2	
2	
5	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
õ	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
1/	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
21	
21	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
27	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
11	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
50	
5/	
58	
59	
60	

Novel Anemia Therapies in CKD: Conclusions from a Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Controversies Conference

Elaine Ku,^{1,2,3} Lucia Del Vecchio,⁴ Kai-Uwe Eckardt,⁵ Volker H. Haase,⁶ Kirsten L.

Johansen,⁷ Masaomi Nangaku,⁸ Navdeep Tangri,⁹ Sushrut S. Waikar,¹⁰

Andrzej Więcek,¹¹ Michael Cheung,¹² Michel Jadoul,¹³ Wolfgang C. Winkelmayer,¹⁴ and David C. Wheeler¹⁵ for Conference Participants^{*}

¹Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA;

²Division of Pediatric Nephrology, Department of Pediatrics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA;

³Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA;

⁴Department of Nephrology and Dialysis, Sant'Anna Hospital, ASST Lariana, Como, Italy;

⁵Department of Nephrology and Medical Intensive Care, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany;

⁶Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center; and Department of Molecular Physiology and Biophysics and Program in Cancer Biology, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee, USA;

⁷Division of Nephrology, Hennepin Healthcare, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA; Division of Nephrology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA;

⁸Division of Nephrology and Endocrinology, The University of Tokyo Graduate School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan;

⁹Chronic Disease Innovation Centre, Seven Oaks General Hospital, Winnipeg,

Manitoba, Canada; Department of Internal Medicine, Max Rady College of Medicine,

University of Manitoba. Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada;

¹⁰Section of Nephrology, Boston University Chobanian & Avedisian School of Medicine and Boston Medical Center, Boston, USA;

¹¹Department of Nephrology, Transplantation and Internal Medicine, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland;

¹²KDIGO, Brussels, Belgium;

¹³Cliniques Universitaires Saint Luc, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels,

Belgium;

¹⁴Selzman Institute for Kidney Health, Section of Nephrology, Department of Medicine,

Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, USA; and

¹⁵Department of Renal Medicine, University College London, London, UK

*Other conference participants: Baris Afsar, Turkey; Tadao Akizawa, Japan; Stefan D. Anker, Germany; Mustafa Arici, Turkey; Jodie L. Babitt, USA; Jonathan Barratt, UK; Jeffrey S. Berns, USA; Anatole Besarab, USA; Sunil Bhandari, UK; Christopher Brown, UK; Aleix Cases, Spain; Glenn M. Chertow, USA; Cynthia Delgado, USA; Tillman B. Drücke, France; Steven Fishbane, USA; Rafael Gómez, Columbia; Morgan E. Grams, USA; Takayuki Hamano, Japan; Chuan-Ming Hao, China; Raymond K. Hsu, USA; Kunitoshi Iseki, Japan; Isabelle Jordans, Germany; Edgar V. Lerma, USA; Francesco Locatelli, Italy; Iain C. Macdougall, UK; Jolanta Małyszko, Poland; Patrick Maxwell, UK; Lawrence P. McMahon, Australia: Gregorio T. Obrador, Mexico: Marlies Ostermann, UK; Roberto Pecoits-Filho, USA; Farzana Perwad, USA; Simon D. Roger, Australia; Ajay K. Singh, USA; Laura Solá, Uruguay; Bruce S. Spinowitz, USA; Mai Sugahara. Japan; Toshiyuki Takahashi, Japan; Mototsugu Tanaka, Japan; Tetsuhiro Tanaka, Japan; Der-Cherng Tarng, Taiwan; Marcello Tonelli, Canada; Yusuke Tsukamoto, Japan; Carl P. Walther, USA; Angela Yee-Moon Wang, Hong Kong, China; Bradley A. Warady, USA; Angela C. Webster, Australia; Matthew R. Weir, USA; Jay B. Wish, USA, Muh Geot Wong, Australia.

Running title: Novel anemia therapies in CKD: A KDIGO Conference Report

Word count: 4917

Correspondence:

Elaine Ku

Division of Nephrology

University of California, San Francisco

533 Parnassus Avenue, U404

San Francisco, CA, USA 94143-0532

Email: <u>elaine.ku@ucsf.edu</u>

David C. Wheeler Department of Renal Medicine University College London Royal Free Campus, Rowland Hill Street London NW3 2NB, UK Email: d.wheeler@ucl.ac.uk

Keywords: anemia; chronic kidney disease; dialysis; erythropoiesis stimulating agents; erythropoietin; hepcidin; hemoglobin; hyporesponsiveness; hypoxia-inducible factor-prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors; iron; iron deficiency; major adverse cardiovascular events

ABSTRACT

Anemia is common in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) and is associated with a high burden of morbidity and adverse clinical outcomes. In 2012, Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) published a guideline for the diagnosis and management of anemia in CKD. Since then, new data from studies assessing established and emerging therapies for the treatment of anemia and iron deficiency have become available. Beginning in 2019, KDIGO planned two Controversies Conferences to review the new evidence and its potential impact on the management of anemia in clinical practice. Here we report on the second of these conferences held virtually in December 2021 which focused on a new class of agents. the hypoxia-inducible factor-prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors (HIF-PHIs). This report provides a review of the consensus points and controversies from this second conference and highlights areas that warrant prioritization for future research.

INTRODUCTION

Anemia is common in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) and results from inadequate erythropoietin (EPO) production, abnormal iron metabolism, blood loss, inflammation, nutritional deficiencies, and oxidative stress.¹ The 2012 Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (anemia) guideline provided recommendations for the diagnosis and treatment of anemia related to CKD, including the use of iron, recombinant human EPO and its derivatives (collectively termed erythropoiesisstimulating agents [ESAs]), and blood transfusions.² Since the publication of this guideline, new therapies for the treatment of anemia have emerged and a reevaluation of the 2012 KDIGO guideline is required. In December 2019, KDIGO held the first of two Controversies Conferences on CKD anemia, which focused on iron.³ The second conference, held virtually in December 2021, focused primarily on hypoxia-inducible factor-prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors (HIF-PHIs) following the release of extensive efficacy and safety data. Given the historical nomenclature, we will continue to refer to epoetins, i.e., recombinant human EPO and its derivatives, but not HIF-PHIs as ESAs throughout even though HIF-PHIs also stimulate erythropoiesis.

Hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs) are oxygen-regulated heterodimeric transcription factors that regulate multiple cellular processes.⁴ HIFs coordinate the response to hypoxia by increasing EPO production in the kidneys and liver and by upregulating the expression of genes involved in iron transport, enhancing its uptake and absorption.⁴⁻⁶ Hepcidin regulates ferroportin, an iron channel on the surface of enterocytes, hepatocytes, and macrophages, and inhibits iron absorption from the gut and its release from macrophages.⁷ Systemic HIF activation leads to an increase in EPO production and use of iron by erythroblasts, which in turn results in suppression of hepcidin production in the liver and enhanced intestinal iron absorption and iron mobilization.⁸⁻¹¹ In the presence of oxygen, prolyl hydroxylase enzymes hydroxylate the oxygen-regulated HIF- α subunit, thereby targeting it for proteasomal degradation.¹² When oxygen levels decrease, prolyl hydroxylation and degradation of HIF- α are inhibited, resulting in its cellular accumulation and formation of the HIF heterodimeric transcription factor.^{1, 13}

Prolyl hydroxylation can be pharmacologically inhibited by oral HIF-PHIs,^{14, 15} which stimulates erythropoiesis, largely by increasing EPO production. Potential benefits of HIF-PHIs in addition to their oral route of administration (particular for patients who are not treated with hemodialysis) include the theoretical advantage of reduced exposure to high peak serum EPO concentrations, as substantially lower peak serum EPO levels have been found in patients treated with HIF-PHIs compared with those receiving epoetin injections.¹⁶ Due to their mechanism of action, HIF-PHIs may enhance enteric iron absorption and iron utilization (unlike ESAs) and may be more efficacious in correcting anemia despite chronic inflammation, though this remains an area of controversy. Other possible advantages of HIF-PHIs over ESAs include their stability at room temperature. Eliminating the need for subcutaneous injections, although these may be infrequently for longer-acting ESAs, may be important for those with non-dialysis dependent CKD (ND-CKD) or treated with peritoneal dialysis (**Table 1**).

Because of HIF's pleiotropic functions, the pharmacologic activation of HIF in patients with anemia of CKD is also likely to have effects beyond erythropoiesis and iron metabolism, depending on the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of the administered compound, drug dosing, and drug exposure.⁹ HIF-mediated effects on cellular differentiation and growth, vascular homeostasis and hemodynamics, inflammation, and cellular metabolism are well documented in preclinical studies and could modify the risk of cardiovascular disease, thrombosis, and malignancy. To what extent non-erythropoietic signaling pathways are activated in patients receiving HIF-PHIs is difficult to predict and to measure, and the advantages of HIF-PHIs must therefore be balanced against potential risks. Thus, controversy persists surrounding the role of HIF-PHIs in the treatment of anemia of CKD.^{17, 18}

Overview of the available HIF-PHIs and clinical trial programs

To date, more than 50 randomized studies of HIF-PHIs have been published.¹⁹ There are currently six HIF-PHIs in clinical development including daprodustat, desidustat, enarodustat, molidustat, roxadustat, and vadadustat (**Tables 2-3**).²⁰⁻⁵¹

Page 7 of 125

Kidney International

Most published Phase 2 and 3 trials have focused on the efficacy of HIF-PHIs compared with placebo or ESAs in treating anemia.¹⁹ Because of concerns that became apparent during clinical trials of ESAs, particularly with respect to cardiovascular safety, regulators have required large-scale trials to establish the cardiovascular safety of these agents. Three large phase 3 programs (roxadustat, vadadustat, and daprodustat) have published data on cardiovascular outcomes in ND-CKD and dialysis-dependent CKD (DD-CKD) (**Tables 2-3**).^{21, 26, 34, 37, 42, 51} Conference participants felt that because most of the experience with these agents has been in the context of trials, regulatory agencies should continue to gather data on adverse events in routine clinical practice as usage grows. Currently, different HIF-PHIs have been approved for clinical use in various countries and regions (**Supplemental Table 1**).

HIF-PHIs have been studied in the context of either a superiority (compared with placebo) or non-inferiority (compared with ESAs) trial design. Non-inferiority trials formally test, within a statistical framework, whether a new treatment is not worse than the comparator by a pre-specified margin. This margin should ideally be based on the observed adverse event rate of the standard therapy versus placebo in randomized controlled trials (RCTs), or reflect a margin deemed acceptable to clinicians and patients.⁵² The null hypothesis in a non-inferiority trial states that a novel therapy is worse than the standard therapy (comparator) on the outcome by the pre-specified margin. Therefore, interpretation of the results of non-inferiority trials of HIF-PHIs should take into consideration the non-inferiority margins incorporated into the design as well as the rates of dropout and crossover in both arms.⁵² If multiple participants assigned to the new treatment switch to the comparator, non-inferiority will be more difficult to assess and erroneous rejection of the null hypothesis (i.e., a conclusion of non-inferiority) may occur. The three major phase 3 programs which have examined the cardiovascular safety of HIF-PHIs have all used non-inferiority trial designs.

Efficacy of HIF-PHIs in the correction of anemia

There was general consensus among the attendees that HIF-PHIs are superior to placebo and non-inferior to ESAs in increasing and maintaining hemoglobin (Hb) concentration among patients with ND-CKD and DD-CKD.^{21, 26, 31, 34, 37, 42, 51} Large, randomized trials have demonstrated that roxadustat,^{26, 42} vadadustat,^{34, 51} and daprodustat^{21, 37} are superior to placebo and/or non-inferior to ESAs in correcting and/or maintaining Hb at target levels in ND-CKD and incident and prevalent DD-CKD patients (**Tables 2-3**). Similar findings have been noted with molidustat, enarodustat, and desidustat.^{22-25, 39, 40, 53-55} The Hb response with HIF-PHIs is dose-dependent and varies by agent and protocol, and at the starting doses applied according to protocol at trial entry, some agents increased the Hb more rapidly than others. Rates of blood transfusion are similar among patients receiving HIF-PHIs versus ESAs and generally lower than among those receiving placebo.¹⁹

Based on the results of trials that included patients treated with hemodialysis (HD) and peritoneal dialysis (PD) and single-arm trials among patients treated with PD,⁵⁶ HIF-PHIs appear to be at least as effective among those receiving PD versus HD.

Optimal hemoglobin targets for the correction of anemia

Current targets which aim for partial correction of Hb are based on clinical trials conducted several years ago.⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹ These trials compared higher versus lower Hb targets achieved using ESAs, in which major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE), mortality, and thrombotic events were more common among patients assigned to the higher of the Hb targets.⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹ In addition, one trial comparing a high Hb target with placebo (and a conservative rescue strategy) in ND-CKD patients with diabetes found an increased rate of strokes.⁶⁰ However, no HIF-PHI trials to date have compared Hb normalization or near-normalization with the currently recommended lower Hb targets for CKD patients. A few Japanese trials using daprodustat and molidustat have targeted Hb values exceeding 12 g/dl.^{20, 24, 25} Because phase 3 trials of HIF-PHIs were designed primarily for efficacy and safety evaluation and to meet criteria set forth by regulatory agencies in different geographic regions, guideline-recommended Hb targets

were used resulting in some regional differences (**Tables 2-3**). Overall, the attendees felt that the available data do not provide a rationale for targeting higher Hb levels with HIF-PHIs than the currently recommended targets established using ESAs. **Implications for iron management during the correction of anemia** Iron therapy is a critical cornerstone of anemia management, and iron availability

is impaired in patients with CKD.^{3, 61} Although data from clinical trials suggest that HIF-PHIs may modulate iron metabolism,⁶² iron parameters and iron utilization were not primary outcomes in these studies. The conference participants generally felt that the interpretation of iron-related data from these trials is impeded by significant limitations in trial design. Many aspects of iron management were not appropriately specified and were left to the discretion of the investigator and/or were based on local clinical practice patterns.⁹ In some trials, iron protocols differed between treatment and comparator groups within a trial.^{32, 47} Other design limitations included differences in Hb targets and achieved Hb between treatment arms, differences in the proportion of patients with baseline iron deficiency, and baseline imbalances in iron and hepcidin status and relevant co-morbidities.

Notwithstanding the limitations in trials thus far, higher serum transferrin levels in HIF-PHI treated patients, either measured directly ^{20, 26-28, 35, 42-45, 63-65} or indirectly by calculating total iron binding capacity (TIBC), were reported across different compounds. In contrast, the effects on serum iron, hepcidin, transferrin saturation (TSAT) and ferritin were more variable among individual trials and between compounds.⁶² A summary of iron use and changes in iron parameters is shown in **Tables 4-5**.^{21, 29-32,34,36-38,46-49,51}

Although there is potential for a reduction in intravenous (IV) iron treatment, there was general consensus that HIF-PHI therapy will not eliminate the need for iron replacement in DD-CKD patients. The conference participants agreed that iron parameters should be monitored during treatment with HIF-PHIs, and iron deficiency should be avoided because it is associated with thromboembolic events, impaired red blood cell production,⁴³ lower HRQoL, higher rates of cardiovascular events, and higher mortality.^{66, 67}

In summary, conference participants agreed that clinically meaningful differences in iron utilization have not so far been demonstrated using HIF-PHIs. There will likely be a continued role of iron therapy in patients with ND- and DD-CKD treated with HIF-PHIs.

Effect of HIF-PHIs on Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL)

Several large Phase 3 HIF-PHI trials have included assessments of QoL as exploratory or secondary endpoints.^{29-31, 47} These trials have used different scoring systems which may limit comparability across trials.^{29-31, 47} Numerical improvements, in particular for the SF-36 Physical Functioning subscore, were reported in the OLYMPUS trial which compared roxadustat to placebo.³¹ Data from the smaller dedicated ASCEND-NHQ study in ND-CKD patients, which evaluated the effects of daprodustat versus placebo on QoL using the SF-36 Vitality score, suggested higher vitality score (fatigue) in those receiving daprodustat.⁶⁸ In general, assessment of differences in HRQoL is difficult in trials that do not have a double-blinded design.

The patient representatives in attendance felt that although HRQoL was important, a new treatment should ideally be superior to the current standard of care for both safety and efficacy. However, some patients who were not treated with hemodialysis would prefer an oral option over an injection if safety and efficacy were similar.

Safety of HIF-PHIs

Cardiovascular outcomes

Cardiovascular safety signals from clinical trials of ESAs targeting normal or near-normal Hb concentrations led to labelling changes by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) beginning in 2007.⁶⁹ The current FDA labels for ESAs include warnings on increased risk of death, serious adverse cardiovascular events, and stroke when ESAs are administered to target Hb levels >11 g/dl. No trial has identified an ideal target Hb level, ESA dose, or dosing strategy that does not increase these risks. Thus, for HIF-PHI approval, regulatory agencies asked manufacturers to demonstrate non-inferiority or superiority of HIF-PHIs in terms of the risk for MACE in both dialysis and non-dialysis populations within target ranges recommended for ESAs.

CKD not requiring dialysis (non-dialysis dependent, ND-CKD) (Table 6)^{21,29-31,34}

Roxadustat was the first HIF-PHI to be reviewed by the FDA. Data submitted in support of the New Drug Application included 3 separate trials comparing roxadustat with placebo that were pooled for meta-analyses in the ND-CKD (n = 4270) population.^{70, 71} A fourth study comparing roxadustat to darbepoetin alfa was analyzed separately.²⁸ The pooled analyses for roxadustat did not have prespecified noninferiority margins that were agreed upon by the FDA.71, 72 Comparing the upper limits of the 95% CI against the pre-specified non-inferiority margin of daprodustat and vadadustat trials (HR 1.25), roxadustat would not have met the criteria for non-inferiority in pooled analyses of MACE in the ND-CKD population when compared with placebo: HR 1.10; 95% CI: 0.96-1.27. In further on-treatment sensitivity analyses (as opposed to intention-to-treat analysis) requested by the FDA to minimize the effect of including unexposed person-times or events that may not be affected by the intervention, this risk was heightened.⁷² When assessing events occurring while patients were on treatment and for one week after discontinuation (on-treatment + 7 days analyses), 277 (7.2%) events were recorded in the roxadustat arm compared with 131 (5.6%) events in the placebo arm (HR 1.38; 95% CI: 1.11-1.70). A caveat in these analyses is the higher dropout rates in the placebo compared to roxadustat arms, with potential for bias that may have disadvantaged roxadustat.

Vadadustat ND-CKD Phase 3 trials of ESA-treated (n = 1725) and ESAuntreated (n = 1751) patients were pooled, as pre-specified, with darbepoetin alfa as the comparator arm in both trials.³⁴ The primary MACE analysis did not meet the prespecified HR=1.30 non-inferiority margin (HR 1.17; 95% CI: 1.01-1.36), and showed a higher risk of MACE in the vadadustat arm. The excess risk was accounted for by nonfatal MI and death from non-cardiovascular causes. Subgroup analyses found a regional difference in the study results, with the increased MACE risk observed in non-U.S. study sites (HR 1.30; 95% CI 1.05-1.62) but no difference in risk in the U.S. study sites (HR 1.06; 95% CI 0.87-1.29).³⁴

Daprodustat non-inferiority trials met the pre-specified non-inferiority margins of a HR of 1.25 in primary analyses of the ND-CKD population in a mixed population of previously ESA-treated and untreated patients (HR: 1.03; 95% CI: 0.89-1.19) in comparison to darbepoetin alfa.²¹ However, in the sensitivity on-treatment MACE analysis, which censored patients at 28 days after the last dose, participants randomized to daprodustat had a higher incidence of MACE than those randomized to ESA in the ND-CKD study (14.1% vs. 10.5%, HR 1.40; 95% CI: 1.17-1.68).²¹ However, differences in the dosing frequency of daprodustat versus ESAs in this trial and differences in definitions of treatment periods may have led to potential bias that disadvantaged daprodustat.²¹

There was a general view among conference participants that major clinical trials have failed to conclusively demonstrate that HIF-PHIs are non-inferior to placebo or conventional ESAs in ND-CKD patients for cardiovascular outcomes. In fact, variable results have been reported for different HIF-PHIs and in different study settings, depending on the type of analyses being performed (e.g., intention-to-treat versus on-treatment analyses). Potential explanations for the differential effects on MACE outcomes between different trials and different agents may result from imbalances in patent characteristics or geographic location at baseline, or from non-matching intervals of follow-up assessment after the last study drug dose in different randomized groups.⁷³

Dialysis-dependent (DD-CKD) population

In contrast to the ND-CKD trial results, there was consensus that HIF-PHIs in general met non-inferiority criteria for MACE in cardiovascular outcome trials in DD-CKD populations (**Table 7**)^{36-38, 42-51} although controversies surrounding interpretation of the data were discussed. Moreover, in most clinical trials, efficacy and safety of HIF-PHIs was similar in incident and prevalent dialysis populations.

Three studies of roxadustat involving dialysis patients (N=3880) were metaanalyzed in a report submitted to the FDA.⁷⁰ All trials included in this report compared roxadustat to ESA. The analyses of the effect of roxadustat for MACE were discordant based on the analytical approach: in the primary, on-treatment + 7 day analyses, the risk of MACE was similar in the roxadustat and ESA groups: HR 1.02; 95% CI: 0.88-1.20. In the sensitivity, on-treatment analysis, the HR for the risk of MACE in patients treated with roxadustat versus ESA was 1.14; 95% CI: 1.00-1.30, a difference that just missed statistical significance for non-inferiority. A fourth trial conducted in Europe and not included in the pooled meta-analysis due to differences in study design demonstrated a higher risk of death in roxadustat vs. ESA-treated patients (8.9 per 100 patient years (PY) vs. 6.3 per 100 PY; HR 1.54, 95% CI 1.04-2.28).⁷⁰ In a published analysis of the four roxadustat trials in the DD-CKD population.⁷⁴ MACE and MACE+ (a composite of MACE plus unstable angina or congestive heart failure requiring hospitalization) in the on-treatment plus 7 day analyses showed different results in incident vs. prevalent dialysis patients, with the hazard ratio suggesting benefit in incident patients but harm in prevalent patients. In contrast to the ND-CKD studies, treatment duration was longer for the ESA group.

Vadadustat DD-CKD Phase 3 trials pooled two studies of prevalent (n = 3554) and incident (n = 369) patients, with darbepoetin alfa as the comparator group (**Table 3**).⁵¹ Pooled results showed similar MACE rates in the two arms and met non-inferiority (HR 0.96; 95% CI: 0.83-1.11).⁵¹ Sensitivity analyses were not available at the time of this conference.

Daprodustat trials met the pre-specified non-inferiority margin of 1.25 in primary analyses of the DD-CKD populations (DD: 0.93; 95% CI: 0.81-1.07).^{21, 37} The sensitivity on-treatment analysis of the DD-CKD population was similar to the primary analysis (**Table 7**).

In most clinical trials, efficacy and safety of HIF-PHI were similar in incident and prevalent dialysis populations (**Tables 3 and 7**). A pooled analysis of roxadustat studies noted similar risk of MACE (HR 0.83; 95% CI: 0.61-1.13) and nominally lower risk of MACE+ (HR 0.76; 95% CI: 0.57-1.00) among incident dialysis patients treated with roxadustat, whereas roxadustat was less favorable for MACE (HR 1.18; 95% CI: 1.00-1.38) and all-cause mortality (HR 1.23; 95% CI: 1.02-1.49) in prevalent dialysis patients and prevalent dialysis patients was not reported.

Despite overall consensus that HIF-PHI met non-inferiority criteria for MACE in cardiovascular outcome trials involving DD-CKD populations, it was recognized that controversy has surrounded interpretation of the relevant data for roxadustat in this context. This has been fueled by retraction of a published pooled analysis because of post-publication recognition of deviation from the prespecified analytical plan.⁷⁵

Thromboembolic events, including vascular access thrombosis

Administration of HIF-PHIs has been associated with a higher risk of thrombotic events compared with ESAs or placebo.^{76, 77} Although the underlying mechanisms are not understood and appear to be complex, they may be related to the steeper rate of rise in Hb as suggested by a recent FDA safety review for roxadustat.⁷⁶ In addition, HIF-PHI interactions with iron metabolism, i.e., upregulation of transferrin,⁷⁸ or the interference of HIF with the coagulation system, e.g., through increased expression of plasminogen activator inhibitor may contribute to thrombotic risk.⁷⁹

Roxadustat showed an excess risk of thrombosis in both ND- (versus ESA) and DD-CKD (versus placebo) trials.⁷⁰ A pooled analysis of roxadustat trials showed higher risks of thromboembolic events that were associated with the rate of Hb rise.⁷¹ It is

Kidney International

unclear, however, whether lower doses of roxadustat, which would be expected to lead to a slower rate of Hb rise, would ameliorate thrombosis risk while maintaining efficacy. Concerns surrounding the thrombotic risk with vadadustat were raised by the FDA although these concerns were not initially noted in published data.⁸⁰ Daprodustat trials have not reported excess risk of thrombosis compared with active comparator.^{21, 37}

Hypertension

Pre-clinical studies in healthy rats and rats with CKD demonstrated that HIF-PHIs generate significant dose-dependent blood-pressure lowering effects.⁸¹⁻⁸³ However, so far, no significant blood pressure effects have been reported in any HIF-PHI phase 3 programs. The results from a dedicated blood pressure study with daprodustat (ASCEND-BP) have not yet been published (NCT03029247).

Lipid metabolism

Theoretically, HIF-dependent increases in lipoprotein uptake and reductions in cholesterol synthesis via enhanced degradation of 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-glutaryl-CoA reductase may lead to lower blood cholesterol levels with HIF-PHI treatment.84,85 Although dedicated clinical studies specifically focused on the interactions between HIF-PHIs and lipid metabolism have not yet been conducted, significant and consistent reductions in total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels were reported in patients treated with roxadustat or daprodustat (ND- and DD-CKD).^{20, 26, 29, 32, 42, 46, 47, 49, 63, 65} These reductions were not seen in patients treated with enarodustat, molidustat or vadadustat,^{23, 24, 40, 53, 55, 86, 87} clearly indicating that different compounds may have different properties. To what degree cholesterol-lowering effects of daprodustat and roxadustat might impact cardiovascular risk in patients with CKD anemia is not clear. Given the lack of clear cardiovascular benefits with the initiation of statin therapy in dialysis patients,⁸⁸ HIF-PHI-mediated interactions with lipid metabolism may not necessarily translate into clinical benefits, even when considering long-term effects beyond the exposure in studies conducted so far.

Kidney disease progression

Pharmacologic HIF activation has been studied in multiple kidney disease models using pharmacologic and genetic approaches.⁴ Experimental studies have consistently demonstrated renoprotective effects of HIF activation in acute kidney injury models, whereas the effects of HIF activation in models of chronic kidney injury appeared to be context-dependent and less consistent.⁴ This has raised concerns that anemia therapy with HIF-PHIs may worsen CKD in certain subgroups of patients. In one trial of molidustat versus ESA, the risk of CKD progression was higher with molidustat, though whether this finding is specific to molidustat is unclear.²⁵

Prespecified secondary analyses of Phase 3 trials of daprodustat and vadadustat in ND-CKD patients showed no beneficial or harmful effects of either drug on CKD outcomes, including the need for dialysis, kidney transplantation, or >40% decline in eGFR.^{21, 34} A Phase 3 trial of roxadustat³¹ suggested greater decline in kidney function compared with placebo. The annual rate of change in eGFR was -3.70 ml/min per 1.73 m² with roxadustat and -3.19 ml/min per 1.73 m² with placebo (difference -0.51 ml/min per 1.73 m²; 95% CI: -1.00 - -0.01; nominal *P* = 0.046). Conference participants agreed that data from CKD anemia trials reported so far do not suggest any clinically relevant impact of HIF-PHIs on kidney disease progression, but also pointed out that these trials were not specifically designed to evaluate such effects.

Malignancy risk

Adaptation to regional hypoxia mediated by the HIF-pathway plays an important role in tumor progression.⁸⁹ Moreover, genetic HIF activation is a central mechanism of tumorigenesis in patients with the von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) disease and clear cell renal carcinomas.⁹⁰ This and other evidence implies that cancer initiation and/or progression could be an adverse event associated with HIF-PHI use.

While the HIF-PHI phase 3 studies have mostly not shown any signals supporting this assumption, in the ASCEND-ND trial, cancer-related death or tumor progression or recurrence was more commonly observed in those randomized to daprodustat (72 of 1937, 3.7%) than in those randomized to darbepoetin alfa (49 of 1933, 2.5%), with relative risk of 1.47 (95% CI: 1.03- 2.10).²¹ *Post hoc* analyses that accounted for differential dosing frequency attenuated this observed risk.²¹ A clinical trial of molidustat also reported neoplasms in 9.8% of trial participants in the molidustat group compared with 5.3% in the darbepoetin group.⁴¹ The conference participants agreed that there has been no consistent signal across the HIF-PHIs of an excess risk of malignancy-related adverse events, but the accrued exposure time in clinical trials and clinical practice has not been long enough to be confident of the absence of a clinically relevant risk compared with ESAs, and patients with a history of recent or active malignancy were excluded from trials. Post-marketing surveillance will be important to confirm the safety of HIF-PHIs from the standpoint of cancer risk and provide longer-term follow-up data, and avoidance of HIF-PHIs in patients with a history of malignancy is recommended.

Additional safety concerns

An approximate 2-fold increase in the risk for sepsis and septic shock was reported for roxadustat in ND-CKD patients (pooled studies).⁷⁶ No increased risk of infections has been noted in the serious adverse events of other trials.^{21, 34, 37, 51}

Upregulation of vascular endothelial growth factor by the HIF pathway may increase angiogenesis and therefore in theory worsen diabetic retinopathy and agerelated macular degeneration.^{91, 92} All HIF-PHI trials have included individuals with diabetes at risk for diabetic retinopathy. However, to date, retinopathy has not been reported to worsen during treatment with HIF-PHIs.⁹³

Although higher rates of hyperkalemia and low serum bicarbonate have been reported for HIF-PHIs in some studies,^{26, 29, 42, 94-96} such data have not been reproduced by centralized laboratory analysis or in larger trials.^{33, 34, 50, 51}

Central hypothyroidism has been reported in patients treated with roxadustat,⁹⁷⁻⁹⁹ and the Japanese regulatory agency recently added central hypothyroidism as a potential complication of roxadustat in the package insert. This may be due to the

structure of roxadustat, which has a molecular structure similar to triiodothyronine (T3), so that its binding to thyroid hormone receptor β may lead to the down-regulation of thyrotropin releasing hormone (TRH). There has been no report of hypothyroidism as a complication in patients treated with other HIF-PHIs according to our knowledge.

Other clinically significant adverse events may become more apparent as we gain experience with the use of HIF-PHIs in clinical practice.

Practical considerations

Dosing considerations

There have been no head-to-head trials comparing different HIF-PHIs in patients with ND- or DD-CKD. However, marked differences exist in potency, dose requirements, and presumably pharmacokinetics. Phase 3 trials generally showed good efficacy in achieving and maintaining target Hb ranges overall and in subgroups based on age, sex, race, and dialysis modality. There was consensus among conference participants that the appropriate dose depends on the drug and should follow label recommendations. There was also general consensus that the starting HIF-PHI dose should be lower for those who are ESA-naïve versus those who are not. Based on the current Hb and the achieved change in Hb (typically over a 4-week period), the dosing in phase 3 trials was maintained or changed in stepwise fashion. Treatment was temporarily discontinued when Hb exceeded 12 or 13 g/dl in most studies.^{21, 22, 24-26, 31, 34, 35, 37, 39, 42, 46, 51, 54-56, 100, 101} Conference participants generally felt that in clinical routine the HIF-PHI dose should be maintained or changed in similar stepwise fashion as in trial protocols based on the current Hb and its rate of change.

Use of HIF-PHIs in subpopulations of interest

Patients hyporesponsive to ESAs

By lowering hepcidin levels, HIF-PHIs may theoretically be more effective in treating patients who are hyporesponsive to ESAs because of chronic inflammation or functional iron deficiency. Preliminary data suggest that whereas higher doses of ESAs are needed for patients with high C-reactive protein (CRP) levels, this may not be true for HIF-PHIs.^{16, 45} However, CRP concentrations that were considered high in trial participants were only slightly elevated, and sicker and more inflamed patients may have been less likely to have been enrolled in trials of HIF-PHIs. Conference participants also felt that data on the effect of HIF-PHIs in ESA-hyporesponsive patients are limited.

