Small vessel disease disrupts EEG postural brain networks in ‘unexplained dizziness in the elderly’
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To examine the hypothesis that small vessel disease disrupts postural networks in older adults with unexplained dizziness in the elderly (UDE).

Methods: Simultaneous electroencephalography and postural sway measurements were undertaken in upright, eyes closed standing, and sitting postures (as baseline) in 19 younger adults, 33 older controls and 36 older patients with UDE. Older adults underwent magnetic resonance imaging to determine whole brain white matter hyperintensity volumes, a measure of small vessel disease. Linear regression was used to estimate the effect of instability on electroencephalographic power and connectivity.

Results: Ageing increased theta and alpha desynchronisation on standing. In older controls, delta and gamma power increased, and theta and alpha power reduced with instability. Dizzy older patients had higher white matter hyperintensity volumes and more theta desynchronisation during periods of instability. White matter hyperintensity volume and delta power during periods of instability were correlated, positively in controls but negatively in dizzy older patients. Delta power correlated with subjective dizziness and instability.

Conclusions: Neural resource demands of postural control increase with age, particularly in patients with UDE, driven by small vessel disease.

Significance: EEG correlates of postural control saturate in older adults with UDE, offering a neurophysiological basis to this common syndrome.

© 2021 International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Cerebral small vessel disease is a common cause of covert vascular brain injury that contributes to age-related cognitive and balance decline (Wardlaw et al., 2019). Early effects of small vessel disease on networks mediating cognition are well understood (Veldsman et al., 2020), but implications for balance control and symptoms remain unclear. Unexplained dizziness in the elderly (UDE) lacks diagnostic criteria but its prevalence reaches 30% beyond 60 years of age, and 50% beyond 85 years (Jönsson et al., 2004). UDE may be a consequence of early small vessel disease (Ahmad et al., 2015; Fife and Baloh, 1993). A neurophysiological mechanism relating small vessel disease to postural symptoms, including dizziness, has however not been demonstrated.

The contribution of the cerebral cortex to postural control increases in ageing (Papegaaij et al., 2014) such that in older adults, balance increasingly depends on executive function (Boisgontier...
et al., 2013). Greater cortical activation across most cortical areas is seen with age during balance control and gait (Lin et al., 2017). In the prefrontal cortex, this ageing-related increase in activation likely compensates for declining neural efficiency (Nóbrega-Sousa et al., 2020; St George et al., 2021). Balance-related prefrontal activation may however decline in advanced age (Nóbrega-Sousa et al., 2020). Such a decline in activation has been suggested to reflect a saturation of compensatory mechanisms, consistent with established cognitive models of ageing (Cabeza, 2002; Park and Reuter-Lorenz, 2009). However, the relationship between pathophysiological processes in ageing and brain activity relevant to balance is not defined and, in this context, small vessel disease is likely to play a significant role.

EEG, being applicable during upright balance, informs neural mechanisms underpinning postural control. In young adults, as postural demands increase, delta and gamma power increase, whereas theta, alpha and beta power tend to decrease (Edwards et al., 2018; Hülsdünker et al., 2015; Ozdemir et al., 2018; Sipp et al., 2013; Slobounov et al., 2009). Delta oscillations linked to postural instability likely inhibit task-irrelevant networks (Harmony, 2013; Ozdemir et al., 2018). Theta, alpha and beta desynchronisation reflect executive, attentional and sensorimotor processes respectively (Edwards et al., 2018; Hülsdünker et al., 2013; Sipp et al., 2013; Slobounov et al., 2009). The effect of healthy ageing is unclear although a greater increase in gamma power in older adults compared to younger adults following postural challenge has been reported (Ozdemir et al., 2018).

We apply postural EEG in younger and older controls, hypothesising balance represents an increasingly demanding task with age (Edwards et al., 2018; Hülsdünker et al., 2015; Ozdemir et al., 2018; Sipp et al., 2013; Slobounov et al., 2009). We then combine EEG co-registered with postural sway, with automated white matter hyperintensity segmentation in older controls, to determine the effects of spontaneous instability on neural activity, and the influence of small vessel disease. We hypothesise that the burden of cerebral small vessel disease influences the cortical control of balance in older adults (i) as reflected by increased changes in EEG power in upright standing compared to seated rest, (ii) and by an increased influence of spontaneous sway on EEG power. We further hypothesise (iii) that idiopathic dizziness is characterised by a different mode of postural control, reflected in a different pattern of brain connectivity during standing balance.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Older adults with dizziness were recruited as part of a separate ongoing study. Forty-six dizzy participants were screened from neurology and neuro-otology clinics, and of these thirty-six (14 females, age > 60 years, mean 77 ± 7 [standard deviation, SD] years) were recruited by the following criteria: (i) expert assessment found no relevant neurological, ophthalmological or vestibular diagnosis (thus they had UDE), (ii) peripheral vestibular function was normal (assessed by caloric testing, video head impulse test or rotating chair electroneystagmography, excluding presbyvestibulopathy (Calic et al., 2020)) and (iii) clinical assessment and brain MRI identified no other neurological disease. None met diagnostic criteria for persistent postural-perceptual dizziness (PPPD), a functional vestibular disorder (Staab et al., 2017). Symptoms were long-standing (mean 6 ± 5 [SD] years) and no alternative diagnoses emerged following at least 6 months of follow-up. Another 33 non-dizzy older adults (14 females, age > 60 years, mean 76 ± 6 [SD] years) were recruited as partners of patients, from a research register and from a local older persons group, following screening to exclude dizziness (all had a Dizziness Handicap Inventory score = 0, no imbalance or spinning vertigo in the last 12 months, and no neurological disorders (Jacobson and Newman, 1990)). Video head impulse tests confirmed normal vestibular function (MacDougall et al., 2009). Mean horizontal video head impulse test gain was the measure of vestibular function. Written informed consent was obtained as approved by the local ethics research committee.

