Accepted Date:

Mathematical modelling of gene delivery in patients with haemophilia B

Elnaz Jamili, Amit C. Nathwani, Vivek Dua

PII: DOI: Reference:	S0009-2509(23)00629-2 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2023.119073 CES 119073
To appear in:	Chemical Engineering Science
Received Date:	19 April 2022
Revised Date:	13 June 2023

5 July 2023



Please cite this article as: E. Jamili, A.C. Nathwani, V. Dua, Mathematical modelling of gene delivery in patients with haemophilia B, *Chemical Engineering Science* (2023), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2023.119073

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2023 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

		1.10				
Aurn	0		ra	nr	 \cap	ΞC
UU III	a .				 ι	

Mathematical Modelling of Gene Delivery in Patients with Haemophilia B

3	
4	Elnaz Jamili ^a , Amit C. Nathwani ^b , and Vivek Dua ^a , *
5 6	^a Department of Chemical Engineering, Centre for Process Systems Engineering, University College London, Torrington Place, London, WC1E 7JE, United Kingdom
7 8	^b Department of Haematology, UCL Cancer Institute, University College London, London, United Kingdom
9	
10	
11	*Corresponding author: Vivek Dua
12	Phone number: +44 (0) 20 7679 0002
13	E-mail address: v.dua@ucl.ac.uk
14	Full postal address: Department of Chemical Engineering
15	The Sargent Centre for Process Systems Engineering
16	University College London
17	Torrington Place
18	London WC1E 7JE
19	

20 Abstract

Type B haemophilia is a bleeding disorder resulting from a deficiency of coagulation factor 21 IX (FIX). Although gene therapy is a potentially curative treatment option, optimising the 22 23 dosing of therapeutic genes for patients remains a challenge. Detailed simulation of gene 24 delivery systems is required for improved understanding of the system. Hence, the purpose of this paper is to develop a modelling framework to predict the physiological response of a 25 subject affected by type B haemophilia to a dose of vector. To address this, an integrated 26 pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) modelling platform was developed based on in 27 vivo clinical data for three patients with severe haemophilia B whose functional plasma levels 28 of FIX are less than 1% of the normal value. The plasma FIX activity was considered as the 29 30 pharmacological effect while the level of serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) demonstrated the hepatocellular toxicity. Both an individual-based modelling approach and a 31 32 population modelling approach were used to estimate the physiological parameters of the 33 developed PK/PD models. The models were then validated using data of the clinical study before being used in a simulation-based modelling approach to provide dosing 34 recommendations. The results obtained from the study demonstrate a good prediction of the 35 36 pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the vector. Model-based simulations were subsequently performed to guide initial dose selection in order to provide clinicians with 37 better tools to make the decision-making process simpler for designing more effective 38 39 treatment plans.

40

Key words: Gene delivery; Initial dose selection; Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic
 modelling; Toxicity; Efficacy.

43 **1 Introduction**

Haemophilia B (HB) is a genetic bleeding disorder resulting from a deficiency or dysfunction 44 of coagulation factor IX (FIX) caused by mutations in the gene that encodes FIX (George et 45 al., 2017; Ramaswamy et al., 2017). Although prophylactic therapy with factor IX protein 46 47 concentrates improves clinical outcomes and reduces the frequency of spontaneous bleeding, it requires frequent intravenous injections for the life-time of patients due to the short half-life 48 of the protein, resulting in an inconvenient and expensive (£140,000 per year per patient) 49 50 treatment (Patel et al., 2014). Thus, various strategies have been investigated for the treatment of haemophilia B including the use of bioengineered coagulation factors (Powell et 51 al., 2013), and gene-transfer therapy (Manno et al., 2006; Nathwani et al., 2014). Gene 52 53 therapy is a potentially curative treatment option as it aims to restore, modify or enhance 54 cellular functions through the introduction of a therapeutic gene into a target cell, which is 55 demonstrated in the work by Nathwani et al. (2001; 2006; 2007; 2011(a); 2011(b); 2014). In 56 the clinical trial conducted by Nathwani and colleagues, a single dose of a serotype-8pseudotyped, self-complementary (sc) adeno-associated (AAV) vector expressing a codon-57 optimised version of the human factor IX (hFIXco) gene was infused in patients with severe 58 59 HB whose FIX activity level is <1% of normal values (Nathwani et al., 2011). hFIXco transgene was synthesised and cloned downstream of a compact synthetic liver-specific 60 promoter (LP1) to enable packaging into scAAV vectors (scAAV2/8-LP1-hFIXco) (Patel et 61 al., 2014). The evaluation of safety and efficacy in HB patients, having had the peripheral-62 vein infusion of scAAV2/8-LP1-hFIXco, was reported in the work by Nathwani et al. (2014). 63

64 Mathematical models are crucial tools for understanding the key mechanisms involved in biological systems, and for predicting the outcome of a given treatment plan. Mathematical 65 66 modelling for gene delivery systems has evolved over the years, starting with the work by Ledley and Ledley (1994) in which the authors developed a multi-compartment mathematical 67 model for studying the kinetics of cellular processes. A variety of studies have illustrated how 68 mathematical models can be applied to gene delivery systems. Most of the works have 69 70 focused on the concept of mass action kinetic model to study the critical steps involved in the process (Banks et al., 2003; Ledley & Ledley, 1994; Varga et al., 2001; 2005). A number of 71 different computational methodologies have provided insights into the gene delivery process, 72 including stochastic simulations (Dinh et al., 2007), quantitative structure-activity 73 74 relationship (QSAR) modeling strategy (Horobin & Weissig, 2005), mechanistic spatio-75 temporal and stochastic model of DNA delivery (Jandt et al., 2011), semi-mechanistic model 76 of transgene expression (Berraondo et al., 2009), and telecommunication model (Martin et 77 al., 2015).

