

Contrasting different techniques for identifying the role of Sun and the El Niño Southern Oscillation on Indian Summer Monsoon Rainfall

S&PRISE PROJECT

Indrani Roy and Mat Collins

College of Engineering, Mathematics and Physical Science, University of Exeter, UK, EX4 4QF. i.roy@exeter.ac.uk, m.collins@exeter.ac.uk

Abstract. A solar influence on Indian Summer Monsoon (ISM) rainfall, identified in previous studies using the method of solar peak year compositing, may not be robust and can be influenced by other factors such as ENSO and trends. Compositing fails in the Southern Hemisphere where a trend in Sea Level Pressure is clear. Solar peak years suggested –ve NAO features with significant signal around the Azores High, whereas trough years suggest +ve features of NAO with significant influence around the Icelandic Low. Regression analysis, which takes into account variations across the whole solar cycle rather than just the min/max fails to detect any direct solar influence on ISM but the spatial pattern of the Southern Oscillation has changed in recent decades with major changes around Australia. Through the Indian Ocean Dipole, this may have had an impact on ISM rainfall. During the second half of last century, the local north south Hadley circulation, as manifest in the NAO in the northern Hemisphere and the IOD in the southern hemisphere, may have played an important role in modulating the ISM. We discuss these potential indirect connections between the solar cycle and monsoon rainfall, which are different since the 1950s.

2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0 >7.0

3.0 -2.0 -1.0 0.0 1.0

+3.0

2.0

1.0

00

-1.0

20

1. Solar signal Using Method of Compositing

1.1. Method of Compositing.

Compositing is described in details by Meehl, van Loon and co-authors ^{4,7,8,,9}. In this methodology 14 solar peak years are considered from period 1850 to 2004. The mean value of June-July-August (JJA) of say, Sea Level Pressure (SLP) is subtracted from arbitrary chosen base climatology to derive signal of SLP in solar Max-yr.



2. Solar signal Using Multiple Linear Regression

2.1. Method of Multiple Linear Regression (MLR).

Multiple linear regression (MLR) technique using an autoregressive noise model of order one (AR(1)) is applied to detect signals as also used in other studies^{5,6}. By this process, it is possible to minimise noise being interpreted as a signal. Variables used in this analysis are SLP, SSN, ENSO, Stratospheric Aerosol Optical Depth (OD, indicative of volcanic eruptions) and trend.

2.2. Discussion.

• Using regression, no significant solar signal is detected around regions of Indian subcontinent. This is true irrespective of the period considered (Fig. 3, bottom). Strong signal of the ENSO in SLP is seen around the Indian Ocean (Fig. 3, top).

• Excluding SSN as an independent parameter from the top and ENSO from the bottom does not affect these results.

• ENSO captures Southern Oscillation (SO) in SLP, but major changes around Australia in later period as shown in Fig 3b. Australia (Darwin), one lobe of SO is also coincidentally one end of Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD). In Fig 3b, negative North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) pattern is also observed during later period.

Ashok et al¹ showed IOD and ENSO have complementarily affected ISM during 1958-1997. Studies also suggested ISM is strongly modulated by NAO and they are anti-correlated. Relationship between temperature of West Eurasia and ISM is stronger, over same period the relationship between the ENSO, ISM weakened².
We speculate local North-South (N-S) Hadley circulation, as manifest as NAO in Northern Hemisphere (NH) and IOD in Southern Hemisphere (SH) may have played role in modulating ISM in latter period.

Figure1. Solar signal in JJA HADSLP2 data (hPa) using SunSpot Number (SSN) Peak or Min years compositing: (a) the climatology from 1956-1997 is removed from the 1850-2004 period and the composite is for solar minimum years.; (b) same as (a) but for solar peak year; (c) and (d) are same as (a) and (b) respectively but with the 1936-1975 climatology removed. Shaded regions are estimated significant at the 95% level using a t-test.

1.2. Discussion.

Van Loon and Meehl⁷ (vLM12) using solar Max-yr compositing on SLP suggested solar peak years enhance Indian Summer Monsoon (ISM) rainfall. To verify the robustness, we use solar Min-yr compositing as well and apply arbitrary climatological base period. *We want to find whether that signal is robust or not.* In the top panel we chose base climatology as 1956-1997 when atmosphere and ocean suggested differently^{10,11}. In bottom, we arbitrarily changed the base period.
 Fig. 1 suggests during Max-yr significant negative signal is around Azore High (right), Min-yr strong negative signal around Icelandic Low (left). These signals are

unaffected with arbitrary change of base period climatology (top from bottom).

SH is mostly affected due to climate change signal during 1956-1997 (Fig. 1, top).
 Solar Max-yr as well as Min-yr compositing on SLP suggest similarly around Indian subcontinent (Fig 2). It indicates trend plays dominant role on ISM around Indian ocean by influencing SLP contrast (land +ve and sea -ve). It favours ISM rainfall during latter part of last century³ (Fig 1 and 2, top panel).