The burden of dizziness was quantified by the Vertigo Symptom Scale and the Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI) (Jacobson and Newman, 1990; Yardley et al., 1992). Fear of falling was assessed by the Falls Efficacy Scale (Tinetti et al., 1990). Premorbid intelligence was estimated by the National Adult Reading Test (NART) (Maruff et al., 2009). Vascular risk factors such as hypertension, diabetes, hypercholesterolaemia, obesity [body mass index > 30], smoking [≥20 pack years] and previous transient ischaemic attacks were noted. Blood pressure and heart rate were assessed supine, immediately on standing and after 3 minutes of standing (as recommended by the European Society of Cardiology (Brignole et al., 2018)); mean changes between supine and standing measurements were the measures of orthostatic blood pressure change.

To determine the effect of healthy ageing on postural EEG, nine-teen young adults aged 20–30 years were additionally recruited. They reported no dizziness or imbalance and had no known neurological or neuro-otological diagnoses.

2.2. MRI acquisition and processing

Structural MRI data were acquired on a Siemens 3-T Verio scanner in all older participants (Siemens Healthcare). Volumetric structural imaging was acquired using a T1-weighted MPRA GE sequence (1-mm thick transverse slices, repetition time = 2300 ms, echo time = 2.98 ms, flip angle = 9, in-plane resolution = 1 × 1 mm, matrix size = 256 × 256, field of view = 25.6 × 25.6 cm). A fluid-attenuated inversion recovery sequence (FLAIR) was used to identify white matter hyperintensities. Diffusion weighted images were also acquired (64 directions, b = 1000 s/mm² with four interleaved b = 0 s/mm², echo time = 103 ms, repetition time = 9500 ms, voxel size 2 × 2 × 2 mm).

Voxel-wise white matter hyperintensity probabilities were determined by the Lesion Prediction Algorithm, a MATLAB® (R2019a, Natick, Massachusetts: The MathWorks Inc.) toolbox, validated in older populations (Schmidt et al., 2012). For white matter hyperintensity volume, a lesion probability threshold of p > .16 was used; this value has been shown to be optimal in the context of small vessel disease (Guererro et al., 2018). Images were registered to a common (Montreal Neurological Institute) template prior to whole-brain volume calculations, to account for interindividual variability in intracranial volume.

2.3. EEG

2.3.1. Acquisition

Each participant underwent 32 channel EEG recording (Waveguard® cap, ANT Neuro, Enschede, The Netherlands) at 1250 samples per second with eyes closed in both sitting (high backed chair) and standing postures (with shoes off at comfortable stance width, so subjective comfort was not a confound, Fig. 1A). Five 2-minute recordings were undertaken in each condition, with a brief seated rest interval, alternating between conditions, giving a total of 20 minutes of EEG. Immediately after each standing recording,
participants were asked to rate their instability on a scale between 0 and 10 (Castro et al., 2019). Three datasets from the non-dizzy older group, and four datasets from the dizzy group were excluded because of poor recording quality.

An electromagnetic tracking device (Fastrak; Polhemus, USA) was firmly taped over the occiput and recorded antero-posterior linear head displacement in space (sway, mm) in the standing condition. The signal was digitised using a custom-built digital-to-
analogue converter. This signal was then connected to an additional channel on the ANT® Neuro amplifier, co-registering sway and EEG data.