While a lot of important work has been done in the area of modelling for gene delivery 78 79 systems, there are several areas which are yet to be explored adequately. We have recently developed a model-based control algorithm for both efficacy and safety to provide 80 quantitative understanding of non-viral siRNA delivery (Jamili and Dua, 2018). Having 81 explored the nature and purpose of quantitative analysis of *in vitro* experimental data in our 82 previous work, this paper aims to develop a novel mathematical modelling approach, based 83 on in vivo clinical data, for gene transfer of adeno-associated viral vectors in patients with 84 haemophilia B. In this work, an integrated pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic model is 85 developed using compartment modelling to describe the behaviour of scAAV2/8-LP1-86 hFIXco vectors in patients, which is then used in a simulation-based modelling platform for 87 the initial dose selection with the goal of predicting the pharmacokinetics and 88 89 pharmacodynamics of the vector during the therapy. A promising platform for gene delivery systems is provided by using modelling techniques to determine the initial dose selection thatcan be used in clinical trial simulations to determine optimal dosing recommendations.

92 2 Methods

93 2.1 Clinical Data

Nathwani et al. (2014) aimed to assess the efficacy and safety of factor IX gene therapy in patients with severe HB by evaluating the stability of transgene expression and monitoring the hepatocellular toxicity. The authors also reported the vector genomes in plasma, urine, stool, semen and saliva, which were collected from patients at regular intervals in order to assess vector shedding following systemic administration of scAAV2/8-LP1-hFIXco. The clinical data is used to build an integrated PK/PD model so as to be capable of providing a platform to guide initial dose selection.

101 **2.2 Pharmacokinetic Modelling**

Physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) models, while being able to offer a more 102 103 realistic picture of vector kinetics by modelling the real physiological space in the human body, are very complex and typically require more clinical data in more compartments for the 104 validation of the models which is not readily available in clinical trials (Holz and Fahr, 2001). 105 106 Therefore, a mechanistically lumped PK model was developed based on the available clinical data. The PK model comprised of two compartments, plasma (P) and body fluids (BFs), to 107 108 illustrate the simultaneous kinetics of both plasma and metabolites (Figure 1 and Figure 2). 109 The body fluids, which encompasses data from urinary, stool, semen, and saliva, were lumped into a single compartment to represent the elimination process. This approach was 110 adopted because the parallel effluxes can be merged and represented within a unified 111 112 compartment (Holz and Fahr, 2001; Nestorov, 2003). Mathematically,

$$\frac{dC_P}{dt} = -\theta_d C_P - \theta_{el.0} C_P \qquad ($$

 $C_P(t=0)=C_{P0}$

$$\frac{dC_{BF}}{dt} = \theta_{el.0} C_P - \theta_{el.1} C_{BF}$$
⁽²⁾

$$C_{BF}(t=0)=C_{BF0}$$

- where C_P (vector genome/ml) and C_{BF} (vector genome/ml) are the vector concentrations
- 114 in patient plasma and body fluids, respectively. θ_d (day⁻¹) represents the distribution rate
- 115 constant while $\theta_{el,0}$ (day⁻¹) and $\theta_{el,1}$ (day⁻¹) are the elimination rate constants.

116 The developed pharmacokinetic model serves as a platform for a quantitative evaluation of 117 gene delivery. Equation 1 captures the rate of change of the vector concentration in patient

118 plasma after a single intravenous infusion of vector.

119 **2.3 Pharmacodynamic Modelling**

Human factor IX (hFIX) is a coagulation protein, which is synthesised in the liver, and encoded in a gene located on the X chromosome (Howard et al., 2007; Tsang et al. 1988). Hepatocytes, which are the most common cells type in the liver, directly secrete factor IX into the bloodstream, where it circulates in an inactive form until needed in a response to an injury that damages the blood vessel wall (Franchini et al., 2012). Since FIX is naturally synthesised in the liver, the site of action for scAAV2/8-LP1-hFIXco vectors is located in the liver compartment.

In order to develop a mathematical model, the plasma FIX activity has been considered as the 127 pharmacological effect (response), which can be treated as an objective function to be 128 maximised in a gene delivery optimal control problem. A physiological indirect response 129 model with stimulation of factors controlling the response was thought to be appropriate to 130 describe the vector pharmacodynamics. This is because of the time delay between the 131 observed pharmacological effects and vector concentration in plasma as the pharmacological 132 133 responses take time to be developed. The temporal displacement could be due to the vector tissue distribution phenomena to reach the site of action, liver. To this purpose, a dynamic 134 135 model must be developed to link the vector concentration in the biophase or effect 136 compartment to a response compartment. The effect compartment model, which is also known as the link model, can be considered as a first-order distribution model relating the 137 138 vector concentration in plasma and the biophase using a first-order constant. Once the vector 139 is transferred to the liver, a cascade of biological events may take place resulting in a functional response, which can be viewed as a link model. Schematic illustration of the 140 integrated PK/PD model is shown in Figure 1. 141

While a more detailed representation of an integrated PK/PD approach can be developed by incorporating the liver compartment into the PK model, the model structure, which was developed and used in this work, had been simplified to only include the plasma and other body fluids compartments. This is due to a lack of available data as liver biopsies are required.





150 Considering the pharmacological analysis, the rate of change of the vector concentration in 151 the effect (biophase) compartment, $C_{e FIX}$ (vector genome/ml), can be modelled as:

$$\frac{dC_{e_FIX}}{dt} = \theta_{e_FIX} C_P - \theta_{in_FIX} C_{e_FIX}$$
(3)

where C_P (vector genome/ml) is the concentration of vector in the plasma compartment of the pharmacokinetic model, linked to the effect compartment, with the first-order rate constant $\theta_{e FIX}$ (day⁻¹).

