Ω -module M for which the A -module $i^*(M)$ is finitely generated and projective. For such lattices we have the following adjointness relations ([5], Chapters 4 and 5). The first of these is universal, namely:

$$\text{Ext}_\Omega^k(i_*(N), M) \cong \text{Ext}_A^k(N, i^*(M)).$$

As Φ is finite, we also have the Eckmann-Shapiro isomorphisms

$$\begin{cases} \text{Hom}_A(i^*(M), N) \cong \text{Hom}_\Omega(M, i_*(N)) \\ \text{Ext}_A^k(i^*(M), N) \cong \text{Ext}_\Omega^k(M, i_*(N)) \end{cases}$$

Observe that $i_*(A) = \Omega$. When M is a Ω -lattice then $\text{Ext}_\Omega^k(M, \Omega) \cong \text{Ext}_A^k(i^*(M), A)$. However, as $i^*(M)$ is projective over R then $\text{Ext}_A^k(i^*(M), A) = 0$; hence:

(1.1) If M is a Ω -lattice then $\text{Ext}_\Omega^k(M, \Omega) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$.

If X is a Ω -lattice X we denote by $X^\bullet = \text{Hom}_\Omega(X, \Omega)$ the conjugate dual of X on which Φ acts via $(\alpha \cdot g)(x) = \alpha(x \cdot g^{-1})$. Then X^\bullet is also a Ω -lattice. We note that Ω lattices are reflexive; that is: $X^{\bullet\bullet} \cong X$.

If $f, g : M \rightarrow N$ are morphisms in $\mathcal{M}\text{od}_\Omega$ we write ' $f \approx g$ ' when $f - g$ can be written as a composition $f - g = \xi \circ \eta$ via a projective module P thus:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f-g} & N \\ & \searrow \eta & \nearrow \xi \\ & P & \end{array}$$

Then ' \approx ' is an equivalence relation compatible with composition; that is given Ω -homomorphisms $f, f' : M_0 \rightarrow M_1$, $g, g' : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ we see that:

$$f \approx f' \text{ and } g \approx g' \implies g \circ f \approx g' \circ f'.$$

The *derived module category* $\mathcal{D}\text{er}(\Omega)$ is quotient category of $\mathcal{M}\text{od}_\Omega$ given by

$$\begin{cases} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}\text{er}(\Omega)}(M, N) = \text{Hom}_\Omega(M, N) / \langle M, N \rangle \\ \langle M, N \rangle = \{ f \in \text{Hom}_\Omega(M, N) : f \approx 0 \} \end{cases}$$

As $\langle M, N \rangle$ is an A submodule of $\text{Hom}_\Omega(M, N)$ then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}\text{er}}(M, N)$ has the natural structure of an A -module. We distinguish notationally between isomorphism in

$\mathcal{M}od_\Omega$, written as ‘ \cong_Ω ’ and isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}er(\Omega)$, written as ‘ $\cong_{\mathcal{D}er}$ ’. For finitely generated Ω -modules the relationship between the two notions is ([5] p. 120) :

$$D \cong_{\mathcal{D}er} D' \iff D \oplus P' \cong_\Omega D' \oplus P$$

for some finitely generated projective Ω -modules P, P' . Given a Ω -lattice M we consider exact sequences in $\mathcal{M}od_\Omega$ thus

$$0 \rightarrow D \xrightarrow{i} P \xrightarrow{p} M \rightarrow 0$$

where P is finitely generated projective. As Ω is Noetherian then D is also finitely generated. Given another such exact sequence $0 \rightarrow D' \xrightarrow{i'} P' \xrightarrow{p'} M \rightarrow 0$ it follows from Schanuel’s Lemma that $D \oplus P' \cong_\Omega D' \oplus P$ so that $D \cong_{\mathcal{D}er} D'$. We denote by $D_1(M)$ the isomorphism class in $\mathcal{D}er(\Omega)$ of any module D which occurs in an exact sequence of the above form. We may regard $D_1(M)$ as the *first derivative* of M . The correspondence $M \mapsto D_1(M)$ can be regarded as a functor as follows: given any such exact sequence and a Ω -homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ the universal property of projective modules allows us to construct a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & D & \xrightarrow{i} & P & \xrightarrow{p} & M & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_- & & \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow f & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & D & \xrightarrow{i} & P & \xrightarrow{p} & M & \rightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Moreover if $f' : M \rightarrow M$ is another morphism in $\mathcal{M}od_\Omega$ then :