2.3.2. Preprocessing

EEG data was processed within EEGLAB® (see below, Fig. 1B) (Delorme and Makeig, 2004). Raw EEG data were preprocessed using the PREP pipeline which incorporates 50 Hz line noise removal using CleanLine (an adaptive filter using frequency-domain multi-taper regression to remove sinusoidal artefacts) and a 1 Hz zero-phase high pass filter (Hamming windowed sinc finite impulse response), a 100 Hz zero-phase low-pass 4th order Butterworth filter, epoch-based rejection using frequency and joint probability criteria, subject-level concatenated independent component analysis (ICA) and automated component classification using ICA (Bigdely-Shamlo et al., 2015; Makeig et al., 2004; Pion-Tonachini et al., 2019). Identified components were also visually inspected prior to rejection.

3. Analysis

3.1. General

Frequency bands in EEG were defined as follows: delta: 1–4 Hz, theta 4–8 Hz, alpha 8–14 Hz, beta 14–30 Hz and gamma 30–100 Hz. Group comparisons were undertaken using contrasts within multiple linear regression models. To adjust for multiple comparisons across 32 channels while remaining sensitive to spatially contiguous activation, we applied threshold-free cluster enhancement (TFCE) and non-parametric permutation testing (10,000 iterations) (Mensen and Khatami, 2013; Smith and Nichols, 2009).

3.2. Power spectrum density

For each channel, mean power spectrum density (PSD, microvolts²/Hz) was quantified using Welch’s estimator as implemented in EEGLAB (Fig. 1C) (Delorme and Makeig, 2004). ‘Normalised power’, defined as standing power (PSD) divided by sitting power (PSD), was determined, reducing the effect of inter-individual variability in EEG power (Edwards et al., 2018).

3.3. Connectivity

Functional connectivity between channels, within each frequency band, was determined using the bivariate phase slope index (implemented in FieldTrip (Nolte et al., 2008; Oostenveld et al., 2011)). Phase slope index estimates coupling between source signals, and is robust to noise (Nolte et al., 2008). We used absolute phase slope index values as measures of undirected connectivity.

3.4. Epoching

To determine the relationship between EEG power or connectivity, and sway, we produced 2 second epochs from upright EEG data in a sliding window with 100 millisecond steps. This generated a per-participant mean of 4880 epochs across older controls (standard deviation 472), and 4848 epochs across participants with unexplained dizziness in the elderly (standard deviation 596); the two groups did not significantly differ in the number of epochs processed (t(60) = 0.224, p = .82). For each epoch, sway path length, and power and functional connectivity (phase slope index) in each frequency band, were determined (Hufschmidt et al., 1980; Nolte et al., 2008). Using linear regression, the coefficients of power, and separately, connectivity as predicted by sway magnitude (as a measure of instability) were derived. These produced participant and channel-specific measures of power-instability and connectivity-instability relationships whose summary statistics were used in higher order models (Beckmann et al., 2003) (Fig. 1D).

3.5. Threshold-free cluster enhancement

Permutation tests are an established way to conduct statistical testing while adjusting for the effects of multiple comparisons/hypothesis tests (Nichols and Holmes, 2002). A strength of permutation testing is the provision for exact control over the rate of false discovery across multiple hypothesis tests - the family-wise error rate. This control is achieved while being robust to the statistical distribution of the underlying data. A key principle underlying permutation testing is exchangeability - that for a specific null hypothesis, rearrangements of the data have no effect on a statistic of interest. The experimental question is often modelled using multiple linear regression (Winkler et al., 2014). Techniques have been developed for efficient and accurate permutation within linear models (Freedman and Lane, 1983).

In neuroimaging, multiple data points with a defined spatial relationship are often generated (e.g. channels in EEG, voxels in MRI). Conventional approaches to data analysis typically fit one data point at a time. The testing of multiple hypotheses (one for each data point) however necessitates subsequent correction of derived statistics to control the family-wise error rate. A number of approaches to this problem have been developed. These take into account the spatial structure of the data points and are sensitive to spatially clustered signals (Bansal and Peterson, 2018). Conventional cluster-based permutation tests require two arbitrary thresholds which may influence results: (i) a threshold for the inclusion of voxels within a cluster, and (ii) a threshold for cluster significance. Threshold-free cluster enhancement (TFCE) was developed to address the limitations of arbitrary threshold decisions (Smith and Nichols, 2009), by integrating the evidence for clustering across thresholds. A further strength of TFCE is the determination of statistical significance at the level of individual data points, rather than clusters. Though initially developed for the analysis of MRI data, TFCE has since been developed for EEG analyses in channel/source space (Mensen and Khatami, 2013).

Although ‘threshold-free’, TFCE requires two parameters to be defined which weigh the relative influences of spatial extent (E) and the degree of ‘activation’ (H) in the integration of evidence across thresholds. Empirical and random field theory justifications have been provided for values of E = 2/3 and H = 2 (Smith and Nichols, 2009), and these values have also been shown to be appropriate for EEG data (Mensen and Khatami, 2013). A family-wise error rate p < .05 within each frequency band was defined as statistically significant.