• Max-yr compositing suggests stronger effect on ITCZ than min-yr (Fig. 2 right compared to left) and may be due to ENSO⁵ following usual ISM-ENSO behaviour.

· Signal found by vLM12 is not true solar signal but mixed up with ENSO and trend.





Figure 3. The ENSO signal (max-min, hPa) in JJA HADSLP2 data from a MLR analysis (top) and the solar cycle signal (bottom); (a) and (c) for period 1856-1955; (b) and (d) for 1956-1997. Other independent parameters used are trend, OD and SSN (top) and trend, OD, ENSO (bottom). Shaded regions are estimated significant at the 95% level using a t-test.



3. Scatter plot Analysis

Some connections between SSN and ISM rainfall is noticed before 1950s. All points are below the diagonal line during earlier period.
Decadal solar forcing on trade winds^{4,5,6} that acts alongside interannual ENSO may be responsible
Thus high solar years favoured more rain and low solar less following the usual ISM-ENSO behaviour in earlier period.
Decadal forcing on trade wind is missing since 1950s⁶, which might be responsible for different SSN, ISM behaviour.

Figure 2. Same as figure 1(a-d) respectively, but focusing mainly regions covering Indian Subcontinent. Negative contours are shown by dotted lines.

precipitation (JJA) in two different time-periods: a) 1871-1955 and b) 1956-2011. A positive ENSO index is shown with black and negative with green. Blue line in a) has drawn to show that points are inclined to bottom corner of the plot.

4. Conclusions

- Solar influence on ISM rainfall, using the method of compositing, may not be robust and can be influenced by factors as ENSO and trends (Fig. 2).
 Compositing suggests SH is mostly affected by climate change signal (Fig. 1a &b).
- Solar minimum year compositing suggests a positive NAO with strong negative signal around the Icelandic Low; whereas peak year compositing suggests a
- negative NAO with significant negative signal around the Azores high. These signals are unaffected by arbitrary change in base period climatology (Fig. 1).
- Compositing suggests that during a period of weakened tropical circulations, the Indian Ocean plays role in enhancement of ISM rainfall (Fig.2).
- Regression cannot detect any direct solar influence on the ISM. (Fig. 3c & d).
- Regression detects strong signal of the ENSO in SLP around Indian Ocean. It also suggests an influence around Australia where one lobe of the SO may
 have changed during the latter half of the last century. Through the IOD, it may have different impact on ISM in recent decades (Fig. 3a & b).
- During latter half of 20th century, the weakening of the Walker circulation due to climate change seems to be overtaken by the local NS Hadley circulation, whereas the NAO in the NH and IOD in the SH may both play important role.
- Some connections between solar cycle and ISM, which are different since 1950s (Fig. 4a & b).

This work is done under SAPRISE (South Asian Precipitation : A Seamless Assessment) project.

| References | 6. Roy, I. and Haigh, J.D. (2012):, <i>J. of the Atm. Sc.</i> , 69, 4, 1446-1451 | Dete |
|---|--|---|
| 1.Ashok et al (2001):. <i>Geo. Res. Lett. 28, 23,</i> 4499-4502. | 7. van Loon, H., G.A. Meehl et al (2007): J Geophys. Res. Atmos.,112(D2), 112, D02108. | Data SLP, HadSLP2: http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs/hadslp2 |
| 2.Chang et al. (2001): J. Climate, 14, 2376-2380. | 8. van Loon, H., and G. A. Meehl, (2011): Geophys. Res. Lett., Vol. 38, L12804. | OD: http://data.giss.nasa.gov/modelforce/strataer/tau_line.txt |
| 3. Meehl, G.A., and Arblaster, J.M., (2003): <i>Climate Dynamics</i> , 21,659-675 | 9, van Loon, H., Meehl, G.A. (2012): <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.,</i> 39, L13701. | Niño 3.4 index: http://climexp.knmi.nl |
| 4. Meehl, G. A., et al (2008): <i>J. Climate</i> , 21(12), 2883-2897 | 10. Vecchi, G.A. and Soden, B. J., (2007): <i>J. Climate.</i> , 20, 4316-4340. | Precipitation:ftp://www.tropmet.res.in./pub/data/rain/iitm-regionrf.txt. SSN: |
| 5. Roy, I. and Haigh, J. D. (2010): Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 6, 3147–3153 | 11. Zhang, D. and McPhaden, M. J. (2006): Ocean Modelling, 15, 3-4, 250-273. | <pre>ftp://ftp.ngdc.noaa.gov/STP/SOLAR_DATA/SUNSPOT_NUMBERS/MONTHLY</pre> |