$$(1.2) \quad f \approx f' \implies f_- \approx f'_-$$

Given $f \in \text{End}_\Omega(M)$ we denote by $\rho(f) = [f_-]$ the class of f_- in $\mathcal{D}er(\Omega)$. By (1.2), the correspondence $[f] \mapsto \rho(f) = [f_-]$ determines a ring homomorphism $\rho : \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}er}(M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}er}(D_1(M))$. When $\text{Ext}^1(M, \Omega) = 0$ then (cf [5], p.133) the conclusion of (1.2) is strengthened to:

$$(1.3) \quad f \approx f' \iff f_- \approx f'_-$$

With our underlying assumption that M is a Ω -lattice then

$$(1.4) \quad \rho : \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}er}(M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}er}(D_1(M)) \text{ is a ring isomorphism.}$$

Given an exact sequence $\mathcal{E} = (0 \rightarrow D \xrightarrow{i} P \xrightarrow{p} M \rightarrow 0)$ and a Ω -homomorphism $\alpha : D \rightarrow N$ we construct the pushout diagram

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow \natural \\ \alpha_*(\mathcal{E}) \end{array} = \left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & D & \xrightarrow{i} & P & \xrightarrow{p} & M & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \natural & & \downarrow \text{Id} & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{i} & \lim_{\rightarrow}(\alpha, i) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Then $\alpha_*(\mathcal{E}) = (0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} \lim_{\rightarrow}(\alpha, i) \xrightarrow{\pi} M \rightarrow 0)$ defines an extension class in $\text{Ext}_{\Omega}^1(M, N)$. When P is projective the correspondence $\alpha \mapsto [\alpha_*(\mathcal{E})]$ defines a mapping $\delta : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{er}}}(D, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Omega}^1(M, N)$. It follows from (1.1) that :

$$(1.6) \quad \delta : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{er}}}(D_1(M), N) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}_{\Omega}^1(M, N) \quad \text{is an isomorphism.}$$

The isomorphism of (1.6) is a *corepresentation formula* (cf [5], pp.109-114). Taking $N = D \in D_1(M)$ in (1.5), the resulting pushout extension has the following property which generalizes the recognition criterion for projective modules originally given by Swan ([10], Remark on p.279).

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_{\rightarrow}(\alpha, i) \text{ is projective} \iff \alpha \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{er}}(\Omega)}(D).$$

(For a proof of (1.7) see [5], Theorem 5.41, p.115).

Given the exact sequence \mathcal{E} for any Ω -module N we have exact sequences for $k \geq 1$.

$$\text{Ext}_{\Omega}^k(P, N) \xrightarrow{i^*} \text{Ext}_{\Omega}^k(D_1(M), N) \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Ext}_{\Omega}^{k+1}(M, N) \xrightarrow{p^*} \text{Ext}_{\Omega}^{k+1}(P, N).$$

As P is projective then $\text{Ext}_{\Omega}^k(P, N) \cong \text{Ext}_{\Omega}^{k+1}(P, N) = 0$ and we obtain the usual *dimension shifting* isomorphisms

$$(1.8) \quad \text{Ext}_{\Omega}^{k+1}(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_{\Omega}^k(D_1(M), N).$$

We may regard the corepresentation formula (1.6) as the degenerate case of (1.8) corresponding to the case $k = 0$. In particular, taking $N = D_1(M)$ in (1.6) we obtain a natural isomorphism $\delta : \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{er}}}(D_1(M)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}_{\Omega}^1(M, D_1(M))$ which, combined with (1.4) gives

$$(1.9) \quad \natural = \rho^{-1} \circ \delta^{-1} : \text{Ext}_{\Omega}^1(M, D_1(M)) \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{er}}}(M) \text{ is an isomorphism.}$$

We conclude this section by computing $\text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{er}}}(A)$. As projective modules are direct summands of free modules it is enough to consider homomorphisms $f : A \rightarrow A$ which factor through $\Omega^{(n)}$. Let $\epsilon : \Omega \rightarrow A$ be the augmentation homomorphism, $\epsilon(x^r) = 1$. We note that $\text{Hom}_{\Omega}(\Omega, A) \cong A$ generated by the augmentation homomorphism ϵ . Thus if $\xi : \Omega^{(n)} \rightarrow A$ is Ω linear then $\xi = (\xi_1\epsilon, \dots, \xi_n\epsilon)$ for some $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in A^{(n)}$. Let $\epsilon^\bullet : A \rightarrow \Omega$ denote the Ω -dual of ϵ ; then $\epsilon^\bullet(1) = \sum_{g \in \Phi} g$.