- 155 The plasma FIX coagulation activity level, R_{FIX} (% of the normal value IU/deciliter),
- 156 which is of interest in our case, is formulated as a function of the concentration in the effect
- 157 compartment with the use of an effect-concentration model. The differential equation for the158 observed pharmacological effect, factor IX activity level, can be expressed as:

$$\frac{dR_{FIX}}{dt} = \theta_{in_FIX} E_{FIX} - \theta_{out_FIX} R_{FIX}$$
⁽⁴⁾

- where the *rate in* and *rate out* of the response compartment are governed by θ_{in_FIX} (day⁻¹) and θ_{out_FIX} (day⁻¹).
- 161 Note that the effect compartment model should be selected with an appropriate effect 162 equation. In this study, the response is modelled by means of a linear transduction function in 163 which the vector concentration is proportionally related to a pharmacological response 164 (Gabrielsson and Weiner, 2010). Therefore,

$$E_{FIX} = k C_{e_FIX} \quad (5)$$

where k is the slope parameter, which is assumed to be k = 1 in order to simplify the model to help to mitigate the numerical difficulties.

167 2.4 Incorporating the Toxicological Model

168 The PD model may be extended to incorporate the toxicological responses that captures the liver toxicity, which was observed in the clinical study by Nathwani and colleagues as the 169 primary endpoint of their study was the safety evaluation of the vector infusion at different 170 doses. The reported level of serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) over time demonstrates 171 the hepatocellular toxicity. ALT is an enzyme which is found in serum and organ tissues such 172 as liver. The ALT level is the most widely used clinical biomarker of liver function, which 173 174 may be elevated as a result of the leakage from the damaged hepatocytes into the plasma following hepatocellular injury (Washington and Van Hoosier, 2012). 175



176

Figure 2: Schematic representation illustrating the relationship between kinetics and dynamics of the vector
 when considering the toxicological response (ALT level).

179 In this section, the structure of the PD model has been kept the same as in Section 2.3.

180 Assuming an indirect response model with stimulation of factors controlling the toxicological

181 response (Figure 2), the rate of change of the vector concentration in the effect (biophase)

182 compartment, C_{e_ALT} (vector genome/ml), can be modelled as:

$$\frac{dC_{e_ALT}}{dt} = \theta_{e_ALT} C_P - \theta_{in_ALT} C_{e_ALT}$$

183 where C_P (vector genome/ml) is the concentration of vector in the plasma compartment of 184 the pharmacokinetic model, linked to the effect compartment, with the first-order rate 185 constant $\theta_{e_{ALT}}$ (day⁻¹).

186 The ALT level, R_{ALT} (IU/liter), is formulated as a function of the concentration in the effect 187 compartment with the use of an effect-concentration model:

$$\frac{dR_{ALT}}{dt} = \theta_{in_ALT} E_{ALT} - \theta_{out_ALT} R_{ALT}$$
(7)

$$E_{ALT} = k C_{e_ALT} \tag{8}$$

188 where the *rate in* and *rate out* of the response compartment are governed by θ_{in_ALT} (day⁻¹) 189 and θ_{out_ALT} (day⁻¹), and k = 1.

190 3 **Results and Discussion**

The proposed modelling framework will be evaluated for three patients with severe HB who 191

had received intermediate dose of vector, 6×10^{11} vector genomes (vg) per kilogram (kg) of body weight, (patient 4); and high dose of vector, 2×10^{12} vg per kg, (patients 6 and 9). The 192

193

194 mean weight was 80.7 kg. Table 1 summarises the key characteristics of the patients.

195 Table 1: Key characteristics of the patients at baseline, according to vector dose. Adapted from Nathwani et al. 196 (2014).

Characteristic	Vector Dose, $6 imes 10^{11}$ vg/kg	Vector Dose, 2×10^{12} vg/kg			
	Patient 4	Patient 6	Patient 9		
Sex	Male	Male	Male		
Age (yr)	29	27	44		
Factor IX prophylaxis	Once weekly	Three times weekly	On demand		
HIV status	Negative	Negative	Negative		
Hepatitis C status	Negative	Negative	Positive		

197

The results obtained from this study will be presented in two parts. First, the results of the 198 parameter estimation problem will be discussed in Section 3.1. Then, a number of dynamic 199

200 simulations will be presented in Section 0 for initial dose selection.

201 3.1 **Parameter Estimation**

Having the clinical data and the PK/PD model, given by Equations 1-8, the parameter 202 estimation problem was formulated as an optimisation problem, and solved using the 203 analytical solutions of the PK and PD models, which were obtained by using Mathematica. 204 Since the spread of values in the PK clinical data set is large, the PK parameter estimation 205 problem was performed using both absolute and scaled objective functions. The full set of 206 207 model parameters and state variables are listed in Table 2.

208 Table 2: Model parameters and state variables of the PK/PD model.

Symbol	Description	Units
--------	-------------	-------

	Journal Pre-proofs	
Ψ_k	The vector of the state variables in compartment k	
C _P	Vector concentration in the plasma compartment	vg/ml
C _{BF}	Vector concentration in the body fluids compartment	vg/ml
C _{e_FIX}	Vector concentration in the biophase (effect) compartment when considering the pharmacological response (FIX coagulation activity level)	vg/ml
C _{e_ALT}	Vector concentration in the biophase (effect) compartment when considering the toxicological response (ALT level)	vg/ml
R _{FIX}	Plasma factor IX coagulation activity level	IU/dl
R _{ALT}	ALT level	IU/L
θ	The vector of the model parameters	
θ_d	Distribution rate constant	day ⁻¹
$\theta_{el.0}$	Elimination rate constant	day ⁻¹
$\theta_{el.1}$	Elimination rate constant	day ⁻¹
θ_{e_FIX}	Rate constant linking a kinetic model and a dynamic model when considering the pharmacological response (FIX coagulation activity level)	day ⁻¹
θ_{e_ALT}	Rate constant linking a kinetic model and a dynamic model when considering the toxicological response (ALT level)	day ⁻¹
θ_{in_FIX}	The <i>rate in</i> of the pharmacological response compartment (R_{FIX})	day ⁻¹
θ_{out_FIX}	The <i>rate out</i> of the pharmacological response compartment (R_{FIX})	day ⁻¹
θ_{in_ALT}	The <i>rate in</i> of the toxicological response compartment (R_{ALT})	day ⁻¹
θ_{out_ALT}	The <i>rate out</i> of the toxicological response compartment (R_{ALT})	day ⁻¹