Although the use of HIF-PHIs in combination with ESAs might theoretically be advantageous for patients who are ESA hyporesponsive, there are no data available to support this strategy in clinical practice at present.¹⁰² As with all drugs, there is a risk for drug-drug interactions with the use of HIF-PHIs, particularly in combination with other oral agents (**Supplemental Table 2**).

Children

Anemia is also a common complication of CKD in children and is associated with decreased quality of life, reduced neurocognitive ability, left ventricular hypertrophy, and increased risk of hospitalizations.¹⁰³ Pain has also been reported with subcutaneous injections of ESAs, making an oral formulation for anemia treatment especially attractive in the pediatric population.¹⁰⁴ However, participants felt that there are insufficient data supporting the use of HIF-PHIs in pediatric patients with anemia of CKD because patients under the age of 18 years were excluded from all Phase 3 trials.¹⁰⁵ Several new trials with roxadustat, daprodustat, and molidustat are planned in pediatric patients after completion of Phase 3 trials in adults.

Polycystic kidney disease

HIF activation occurs in polycystic kidneys in humans and rodents and activation of the HIF–pathway has been shown to enhance cyst expansion in preclinical models.¹⁰⁶ However, whether the use of HIF-PHIs to treat anemia may enhance cyst growth remains unclear. Nevertheless conference participants felt that these agents should not be used in patients with polycystic kidney disease until adequate safety data emerge.

Kidney transplantation

While kidney transplant recipients were excluded from Phase 3 trials of roxadustat and vadadustat, no formal exclusion of subjects with prior kidney transplant was stated in the Phase 3 trials of daprodustat.^{21, 37, 107} However, whether subjects with a functioning kidney transplant at baseline were actually enrolled is currently unknown. HIF-PHIs play a role in immune cell function and therefore HIF-PHIs use could potentially promote graft rejection or increase the risk of malignancy.¹⁰⁸ There is limited experience of using HIF-PHIs in patients who are receiving immunosuppression, such as those with kidney allografts.

Other novel therapeutic agents

Several new agents have been introduced into clinical medicine that may be beneficial for patients with CKD anemia and might be used concurrently with ESAs or HIF-PHIs. Agents in clinical development have been discussed during the first KDIGO Controversies in Optimal Anemia Management Conference in 2019 and are not further discussed here.³ In analogy with HIF-PHIs, SGLT2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) are also considered to stimulate endogenous EPO production.

SGLT2 inhibitors

In addition to their antidiabetic and beneficial cardiovascular and kidney effects, SGLT2i have been shown to increase Hb in patients with kidney disease and/or heart failure.¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹⁴ Because increased Hb in patients treated with SGLT2i appears to be independent of diuretic use and/or rate of intravascular volume depletion,¹¹⁵ SGLT2i-

induced changes in Hb are no longer believed to simply reflect hemoconcentration due to diuresis.¹¹⁶ In fact, SGLT2i administration was associated with transient increases in serum EPO concentrations (30-40%), an increase in reticulocyte counts, a decrease in ferritin and hepcidin, indicating erythropoietic stimulation.¹¹⁷⁻¹²⁰ It has been hypothesized that these pro-erythropoietic actions may have contributed to SGLT2i-mediated protective effects on heart failure outcomes and kidney disease progression.¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹¹ Although current data suggest that SGLT2i may provide beneficial "anti-anemic" effects and delay or prevent the initiation of anemia therapy,¹²¹ conference participants agreed that more information is needed to better understand the mechanisms of action underlying these effects and their clinical relevance.

Conclusions

In summary, HIF-PHIs are non-inferior to conventional ESAs in increasing and maintaining Hb concentrations in patients with NDD- and DD-CKD, and reduce transfusion requirements when compared with placebo. In terms of cardiovascular safety, HIF-PHIs are inferior, or at best similar to conventional ESAs. Different safety signals were observed for different HIF-PHIs across large phase 3 trial programs, and concerns surrounding cardiovascular and thrombotic risks persist. The data that are currently available do not support the concept that use of HIF-PHIs will reduce the need for IV or oral iron supplementation among patients with NDD- or DD-CKD nor have superior efficacy in the correction of anemia in states of chronic inflammation. However, published trials to date were not designed to address these questions, and iron was administered according to trial protocols which varied widely. Studies examining alternative iron dosing strategies in patients receiving HIF-PHIs are needed. Currently, there are insufficient data to determine whether use of HIF-PHIs improves quality of life in patients with ND-CKD. Further research recommendations are provided in **Table 8**.

DISCLOSURES

The conference was sponsored by KDIGO and was in part supported by unrestricted educational grants from 7 Elements Global, Akebia Therapeutics, Amgen, Astellas, AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Group, Otsuka, Roche, Rockwell Medical, Torii Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., US Renal Care, and Vifor Fresenius Medical Care Renal Pharma.

Tables

1 2

5	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
21	
51	
32	
33	
34	
35	
26	
50	
37	
38	
39	
40	
10	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
16	
40	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
50	
5/	
58	
59	
60	

Table 1: Potential advantages and disadvantages of various CKD-anemia therap	bies
--	------

- **Table 2**: Efficacy data from phase 3 HIF-PHI clinical trials in non-dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease
- **Table 3**: Efficacy data from phase 3 HIF-PHI clinical trials in patients with dialysis

 dependent chronic kidney disease
- Table 4: Iron parameters from phase 3 HIF-PHI clinical trials in non-dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease
- **Table 5**: Iron parameters from phase 3 HIF-PHI clinical trials in dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease
- **Table 6**: Cardiovascular safety data from phase 3 non-inferiority HIF-PHI clinical trials

 in non-dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease
- **Table 7**: Cardiovascular safety data from phase 3 non-inferiority HIF-PHI clinical trials in dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease
- Table 8:
 Research recommendations

Supplemental Table 1: Availability of HIF-PHIs (as of April 24, 2023) Supplemental Table 2: Drug-drug interactions of HIF-PHIs

REFERENCES

- 1. Babitt JL, Lin HY. Mechanisms of anemia in CKD. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2012; **23:** 1631-1634.
- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Anemia Work Group. KDIGO Clinical Practice Guideline for Anemia in Chronic Kidney Disease. *Kidney Int Suppl.* 2012; 2: 279–335.
- 3. Babitt JL, Eisenga MF, Haase VH, *et al.* Controversies in optimal anemia management: conclusions from a Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Conference. *Kidney international* 2021; **99:** 1280-1295.
- 4. Schodel J, Ratcliffe PJ. Mechanisms of hypoxia signalling: new implications for nephrology. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2019; **15:** 641-659.
- 5. Barrett TD, Palomino HL, Brondstetter TI, *et al.* Prolyl hydroxylase inhibition corrects functional iron deficiency and inflammation-induced anaemia in rats. *Br J Pharmacol* 2015; **172:** 4078-4088.
- 6. Rankin EB, Biju MP, Liu Q, *et al.* Hypoxia-inducible factor-2 (HIF-2) regulates hepatic erythropoietin in vivo. *J Clin Invest* 2007; **117:** 1068-1077.
- 7. Sun CC, Vaja V, Chen S, *et al.* A hepcidin lowering agent mobilizes iron for incorporation into red blood cells in an adenine-induced kidney disease model of anemia in rats. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2013; **28:** 1733-1743.
- 8. Haase VH. Pathophysiological Consequences of HIF Activation: HIF as a modulator of fibrosis. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2009; **1177:** 57-65.
- 9. Haase VH. Hypoxia-inducible factor-prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors in the treatment of anemia of chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int Suppl (2011)* 2021; **11:** 8-25.
- 10. Hanudel MR, Wong S, Jung G, *et al.* Amelioration of chronic kidney disease-associated anemia by vadadustat in mice is not dependent on erythroferrone. *Kidney international* 2021; **100:** 79-89.
- 11. Nicolas G, Chauvet C, Viatte L, *et al.* The gene encoding the iron regulatory peptide hepcidin is regulated by anemia, hypoxia, and inflammation. *J Clin Invest* 2002; **110**: 1037-1044.

- 12. Bruick RK, McKnight SL. A conserved family of prolyl-4-hydroxylases that modify HIF. *Science* 2001; **294:** 1337-1340.
 - 13. Gupta N, Wish JB. Hypoxia-inducible factor prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors: A potential new treatment for anemia in patients with CKD. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2017; **69:** 815-826.
 - 14. Kurata Y, Tanaka T, Nangaku M. Hypoxia-inducible factor prolyl hydroxylase inhibitor in the treatment of anemia in chronic kidney disease. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 2020; **29:** 414-422.
 - 15. Sugahara M, Tanaka T, Nangaku M. Prolyl hydroxylase domain inhibitors as a novel therapeutic approach against anemia in chronic kidney disease. *Kidney international* 2017; **92:** 306-312.
 - Provenzano R, Besarab A, Wright S, *et al.* Roxadustat (FG-4592) Versus Epoetin Alfa for Anemia in Patients Receiving Maintenance Hemodialysis: A Phase 2, Randomized, 6- to 19-Week, Open-Label, Active-Comparator, Dose-Ranging, Safety and Exploratory Efficacy Study. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2016; **67:** 912-924.
 - 17. Hill P, Shukla D, Tran MG, *et al.* Inhibition of hypoxia inducible factor hydroxylases protects against renal ischemia-reperfusion injury. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2008; **19:** 39-46.
 - 18. Kapitsinou PP, Jaffe J, Michael M, *et al.* Preischemic targeting of HIF prolyl hydroxylation inhibits fibrosis associated with acute kidney injury. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 2012; **302:** F1172-1179.
 - 19. Natale P, Palmer SC, Jaure A, *et al.* Hypoxia-inducible factor stabilisers for the anaemia of chronic kidney disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2022; **8:** CD013751.
 - 20. Nangaku M, Hamano T, Akizawa T, *et al.* Daprodustat Compared with Epoetin Beta Pegol for Anemia in Japanese Patients Not on Dialysis: A 52-Week Randomized Open-Label Phase 3 Trial. *Am J Nephrol* 2021; **52:** 26-35.
 - 21. Singh AK, Carroll K, McMurray JJV, *et al.* Daprodustat for the Treatment of Anemia in Patients Not Undergoing Dialysis. *N Engl J Med* 2021; **385**: 2313-2324.
 - 22. Agrawal D, Varade D, Shah H, *et al.* Desidustat in Anemia due to Non-Dialysis-Dependent Chronic Kidney Disease: A Phase 3 Study (DREAM-ND). *Am J Nephrol* 2022; **53**: 352-360.

23. Akizawa T, Nangaku M, Yamaguchi T, *et al.* A Phase 3 Study of Enarodustat in Anemic Patients with CKD not Requiring Dialysis: The SYMPHONY ND Study. *Kidney Int Rep* 2021; **6:** 1840-1849.

- Yamamoto H, Nobori K, Matsuda Y, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Molidustat for Anemia in ESA-Naive Nondialysis Patients: A Randomized, Phase 3 Trial. Am J Nephrol 2021; 52: 871-883.
- 25. Yamamoto H, Nobori K, Matsuda Y, *et al.* Molidustat for Renal Anemia in Nondialysis Patients Previously Treated with Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agents: A Randomized, Open-Label, Phase 3 Study. *Am J Nephrol* 2021; **52:** 884-893.
- 26. Chen N, Hao C, Peng X, *et al.* Roxadustat for anemia in patients with kidney disease not receiving dialysis. *N Engl J Med* 2019; **381:** 1001-1010.
- 27. Akizawa T, Yamaguchi Y, Otsuka T, *et al.* A Phase 3, Multicenter, Randomized, Two-Arm, Open-Label Study of Intermittent Oral Dosing of Roxadustat for the Treatment of Anemia in Japanese Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agent-Naive Chronic Kidney Disease Patients Not on Dialysis. *Nephron* 2020; **144:** 372-382.
- 28. Akizawa T, Iwasaki M, Otsuka T, *et al.* Phase 3 Study of Roxadustat to Treat Anemia in Non-Dialysis-Dependant CKD. *Kidney Int Rep* 2021; **6:** 1810-1828.
- 29. Shutov E, Sulowicz W, Esposito C, *et al.* Roxadustat for the treatment of anemia in chronic kidney disease patients not on dialysis: a Phase 3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study (ALPS). *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2021; **36:** 1629-1639.
- 30. Coyne DW, Roger SD, Shin SK, *et al.* Roxadustat for CKD-related Anemia in Nondialysis Patients. *Kidney Int Rep* 2021; **6:** 624-635.
- 31. Fishbane S, El-Shahawy MA, Pecoits-Filho R, *et al.* Roxadustat for Treating Anemia in Patients with CKD Not on Dialysis: Results from a Randomized Phase 3 Study. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2021; **32:** 737-755.
- 32. Barratt J, Andric B, Tataradze A, *et al.* Roxadustat for the treatment of anaemia in chronic kidney disease patients not on dialysis: a Phase 3, randomized, open-label, active-controlled study (DOLOMITES). *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2021; **36:** 1616-1628.
- 33. Nangaku M, Kondo K, Kokado Y, *et al.* Phase 3 Randomized Study Comparing Vadadustat with Darbepoetin Alfa for Anemia in Japanese Patients with Nondialysis-Dependent CKD. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2021; **32:** 1779-1790.

- 34. Chertow GM, Pergola PE, Farag YMK, *et al.* Vadadustat in Patients with Anemia and Non-Dialysis-Dependent CKD. *N Engl J Med* 2021; **384:** 1589-1600.
 - 35. Akizawa T, Nangaku M, Yonekawa T, *et al.* Efficacy and Safety of Daprodustat Compared with Darbepoetin Alfa in Japanese Hemodialysis Patients with Anemia: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Phase 3 Trial. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2020; **15:** 1155-1165.
 - 36. Singh AK, Cizman B, Carroll K, *et al.* Efficacy and Safety of Daprodustat for Treatment of Anemia of Chronic Kidney Disease in Incident Dialysis Patients: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Intern Med* 2022; **182:** 592-602.
 - 37. Singh AK, Carroll K, Perkovic V, *et al.* Daprodustat for the Treatment of Anemia in Patients Undergoing Dialysis. *N Engl J Med* 2021; **385:** 2325-2335.
 - 38. Coyne DW, Singh AK, Lopes RD, *et al.* Three Times Weekly Dosing of Daprodustat versus Conventional Epoetin for Treatment of Anemia in Hemodialysis Patients: ASCEND-TD: A Phase 3 Randomized, Double-Blind, Noninferiority Trial. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2022; **17:** 1325-1336.
 - 39. Gang S, Khetan P, Varade D, *et al.* Desidustat in Anemia due to Dialysis-Dependent Chronic Kidney Disease: A Phase 3 Study (DREAM-D). *Am J Nephrol* 2022; **53**: 343-351.
 - 40. Akizawa T, Nangaku M, Yamaguchi T, *et al.* A Phase 3 Study of Enarodustat (JTZ-951) in Japanese Hemodialysis Patients for Treatment of Anemia in Chronic Kidney Disease: SYMPHONY HD Study. *Kidney Dis (Basel)* 2021; **7**: 494-502.
 - 41. Akizawa T, Yamada T, Nobori K, *et al.* Molidustat for Japanese Patients With Renal Anemia Receiving Dialysis. *Kidney Int Rep* 2021; **6:** 2604-2616.
 - 42. Chen N, Hao C, Liu BC, *et al.* Roxadustat Treatment for Anemia in Patients Undergoing Long-Term Dialysis. *N Engl J Med* 2019; **381:** 1011-1022.
 - 43. Akizawa T, Ueno M, Shiga T, *et al.* Oral roxadustat three times weekly in ESA-naive and ESA-converted patients with anemia of chronic kidney disease on hemodialysis: Results from two phase 3 studies. *Ther Apher Dial* 2020; **24:** 628-641.
 - 44. Akizawa T, Otsuka T, Reusch M, *et al.* Intermittent Oral Dosing of Roxadustat in Peritoneal Dialysis Chronic Kidney Disease Patients with Anemia: A Randomized, Phase 3, Multicenter, Open-Label Study. *Ther Apher Dial* 2020; **24:** 115-125.

45. Akizawa T, Iwasaki M, Yamaguchi Y, *et al.* Phase 3, Randomized, Double-Blind, Active-Comparator (Darbepoetin Alfa) Study of Oral Roxadustat in CKD Patients with Anemia on Hemodialysis in Japan. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2020; **31:** 1628-1639.

- 46. Provenzano R, Shutov E, Eremeeva L, *et al.* Roxadustat for anemia in patients with endstage renal disease incident to dialysis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2021; **36:** 1717-1730.
- 47. Csiky B, Schomig M, Esposito C, *et al.* Roxadustat for the Maintenance Treatment of Anemia in Patients with End-Stage Kidney Disease on Stable Dialysis: A European Phase 3, Randomized, Open-Label, Active-Controlled Study (PYRENEES). *Adv Ther* 2021; **38:** 5361-5380.
- 48. Fishbane S, Pollock CA, El-Shahawy M, *et al.* Roxadustat Versus Epoetin Alfa for Treating Anemia in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease on Dialysis: Results from the Randomized Phase 3 ROCKIES Study. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2022; **33:** 850-866.
- 49. Charytan C, Manllo-Karim R, Martin ER, *et al.* A Randomized Trial of Roxadustat in Anemia of Kidney Failure: SIERRAS Study. *Kidney Int Rep* 2021; **6**: 1829-1839.
- 50. Nangaku M, Kondo K, Ueta K, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of vadadustat compared with darbepoetin alfa in Japanese anemic patients on hemodialysis: a Phase 3, multicenter, randomized, double-blind study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2021; **36:** 1731-1741.
- 51. Eckardt KU, Agarwal R, Aswad A, *et al.* Safety and Efficacy of Vadadustat for Anemia in Patients Undergoing Dialysis. *N Engl J Med* 2021; **384:** 1601-1612.
- 52. Mauri L, D'Agostino RB, Sr. Challenges in the Design and Interpretation of Noninferiority Trials. *N Engl J Med* 2017; **377:** 1357-1367.
- 53. Akizawa T, Nangaku M, Yamaguchi T*, et al.* A Placebo-Controlled, Randomized Trial of Enarodustat in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease Followed by Long-Term Trial. *Am J Nephrol* 2019; **49:** 165-174.
- 54. Akizawa T, Nobori K, Matsuda Y, *et al.* Molidustat for anemia correction in Japanese patients undergoing hemodialysis: a single-arm, phase 3 study. *Ther Apher Dial* 2021; **25:** 917-925.
- 55. Macdougall IC, Akizawa T, Berns JS, *et al.* Effects of Molidustat in the Treatment of Anemia in CKD. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2019; **14:** 28-39.
- 56. Kanai H, Nangaku M, Nagai R, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of daprodustat in Japanese peritoneal dialysis patients. *Ther Apher Dial* 2021; **25:** 979-987.

2		
4 5 6 7	57.	Besarab A, Bolton WK, Browne JK, <i>et al.</i> The effects of normal as compared with low hematocrit values in patients with cardiac disease who are receiving hemodialysis and epoetin. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 1998; 339 : 584-590.
8 9 10 11 12	58.	Drüeke TB, Locatelli F, Clyne N, <i>et al.</i> Normalization of Hemoglobin Level in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease and Anemia. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> 2006; 355: 2071-2084.
13 14 15 16 17	59.	Singh AK, Szczech L, Tang KL <i>, et al.</i> Correction of anemia with epoetin alfa in chronic kidney disease. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 2006; 355: 2085-2098.
17 18 19 20 21	60.	Pfeffer MA, Burdmann EA, Chen CY, <i>et al.</i> A trial of darbepoetin alfa in type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 2009; 361: 2019-2032.
22 23 24	61.	Macdougall IC, White C, Anker SD, et al. Intravenous Iron in Patients Undergoing Maintenance Hemodialysis. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 2019; 380: 447-458.
25 26 27 28	62.	Agarwal AK. Iron metabolism and management: focus on chronic kidney disease. <i>Kidney Int Suppl (2011)</i> 2021; 11: 46-58.
29 30 31 32 33	63.	Akizawa T, Tsubakihara Y, Nangaku M, <i>et al.</i> Effects of Daprodustat, a Novel Hypoxia- Inducible Factor Prolyl Hydroxylase Inhibitor on Anemia Management in Japanese Hemodialysis Subjects. <i>Am J Nephrol</i> 2017; 45: 127-135.
34 35 36 37 38	64.	Besarab A, Chernyavskaya E, Motylev I <i>, et al.</i> Roxadustat (FG-4592): Correction of Anemia in Incident Dialysis Patients. <i>J Am Soc Nephrol</i> 2016; 27: 1225-1233.
39 40 41 42	65.	Holdstock L, Meadowcroft AM, Maier R, <i>et al.</i> Four-Week Studies of Oral Hypoxia- Inducible Factor-Prolyl Hydroxylase Inhibitor GSK1278863 for Treatment of Anemia. <i>J</i> <i>Am Soc Nephrol</i> 2016; 27: 1234-1244.
43 44 45 46 47 48	66.	Guedes M, Muenz D, Zee J, <i>et al.</i> Serum biomarkers of iron stores are associated with worse physical health-related quality of life in nondialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease patients with or without anemia. <i>Nephrol Dial Transplant</i> 2021; 36: 1694-1703.
48 49 50 51 52 53	67.	Guedes M, Muenz DG, Zee J, <i>et al.</i> Serum Biomarkers of Iron Stores Are Associated with Increased Risk of All-Cause Mortality and Cardiovascular Events in Nondialysis CKD Patients, with or without Anemia. <i>J Am Soc Nephrol</i> 2021; 32: 2020-2030.
55 54 55 56	68.	Johansen KL, Cobitz AR, Singh AK, <i>et al</i> . The ASCEND-NHQ trial found positive effects of daprodustat on hemoglobin and quality of life in patients with non-dialysis-dependent
58		29
59 60		The International Society of Nephrology (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms)

chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int* (in press). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2023.02.019.

- 69. FDA. FDA Drug Safety Communication: Modified Dosing Recommendations to Improve the Safe Use of Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agents in Chronic Kidney Disease. (<u>http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm259639.htm</u>).
- 70. FDA. Cardiovascular and Renal Drugs Advisory Committee Meeting Roxadustat. https://www.fda.gov/media/150728/download.
- 71. Provenzano R, Szczech L, Leong R, *et al.* Efficacy and Cardiovascular Safety of Roxadustat for Treatment of Anemia in Patients with Non-Dialysis-Dependent CKD: Pooled Results of Three Randomized Clinical Trials. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2021; **16**: 1190-1200.
- 72. Winkelmayer WC, Walther CP. Cardiovascular Safety of Roxadustat in CKD Anemia: A Fig Leaf Named Noninferiority. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2021; **16:** 1155-1157.
- 73. Mc Causland FR, Singh AK, Claggett BL, *et al.* Daprodustat and On-Treatment Cardiovascular Events in Chronic Kidney Disease. Reply. *N Engl J Med* 2022; **387**: 2482-2485.
- 74. Barratt J, Sulowicz W, Schomig M, *et al.* Efficacy and Cardiovascular Safety of Roxadustat in Dialysis-Dependent Chronic Kidney Disease: Pooled Analysis of Four Phase 3 Studies. *Adv Ther* 2021; **38:** 5345-5360.
- 75. Provenzano R, Fishbane S, Szczech L, *et al.* Retraction notice to "Pooled Analysis of Roxadustat for Anemia in Patients With Kidney Failure Incident to Dialysis." Kidney Int Rep. 2021;6:613-623. *Kidney Int Rep* 2022; **7:** 665.
- 76. FDA. Roxadustat for the Treatment of Anemia Due to Chronic Kidney Disease in Adult Patients not on Dialysis and on Dialysis. FDA Presentation: Cardiovascular and Renal Drugs Advisory Committee Meeting. 2021. Available at: https://www.fda.gov/media/150756/download. Accessed January 27, 2023.
- 77. Yap DYH, McMahon LP, Hao CM, *et al.* Recommendations by the Asian Pacific society of nephrology (APSN) on the appropriate use of HIF-PH inhibitors. *Nephrology (Carlton)* 2021; **26:** 105-118.
- 78. Tang X, Fang M, Cheng R, *et al.* Iron-Deficiency and Estrogen Are Associated With Ischemic Stroke by Up-Regulating Transferrin to Induce Hypercoagulability. *Circ Res* 2020; **127:** 651-663.

- 79. Gupta N, Zhao YY, Evans CE. The stimulation of thrombosis by hypoxia. *Thromb Res* 2019; **181:** 77-83.
 - 80. Akebia Therapeutics Receives Complete Response Letter from the FDA for Vadadustat for the Treatment of Anemia due to Chronic Kidney Disease in Adult Patients. Press release, March 30, 2022. https://ir.akebia.com/news-releases/news-release-details/akebia-therapeutics-receives-complete-response-letter-fda.
- 81. Burmakin M, Fasching A, Kobayashi H, *et al.* Pharmacological HIF-PHD inhibition reduces renovascular resistance and increases glomerular filtration by stimulating nitric oxide generation. *Acta Physiol (Oxf)* 2021; **233:** e13668.
- 82. Flamme I, Oehme F, Ellinghaus P, *et al.* Mimicking hypoxia to treat anemia: HIFstabilizer BAY 85-3934 (Molidustat) stimulates erythropoietin production without hypertensive effects. *PLoS One* 2014; **9:** e111838.
- 83. Yu J, Wang S, Shi W, *et al.* Roxadustat prevents Ang II hypertension by targeting angiotensin receptors and eNOS. *JCI Insight* 2021; **6:** e133690.
- 84. Hwang S, Nguyen AD, Jo Y, *et al.* Hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha activates insulininduced gene 2 (Insig-2) transcription for degradation of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl (HMG)-CoA reductase in the liver. *J Biol Chem* 2017; **292:** 9382-9393.
- 85. Shen GM, Zhao YZ, Chen MT, *et al.* Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) promotes LDL and VLDL uptake through inducing VLDLR under hypoxia. *Biochem J* 2012; **441:** 675-683.
- 86. Akizawa T, Nangaku M, Yamaguchi T, *et al.* Two long-term phase 3 studies of enarodustat (JTZ-951) in Japanese anemic patients with chronic kidney disease not on dialysis or on maintenance hemodialysis: SYMPHONY ND-Long and HD-Long studies. *Ther Apher Dial* 2022; **26:** 345-356.
- 87. Nangaku M, Farag YMK, deGoma E, *et al.* Vadadustat, an oral hypoxia-inducible factor prolyl hydroxylase inhibitor, for treatment of anemia of chronic kidney disease: two randomized Phase 2 trials in Japanese patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2020: gfaa060.
- 88. Ku E, Campese V. Is lipid management effective for all stages of CKD? *Blood Purif* 2013; **35:** 26-30.
- 89. Rashid M, Zadeh LR, Baradaran B, *et al.* Up-down regulation of HIF-1α in cancer progression. *Gene* 2021; **798:** 145796.

Haase VH. The VHL tumor suppressor: master regulator of HIF. *Curr Pharm Des* 2009;
 15: 3895-3903.

- 91. Barben M, Samardzija M, Grimm C. The Role of Hypoxia, Hypoxia-Inducible Factor (HIF), and VEGF in Retinal Angiomatous Proliferation. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2018; **1074:** 177-183.
- 92. Zhang D, Lv FL, Wang GH. Effects of HIF-1alpha on diabetic retinopathy angiogenesis and VEGF expression. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2018; **22:** 5071-5076.
- 93. Sepah YJ, Nguyen QD, Yamaguchi Y, *et al.* Two Phase 3 Studies on Ophthalmologic Effects of Roxadustat Versus Darbepoetin. *Kidney Int Rep* 2022; **7:** 763-775.
- 94. Besarab A, Provenzano R, Hertel J, *et al.* Randomized placebo-controlled dose-ranging and pharmacodynamics study of roxadustat (FG-4592) to treat anemia in nondialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease (NDD-CKD) patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2015; **30:** 1665-1673.
- 95. Meadowcroft AM, Cizman B, Holdstock L, *et al.* Daprodustat for anemia: a 24-week, open-label, randomized controlled trial in participants on hemodialysis. *Clin Kidney J* 2019; **12:** 139-148.
- 96. Pergola PE, Spinowitz BS, Hartman CS, *et al.* Vadadustat, a novel oral HIF stabilizer, provides effective anemia treatment in nondialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease. *Kidney international* 2016; **90:** 1115-1122.
- 97. Ichii M, Mori K, Miyaoka D, *et al.* Suppression of thyrotropin secretion during roxadustat treatment for renal anemia in a patient undergoing hemodialysis. *BMC Nephrol* 2021; **22:** 104.
- 98. Tokuyama A, Kadoya H, Obata A, *et al.* Roxadustat and thyroid-stimulating hormone suppression. *Clin Kidney J* 2021; **14:** 1472-1474.
- 99. Cheng Y, Xiang Q, Cao T, *et al.* Suppression of thyroid profile during roxadustat treatment in chronic kidney disease patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2023.
- 100. Nangaku M, Kondo K, Takabe S, *et al.* Vadadustat for anemia in chronic kidney disease patients on peritoneal dialysis: A phase 3 open-label study in Japan. *Ther Apher Dial* 2021; **25:** 642-653.

- 101. 102. 103. 104. 105. 106. 107. 108. 109. 110. 111. 112.
 - 101. Nangaku M, Kondo K, Takabe S, et al. A phase 3, open-label, single-arm study of vadadustat for anemia in chronic kidney disease for Japanese patients on hemodialysis not receiving erythropoiesis-stimulating agents. *Ther Apher Dial* 2022; 26: 45-54.
 - 102. Joharapurkar AA, Patel VJ, Kshirsagar SG, *et al.* Prolyl hydroxylase inhibitor desidustat improves anemia in erythropoietin hyporesponsive state. *Curr Res Pharmacol Drug Discov* 2022; **3:** 100102.
 - 103. Atkinson MA, Martz K, Warady BA, *et al.* Risk for anemia in pediatric chronic kidney disease patients: a report of NAPRTCS. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2010; **25:** 1699-1706.
 - 104. Schmitt CP, Nau B, Brummer C, *et al.* Increased injection pain with darbepoetin-alpha compared to epoetin-beta in paediatric dialysis patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2006; **21:** 3520-3524.
 - 105. Kular D, Macdougall IC. HIF stabilizers in the management of renal anemia: from bench to bedside to pediatrics. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2019; **34:** 365-378.
 - 106. Buchholz B, Eckardt KU. Role of oxygen and the HIF-pathway in polycystic kidney disease. *Cell Signal* 2020; **69:** 109524.
 - 107. Perkovic V, Blackorby A, Cizman B, *et al.* The ASCEND-ND trial: study design and participant characteristics. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2022; **37:** 2157-2170.
 - 108. Taylor CT, Doherty G, Fallon PG, *et al.* Hypoxia-dependent regulation of inflammatory pathways in immune cells. *J Clin Invest* 2016; **126:** 3716-3724.
 - 109. Inzucchi SE, Zinman B, Fitchett D, *et al.* How Does Empagliflozin Reduce Cardiovascular Mortality? Insights From a Mediation Analysis of the EMPA-REG OUTCOME Trial. *Diabetes Care* 2018; **41:** 356-363.
 - 110. Li J, Neal B, Perkovic V, *et al.* Mediators of the effects of canagliflozin on kidney protection in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Kidney international* 2020; **98**: 769-777.
 - 111. Li J, Woodward M, Perkovic V, *et al.* Mediators of the Effects of Canagliflozin on Heart Failure in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes. *JACC Heart Fail* 2020; **8**: 57-66.
 - 112. Marathias KP, Lambadiari VA, Markakis KP, *et al.* Competing Effects of Renin Angiotensin System Blockade and Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter-2 Inhibitors on Erythropoietin Secretion in Diabetes. *Am J Nephrol* 2020; **51:** 349-356.

- 113. Stefansson BV, Heerspink HJL, Wheeler DC, et al. Correction of anemia by dapagliflozin in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Diabetes Complications 2020; **34:** 107729.
- 114. Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, *et al.* Empagliflozin, Cardiovascular Outcomes, and Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2015; **373:** 2117-2128.
- 115. Jackson AM, Dewan P, Anand IS, *et al.* Dapagliflozin and Diuretic Use in Patients With Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction in DAPA-HF. *Circulation* 2020; **142:** 1040-1054.
- 116. Docherty KF, Curtain JP, Anand IS, *et al.* Effect of dapagliflozin on anaemia in DAPA-HF. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2021; **23:** 617-628.
- 117. Ferrannini E, Baldi S, Frascerra S, *et al.* Renal Handling of Ketones in Response to Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibition in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2017; **40**: 771-776.
- 118. Ghanim H, Abuaysheh S, Hejna J, *et al.* Dapagliflozin Suppresses Hepcidin And Increases Erythropoiesis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2020; **105**.
- 119. Lambers Heerspink HJ, de Zeeuw D, Wie L, *et al.* Dapagliflozin a glucose-regulating drug with diuretic properties in subjects with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2013; **15:** 853-862.
- 120. Maruyama T, Takashima H, Oguma H, *et al.* Canagliflozin Improves Erythropoiesis in Diabetes Patients with Anemia of Chronic Kidney Disease. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2019; **21:** 713-720.
- 121. Oshima M, Neuen BL, Jardine MJ, *et al.* Effects of canagliflozin on anaemia in patients with type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease: a post-hoc analysis from the CREDENCE trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2020; **8**: 903-914.