Then $\text{Hom}_{\Omega}(A, \Omega) \cong A$ generated by ϵ^\bullet . Hence if $\eta : A \rightarrow \Omega^{(n)}$ is Ω linear then $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)^t \epsilon^\bullet$ for some $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in A^{(n)}$. If $f : A \rightarrow A$ admits a factorization $f = \xi \circ \eta$ through the free module $\Omega^{(n)}$ thus $f(1) = (\sum_{r=1}^n \xi_r \eta_r) \epsilon \circ \epsilon^\bullet(1)$. However $\epsilon \circ \epsilon^\bullet(1) = |\Phi|$ so that

$$(1.10) \quad \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{er}}}(A) \cong A/|\Phi|.$$

From (1.10) and (1.4) it follows that

$$(1.11) \quad \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{er}}}(I^\bullet) \cong A/|\Phi|.$$

§2: Quasi-augmentations and generalized Swan modules:

Continuing with the notation of §1, the Eckmann-Shapiro Lemma implies that:

(2.1) If X is a Ω -lattice then $\text{Ext}_{\Omega}^k(X, \Omega) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$.

We have an augmentation homomorphism $\epsilon : \Omega \rightarrow A$ given by $\epsilon(g) = 1$ for all $g \in \Phi$. We denote by \mathcal{E} the augmentation exact sequence

$$\mathcal{E} = (0 \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{i} \Omega \xrightarrow{\epsilon} A \rightarrow 0)$$

where $I = \text{Ker}(\epsilon)$ and i is the inclusion. We generalize this as follows; an exact sequence $\mathcal{S} = (0 \rightarrow S_- \xrightarrow{i} \Omega \xrightarrow{p} S_+ \rightarrow 0)$ of Ω -lattices is called a *quasi-augmentation sequence* when S_+, S_- satisfy the condition $\text{Hom}_{\Omega}(S_-, S_+) = 0$. In the above sequence \mathcal{E} one sees easily that $\text{Hom}_{\Omega}(A, I) = 0$; hence:

(2.2) \mathcal{E} is a quasi-augmentation sequence.

Now fix a quasi-augmentation $\mathcal{S} = (0 \rightarrow S_- \xrightarrow{i} \Omega \xrightarrow{p} S_+ \rightarrow 0)$. If k is a positive integer we denote by $\mathcal{S}(k)$ the class of extensions of the form

$$\mathcal{X} = (0 \rightarrow S_-^{(k)} \xrightarrow{i_{\mathcal{X}}} X \xrightarrow{p_{\mathcal{X}}} S_+^{(k)} \rightarrow 0)$$

The module X defined by such an extension is called a *generalized Swan module* of rank k . There are a number of equivalence relations on $\mathcal{S}(k)$ to be considered:

Isomorphism : We write $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{Y}$ when there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & S_-^{(n)} & \rightarrow & X & \rightarrow & S_+^{(n)} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_- & & \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow f_+ \\ 0 & \rightarrow & S_-^{(n)} & \rightarrow & Y & \rightarrow & S_+^{(n)} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

in Mod_{Ω} in which f_- and f_+ are isomorphisms. We note that generalized Swan modules are rigid in the sense that the condition $\text{Hom}_{\Omega}(S_-, S_+) = 0$ ensures that the defining exact sequence \mathcal{X} is essentially unique (cf [5]. p.231); thus if Y is also a generalized Swan module defined by the exact sequence \mathcal{Y} then

$$X \cong Y \iff \mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{Y}.$$

There is a more refined relation on $\mathcal{S}(k)$, namely:

Congruence : We write $\mathcal{X} \equiv \mathcal{Y}$ when there is a commutative diagram of Ω -homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & S_-^{(k)} & \rightarrow & X & \rightarrow & S_+^{(k)} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{Id} & & \downarrow \nu & & \downarrow \text{Id} \\ 0 & \rightarrow & S_-^{(k)} & \rightarrow & Y & \rightarrow & S_+^{(k)} \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