Err_{absolute} Absolute objective function

Err_{scaled} Scaled objective function

 $\hat{\Psi}_k$ The vector of the observed clinical data in compartment k

209

210 The generic mathematical formulation of the parameter estimation problem is as follows:

$$\operatorname{Err}_{\operatorname{absolute}} = \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}(t)} \sum_{p \in Pk} \sum_{k \in K} \{ \boldsymbol{\Psi}_k(t_p) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_k(t_p) \}^2 \quad (9)$$

211 or

$$\operatorname{Err}_{\operatorname{scaled}} = \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}(t)} \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{k \in K} \left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{k}(t_{p}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_{k}(t_{p})}{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_{k}(t_{p})} \right\}^{2} \quad (10)$$

subject to the analytical solutions of the PK/PD model. For more details, please see Equations
1-6 in the Supplementary Appendix.

To carry out parameter estimation for the system, first, PK/PD parameters were estimated 214 215 individually for each patient, which could be useful for the development of personalised gene therapy. Then, PK and PD parameters were estimated for all patients simultaneously, which 216 were used for the initial dose selection, aiming at predicting the physiological response of a 217 patient to a dose of vector. For individually estimated PK/PD parameters, the analysis was 218 219 dependent on the initial vector concentration, whereas the simultaneous parameter estimation was dose-dependent. Table 3 and Table 4 summarise the parameter estimation results for 220 individually and simultaneously estimated parameters. The estimated parameter values were 221 then used for dynamic simulations using Orthogonal Collocation on Finite Elements (OCFE), 222 which were carried out for the validation of the model, with a view to pave the way for 223 control of gene delivery in future work. Note that the model parameters are specific to a 224 patient and may vary between patients (inter-patient) and also within individual patients 225 (intra-patient). There are different factors that affect inter- and intra-patient variability, such 226 as age, sex, body weight, health condition and activity levels. 227

228 **Table 3:** Estimated PK/PD model parameters, individually for each patient.

		Patient 4 (P	4)					
		Estimated parameters (day ⁻¹)						
PK Model	Absolute OBJ*	$\theta_d = 1.5710559$	$\theta_{el.0} = 1.0506840$	$\theta_{el.1} = 2.1366106$				

	Journal Pre-proofs								
Scaled OBJ* $\theta_d = 2.5971076$ $\theta_{el.0} = 0.0247028$ $\theta_{el.1} = 0.4823376$									
_	DD Model	FIX	$\theta_{e_FIX} = 9.7701316$	$\theta_{in_FIX} = 0.0016288$	$\theta_{out_FIX} = 0.0631596$				
	r D widdel	ALT	$\theta_{e_ALT} = 18.4752261$	$\theta_{in_ALT} = 0.0005428$	$\theta_{out_ALT} = 0.0074621$				

		Patient 6 (P.	6)	29			
		Estimated parameters (day $^{-1}$)					
DK Madal	Absolute OBJ*	$\theta_d = 2.1140705$	$\theta_{el.0} = 0.0073093$	$\theta_{el.1} = 0.5635716$			
r K Mouel	Scaled OBJ*	$\theta_d = 2.0194024$	$\theta_{el.0} = 0.0910754$	$\theta_{el.1} = 1.7344535$			
	FIX	$\theta_{e_FIX} = 21.1668725$	$\theta_{in_FIX} = 0.0003748$	$\theta_{out_FIX} = 0.0158966$			
PD Model	ALT	$\theta_{e_ALT} = 0.3656878$	$\theta_{in_ALT} = 0.0028681$	$\theta_{out_ALT} = 0.0005408$			

Patient 9 (P.9)								
Estimated parameters (day ⁻¹)								
DK Model	Absolute OBJ*	$\theta_d = 0.1593991$	$\theta_{el.0} = 1.1246204$	$\theta_{el.1} = 0.6580731$				
r K Model	Scaled OBJ*	$\theta_d = 0.9911402$	$\theta_{el.0} = 0.3439847$	$\theta_{el.1} = 0.4674078$				
	FIX	$\theta_{e_FIX} = 2.0086934$	$\theta_{in_FIX} = 0.0012088$	$\theta_{out_FIX} = 0.0038267$				
rd Model	ALT	$\theta_{e_ALT} = 6.4510203$	$\theta_{in_ALT} = 0.0010856$	$\theta_{out_ALT} = 0.0033077$				

232 **Table 4:** Estimated PK/PD model parameters, for all patients simultaneously.

Patients 4, 6, and 9 (P.4-6-9)									
		Estimated parameters (day ⁻¹)							
	Absolute OBJ*	$\theta_d = 1.5511141$	$\theta_{el.0} = 0.4723049$	$\theta_{el.1} = 0.7640243$					
r K Miouei	Scaled OBJ*	$\theta_d = 7.1957447$	$\theta_{el.0} = 2.0910047$	$\theta_{el.1} = 1.7113180$					
PD Model	FIX	$\theta_{e_FIX} = 11.2140501$	$\theta_{in_FIX} = 0.0005731$	$\theta_{out_FIX} = 0.0737731$					
	ALT	$\theta_{e_ALT} = 0.6582939$	$\theta_{in_ALT} = 0.0007284$	$\theta_{out_ALT} = 0.0014742$					

233

A − Solved the parameter estimation problem using an absolute objective function (Equation 9).

235 • – Solved the parameter estimation problem using a scaled objective function (Equation 10).

236

In order to visualise the variance between the estimated PK/PD parameters across different patients, the results are also graphically shown in Figure 3. Note that in the following figure, P.4, P.6, and P.9 refer to Patient 4, Patient 6, and Patient 9 respectively, where the PK and PD parameters were estimated individually for each patient. However, P.4-6-9 refers to the population modelling approach in which each PK and PD parameters were estimated for all patients simultaneously.



Figure 3: Estimated PK/PD parameters across different patients.