60

| 2 | |
|----------|---|
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| /
Q | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | Novel Anemia Therapies in CKD: Conclusions from a Kidney Disease: Improving |
| 11 | Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Controversies Conference |
| 12 | |
| 13 | Elaina Ku 1231 ucia Dol Vacchia 4 Kai Llwa Eckardt 5 Valkar H. Haasa 6 Kiretan I |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Johansen, ⁷ Masaomi Nangaku, ⁸ Navdeep Tangri, ⁹ Sushrut S. Waikar, ¹⁰ |
| 16 | Andrzej Więcek, ¹¹ Michael Cheung, ¹² Michel Jadoul, ¹³ Wolfgang C. Winkelmayer, ¹⁴ |
| 1/
10 | and David C. Wheeler ¹⁵ for Conference Participants* |
| 10 | |
| 20 | ¹ Division of Nenhrology, Department of Medicine, University of California San |
| 21 | Experience Ora Experience Colifernia UDA: |
| 22 | Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA; |
| 23 | ² Division of Pediatric Nephrology, Department of Pediatrics, University of California San |
| 24 | Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA; 🚬 🔨 |
| 25 | ³ Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California San Francisco, |
| 26 | San Francisco, California, USA: |
| 2/ | 4Department of Nephrology and Dialysis, Sant'Appa Hespital ASST Lariana, Come |
| 20
29 | Department of Nephrology and Dialysis, Sant Anna Hospital, ASST Lanana, Como, |
| 30 | italy; |
| 31 | ⁵ Department of Nephrology and Medical Intensive Care, Charité-Universitätsmedizin |
| 32 | Berlin, Berlin, Germany; |
| 33 | ⁶ Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center; and Department of |
| 34 | Molecular Physiology and Biophysics and Program in Cancer Biology, Vanderbilt |
| 35 | |
| 30
27 | |
| 38 | 'Division of Nephrology, Hennepin Healthcare, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA; Division |
| 39 | of Nephrology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA; |
| 40 | ⁸ Division of Nephrology and Endocrinology, The University of Tokyo Graduate School of |
| 41 | Medicine, Tokyo, Japan; |
| 42 | ⁹ Chronic Disease Innovation Centre. Seven Oaks General Hospital. Winnipeg. |
| 43 | Manitoba, Canada: Department of Internal Medicine, Max Rady College of Medicine |
| 44
45 | Manitoba, Ganada, Department of Internal Medicine, Max Nady Conege of Medicine, |
| 45
46 | University of Manitoba. Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada; |
| 40
47 | ¹⁰ Section of Nephrology, Boston University Chobanian & Avedisian School of Medicine |
| 48 | and Boston Medical Center, Boston, USA; |
| 49 | |
| 50 | |
| 51 | 1 |
| 52 | |
| 53 | |
| 54
55 | |
| 56 | |
| 57 | |
| 58 | |
| 59 | |

¹¹Department of Nephrology, Transplantation and Internal Medicine, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland; ¹²KDIGO, Brussels, Belgium; ¹³Cliniques Universitaires Saint Luc, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium; ¹⁴Selzman Institute for Kidney Health, Section of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, USA; and ¹⁵Department of Renal Medicine, University College London, London, UK *Other conference participants: Baris Afsar, Turkey; Tadao Akizawa, Japan; Stefan D. Anker, Germany; Mustafa Arici, Turkey; Jodie L. Babitt, USA; Jonathan Barratt, UK; Jeffrey S. Berns, USA; Anatole Besarab, USA; Sunil Bhandari, UK; Christopher Brown, UK; Aleix Cases, Spain; Glenn M. Chertow, USA; Cynthia Delgado, USA; Tillman B. Drüeke, France; Steven Fishbane, USA; Rafael Gómez, Columbia; Morgan E. Grams, USA; Takayuki Hamano, Japan; Chuan-Ming Hao, China; Raymond K. Hsu, USA; Kunitoshi Iseki, Japan; Isabelle Jordans, Germany; Edgar V. Lerma, USA; Francesco Locatelli, Italy; Iain C. Macdougall, UK; Jolanta Małyszko, Poland; Patrick Maxwell, UK; Lawrence P. McMahon, Australia; Gregorio T. Obrador, Mexico; Marlies Ostermann, UK; Roberto Pecoits-Filho, USA; Farzana Perwad, USA; Simon D. Roger, Australia; Ajay K. Singh, USA; Laura Solá, Uruguay; Bruce S. Spinowitz, USA; Mai Sugahara, Japan; Toshiyuki Takahashi, Japan; Mototsugu Tanaka, Japan; Tetsuhiro Tanaka, Japan; Der-Cherng Tarng, Taiwan; Marcello Tonelli, Canada; Yusuke Tsukamoto, Japan; Carl P. Walther, USA; Angela Yee-Moon Wang, Hong Kong, China; Bradley A. Warady, USA; Angela C. Webster, Australia; Matthew R. Weir, USA; Jay B. Wish, USA, Muh Geot Wong, Australia. Running title: Novel anemia therapies in CKD: A KDIGO Conference Report Word count: 4917
| 2 | |
|-----------|---|
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Correspondence |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Elaine Ku |
| 12 | Division of Nephrology |
| 13 | University of California, San Francisco |
| 14 | |
| 15 | 533 Parnassus Avenue, 0404 |
| 17 | San Francisco, CA, USA 94143-0532 |
| 18 | Email: <u>elaine.ku@ucsf.edu</u> |
| 19 | |
| 20 | David C. Wheeler |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Department of Renal Medicine |
| 23 | University College London |
| 24 | Royal Free Campus, Rowland Hill Street |
| 25 | London NW3 2NB. UK |
| 20
27 | Email: d.wheeler@ucl.ac.uk |
| 27 | |
| 20 | |
| 30 | Kouwords: anemia: chronic kidney disease: dialysis: enythronoiesis stimulating |
| 31 | Reywords. anemia, chronic kloney disease, dialysis, erythropolesis sumulating |
| 32 | agents; erythropoletin; hepcidin; hemoglobin; hyporesponsiveness; hypoxia-inducible |
| 33 | factor-prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors; iron; iron deficiency; major adverse cardiovascular |
| 34 | events |
| 35 | |
| 36 | |
| 3/ | |
| 38
20 | |
| <u>40</u> | |
| 40 | |
| 42 | |
| 43 | |
| 44 | |
| 45 | |
| 46 | |
| 47 | |
| 48 | |
| 49 | |
| 50
51 | |
| 57 | 3 |
| 52
53 | |
| 55 | |
| 55 | |
| 56 | |
| 57 | |
| 58 | |

59

60

Jvasi

ABSTRACT

Anemia is common in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) and is associated with a high burden of morbidity and adverse clinical outcomes. In 2012, Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) published a guideline for the diagnosis and management of anemia in CKD. Since then, new data from studies assessing established and emerging therapies for the treatment of anemia and iron deficiency have become available. Beginning in 2019, KDIGO planned two Controversies Conferences to review the new evidence and its potential impact on the management of anemia in clinical practice. Here we report on the second of these conferences held virtually in December 2021 which focused on a new class of agents, the hypoxia-inducible factor-prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors (HIF-PHIs). This report provides a review of the consensus points and controversies from this second ant prioritization conference and highlights areas that warrant prioritization for future research.

INTRODUCTION

Anemia is common in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) and results from inadequate erythropoietin (EPO) production, abnormal iron metabolism, blood loss, inflammation, nutritional deficiencies, and oxidative stress.¹ The 2012 Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (anemia) guideline provided recommendations for the diagnosis and treatment of anemia related to CKD, including the use of iron, recombinant human EPO and its derivatives (collectively termed erythropoiesisstimulating agents [ESAs]), and blood transfusions.² Since the publication of this guideline, new therapies for the treatment of anemia have emerged and a reevaluation of the 2012 KDIGO guideline is required. In December 2019, KDIGO held the first of two Controversies Conferences on CKD anemia, which focused on iron.³ The second conference, held virtually in December 2021, focused primarily on hypoxia-inducible factor-prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors (HIF-PHIs) following the release of extensive efficacy and safety data. Given the historical nomenclature, we will continue to refer to epoetins, *i.e., recombinant human EPO and its derivatives, but not HIF-PHIs* as ESAs throughout even though HIF-PHIs also stimulate erythropoiesis.

Hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs) are oxygen-regulated heterodimeric transcription factors that regulate multiple cellular processes.⁴ HIFs coordinate the response to hypoxia by increasing EPO production in the <u>kidneys and liver liver and</u> <u>kidneys and by upregulating the expression of genes involved in iron transport</u>, enhancing its uptake and absorption.⁴⁻⁶ Hepcidin regulates ferroportin, an iron channel on the surface of enterocytes, hepatocytes, and macrophages, and inhibits iron absorption from the gut and its release from macrophages.⁷ Systemic HIF activation leads to an increase in EPO production and use of iron by erythroblasts, which in turn <u>results inleads to indirectly</u> suppression of es-hepcidin production in the liver and , which leads to enhanced intestinal iron absorption and iron mobilization.⁸⁻¹¹ In the presence of oxygen, prolyl hydroxylase enzymes hydroxylate the oxygen-regulated HIF- α subunit, thereby targeting it for proteasomal degradation.¹² When oxygen levels decrease, prolyl hydroxylation and degradation of HIF- α are inhibited, resulting in its cellular accumulation and formation of the HIF heterodimeric transcription factor.^{1, 13}

Prolyl hydroxylation can be pharmacologically inhibited by oral HIF-PHIs,^{14, 15} which stimulates erythropoiesis, largely by increasing EPO production. Potential benefits of HIF-PHIs in addition to their oral route of administration (particular for patients who are not treated with hemodialysis) include the theoretical advantage of reduced exposure to high peak serum EPO concentrations, as substantially lower peak serum EPO levels have been found in patients treated with HIF-PHIs compared with those receiving intravenous epoetin injections.¹⁶ Due to their mechanism of action, HIF-PHIs may enhance enteric iron absorption and iron utilization (unlike ESAs) and may be more efficacious in correcting anemia despite chronic inflammation, though this remains an area of controversy. Other possible advantages of HIF-PHIs over ESAs include their oral route of administration and stability at room temperature. Eliminating the need for frequent subcutaneous injections, although these may be infrequently for longer-acting ESAs, may be important for those with non-dialysis dependent CKD (ND-CKD) or treated with peritoneal dialysis (**Table 1**).

Because of HIF's pleiotropic functions, the pharmacologic activation of HIF in patients with anemia of CKD is also likely to have effects beyond erythropoiesis and iron metabolism, depending on the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of the administered compound, drug dosing, and drug exposure.⁹ HIF-mediated effects on cellular differentiation and growth, vascular homeostasis and hemodynamics, inflammation, and cellular metabolism are well documented in preclinical studies and could modify the risk of cardiovascular disease, thrombosis, and malignancy. To what extent non-erythropoietic signaling pathways are activated in patients receiving HIF-PHIs is difficult to predict and to measure, and the advantages of HIF-PHIs must therefore be balanced against potential risks. Thus, controversy persists surrounding the role of HIF-PHIs in the treatment of anemia of CKD.^{17, 18}

Overview of the available HIF-PHIs and clinical trial programs

To date, more than 50 randomized studies of HIF-PHIs have been published.¹⁹ There are currently six available-HIF-PHIs agents in clinical development including

| 3 | |
|----------|---|
| 5 | |
| 6
7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9
10 | daprodustat, desidustat, enarodustat, molidustat, roxadustat, and vadadustat (Tables 2- |
| 11 | 3). ²⁰⁻⁵¹ |
| 12 | |
| 15
14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16
17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19
20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 25 | |
| 26
27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29
30 | |
| 31 | |
| 32
33 | |
| 34 | |
| 35
36 | |
| 37 | |
| 38
30 | |
| 40 | |
| 41
42 | |
| 42
43 | |
| 44 | |
| 45
46 | |
| 47 | |
| 48
49 | |
| 50 | |
| 51
52 | 7 |
| 53 | |
| 54
55 | |
| 56 | |
| 57 | |
| 58
59 | |
| 60 | The International Society of Nephrology (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms) |

Most published Phase 2 and 3 trials have focused on the efficacy of HIF-PHIs compared with placebo or ESAs in treating anemia.¹⁹ Because of concerns that became apparent during clinical trials of ESAs, particularly with respect to cardiovascular safety, regulators have required large-scale trials to establish the cardiovascular safety of these agents. Three large phase 3 programs (roxadustat, vadadustat, and daprodustat) have published data on cardiovascular outcomes in ND-CKD and dialysis-dependent CKD (DD-CKD) (**Tables 2-3**).^{21, 26, 34, 37, 42, 51} Conference participants felt that because most of the experience with these agents has been in the context of trials, regulatory agencies should continue to gather data on adverse events in routine clinical practice as usage grows. Currently, different HIF-PHIs have been approved for clinical use in <u>various countries and regions</u> (**Supplemental Table 1**).

MosHt HIF-PHIs have been studied in the context of either a superiority (compared with placebo) or non-inferiority (compared with ESAs) or superiority (compared with placebo) trial design. Non-inferiority trials formally test, within a statistical framework, whether a new treatment is not worse than the comparator by a pre-specified margin. This margin should ideally be based on the observed adverse event rate of the standard therapy versus placebo in randomized controlled trials (RCTs), or reflect a margin deemed acceptable to clinicians and patients.⁵² The null hypothesis in a non-inferiority trial states that a novel therapy is worse than the standard therapy (comparator) on the outcome by the pre-specified margin. Therefore. interpretation of the results of non-inferiority trials of HIF-PHIs should take into consideration the non-inferiority margins incorporated into the design as well as the rates of dropout and crossover in both arms.⁵² If multiple participants assigned to the new treatment switch to the comparator, non-inferiority will be more difficult to assess and erroneous rejection of the null hypothesis (i.e., a conclusion of non-inferiority) may occur. The three major phase 3 programs which have examined the cardiovascular safety of HIF-PHIs have all used non-inferiority trial designs. and two have also used superiority designs.

Efficacy of HIF-PHIs in the correction of anemia

There was general consensus among the attendees that HIF-PHIs are superior to placebo and non-inferior to ESAs in increasing and maintaining hemoglobin (Hb) concentration among patients with ND-CKD and DD-CKD.^{21, 26, 31, 34, 37, 42, 51} Large, randomized trials have demonstrated that roxadustat,^{26, 42} vadadustat,^{34, 51} and daprodustat^{21, 37} are superior to placebo and/or non-inferior to ESAs in correcting and/or maintaining Hb at target levels in ND-CKD and incident and prevalent DD-CKD patients (**Tables 2-3**). Similar findings have been noted with molidustat, enarodustat, and desidustat.^{22,25, 39, 40, 53-55} The Hb response with HIF-PHIs is dose-dependent and varies by agent and protocol, and at the recommendedstarting doses applied according to protocol at trial entry, some agents mayincreased the Hb more rapidly than others. Rates of blood transfusion are similar among patients receiving HIF-PHIs versus ESAs and generally lower than among those receiving placebo.¹⁹

Based on the results of trials that included patients treated with hemodialysis (HD) and peritoneal dialysis (PD) and single-arm trials among patients treated with PD,⁵⁶ HIF-PHIs appear to be at least as effective among those receiving PD versus HD. A detailed comparison of Japanese HD and PD patients receiving daprodustat or vadadustat indicated that patients treated with HD required a higher dose to achieve the same Hb as patients treated with PD.³⁷⁻⁴⁰

Optimal hemoglobin targets for the correction of anemia

From a theoretical standpoint, the different mechanisms by which ESAs and HIF-PHIs enhance erythropoiesis could warrant different therapeutic Hb targets. Current targets which aim for partial correction of Hb are based on clinical trials conducted several years ago.⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹ These trials compared higher versus lower Hb targets achieved using ESAs, in which major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE), mortality, and thrombotic events were more common among patients assigned to the higher of the Hb targets.⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹ In addition, one trial comparing a high Hb target with placebo (and a conservative rescue strategy) in ND-CKD patients with diabetes found an increased rate of **strokes**.⁶⁰ However, no HIF-PHI trials to date have compared Hb normalization or

Commented [MC1]: TREAT added here

near-normalization with the currently recommended lower Hb targets recommended for CKD patients. A few Japanese trials using daprodustat and molidustat have targeted Hb values exceeding 12 g/dl.^{20, 24, 25} Because phase 3 trials of HIF-PHIs were designed primarily for efficacy and safety evaluation and to meet criteria set forth by regulatory agencies in different geographic regions, guideline-recommended Hb targets were used resulting in some regional differences (**Tables 2-3**). Overall, the attendees felt that the available data do not provide a rationale for targeting higher Hb levels with HIF-PHIs than the currently recommended targets established using ESAs.

Implications for iron management during the correction of anemia

Iron therapy is a critical cornerstone of anemia management, and iron availability is impaired in patients with CKD^{3, 61} Although data from clinical trials suggest that HIF-PHIs may modulate iron metabolism,⁶² iron parameters and iron utilization were not primary outcomes in these studies. The conference participants generally felt that the interpretation of iron-related data from these trials is impeded by significant limitations in trial design. Many aspects of iron management were not appropriately specified and were left to the discretion of the investigator and/or were based on local clinical practice patterns.⁹ In some trials, iron protocols differed between treatment and comparator groups within a trial.^{32, 47} Other design limitations included differences in Hb targets and achieved Hb between treatment arms, differences in the proportion of patients with baseline iron deficiency, and baseline imbalances in iron and hepcidin status and relevant co-morbidities.

Notwithstanding the limitations in trials thus far, higher serum transferrin levels in HIF-PHI treated patients, either measured directly ^{20, 26-28, 35, 42-45, 63-65} or indirectly by calculating total iron binding capacity (TIBC), were reported across different compounds. In contrast, the effects on serum iron, hepcidin, transferrin saturation (TSAT) and ferritin were more variable among individual trials and between compounds.⁶² A summary of iron use and changes in iron parameters is shown in **Tables 4-5**.^{21, 29-32,34,36-38,46-49,51}

Commented [MC2]: PIVOTAL added here



Although there is potential for a reduction in intravenous (IV) iron treatment, there was general consensus that HIF-PHI therapy will not eliminate the need for iron replacement in DD-CKD patients. The conference participants agreed that iron parameters should be monitored during treatment with HIF-PHIs, and iron deficiency should be avoided because it is associated with thromboembolic events, impaired red blood cell production,⁴³ lower HRQoL, higher rates of cardiovascular events, and higher mortality.^{66, 67}

In summary, conference participants agreed that clinically meaningful differences in iron utilization have not so far been demonstrated using HIF-PHIs. There will likely be a continued role of iron therapy in patients with ND- and DD-CKD treated with HIF-PHIs.

Effect of HIF-PHIs on Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL)

Several large Phase 3 HIF-PHI trials have included assessments of QoL as exploratory or secondary endpoints.^{29-31, 47} These trials have used different scoring systems which may limit comparability across trials.^{29-31, 47} Numerical improvements, in particular for the SF-36 Physical Functioning subscore, were reported in the OLYMPUS trial which compared roxadustat to placebo.³¹ Data from the smaller dedicated ASCEND-NHQ study in ND-CKD patients, which evaluated the effects of daprodustat versus placebo on QoL using the SF-36 Vitality score, suggested higher vitality score (fatigue) in those receiving daprodustat.⁶⁸ In general, assessment of differences in HRQoL is difficult in trials that do not have a double-blinded design.

The patient representatives in attendance felt that although HRQoL was important, a new treatment should ideally be superior to the current standard of care for both safety and efficacy. However, some patients who were not treated with <u>hemodialysis</u> would prefer an oral option over an injection if safety and efficacy were similar.

Safety of HIF-PHIs

Cardiovascular outcomes

Cardiovascular safety signals from clinical trials of ESAs targeting normal or near-normal Hb concentrations led to labelling changes by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) beginning in 2007.⁶⁹ The current FDA labels for ESAs include warnings on increased risk of death, serious adverse cardiovascular events, and stroke when ESAs are administered to target Hb levels >11 g/dl. No trial has identified an ideal target Hb level, ESA dose, or dosing strategy that does not increase these risks. Thus, for HIF-PHI approval, regulatory agencies asked manufacturers to demonstrate non-inferiority or superiority of HIF-PHIs in terms of the risk for MACE in both dialysis and non-dialysis populations within target ranges recommended for ESAs.

CKD not requiring dialysis (non-dialysis dependent, ND-CKD) (Table 6)^{21,29-31,34}

Roxadustat was the first HIF-PHI to be reviewed by the FDA. Data submitted in support of the New Drug Application included 3 separate trials comparing roxadustat with placebo that were pooled for meta-analyses in the ND-CKD (n = 4270) population.^{70,71} Three ND-dependent studies comparing roxadustat with placebo were pooled.63 A fourth study comparing roxadustat to darbepoetin alfa was analyzed separately.²⁸ The pooled analyses for roxadustat did not have prespecified noninferiority margins that were agreed upon by the FDA.^{71, 72} Comparing the upper limits of the 95% CI against the pre-specified non-inferiority margin of daprodustat and vadadustat trials (HR 1.25), roxadustat would not have met the criteria for non-inferiority in pooled analyses of MACE in the ND-CKD population when compared with placebo: HR 1.10; 95% CI: 0.96-1.27. In further on-treatment sensitivity analyses (as opposed to intention-to-treat analysis) requested by the FDA to minimize the effect of including unexposed person-times or events that may not be affected by the intervention, this risk was heightened.⁷² When assessing events occurring while patients were on treatment and for one week after discontinuation (on-treatment + 7 days analyses), 277 (7.2%) events were recorded in the roxadustat arm compared with 131 (5.6%) events in the placebo arm (HR 1.38; 95% CI: 1.11-1.70). A caveat in these analyses is the higher

dropout rates in the placebo compared to roxadustat arms, with potential for bias that may have disadvantaged roxadustat.

Vadadustat ND-CKD Phase 3 trials of ESA-treated (n = 1725) and ESAuntreated (n = 1751) patients were pooled, as pre-specified, with darbepoetin alfa as the comparator arm in both trials.³⁴ The primary MACE analysis did not meet the prespecified HR=1.30 non-inferiority margin (HR 1.17; 95% CI: 1.01-1.36), and showed a higher risk of MACE in the vadadustat arm. The excess risk was accounted for by nonfatal MI and death from non-cardiovascular causes. Subgroup analyses found a regional difference in the study results, with the increased MACE risk observed in non-U.S. study sites (HR 1.30; 95% CI 1.05-1.62) but no difference in risk in the U.S. study sites (HR 1.06; 95% CI 0.87-1.29).³⁴

Daprodustat non-inferiority trials met the pre-specified non-inferiority margins of a HR of 1.25 in primary analyses of the ND-CKD population in a mixed population of previously ESA-treated and untreated patients (HR: 1.03; 95% CI: 0.89-1.19) in comparison to darbepoetin alfa.²¹ However, in the sensitivity on-treatment MACE analysis, which censored patients at 28 days after the last dose, participants randomized to daprodustat had a higher incidence of MACE than those randomized to ESA in the ND-CKD study (14.1% vs. 10.5%, HR 1.40; 95% CI: 1.17-1.68).²¹ However, differences in the dosing frequency of daprodustat versus ESAs in this trial and differences in definitions of treatment periods may have led to potential bias that disadvantaged daprodustat.²¹

There was a general view among conference participants that major clinical trials have failed to conclusively demonstrate that HIF-PHIs are non-inferior to placebo or conventional ESAs in ND-CKD patients for cardiovascular outcomes. In fact, variable results have been reported for different HIF-PHIs and in different study settings, depending on the type of analyses being performed (e.g., intention-to-treat versus on-treatment analyses). Potential explanations for the differential effects on MACE outcomes between different trials and different agents may result from regional differences in event rates together with imbalances-imbalances in patent characteristics

or geographic location at baseline, or from non-matching intervals of follow-up assessment after the last study drug dose in different randomized groups.⁷³

Dialysis-dependent (DD-CKD) population

In contrast to the ND-CKD trial results, there was consensus that HIF-PHIs in general met non-inferiority criteria for MACE in cardiovascular outcome trials in DD-CKD populations (**Table 7**)^{36-38, 42-51} although controversies surrounding interpretation of the data were discussed. Moreover, in most clinical trials, efficacy and safety of HIF-PHIs was similar in incident and prevalent dialysis populations.

Three studies of roxadustat involving dialysis patients (N=3880) were metaanalyzed in a report submitted to the FDA.⁷⁰ All trials included in this report compared roxadustat to ESA. The analyses of the effect of roxadustat for MACE were discordant based on the analytical approach: in the primary, on-treatment + 7 day analyses, the risk of MACE was similar in the roxadustat and ESA groups: HR 1.02; 95% CI: 0.88-1.20. In the sensitivity, on-treatment analysis, the HR for the risk of MACE in patients treated with roxadustat versus ESA was 1.14; 95% CI: 1.00-1.30, a difference that just missed statistical significance for non-inferiority. A fourth trial conducted in Europe and not included in the pooled meta-analysis due to differences in study design demonstrated a higher risk of death in roxadustat vs. ESA-treated patients (8.9 per 100 patient years (PY) vs. 6.3 per 100 PY; HR 1.54, 95% CI 1.04-2.28).70 In a published analysis of the four roxadustat trials in the DD-CKD population,⁷⁴ MACE and MACE+ (a composite of MACE plus unstable angina or congestive heart failure requiring hospitalization) in the on-treatment plus 7 day analyses showed different results in incident vs. prevalent dialysis patients, with the hazard ratio suggesting benefit in incident patients but harm in prevalent patients. In contrast to the ND-CKD studies, treatment duration was longer for the ESA group.

Vadadustat DD-CKD Phase 3 trials pooled two studies of prevalent (n = 3554) and incident (n = 369) patients, with darbepoetin alfa as the comparator group (**Table 3**).⁵¹ Pooled results showed similar MACE rates in the two arms and met non-inferiority

(HR 0.96; 95% CI: 0.83-1.11).⁵¹ Sensitivity analyses were not available at the time of this conference.

Daprodustat trials met the pre-specified non-inferiority margin of 1.25 in primary analyses of the DD-CKD populations (DD: 0.93; 95% CI: 0.81-1.07).^{21, 37} The sensitivity on-treatment analysis of the DD-CKD population was similar to the primary analysis ₇ with confidence intervals including 1.0 and upper limit of the 95% CI equal to 1.14 (**Table 7**). As seen in studies with ND-CKD patients, regional differences in MACE event rates between geographical regions may at least in part explain these results.⁶⁷

In most clinical trials, efficacy and safety of HIF-PHI were similar in incident and prevalent dialysis populations (**Tables 3 and 7**). A pooled analysis of roxadustat studies noted similar risk of MACE (HR 0.83; 95% CI: 0.61-1.13) and nominally lower risk of MACE+ (HR 0.76; 95% CI: 0.57-1.00) among incident dialysis patients treated with roxadustat, whereas roxadustat was less favorable for MACE (HR 1.18; 95% CI: 1.00-1.38) and all-cause mortality (HR 1.23; 95% CI: 1.02-1.49) in prevalent dialysis patients.⁷⁴ However, the statistical significance of this difference between incident and prevalent dialysis patients was not reported.

Despite overall consensus that HIF-PHI met non-inferiority criteria for MACE in cardiovascular outcome trials involving DD-CKD populations, it was recognized that controversy has surrounded interpretation of the relevant data for roxadustat in this context. This has been fueled by retraction of a published pooled analysis because of post-publication recognition of deviation from the prespecified analytical plan.⁷⁵

Thromboembolic events, including vascular access thrombosis

Administration of HIF-PHIs has been associated with a higher risk of thrombotic events compared with ESAs or placebo.^{76, 77} Although the underlying mechanisms are not understood and appear to be complex, they may be related to the steeper rate of rise in Hb as suggested by a recent FDA safety review for roxadustat.⁷⁶ In addition, HIF-PHI interactions with iron metabolism, i.e., upregulation of transferrin,⁷⁸ or the

interference of HIF with the coagulation system, e.g., through increased expression of plasminogen activator inhibitor may contribute to thrombotic risk.⁷⁹

Roxadustat showed an excess risk of thrombosis in both ND- (versus ESA) and DD-CKD (versus placebo) trials.⁷⁰ A pooled analysis of roxadustat trials showed higher risks of thromboembolic events that were associated with the rate of Hb rise.⁷¹ It is unclear, however, whether lower doses of roxadustat, which would be expected to lead to a slower rate of Hb rise, would ameliorate thrombosis risk while maintaining efficacy. Concerns surrounding the thrombotic risk with vadadustat were raised by the FDA although these concerns were not initially noted in published data.⁸⁰ Daprodustat trials have not reported excess risk of thrombosis compared with active comparator.^{21, 37}

Hypertension

Pre-clinical studies in healthy rats and rats with CKD demonstrated that HIF-PHIs generate significant dose-dependent blood-pressure lowering effects.⁸¹⁻⁸³ However, so far, no significant blood pressure effects have been reported in any HIF-PHI phase 3 programs. The results from a dedicated blood pressure study with daprodustat (ASCEND-BP) have not yet been published (NCT03029247).

Lipid metabolism

Theoretically, HIF-dependent increases in lipoprotein uptake and reductions in cholesterol synthesis via enhanced degradation of 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-glutaryl-CoA reductase may lead to lower blood cholesterol levels with HIF-PHI treatment.^{84, 85} Although dedicated clinical studies specifically focused on the interactions between HIF-PHIs and lipid metabolism have not yet been conducted, significant and consistent reductions in total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels were reported in patients treated with roxadustat or daprodustat (ND- and DD-CKD).^{20, 26, 29, 32, 42, 46, 47, 49, 63, 65} These reductions were not seen in patients treated with enarodustat, molidustat or vadadustat,^{23, 24, 40, 53, 55, 86, 87} clearly indicating that different compounds may have different properties. To what degree cholesterol-lowering effects of daprodustat and

roxadustat might impact cardiovascular risk in patients with CKD anemia is not clear. Given the simultaneous lowering of both LDL- and HDL-cholesterol and lack of clear cardiovascular benefits resulting from with the initiation of statin therapy in cholesterollowering therapy (such as statins) indialysis patients advanced CKD,⁸⁸ HIF-PHImediated interactions with lipid metabolism may not necessarily translate into clinical benefits, even when considering long-term effects beyond the exposure in studies conducted so far.

Kidney disease progression

Pharmacologic HIF activation has been studied in multiple kidney disease models using pharmacologic and genetic approaches.⁴ Experimental studies have consistently demonstrated renoprotective effects of HIF activation in acute kidney injury models, whereas the effects of HIF activation in models of chronic kidney injury appeared to be context-dependent and less consistent.⁴ This has raised concerns that anemia therapy with HIF-PHIs may worsen CKD in certain subgroups of patients. In one trial of molidustat versus ESA, the risk of CKD progression was higher with molidustat, though whether this finding is specific to molidustat is unclear.²⁵

Prespecified secondary analyses of Phase 3 trials of daprodustat and vadadustat in ND-CKD patients showed no beneficial or harmful effects of either drug on CKD outcomes, including the need for dialysis, kidney transplantation, or >40% decline in eGFR.^{21, 34} A Phase 3 trial of roxadustat³¹ suggested greater decline in kidney function compared with placebo. The annual rate of change in eGFR was -3.70 ml/min per 1.73 m² with roxadustat and -3.19 ml/min per 1.73 m² with placebo (difference -0.51 ml/min per 1.73 m²; 95% CI: -1.00 - -0.01; nominal *P* = 0.046). Conference participants agreed that data from CKD anemia trials reported so far do not suggest any clinically relevant impact of HIF-PHIs on kidney disease progression, but also pointed out that these trials were not specifically designed to evaluate such effects.

Malignancy risk

Adaptation to regional hypoxia mediated by the HIF-pathway plays an important role in tumor progression.⁸⁹ Moreover, genetic HIF activation is a central mechanism of

tumorigenesis in patients with the von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) disease and clear cell renal carcinomas.⁹⁰ This and other evidence implies that cancer initiation and/or progression could be an adverse event associated with HIF-PHI use.