In both relations the middle mapping $X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism via the Five Lemma. Up to congruence such exact sequences are classified by $\text{Ext}^1(S_+^{(k)}, S_-^{(k)})$. To allow for the coarser classification of isomorphism we consider the natural two-sided action of $\text{Aut}_\Omega(S_-^{(k)}) \times \text{Aut}_\Omega(S_+^{(k)})$ on $\text{Ext}^1(S_+^{(k)}, S_-^{(k)})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Aut}_\Omega(S_-^{(k)}) \times \text{Ext}^1(S_+^{(k)}, S_-^{(k)}) \times \text{Aut}_\Omega(S_+^{(k)}) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S_+^{(k)}, S_-^{(k)}) \\ (\alpha, \mathcal{X}, \beta) &\mapsto \alpha_*\beta^*(\mathcal{X}) \end{aligned}$$

As is well known (cf [6] p.67) $\alpha_*\beta^*(\mathcal{X})$ is congruent to $\beta^*\alpha_*(\mathcal{X})$. We have the following Classification Theorem:

Theorem 2.3 : There is a 1 – 1 correspondence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Isomorphism classes of generalized Swan} \\ \text{modules of rank } k \text{ relative to } \mathcal{S} \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \text{Aut}_\Omega(S_-^{(k)}) \backslash \text{Ext}^1(S_+^{(k)}, S_-^{(k)}) / \text{Aut}_\Omega(S_+^{(k)}).$$

Intermediate between isomorphism and congruence we have:

Isomorphism over $S_-^{(k)}$: We write $\mathcal{X} \text{ Id} \cong \mathcal{Y}$ when there is a commutative diagram of Ω -homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & S_-^{(k)} & \rightarrow & X & \rightarrow & S_+^{(k)} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{Id} & & \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow f_+ \\ 0 & \rightarrow & S_-^{(k)} & \rightarrow & Y & \rightarrow & S_+^{(k)} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

in which f_+ is an isomorphism. We note that

(2.4) The equivalence classes under ‘ $\text{Id} \cong$ ’ are in 1-1 correspondence with $\text{Ext}^1(S_+^{(k)}, S_-^{(k)}) / \text{Aut}(S_+^{(k)})$

Isomorphism over $S_+^{(k)}$: We write $\mathcal{X} \cong_{\text{Id}} \mathcal{Y}$ when there is a commutative diagram of Ω -homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & S_-^{(k)} & \rightarrow & X & \rightarrow & S_+^{(k)} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_- & & \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow \text{Id} \\ 0 & \rightarrow & S_-^{(k)} & \rightarrow & Y & \rightarrow & S_+^{(k)} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

in which f_- is an isomorphism. Likewise

(2.5) The equivalence classes under ‘ \cong_{Id} ’ are in 1-1 correspondence with $\text{Aut}(S_-^{(k)}) \backslash \text{Ext}^1(S_+^{(k)}, S_-^{(k)})$

On dualising the augmentation sequence \mathcal{E} we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A^\bullet \xrightarrow{\epsilon^\bullet} \Omega^\bullet \xrightarrow{i^\bullet} I^\bullet \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Omega^1(A, \Omega).$$

As $\text{Ext}_\Omega^1(A, \Omega) = 0$ then the exact sequence $\mathcal{E}^\bullet = (0 \rightarrow A^\bullet \xrightarrow{\epsilon^\bullet} \Omega^\bullet \xrightarrow{i^\bullet} I^\bullet \rightarrow 0)$ is exact. It is straightforward to see that $A^\bullet \cong A$ and $\Omega^\bullet \cong \Omega$ so we may write

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{E}^\bullet = (0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\epsilon^\bullet} \Omega \xrightarrow{i^\bullet} I^\bullet \rightarrow 0)$$

where $\epsilon^\bullet : A \rightarrow \Omega$ is given by $\epsilon^\bullet(1) = \sum_{g \in \Phi} g$. Again $\text{Ext}_\Omega^1(I^\bullet, \Omega) = 0$ and by

duality $\text{Hom}_\Omega(I^\bullet, A) \cong \text{Hom}_\Omega(A, I) = 0$. Hence we see also that:

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{E}^\bullet \text{ is a quasi-augmentation sequence.}$$

Whilst it is possible to deal directly with \mathcal{E} the fact that I^\bullet has a natural ring structure usually makes it easier to work with the dual sequence \mathcal{E}^\bullet as in the dual augmentation sequence $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\epsilon^\bullet} \Omega \xrightarrow{i^\bullet} I^\bullet \rightarrow 0$ we have $I^\bullet \cong \Omega/(\Sigma_x)$. Hence I^\bullet has a natural ring structure for which $i^\bullet : \Omega \rightarrow I^\bullet$ is a ring homomorphism.