In Figure 3, the variability of the estimated model parameters across different patients could 246 be associated with the inter-patient variability, suggesting that the personalised gene therapy 247 using an individual modelling approach would make more sense because the 248 pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the vector can vary between patients. However, 249 to gain more insights into the process, both the individual modelling approach (solving the 250 parameter estimation problem for each patient individually) and the population modelling 251 252 approach (solving the parameter estimation problem for all patients simultaneously) were considered in the present work. 253

254 It is important to note here that the estimated model parameters could vary for different initial guesses used for the parameter estimation problem. Difficulties arise from both the existence 255 256 of local minima and non-identifiability (Degasperi et al., 2017). The solver may find different local minima when started from different starting points due to the non-convexity of the 257 258 objective function. Global optimisation-based algorithms were applied; however, the model 259 was unable to converge to find a global optimal solution. Furthermore, the identifiability issue is concerned with the theoretical existence of unique solutions to the parameter 260 estimation problem. Hence, there are various sets of parameter values that fit the clinical data 261 262 equally well. Different strategies, such as model reformulation, model reduction, or generating additional clinical data can be used to overcome the identifiability problem 263 (Degasperi et al., 2017). Sensitivity analysis was performed to investigate the sensitivities of 264 state variables relative to small changes in model parameters at the steady state (Table 5 and 265 Table 6). All relative sensitivities of model variables to changes in parameters are smaller 266 than 1 in absolute value, meaning that perturbations in value of the parameters are attenuated. 267

Table 5: Model sensitivity matrix for the individual modelling approach.

	Individual Modelling Approach											
Parameters		Pati	ent 4			Patio	ent 6			Patio	ent 9	
	CP	C _{BF}	R _{FIX}	R _{ALT}	C _P	C _{BF}	R _{FIX}	R _{ALT}	CP	C _{BF}	R _{FIX}	R _{ALT}
$ heta_{d_{absolute}}$	- 0.000001	- 0.000001	-	-	- 0.000002	- 0.000001	- 0.2	- 0.14	- 0.000001	- 0.000001	- 0.03	- 0.08
$ heta_{el.0_absolute}$	- 0.000001	- 0.000001	-	-	- 0.000001	0.000001	- 0.01	- 0.01	- 0.000002	- 0.000001	- 0.18	- 0.57
$ heta_{el.1_absolute}$	-	- 0.000002	-	-	-	- 0.000003	-	-	-	- 0.000002	-	-
$ heta_{d_ ext{scaled}}$	- 0.000002	- 0.000003	- 0.04	- 0.6	- 0.000002	- 0.000001	-	-	- 0.000002	- 0.000001	-	-
$ heta_{el.0_ m scaled}$	- 0.000001	0.000002	- 0.01	- 0.01	- 0.000001	0.000001	-	-	- 0.000001	0.000001	-	-
$ heta_{el.1_scaled}$	-	- 0.000025	-	8	_	- 0.000002	-	-	-	- 0.000002	-	-
θ_{e_FIX}	-	-	0.03	-	-	-	0.18	-	-	-	0.18	-
θ_{in_FIX}	-	-	- 0.03	-	-	-	0.11	-	-	-	0.17	-
									•			

$ heta_{out_FIX}$	-	-	- 0.04	-	-	-	- 0.21	-	-	6	- 0.27	-
$ heta_{e_ALT}$	-	-	-	0.57	-	-	-	0.12		-	-	0.62
$ heta_{in_ALT}$	-	-	-	0.3	-	-	-	- 0.02	-	-	-	0.48
θ_{out_ALT}	-	-	-	- 0.68	-	-	-	- 0.44	-	-	-	- 0.81

Table 6: Model sensitivity matrix for the population modelling approach.

Table 6: Mod	el sensitivity	matrix for th	ne population	modelling a	pproach.							
	Population Modelling Approach											
Parameters		Pati	ent 4			Patio	ent 6			Pati	ent 9	
	C _P	C _{BF}	R _{FIX}	R _{ALT}	C _P	C _{BF}	R _{FIX}	R _{ALT}	C _P	C _{BF}	R _{FIX}	R _{ALT}
$ heta_{d_absolute}$	- 0.000002	- 0.000001	- 0.03	- 0.1	- 0.000002	- 0.000001	- 0.15	- 0.53	- 0.000002	- 0.000001	- 0.22	- 0.49
$ heta_{el.0_absolute}$	- 0.000001	0.000001	- 0.01	- 0.03	- 0.000001	0.000001	- 0.05	- 0.16	- 0.000001	0.000001	- 0.07	- 0.15
$ heta_{\it el.1_absolute}$	-	- 0.000002		-	-	- 0.000004	-	-	-	- 0.000002	-	-
$ heta_{d_ ext{scaled}}$	- 0.000001	- 0.000001	-	-	- 0.000001	- 0.000001	-	-	- 0.000001	- 0.000001	-	-

$ heta_{el.0_scaled}$	- 0.000001	0.000001	-	-	- 0.000001	0.000001	-	-	- 0.000001	0.000001	-	-
$ heta_{el.1_scaled}$	-	- 0.000002	-	-	-	- 0.000002	-	-		- 0.000002	-	-
θ_{e_FIX}	-	-	0.03	-	-	-	0.17	-	-	-	0.27	-
$ heta_{in_FIX}$	-	-	0.01	-	-	-	0.05	-	-	-	0.19	-
θ_{out_FIX}	-	-	- 0.04	-	-	-	- 0.19	R	-	-	- 0.3	-
θ_{e_ALT}	-	-	-	0.12	-	-	0	0.66	-	-	-	0.6
$ heta_{in_ALT}$	-	-	-	0.07	-	-	-	0.31	-	-	-	0.48
$ heta_{out_ALT}$	-	-	-	- 0.56	-	-	-	- 0.74	-	-	-	- 0.55
17												