While the HIF-PHI phase 3 studies have mostly not shown any signals supporting this assumption, in the ASCEND-ND trial, cancer-related death or tumor progression or recurrence was more commonly observed in those randomized to daprodustat (72 of 1937, 3.7%) than in those randomized to darbepoetin alfa (49 of 1933, 2.5%), with relative risk of 1.47 (95% CI: 1.03- 2.10).²¹ Post hoc analyses that accounted for differential dosing frequency attenuated this observed risk.²¹ A clinical trial of molidustat also reported neoplasms in 9.8% of trial participants in the molidustat group compared with 5.3% in the darbepoetin group.⁴¹ The conference participants agreed that there has been no consistent signal across the HIF-PHIs of an excess risk of malignancy-related adverse events, but the accrued exposure time in clinical trials and clinical practice has not been long enough to be confident of the absence of a clinically relevant risk compared with ESAs, and patients with a history of recent or active malignancy were excluded from trials. Post-marketing surveillance will be important to confirm the safety of HIF-PHIs from the standpoint of cancer risk and provide longer-term follow-up data, and avoidance of HIF-PHIs in patients with a history of malignancy is recommended.

Additional safety concerns

An approximate 2-fold increase in the risk for sepsis and septic shock was reported for roxadustat in ND-CKD patients (pooled studies).⁷⁶ No increased risk of infections has been noted in the serious adverse events of other trials.^{21, 34, 37, 51}

Upregulation of vascular endothelial growth factor by the HIF pathway may increase angiogenesis and therefore in theory worsen diabetic retinopathy and agerelated macular degeneration.^{91, 92} All HIF-PHI trials have included individuals with diabetes at risk for diabetic retinopathy. However, to date, retinopathy has not been reported to worsen during treatment with HIF-PHIs.⁹³ Although higher rates of hyperkalemia and low serum bicarbonate have been reported for HIF-PHIs in some studies,^{26, 29, 42, 94-96} such data have not been reproduced by centralized laboratory analysis or in larger trials.^{33, 34, 50, 51}

Central hypothyroidism has been reported in patients treated with roxadustat,⁹⁷⁻⁹⁹ and the Japanese regulatory agency recently added central hypothyroidism as a potential complication of roxadustat in the package insert. This may be due to the structure of roxadustat, which has a molecular structure similar to triiodothyronine (T3), so that its binding to thyroid hormone receptor β may lead to the down-regulation of thyrotropin releasing hormone (TRH). There has been no report of hypothyroidism as a complication in patients treated with other HIF-PHIs according to our knowledge.

Other clinically significant adverse events may become more apparent as we gain experience with the use of HIF-PHIs in clinical practice.

Practical considerations

Dosing considerations

There have been no head-to-head trials comparing different HIF-PHIs in patients with ND- or DD-CKD. However, marked differences exist in potency, dose requirements, and presumably pharmacokinetics. Phase 3 trials generally showed good efficacy in achieving and maintaining target Hb ranges overall and in subgroups based on age, sex, race, and dialysis modality. There was consensus among conference participants that the appropriate dose depends on the drug and should follow label recommendations. There was also general consensus that the starting HIF-PHI dose should be lower for those who are ESA-naïve versus those who are not. Based on the current Hb and the achieved change in Hb (typically over a 4-week period), the dosing in phase 3 trials was maintained or changed in stepwise fashion. Treatment was temporarily discontinued when Hb exceeded 12 or 13 g/dl in most studies.^{21, 22, 24-26, 31, 34, 35, 37, 39, 42, 46, 51, 54-56, 100, 101} Conference participants generally felt that in clinical routine the HIF-PHI dose should be maintained or changed in similar stepwise fashion as in trial protocols based on the current Hb and its rate of change.



Use of HIF-PHIs in subpopulations of interest

Patients hyporesponsive to ESAs

By lowering hepcidin levels, HIF-PHIs may theoretically be more effective in treating patients who are hyporesponsive to ESAs because of chronic inflammation or functional iron deficiency. Preliminary data suggest that whereas higher doses of ESAs are needed for patients with high C-reactive protein (CRP) levels, this may not be true for HIF-PHIs.^{16, 45} However, CRP concentrations that were considered high in trial participants were only slightly elevated, and sicker and more inflamed patients may have been less likely to have been enrolled in trials of HIF-PHIs. Conference participants also felt that data on the effect of HIF-PHIs in ESA-hyporesponsive patients are limited.

Although the use of HIF-PHIs in combination with ESAs might theoretically be advantageous for patients who are ESA hyporesponsive, there are no data available to support this strategy in clinical practice at present.¹⁰² As with all drugs, there is a risk for drug-drug interactions with the use of HIF-PHIs, particularly in combination with <u>other oral agents</u> (Supplemental Table 42).

Children

Anemia is also a common complication of CKD in children and is associated with decreased quality of life, reduced neurocognitive ability, left ventricular hypertrophy, and increased risk of hospitalizations.¹⁰³ Pain has also been reported with subcutaneous injections of ESAs, making an oral formulation for anemia treatment especially attractive in the pediatric population.¹⁰⁴ However, participants felt that there are insufficient data supporting the use of HIF-PHIs in pediatric patients with anemia of CKD because patients under the age of 18 years were excluded from all Phase 3 trials.¹⁰⁵ Several new trials with roxadustat, daprodustat, and molidustat are planned in pediatric patients after completion of Phase 3 trials in adults.

Polycystic kidney disease

HIF activation occurs in polycystic kidneys in humans and rodents and activation of the HIF–pathway has been shown to enhance cyst expansion in preclinical models.¹⁰⁶ However, whether the use of HIF-PHIs to treat anemia may enhance cyst growth remains unclear. Nevertheless conference participants felt that these agents should not be used in patients with polycystic kidney disease until adequate safety data emerge.

Kidney transplantation

While kidney transplant recipients were excluded from Phase 3 trials of roxadustat and vadadustat, no formal exclusion of subjects with prior kidney transplant was stated in the Phase 3 trials of daprodustat.^{21, 37, 107} However, whether subjects with a functioning kidney transplant at baseline were actually enrolled is currently unknown. HIF-PHIs play a role in immune cell function and therefore HIF-PHIs use could potentially promote graft rejection or increase the risk of malignancy.¹⁰⁸ There is limited experience of using HIF-PHIs in patients who are receiving immunosuppression, such as those with kidney allografts.

Other novel therapeutic agents

Several new agents have been introduced into clinical medicine that may be beneficial for patients with CKD anemia and might be used concurrently with ESAs or HIF-PHIs. Agents in clinical development have been discussed during the first KDIGO Controversies in Optimal Anemia Management Conference in 2019 and are not further discussed here.³ In analogy with HIF-PHIs, SGLT2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) are also considered to stimulate endogenous EPO production.

SGLT2 inhibitors

In addition to their antidiabetic and beneficial cardiovascular and kidney effects, SGLT2i have been shown to increase Hb in patients with kidney disease and/or heart failure.¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹⁴ Because increased Hb in patients treated with SGLT2i appears to be independent of diuretic use and/or rate of intravascular volume depletion,¹¹⁵ SGLT2i-

induced changes in Hb are no longer believed to simply reflect hemoconcentration due to diuresis.¹¹⁶ In fact, SGLT2i administration was associated with transient increases in serum EPO concentrations (30-40%), an increase in reticulocyte counts, a decrease in ferritin and hepcidin, indicating erythropoietic stimulation.¹¹⁷⁻¹²⁰ It has been hypothesized that these pro-erythropoietic actions may have contributed to SGLT2i-mediated protective effects on heart failure outcomes and kidney disease progression.¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹¹ Although current data suggest that SGLT2i may provide beneficial "anti-anemic" effects and delay or prevent the initiation of anemia therapy,¹²¹ conference participants agreed that more information is needed to better understand the mechanisms of action underlying these effects and their clinical relevance.

Conclusions

In summary, HIF-PHIs are non-inferior to conventional ESAs in increasing and maintaining Hb concentrations in patients with NDD- and DD-CKD, and reduce transfusion requirements when compared with placebo. In terms of cardiovascular **outcomessafety**, HIF-PHIs are not superior toinferior, or at best, similar to conventional ESAs. Different safety signals were observed for different HIF-PHIs across large phase 3 trial programs, and concerns surrounding cardiovascular and thrombotic risks persist. The data that are currently available do not support the concept that use of HIF-PHIs will reduce the need for IV or oral iron supplementation among patients with NDD- or DD-CKD nor have superior efficacy in the correction of anemia in states of chronic inflammation. However, published trials to date were not designed to address this-these questions, and iron was administered according to trial protocols which varied widely. Studies examining alternative iron dosing strategies in patients receiving HIF-PHIs are needed. Currently, there are insufficient data to determine whether use of HIF-PHIs improves quality of life in patients with ND-CKD. Further research recommendations are provided in **Table 8**.

DISCLOSURES

The conference was sponsored by KDIGO and was in part supported by unrestricted educational grants from 7 Elements Global, Akebia Therapeutics, Amgen, Astellas, AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Group, Otsuka, Roche, Rockwell Medical, Torii Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., US Renal Care, and Vifor Renal Pharma. Fresenius Medical Care Renal Pharma.

Tables

- Table 1: Potential advantages and disadvantages of various CKD-anemia therapies
- Table 2: Efficacy data from phase 3 HIF-PHI clinical trials in non-dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease
- Table 3: Efficacy data from phase 3 HIF-PHI clinical trials in patients with dialysisdependent chronic kidney disease
- Table 4: Iron parameters from phase 3 HIF-PHI clinical trials in non-dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease
- Table 5: Iron parameters from phase 3 HIF-PHI clinical trials in dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease
- Table 6: Cardiovascular safety data from phase 3 non-inferiority HIF-PHI clinical trials

 in non-dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease
- Table 7: Cardiovascular safety data from phase 3 non-inferiority HIF-PHI clinical trials

 in dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease
- Table 8:
 Research recommendations
- Supplemental Table 1: Availability of HIF-PHIs (as of April 24, 2023) Supplemental Table 2: Drug-drug interactions of HIF-PHIs

| 1
2
3 | | | |
|------------------|-----|---|--|
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6
7 | | | |
| 7
8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | REFERENCES | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | 1. | Babitt JL, Lin HY. Mechanisms of anemia in CKD. J Am Soc Nephrol 2012; 23: 1631- | |
| 13
1 <i>1</i> | | 1054. | |
| 14 | 2 | Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Anemia Work Group, KDIGO | |
| 16 | ۷. | Clinical Practice Guideline for Anemia in Chronic Kidney Disease. <i>Kidney Int Suppl.</i> | |
| 17 | | 2012; 2: 279–335. | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | 3. | Babitt JL, Eisenga MF, Haase VH, et al. Controversies in optimal anemia management: | |
| 20 | | Kidney international 2021; 99: 1280-1295. | |
| 21
22 | | | |
| 22 | 4. | Schodel J, Ratcliffe PJ. Mechanisms of hypoxia signalling: new implications for | |
| 24 | | nephrology. Nat Rev Nephrol 2019; 15: 641-659. | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | 5. | Barrett TD, Palomino HL, Brondstetter TI, <i>et al.</i> Prolyl hydroxylase inhibition corrects | |
| 27 | | 2015: 172: 4078-4088. | |
| 28 | | | |
| 29 | 6. | Rankin EB, Biju MP, Liu Q, et al. Hypoxia-inducible factor-2 (HIF-2) regulates hepatic | |
| 30
31 | | erythropoietin in vivo. J Clin Invest 2007; 117: 1068-1077. | |
| 32 | | | |
| 33 | 7. | Sun CC, Vaja V, Chen S, et al. A hepcidin lowering agent mobilizes iron for incorporation | |
| 34 | | Into red blood cells in an adenine-induced kidney disease model of anemia in rats. | |
| 35 | | | |
| 36 | 8 | Haase VH. Pathophysiological Consequences of HIF Activation: HIF as a modulator of | |
| 37 | 0. | fibrosis. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2009; 1177: 57-65. | |
| 38
30 | | | |
| 40 | 9. | Haase VH. Hypoxia-inducible factor-prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors in the treatment of | |
| 41 | | anemia of chronic kidney disease. <i>Kidney Int Suppl (2011)</i> 2021; 11: 8-25. | |
| 42 | | | |
| 43 | 10. | Hanudel MR, Wong S, Jung G, et al. Amelioration of chronic kidney disease-associated | |
| 44 | | 2021; 100: 79-89. | |
| 45 | | | |
| 46 | 11. | Nicolas G, Chauvet C, Viatte L, et al. The gene encoding the iron regulatory peptide | |
| 47
48 | | hepcidin is regulated by anemia, hypoxia, and inflammation. <i>J Clin Invest</i> 2002; 110 : | |
| 49 | | 1037-1044. | |
| 50 | | | |
| 51 | | 27 | |
| 52 | | | |
| 53 | | | |
| 54 | | | |
| 55
56 | | | |
| 50 | | | |
| 58 | | | |
| 59 | | | |

12. Bruick RK, McKnight SL. A conserved family of prolyl-4-hydroxylases that modify HIF. *Science* 2001; **294:** 1337-1340.

- 13. Gupta N, Wish JB. Hypoxia-inducible factor prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors: A potential new treatment for anemia in patients with CKD. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2017; **69:** 815-826.
- 14. Kurata Y, Tanaka T, Nangaku M. Hypoxia-inducible factor prolyl hydroxylase inhibitor in the treatment of anemia in chronic kidney disease. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 2020; **29:** 414-422.
- 15. Sugahara M, Tanaka T, Nangaku M. Prolyl hydroxylase domain inhibitors as a novel therapeutic approach against anemia in chronic kidney disease. *Kidney international* 2017; **92:** 306-312.
- Provenzano R, Besarab A, Wright S, *et al.* Roxadustat (FG-4592) Versus Epoetin Alfa for Anemia in Patients Receiving Maintenance Hemodialysis: A Phase 2, Randomized, 6- to 19-Week, Open-Label, Active-Comparator, Dose-Ranging, Safety and Exploratory Efficacy Study. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2016; **67:** 912-924.
- 17. Hill P, Shukla D, Tran MG, *et al.* Inhibition of hypoxia inducible factor hydroxylases protects against renal ischemia-reperfusion injury. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2008; **19:** 39-46.
- Kapitsinou PP, Jaffe J, Michael M, et al. Preischemic targeting of HIF prolyl hydroxylation inhibits fibrosis associated with acute kidney injury. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 2012; 302: F1172-1179.
- 19. Natale P, Palmer SC, Jaure A, *et al.* Hypoxia-inducible factor stabilisers for the anaemia of chronic kidney disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2022; **8:** CD013751.
- Nangaku M, Hamano T, Akizawa T, et al. Daprodustat Compared with Epoetin Beta Pegol for Anemia in Japanese Patients Not on Dialysis: A 52-Week Randomized Open-Label Phase 3 Trial. Am J Nephrol 2021; 52: 26-35.
- Singh AK, Carroll K, McMurray JJV, et al. Daprodustat for the Treatment of Anemia in Patients Not Undergoing Dialysis. N Engl J Med 2021; 385: 2313-2324.
- Agrawal D, Varade D, Shah H, et al. Desidustat in Anemia due to Non-Dialysis-Dependent Chronic Kidney Disease: A Phase 3 Study (DREAM-ND). Am J Nephrol 2022; 53: 352-360.

| 2 | | |
|-----------|-----|---|
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | 23 | Akizawa T. Nangaku M. Vamaguchi T. et al. A Dhase 3 Study of Enaroductat in Anomic |
| 10 | 20. | Patients with CKD not Requiring Dialvsis: The SYMPHONY ND Study. Kidney Int Rep |
| 11 | | 2021; 6: 1840-1849. |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | 24 | Yamamoto H. Nobori K. Matsuda Y. et al. Efficacy and Safety of Molidustat for Anemia |
| 14 | | in ESA-Naive Nondialysis Patients: A Randomized, Phase 3 Trial. Am J Nephrol 2021; |
| 15 | | 52: 871-883. |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | 25. | Yamamoto H, Nobori K, Matsuda Y, et al. Molidustat for Renal Anemia in Nondialysis |
| 18 | | Patients Previously Treated with Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agents: A Randomized, |
| 19 | | Open-Label, Phase 3 Study. Am J Nephrol 2021; 52: 884-893. |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | 26. | Chen N, Hao C, Peng X, et al. Roxadustat for anemia in patients with kidney disease not |
| 22 | | receiving dialysis. N Engl J Med 2019; 381: 1001-1010. |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | 27. | Akizawa T. Yamaguchi Y. Otsuka T. et al. A Phase 3. Multicenter. Randomized. Two- |
| 25 | | Arm, Open-Label Study of Intermittent Oral Dosing of Roxadustat for the Treatment of |
| 26 | | Anemia in Japanese Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agent-Naive Chronic Kidney Disease |
| 27 | | Patients Not on Dialysis. Nephron 2020; 144: 372-382. |
| 28 | | |
| 29 | 28. | Akizawa T, Iwasaki M, Otsuka T, et al. Phase 3 Study of Roxadustat to Treat Anemia in |
| 30 | | Non-Dialysis-Dependant CKD. Kidney Int Rep 2021; 6: 1810-1828. |
| 31 | | |
| 32 | 29. | Shutov E, Sulowicz W, Esposito C, et al. Roxadustat for the treatment of anemia in |
| 33 | | chronic kidney disease patients not on dialysis: a Phase 3, randomized, double-blind, |
| 34 | | placebo-controlled study (ALPS). Nephrol Dial Transplant 2021; 36: 1629-1639. |
| 35 | | |
| 36 | 30. | Coyne DW, Roger SD, Shin SK, et al. Roxadustat for CKD-related Anemia in Non- |
| 37 | | dialysis Patients. Kidney Int Rep 2021; 6: 624-635. |
| 38 | | |
| 39 | 31. | Fishbane S, El-Shahawy MA, Pecoits-Filho R, et al. Roxadustat for Treating Anemia in |
| 40 | | Patients with CKD Not on Dialysis: Results from a Randomized Phase 3 Study. J Am |
| 41 | | Soc Nephrol 2021; 32: 737-755. |
| 42 | | |
| 43 | 32. | Barratt J, Andric B, Tataradze A, et al. Roxadustat for the treatment of anaemia in |
| 44 | | chronic kidney disease patients not on dialysis: a Phase 3, randomized, open-label, |
| 45 | | active-controlled study (DOEONITES). Nephroi Diai Transpiant 2021, 30. 1010-1028. |
| 46 | | |
| 40 | 33. | Nangaku M, Kondo K, Kokado Y, <i>et al.</i> Phase 3 Randomized Study Comparing |
| -7
/18 | | Vadadustat with Darbepoetin Alfa for Anemia in Japanese Patients with Nondialysis- |
| 40 | | Dependent OND. 3 Am Obe Nephilol 2021, 32. 1113-1130. |
| 49
50 | | |
| 50 | | |
| 50 | | 29 |
| 52 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 54
57 | | |
| 55
56 | | |
| 50 | | |
| 57 | | |
| 58 | | |
| 59 | | The International Society of Nenhrology (http://www.icp.opling. |
| 60 | | the international society of Nephrology (http://www.ish-offiline. |

Kidney International

| 2 |
|----------------------|
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12
12 |
| 13
14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 25
24 |
| 2 4
25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
| 28 |
| 29 |
| 30 |
| 31 |
| 32 |
| 33
34 |
| 35 |
| 36 |
| 37 |
| 38 |
| 39 |
| 40 |
| 41 |
| 42 |
| 43
44 |
| 45 |
| 46 |
| 47 |
| 48 |
| 49 |
| 50 |
| 51 |
| 52
52 |
| 53
54 |
| 54 |
| 56 |
| 57 |
| 58 |
| 59 |

60

1

- 34. Chertow GM, Pergola PE, Farag YMK, *et al.* Vadadustat in Patients with Anemia and Non-Dialysis-Dependent CKD. *N Engl J Med* 2021; **384:** 1589-1600.
- 35. Akizawa T, Nangaku M, Yonekawa T, *et al.* Efficacy and Safety of Daprodustat Compared with Darbepoetin Alfa in Japanese Hemodialysis Patients with Anemia: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Phase 3 Trial. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2020; **15:** 1155-1165.
- Singh AK, Cizman B, Carroll K, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Daprodustat for Treatment of Anemia of Chronic Kidney Disease in Incident Dialysis Patients: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Intern Med 2022; 182: 592-602.
- 37. Singh AK, Carroll K, Perkovic V, *et al.* Daprodustat for the Treatment of Anemia in Patients Undergoing Dialysis. *N Engl J Med* 2021; **385**: 2325-2335.
- Coyne DW, Singh AK, Lopes RD, *et al.* Three Times Weekly Dosing of Daprodustat versus Conventional Epoetin for Treatment of Anemia in Hemodialysis Patients: ASCEND-TD: A Phase 3 Randomized, Double-Blind, Noninferiority Trial. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2022; **17**: 1325-1336.
- Gang S, Khetan P, Varade D, et al. Desidustat in Anemia due to Dialysis-Dependent Chronic Kidney Disease: A Phase 3 Study (DREAM-D). Am J Nephrol 2022; 53: 343-351.
- 40. Akizawa T, Nangaku M, Yamaguchi T, *et al.* A Phase 3 Study of Enarodustat (JTZ-951) in Japanese Hemodialysis Patients for Treatment of Anemia in Chronic Kidney Disease: SYMPHONY HD Study. *Kidney Dis (Basel)* 2021; **7:** 494-502.
- Akizawa T, Yamada T, Nobori K, et al. Molidustat for Japanese Patients With Renal Anemia Receiving Dialysis. Kidney Int Rep 2021; 6: 2604-2616.
- 42. Chen N, Hao C, Liu BC, *et al.* Roxadustat Treatment for Anemia in Patients Undergoing Long-Term Dialysis. *N Engl J Med* 2019; **381:** 1011-1022.
- 43. Akizawa T, Ueno M, Shiga T, *et al.* Oral roxadustat three times weekly in ESA-naive and ESA-converted patients with anemia of chronic kidney disease on hemodialysis: Results from two phase 3 studies. *Ther Apher Dial* 2020; **24:** 628-641.
- 44. Akizawa T, Otsuka T, Reusch M, *et al.* Intermittent Oral Dosing of Roxadustat in Peritoneal Dialysis Chronic Kidney Disease Patients with Anemia: A Randomized, Phase 3, Multicenter, Open-Label Study. *Ther Apher Dial* 2020; **24:** 115-125.

| 1 | | |
|----|-----|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | 45. | Akizawa T, Iwasaki M, Yamaguchi Y, et al. Phase 3, Randomized, Double-Blind, Active- |
| 11 | | comparator (Darbepoetin Alfa) Study of Oral Roxadustat in CKD Patients with Anemia |
| 12 | | on nemodialysis in Sapan. 5 Am Soc Nephrol 2020, 31. 1020-1039. |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | 46. | Provenzano R, Shutov E, Eremeeva L, et al. Roxadustat for anemia in patients with end- |
| 15 | | stage renal disease incident to dialysis. Ivephrol Dial Transplant 2021; 36: 1717-1730. |
| 15 | | |
| 10 | 47. | Csiky B, Schomig M, Esposito C, et al. Roxadustat for the Maintenance Treatment of |
| 17 | | Anemia in Patients with End-Stage Kidney Disease on Stable Dialysis: A European |
| 18 | | Phase 3, Randomized, Open-Label, Active-Controlled Study (PYRENEES). Adv Ther |
| 19 | | 2021, 30. 0001-0000. |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | 48. | Fishbane S, Pollock CA, El-Shahawy M, et al. Roxadustat Versus Epoetin Alfa for |
| 22 | | I reating Anemia in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease on Dialysis: Results from the |
| 23 | | Randomized Phase 3 ROCKIES Sludy. J Am Soc Nephron 2022, 33: 650-666. |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | 49. | Charytan C, Manllo-Karim R, Martin ER, et al. A Randomized Trial of Roxadustat in |
| 26 | | Anemia of Kidney Failure: SIERRAS Study. <i>Kidney Int Rep</i> 2021; 6: 1829-1839. |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | 50. | Nangaku M, Kondo K, Ueta K, et al. Efficacy and safety of vadadustat compared with |
| 29 | | darbepoetin alfa in Japanese anemic patients on hemodialysis: a Phase 3, multicenter, |
| 30 | | randomized, double-blind study. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2021; 36: 1731-1741. |
| 31 | | |
| 32 | 51. | Eckardt KU, Agarwal R, Aswad A, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Vadadustat for Anemia in |
| 33 | | Patients Undergoing Dialysis. N Engl J Med 2021; 384: 1601-1612. |
| 34 | | |
| 35 | 52. | Mauri L, D'Agostino RB, Sr. Challenges in the Design and Interpretation of Noninferiority |
| 36 | | Trials. N Engl J Med 2017; 377: 1357-1367. |
| 37 | | |
| 20 | 53 | Akizawa T. Nangaku M. Yamaguchi T. et al. A Placebo-Controlled, Randomized Trial of |
| 20 | 00. | Enarodustat in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease Followed by Long-Term Trial. Am J |
| 39 | | Nephrol 2019; 49: 165-174. |
| 40 | | |
| 41 | 54 | Akizawa T. Nobori K. Matsuda Y. et al. Molidustat for anemia correction in Japanese |
| 42 | 01. | patients undergoing hemodialysis: a single-arm, phase 3 study. Ther Apher Dial 2021; |
| 43 | | 25: 917-925. |
| 44 | | |
| 45 | 55 | Macdougall IC. Akizawa T. Berns, IS. et al. Effects of Molidustat in the Treatment of |
| 46 | 00. | Anemia in CKD. <i>Clin J Am Soc Nephrol</i> 2019; 14: 28-39. |
| 47 | | |
| 48 | 56 | Kanai H. Nangaku M. Nagai D. et al. Efficient and acfety of depreduatet in Japanese |
| 49 | 50. | peritoneal dialysis patients. Ther Apher Dial 2021: 25: 979-987 |
| 50 | | |
| 51 | | 31 |
| 52 | | |
| 53 | | |
| 54 | | |
| 55 | | |
| 56 | | |
| 57 | | |
| 58 | | |
| 59 | | |
| 60 | | The International Society of Nephrology (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms |

| 2 | |
|----|--|
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| / | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 25 | |
| 20 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 20 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 20 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |
| 22 | |
| 33 | |
| 34 | |
| 35 | |
| 26 | |
| 30 | |
| 37 | |
| 38 | |
| 30 | |
| 22 | |
| 40 | |
| 41 | |
| 42 | |
| 12 | |
| 43 | |
| 44 | |
| 45 | |
| 16 | |
| 40 | |
| 47 | |
| 48 | |
| 10 | |
| 49 | |
| 50 | |
| 51 | |
| 52 | |
| 52 | |
| 53 | |
| 54 | |
| 55 | |
| 55 | |
| 30 | |
| 57 | |
| 58 | |
| ~~ | |

1

57. Besarab A, Bolton WK, Browne JK, *et al.* The effects of normal as compared with low hematocrit values in patients with cardiac disease who are receiving hemodialysis and epoetin. *N Engl J Med* 1998; **339:** 584-590.

- Drücke TB, Locatelli F, Clyne N, *et al.* Normalization of Hemoglobin Level in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease and Anemia. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2006; 355: 2071-2084.
- 59. Singh AK, Szczech L, Tang KL, *et al.* Correction of anemia with epoetin alfa in chronic kidney disease. *N Engl J Med* 2006; **355**: 2085-2098.
- 60. Pfeffer MA, Burdmann EA, Chen CY, *et al.* A trial of darbepoetin alfa in type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease. *N Engl J Med* 2009; **361**: 2019-2032.
- 61. Macdougall IC, White C, Anker SD, et al. Intravenous Iron in Patients Undergoing Maintenance Hemodialysis. N Engl J Med 2019; **380:** 447-458.
- 62. Agarwal AK. Iron metabolism and management: focus on chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int Suppl (2011)* 2021; **11**: 46-58.
- 63. Akizawa T, Tsubakihara Y, Nangaku M, *et al.* Effects of Daprodustat, a Novel Hypoxia-Inducible Factor Prolyl Hydroxylase Inhibitor on Anemia Management in Japanese Hemodialysis Subjects. *Am J Nephrol* 2017; **45:** 127-135.
- 64. Besarab A, Chernyavskaya E, Motylev I, *et al.* Roxadustat (FG-4592): Correction of Anemia in Incident Dialysis Patients. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2016; **27:** 1225-1233.
- 65. Holdstock L, Meadowcroft AM, Maier R, *et al.* Four-Week Studies of Oral Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-Prolyl Hydroxylase Inhibitor GSK1278863 for Treatment of Anemia. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2016; **27:** 1234-1244.
- 66. Guedes M, Muenz D, Zee J, *et al.* Serum biomarkers of iron stores are associated with worse physical health-related quality of life in nondialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease patients with or without anemia. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2021; **36:** 1694-1703.
- 67. Guedes M, Muenz DG, Zee J, *et al.* Serum Biomarkers of Iron Stores Are Associated with Increased Risk of All-Cause Mortality and Cardiovascular Events in Nondialysis CKD Patients, with or without Anemia. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2021; **32**: 2020-2030.
- 68. Johansen KL, Cobitz AR, Singh AK, *et al.* The ASCEND-NHQ trial found positive effects of daprodustat on hemoglobin and quality of life in patients with non-dialysis-dependent

| chronic kidney disease. <i>Kidney Int</i> (in press). DOI: | |
|---|--|
| https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2023.02.019. | |
| | |
| the Safe Use of Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agents in Chronic Kidney Disease. | |
| (http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm259639.htm) | |
| | |
| FDA. Cardiovascular and Renal Drugs Advisory Committee Meeting - Roxadustat.
https://www.fda.gov/media/150728/download. | |
| | |
| Provenzano R, Szczech L, Leong R, et al. Efficacy and Cardiovascular Safety of | |
| Roxadustat for Treatment of Anemia in Patients with Non-Dialysis-Dependent CKD:
Pooled Results of Three Randomized Clinical Trials. <i>Clin J Am Soc Nephrol</i> 2021: 16 : | |
| 1190-1200. | |
| | |
| Winkelmayer WC, Walther CP. Cardiovascular Safety of Roxadustat in CKD Anemia: A
Fig. Leaf Named Noninferiority. Clin. LAm Soc Nephrol 2021: 16: 1155-1157 | |
| The real Named Nominieronity. Cint 5 Am Soc Nephrol 2021, 10. 1135-1137. | |
| B. Mc Causland FR, Singh AK, Claggett BL, et al. Daprodustat and On-Treatment | |
| Cardiovascular Events in Chronic Kidney Disease. Reply. N Engl J Med 2022; 387: | |
| 2482-2483. | |
| Barratt J. Sulowicz W. Schomig M. et al. Efficacy and Cardiovascular Safety of | |
| Roxadustat in Dialysis-Dependent Chronic Kidney Disease: Pooled Analysis of Four | |
| Phase 3 Studies. Adv Ther 2021; 38: 5345-5360. | |
| Provenzano R. Fishbane S. Szczech I. et al. Retraction notice to "Pooled Analysis of | |
| Roxadustat for Anemia in Patients With Kidney Failure Incident to Dialysis." Kidney Int | |
| Rep. 2021;6:613-623. <i>Kidney Int Rep</i> 2022; 7: 665. | |
| EDA Royadustat for the Treatment of Anemia Due to Chronic Kidney Disease in Adult | |
| Patients not on Dialysis and on Dialysis. FDA Presentation: Cardiovascular and Renal | |
| Drugs Advisory Committee Meeting. 2021. Available at:
https://www.fda.gov/media/150756/download_Accessed_lanuary 27_2023 | |
| | |
| Y. Yap DYH, McMahon LP, Hao CM, et al. Recommendations by the Asian Pacific society | |
| of nephrology (APSN) on the appropriate use of HIF-PH inhibitors. <i>Nephrology (Carlton)</i> | |
| 2021, 20. 105-110. | |
| Tang X, Fang M, Cheng R, et al. Iron-Deficiency and Estrogen Are Associated With | |
| Ischemic Stroke by Up-Regulating Transferrin to Induce Hypercoagulability. <i>Circ Res</i> | |
| 2020, 127. 051-005. | |
| 22 | |
| 33 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| The International Society of Nephrology (http://www.isn-online.org/ | site/cms) |
| | <text><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></text> |