§3: Surjectivity when $k = 1$:

For any commutative ring B there is an obvious inclusion $B \subset P_{0,m}(B)$ which in turn induces an inclusion of unit groups $B^* \subset P_{0,m}(B)^*$. It is straightforward to see that:

$$(3.1) \quad \text{If } B \text{ is a commutative integral domain then } P_{0,m}(B)^* = B^*.$$

However, $P_{n,m}(A) \cong P_{0,m}(P_{n,0}(A))$. If A is an integral domain so is $P_{n,0}(A)$; hence :

$$(3.2) \quad \text{If } A \text{ is a commutative integral domain then } P_{n,m}(A)^* = P_{n,0}(A)^*.$$

Let $T = \underbrace{C_\infty \times \dots \times C_\infty}_n$ denote the n -fold product of the infinite cyclic group C_∞

and let $A[T]$ denote its group algebra over the commutative ring A . By taking t_1, \dots, t_n to be the canonical generators of T we identify $P_{n,0}(A) = A[T]$. If $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(n)}$ we define $\mathbf{t}^\alpha = t_1^{a_1} \dots t_n^{a_n} \in T$. It follows from a theorem of Higman [4] that :

$$(3.3) \quad \text{If } A \text{ is an integral domain then } A[T]^* = \{u \cdot \mathbf{t}^\alpha \mid u \in A^*, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{(n)}\}.$$

Combining (3.2) and (3.3) with the identification $P_{n,0}(A) = A[T]$ we see that:

$$(3.4) \quad \text{If } A \text{ is an integral domain then } P_{n,m}(A)^* = \{u \cdot \mathbf{t}^\alpha \mid u \in A^*, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{(n)}\}.$$

As is well known (cf. [2], p.87), the induced map on units $\nu : R^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ is surjective. When $p = 2$ then $R_0 = R$. When p is odd, then (cf. [14], Lemma 8.1, p.144), $R^* \cong R_0^* \times \langle \zeta \rangle$. As $\nu(\zeta) = 1$ then in either case:

$$(3.5) \quad \text{The induced homomorphism of unit groups } \nu : R_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^* \text{ is surjective.}$$

Now let $\omega \in P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p)^*$. As \mathbb{F}_p is an integral domain we may write $\omega = u \cdot \mathbf{t}^\alpha$ where $u \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{(n)}$. By (3.5), choose $\hat{u} \in R_0^*$ such that $\nu(\hat{u}) = u$. Then $\hat{u} \cdot \mathbf{t}^\alpha \in P_{n,m}(R_0)^*$ and $\nu(\hat{u} \cdot \mathbf{t}^\alpha) = u \cdot \mathbf{t}^\alpha = \omega$. Hence ν induces a surjection of unit groups $\nu : P_{n,m}(R_0)^* \rightarrow P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p)^*$. Otherwise expressed:

$$(3.6) \quad \nu_* : GL_1(P_{n,m}(R_0)) \rightarrow GL_1(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p)) \text{ is surjective.}$$

§4 : Surjectivity when $k \geq 3$:

In general, for any commutative ring \mathbb{A} , $GL_k(\mathbb{A})$ is a semidirect product

$$(4.1) \quad GL_k(\mathbb{A}) = SL_k(\mathbb{A}) \rtimes \mathbb{A}^*$$

where \mathbb{A}^* is imbedded in $GL_k(\mathbb{A})$ via the diagonal matrices

$$u \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} u & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $SL_k(\mathbb{A}) = \{X \in GL_k(\mathbb{A}) \mid \det(X) = 1\}$. Let $\epsilon(i, j) \in M_k(\mathbb{A})$ denote the basic matrix $\epsilon(i, j)_{r,s} = \delta_{i,r} \delta_{j,s}$. We denote by $E_k(\mathbb{A})$ (cf. [7]) the subgroup of $GL_k(\mathbb{A})$ generated by the elementary transvections $E(i, j; \lambda) = I_k + \lambda \epsilon(i, j)$ where $i \neq j$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{A}$, together with the diagonal matrices $\Delta(i, -1) = I_k - 2\epsilon(i, i)$. If (i, j) denotes the transposition which swaps i and j then the corresponding permutation matrix can be expressed as $P(i, j) = \Delta(j, -1)E(i, j; 1)E(j, i; -1)E(i, j; 1)$. It follows that $E_k(\mathbb{A})$ also contains the group of $k \times k$ permutation matrices. Moreover

$$(4.2) \quad E_k(\mathbb{A}) \subset SL_k(\mathbb{A}) \rtimes \{\pm 1\}.$$

A theorem Suslin [9] shows that:

$$(4.3) \quad \text{For any field } \mathbb{F}, E_k(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F})) = SL_k(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F})) \rtimes \{\pm 1\} \text{ when } k \geq 3.$$