272 The results obtained from the PK/PD analysis using an individual modelling are shown in Figure 4, Figure 5, and Figure 6, while the results illustrated in Figure 7, Figure 8, and Figure 273 9 present the PK/PD analysis using a population modelling. The parameter estimation and the 274 simulation results obtained from the work, have been qualitatively verified by using the 275 compartmental modelling approach. As can be seen from the following figures, the dynamic 276 simulations agree closely with the parameter estimation results, and the model predictions are 277 278 in good accordance with the clinical data. However, depending on the type of the objective function and the choice of individual modelling approach or population modelling approach, 279 various results of the study highlighted several feasible configurations of the system. Such 280 281 considerations were taken into account to aid decision making for further research. The values of the objective function obtained for each case study are reported in Table 7 and 282 Table 8, which give an indication of the solution accuracy. According to the results, the 283 284 objective function values observed for the PD parameter estimation are much higher than those obtained for the PK parameter estimation. This is because of the extensive PD data set 285 and the widespread existence of fluctuations in the PD clinical data. Another potential 286 contributor is the existence of hypothetical effect compartment that acts as a link between the 287 288 PK and PD models. However, the analysis shows that a good match is obtained between the clinical data and the model predictions. The pharmacokinetic analysis in this work 289 demonstrates how the overall performance of the PK parameter estimation problem depends 290 291 on the optimisation algorithms and the objective functions. Making such comparisons 292 between an absolute objective function and a scaled objective function lead to the fact that using a scaling factor may cause an algorithm to determine a different optimal solution. The 293 294 absolute and scaled objective function values vary with no observable trend. Hence, based on a trade-off between the objective function values and the simulation results, a decision is 295 made to use a set of parameters for subsequent computational studies. 296





304



307



Figure 5: Pharmacokinetic Analysis, individually for each patient – Comparison of the PK model predictions
 (using a scaled objective function) with the clinical data.

317



Figure 6: Pharmacodynamic Analysis, individually for each patient – Comparison of the PD model predictions
 (using an absolute objective function) with the clinical data.

327



Figure 7: Pharmacokinetic Analysis, for all patients simultaneously – Comparison of the PK model predictions
 (using an absolute objective function) with the clinical data.





Figure 8: Pharmacokinetic Analysis, for all patients simultaneously – Comparison of the PK model predictions
 (using a scaled objective function) with the clinical data.



355 Figure 9: Pharmacodynamic Analysis, for all patients simultaneously - Comparison of the PD model 356 predictions (using an absolute objective function) with the clinical data.

Table 7: Computational results for the individual modelling approach.

	Patient 4	
	Objective function values	Corresponding figures
	$Err_{absolute} = 1.2013 \times 10^{-5}$	Figure 4 (a) and (b)
PK Model	$\text{Err}_{\text{scaled}} = 1.667 \times 10^{-16}$	Figure 5 (a) and (b)
PD Model - FIX	Err _{absolute} = 52.140	Figure 6 (a)
PD Model - ALT	$Err_{absolute} = 1399.890$	Figure 6 (b)

	Patient 6			
	Objective function values	Corresponding figures		
PK Model	$Err_{absolute} = 1.0396 \times 10^{-5}$	Figure 4 (c) and (d)		
r K Mouer	$Err_{scaled} = 2.990$	Figure 5 (c) and (d)		
PD Model - FIX	$Err_{absolute} = 200.021$	Figure 6 (c)		
PD Model - ALT	$Err_{absolute} = 799.967$	Figure 6 (d)		

5	Patient 9	
	Objective function values	Corresponding figures
BK Model	$Err_{absolute} = 3 \times 10^{-1}$	Figure 4 (e) and (f)
r K Wiodei	$Err_{scaled} = 2.997$	Figure 5 (e) and (f)

Journal	Dre_nrc	ofe
Journar	n ic-pic	012

PD Model - FIX	$\mathrm{Err}_{\mathrm{absolute}} = 37.134$	Figure 6 (e)
PD Model - ALT	$\mathrm{Err}_{\mathrm{absolute}} = 187.068$	Figure 6 (f)

Table 8: Computational results for the population modelling approach.

	Patients 4, 6, and 9	5		
	Objective function values	Corresponding figures		
	Err _{absolute} = 1481.198	Figure 7		
PK Model	Err _{scaled} = 10.270	Figure 8		
PD Model - FIX	Err _{absolute} = 1011.102	Figure 9 (a), (c), and (e)		
PD Model - ALT	$Err_{absolute} = 4167.984$	Figure 9 (b), (d), and (f)		

3.3 **Initial Dose Selection** 364

365 This section aims to explore how the simulation-based modelling approach can assist in the initial dose selection. In this work, the initial doses used for the simulations are calculated 366 based on the following assumptions: (i) the average plasma volume is 50 ml/kg (Yiengst and 367 368 Shock, 1962); and (ii) there is a linear relationship between the dose administered (after conversion from vg/kg to vg/ml) and the initial vector concentration in plasma. 369



370

Figure 10: Linear regression curve between the dose administered and the initial vector concentration in 371 372 plasma.

Linear regression is one of the most commonly used techniques to investigate the relationship 373 374 between two quantitative variables (Bewick et al., 2003). Therefore, a linear regression analysis was carried out to determine the equation of the regression line, which is as follows 375 and shown in Figure 10: Initial vector concentration in plasma = $5 \times 10^{-5} \times \text{Dose} - 287000$ 376 377

For comparison purposes, the dynamic simulations were carried out for different time periods 378

379 and for various initial bolus doses. The PK/PD profiles are shown in Figure 11, Figure 12, 380

Figure 13, and Figure 14.





..... Dose = 9×10¹¹ (vg/kg) Dose = 3×10¹² (vg/kg) Dose = 4×10¹² (vg/kg)

Figure 11: Population pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic results over a period of 30 days for different initial bolus doses.



385 Figure 12: Population pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic results over a period of 60 days for different 386 initial bolus doses.





•••• Dose = 9×10¹¹ (vg/kg) Dose = 3×10¹² (vg/kg) Dose = 4×10¹² (vg/kg)

Figure 13: Population pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic results over a period of 90 days for different
 initial bolus doses.