| ו
כ | | | |
|--------|-----|--|----|
| 2 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | 70 | Quete N. Zhao VV. Evene QE. The stimulation of thrombosic by hypopic. Thromb Dec | |
| 11 | 79. | Gupta N, Zhao YY, Evans CE. The stimulation of thrombosis by hypoxia. <i>Thromb Res</i>
2019: 181: 77-83 | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | 00 | Alcohia Thereneutics Dessives Complete Dessence Letter from the EDA for Vederbutet | |
| 14 | 80. | for the Treatment of Anemia due to Chronic Kidney Disease in Adult Patients. Press | |
| 15 | | release, March 30, 2022. https://ir.akebia.com/news-releases/news-release- | |
| 16 | | details/akebia-therapeutics-receives-complete-response-letter-fda. | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | 81. | Burmakin M, Fasching A, Kobayashi H, et al. Pharmacological HIF-PHD inhibition | |
| 19 | | reduces renovascular resistance and increases glomerular filtration by stimulating nitric | |
| 20 | | oxide generation. Acta Physiol (Oxf) 2021; 233: e13668. | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | 82. | Flamme I, Oehme F, Ellinghaus P, et al. Mimicking hypoxia to treat anemia: HIF- | |
| 23 | | stabilizer BAY 85-3934 (Molidustat) stimulates erythropoietin production without | |
| 24 | | nypertensive effects. PLoS One 2014; 9: e111838. | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | 83. | Yu J, Wang S, Shi W, et al. Roxadustat prevents Ang II hypertension by targeting | |
| 27 | | angiotensin receptors and eNOS. JCI Insight 2021; 6: e133690. | |
| 28 | | | |
| 29 | 84. | Hwang S, Nguyen AD, Jo Y, et al. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha activates insulin- | |
| 30 | | Induced gene 2 (Insig-2) transcription for degradation of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl | |
| 31 | | (11110)-COA Teduciase In the liver. J Diol Chem 2011, 232. 9302-9393. | |
| 32 | | | |
| 33 | 85. | Shen GM, Zhao YZ, Chen MT, et al. Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) promotes LDL | |
| 34 | | 683. | |
| 35 | | | |
| 36 | 86 | Akizawa T. Nangaku M. Yamaguchi T. et al. Two long term phase 3 studies of | |
| 37 | 00. | enarodustat (JTZ-951) in Japanese anemic patients with chronic kidney disease not on | |
| 38 | | dialysis or on maintenance hemodialysis: SYMPHONY ND-Long and HD-Long studies. | |
| 39 | | Ther Apher Dial 2022; 26: 345-356. | |
| 40 | | | |
| 41 | 87. | Nangaku M, Farag YMK, deGoma E, et al. Vadadustat, an oral hypoxia-inducible factor | |
| 42 | | prolyl hydroxylase inhibitor, for treatment of anemia of chronic kidney disease: two | |
| 43 | | randomized Phase 2 trials in Japanese patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2020: graa060. | |
| 44 | | | |
| 45 | 88. | Ku E, Campese V. Is lipid management effective for all stages of CKD? Blood Purif | |
| 46 | | 2013; 35: 26-30. | |
| 47 | | | |
| 48 | 89. | Rashid M, Zadeh LR, Baradaran B, <i>et al</i> . Up-down regulation of HIF-1α in cancer | |
| 49 | | progression. Gene 2021; 798: 145796. | |
| 50 | | | |
| 51 | | 34 | |
| 52 | | | |
| 53 | | | |
| 54 | | | |
| 55 | | | |
| 56 | | | |
| 57 | | | |
| 58 | | | |
| 59 | | The International Society of Nenhrolegy (http://www.ier.enline.com/site/ | ~) |
| 60 | | The international society of Nephrology (http://www.ish-online.org/site/cms | 2) |

| 1 | | |
|----------|------|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5
6 | | |
| 6
7 | | |
| / | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | 90. | Haase VH. The VHL tumor suppressor: master regulator of HIF. Curr Pharm Des 2009; |
| 11 | | 15: 3895-3903. |
| 12 | | |
| 17 | 91. | Barben M, Samardzija M, Grimm C. The Role of Hypoxia, Hypoxia-Inducible Factor |
| 15 | | (HIF), and VEGF in Retinal Angiomatous Proliferation. Adv Exp Med Biol 2018; 1074: |
| 15 | | |
| 10 | 00 | |
| 18 | 92. | Zhang D, LV FL, Wang GH. Effects of HIF-1alpha on diabetic retinopathy anglogenesis
and VEGE expression. Fur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2018; 22 : 5071-5076 |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | 00 | |
| 21 | 93. | Sepah YJ, Nguyen QD, Yamaguchi Y, et al. Two Phase 3 Studies on Ophthalmologic
Effects of Royadustat Versus Darbenoetin, Kidney Int Rep 2022; 7: 763-775 |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | 94. | Besarab A, Provenzano R, Hertel J, et al. Randomized placebo-controlled dose-ranging
and pharmacodynamics study of royadustat (EC 4592) to treat anemia in pondially is |
| 25 | | dependent chronic kidnev disease (NDD-CKD) patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2015: |
| 26 | | 30: 1665-1673. |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | 95. | Meadowcroft AM, Cizman B, Holdstock L, et al. Daprodustat for anemia: a 24-week, |
| 29 | | open-label, randomized controlled trial in participants on hemodialysis. Clin Kidney J |
| 30 | | 2019; 12: 139-148. |
| 31 | | |
| 32 | 96. | Pergola PE, Spinowitz BS, Hartman CS, et al. Vadadustat, a novel oral HIF stabilizer, |
| 33 | | provides effective anemia treatment in nondialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease. |
| 34 | | |
| 35 | | 9 |
| 36 | 97. | Ichii M, Mori K, Miyaoka D, et al. Suppression of thyrotropin secretion during roxadustat |
| 37 | | 22: 104. |
| 38 | | |
| 39 | 08 | Tokuyama & Kadoya H. Ohata A. et al. Roxadustat and thyroid-stimulating hormone |
| 40 | 50. | suppression. Clin Kidney J 2021; 14: 1472-1474. |
| 41 | | |
| 42 | 00 | Chang V, Xiang O, Cao T, et al. Sunnression of thuroid profile during royadustat |
| 43 | 33. | treatment in chronic kidney disease patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2023. |
| 44 | | |
| 45 | 100 | Nangaku M. Kondo K. Takabe S. et al. Vadadustat for anemia in chronic kidney disease |
| 46 | 100. | patients on peritoneal dialysis: A phase 3 open-label study in Japan. Ther Apher Dial |
| 47 | | 2021; 25: 642-653. |
| 48 | | |
| 49 | | |
| 50 | | |
| 51 | | 35 |
| 52 | | |
| 53 | | |
| 54 | | |
| 55 | | |
| 50
57 | | |
| 5/
50 | | |
| 28
50 | | |
| 27 | | |

Kidney International

| 2 |
|----------|
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| ∠∠
22 |
| ∠3
24 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
| 28 |
| 29 |
| 30 |
| 31 |
| 32 |
| 32 |
| 27 |
| 54
25 |
| 35 |
| 36 |
| 37 |
| 38 |
| 39 |
| 40 |
| 41 |
| 42 |
| 43 |
| 44 |
| 45 |
| 75
76 |
| 40 |
| 4/ |
| 48 |
| 49 |
| 50 |
| 51 |
| 52 |
| 53 |
| 54 |
| 55 |
| 56 |
| 57 |
| 50 |
| 20 |
| 59 |

60

1

- 101. Nangaku M, Kondo K, Takabe S, et al. A phase 3, open-label, single-arm study of vadadustat for anemia in chronic kidney disease for Japanese patients on hemodialysis not receiving erythropoiesis-stimulating agents. Ther Apher Dial 2022; 26: 45-54.
- 102. Joharapurkar AA, Patel VJ, Kshirsagar SG, *et al.* Prolyl hydroxylase inhibitor desidustat improves anemia in erythropoietin hyporesponsive state. *Curr Res Pharmacol Drug Discov* 2022; **3:** 100102.
- 103. Atkinson MA, Martz K, Warady BA, *et al.* Risk for anemia in pediatric chronic kidney disease patients: a report of NAPRTCS. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2010; **25**: 1699-1706.
- Schmitt CP, Nau B, Brummer C, *et al.* Increased injection pain with darbepoetin-alpha compared to epoetin-beta in paediatric dialysis patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2006; 21: 3520-3524.
- 105. Kular D, Macdougall IC. HIF stabilizers in the management of renal anemia: from bench to bedside to pediatrics. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2019; **34**: 365-378.
- 106. Buchholz B, Eckardt KU. Role of oxygen and the HIF-pathway in polycystic kidney disease. *Cell Signal* 2020; **69:** 109524.
- 107. Perkovic V, Blackorby A, Cizman B, *et al*. The ASCEND-ND trial: study design and participant characteristics. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2022; **37**: 2157-2170.
- 108. Taylor CT, Doherty G, Fallon PG, *et al.* Hypoxia-dependent regulation of inflammatory pathways in immune cells. *J Clin Invest* 2016; **126:** 3716-3724.
- 109. Inzucchi SE, Zinman B, Fitchett D, et al. How Does Empagliflozin Reduce Cardiovascular Mortality? Insights From a Mediation Analysis of the EMPA-REG OUTCOME Trial. Diabetes Care 2018; 41: 356-363.
- 110. Li J, Neal B, Perkovic V, *et al*. Mediators of the effects of canagliflozin on kidney protection in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Kidney international* 2020; **98**: 769-777.
- 111. Li J, Woodward M, Perkovic V, et al. Mediators of the Effects of Canagliflozin on Heart Failure in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes. JACC Heart Fail 2020; 8: 57-66.
- 112. Marathias KP, Lambadiari VA, Markakis KP, *et al.* Competing Effects of Renin Angiotensin System Blockade and Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter-2 Inhibitors on Erythropoietin Secretion in Diabetes. *Am J Nephrol* 2020; **51:** 349-356.

| 3 | |
|----------|------|
| 4
5 | |
| 6
7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9
10 | 113. |
| 11 | |
| 12
13 | 114. |
| 14 | |
| 15
16 | 115. |
| 17 | |
| 18
19 | |
| 20 | 116. |
| 21
22 | |
| 23 | 117. |
| 24
25 | |
| 26
27 | 118. |
| 27
28 | |
| 29
20 | 119. |
| 31 | |
| 32
33 | |
| 34 | 120. |
| 35
36 | |
| 37 | 121. |
| 38
39 | |
| 40 | |
| 41
42 | |
| 43 | |
| 44
45 | |
| 46 | |
| 47
48 | |
| 49
50 | |
| 50
51 | |
| 52
53 | |
| 54 | |
| 55
56 | |
| 57 | |
| 58
59 | |
| | |

60

- 113. Stefansson BV, Heerspink HJL, Wheeler DC, *et al.* Correction of anemia by dapagliflozin in patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Diabetes Complications* 2020; **34:** 107729.
- 114. Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, *et al.* Empagliflozin, Cardiovascular Outcomes, and Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2015; **373:** 2117-2128.
- 115. Jackson AM, Dewan P, Anand IS, *et al.* Dapagliflozin and Diuretic Use in Patients With Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction in DAPA-HF. *Circulation* 2020; **142:** 1040-1054.
- 116. Docherty KF, Curtain JP, Anand IS, *et al.* Effect of dapagliflozin on anaemia in DAPA-HF. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2021; **23:** 617-628.
- 117. Ferrannini E, Baldi S, Frascerra S, *et al.* Renal Handling of Ketones in Response to Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibition in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2017; **40**: 771-776.
- 118. Ghanim H, Abuaysheh S, Hejna J, *et al.* Dapagliflozin Suppresses Hepcidin And Increases Erythropoiesis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2020; **105**.
- 119. Lambers Heerspink HJ, de Zeeuw D, Wie L, *et al.* Dapagliflozin a glucose-regulating drug with diuretic properties in subjects with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2013; **15:** 853-862.
- Maruyama T, Takashima H, Oguma H, *et al.* Canagliflozin Improves Erythropoiesis in Diabetes Patients with Anemia of Chronic Kidney Disease. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2019; 21: 713-720.
- 121. Oshima M, Neuen BL, Jardine MJ, *et al.* Effects of canagliflozin on anaemia in patients with type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease: a post-hoc analysis from the CREDENCE trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2020; **8**: 903-914.

Novel Anemia Therapies in CKD: A KDIGO Controversies Conference Report
| Agents | Potential Advantages | Potential Disadvantages |
|----------------|---|--|
| HIF-PHIs | Oral dosing more convenient for | Difficult to monitor adherence |
| | some patients | Potential polypharmacy and drug-dru
interactions |
| | May lacilitate anemia treatment in patients with non-dialysis dependent | |
| | CKD | Potential risk of enhancing tumor gro |
| | May improve utilization of iron for | Potential risk of worsening retinopath |
| | erythropoiesis, particularly oral iron | Potential risk of cyst growth in ADPK |
| | May be more effective in chronic | |
| | inflammatory states (CRP >5 mg/l) | |
| ESAs | Adherence can be monitored with in- | Treatment requires self-injection or re |
| | clinic administration | clinic visits |
| | Extensive clinical experience | Resistance in chronic inflammatory s |
| | \sim | Risk of enhancing tumor growth |
| | | Antibody-mediated pure red cell apla
(rare) |
| Iron compounds | No serious adverse effects of oral | If PO, risk of poor gastrointestinal tole |
| | iron | and non-adherence to therapy |
| | | • If IV, risk of allergic/anaphylactic read |
| | | If IV, potential risk of increasing oxida stress |
| | | • If IV. potential risk of hemosiderosis |
| | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Study;
Location
Sponsor | Study design; No.
of patients,
randomization | Treatment, starting dose, ^a study duration | Primary efficacy outcomes: Differences in mean Hb and/or Δ Hb from baseline to evaluation period | Hb targets and
Hb response rate ^b | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Daprodustat (GlaxoSmithKline) | | | | | | | | | |
| Nangaku <i>et al</i> ., 2021 ²⁰
(<u>NCT02791763</u>);
Japan | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated;
n = 299, 1:1 | DAPRO 2 and 4 mg QD ^c
for ESA-naïve and 4 mg
QD ^c for ESA-users vs
EBP, 52 weeks | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 40-52:
DAPRO: 12 g/dl
EBP: 11.9 g/dl
Difference: 0.1 (-0.1, 0.3) g/dl | Hb within target range (11–13 g/dl)
during weeks 40–52:
DAPRO: 92%
EBP: 92% | | | | | |
| ASCEND-ND ²¹
(<u>NCT02876835</u>);
Global | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated;
n = 3872, 1:1 | DAPRO 2-4 mg QD ^c for
ESA-naïve and 1-4 mg
QD ^d for ESA-users vs
DPO, 148 weeks | Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 28-52:
DAPRO: 0.74 g/dl
DPO: 0.66 g/dl
Difference: 0.08 (0.03, 0.13) g/dl | Hb target (10-11 g/dl) | | | | | |
| Desidustat (Cadila Health | care Ltd.) | | | | | | | | |
| DREAM-ND ²²
(<u>NCT04012957);</u>
India, Sri Lanka | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve;
n = 588, 1:1 | DESI 100 mg TIW vs
DPO, 24 weeks | Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 16-24:
DESI: 1.95 g/dl
DPO: 1.83 g/dl
LSMD: 0.11 (-0.12, 0.35) g/dl | Hb within target range (10–12
g/dl) during weeks 16-24:
DESI: 77.78%
DPO: 68.48% | | | | | |
| <i>Enarodustat</i> (Japan Toba | cco Inc.) | | | | | | | | |
| SYMPHONY ND ²³
(<u>JapicCTI-183870</u>);
Japan | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated;
n = 216, 1:1 | ENARO 2 mg QD vs
DPO, 24 weeks | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 20–24:
ENARO: 10.96 g/dl
DPO: 10.87 g/dl
Difference: 0.09 (–0.07, 0.26) g/dl | Hb within target range (10–12
g/dl) during weeks 4–24:
ENARO: 88.6%
DPO: 87.9% | | | | | |
| <i>Molidustat</i> (Bayer Yakuhi | n, Ltd.) | | | | | | | | |
| MIYABI ND-C ²⁴
(<u>NCT03350321</u>);
Japan | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve;
n = 162, 1:1 | MOLI 25 mg QD vs
DPO, 52 weeks | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 30-36:
MOLI: 11.28 g/dl
DPO: 11.70 g/dl
Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 30-36:
MOLI: 1.32 g/dl
DPO: 1.69 g/dl
LSMD: -0.38 (-0.67, -0.08) g/dl | Hb within target range (11–13
g/dl), responder rate during
weeks 30–36:
MOLI: 59.8%
DPO: 82.5% | | | | | |
| | The International Society of Nephrology (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms) | | | | | | | | |

 Table 2: Efficacy data from phase 3 HIF-PHI clinical trials in non-dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease

Kidney International

| MIYABI ND-M ²⁵
(<u>NCT03350347</u>);
Japan | R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated;
n = 164, 1:1 | MOLI 25 mg or 50 mg
QD ^d vs DPO,
52 weeks | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 30-36:
MOLI: 11.67 g/dl
DPO: 11.53 g/dl
Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 30-36:
MOLI: 0.36 g/dl
DPO: 0.24 g/dl
LSMD: 0.13 (–0.15, 0.40) g/dl | Hb within target range (11–13
g/dl), responder rate during
weeks 30–36:
MOLI: 72.0%
DPO: 76.8% |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Roxadustat (FibroGen Inc.; | ; Astellas Pharma, In | c.; AstraZeneca) | | |
| Chen <i>et al</i> ., 2019 ²⁶
(<u>NCT02652819</u>);
China
FibroGen, Inc. | R, DB, PC; ESA-
naïve; n = 154, 2:1,
n = 152 (safety
population) | ROXA 70 or 100 mg
TIW ^e vs PBO, 8 weeks
DB, then 18 weeks OL | Difference in mean ΔHb, weeks 7–9:
ROXA: 1.9 g/dl
PBO: -0.4 g/dl
Difference: 2.2 (1.9, 2.6) g/dl* | Hb target: 10-12 g/dl; pts with >10
g/dl and increase in ∆Hb of 1-2 g/d
at week 9:
ROXA: 75%
PBO: 0% |
| Akizawa <i>et al</i> ., 2020 ²⁷
(<u>NCT02964936</u>);
Japan
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, OL, NC; ESA-
naïve;
n = 99 | ROXA 50 or 70 mg
TIW⁰, 24 weeks | Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 18-24:
ROXA 50 mg: 1.34 g/dl
ROXA 70 mg: 1.30 g/dl | Hb target: 10-12 g/dl; Hb ≥ 10 g/dl
and Δ Hb of ≥1 g/dl at EOT:
ROXA 50 mg: 97.0%
ROXA 70 mg: 100.0%
for Hb ≥ 10.5 g/dl:
ROXA 50 mg: 94.9%
ROXA 70 mg: 98.0% |
| Akizawa <i>et al</i> ., 2021 ²⁸
(<u>NCT02988973</u>);
Japan
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated (DPO and
EBP); n = 334, 1:1, | ROXA 70 or 100 mg
TIW ^d vs DPO,
52 weeks | Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 18-24:
ROXA: 0.15 g/dl
DPO: 0.22 g/dl
LSMD: -0.07 g/ (-0.23, 0.10) g/dl | Hb within target range (10–12 g/dl)
maintenance rate during weeks 18-
24:
ROXA: 77.1%
PBO: 85.5% |
| ALPS ²⁹
(<u>NCT01887600</u>);
Europe
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, DB, PC; ESA-
naïve;
n = 594, 2:1 | ROXA 70 or 100 mg
TIW ^f vs PBO,
104 weeks | EMA endpoint, ⁹ first 24 weeks:
ROXA: 79.2%
PBO: 9.9%
Odds ratio: 34.74 (20.48, 58.93) %*
FDA endpoint, ^h weeks 28-52:
ROXA: 1.99 g/dl
PBO: 0.3 g/dl
LSMD: 1.69 (1.52, 1.86) g/dl* | Hb target: 10-12 g/dl, maint.;
Mean ΔHb without rescue therapy,
weeks 28-36:
ROXA: 2.01 g/dl (iron-replete) ⁱ
PBO: 0.26 g/dl (iron-replete) ⁱ
ROXA: 2.01 g/dl (non-replete) ⁱ
PBO: 0.493 g/dl (non-replete) ⁱ |
| ANDES ³⁰
(<u>NCT01750190</u>);
Global (no European sites)
FibroGen Inc. | R, DB, PC; ESA-
naïve;
n = 922, 2:1 | ROXA 70 or 100 mg
TIW ^f vs PBO,
52 weeks | EMA endpoint, ^g first 24 weeks:
ROXA: 86.0%
PBO: 6.6%
Odds ratio: 77.6 (44.7, 134.5) %*
FDA endpoint, ^h weeks 28-52:
ROXA: 2.00 g/dl
PBO: 0.16 g/dl | Hb target: 10–12 g/dl, maint.;
Mean ΔHb without rescue therapy,
weeks 28-36 (exploratory):
ROXA: 2.02 g/dl
PBO: 0.20 g/dl
LSMD: 1.88 (1.73, 2.04) g/dl* |

LSMD: 1.85 (1.74, 1.97) g/dl* OLYMPUS³¹ R, DB, PC; ESA-ROXA 70 mg TIW vs FDA endpoint,^h weeks 28-52: Hb target: 10-12 g/dl, maint.; (NCT02174627); PBO, 164 weeks ROXA: 1.75 g/dl EMA endpoint,^g first 24 weeks: naïve: Global n = 2781, 1:1 PBO: 0.4 g/dl ROXA: 77% AstraZeneca LSMD: 1.35 (1.27, 1.43) g/dl* PBO: 8.5% Odds ratio: 9.12 (7.63, 10.89)*, comparable results in iron-replete versus non-replete groups i DOLOMITES³² R. OL. AC: ESA-ROXA 70 or 100 mg EMA endpoint,⁹ first 24 weeks: Hb target: 10-12 g/dl, maint.; (NCT02021318); naïve: TIW^f vs DPO. ROXA: 89.5% EMA endpoint,⁹ first 24 weeks: n = 616, 1:1 DPO: 78.0% ROXA: 96.4% (iron-replete) i Europe 104 weeks Astellas Pharma, Inc. DPO: 84.3% (iron-replete) i Difference: 11.51 (5.66, 17.36) % ROXA: 80.2% (non-replete) i DPO: 71.4% (non-replete) Vadadustat (Akebia Therapeutics; Otsuka Pharmaceuticals) Nangaku et al., 202133 R. OL. AC: ESA-VADA 300 mg QD, then Difference in mean Hb, weeks 20 and 24: Hb within target range (11–13 g/dl) at week 52 (ESA-naïve | ESA-(NCT03329196); naïve and ESAadjusted to 150, 450 or VADA: 11.66 g/dl 600 mg QD vs DPO, Japan treated; n = 304. DPO: 11.93 g/dl treated) LSMD: -0.26 (-0.50, -0.02) g/dl 1:1 52 weeks VADA: 71.4% | 79.2% DPO: 84.5% | 76.6% PRO₂TECT³⁴ VADA 300mg QD, then R, OL, AC; ESA-Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 24-36: Hb target range: US, 10-11 g/dl / non-US. 10-12 a/dl: (NCT02648347); naïve: adjusted to 150, 450 or VADA: 1.43 g/dl Global n = 1751, 1:1 600 mg QD vs DPO, DPO: 1.38 g/dl Hb at target, weeks 24-36: LMSD: 0.05 (-0.04, 0.15) g/dl 168 weeks VADA: 50.4% Difference in mean Δ Hb. weeks 40-52 ^k: DPO: 50.2% VADA: 1.52 g/dl Hb at target, weeks 40-52: DPO: 1.48 g/dl VADA: 43.1% LSMD: 0.04 (-0.06, 0.14) g/dl DPO: 43.5% PRO₂TECT³⁴ R, OL, AC; ESA-Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 24-36: VADA 300 mg QD, then Hb target range: US, 10-11 g/dl / (NCT02680574): adjusted to 150, 450 or VADA: 0.41 a/dl non-US. 10-12 a/dl: treated: 600 mg QD vs DPO, n = 1725, 1:1 Global DPO: 0.42 g/dl Hb at target, weeks 24-36: LSMD: -0.01 (-0.09, 0.07) g/dl VADA: 60.1% 168 weeks Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 40-52 k: DPO: 60.7% VADA: 0.43 g/dl Hb at target, weeks 40-52: DPO: 0.44 a/dl VADA: 50.7% LSMD: 0.00 (-0.10, 0.09) g/dl DPO: 49.0% Adapted from Haase.⁹ Funding sources are indicated either with drug name or with individual studies. 95% confidence intervals are shown in brackets. AC, active-controlled; DAPRO, daprodustat; DB, double-blind; DESI, desidustat; DPO, darbepoetin alfa; EBP, epoetin beta pegol; ENARO, enarodustat; EOT, end of treatment; ESA, erythropoiesis-stimulating agent; Hb, hemoglobin; LSMD, least-squares mean difference; maint., maintenance; MOLI, molidustat; NC, non-

The International Society of Nephrology (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms)

45 46

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

Kidney International

| 1
2 | comparative; NR, not reported; OL, open-label; PBO, placebo; PC, placebo-controlled; QD, once daily; R, randomized; ROXA, roxadustat; TIW, three times weekly; VADA, vadadustat. ESA-naïve is defined as no use of ESA for a study-defined period of time prior to start of study. |
|--|--|
| 2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13 | ^a starting dose, then titrated to maintain target Hb levels (right column). ^b proportion of patients with Hb in target range reported as secondary outcomes in most studies. ^c starting dose based on baseline Hb level; for NCT02964936, Akizawa <i>et al.</i>, 2020,²⁷ starting dose is based on an algorithm that included 2 baseline Hb levels, weight and eGFR. ^d starting dose based on prior ESA dose. ^e weight-based dosing: 70 mg for patients weighing 40 to < 60 kg or 100 mg for ≥ 60 kg. ^f weight-based dosing: 70 mg for weight of 45 to <70 kg; 100 mg for ≥70 kg. ^g EMA: For the European Union's European Medicines Agency (EMA), the primary efficacy endpoint was Hb response defined as Hb ≥ 11.0 g/dl and an Hb increase from baseline by ≥1.0 g/dl in any patient with baseline Hb >8.0 g/dl, or an increase from baseline by ≥ 2.0 g/dl in any patient with baseline Hb >8.0 g/dl at two consecutive visits separated by at least 5 days during the first 24 weeks of treatment without rescue therapy (i.e., RBC transfusion, ESA or IV iron |
| 14 | administration) prior to Hb response. |
| 15 | ^h FDA: For the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the primary efficacy endpoint was the mean change in Hb from baseline to the average Hb level during |
| 16
17 | the evaluation period (defined as Weeks 28–52), regardless of rescue therapy. |
| 17 | ⁱ iron status: iron replete, transferrin saturation (TSAT) ≥ 20% and ferritin ≥ 100 ng/ml; non-replete, TSAT ≤ 20% and ferritin ≤ 100 ng/ml. |
| 19 | ^j key secondary endpoint. |
| 20 | * Statistical significance reported. |
| 21 | |
| 22
23 | |
| 23
24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28
20 | |
| 29
30 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |
| 33 | |
| 34
25 | |
| 35
36 | |
| 37 | |
| 38 | |
| 39 | |
| 40 | |
| 41
⊿ว | |
| +∠
43 | |
| 44 | |
| | |

| Study;
Location | Study design;
No. of pts,
randomization | Treatment: Starting dose, ^a study duration | Primary efficacy outcomes:
Differences in mean Hb and/or ΔHb
from baseline to evaluation period | Hb targets and
Hb response rate ^b | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Daprodustat (GlaxoSmithl | Kline) | | | | | | |
| Akizawa <i>et al</i> ., 2020 ³⁵
(<u>NCT02969655</u>);
Japan | R, DB, AC; ESA-
treated, M-HD;
n = 271, 1:1 | DAPRO 4 mg QD vs DPO,
52 weeks | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 40–52:
DAPRO: 10.9 g/dl
DPO: 10.8 g/dl
Adjusted difference: 0.1 (–0.1, 0.2) g/dl | Hb at target (10–12 g/dl) during
weeks 40–52:
DAPRO: 88%
DPO: 90% | | | |
| ASCEND-ID ³⁶
(<u>NCT03029208</u>);
Global | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated (limited
exposure <6 weeks),
I-DD; n = 312, 1:1 | DAPRO 1-4 mg QD° vs
DPO, 52 weeks | Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 28–52:
DAPRO: 1.02 g/dl
DPO: 1.12 g/dl
Difference: 0.10 (-0.34, 0.14) g/dl | Hb target: 10-11 g/dl. | | | |
| ASCEND-D ³⁷
(<u>NCT02879305</u>);
Global | R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated, M-DD;
n = 2964, 1:1 | DAPRO 4-12 mg QD ^d vs
ESA (epoetin alfa for HD,
DPO for PD,
52 weeks | Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 28-52:
DAPRO: 0.28 g/dl
ESA: 0.10 g/dl
Difference: 0.18 (0.12, 0.24) g/dl | Hb target: 10-11 g/dl | | | |
| ASCEND-TD ³⁸
(<u>NCT03400033</u>);
Global | R, DB, AC; ESA-
treated, M-DD;
n = 407, 2:1 | DAPRO 8-24 mg TIW ^d
adjusted to dose range of
2-48 mg TIW vs epoetin
alfa, 52 weeks | Difference in mean ΔHb, weeks 28-52:
DAPRO: -0.04 g/dl
Epoetin alfa: 0.02 g/dl
Difference: -0.05 (-0.21, 0.10) g/dl | Hb target 10-11 g/dl
Hb within analysis range of 10–
11.5 g/dl during weeks 28-52:
DAPRO: 80%
Epoetin alfa: 64%* | | | |
| Desidustat (Cadila Healtho | care Ltd.) | | | | | | |
| DREAM-D ³⁹
(<u>NCT04215120);</u>
(CTRI/2019/12/022312)
India | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve (n = 50) and
ESA-treated, M-HD
(2 or 3 x week);
n = 392, 1:1 | DESI 100 mg TIW (ESA-
naïve); 100, 125 or 150
mg TIW ^d (ESA-treated) vs
epoetin alfa, 24 weeks | Difference in mean ΔHb, weeks 16–24:
DESI: 0.95 g/dl
Epoetin alfa: 0.80 g/dl
LSM difference: 0.14 (–0.13, 0.42) g/dl | Hb within target range (10–12
g/dl) during weeks 16–24:
DESI: 59.2%
Epoetin alfa: 48.4% | | | |
| Enarodustat (Japan Tobac | Enarodustat (Japan Tobacco Inc.) | | | | | | |
| SYMPHONY-HD ⁴⁰
(<u>JapicCTI-183938</u>)
Japan | R, DB, AC; ESA-
treated; M-HD;
n = 173, 1:1;
FAS: n = 172 | ENARO 4 mg QD vs DPO;
24 weeks. | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 20–24:
ENARO: 10.73 g/dl
DPO: 10.85 g/dl
Difference: –0.12 (–0.33, +0.10) g/dl | Hb within target range (10–12
g/dl) during EOT period:
ENARO: 77.9%
DPO: 88.4% | | | |