If $\psi : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is a surjective ring homomorphism then the induced homomorphism $\psi : E_k(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow E_k(\mathbb{A})$ is surjective for all $k \geq 2$. As $\nu : P_{n,m}(R_0) \rightarrow P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is surjective and \mathbb{F}_p is a field then, by (4.3):

$$(4.4) \quad \nu : E_k(P_{n,m}(R_0)) \rightarrow SL_k(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p)) \rtimes \{\pm 1\} \text{ is surjective for } k \geq 3.$$

It now follows from (3.6) and (4.1) that:

$$(4.5) ; \quad \nu_* : GL_k(P_{n,m}(R_0)) \rightarrow GL_k(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p)) \text{ is surjective for } k \geq 3.$$

§5 : The rings Ω and Ω_0 :

Suppose given a fibre square of ring homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{cases} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\pi_-} & \Lambda_- \\ \downarrow \pi_+ & & \downarrow \varphi_- \\ \Lambda_+ & \xrightarrow{\varphi_+} & \Lambda_0. \end{cases}$$

which satisfies Milnor's condition [8] that at least one of φ_- , φ_+ is surjective, and let $\alpha \in GL_k(\Lambda_0)$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$ the Λ -module X obtained as a fibre product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}(\alpha) & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_-^{(k)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Lambda_+^{(k)} & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_0^{(k)}. \end{array}$$

by glueing $\Lambda_+^{(k)}$ and $\Lambda_-^{(k)}$ via α . Such a module is said to be *locally free of rank k with respect to \mathcal{F}* ; when \mathcal{F} is clear from context we omit 'with respect to \mathcal{F} '. Clearly any such locally free module is projective over Λ . Moreover, we note that

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{L}(\text{Id}_k) \cong \Lambda^{(k)}$$

Also, if $\alpha \in GL_k(\Lambda_0)$ then:

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{L}(\alpha \oplus \text{Id}_m) \cong \mathcal{L}(\alpha) \oplus \Lambda^{(m)}$$

Let $\natural: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ be the canonical homomorphism and denote by Ω_0 the fibre product

$$(5.3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_0 & \xrightarrow{\pi_-} & R_0 \\ \downarrow \pi_+ & & \downarrow \nu \\ \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\natural} & \mathbb{F}_p. \end{array} \right.$$

Noting that $R_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}}_{\frac{(p-1)}{2}}$ it follows that

$$(5.4) \quad \Omega_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}}_{\frac{(p+1)}{2}}.$$

In particular, Ω_0 satisfies the Eichler condition (cf. [11]) from which we see that:

$$(5.5) \quad \text{Every stably free } \Omega_0\text{-module is free.}$$

If A is a commutative ring we denote its Krull dimension by $\text{Kdim}(A)$; then :

Proposition 5.6 : $\text{Kdim}(\Omega_0) = 1$.

Proof: For a direct product we have $\text{Kdim}(A_1 \times A_2) = \max\{\text{Kdim}(A_1), \text{Kdim}(A_2)\}$. If A is a Dedekind domain then $\text{Kdim}(A) = 1$. Consequently $\text{Kdim}(\mathbb{Z} \times R_0) = 1$. As Ω_0 is a subring of $\mathbb{Z} \times R_0$ then $\text{Kdim}(\Omega_0) \leq 1$. However, Ω_0 has a subring isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} so that $1 \leq \text{Kdim}(\Omega_0)$, whence the conclusion. \square

Define $\Omega = P_{n,m}(\Omega_0)$. Applying $P_{n,m}(-)$ to (5.3) we obtain another fibre square

$$(5.7) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega & \xrightarrow{\pi_-} & P_{n,m}(R_0) \\ \downarrow \pi_+ & & \downarrow \nu \\ P_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\natural} & P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p). \end{array} \right.$$

Note that (5.7) is a Milnor square as both \natural and ν are surjective. Let $\alpha \in GL_k(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ and denote by $\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$ the locally free module of rank k :