3.6. Linear regression and cortical postural control

Spontaneous instability likely drives intermittent neural responses in the control of posture (Loram et al., 2011). The relationship between cortical activity while standing (e.g. increases and decreases in power or connectivity) and sway thus provides insight into cortical processing relevant to the control of posture. Power (mean PSD in microvolts²/Hz using Welch’s estimator (Delorme and Makeig, 2004)) was estimated for each epoch, channel (n = 30; i.e. the two mastoid channels were excluded from analysis) and frequency band (n = 5). Connectivity (bivariate phase slope index (Nolte et al., 2008)) was estimated for each of 435 connections (n = 30). We looked for regression evidence of a linear relationship between sway (the independent variable) and our EEG measures of interest: power and connectivity (dependence...
dent variable[s] in separate regressions). As regression coefficients are known to be sub-optimal for permutation testing in neuroimaging (Winkler et al., 2014), the t-statistic of these coefficients was instead used as an input to permutation testing and TFCE (Mensen and Khatami, 2013; Winkler et al., 2014).

3.7. Network based statistics

To test whether connectivity-instability measures were significant (i.e. differed from zero), we used the Network Based Statistic toolbox (Zalesky et al., 2010). Network Based Statistics combines general linear models with permutation tests to undertake statistical tests of connectivity in network space (Zalesky et al., 2010). The method searches for contiguous networks maximising a statistic (in our case, intensity, the sum of supra-threshold connection strengths) against a null distribution defined by permutation (10,000 iterations, Fig. 1D). The threshold for the inclusion of connections within networks was set at p < .05. To identify significant EEG connectivity-postural instability relationships at group level, within-group one sample t-tests were undertaken by permutation within Network Based Statistics. Within each band, a network family-wise error rate p < .05 was deemed statistically significant.

4. Results

4.1. Healthy ageing is associated with greater theta and alpha desynchronisation, and increased gamma power on standing

We determined the effect of healthy ageing on average EEG power changes on standing (normalised power = PSD(standing) / PSD(sitting)), by comparing older controls to younger adults, postulating changes consistent with greater postural task difficulty (delta and gamma power increases, and theta, alpha and beta desynchronisation) (Edwards et al., 2018; Hülsdünker et al., 2015; Ozdemir et al., 2018; Sipp et al., 2013; Slobounov et al., 2009). We derived normalised power across frequency bands in both groups.

On standing, central theta and beta power decreased, and alpha and gamma power increased in peri-central areas in younger adults (Fig. 2A). In older adults normalised power increased frontally in delta, occipitally in beta, and globally in gamma; normalised power decreased centro-parietally in theta, and centrally in alpha (Fig. 2A). Statistical comparison between groups revealed older adults had significantly lower normalised theta and alpha power, and higher normalised gamma power compared to younger adults (Fig. 2B).

4.2. Instability drives frontal delta and gamma oscillations, and theta and alpha desynchronisation in healthy older adults

We investigated if spontaneous instability drove delta and gamma oscillations, as well as theta, alpha and beta desynchronisation in older adult controls, consistent with known EEG correlates of postural challenge (Edwards et al., 2018; Hülsdünker et al., 2015; Ozdemir et al., 2018; Sipp et al., 2013; Slobounov et al., 2009). We therefore determined the linear relationship between upright (standing) EEG power (PSD) and postural sway (instability) across epochs of EEG data. More sway correlated significantly with more delta power in frontocentral areas and more gamma power globally (Fig. 3C). More sway correlated significantly with less theta and alpha power in central and paracentral areas (Fig. 3C).

4.3. White matter hyperintensity volume correlates with greater delta power during periods of instability in healthy older adults

We investigated whether white matter hyperintensity volume correlated with the EEG power-instability coefficient (the linear relationship between power in the standing condition and sway), to suggest small vessel disease modulates the scaling of neural responses to instability. White matter hyperintensity volume correlated positively with the power-instability coefficient only in the delta frequency band, such that greater white matter hyperintensity volume was associated with greater delta power increases in periods of instability (Fig. 4A).

4.4. Normal vestibular function and postural performance but greater subjective instability in UDE

Vertigo symptom scale scores, a measure of overall dizziness, were moderately high in patients (median 17, Table 1) and they reported moderate dizziness-related handicap (DHI median 34). Falls efficacy scale scores, a measure of fear of falling, were significantly higher than in controls (Table 1).

We assessed the degree of postural sway while standing to determine whether participants with UDE were more unsteady than older controls. Neither sway path length, nor the root mean square of sway differed significantly between groups (Table 1).

Despite equivalent postural sway levels, the UDE group reported significantly greater instability than older controls (Subjective Instability Rating, Table 1). Orthostatic blood pressure did not differ significantly between groups, either immediately following standing, or after 3 minutes (Table 1). Video head impulse test gain, a measure of vestibular function, did not differ between UDE and older controls.