Table 3: Efficacy data from phase 3 HIF-PHI clinical trials in patients with dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease

| <i>Molidustat</i> (Bayer Yakuhir | n, Ltd.) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| MIYABI HD-M ⁴¹
(<u>NCT03543657</u>);
Japan | R, DB, AC; ESA-
treated, M-HD;
n = 229, 2:1 | MOLI 75 mg QD vs DPO;
52 weeks | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 33-36:
MOLI: 10.63 d/dl
DPO: 10.77 g/dl
Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 33-36:
MOLI: -0.14 g/dl
DPO: -0.07 g/dl
LSMD: -0.13 (-0.46, 0.19) g/dl | Hb within target range (10-12 g/dl):
MOLI: 61.2-77.8% during weeks
18-52
DPO: 68.7-88.7% during weeks
2-52. |
| Roxadustat (FibroGen Inc | .; Astellas Pharma, Inc.; | AstraZeneca) | | |
| Chen <i>et al</i> ., 2019 ⁴²
(<u>NCT02652806</u>);
China
FibroGen, Inc. | R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated; M-DD;
n = 304, 2:1 | ROXA 100 or 120 mg
TIW ^e vs epoetin alfa,
26 weeks | Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 23–27:
ROXA: 0.7 g/dl
Epoetin alfa: 0.5 g/dl
Difference: 0.2 (-0.02, 0.5) g/dl | Hb target: 10–12 g/dl
Hb of ≥ 10 g/dl, weeks 23-27:
ROXA: 87.0%
Epoetin alfa: 88.5% |
| Akizawa <i>et al</i> ., 2020 ⁴³
(<u>NCT02779764,</u>
<u>NCT02780141</u>);
Japan
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, OL, NC; I-HD
(ESA-naïve, n = 75)
and M-HD (>12
weeks, ESA-treated);
n = 239 | ESA-naïve: ROXA 50 or
70 mg TIW ^c , 24 weeks
ESA-treated: ROXA 70 or
100 mg TIW ^d ,
52 weeks | Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 18-24:
ESA-naïve: 2.26 g/dl
ESA-treated: -0.03 g/dl
During weeks 46–52:
ESA-treated: 0.12 g/dl | Hb within target range (10–12
g/dl) ^f :
ESA-naïve: 73% at weeks 18-24
ESA-treated: 79.1% at weeks
18-24 and 71.2% at weeks 46-
52 |
| Akizawa <i>et al</i> ., 2020 ⁴⁴
(<u>NCT02780726</u>);
Japan
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, OL, NC; ESA-
naïve (n = 13) and
ESA-treated, PD
(> 4 weeks);
n = 56 | ROXA 50 or 70 mg TIW ^c
(ESA-naïve) or ROXA 70
or 100 mg TIW ^d (ESA-
treated), 24 weeks | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 18–24:
ESA-naïve: 1.69 g/dl
ESA-treated: 0.14 g/dl | Hb within target range (10–12
g/dl) during weeks 18-24:
ESA-naïve: 92.3%
ESA-treated: 74.4% |
| Akizawa <i>et al</i> ., 2020 ⁴⁵
(<u>NCT02952092</u>);
Japan
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, DB, AC; ESA-
treated, M-HD;
n = 303, 1:1 | ROXA 70 or 100 mg TIW ^d
vs DPO QW,
24 weeks | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 18–24:
ROXA: -0.04 g/dl
DPO: -0.03 g/dl
Difference: -0.02 (-0.18, 0.15) g/dl | Hb within target range (10–12
g/dl) during weeks 18–24 ^f :
ROXA: 79.3%
DPO: 83.4% |
| HIMALAYAS ⁴⁶
(<u>NCT02052310</u>);
Global
FibroGen, Inc. | R, OL, AC, ESA-naïve
and ESA-limited use
(≤3 weeks),
I-DD; n = 1043, 1:1 | ROXA 70-100mg TIW ^{g, j} vs
epoetin alfa, 52 weeks | EMA endpoint, ^h first 24 weeks:
ROXA: 88.2%
Epoetin alfa: 84.4%
Difference: 3.5 (-0.7, 7.7)%
FDA endpoint, ⁱ weeks 28-52:
ROXA: 2.57 g/dl
Epoetin alfa: 2.36 g/dl
LSMD: 1.18 (0.08, 0.29) g/dl* | Hb at target (10-12 g/dl), first 24
weeks (US second. endpoint):
ROXA: 84.3%
Epoetin alfa: 79.5%
ΔHb, weeks 28-52 (EU second.
endpoint):
ROXA: 2.62 g/dl
Epoetin alfa: 2.44 g/dl* |
| PYRENEES ⁴⁷
(<u>NCT02278341</u>); | R, OL, AC, ESA-
treated, M-DD; | ROXA 100-200 mg TIW ^d vs ESA (epoetin alfa or | Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 28-36:
ROXA: 0.43 g/dl | Hb within target range (10-12 g/dl) at weeks 28 to 36: |

| Europe
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | n = 838 (836 treated),
1:1 | DPO), 52–104 weeks | ESA: 0.19. g/dl
LSMD: 0.23 (0.13, 0.34) g/dl*
Difference in mean ΔHb, weeks 28-52:
ROXA: 0.36 g/dl
ESA: 0.19 g/dl
LSMD: 0.17 (0.082, 0.261) g/dl* | ROXA: 84.2%
Epoetin alfa: 82.4% |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| ROCKIES ⁴⁸
(<u>NCT02174731</u>);
Global
AstraZeneca | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated, M-DD and I-
DD (n = 416);
n = 2133, 1:1 | ROXA 70-200 mg TIW ^{d, j}
for ESA-treated and 70 or
100 mg TIW ^g for ESA-
naïve vs epoetin alfa,
52-164 weeks | Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 28-52:
ROXA: 0.77 g/dl
Epoetin alfa: 0.68 g/dl
LSMD: 0.09 (0.01, 0.18) g/dl* | Proportion of time with Hb ≥ 10
g/dl during weeks 28–52:
ROXA: 79%
Epoetin alfa: 76% |
| SIERRAS ⁴⁹
(<u>NCT02273726</u>);
United States
FibroGen, Inc. | R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated, M-DD and I-
DD (n=71); total n =
741, 1:1 | ROXA 70-200 mg TIW ^{j, d}
vs epoetin alfa, 52 weeks | Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 28-52:
ROXA: 0.39 g/dl
Epoetin alfa: -0.09 g/dl
LSMD: 0.48 (0.37, 0.59) g/dl* | Hb target range: 10-12 g/dl
Hb ≥10 g/dl, weeks 28-52:
ROXA: 66.1%
Epoetin alfa: 58.6% |
| <i>Vadadustat</i> (Akebia Thera | peutics; Otsuka Pharm | aceuticals) | | |
| Nangaku <i>et al</i> ., 2021 ⁵⁰
(<u>NCT03439137</u>);
Japan | R, DB, AC; ESA-
treated, M-HD;
n = 323. 1:1 | VADA 300 mg QD, then
adjusted to 150, 450 or
600 mg QD vs DPO,
52 weeks | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 20-24:
VADA: 10.61 g/dl
DPO: 10.65 g/dl
LSMD: -0.05 g/dl (-0.26 to 0.17) | Hb within target range (10–12
g/dl) at weeks 24 and 52:
VADA: 75.4 and 75.7%
DPO: 75.7 and 86.5% |
| INNO₂VATE ⁵¹
(<u>NCT02865850</u>);
Global | R, DB, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated; I-DD;
n = 369, 1:1 | VADA 300 mg QD, then
adjusted to 150, 450 or
600 mg vs DPO,
116 weeks | Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 24-36:
VADA: 1.26 g/dl
DPO: 1.58 g/dl
LMSD: g/dl -0.31 (-0.53, -0.10)
Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 40-52 ^k :
VADA: 1.42 g/dl
DPO: 1.50 g/dl
LSMD: -0.07 (-0.34, 0.19) g/dl | Hb target range: US, 10-11 g/dl /
non-US, 10-12 g/dl;
Hb at target, weeks 24-36:
VADA: 43.6%
DPO: 56.9%
Hb at target, weeks 40-52:
VADA: 39.8%
DPO: 41.0% |
| INNO ₂ VATE ⁵¹
(<u>NCT02892149</u>);
Global | R, DB, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated; M-DD;
n = 3554, 1:1 | VADA 300 mg QD, then
adjusted to 150, 450 or
600 mg vs DPO,
116 weeks | Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 24-36:
VADA: 0.19 g/dl
DPO: 0.36 g/dl
LSMD: - 0.17 (-0.23, -0.10) g/dl
Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 40-52 ^k :
VADA: 0.23 g/dl
DPO: 0.41 g/dl
LSMD: -0.18 (-0.25, -0.12) g/dl | Hb target range: US, 10-11 g/dl /
non-US, 10-12 g/dl;
Hb at target, weeks 24-36:
VADA: 49.2%
DPO: 53.2%
Hb at target, weeks 40-52:
VADA: 44.3%
DPO: 50.9% |
| Adapted from Haase. ⁹ Fundir
AC, active-controlled; DAPR(
erythropoietin-stimulating age
maintenance/stable dialysis (| ng sources are indicated
D, daprodustat; DB, doub
ent; FAS, full analysis set
HD and PD); M-HD, mair | either with drug name or with
le-blind; DESI, desidustat; D
; Hb, hemoglobin; HD, hemo
ntenance/stable hemodialysis | n individual studies. 95% confidence intervals
PO, darbepoetin alfa; ENARO, enarodustat;
dialysis; I-DD, incident dialysis (HD and PD)
s; MOLI, molidustat; LSMD, least-squares mo | s are shown in brackets.
EOT, end of treatment; ESA,
; I-HD, incident hemodialysis; M-DD,
ean difference; NC, non- |
| | The Inter | national Society of Nephrology | / (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms) | |

Kidney International

comparative; NR, not reported; OL, open-label; PBO, placebo; PC, placebo-controlled; PD, peritoneal dialysis; QD, once daily; QW, once weekly; R, randomized, ROXA, roxadustat; TIW, three times weekly; VADA, vadadustat. ESA-naïve is defined as no use of ESA for a study-defined period of time prior to start of study. ^a starting dose, then titrated to maintain target Hb levels (right column). ^b proportion of patients with Hb in target range reported as secondary outcomes in most studies. ^c depending on study, starting dose is based on either recent Hb measurements or weight or both. ^d initial dose according to prior ESA dose. ^e Weight-based dosing (100 mg for > 45 to 60 or 120 mg for \geq 60 kg), adjusted to maintain Hb levels of 10–12 g/dl. ^f all patients, full analysis set. ^g dosed at 70 mg for weight of 45 to 70 kg; 100 mg for weight of >70-160. ^h EMA: For the European Union's European Medicines Agency (EMA), the primary efficacy endpoint was Hb response defined as Hb ≥ 11.0 g/dl and an Hb increase from baseline by ≥ 1.0 g/dl in any patient with baseline Hb >8.0 g/dl, or an increase from baseline by ≥ 2.0 g/dl in any patient with baseline Hb ≤ 8.0 g/dl at two consecutive visits separated by at least 5 days during the first 24 weeks of treatment without rescue therapy (i.e., RBC transfusion, ESA or IV iron administration) prior to Hb response. ⁱ FDA: For the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the primary efficacy endpoint was the mean change in Hb from baseline to the average Hb level during the evaluation period (defined as Weeks 28–52), regardless of rescue therapy. 2 g/dl. ^j titrated to achieve a Hb level of 11 g/dl and to maintain Hb levels of 10–12 g/dl. ^k key secondary endpoint. The International Society of Nephrology (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms)

Table 4: Iron parameters from phase 3 HIF-PHI clinical trials in non-dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease

| Study;
Location | Entry criteria | Iron strategy | Iron utilization | Changes in markers of iron metabolism |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| Daprodustat (GlaxoSmithk | (line) | | | |
| ASCEND-ND ²¹
(<u>NCT02876835</u>);
Global
N=3872 | ESA naïve and Hb 8-
10 g/dl
or ESA treated and
Hb 8-11 g/dl
eGFR <60
ml/min/1.73 m ²
Hb <10 g/dl
Ferritin >100 ng/ml
TSAT >20% | Iron starting criteria: ferritin
≤100 ng/ml or TSAT ≤20%
Iron stopping criteria:
ferritin ≥800 ng/ml and
TSAT ≥20% or TSAT
≥40%
Route of iron
administration based on
local clinical practice | <i>IV iron</i>
13% in HIF-PHI vs. 11% in
ESA between weeks 36-48 | $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{Hepcidin}: \text{ decreased from median (IQR)}} \\ 105.6 (61.7-165.9) to 82.7 (43.0-142.4) ng/ml \\ \text{in HIF-PHI vs. 105.3 (61.2-169.8) to 120.1} \\ (66.5-201.1) ng/ml in ESA \\ \underline{\text{TSAT}: 30.0\% (24.0-37.0) to 29.0 (22.0-35.0)} \\ \text{in HIF-PHI vs. 29.0\% (23.0-36.0) to 32.0} \\ (24.0-41.0) \text{ in ESA} \\ \underline{\text{Ferritin}: } \text{Median (IQR) 267.0 (164.0-456.0) to} \\ 240.0 (135.0-425.0) ng/ml in HIF-PHI vs. \\ 275.0 (171.0-449.0) to 262.0 (150.5-447.5) \\ ng/ml in ESA \\ \underline{\text{TIBC}: 45.0 (40.0-50.0) to 50.0 (45.0-55.0)} \\ mmol/l in HIF-PHI vs. 44.0 (40.0-49.0) to 44.0 \\ (39.0-49.0) mmol/l in ESA \\ \underline{\text{Iron: } 13.0 (10.0-16.0) to 14.0 (11.0-17.0)} \\ mmol/l in HIF-PHI vs. 13.0 (10.0-16.0) to 14.0 \\ (11.0-18.0) mmol/l in ESA \\ \end{array}$ |
| Roxadustat (FibroGen Inc. | ; Astellas Pharma, Inc. | ; AstraZeneca) | | |
| ALPS ²⁹
(<u>NCT01887600</u>);
Europe
Astellas Pharma, Inc.
N=594 | eGFR <60
ml/min/1.73 m²
ESA naïve
Ferritin ≥30 ng/ml
TSAT ≥5% | Oral iron recommended
IV iron as rescue if Hb
<8.5 g/dl and ferritin <100
ng/ml or TSAT <20% | Not reported | <u>Hepcidin</u> : decreased from 37.9 (36.6) to 24.6
(30.1) mg/l in HIF-PHI and from 41.2 (37.6) to
39.4 (37.8) mg/l in placebo
<u>Ferritin</u> : 112.6 ng/ml (IQR 76.8-198.6 to 82.8
ng/ml (IQR 48.0-170.1) in HIF-PHI and from
111.6 ng/ml (IQR 78.2-205.3) to 100.2 ng/ml
(IQR 66.5-182.1) in ESA
<u>TIBC</u> : increased in HIF-PHI but not ESA |
| ANDES ³⁰
(<u>NCT01750190</u>);
Global (no European sites)
FibroGen Inc.
N=922 | ESA naïve
eGFR <60
ml/min/1.73 m²
Hb ≤10 g/dl
Ferritin ≥30 ng/ml
TSAT ≥5% | Oral iron encouraged
IV iron rescue | % receiving IV iron
2.5% HIF-PHI vs. 4.9%
placebo; HR 0.39 (95% CI
0.15-0.81) | Hepcidin: -22.1 (80.9) mg/l in HIF-PHI and 3.9
(80.9) mg/l in placebo; LSM difference of -
25.7 μg/l (95% CI -38.5 to -12.9).
<u>TIBC</u> : increased in HIF-PHI and decreased in
placebo; LSM difference 38.65 μg/dl (95% CI
31.9-45.5)
<u>TSAT</u> : LSM difference -0.1%, 95% CI (-2.0,
1.7)
<u>Iron</u> : LSM difference 8.3 mg/l (95% CI 2.9,
13.6) |
| | The Inte | ernational Society of Nephrolog | y (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cn | ns) |

| Ferritin: LSM difference -57.5 ng/ml (95% C | I - |
|---|-----|
| 92.8, -22.3) | |

| 3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11 | OLYMPUS ³¹
(<u>NCT02174627</u>);
Global
AstraZeneca
N=2781 | ESA naïve
eGFR <60
ml/min/1.73 m²
Mean of 2 recent Hb
≤10 g/dl
Ferritin ≥50 ng/ml
TSAT ≥15% | Oral iron allowed without
restriction and
recommended
IV iron if patients intolerant
or unresponsive to oral
iron and Hb <8.5 g/dl and
ferritin <100 µg/l or TSAT
<20% | <i>Receipt of IV iron</i>
4.3% HIF-PHI, 7.9% placebo;
HR 0.41 (95% CI 0.29, 0.56)
<i>Receipt of oral iron</i>
46.5% HIF-PHI vs. 46.5%
placebo | <u>Hepcidin</u> : LSM difference -45.4 ng/ml (95% Cl
56.2, 34.5)
<u>Ferritin</u> : difference -54.6 mg/l (95% Cl -71.7, -
37.4)
<u>TSAT</u> : difference -0.6% (95% Cl -1.3, 0.2)
<u>TIBC</u> : difference 34,6 μg/dl (95% Cl 31.3,
37.9)
<u>Iron</u> : difference 7.7 mg/dl (95% Cl 5.8, 9.6) |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| 12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 | DOLOMITES ³²
(<u>NCT02021318</u>);
Europe
Astellas Pharma, Inc
N=616 | ESA naïve
eGFR <60
ml/min/1.7 3m²
Mean of 2 recent Hb
≤10.5 g/dl | Oral iron recommended in
HIF-PHI and IV iron
allowed if inadequate Hb
response after at least 2
dose increases or
maximum dose limit
reached and iron
deficiency or intolerance to
oral iron
Oral or IV iron required if
ferritin <100 ng/ml or
TSAT <20% in ESA | <i>IV iron</i>
6.2% HIF-PHI, 12.7% ESA
Monthly dose 34.7 (30.0) mg
HIF-PHI and 69.6 (67.3) ESA
(among those receiving)
<i>Oral iron</i>
Bivalent: 43.7% HIF-PHI,
49.8% ESA;
Trivalent: 35.3% HIF-PHI,
44.7% ESA | <u>Ferritin</u> : change from baseline at week 52: -
93.1 (521.4) pmol/l HIF-PHI vs72.4 (459.3)
pmol/l ESA
<u>TSAT</u> : 1.3% (11.8) HIF-PHI vs. 5.2 (13.2)
<u>Iron</u> : 1.1 (5.9) mmol/l HIF-PHI vs. 2.2 (6.8)
pmol/l ESA |
| 26
27 | Vadadustat (Akebia Therap | peutics; Otsuka Pharma | aceuticals) | | |
| 28
29
30
31
32
33 | PRO ₂ TECT ³⁴
(<u>NCT02648347</u>);
Global
N=1751 | ESA naïve
eGFR ≤60
ml/min/1.73 m ²
Hb <10 g/dl
Ferritin ≥100 ng/ml
TSAT ≥20% | Iron supplementation
encouraged to maintain
ferritin ≥100 ng/ml or
TSAT ≥20% | Not reported | Not reported |
| 35
36
37
38
39
40
41 | PRO ₂ TECT ³⁴
(<u>NCT02648347</u>);
Global
N=1725 | ESA treated
eGFR ≤60
ml/min/1.73 m ²
Hb 8-11 g/dl in US or
9-12 non-US
Ferritin ≥100 ng/ml
TSAT ≥20% | Iron supplementation
encouraged to maintain
ferritin ≥100 ng/mL or
TSAT ≥20% | Not reported | Not reported |
| 42
43
44
45
46
47 | | The Inte | rnational Society of Nephrology | ۲ (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cm | s) |

Table 5: Iron parameters from phase 3 HIF-PHI clinical trials in dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease

| 2
3 | Study;
Location | Entry criteria | Iron strategy | Iron utilization | Changes in markers of iron metabolism |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| 4
5
6 | Daprodustat (GlaxoSmi | ithKline) | | | |
| 7
8
9
10
11
12 | ASCEND-D ³⁷
(NCT02879305);
Global
Prevalent dialysis
N=2964 | ESA users
ferritin >100 ng/ml
TSAT >20% | Iron supplementation
protocol to maintain ferritin
100-800 ng/ml and TSAT
20-40% | Mean monthly IV dose
139.2 (171.1) to 90.8 (SE 3.3)
mg HIF-PHI vs. 137.4 (174.7)
to 99.9 (SE 3.3) mg ESA
<u>Difference</u> : -9.1 mg (95% CI -
18.4, 0.2) | Hepcidin: decreased more in HIF-PHI than
ESA
<u>TIBC</u> : increased more in HIF-PHI than ESA
<u>Ferritin</u> : slight decrease in both groups
<u>TSAT</u> : decreased slightly in both groups |
| 13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21 | ASCEND-ID ³⁶
(<u>NCT03029208</u>);
Global
Incident Dialysis
N=312 | ESA naïve
ferritin >100 ng/ml
TSAT >20% | Iron starting criteria: ferritin
≤ 100 ng/ml or TSAT $\leq 20\%$
Iron stopping criteria:
ferritin ≥ 800 ng/ml and
TSAT $\geq 20\%$ or TSAT
$\geq 40\%$
Route of iron
administration based on
local clinical practice | 159.3 (207.1) to 142 (161) mg
HIF-PHI vs. 180.1 (209.9) to
128 (137) mg ESA
<u>Difference</u> : 19.4 mg/mo (95%
CI -11.0, 49.9) | Hepcidin: decreased from 112.6 ng/ml (IQR
76.8-198.6) to 82.8 ng/ml (IQR 48.0-170.1) in
HIF-PHI and from 111.6 ng/ml (IQR 78.2-
205.3) to 100.2 ng/ml (IQR 66.5-182.1) in
ESA
<u>TIBC</u> : increased in HIF-PHI but not ESA
<u>Ferritin</u> : decreased in both groups
<u>TSAT</u> : decreased in both groups
<u>Iron</u> : stable in both groups |
| 22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43 | ASCEND-TD ³⁸
(NCT03400033);
Global
Prevalent HD
N=407 | ESA treated
Hb 8-11.5 g/dl
Ferritin >100 ng/ml
TSAT >20% | Iron was administered if
ferritin ≤100 ng/ml or
TSAT ≤20%
Iron was stopped if: ferritin
>800 ng/ml and TSAT
>20% or TSAT >40% | % receiving IV iron
<u>Weeks 28-52</u> : 38% in HIF-PHI
vs. 40% in ESA
<u>Weeks 1-52</u> : 51% HIF-PHI vs.
51% ESA
<u>Mean monthly dose</u>
<u>Weeks 28-52</u> : 104.9 (222.5)
mg HIF-PHI vs. 103.1 (244.7)
mg ESA
<u>Weeks 1-52</u> : 99.0 (187.1) HIF-
PHI vs. 104.4 (210.8) ESA
<u>Mean treatment difference</u> : -
8.1 (95% CI -45.7, 29.4) | <u>Hepcidin</u>: declined at a similar rate in both arms during the trial. <u>TIBC</u>: increased in HIF-PHI by week 4 and remained higher than ESA throughout the trial. <u>Ferritin</u>: declined at a similar rate in both arms during the trial. <u>TSAT</u>: similar between groups throughout the trial <u>Iron</u>: increased in HIF-PHI by week 4 and remained higher than ESA throughout the trial. |
| 44
45
46
47 | | The Inte | rnational Society of Nephrology | y (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cm | ns) |

| Roxadustat (FibroGen | Inc.; Astellas Pharma, In | c.; AstraZeneca) | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| HIMALAYAS ⁴⁶
(<u>NCT02052310</u>);
Global
FibroGen, Inc
Incident dialysis
N=1043 | ESA use for ≤3
weeks
Mean of last 2 Hb
≤10 g/dl
ferritin ≥100 ng/ml
TSAT ≥20% | Oral iron encouraged; IV
iron allowed if Hb
response inadequate and
ferritin ≤100 ng/ml and
TSAT <20% | % receiving IV iron
<u>Weeks 28-52</u> : 83.7% HIF-PHI
vs. 85.4% ESA
Mean monthly IV dose
Difference -4.4 (95% CI -20.7,
12.0) mg
Mean monthly oral dose 290.7
(95% CI -463.2, 1044.5) mg | $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{Hepcidin}:} -64.8 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -74.3, -55.3) \ \text{mg/l} \\ \\ \overline{\text{HIF-PHI}} \ \text{vs.} -54.1 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -63.4, -44.7) \ \text{mg/l} \\ \\ \overline{\text{ESA};} \ \text{difference} -10.7 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -23.2, 1.77) \\ \\ \hline \text{mg/l} \\ \\ \underline{\text{Ferritin}:} -191.3 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -234.4, -148.2) \ \text{ng/ml} \\ \\ \hline \text{HIF-PHI} \ \text{vs.} -130.0 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -172.9, -87.2) \\ \\ \ \text{ng/ml} \ \overline{\text{ESA};} \ \text{difference} -61.3 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -117.0, -5.6) \ \text{ng/ml} \\ \\ \\ \hline \underline{\text{TSAT}:} -2.7\% \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -3.9, -1.5) \ \text{HIF-PHI} \ \text{vs.} -2.2\% \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -3.4, -1.1) \ \text{ESA}; \ \text{difference} -0.5\% \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -2.0, 1.1) \\ \\ \hline \underline{\text{TIBC}:} \ 37.7 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -33.3, 42.1) \ \text{mg/dl} \ \text{HIF-PHI} \\ \\ \hline \text{vs.} \ 1.7 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -2.7, 6.0) \ \text{mg/dl} \ \text{ESA}; \\ \\ \hline \text{difference} \ 36.1 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} 30.2, 41.9) \ \text{mg/dl} \\ \\ \hline \underline{\text{Iron:}} \ 2.1 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -1.2, 5.5) \ \text{mg/dl} \ \text{HIF-PHI} \ \text{vs.} \\ -4.7 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -8.0, -1.5) \ \text{mg/dl} \ \text{ESA}; \ \text{difference} \\ \hline 6.9 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} 2.4, 11.3) \ \text{mg/dl} \\ \end{array}$ |
| PYRENEES ⁴⁷
(<u>NCT02278341</u>);
Europe
Astellas Pharma, Inc.
Prevalent HD
N=3188 | ESA users
ferritin ≥100 ng/ml
TSAT ≥20% | For patients on HIF-PHI,
oral iron was permitted. IV
iron was allowed only if Hb
did not respond
adequately after 2
consecutive dose increase
or if the maximum dose
was reached and ferritin
<100 ng/ml or TSAT <20%
or the patient was
intolerant to oral iron | Mean monthly IV dose
HIF-PHI: 21.6 mg
ESA: 53.5 mg
Difference: -31.9 (95% CI -
41.4, -22.4) | Hepcidin: -32.7 (42.3) HIF-PHI vs17.5
(47.3) ESA at week 52
Ferritin: lower in HIF-PHI and TSAT levels
similar; exact changes not reported
<u>TIBC</u> : 10.0 (8.8) mmol/I HIF-PHI vs. 2.7 (6.4)
mmol/I ESA
Iron: -0.3 (7.4) mmol/I HIF-PHI vs1.2 (6.3)
mmol/I ESA |
| ROCKIES ⁴⁸
(<u>NCT02174731</u>);
Global
AstraZeneca
Prevalent dialysis
N=2133 | ESA naïve and Hb
<10 g/dl or
ESA user and Hb
<12 g/dl
Ferritin ≥100 ng/ml
TSAT ≥20% | Oral iron permitted in both
groups.
In HIF-PHI, IV iron
permitted if Hb did not
increase sufficiently after
≥2 doses and ferritin <100
ng/ml or TSAT <20% | Mean monthly IV dose
58.7 HIF-PHI vs. 91.4 mg ESA
Oral iron use
20.7% HIF-PHI vs. 18.0% ESA | Hepcidin: -45.0 (95% CI -57.5, -32.5) ng/ml
HIF-PHI vs16.8 (95% CI -29.2, -4.4) ng/ml
ESA; difference: -18.2 (95% CI -29.2, -4.4) ng/ml
<u>TSAT</u> : -1.9% (95% CI -2.8, -1.1) HIF-PHI vs
2.4% (95% CI -3.3, -1.6) ESA; difference:
0.5% (95% CI -0.4, 1.5)
<u>Ferritin</u> : -104.5 (95% CI -126.2, -82.8) mg/l
HIF-PHI
vs41.2 (95% CI -62.1, -20.3) ESA;
difference -63.3 (95% CI -87.4, -39.2)
<u>TIBC</u> : 35.0 (95% CI 31.8, 38.2) mg/dl HIF-PHI
vs2.4 (95% CI -5.5, 0.7) mg/dl ESA; |
| | The Int | ernational Society of Nephrolog | y (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cm | ns) |

| 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13 | SIERRAS ⁴⁹
(<u>NCT02273726</u>);
United States
FibroGen, Inc.
Prevalent HD
N=741 | ESA users
Ferritin ≥100 ng/ml
TSAT ≥20% | Oral iron encouraged
IV iron if oral not tolerated
or if iron deficient | <i>Mean monthly IV dose</i>
17.1 (53.4) mg HIF-PHI vs.
37.0 (106.8) mg ESA
Difference: -20.1 (95% CI -
33.8, -6.45) | difference 37.4 (95% CI 33.8, 41.0)
<u>Iron</u> : 6.6 (95% CI 4.5, 8.7) mg/dl HIF-PHI vs
5.5 (95% CI -7.6, -3.5) mg/dl ESA; difference
12.1 (95% CI 9.8, 14.5) mg/dl
<u>Hepcidin</u> : decreased in both groups;
difference: -19.12 (95% CI -39.52, 1.28)
<u>Ferritin</u> : decreased in both groups; difference:
-41.71 (95% CI -96.51, 13.09) ng/ml
<u>Iron</u> : increased in roxadustat; difference: 6.33
(95% CI 2.20, 10.45) mg/dl
<u>TSAT</u> : decreased in both groups; difference:
2.18% (95% CI 0.16, 4.20) |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| 14
15 | Vadadustat (Akebia Ther | apeutics; Otsuka Pharma | aceuticals) | | |
| 16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23 | INNO ₂ VATE ⁵¹
(<u>NCT02865850</u>);
Global
Prevalent dialysis
N=3554 | ESA users and ESA-
naïve
Hb 8-11 mg/dl in US
or 9-12 mg/dl in non-
US
ferritin ≥100 ng/ml | Encouraged iron
supplementation to
maintain ferritin ≥100
ng/ml or TSAT ≥20% | Not reported | <u>Hepcidin</u> : 193.9 (140.1) ng/ml to 137.4
(119.9) ng/ml in HIF-PHI vs. 190.4 (135.9) to
158.2 (123.4) in ESA
<u>Ferritin</u> : 846.8 (562.7) to 787.3 (550.2) ng/ml
in HIF-PHI vs. 840.7 (538.5) to 828.9 (565.8)
ng/ml in ESA
<u>TSAT</u> : 38.1% (13.5) to 34.1% (21.4) in HIF-
PHI vs. 37.6% (13.2) to 36.6% (14.3) in ESA |
| 24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32 | INNO ₂ VATE ⁵¹
(<u>NCT02865850</u>);
Global
Incident dialysis
N=369 | Hb 8-11 mg/dl
ferritin ≥100 ng/ml
TSAT ≥20% | Encouraged iron
supplementation to
maintain ferritin ≥100
ng/ml or TSAT ≥20% | Not reported | <i>Changes from baseline to weeks 40-52</i>
<u>Hepcidin</u> : 122.4 (109.5) to 95.7 (72.1) ng/ml in
HIF-PHI vs. 126.9 (111.2) to 101.1 (95.6) in
ESA
<u>Ferritin</u> : 469.7 (316.9) to 555.5 (453.2) ng/ml
in HIF-PHI vs. 527.8 (401.1) to 559.4 (458.5)
ng/ml in ESA
<u>TSAT</u> : 31.3% (9.5) to 33.1% (12.0) in HIF-PHI
vs. 34.2% (12.7) to 35.6% (13.8) in ESA |
| 32
33
34 | | | | | |
| 35
36 | | | | | |
| 37
38 | | | | | |
| 39
40 | | | | | |
| 40
41 | | | | | |
| 42
43 | | | | | |
| 44
45 | | The Inte | rnational Society of Nephrolog | y (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cn | ns) |
| 46
47 | | | | | |

| Study;
Location
Sponsor | Study design; No.
of patients,
randomization | Treatment, starting dose, ^a study duration | Primary outcome hazard ratio; non-
inferiority margin (95% confidence
interval) | Other outcome hazard ratios (95% confidence interval) |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Daprodustat (GlaxoSmithK | (line) | | | |
| ASCEND-ND ²¹
(<u>NCT02876835</u>);
Global | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated;
n = 3872, 1:1 | DAPRO 2-4 mg QD ^b for
ESA-naïve and 1-4 mg
QD ^c for ESA-users vs
DPO, 148 weeks | First occurrence of adjudicated MACE
(composite of death, nonfatal
myocardial infarction, or nonfatal
stroke): HR 1.03, 95% CI 0.89-1.19
Noninferiority margin: HR 1.25 | On-treatment MACE: HR 1.40, 95% CI
1.17-1.68
MACE or hospitalization for heart failure:
HR 1.09, 95% CI 0.95-1.24
MACE or thromboembolic event: HR
1.06, 95% CI 0.93-1.22
All-cause death: HR 1.03, 95% CI 0.87-
1.20 |
| Roxadustat (FibroGen Inc. | ; Astellas Pharma, In | c.; AstraZeneca) | | |
| ALPS ²⁹
(<u>NCT01887600</u>);
Europe
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, DB, PC; ESA-
naïve;
n = 594, 2:1 | ROXA 70 or 100 mg
TIW ^d vs PBO,
104 weeks | CLICK. | MACE+ (composite of death, nonfatal
myocardial infarction, nonfatal stroke,
unstable angina and hospitalization for
heart failure): HR 1.07, 95% CI 0.93-1.2 |
| ANDES ³⁰
(<u>NCT01750190</u>);
Global (no European sites)
FibroGen Inc. | R, DB, PC; ESA-
naïve;
n = 922, 2:1 | ROXA 70 or 100 mg
TIW ^d vs PBO,
52 weeks | Pooled analysis of ALPS, ANDES,
OLYMPUS: time to first MACE
(composite of death, nonfatal
myocardial infarction, or nonfatal
stroke): HR 1.10, 95% CI 0.96-1.27 | MACE, on treatment + 7d: HR 1.38, 95%
CI 1.11-1.70)
Myocardial infarction: HR 1.29, 95% CI
0.90-1.85 |
| OLYMPUS ³¹
(<u>NCT02174627</u>);
Global
AstraZeneca | R, DB, PC; ESA-
naïve;
n = 2781, 1:1 | ROXA 70 mg TIW vs
PBO, 164 weeks | Noninferiority margin: HR 1.30 | Stroke: HR 1.25, 95% CI 0.82-1.90
Unstable angina: HR 0.56, 95% CI 0.22-
1.42
Congestive heart failure: HR 0.93, 95% (|
| | | | | 0.75-1.16
All-cause death: HR 1.08, 95% Cl 0.93-
1.26 |