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}(\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\pi_-} & P_{n,m}(R_0)^{(k)} \\ \downarrow \pi_+ & & \downarrow \nu \\ P_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z})^{(k)} & \xrightarrow{\natural} & P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p)^{(k)}. \end{array}$$

We showed in (4.5) that $\nu_* : GL_k(P_{n,m}(R_0)) \rightarrow GL_k(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ is surjective for $k \geq 3$. It now follows from Milnor's classification that:

$$(5.9) \quad \mathcal{L}(\alpha) \cong \Omega^{(k)} \quad \text{for } k \geq 3.$$

Now consider the case $k = 2$; if $\alpha \in GL_2(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ and $\text{Id} \in GL_1(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ then $\alpha \oplus \text{Id} \in GL_3(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ and so, by (5.1), (5.2) and (5.9):

$$(5.10) \quad \mathcal{L}(\alpha) \oplus \Omega \cong \Omega^{(3)} \quad \text{if } \alpha \in GL_2(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p)).$$

§6 : Surjectivity when $k = 2$:

We first improve on (5.10) as follows:

Theorem 6.1 : If $\alpha \in GL_2(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ then $\mathcal{L}(\alpha) \cong \Omega^{(2)}$.

Proof : $\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$ is a projective module of rank 2 over $\Omega = P_{n,m}(\Omega_0)$. In particular, $\text{rk}(\mathcal{L}(\alpha)) > \text{Kdim}(\Omega_0)$. Moreover, by (5.10), $[\mathcal{L}(\alpha)] = 0 \in \tilde{K}_0(\Omega)$. It now follows from a theorem of Swan [13] that $\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$ is induced from Ω_0 ; that is, there exists a projective module Q over Ω_0 such that $\mathcal{L}(\alpha) \cong i_*(Q)$ where $i : \Omega_0 \hookrightarrow \Omega$ is the canonical inclusion. Let $r : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega_0$ be the ring homomorphism uniquely specified by the assignments $r(t_i) = 1$ and $r(x_j) = 0$. Then $r \circ i = \text{Id}_{\Omega_0}$. In particular, $r_*(\Omega) = \Omega_0$ and $r_*(\mathcal{L}(\alpha)) \cong Q$. Thus applying r_* to (5.10) we see that

$$Q \oplus \Omega_0 \cong \Omega_0^{(3)}.$$

It follows from (5.5) that $Q \cong \Omega_0^{(2)}$ and hence $\mathcal{L}(\alpha) \cong i_*(\Omega_0^{(2)}) = \Omega^{(2)}$. \square

We arrive at statement (II) of the Introduction:

Theorem 6.2 : $\nu_* : GL_2(P_{n,m}(R_0)) \rightarrow GL_2(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ is surjective.

Proof : Let $\alpha \in GL_2(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p))$. We claim that $\alpha \in \text{Im}(\nu_*)$. Thus let $\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$ be the locally free Λ -module obtained by glueing via α

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{L}(\alpha) & \longrightarrow & P_{n,m}(R_0)^{(2)} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\
P_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z})^{(2)} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{h}} & P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p)^{(2)}.
\end{array}$$

By (6.1), $\mathcal{L}(\alpha) \cong \Omega^{(2)}$. However, $\Omega^{(2)}$ is the locally free module of rank 2 obtained by glueing via $I_2 \in GL_2(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ thus:

$$\mathcal{L}(I_2) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^{(2)} & \longrightarrow & P_{n,m}(R_0)^{(2)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ P_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z})^{(2)} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{h}} & P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p)^{(2)}. \end{array} \right.$$

By Milnor's classification [8] there exist $\beta \in GL_2(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z}))$ and $\gamma \in GL_2(P_{n,m}(R_0))$ such that $\alpha = \mathfrak{h}_*(\beta) \cdot I_2 \cdot \nu_*(\gamma) = \mathfrak{h}_*(\beta) \cdot \nu_*(\gamma)$. However, if $j : \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow R_0$ is the canonical inclusion then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{j} & R_0 \\
\searrow \mathfrak{h} & & \swarrow \nu \\
& & \mathbb{F}_p
\end{array}$$

and induces a commutative diagram of group homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
GL_2(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z})) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & GL_2(P_{n,m}(R_0)) \\
\searrow \mathfrak{h}_* & & \swarrow \nu_* \\
& & GL_2(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p))
\end{array}$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{h}_*(\beta) = \nu_*(j_*(\beta))$, so $\alpha = \nu_*(j_*(\beta) \cdot \gamma) \in \text{Im}(\nu_*)$ as claimed. \square

Moreover, as the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
GL_2(P_{n,m}(R_0)) & \hookrightarrow & GL_2(P_{n,m}(R)) \\
\searrow \nu_* & & \swarrow \nu_* \\
& & GL_2(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p))
\end{array}$$

we obtain statement **(III)** of the Introduction, namely:

(6.3) $\nu_* : GL_k(P_{n,m}(R)) \twoheadrightarrow GL_k(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ is surjective for all $k \geq 1$ and all n, m .