4.5. More vascular risk factors and lower premorbid intelligence in unexplained dizziness in the elderly

We quantified vascular risk factor burden, given its relevance to small vessel disease, and white matter hyperintensity volume as a marker of small vessel disease (Wardlaw et al., 2013). Participants with UDE had significantly higher total vascular risk burdens than older controls (Table 1), and a tendency to higher white matter hyperintensity volumes (Table 1). Hypertension and hypercholesterolaemia were significantly more prevalent in UDE (Table 1).

We assessed reaction time as a measure of central processing speed, and vocabulary as a measure of premorbid intelligence. Reaction time (detection task) was significantly longer in UDE than in older controls (Table 1; not significant after correction for multiple comparisons). Premorbid intelligence (National Adult Reading Test), was significantly lower in UDE than in older controls (Table 1).

4.6. Greater instability-related theta desynchronisation in unexplained dizziness in the elderly

We compared normalised power (PSD(standing) / PSD(sitting)) in UDE patients to older controls to investigate whether UDE was associated with excess of ageing-associated changes in EEG power on standing - delta and gamma power increases, and theta, alpha and beta power decreases (Edwards et al., 2018; Hülsdünker et al., 2015; Ozdemir et al., 2018; Sipp et al., 2013; Slobounov et al., 2009). Central theta and alpha power decreased and gamma power increased in UDE (Fig. 3A) on standing (relative to sitting). Statistical comparison of older controls and UDE using TFCE showed normalised theta and alpha power were significantly lower in UDE compared to older controls (Fig. 3B).
Fig. 2. Ageing increases theta and alpha desynchronisation and gamma oscillations in standing balance. (A) Normalised power (standing/sitting) by group, frequency band and channel in log units (positive values mean power increased), thus 0 is no change between standing and sitting. YOUNG = younger adult controls; OLD = older adult controls. (B) Comparison of normalised power using threshold-free cluster enhancement (TFCE). TFCE is a non-parametric method for correcting for multiple comparisons which incorporates evidence of spatial clustering. Normalised power in OLD was compared to YOUNG to determine if changes were consistent with greater postural task difficulty (thus, higher standing delta and gamma, or lower standing theta, alpha and beta power, 1 minus p values, higher is more significant) (Edwards et al., 2018; Hülsdünker et al., 2015; Ozdemir et al., 2018; Sipp et al., 2013; Slobounov et al., 2009). Red dots indicate channel significance using TFCE (family-wise error rate p < .05) (Smith and Nichols, 2009).

Fig. 3. Unexplained dizziness in the elderly in older adults increases neural resource demands of postural control. (A) Normalised power (standing/sitting) by group, frequency band and channel in log units (positive values mean power increased), thus 0 is no change between sitting and standing. OLD = older adult controls; UDE = unexplained dizziness in the elderly. Note the further reduction in alpha and theta power when upright in the UDE group. (B) Comparison of normalised power using threshold-free cluster enhancement (TFCE) (Smith and Nichols, 2009). Statistical testing compared UDE to OLD participants, assessing if power changes were consistent with predictions of greater postural task difficulty (thus, higher standing delta and gamma, or lower standing theta, alpha and beta power, 1 minus p values, higher is more significant) (Edwards et al., 2018; Hülsdünker et al., 2015; Ozdemir et al., 2018; Sipp et al., 2013; Slobounov et al., 2009). The statistical output of TFCE was a one-tailed p-value. Note theta and alpha power decrease more on standing in UDE than the control group. (C) Power-instability coefficient (the linear relationship between power in the standing condition and sway) by group, frequency band and channel. Positive statistic values reflect positive correlation between sway and EEG power. Note delta and gamma power increase with sway, whereas theta, alpha and beta power decrease. (D) Comparison of power-instability coefficient (for standing condition) using TFCE. UDE were compared to OLD participants, assessing if changes in the linear relationship between power and instability were consistent with the predictions of greater postural task difficulty. Red or white dots (in B, C and D) indicate channel significance using TFCE which incorporates evidence from neighbouring channels (family-wise error rate p < .05) (Smith and Nichols, 2009). Note theta power decreases more with sway in UDE than the control group.
We then investigated whether UDE patients had greater instability (sway)-driven changes in EEG power than older controls in keeping with standing being more challenging for UDE patients than older controls (greater delta and gamma, lower theta, alpha and beta power) (Edwards et al., 2018; Hülsdünker et al., 2015; Ozdemir et al., 2018; Sipp et al., 2013; Slobounov et al., 2009). In UDE patients, more sway correlated with more delta power; less sway correlated significantly with more theta, alpha and beta power (correlation statistics in Fig. 3C). UDE patients were compared to older controls using TFCE. This showed the linear relationship between power and sway was significantly weaker in UDE than in controls in the theta frequency (Fig. 3D). The linear relationship between EEG power and body sway did not differ significantly between the two groups in delta or gamma frequency bands (Fig. 3D).