Figure 14: Population pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic results over a period of 3 years for different
 initial bolus doses.

As can be seen in Figure 11b, Figure 12b, Figure 13b, and Figure 14b, the vector is expected 393 394 to be eliminated from the body within 10 days after administration. The simulation results (Figure 11, Figure 12, Figure 13, and Figure 14) demonstrated that the increase in both factor 395 396 IX activity and ALT level is dose-dependent, which is one of the key findings that is consistent with the work by Nathwani et al. (2014). In a recent study by Nathwani and 397 Tuddenham (2020), the authors reported that the highest level of transgene expression of 398 399 between 8% and 12% of normal was observed in the patients treated at the dose level of $2 \times$ 10^{12} vg/kg, which remained stable up to six weeks after gene transfer. The simulation results 400 in this paper (Figure 12c, Figure 13c, and Figure 14c) lead to similar conclusion where FIX 401 activity levels between 11% and 15% of normal can be observed for the high-dose subjects 402 (dose level of 3×10^{12} vg/kg and 4×10^{12} vg/kg), which remained stable within three 403 months after infusion. However, the ALT levels are increased consistently, especially in 404 405 higher dose cohorts, which subsequently leads to a relative reduction in factor IX levels 406 (about 55% reduction). According to Nathwani et al. (2014), the increase in the ALT level is associated with a decline in factor IX activity levels, suggesting a loss of transduced 407 hepatocytes. Despite the drop in the level of expression, the simulation analysis found 408 409 evidence for long-term efficacy as the FIX expression levels are maintained in the 6-10% range in the high-dose patients within a period of three years (Figure 14c), suggesting a 410 reduction in FIX concentrate usage. This is in line with the findings reported by Nathwani 411 and Tuddenham (2020), demonstrating that the transgenic FIX activity levels have remained 412 stable over a period of 10 years follow-up and reduced the need for treatments with FIX 413 concentrates. 414

415 **4** Conclusions

In this paper, a mathematical modelling approach was developed for gene transfer of adeno-416 417 associated viral vectors in patients with haemophilia B. The model-based platform discussed in this paper incorporates the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the scAAV2/8-418 LP1-hFIXco vectors. The PK/PD model parameters were estimated using the analytical 419 420 solution of the model, individually for each patient in a dose-independent manner, and for all patients simultaneously in a dose-dependent manner. A number of dynamic simulations were 421 also carried out using OCFE for the validation of the model, demonstrating the simulation 422 results are comparable to that obtained from parameter estimation. The simulation-based 423 PK/PD modelling approach was then used for the initial dose selection to provide clinicians 424 with better tools to make the decision-making process simpler for designing more effective 425 treatment plans, which can be tailored to maximise efficacy while minimising toxicity for 426 427 individual patients.

- 428 The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.
- 429

430 **5 References**

Banks, G. A., Roselli, R. J., Chen, R. & Giorgio, T. D. (2003) A model for the analysis of
nonviral gene therapy. Gene Therapy, 10(20), 1766-1775.

Berraondo, P., Gonzalez-Aseguinolaza, G. & Troconiz, I. F. (2009) Semi-mechanistic
pharmacodynamic modelling of gene expression and silencing processes. European Journal
of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 37(3-4), 418-426.

- Bewick, V., Cheek, L. & Ball, J. (2003) Statistics review 7: correlation and regression.
 Critical Care, 7(6), 451-459.
- 438 Degasperi, A., Fey, D. & Kholodenko, B. N. (2017) Performance of objective functions and
 439 optimisation procedures for parameter estimation in system biology models. NPJ Systems
 440 Biology and Applications, 3(1), 20.
- 441 Dinh, A. T., Pangarkar, C., Theofanous, T. & Mitragotri, S. (2007) Understanding
 442 intracellular transport processes pertinent to synthetic gene delivery via stochastic simulations
 443 and sensitivity analyses. Biophysical Journal, 92(3), 831-846.
- 444 Franchini, M., Frattini, F., Crestani, S. & Bonfanti, C. (2012) Haemophilia B: current
 445 pharmacotherapy and future directions. Expert Opinion on Pharmacotherapy, 13(14), 2053446 2063.
- Gabrielsson, J. & Weiner, D. (2010) Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data analysis:
 concepts and applications, 4th ed. Sweden: Swedish Pharmaceutical Press.
- 449 George, L. A., Sullivan, S. K., Giermasz, A., Rasko, J. E. J., Samelson-Jones, B. J., Ducore,
- 450 J. et al. (2017) Hemophilia B gene therapy with a high-specific-activity factor IX variant.
- 451 New England Journal of Medicine, 377(23), 2215-2227.
- Holz, M. & Fahr, A. (2001) Compartment modeling. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews,
 48(2-3), 249-264.
- Horobin, R. W. & Weissig, V. (2005) A QSAR-modeling perspective on cationic transfection
 lipids. 1. predicting efficiency and understanding mechanisms. Journal of Gene Medicine,
 7(8), 1023-1034.
- Howard, E. L., Becker, K. C. D., Rusconi, C. P. & Becker, R. C. (2007) Factor IXa inhibitors
 as novel anticoagulants. Arteriosclerosis Thrombosis and Vascular Biology, 27(4), 722-727.
- Jamili, E. & Dua, V. (2018) Optimal model-based control of non-viral siRNA delivery.
 Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 115(7), 1866-1877.
- Jandt, U., Shao, S., Wirth, M. & Zeng, A. P. (2011) Spatiotemporal modeling and analysis of
 transient gene delivery. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 108(9), 2205-2217.
- Ledley, T. S. & Ledley, F. D. (1994) Multicompartment, numerical-model of cellular events
 in the pharmacokinetics of gene therapies. Human Gene Therapy, 5(6), 679- 691.
- Manno, C. S., Arruda, V. R., Pierce, G. F., Glader, B., Ragni, M., Rasko, J. et al. (2006)
 Successful transduction of liver in hemophilia by AAV-Factor IX and limitations imposed by