| PRO_TECT³⁴
NCT02648347); R, OL, AC; ESA-
naive;
n = 1751, 1:1 WADA 300 mg QD, then
adjusted to 150, 450 or
168 weeks PRO, TECT³⁴ R, OL, AC; ESA-
naive;
n = 1751, 1:1 WADA 300 mg QD, then
adjusted to 150, 450 or
168 weeks PRO, TECT³⁴ R, OL, AC; ESA-
naive;
n = 1751, 1:1 PRO, TECT³⁴ R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated;
n = 1725, 1:1 R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated;
n = 1725, 1:1 VADA 300 mg QD, then
adjusted to 150, 450 or
600 mg QD vs DPO,
168 weeks PRO, TECT³⁴ R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated;
n = 1725, 1:1 R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated;
NCT02660574); R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated;
n = 1725, 1:1 Composite of death from cardiovascular
causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, c
nonfatal stroke: HR 1.16, 95% CI 0.95-
1.42 Composite of death from cardiovascular
causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, c
nonfatal stroke: HR 1.16, 95% CI 0.95-
1.42 Composite of death from cardiovascular
causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, c
nonfatal stroke: HR 1.16, 95% CI 0.95-
1.42 Composite of death from cardiovascular
causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, c
nonfatal stroke: HR 1.16, 95% CI 0.95-
1.42 Composite of death from cardiovascular
causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, c
nonfatal stroke: HR 1.16, 95% CI 0.95-
1.42 Composite of death from cardiovascular
causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, c
nonfatal stroke: HR 1.16, 95% CI 0.95-
1.42 Composite of death from cardiovascular
causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, c
nonfatal stroke: HR 1.16, 95% CI 0.95-
1.42 Composite of death from cardiovascular
causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, c
nonfatal stroke: HR 1.16, 95% CI 0.97-
1.42 Composite of death from cardiovascular
causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, c
nonfatal stroke: HR 1.16, 95% CI 0.97-
1.42 Composite | Vadadustat (Akebia Th | erapeutics; Otsuka Pha | rmaceuticals) | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| (1.01 to 1.36) 1.01, 95% CI 0.79-1.29 Noninferiority margin: HR 1.25 (USA)
and HR 1.30 (EMA) Death from any cause: HR 1.09, 95% CI
0.93-1.27 Composite of death from cardiovascular
causes, nonfatal stroke: HR 1.16, 95% CI 0.95-
1.42 PRO2TECT ³⁴
NCT02680574);
Biobal R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated;
adjusted to 150, 450 or
168 weeks VADA 300 mg QD, then
adjusted to 150, 450 or
168 weeks Adapted from Haase. ⁹ Funding sources are indicated either with drug name or with individual studies. 95% confidence intervals are shown in brackets. Ac, active-controlled; DAPRO, daprodustat; DB, double-blind; DPO, darbepoetin alfa; EBP, epoetin beta pegol; EOT, end of treatment; ESA, erythropoiesis-
stimulating agent; Hb, hemoglobin; HR, hazard ratio; LSMD, least-squares mean difference; maint, maintenance; NC, non-comparative; NR, not reported; OL,
ppen-label; PBO, placebo; PC, placebo-controlled; QD, once daily; R, randomized; ROXA, roxadustat; TIW, three times weekly; VADA, vadadustat: ESA-naïve is
lefined as no use of ESA for a study-defined period of time prior to start of study. starting dose, then titrated to maintain target Hb levels (right column).
starting dose based on paseline Hb level; for NCT02964936, Akizawa <i>et al.</i> , 2020,8 starting dose is based on an algorithm that included 2 baseline Hb levels,
weight-based dosing: 70 mg for weight of 45 to <70 kg; 100 mg for ≥70 kg. | PRO₂TECT ³⁴
(<u>NCT02648347</u>);
Global | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve;
n = 1751, 1:1 | VADA 300 mg QD, then
adjusted to 150, 450 or
600 mg QD vs DPO,
168 weeks | (Pooled analysis of ESA-naive and
ESA-treated subjects)
Time to first MACE (composite of death
from any cause, nonfatal myocardial
infarction, or nonfatal stroke): HR 1.17 | MACE plus hospitalization for either hea
failure or a thromboembolic event HR
1.11, 95% CI 0.97 -1.27
Death from cardiovascular causes: HR |
| and HR 1.30 (EMA) 0.93-1.27 Composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, c nonfatal stroke: HR 1.16, 95% CI 0.95-1.42 PRO_7TECT ³⁴ R, OL, AC; ESA- VADA 300 mg QD, then adjusted to 150, 450 or 1.42 NCT02680574); n = 1725, 1:1 600 mg QD vs DPO, 168 weeks Adapted from Haase. ⁹ Funding sources are indicated either with drug name or with individual studies. 95% confidence intervals are shown in brackets. AC, active-controlled; DAPRO, daprodustat; DB, double-blind; DPO, darbepoetin alfa; EBP, epoetin beta pegol; EOT, end of treatment; ESA, erythropoiesis-stimulating agent; Hb, hemoglobin; HR, hazard ratio; LSMD, least-squares mean difference; maint., maintenance; NC, non-comparative; NR, not reported; OL, spen-label; PBO, placebo; PC, placebo-controlled; QD, once daily; R, randomized; ROXA, roxadustat; TIW, three times weekly; VADA, vadadustat. ESA-naïve is lefined as no use of ESA for a study-defined period of time prior to start of study. starting dose, then titrated to maintain target Hb levels (right column). 'starting dose based on baseline Hb level; for NCT02964936, Akizawa <i>et al.</i> , 2020,8 starting dose is based on an algorithm that included 2 baseline Hb levels, veight and eGFR. 'starting dose based on prior ESA dose. 'weight-based dosing: 70 mg for weight of 45 to <70 kg; 100 mg for ≥70 kg. | | | | (1.01 to 1.36)
Noninferiority margin: HR 1.25 (USA) | 1.01, 95% CI 0.79-1.29
Death from any cause: HR 1.09, 95% CI |
| Composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal stroke: HR 1.16, 95% CI 0.95-
1.42 PRO ₂ TECT ³⁴ R, OL, AC; ESA- VADA 300 mg QD, then
NCT02680574); n = 1725, 1:1 600 mg QD vs DPO,
168 weeks Adapted from Haase. ⁹ Funding sources are indicated either with drug name or with individual studies. 95% confidence intervals are shown in brackets.
Ac, active-controlled; DAPRO, daprodustat; DB, double-blind; DPO, darbepoetin alfa; EBP, epoetin beta pegol; EOT, end of treatment; ESA, erythropoiesis-
stimulating agent; Hb, hemoglobin; HR, hazard ratio; LSMD, least-squares mean difference; maint., maintenance; NC, non-comparative; NR, not reported; OL,
open-label; PBO, placebo; PC, placebo; PC, placebo; PC, placebo; PC, placebo; PC, placebo; PC, placebo; for a study-defined period of time prior to start of study.
starting dose, then titrated to maintain target Hb levels (right column).
starting dose based on baseline Hb level; for NCT02964936, Akizawa <i>et al.</i> , 2020,8 starting dose is based on an algorithm that included 2 baseline Hb levels, veight and eGFR.
starting dose based on prior ESA dose.
weight-based dosing: 70 mg for weight of 45 to <70 kg; 100 mg for ≥70 kg. | | | | and HR 1.30 (EMA) | 0.93-1.27 |
| PRQ₂TECT ³⁴
NCT02680574);
Slobal R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated;
n = 1725, 1:1 VADA 300 mg QD, then
adjusted to 150, 450 or
600 mg QD vs DPO,
168 weeks Adapted from Haase. ⁹ Funding sources are indicated either with drug name or with individual studies. 95% confidence intervals are shown in brackets.
Ac, active-controlled; DAPRO, daprodustat; DB, double-blind; DPO, darbepoetin alfa; EBP, epoetin beta pegol; EOT, end of treatment; ESA, erythropoiesis-
stimulating agent; HD, hemoglobin; HR, hazard ratio; LSMD, least-squares mean difference; maint., maintenance; NC, non-comparative; NR, not reported; OL,
open-label; PBO, placebo; PC, placebo-controlled; QD, once daily; R, randomized; ROXA, roxadustat; TIW, three times weekly; VADA, vadadustat. ESA-naïve is
defined as no use of ESA for a study-defined period of time prior to start of study.
* starting dose, then titrated to maintain target Hb levels (right column).
* starting dose based on baseline Hb level; for NCT02964936, Akizawa <i>et al.</i> , 2020,8 starting dose is based on an algorithm that included 2 baseline Hb levels,
veight and eGFR.
* weight-based dosing: 70 mg for weight of 45 to <70 kg; 100 mg for ≥70 kg. | | | | | Composite of death from cardiovascular
causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or
nonfatal stroke: HR 1.16, 95% CI 0.95-
1.42 |
| Adapted from Haase. ⁹ Funding sources are indicated either with drug name or with individual studies. 95% confidence intervals are shown in brackets.
Adapted from Haase. ⁹ Funding sources are indicated either with drug name or with individual studies. 95% confidence intervals are shown in brackets.
AC, active-controlled; DAPRO, daprodustat; DB, double-blind; DPO, darbepoetin alfa; EBP, epoetin beta pegol; EOT, end of treatment; ESA, erythropoiesis-
stimulating agent; Hb, hemoglobin; HR, hazard ratio; LSMD, least-squares mean difference; maint., maintenance; NC, non-comparative; NR, not reported; OL,
open-label; PBO, placebo; PC, placebo-controlled; QD, once daily; R, randomized; ROXA, roxadustat; TIW, three times weekly; VADA, vadadustat. ESA-naïve is
defined as no use of ESA for a study-defined period of time prior to start of study.
• starting dose, then titrated to maintain target Hb levels (right column).
• starting dose based on baseline Hb level; for NCT02964936, Akizawa <i>et al.</i> , 2020,8 starting dose is based on an algorithm that included 2 baseline Hb levels,
veight and eGFR.
starting dose based on prior ESA dose.
weight-based dosing: 70 mg for weight of 45 to <70 kg; 100 mg for ≥70 kg. | | R, OL, AC; ESA- | VADA 300 mg QD, then | | |
| Adapted from Haase. ⁹ Funding sources are indicated either with drug name or with individual studies. 95% confidence intervals are shown in brackets.
AC, active-controlled; DAPRO, daprodustat; DB, double-blind; DPO, darbepoetin alfa; EBP, epoetin beta pegol; EOT, end of treatment; ESA, erythropoiesis-
stimulating agent; Hb, hemoglobin; HR, hazard ratio; LSMD, least-squares mean difference; maint., maintenance; NC, non-comparative; NR, not reported; OL,
open-label; PBO, placebo; PC, placebo-controlled; QD, once daily; R, randomized; ROXA, roxadustat; TIW, three times weekly; VADA, vadadustat. ESA-naïve is
defined as no use of ESA for a study-defined period of time prior to start of study.
starting dose, then titrated to maintain target Hb levels (right column).
starting dose based on baseline Hb level; for NCT02964936, Akizawa <i>et al.</i> , 2020,8 starting dose is based on an algorithm that included 2 baseline Hb levels,
veight and eGFR.
starting dose based on prior ESA dose.
weight-based dosing: 70 mg for weight of 45 to <70 kg; 100 mg for ≥70 kg. | <u>NC102680574</u>);
Global | n = 1725, 1:1 | 600 mg QD vs DPO, | | |
| Adapted from Haase. ⁹ Funding sources are indicated either with drug name or with individual studies. 95% confidence intervals are shown in brackets.
AC, active-controlled; DAPRO, daprodustat; DB, double-blind; DPO, darbepoetin alfa; EBP, epoetin beta pegol; EOT, end of treatment; ESA, erythropoiesis-
stimulating agent; Hb, hemoglobin; HR, hazard ratio; LSMD, least-squares mean difference; maint., maintenance; NC, non-comparative; NR, not reported; OL,
open-label; PBO, placebo; PC, placebo-controlled; QD, once daily; R, randomized; ROXA, roxadustat; TIW, three times weekly; VADA, vadadustat. ESA-naïve is
defined as no use of ESA for a study-defined period of time prior to start of study.
• starting dose, then titrated to maintain target Hb levels (right column).
• starting dose based on baseline Hb level; for NCT02964936, Akizawa <i>et al.</i> , 2020,8 starting dose is based on an algorithm that included 2 baseline Hb levels,
veight and eGFR.
starting dose based on prior ESA dose.
weight-based dosing: 70 mg for weight of 45 to <70 kg; 100 mg for ≥70 kg. | | | 168 weeks | | |
| | Adapted from Haase. ⁹ F
AC, active-controlled; D <i>I</i>
stimulating agent; Hb, he | Funding sources are indica
APRO, daprodustat; DB, c
emoglobin; HR, hazard rat | ated either with drug name o
double-blind; DPO, darbepoe
tio; LSMD, least-squares me | r with individual studies. 95% confidence ir
etin alfa; EBP, epoetin beta pegol; EOT, en
an difference; maint., maintenance; NC, no | ntervals are shown in brackets.
d of treatment; ESA, erythropoiesis-
on-comparative; NR, not reported; OL, |
| | Adapted from Haase. ⁹ F
AC, active-controlled; D/
stimulating agent; Hb, he
open-label; PBO, placeb
defined as no use of ES/
starting dose, then titra
starting dose based on
veight and eGFR.
starting dose based on
weight-based dosing: 7 | Funding sources are indica
APRO, daprodustat; DB, c
emoglobin; HR, hazard ra
bo; PC, placebo-controlled
A for a study-defined perio
ited to maintain target Hb
baseline Hb level; for NC
prior ESA dose.
'0 mg for weight of 45 to < | ated either with drug name o
double-blind; DPO, darbepoe
tio; LSMD, least-squares me
l; QD, once daily; R, random
od of time prior to start of stu
levels (right column).
T02964936, Akizawa <i>et al.</i> , ; | r with individual studies. 95% confidence in
etin alfa; EBP, epoetin beta pegol; EOT, en
ean difference; maint., maintenance; NC, no
ized; ROXA, roxadustat; TIW, three times v
dy.
2020,8 starting dose is based on an algorit | htervals are shown in brackets.
d of treatment; ESA, erythropoiesis-
on-comparative; NR, not reported; OL,
weekly; VADA, vadadustat. ESA-naïve is
hm that included 2 baseline Hb levels, |
| | Adapted from Haase. ⁹ F
AC, active-controlled; D/
stimulating agent; Hb, he
open-label; PBO, placeb
defined as no use of ES/
e starting dose, then titra
e starting dose based on
weight and eGFR.
starting dose based on
weight-based dosing: 7 | Funding sources are indica
APRO, daprodustat; DB, c
emoglobin; HR, hazard ra
bo; PC, placebo-controlled
A for a study-defined perio
uted to maintain target Hb
baseline Hb level; for NC
prior ESA dose.
'0 mg for weight of 45 to < | ated either with drug name o
double-blind; DPO, darbepoe
tio; LSMD, least-squares me
l; QD, once daily; R, random
od of time prior to start of stu
levels (right column).
T02964936, Akizawa <i>et al.</i> , 3
70 kg; 100 mg for ≥70 kg. | r with individual studies. 95% confidence in
etin alfa; EBP, epoetin beta pegol; EOT, en
ean difference; maint., maintenance; NC, no
ized; ROXA, roxadustat; TIW, three times w
dy.
2020,8 starting dose is based on an algorit | htervals are shown in brackets.
d of treatment; ESA, erythropoiesis-
on-comparative; NR, not reported; OL,
weekly; VADA, vadadustat. ESA-naïve is
hm that included 2 baseline Hb levels, |
| | Adapted from Haase. ⁹ F
AC, active-controlled; D <i>I</i>
stimulating agent; Hb, he
open-label; PBO, placeb
defined as no use of ES <i>I</i>
⁹ starting dose, then titra
⁹ starting dose based on
weight and eGFR.
⁹ starting dose based on
¹ weight-based dosing: 7 | Funding sources are indica
APRO, daprodustat; DB, c
emoglobin; HR, hazard ra-
to; PC, placebo-controlled
A for a study-defined perior
ted to maintain target Hb
baseline Hb level; for NC
prior ESA dose.
'0 mg for weight of 45 to < | ated either with drug name o
double-blind; DPO, darbepoe
tio; LSMD, least-squares me
l; QD, once daily; R, random
od of time prior to start of stu
levels (right column).
T02964936, Akizawa <i>et al.</i> ,
70 kg; 100 mg for ≥70 kg. | r with individual studies. 95% confidence in
etin alfa; EBP, epoetin beta pegol; EOT, en
an difference; maint., maintenance; NC, no
ized; ROXA, roxadustat; TIW, three times v
dy.
2020,8 starting dose is based on an algorit | htervals are shown in brackets.
d of treatment; ESA, erythropoiesis-
on-comparative; NR, not reported; OL,
weekly; VADA, vadadustat. ESA-naïve is
hm that included 2 baseline Hb levels, |
| | Adapted from Haase. ⁹ F
AC, active-controlled; D/
stimulating agent; Hb, he
open-label; PBO, placeb
defined as no use of ES/
^a starting dose, then titra
^b starting dose based on
weight and eGFR.
^c starting dose based on
¹ weight-based dosing: 7 | Funding sources are indica
APRO, daprodustat; DB, c
emoglobin; HR, hazard ra
oo; PC, placebo-controlled
A for a study-defined perio
ated to maintain target Hb
baseline Hb level; for NC
prior ESA dose.
'0 mg for weight of 45 to < | ated either with drug name o
double-blind; DPO, darbepoe
tio; LSMD, least-squares me
l; QD, once daily; R, random
od of time prior to start of stu
levels (right column).
T02964936, Akizawa <i>et al.</i> , 3
70 kg; 100 mg for ≥70 kg. | r with individual studies. 95% confidence in
etin alfa; EBP, epoetin beta pegol; EOT, en
an difference; maint., maintenance; NC, no
ized; ROXA, roxadustat; TIW, three times v
dy.
2020,8 starting dose is based on an algorit | htervals are shown in brackets.
d of treatment; ESA, erythropoiesis-
on-comparative; NR, not reported; OL,
weekly; VADA, vadadustat. ESA-naïve is
hm that included 2 baseline Hb levels, |

| Study;
Location
Sponsor | Study design; No.
of patients,
randomization | Treatment, starting dose, ^a study duration | Primary outcome hazard ratio; non-
inferiority margin (95% confidence interval) | Other outcome hazard ratios (95% confidence interval) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| <i>Daprodustat</i> (GlaxoSr | nithKline) | | | |
| ASCEND-ID ³⁶
(<u>NCT03029208</u>);
Global | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated (limited
exposure <6
weeks), I-DD; n =
312, 1:1 | DAPRO 1-4 mg QD ^b vs
DPO, 52 weeks | Exploratory analysis: first occurrence of
adjudicated MACE (composite of death
from any cause, non-fatal myocardial
infarction or non-fatal stroke): n=19
(12%) DAPRO vs n=15 (10%) DPO
absolute rate difference/100 PYs 2.41
(95% CI-4.61 to 9.43) | The first occurrence of MACE or a
hospitalization for heart failure: n=24
(15%) DPO vs. n=18 (12%) DPO
Adjusted mean difference in systolic BP:
-0.09 mm Hg (95% CI, -4.72 to 4.53);
diastolic BP: 1.99 mm Hg (95% CI, -0.85
to 4.82) |
| | | | Non-inferiority margin: N/A (not
designed or powered as a non-
inferiority trial) | |
| ASCEND-D ³⁷
(<u>NCT02879305</u>);
Global | R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated, M-DD;
n = 2964, 1:1 | DAPRO 4-12 mg QD ^c vs
ESA (epoetin alfa for
HD, DPO for PD, | Adjudicated MACE (composite of death from any cause, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke): HR 0.93, | MACE or thromboembolic event: HR 0.88, 95% CI 0.78-1.00 |
| | | 52 weeks | 95% CI 0.81-1.07
Non-inferiority margin: HR 1.25 | MACE or hospitalization for heart failure:
HR 0.97, 95% CI 0.85-1.11 |
| ASCEND-TD ³⁸
(<u>NCT03400033</u>);
Global | R, DB, AC; ESA-
treated, M-DD;
n = 407, 2:1 | DAPRO 8-24 mg TIW ^c
adjusted to dose range
of 2-48 mg TIW vs
epoetin alfa, 52 weeks | First occurrence of adjudicated MACE:
Absolute rate difference per 100
person-years (95% CI) 2.3 (-4.4, to 9.0) | Worsening hypertension (<i>post-hoc</i>):
DAPRO vs. Epoetin: Relative risk 0.83
(0.50 to 1.39) |
| Roxadustat (FibroGer | ı Inc.; Astellas Pharma, In | c.; AstraZeneca) | | |
| Chen <i>et al</i> ., 2019 ⁴²
(<u>NCT02652806</u>);
China
FibroGen, Inc. | R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated; M-DD;
n = 304, 2:1 | ROXA 100 or 120 mg
TIW ^d vs epoetin alfa,
26 weeks | Cardiac disorders: ROXA n=5 (2.5%)
and epoetin alfa n=1 (1.0%) | Vascular disorders: ROXA n=2 (1.0%) an epoetin alfa n=0 |
| | The Ir | nternational Society of Nephro | ology (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms) | |

| 1
2
3
4
5 | Akizawa <i>et al</i> ., 2020 ⁴³
(<u>NCT02779764,</u>
<u>NCT02780141</u>);
Japan
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, OL, NC; I-HD
(ESA-naïve, n =
75) and M-HD
(>12 weeks, ESA-
treated); n = 239 | ESA-naïve: ROXA 50 or
70 mg TIW ^b , 24 weeks
ESA-treated: ROXA 70
or 100 mg TIW ^c ,
52 weeks | MACE – not reported | |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| 6
7
8
9
10
11 | Akizawa <i>et al</i> ., 2020 ⁴⁴
(<u>NCT02780726</u>);
Japan
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, OL, NC; ESA-
naïve (n = 13) and
ESA-treated, PD
(> 4 weeks);
n = 56 | ROXA 50 or 70 mg TIW ^b
(ESA-naïve) or ROXA 70
or 100 mg TIW ^c (ESA-
treated), 24 weeks | MACE – not reported | |
| 12
13
14
15 | Akizawa <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ⁴⁵
(<u>NCT02952092</u>);
Japan
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, DB, AC; ESA-
treated, M-HD;
n = 303, 1:1 | ROXA 70 or 100 mg
TIW ^c vs DPO QW,
24 weeks | Cardiac disorders: ROXA n=5 (3.3%),
DPO n=4 (2.6%) | Vascular disorders: ROXA n=5 (3.3%),
DPO n=1 (0.7%) |
| 17
18
19
20
21 | HIMALAYAS ⁴⁶
(<u>NCT02052310</u>);
Global
FibroGen, Inc. | R, OL, AC, ESA-
naïve and ESA-
limited use
(≤3 weeks),
I-DD; n = 1043, 1:1 | ROXA 70-100 mg TIW ^{e, f}
vs epoetin alfa, 52 weeks | Pooled analysis of HIMALAYAS,
PYRENEES, ROCKIES, and SIERRAS | Arteriovenous fistula thrombosis: ROXA
n=39 (7.5%) vs n=21 (4.1%)
Pooled analysis of HIMALAYAS, |
| 22
23
24
25
26 | PYRENEES ⁴⁷
(<u>NCT02278341</u>);
Europe
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, OL, AC, ESA-
treated, M-DD;
n = 838 (836
treated), 1:1 | ROXA 100-200 mg TIW ^c
vs ESA (epoetin alfa or
DPO), 52–104 weeks | MACE (myocardial infarction, stroke,
and all-cause mortality)
HR 1.09, 95% CI 0.95–1.26; | PYRENEES, ROCKIES, and SIERRAS:
MACE plus congestive heart failure or
unstable angina requiring hospitalization:
HR 0.98, 95% CI 0.86–1.11 |
| 27
28
29
30
31 | ROCKIES ⁴⁸
(<u>NCT02174731</u>);
Global
AstraZeneca | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated, M-DD and
I-DD (n = 416);
n = 2133, 1:1 | ROXA 70-200 mg TIW ^{c, f}
for ESA-treated and 70
or 100 mg TIW ^e for ESA-
naïve vs epoetin alfa,
52-164 weeks | Noninferiority margin: HR 1.30 | All-cause mortality: HR 1.13, 95% Cl
0.95–1.34 |
| 32
33
34
35
36 | SIERRAS ⁴⁹
(<u>NCT02273726</u>);
United States
FibroGen, Inc. | R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated, M-DD and
I-DD (n=71); total
n = 741, 1:1 | ROXA 70-200 mg TIW ^{c, f}
vs epoetin alfa, 52
weeks | | |

| | | , | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Nangaku <i>et al</i> ., 2021 ⁵⁰
(<u>NCT03439137</u>);
Japan | R, DB, AC; ESA-
treated, M-HD;
n = 323. 1:1 | VADA 300 mg QD, then
adjusted to 150, 450 or
600 mg QD vs DPO,
52 weeks | Cardiovascular event, cardiac failure
VADA: 13 (8.0%), DPO 15 (9.3%) | Retinal disorder: VADA 21 (13.0%), DF
16 (9.9%) |
| NNO₂VATE⁵¹
<u>NCT02865850</u>);
Global | R, DB, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated; I-DD;
n = 369, 1:1 | VADA 300 mg QD, then
adjusted to 150, 450 or
600 mg vs DPO,
116 weeks | Pooled analysis of I-DD and M-DD
trials MACE (myocardial infarction,
stroke, and all-cause mortality): HR
0.96, 95% CI 0.83 – 1.11 | MACE plus hospitalization for heart fail
or thromboembolic event: HR 0.96; 95
CI, 0.84 to 1.10. |
| | R, DB, AC; ESA- | VADA 300 mg QD, then | Non-inferiority margin: HR 1.25 | 0.96; 95% Cl, 0.77 to 1.20. |
| <u>NC102892149</u>);
Global | naive and ESA-
treated; M-DD;
n = 3554. 1:1 | adjusted to 150, 450 or
600 mg vs DPO,
116 weeks | | All-cause death: HR 0.95; 95% CI, 0.8
1.12. |
| | | 61 | | Composite of death from cardiovascula
causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction,
nonfatal stroke: HR 0.95; 95% CI, 0.80
1.14. |
| Adapted from Haase.9 Fu | inding sources are indica | ted either with drug name o | or with individual studies. 95% confidence | intervals are shown in brackets. |
| Adapted from Haase. ⁹ Fu
AC, active-controlled; DAF
erythropoietin-stimulating
nemodialysis; M-DD, mair
NC, non-comparative; NR
randomized, ROXA, roxac
start of study. | Inding sources are indica
PRO, daprodustat; DB, do
agent; FAS, full analysis
Intenance/stable dialysis (
a, not reported; OL, open-
dustat; TIW, three times v | ted either with drug name o
ouble-blind; DESI, desidust
set; Hb, hemoglobin; HD, h
HD and PD); M-HD, mainte
label; PBO, placebo; PC, p
veekly; VADA, vadadustat. | or with individual studies. 95% confidence
at; DPO, darbepoetin alfa; ENARO, enarce
nemodialysis; HR, hazard ratio; I-DD, incide
enance/stable hemodialysis; MOLI, molidu
lacebo-controlled; PD, peritoneal dialysis
ESA-naïve is defined as no use of ESA for | intervals are shown in brackets.
odustat; EOT: end of treatment; ESA,
dent dialysis (HD and PD); I-HD, incident
ustat; LSMD, least-squares mean different
; QD, once daily; QW, once weekly; R,
or a study-defined period of time prior to |
| Adapted from Haase. ⁹ Fu
AC, active-controlled; DAF
erythropoietin-stimulating
nemodialysis; M-DD, mair
NC, non-comparative; NR
andomized, ROXA, roxac
start of study.
9 starting dose, then titrate
9 depending on study, star
6 initial dose according to
9 Weight-based dosing (10 | Inding sources are indica
PRO, daprodustat; DB, do
agent; FAS, full analysis
intenance/stable dialysis (
a, not reported; OL, open-
dustat; TIW, three times v
ed to maintain target Hb lo
rting dose is based on eit
prior ESA dose.
20 mg for > 45 to 60 or 12 | ted either with drug name of
ouble-blind; DESI, desidust
set; Hb, hemoglobin; HD, h
HD and PD); M-HD, mainte
label; PBO, placebo; PC, p
veekly; VADA, vadadustat.
evels (right column).
her recent Hb measurement
0 mg for ≥ 60 kg), adjusted | or with individual studies. 95% confidence
at; DPO, darbepoetin alfa; ENARO, enarch
nemodialysis; HR, hazard ratio; I-DD, incide
enance/stable hemodialysis; MOLI, molidu
lacebo-controlled; PD, peritoneal dialysis
ESA-naïve is defined as no use of ESA for
hts or weight or both. | intervals are shown in brackets.
odustat; EOT: end of treatment; ESA,
dent dialysis (HD and PD); I-HD, incident
ustat; LSMD, least-squares mean differen
; QD, once daily; QW, once weekly; R,
or a study-defined period of time prior to |
| Adapted from Haase. ⁹ Fu
AC, active-controlled; DAI
erythropoietin-stimulating
hemodialysis; M-DD, mair
NC, non-comparative; NR
randomized, ROXA, roxac
start of study.
^a starting dose, then titrate
^b depending on study, star
^c initial dose according to
^d Weight-based dosing (10
^a dosed at 70 mg for weight
titrated to achieve a Hb le | Inding sources are indica
PRO, daprodustat; DB, de
agent; FAS, full analysis
intenance/stable dialysis (
anot reported; OL, open-
dustat; TIW, three times v
ed to maintain target Hb le
rting dose is based on eit
prior ESA dose.
00 mg for > 45 to 60 or12
ht of 45 to 70 kg; 100 mg
evel of 11 g/dl and to mai | ted either with drug name of
buble-blind; DESI, desidust
set; Hb, hemoglobin; HD, H
HD and PD); M-HD, mainte
label; PBO, placebo; PC, p
veekly; VADA, vadadustat.
evels (right column).
her recent Hb measurement
0 mg for \geq 60 kg), adjusted
for weight of >70-160.
ntain Hb levels of 10–12 g/ | or with individual studies. 95% confidence
at; DPO, darbepoetin alfa; ENARO, enarce
nemodialysis; HR, hazard ratio; I-DD, incide
enance/stable hemodialysis; MOLI, molidu
lacebo-controlled; PD, peritoneal dialysis
ESA-naïve is defined as no use of ESA for
hts or weight or both. | intervals are shown in brackets.
odustat; EOT: end of treatment; ESA,
dent dialysis (HD and PD); I-HD, incident
ustat; LSMD, least-squares mean differen
; QD, once daily; QW, once weekly; R,
or a study-defined period of time prior to |
| Adapted from Haase. ⁹ Fu
AC, active-controlled; DAI
erythropoietin-stimulating
nemodialysis; M-DD, mair
NC, non-comparative; NR
randomized, ROXA, roxac
start of study.
¹ starting dose, then titrate
depending on study, star
initial dose according to
Weight-based dosing (10
dosed at 70 mg for weigh
titrated to achieve a Hb le | Inding sources are indica
PRO, daprodustat; DB, da
agent; FAS, full analysis
intenance/stable dialysis (
agent; FAS, full analysis
intenance/stable dialysis (
agent; FAS, full analysis
(agent; FAS, full and to main
agent; FAS, full agent; FAS, full agent;
FAS, full agent; | ted either with drug name of
ouble-blind; DESI, desidust
set; Hb, hemoglobin; HD, H
HD and PD); M-HD, mainte
label; PBO, placebo; PC, p
veekly; VADA, vadadustat.
evels (right column).
her recent Hb measurement
to mg for \geq 60 kg), adjusted
for weight of >70-160.
ntain Hb levels of 10–12 g/ | or with individual studies. 95% confidence
at; DPO, darbepoetin alfa; ENARO, enarce
hemodialysis; HR, hazard ratio; I-DD, incide
enance/stable hemodialysis; MOLI, molidu
lacebo-controlled; PD, peritoneal dialysis;
ESA-naïve is defined as no use of ESA for
hts or weight or both.
I to maintain Hb levels of 10–12 g/dl.
dl. | intervals are shown in brackets.
odustat; EOT: end of treatment; ESA,
dent dialysis (HD and PD); I-HD, incident
ustat; LSMD, least-squares mean differen
; QD, once daily; QW, once weekly; R,
or a study-defined period of time prior to |

Table 8: Research recommendations

- Determine whether HIF-PHIs have an impact on progression of CKD based on severity of baseline disease, presence of proteinuria/albuminuria, or the cause of CKD
- Understand if hemoglobin targets should be the same when using HIF-PHIs versus ESAs for patients with ND-CKD and DD-CKD
- Conduct of additional trials to understand the need for iron supplementation and the appropriate iron dosing strategy with the use of HIF-PHIs, along with identification of iron targets during treatment
- Assess long-term safety for specific populations such as children, older adults, kidney transplant recipients, patients with PKD or acute kidney injury in future HIF-PHI studies
- Identification of novel biomarkers that can be used to monitor the safety of HIF-PHIs
- Ascertain variability in the risk of MACE and thrombosis with respect to region of the world, patients characteristics/subpopulations, Hb target, or rate of Hb correction
- Perform future studies to understand the effect of HIF-PHIs on HRQoL and patient-centered outcomes
- Determine whether HIF-PHIs are effective in patients with ESA hyporesponsiveness or in immunosuppressed populations, including those with kidney transplants
- Obtain longer term safety data (e.g., post-market surveillance) for HIF-PHI on risk for *de novo* cancer or progression of malignancy, retinopathy, and other potential adverse effects
- In regions where HIF-PHIs are available, comparative cost-effectiveness analysis should be conducted between these agents and ESAs

4

5

13

REFERENCES

9. Haase VH. Hypoxia-inducible factor-prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors in the treatment of anemia of chronic kidney disease. Kidney Int Suppl (2011) 2021; 11: 8-25.