4.7. White matter hyperintensity volume correlates with less delta power during periods of instability in unexplained dizziness in the elderly

We investigated whether total (whole brain) white matter hyperintensity volume correlated positively with the power-instability coefficient (the linear relationship between power in the standing condition and sway) in UDE patients as observed in controls. In UDE, total white matter hyperintensity volume had a negative correlation with the power-instability coefficient within delta, such that greater white matter hyperintensity volume was associated with significantly less increase in delta power in periods of instability (Fig. 4A). Additionally, in theta, white matter hyperintensity volume had a significant negative correlation with power-instability coefficients (Fig. 4A). In gamma, white matter hyperintensity volume had a significant positive correlation with power-instability coefficients (Fig. 4A).

In view of the apparent dissociation between groups in delta, we tested for interaction between group (UDE/older controls) and white matter hyperintensity volume in the prediction of power-instability coefficients. Group significantly moderated the effect of white matter hyperintensity volume on the prediction of power-instability coefficients only within the delta band (Fig. 4B and Fig. 4C).

4.8. Different postural control networks during upright stance in unexplained dizziness in the elderly compared to controls

We investigated postural-EEG connectivity to determine if networks underpinning balance in dizzy patients compared to controls were different or modified, indicating an altered mode of postural control. We thus determined connectivity as predicted by sway (instability) using linear regression (connectivity = dependent variable, sway = dependent variable). Network Based Statistics was used to determine networks of significant positive, or negative correlation between connectivity and instability within each group. Significant theta, alpha and beta instability-sensitive networks were identified in controls (Fig. 5), whereas significant delta and gamma networks were found in UDE (Fig. 5). In older controls, both the theta and alpha instability-sensitive networks had negative connectivity-instability coefficients, thus connectivity decreased with increasing sway. The beta network had positive connectivity-instability coefficients, so connectivity increased with increasing sway. In UDE, the identified instability-sensitive delta frequency band network had positive connectivity-instability coefficients whereas the gamma network had negative connectivity-instability coefficients.

Thus for each frequency band, a statistically significant network wherein connectivity varied with sway was found in only one or the other of the two groups (older controls or UDE). Thresholding for significance is however an inherently arbitrary decision. Non-significant results may thus have masked networks just below the threshold for significance. To allow clearer visualisation of patterns of postural-EEG connectivity in patients and controls, within each frequency band and for each significant network, we identified the largest non-significant network in the other group. This
non-significant network had the same direction of correlation (positive or negative relationship) between connectivity and sway in both groups. The identified non-significant networks and their p-values are shown in Fig. 5. None of the non-significant networks bordered on statistical significance (i.e., p > .1 for all non-significant networks). In all cases the non-significant network also had fewer connections than its significant counterpart (the significant network had the same direction of correlation and postural responses (Harmony, 2013; Ozdemir et al., 2018), instability that likely drive a compensatory top-down executive work for the other group, in the same frequency band). These findings imply the two groups (older controls and UDE) differed in the effects of small vessel disease on the cortical control of balance in dizzy and control participants using sensitive neurophysiological methods applicable in a quiet, unperturbed, albeit challenging condition. The engagement of different postural networks in dizzy participants (standing) correlated positively with subjective instability in the elderly, is a common neurological symptom in older adults that remains idiopathic in a large proportion of patients, and represents a clinical challenge for general practitioners, geriatricians, otologists and neurologists (Ahmad et al., 2015; Colledge et al., 1994). While dizziness has been associated with small vessel disease (separate to the established association of small vessel disease with manifest gait disturbance), its neural basis has not previously been investigated (Cerchiai et al., 2017). We investigated the effects of small vessel disease on the cortical control of balance in dizzy and control participants using sensitive neurophysiological methods applicable in a quiet, unperturbed, albeit challenging (eyes closed) upright posture. Our results showed ageing increased the neural resource demands of postural control. We found small vessel disease was a key factor in these results, increasing delta oscillations that likely drive a compensatory top-down executive control strategy (Harmony, 2013). This suggests that even in asymptomatic older adults, small vessel disease has measurable subclinical effects on cortical resource demands during postural control. The finding of even greater neural resource demands in UDE confirms deficits in cortical postural control are core to this syndrome. The engagement of different postural networks in dizzy patients compared to controls is compatible with a shift in the mode of postural control in patients. Our finding of correlation