- the host immune response. Nature Medicine, 12(3), 342-347.
- Martin, T. M., Wysocki, B. J., Wysocki, T. A. & Pannier, A. K. (2015) Identifying
 intracellular pDNA losses from a model of nonviral gene delivery. IEEE Transactions on
 Nanobioscience, 14(4), 455-464.
- 471 Nathwani, A. C., Davidoff, A., Hanawa, H., Zhou, J. F., Vanin, E. F. & Nienhuis, A. W.
 472 (2001) Factors influencing in vivo transduction by recombinant adeno-associated viral
 473 vectors expressing the human factor IX cDNA. Blood, 97(5), 1258-1265.
- 474 Nathwani, A. C., Davidoff, A. M. & Tuddenham, E. G. D. (2017) Gene Therapy for
- 475 Hemophilia. Hematology-Oncology Clinics of North America, 31(5), 853-868.
- 476 Nathwani, A. C., Gray, J. T., McIntosh, J., Ng, C. Y. C., Zhou, J. F., Spence, Y. et al. (2007)
 477 Safe and efficient transduction of the liver after peripheral vein infusion of self478 complementary AAV vector results in stable therapeutic expression of human FIX in
 479 nonhuman primates. Blood, 109(4), 1414-1421.
- Nathwani, A. C., Gray, J. T., Ng, C. Y. C., Zhou, J. F., Spence, Y., Waddington, S. N. et al.
 (2006) Self-complementary adeno-associated virus vectors containing a novel liver-specific
- 482 human factor IX expression cassette enable highly efficient transduction of murine and
- 483 nonhuman primate liver. Blood, 107(7), 2653-2661.
- 484 Nathwani, A. C., Reiss, U. M., Tuddenham, E. G. D., Rosales, C., Chowdary, P., McIntosh,
- 485 J. et al. (2014) Long-term safety and efficacy of factor IX gene therapy in hemophilia B. New
- 486 England Journal of Medicine, 371(21), 1994-2004.
- Nathwani, A. C., Rosales, C., McIntosh, J., Rastegarlari, G., Nathwani, D., Raj, D. et al.
 (2011a) Long-term safety and efficacy following systemic administration of a selfcomplementary AAV vector encoding human FIX pseudotyped with serotype 5 and 8 capsid
 proteins. Molecular Therapy, 19(5), 876-885.
- 491 Nathwani, A. C., Tuddenham, E. G. D., Rangarajan, S., Rosales, C., McIntosh, J., Linch, D.
- C. et al. (2011b) Adenovirus-associated virus vector-mediated gene transfer in hemophilia B.
 New England Journal of Medicine, 365(25), 2357-2365.
- $475 \qquad \text{New England Journal of Medicine, } <math>505(25), 2557-2505.$
- 494 Nathwani, A. C., Tuddenham, E. G. D. (2020) Haemophilia, the journey in search of a cure.
 495 1960-2020. British Journal of Haematology, 191(4), 573-578.
- 496 Nestorov, I. (2003) Whole body pharmacokinetic models. Clinical Pharmacokinetics, 42(10),
 497 883-908.
- Patel, N., Reiss, U., Davidoff, A. M. & Nathwani, A. C. (2014) Progress towards gene
 therapy for haemophilia B. International Journal of Hematology, 99(4), 372-376.
- 500 Powell, J. S., Pasi, K. J., Ragni, M. V., Ozelo, M. C., Valentino, L. A., Mahlangu, J. N. et al.
- 501 (2013) Phase 3 study of recombinant factor IX Fc fusion protein in hemophilia B. New 502 England Journal of Medicine, 369(24), 2313-2323.
- Ramaswamy, S., Tonnu, N., Tachikawa, K., Limphong, P., Vega, J. B., Karmali, P. P., Chivukula, P. & Verma, I. M. (2017) Systemic delivery of factor IX messenger RNA for
- 505 protein replacement therapy. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United

- 506 States of America, 114(10), E1941-E1950.
- 507 Tsang, T. C., Bentley, D. R., Mibashan, R. S. & Giannelli, F. (1988) A factor-IX mutation,
- verified by direct genomic sequencing, causes haemophilia-B by a novel mechanism. EMBO
 Journal, 7(10), 3009-3015.
- 510 Varga, C. M., Hong, K. & Lauffenburger, D. A. (2001) Quantitative analysis of synthetic 511 gene delivery vector design properties. Molecular Therapy, 4(5), 438-446.
- 512 Varga, C. M., Tedford, N. C., Thomas, M., Klibanov, A. M., Griffith, L. G. & Lauffenburger,
- 513 D. A. (2005) Quantitative comparison of polyethylenimine formulations and adenoviral
- vectors in terms of intracellular gene delivery processes. Gene Therapy, 12(13), 1023-1032.
- 515 Washington, I. M. & Van Hoosier, G. (2012) Clinical biochemistry and hematology. In:
- Suckow, M. A., Stevens, K. A. & Wilson, R. P. (eds), The Laboratory Rabbit, Guinea Pig,
 Hamster, and Other Rodents. American College of Laboratory Animal Medicine Series. San
 Diego: Elsevier Academic Press Inc, 57-116.
- 519 Yiengst, M. J. & Shock, N. W. (1962) Blood and plasma volume in adult males. Journal of 520 Applied Physiology, 17(2), 195.

521 **Declaration of interests**

- 522
- 523 Image: 523 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal
- relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.
- 525
- 528
- 529
- 530
- 531
- 532
- 533
- 534

535 Declaration of interests

- 536
- 537 Image The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal 538 relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.
- 539
- 541 considered as potential competing interests:
- 542
- 543
- 544
- 545
- 546
- 547

	Journal Pre-proofs
548	
549	• A promising platform for gene delivery clinical trial simulations is provided
550	• PK/PD analysis is performed for both individual and population modelling approaches
551	• Model predictions are consistent with the clinical data for haemophilia patients
552	• A simulation-based modelling approach is proposed to guide initial dose selection
553	• Simulations show that the increase in FIX activity and ALT level is dose-dependent
554	