§7 : Locally free modules and stably free modules :

For the remainder of this paper, fixing a prime p , we apply the above considerations when $\Phi = C_p = \langle x \mid x^p = 1 \rangle$ is the cyclic group of prime order p . As in (5.7) we have a Milnor fibre square

$$(S) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z}[C_p]) & \xrightarrow{i^\bullet} & P_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z}(\zeta_p)) \\ \downarrow \epsilon & & \downarrow \nu \\ P_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\natural} & P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

where $\Omega = P_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z}[C_p])$. We say the Ω -module X is *locally free of rank k with respect to S* when X is obtained as a fibre product

$$\mathfrak{X}(\alpha) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & P_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z}(\zeta_p))^{(k)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ P_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z})^{(k)} & \xrightarrow{\natural} & P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p)^{(k)} \end{array} \right.$$

by glueing via an element $\alpha \in GL_k(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p))$. Clearly any such locally free module is projective over Ω . Moreover, with respect to the fibre square S , a locally free module X can equally be described as a dual projective Swan module; that is there is a bijective correspondence of isomorphism classes :

$$(7.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{locally free projective modules} \\ \text{of rank } k \text{ with respect to } S \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{dual projective Swan} \\ \text{modules of rank } k \end{array} \right\}$$

However, we showed in (6.3) above that:

(7.2) $\varphi_* : GL_k(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{Z}(\zeta_p))) \rightarrow GL_k(P_{n,m}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ is surjective for all $k \geq 1$.

Consequently, by Milnor's classification,

(7.3) If X is a locally free projective module with respect to S then X is free.

Hence from (7.1) we see that:

(7.4) If X is a dual projective Swan module then X is free.

The statement **(I)** of the Introduction now follows from (7.4) on dualization.

References

- [1] : H. Bass and M.P. Murthy ; Grothendieck groups and Picard groups of abelian group rings : Ann. of Math 86 (1967) 16-73.
- [2] : B.J. Birch ; Cyclotomic fields and Kummer extensions : ‘Algebraic Number Theory’ (J.W.S. Cassells and A. Fröhlich eds), Academic Press (1967).
- [3] : P.M. Cohn ; On the structure of the GL_2 of a ring: Publications Mathematiques IHES 30 (1966) 5-53.
- [4] : D.G. Higman ; The units of group rings : Proc. London. Math. Soc. (2) 46 (1940) 231-248.
- [5] : F.E.A. Johnson; Syzygies and homotopy theory. Springer Verlag, 2011.
- [6] : S. MacLane ; Homology : Springer-Verlag, (1963).
- [7] : J. Milnor ; Whitehead torsion : Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1966), 358-426.
- [8] : J. Milnor ; Introduction to Algebraic K-Theory : Ann. of Math. Studies vol 72 , Princeton University Press 1971
- [9] : A.A. Suslin; On the structure of special linear groups over polynomial rings: Math USSR Izvestija 11 (1977) 221-238.
- [10] : R.G. Swan ; Periodic resolutions for finite groups : Ann. of Math. 72 (1960) 267-291.
- [11] : R.G. Swan ; K-Theory of finite groups and orders. (notes by E.G. Evans). Lecture Notes in Mathematics 149. Springer-Verlag 1970.
- [12] : R.G. Swan ; Generators and relations for certain special linear groups. Advances in Math. 6 (1971) 1-77.
- [13] : R.G. Swan ; Projective modules over Laurent polynomial rings. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 237 (1978) 111-120.
- [14] : L.C. Washington; Introduction to cyclotomic fields. Graduate Texts in Mathematics vol 83, 2nd edition. Springer-Verlag, 1997.

F.E.A. Johnson

**Department of Mathematics,
University College London,
Gower Street,
London WC1E 6BT, U.K.**

f.johnson@ucl.ac.uk