5. Discussion

Upright ‘dizziness’, often referred to as ‘unexplained dizziness in the elderly’, is a common neurological symptom in older adults that remains idiopathic in a large proportion of patients, and represents a clinical challenge for general practitioners, geriatricians, otologists and neurologists (Ahmad et al., 2015; Colledge et al., 1994). While dizziness has been associated with small vessel disease (separate to the established association of small vessel disease with manifest gait disturbance), its neural basis has not previously been investigated (Cerchiai et al., 2017). We investigated the effects of small vessel disease on the cortical control of balance in dizzy and control participants using sensitive neurophysiological methods applicable in a quiet, unperturbed, albeit challenging (eyes closed) upright posture. Our results showed ageing increased the neural resource demands of postural control. We found small vessel disease was a key factor in these results, increasing delta oscillations that likely drive a compensatory top-down executive control strategy (Harmony, 2013). This suggests that even in asymptomatic older adults, small vessel disease has measurable subclinical effects on cortical resource demands during postural control. The finding of even greater neural resource demands in UDE confirms deficits in cortical postural control are core to this syndrome. The engagement of different postural networks in dizzy patients compared to controls is compatible with a shift in the mode of postural control in patients. Our finding of correlation

Table 1
Demographics and clinical characteristics of unexplained dizziness in the elderly and non-dizzy older controls. (a) = two independent sample t-test, (b) = two independent sample Wilcoxon Rank Sum test, (c) = Fisher exact test. OLD = non-dizzy older adult controls; UDE = unexplained dizziness in the elderly; NART IQ = National Adult Reading Test estimate of premorbid intelligence; vHIT = video Head Impulse Test; RMS = root mean square; AMean = change in mean (blood pressure); WMH = white matter hyperintensity. Older adult controls were selected on the basis of not complaining of dizziness, thus Dizziness Handicap Inventory scores were zero. * = significant after correction for multiple comparisons by False Discovery Rate (Genovese et al., 2002).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OLD</th>
<th>UDE</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>n</strong></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.41 (a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>76 (6.0)</td>
<td>77 (6.5)</td>
<td>0.015* (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td>13F</td>
<td>14F</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>With Vascular Risk Factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Disease (n)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.23 (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (n)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;0.01* (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes (n)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.16 (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolamia (n)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>&lt;0.01* (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity (n)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.055 (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking - pack years (n)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.75 (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous TIA (n)</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.62 (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subjective Instability Rating</strong> (Castro et al., 2019)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.6)</td>
<td>3.5 (3.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01* (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dizziness Handicap Inventory</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>34 (23)</td>
<td>0.001* (b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vertigo Symptom Scale</strong></td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>17 (12)</td>
<td>0.001* (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Falls Efficacy Scale</strong></td>
<td>7 (1)</td>
<td>13 (5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001* (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NART IQ</strong></td>
<td>120 (4)</td>
<td>115 (10)</td>
<td>0.007* (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Detection (reaction time) / log[s]</strong></td>
<td>2.54 (0.107)</td>
<td>2.59 (0.0891)</td>
<td>0.043 (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>vHIT gain (mean horizontal)</strong></td>
<td>1.0 (0.13)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.84 (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normalized Total Sway Path Length / mm s⁻¹</strong></td>
<td>7.6 (2.3)</td>
<td>8.8 (4.6)</td>
<td>0.19 (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Root Mean Square of Sway / mm</strong> (Prieto et al., 1996)</td>
<td>5.3 (1.6)</td>
<td>5.4 (2.8)</td>
<td>0.60 (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood Pressure [Systolic / Diastolic] / mmHg</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMean, Immediate Standing</td>
<td>151 / 75 (17 / 9)</td>
<td>154 / 76 (17 / 10)</td>
<td>0.48 / 0.63 (a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMean, 3 minute Standing</td>
<td>–2.0 (7.8)</td>
<td>–2.6 (14)</td>
<td>0.84 (a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–3.8 (21)</td>
<td>2.8 (13)</td>
<td>0.13 (a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMH volume / ml</td>
<td>5.7 (10)</td>
<td>7.8 (17)</td>
<td>0.24 (b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
between subjective instability and upright delta power suggests postural symptoms in older adults reflect an awareness of these ageing and small vessel disease-related compensatory neural mechanisms.

We applied a novel approach to postural EEG in continuous balance, applying linear regression to estimate the specific contribution of spontaneous sway in the prediction of power over time. This allowed the estimation of the scaling of cortical responses to
and are coherent with the symptomatic setting of upright stance are thus evident within the ecological setting of quiet standing younger adults (Fig. 2). The effects of ageing on postural control (Fig. 3C). Our results build on this work, revealing additional theta finding that delta power increased during periods of instability (Fig. 3C). Our results build on this work, revealing additional theta and alpha desynchronisation on standing in older, compared to younger adults (Fig. 2). The effects of ageing on postural control are thus evident within the ecological setting of quiet standing and are coherent with the symptomatic setting of upright stance in patients with UDE.

Delta power increased with instability in both UDE patients and older controls (Fig. 3C), consistent with a key role for delta oscillations in the response to instability. The finding in delta, that white matter hyperintensity volume correlated positively with the scaling of power with instability in controls, but negatively in UDE is thus of interest.

In controls, increasing small vessel disease likely drives increases in frontal delta oscillations. We suggest this increase is a neural correlate of top-down control which has been linked to an increase in corrective sub-movements with age (Boisgontier et al., 2013; Reuter-Lorenz and Cappell, 2008). Dizzy patients demonstrated similar frontal delta power to controls (Fig. 3A and Fig. 3C), but a negative correlation between white matter hyperintensity volume and power-instability coefficients (the linear relationship between power in the standing condition and sway). This implies a deleterious effect of small vessel disease on neural efficiency that precludes a compensatory increase in delta power in dizzy patients. This interpretation is consistent with brain ageing models which predict ‘underactivation’ when compensatory limits are reached (Mattay et al., 2006; Reuter-Lorenz and Cappell, 2008; Reuter-Lorenz and Park, 2014).

Congruent with a critical role for delta oscillations during periods of instability, connectivity analysis showed that UDE patients engaged a postural control network in the delta frequency band, not evident in controls (Fig. 5). Connectivity in this network increased as instability increased, compatible with recruitment to meet balance demands. Delta oscillations are known to increase during executive processes and the medial prefrontal cortex has been identified as a putative generator (Alper et al., 2006; Knyazev, 2007; Schirrmann et al., 2001). The specific engagement of a delta network in UDE is thus consistent with the engagement of an alternative postural control strategy. We suggest this is driven by reduced neural efficiency in other networks implicated in postural control, secondary to the effects of small vessel disease (Harmony, 2013).

We found subjective instability increased with upright delta power across all older adults, as a potential neural correlate of the percept of instability. Of possible relevance, a study during conventional seated EEG reported delta power in the anterior cingulate and insula correlated with symptom burden in chronically dizzy patients, though they had mixed vestibular findings and diagnoses (Alsalman et al., 2016). Importantly, however, we found no significant correlation between baseline (seated) EEG power and subjective instability, confirming the specificity of our finding to upright balance.

White matter hyperintensity volume was only slightly higher in UDE compared to older controls, and white matter hyperintensity volume had differing effects on power-instability coefficients (the linear relationship between power in the standing condition and sway) between the groups. These findings suggest factors other than white matter hyperintensity volume are relevant to UDE. Indeed, educational attainment and IQ, as relevant to cognitive reserve, mediate the effects of small vessel disease on cognition (Backhouse et al., 2017). White matter integrity, a marker of brain reserve, mediates cognitive outcomes (Dufouil et al., 2003; Ter Telgte et al., 2018; Valdés Hernández et al., 2013). That participants with UDE demonstrated lower premorbid intelligence (Table 1), a greater burden of vascular risk factors and different relationships (compared to controls) between white matter hyperintensity volume, and power-instability coefficients suggests resilience factors may have modified the effects of white matter hyperintensity volume. Other (non-cerebrovascular) neuropathological processes are unlikely to have been relevant as most participants with UDE had symptoms for years prior to presentation and alternative diagnoses, including PPPD, did not emerge during at least 6 months of follow-up (Staab et al., 2017).

A limitation of our approach to relating sway in continuous standing to EEG activity is that the causal effects of sway on EEG activity cannot be differentiated from those of EEG activity on sway. Two considerations suggest our power and connectivity findings are nonetheless best interpreted as being driven by spontaneous sway. Firstly, upright stance represents an unstable equilibrium wherein spontaneous instability is both unpredictable and inevitable (Collins and De Luca, 1993). Consequently, under normal circumstances, postural control is best understood as being continually updated in response to periods of spontaneous instability (Loram et al., 2011). The second consideration is that our power findings were in accord with the predictions of multiple studies investigating the effect of postural perturbation, or the transition from a stable to unstable balance (Fig. 3C) (Edwards et al., 2018; Hülsdünker et al., 2015; Ozdemir et al., 2018; Sipp et al., 2013; Slobounov et al., 2009). Thus, though causal effects of EEG activity on sway may have contributed to our results, these were likely small and less significant with respect to our inferences.

6. Conclusions

Our results show that central EEG power in theta and alpha frequencies is relevant to balance control. Compared to young controls, theta and alpha power is lower during standing balance (compared to seated rest) in healthy elderly subjects, which may reflect greater executive and attentional resource demands. Unexplained dizziness in the elderly is characterised by further reduction of theta and alpha power on standing. These findings imply pathological ageing of the cortical control of balance may characterise unexplained dizziness in the elderly. Our results confirm SVD is mechanistically relevant to on-line balance control, the neural effects of which influence postural symptoms in healthy ageing, and underpin the syndrome of unexplained dizziness in the elderly. Cortical strategies that compensate for the effects of SVD on postural control appear saturated in older patients with dizziness, suggesting a potential neurophysiological basis for this syndrome.
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