- Nangaku M, Hamano T, Akizawa T, et al. Daprodustat Compared with Epoetin Beta Pegol for Anemia in Japanese Patients Not on Dialysis: A 52-Week Randomized Open-Label Phase 3 Trial. Am J Nephrol 2021; 52: 26-35.
- Singh AK, Carroll K, McMurray JJV, et al. Daprodustat for the Treatment of Anemia in Patients Not
 Undergoing Dialysis. N Engl J Med 2021; 385: 2313-2324.
- Agrawal D, Varade D, Shah H, et al. Desidustat in Anemia due to Non-Dialysis-Dependent Chronic Kidney Disease: A Phase 3 Study (DREAM-ND). Am J Nephrol 2022; 53: 352-360.
- Akizawa T, Nangaku M, Yamaguchi T, et al. A Phase 3 Study of Enarodustat in Anemic Patients with CKD not Requiring Dialysis: The SYMPHONY ND Study. Kidney Int Rep 2021; 6: 1840-1849.
- 24. Yamamoto H, Nobori K, Matsuda Y, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Molidustat for Anemia in ESA-Naive
 Nondialysis Patients: A Randomized, Phase 3 Trial. Am J Nephrol 2021; 52: 871-883.
- 23
 24
 25. Yamamoto H, Nobori K, Matsuda Y, et al. Molidustat for Renal Anemia in Nondialysis Patients Previously Treated with Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agents: A Randomized, Open-Label, Phase 3 Study. Am J Nephrol 2021; 52: 884-893.
- 27
 28. Chen N, Hao C, Peng X, et al. Roxadustat for anemia in patients with kidney disease not receiving dialysis. N Engl J Med 2019; 381: 1001-1010.
- Akizawa T, Yamaguchi Y, Otsuka T, et al. A Phase 3, Multicenter, Randomized, Two-Arm, Open-Label
 Study of Intermittent Oral Dosing of Roxadustat for the Treatment of Anemia in Japanese Erythropoiesis Stimulating Agent-Naive Chronic Kidney Disease Patients Not on Dialysis. Nephron 2020; 144: 372-382.
- Akizawa T, Iwasaki M, Otsuka T, et al. Phase 3 Study of Roxadustat to Treat Anemia in Non-Dialysis Dependant CKD. Kidney Int Rep 2021; 6: 1810-1828.
- Shutov E, Sulowicz W, Esposito C, et al. Roxadustat for the treatment of anemia in chronic kidney disease patients not on dialysis: a Phase 3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study (ALPS). Nephrol Dial Transplant 2021; 36: 1629-1639.
- 42
 43
 44
 30. Coyne DW, Roger SD, Shin SK, et al. Roxadustat for CKD-related Anemia in Non-dialysis Patients. Kidney Int Rep 2021; 6: 624-635.
- 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 49
 40
 41
 41
 42
 43
 44
 44
 45
 45
 45
 46
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 <
- Barratt J, Andric B, Tataradze A, et al. Roxadustat for the treatment of anaemia in chronic kidney disease
 patients not on dialysis: a Phase 3, randomized, open-label, active-controlled study (DOLOMITES).
 Nephrol Dial Transplant 2021; 36: 1616-1628.
- 33. Nangaku M, Kondo K, Kokado Y, et al. Phase 3 Randomized Study Comparing Vadadustat with
 Darbepoetin Alfa for Anemia in Japanese Patients with Nondialysis-Dependent CKD. J Am Soc Nephrol
 2021; 32: 1779-1790.
- St. Chertow GM, Pergola PE, Farag YMK, et al. Vadadustat in Patients with Anemia and Non-Dialysis Dependent CKD. N Engl J Med 2021; 384: 1589-1600.

60

- 35. Akizawa T, Nangaku M, Yonekawa T, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Daprodustat Compared with Darbepoetin Alfa in Japanese Hemodialysis Patients with Anemia: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Phase 3 Trial. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2020; 15: 1155-1165.
- Singh AK, Cizman B, Carroll K, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Daprodustat for Treatment of Anemia of Chronic Kidney Disease in Incident Dialysis Patients: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Intern Med 2022; 182: 592-602.
- 37. Singh AK, Carroll K, Perkovic V, et al. Daprodustat for the Treatment of Anemia in Patients Undergoing Dialysis. N Engl J Med 2021; 385: 2325-2335.
- 38. Coyne DW, Singh AK, Lopes RD, et al. Three Times Weekly Dosing of Daprodustat versus Conventional
 Epoetin for Treatment of Anemia in Hemodialysis Patients: ASCEND-TD: A Phase 3 Randomized,
 Double-Blind, Noninferiority Trial. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2022; 17: 1325-1336.
- 39. Gang S, Khetan P, Varade D, et al. Desidustat in Anemia due to Dialysis-Dependent Chronic Kidney
 Disease: A Phase 3 Study (DREAM-D). Am J Nephrol 2022; 53: 343-351.
- Akizawa T, Nangaku M, Yamaguchi T, et al. A Phase 3 Study of Enarodustat (JTZ-951) in Japanese
 Hemodialysis Patients for Treatment of Anemia in Chronic Kidney Disease: SYMPHONY HD Study.
 Kidney Dis (Basel) 2021; 7: 494-502.
- Akizawa T, Yamada T, Nobori K, et al. Molidustat for Japanese Patients With Renal Anemia Receiving Dialysis. Kidney Int Rep 2021; 6: 2604-2616.
- 42. Chen N, Hao C, Liu BC, et al. Roxadustat Treatment for Anemia in Patients Undergoing Long-Term Dialysis. N Engl J Med 2019; 381: 1011-1022.
- Akizawa T, Ueno M, Shiga T, et al. Oral roxadustat three times weekly in ESA-naive and ESA-converted
 patients with anemia of chronic kidney disease on hemodialysis: Results from two phase 3 studies. Ther
 Apher Dial 2020; 24: 628-641.
- Akizawa T, Otsuka T, Reusch M, et al. Intermittent Oral Dosing of Roxadustat in Peritoneal Dialysis
 Chronic Kidney Disease Patients with Anemia: A Randomized, Phase 3, Multicenter, Open-Label Study.
 Ther Apher Dial 2020; 24: 115-125.
- Akizawa T, Iwasaki M, Yamaguchi Y, et al. Phase 3, Randomized, Double-Blind, Active-Comparator
 (Darbepoetin Alfa) Study of Oral Roxadustat in CKD Patients with Anemia on Hemodialysis in Japan. J
 Am Soc Nephrol 2020; 31: 1628-1639.
- 43
 46. Provenzano R, Shutov E, Eremeeva L, et al. Roxadustat for anemia in patients with end-stage renal disease incident to dialysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2021; 36: 1717-1730.
- 46
 47. Csiky B, Schomig M, Esposito C, et al. Roxadustat for the Maintenance Treatment of Anemia in Patients with End-Stage Kidney Disease on Stable Dialysis: A European Phase 3, Randomized, Open-Label, Active-Controlled Study (PYRENEES). Adv Ther 2021; 38: 5361-5380.
- 48. Fishbane S, Pollock CA, El-Shahawy M, et al. Roxadustat Versus Epoetin Alfa for Treating Anemia in
 Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease on Dialysis: Results from the Randomized Phase 3 ROCKIES
 Study. J Am Soc Nephrol 2022; 33: 850-866.
- 49. Charytan C, Manllo-Karim R, Martin ER, et al. A Randomized Trial of Roxadustat in Anemia of Kidney
 Failure: SIERRAS Study. Kidney Int Rep 2021; 6: 1829-1839.

50

1

2

3

4

12

16

- 50. Nangaku M, Kondo K, Ueta K, et al. Efficacy and safety of vadadustat compared with darbepoetin alfa in Japanese anemic patients on hemodialysis: a Phase 3, multicenter, randomized, double-blind study. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2021; 36: 1731-1741.
- 51. Eckardt KU, Agarwal R, Aswad A, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Vadadustat for Anemia in Patients Undergoing Dialysis. N Engl J Med 2021; 384: 1601-1612.

to perperies only

Novel Anemia Therapies in CKD: A KDIGO Controversies Conference Report

| Agents | Potential Advantages | Potential Disadvantages |
|----------------|--|--|
| HIF-PHIs | Oral dosing more convenient for
some patients May facilitate anemia treatment in
patients with non-dialysis dependent
CKD Suppression of hepcidin mayMay
improve utilization of iron for
erythropoiesis, particularly oral iron May be more effective in chronic
inflammatory states (CRP >5 mg/l) | Difficult to monitor adherence Potential polypharmacy and drug-dru
interactions Less clinical experience Potential risk of enhancing tumor grov Potential risk of worsening retinopath Potential risk of cyst growth in ADPKI |
| ESAs | Adherence can be monitored with inclinic administration Extensive clinical experience | Treatment requires self-injection or reclinic visits Resistance in chronic inflammatory st Risk of enhancing tumor growth Antibody-mediated pure red cell aplas (rare) |
| Iron compounds | No serious adverse effects of oral iron | If PO, risk of poor gastrointestinal tole
and non-adherence to therapy If IV, risk of allergic/anaphylactic reac If IV, potential risk of increasing oxida
stress If IV, potential risk of hemosiderosis |
| | | |
| | | |

| Study;
Location
Sponsor | Study design; No.
of patients,
randomization | Treatment, starting dose, ^a study duration | Primary efficacy outcomes: Differences
in mean Hb and/or ΔHb from baseline
to evaluation period | Hb targets and
Hb response rate ^b |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Daprodustat (GlaxoSmit | hKline) | | | |
| Nangaku <i>et al.</i> , 2021 ²⁰
(<u>NCT02791763</u>);
Japan | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated;
n = 299, 1:1 | DAPRO 2 and 4 mg QD ^c
for ESA-naïve and 4 mg
QD ^c for ESA-users vs
EBP, 52 weeks | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 40-52:
DAPRO: 12 g/dl
EBP: 11.9 g/dl
Difference: 0.1 (-0.1, 0.3) g/dl | Hb within target range (11–13 g/dl)
during weeks 40–52:
DAPRO: 92%
EBP: 92% |
| ASCEND-ND ²¹
(<u>NCT02876835</u>);
Global | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated;
n = 3872, 1:1 | DAPRO 2-4 mg QD ^c for
ESA-naïve and 1-4 mg
QD ^d for ESA-users vs
DPO, 148 weeks | Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 28-52:
DAPRO: 0.74 g/dl
DPO: 0.66 g/dl
Difference: 0.08 (0.03, 0.13) g/dl | Hb target (10-11 g/dl) |
| Desidustat (Cadila Healt | hcare Ltd.) | | | |
| DREAM-ND ²²
(<u>NCT04012957);</u>
India, Sri Lanka | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve;
n = 588, 1:1 | DESI 100 mg TIW vs
DPO, 24 weeks | Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 16-24:
DESI: 1.95 g/dl
DPO: 1.83 g/dl
LSMD: 0.11 (-0.12, 0.35) g/dl | Hb within target range (10–12
g/dl) during weeks 16-24:
DESI: 77.78%
DPO: 68.48% |
| Enarodustat (Japan Toba | acco Inc.) | | | |
| SYMPHONY ND ²³
(<u>JapicCTI-183870</u>);
Japan | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated;
n = 216, 1:1 | ENARO 2 mg QD vs
DPO, 24 weeks | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 20–24:
ENARO: 10.96 g/dl
DPO: 10.87 g/dl
Difference: 0.09 (–0.07, 0.26) g/dl | Hb within target range (10–12
g/dl) during weeks 4–24:
ENARO: 88.6%
DPO: 87.9% |
| Molidustat (Bayer Yakuh | in, Ltd.) | | | |
| MIYABI ND-C ²⁴
(<u>NCT03350321</u>);
Japan | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve;
n = 162, 1:1 | MOLI 25 mg QD vs
DPO, 52 weeks | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 30-36:
MOLI: 11.28 g/dl
DPO: 11.70 g/dl
Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 30-36:
MOLI: 1.32 g/dl
DPO: 1.69 g/dl
LSMD: -0.38 (-0.67, -0.08) g/dl | Hb within target range (11–13
g/dl), responder rate during
weeks 30–36:
MOLI: 59.8%
DPO: 82.5% |
| | The Int | ernational Society of Nephrol | ogy (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms) | |

 Table 2: Efficacy data from phase 3 HIF-PHI clinical trials in non-dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease

Kidney International

| MIYABI ND-M ²⁵
(<u>NCT03350347</u>);
Japan | R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated;
n = 164, 1:1 | MOLI 25 mg or 50 mg
QD ^d vs DPO,
52 weeks | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 30-36:
MOLI: 11.67 g/dl
DPO: 11.53 g/dl
Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 30-36:
MOLI: 0.36 g/dl
DPO: 0.24 g/dl
LSMD: 0.13 (–0.15, 0.40) g/dl | Hb within target range (11–13
g/dl), responder rate during
weeks 30–36:
MOLI: 72.0%
DPO: 76.8% |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Roxadustat (FibroGen Inc.; | ; Astellas Pharma, In | c.; AstraZeneca) | | |
| Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2019 ²⁶
(<u>NCT02652819</u>);
China
FibroGen, Inc. | R, DB, PC; ESA-
naïve; n = 154, 2:1,
n = 152 (safety
population) | ROXA 70 or 100 mg
TIW ^e vs PBO, 8 weeks
DB, then 18 weeks OL | Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 7–9:
ROXA: 1.9 g/dl
PBO: -0.4 g/dl
Difference: 2.2 (1.9, 2.6) g/dl* | Hb target: 10-12 g/dl; pts with >10
g/dl and increase in ΔHb of 1-2 g/dl
at week 9:
ROXA: 75%
PBO: 0% |
| Akizawa <i>et al.</i> , 2020 ²⁷
(<u>NCT02964936</u>);
Japan
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, OL, NC; ESA-
naïve;
n = 99 | ROXA 50 or 70 mg
TIW⁰, 24 weeks | Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 18-24:
ROXA 50 mg: 1.34 g/dl
ROXA 70 mg: 1.30 g/dl | Hb target: 10-12 g/dl; Hb ≥ 10 g/dl
and Δ Hb of ≥1 g/dl at EOT:
ROXA 50 mg: 97.0%
ROXA 70 mg: 100.0%
for Hb ≥ 10.5 g/dl:
ROXA 50 mg: 94.9%
ROXA 70 mg: 98.0% |
| Akizawa <i>et al</i> ., 2021 ²⁸
(<u>NCT02988973</u>);
Japan
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated (DPO and
EBP); n = 334, 1:1, | ROXA 70 or 100 mg
TIW ^d vs DPO,
52 weeks | Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 18-24:
ROXA: 0.15 g/dl
DPO: 0.22 g/dl
LSMD: -0.07 g/ (-0.23, 0.10) g/dl | Hb within target range (10–12 g/dl),
maintenance rate during weeks 18-
24:
ROXA: 77.1%
PBO: 85.5% |
| ALPS ²⁹
(<u>NCT01887600</u>);
Europe
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, DB, PC; ESA-
naïve;
n = 594, 2:1 | ROXA 70 or 100 mg
TIW ^f vs PBO,
104 weeks | EMA endpoint, ⁹ first 24 weeks:
ROXA: 79.2%
PBO: 9.9%
Odds ratio: 34.74 (20.48, 58.93) %*
FDA endpoint, ^h weeks 28-52:
ROXA: 1.99 g/dl
PBO: 0.3 g/dl
LSMD: 1.69 (1.52, 1.86) g/dl* | Hb target: 10-12 g/dl, maint.;
Mean ΔHb without rescue therapy,
weeks 28-36:
ROXA: 2.01 g/dl (iron-replete) ⁱ
PBO: 0.26 g/dl (iron-replete) ⁱ
ROXA: 2.01 g/dl (non-replete) ⁱ
PBO: 0.493 g/dl (non-replete) ⁱ |
| ANDES ³⁰
(<u>NCT01750190</u>);
Global (no European sites)
FibroGen Inc. | R, DB, PC; ESA-
naïve;
n = 922, 2:1 | ROXA 70 or 100 mg
TIW ^f vs PBO,
52 weeks | EMA endpoint, ⁹ first 24 weeks:
ROXA: 86.0%
PBO: 6.6%
Odds ratio: 77.6 (44.7, 134.5) %*
FDA endpoint, ^h weeks 28-52:
ROXA: 2.00 g/dl
PBO: 0.16 g/dl | Hb target: 10–12 g/dl, maint.;
Mean ΔHb without rescue therapy,
weeks 28-36 (exploratory):
ROXA: 2.02 g/dl
PBO: 0.20 g/dl
LSMD: 1.88 (1.73, 2.04) g/dl* |

LSMD: 1.85 (1.74, 1.97) g/dl* OLYMPUS³¹ R, DB, PC; ESA-ROXA 70 mg TIW vs FDA endpoint,^h weeks 28-52: Hb target: 10-12 g/dl, maint.; (NCT02174627); PBO, 164 weeks ROXA: 1.75 g/dl EMA endpoint,^g first 24 weeks: naïve: Global n = 2781, 1:1 PBO: 0.4 g/dl ROXA: 77% AstraZeneca LSMD: 1.35 (1.27, 1.43) g/dl* PBO: 8.5% Odds ratio: 9.12 (7.63, 10.89)*, comparable results in iron-replete versus non-replete groups i DOLOMITES³² R. OL. AC: ESA-ROXA 70 or 100 mg EMA endpoint,⁹ first 24 weeks: Hb target: 10-12 g/dl, maint.; (NCT02021318); naïve: TIW^f vs DPO. ROXA: 89.5% EMA endpoint,⁹ first 24 weeks: n = 616, 1:1 104 weeks DPO: 78.0% ROXA: 96.4% (iron-replete) i Europe Astellas Pharma, Inc. DPO: 84.3% (iron-replete) i Difference: 11.51 (5.66, 17.36) % ROXA: 80.2% (non-replete) i DPO: 71.4% (non-replete) Vadadustat (Akebia Therapeutics; Otsuka Pharmaceuticals) Nangaku et al., 202133 R. OL. AC: ESA-VADA 300 mg QD, then Difference in mean Hb, weeks 20 and 24: Hb within target range (11–13 g/dl) (NCT03329196); at week 52 (ESA-naïve | ESAnaïve and ESAadjusted to 150, 450 or VADA: 11.66 g/dl 600 mg QD vs DPO, Japan treated; n = 304. DPO: 11.93 g/dl treated) LSMD: -0.26 (-0.50, -0.02) g/dl 1:1 52 weeks VADA: 71.4% | 79.2% DPO: 84.5% | 76.6% PRO₂TECT³⁴ VADA 300mg QD, then R, OL, AC; ESA-Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 24-36: Hb target range: US, 10-11 g/dl / non-US. 10-12 a/dl: (NCT02648347); naïve: adjusted to 150, 450 or VADA: 1.43 g/dl Global n = 1751, 1:1 600 mg QD vs DPO, DPO: 1.38 g/dl Hb at target, weeks 24-36: LMSD: 0.05 (-0.04, 0.15) g/dl 168 weeks VADA: 50.4% Difference in mean Δ Hb. weeks 40-52 ^k: DPO: 50.2% VADA: 1.52 g/dl Hb at target, weeks 40-52: DPO: 1.48 g/dl VADA: 43.1% LSMD: 0.04 (-0.06, 0.14) g/dl DPO: 43.5% PRO₂TECT³⁴ R, OL, AC; ESA-Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 24-36: Hb target range: US, 10-11 g/dl / VADA 300 mg QD, then (NCT02680574): adjusted to 150, 450 or VADA: 0.41 a/dl non-US. 10-12 a/dl: treated: 600 mg QD vs DPO. n = 1725, 1:1 Global DPO: 0.42 g/dl Hb at target, weeks 24-36: LSMD: -0.01 (-0.09, 0.07) g/dl VADA: 60.1% 168 weeks Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 40-52 k: DPO: 60.7% VADA: 0.43 g/dl Hb at target, weeks 40-52: DPO: 0.44 a/dl VADA: 50.7% LSMD: 0.00 (-0.10, 0.09) g/dl DPO: 49.0% Adapted from Haase.⁹ Funding sources are indicated either with drug name or with individual studies. 95% confidence intervals are shown in brackets. AC, active-controlled; DAPRO, daprodustat; DB, double-blind; DESI, desidustat; DPO, darbepoetin alfa; EBP, epoetin beta pegol; ENARO, enarodustat; EOT, end

of treatment; ESA, erythropoiesis-stimulating agent; Hb, hemoglobin; LSMD, least-squares mean difference; maint., maintenance; MOLI, molidustat; NC, non-

The International Society of Nephrology (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms)

45 46

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

Kidney International

| 1 | comparative; NR, not reported; OL, open-label; PBO, placebo; PC, placebo-controlled; QD, once daily; R, randomized; ROXA, roxadustat; TIW, three times weekly; VADA, vadadustat. ESA-naïve is defined as no use of ESA for a study-defined period of time prior to start of study. |
|----------|--|
| 2 | |
| כ
∧ | ^a starting dose, then titrated to maintain target Hb levels (right column). |
| | ^b proportion of patients with Hb in target range reported as secondary outcomes in most studies. |
| 6 | ^c starting dose based on baseline Hb level; for NCT02964936, Akizawa <i>et al.</i> , 2020, ²⁷ starting dose is based on an algorithm that included 2 baseline Hb levels, weight and eGER |
| / | ^d starting dose based on prior ESA dose. |
| 8 | ^e weight-based dosing: 70 mg for patients weighing 40 to < 60 kg or 100 mg for \ge 60 kg |
| 9
10 | f weight-based dosing: 70 mg for weight of 45 to <70 kg \cdot 100 mg for >70 kg |
| 10 | 9 EMA: For the European Union's European Medicines Agency (EMA), the primary efficacy endpoint was Hb response defined as Hb > 11.0 g/dL and an Hb |
| 12 | increase from baseline by $\geq 1.0 \text{ g/d}$ in any patient with baseline Hb $\geq 8.0 \text{ g/d}$ or an increase from baseline by $\geq 2.0 \text{ g/d}$ in any patient with baseline Hb $\leq 8.0 \text{ g/d}$ at |
| 13 | two consecutive visits separated by at least 5 days during the first 24 weeks of treatment without rescue therapy (i.e., RBC transfusion, ESA or IV iron |
| 14 | administration) prior to Hb response |
| 15 | ^h EDA: For the US Food and Drug Administration (EDA), the primary efficacy endpoint was the mean change in Hb from baseline to the average Hb level during |
| 16 | the evaluation period (defined as Weeks 28–52), regardless of rescue therapy |
| 17 | i iron status: iron replete transferrin saturation (TSAT) > 20% and ferritin > 100 ng/ml: non-replete TSAT < 20% and ferritin < 100 ng/ml |
| 18 | j key secondary endpoint |
| 19 | * Statistical significance reported |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |
| 33 | |
| 34 | |
| 35 | |
| 36 | |
| 37 | |
| 38 | |
| 39
40 | |
| 41 | |
| 42 | |
| 43 | |
| 44 | |
| 45 | The International Society of Nephrology (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms) |

| Study;
Location | Study design;
No. of pts,
randomization | Treatment: Starting dose, ^a study duration | Primary efficacy outcomes:
Differences in mean Hb and/or ΔHb
from baseline to evaluation period | Hb targets and
Hb response rate ^b |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Daprodustat (GlaxoSmith | Kline) | | | |
| Akizawa <i>et al</i> ., 2020 ³⁵
(<u>NCT02969655</u>);
Japan | R, DB, AC; ESA-
treated, M-HD;
n = 271, 1:1 | DAPRO 4 mg QD vs DPO,
52 weeks | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 40–52:
DAPRO: 10.9 g/dl
DPO: 10.8 g/dl
Adjusted difference: 0.1 (–0.1, 0.2) g/dl | Hb at target (10–12 g/dl) during
weeks 40–52:
DAPRO: 88%
DPO: 90% |
| ASCEND-ID ³⁶
(<u>NCT03029208</u>);
Global | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated (limited
exposure <6 weeks),
I-DD; n = 312, 1:1 | DAPRO 1-4 mg QD° vs
DPO, 52 weeks | Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 28–52:
DAPRO: 1.02 g/dl
DPO: 1.12 g/dl
Difference: 0.10 (-0.34, 0.14) g/dl | Hb target: 10-11 g/dl. |
| ASCEND-D ³⁷
(<u>NCT02879305</u>);
Global | R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated, M-DD;
n = 2964, 1:1 | DAPRO 4-12 mg QD ^d vs
ESA (epoetin alfa for HD,
DPO for PD,
52 weeks | Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 28-52:
DAPRO: 0.28 g/dl
ESA: 0.10 g/dl
Difference: 0.18 (0.12, 0.24) g/dl | Hb target: 10-11 g/dl |
| ASCEND-TD ³⁸
(<u>NCT03400033</u>);
Global | R, DB, AC; ESA-
treated, M-DD;
n = 407, 2:1 | DAPRO 8-24 mg TIW ^d
adjusted to dose range of
2-48 mg TIW vs epoetin
alfa, 52 weeks | Difference in mean ΔHb, weeks 28-52:
DAPRO: -0.04 g/dl
Epoetin alfa: 0.02 g/dl
Difference: -0.05 (-0.21, 0.10) g/dl | Hb target 10-11 g/dl
Hb within analysis range of 10–
11.5 g/dl during weeks 28-52:
DAPRO: 80%
Epoetin alfa: 64%* |
| Desidustat (Cadila Health | care Ltd.) | | | |
| DREAM-D ³⁹
(<u>NCT04215120);</u>
(CTRI/2019/12/022312)
India | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve (n = 50) and
ESA-treated, M-HD
(2 or 3 x week);
n = 392, 1:1 | DESI 100 mg TIW (ESA-
naïve); 100, 125 or 150
mg TIW ^d (ESA-treated) vs
epoetin alfa, 24 weeks | Difference in mean ΔHb, weeks 16–24:
DESI: 0.95 g/dl
Epoetin alfa: 0.80 g/dl
LSM difference: 0.14 (–0.13, 0.42) g/dl | Hb within target range (10–12
g/dl) during weeks 16–24:
DESI: 59.2%
Epoetin alfa: 48.4% |
| Enarodustat (Japan Toba | cco Inc.) | | | |
| SYMPHONY-HD ⁴⁰
(<u>JapicCTI-183938</u>)
Japan | R, DB, AC; ESA-
treated; M-HD;
n = 173, 1:1;
FAS: n = 172 | ENARO 4 mg QD vs DPO;
24 weeks. | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 20–24:
ENARO: 10.73 g/dl
DPO: 10.85 g/dl
Difference: –0.12 (–0.33, +0.10) g/dl | Hb within target range (10–12
g/dl) during EOT period:
ENARO: 77.9%
DPO: 88.4% |
| | The Inter | national Society of Nephrology | (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms) | |

Table 3: Efficacy data from phase 3 HIF-PHI clinical trials in patients with dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease

| <i>Molidustat</i> (Bayer Yakuhi | in, Ltd.) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| MIYABI HD-M ⁴¹
(<u>NCT03543657</u>);
Japan | R, DB, AC; ESA-
treated, M-HD;
n = 229, 2:1 | MOLI 75 mg QD vs DPO;
52 weeks | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 33-36:
MOLI: 10.63 d/dl
DPO: 10.77 g/dl
Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 33-36:
MOLI: -0.14 g/dl
DPO: -0.07 g/dl
LSMD: -0.13 (-0.46, 0.19) g/dl | Hb within target range (10-12
g/dl):
MOLI: 61.2-77.8% during weeks
18-52
DPO: 68.7-88.7% during weeks
2-52. |
| Roxadustat (FibroGen In | c.; Astellas Pharma, Inc.; | AstraZeneca) | | |
| Chen <i>et al</i> ., 2019 ⁴²
(<u>NCT02652806</u>);
China
FibroGen, Inc. | R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated; M-DD;
n = 304, 2:1 | ROXA 100 or 120 mg
TIW ^e vs epoetin alfa,
26 weeks | Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 23–27:
ROXA: 0.7 g/dl
Epoetin alfa: 0.5 g/dl
Difference: 0.2 (-0.02, 0.5) g/dl | Hb target: 10–12 g/dl
Hb of ≥ 10 g/dl, weeks 23-27:
ROXA: 87.0%
Epoetin alfa: 88.5% |
| Akizawa <i>et al</i> ., 2020 ⁴³
(<u>NCT02779764,</u>
<u>NCT02780141</u>);
Japan
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, OL, NC; I-HD
(ESA-naïve, n = 75)
and M-HD (>12
weeks, ESA-treated);
n = 239 | ESA-naïve: ROXA 50 or
70 mg TIW ^c , 24 weeks
ESA-treated: ROXA 70 or
100 mg TIW ^d ,
52 weeks | Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 18-24:
ESA-naïve: 2.26 g/dl
ESA-treated: -0.03 g/dl
During weeks 46–52:
ESA-treated: 0.12 g/dl | Hb within target range (10–12
g/dl) ^f :
ESA-naïve: 73% at weeks 18-24
ESA-treated: 79.1% at weeks
18-24 and 71.2% at weeks 46-
52 |
| Akizawa <i>et al</i> ., 2020 ⁴⁴
(<u>NCT02780726</u>);
Japan
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, OL, NC; ESA-
naïve (n = 13) and
ESA-treated, PD
(> 4 weeks);
n = 56 | ROXA 50 or 70 mg TIW ^c
(ESA-naïve) or ROXA 70
or 100 mg TIW ^d (ESA-
treated), 24 weeks | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 18–24:
ESA-naïve: 1.69 g/dl
ESA-treated: 0.14 g/dl | Hb within target range (10–12
g/dl) during weeks 18-24:
ESA-naïve: 92.3%
ESA-treated: 74.4% |
| Akizawa <i>et al</i> ., 2020 ⁴⁵
(<u>NCT02952092);</u>
Japan
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, DB, AC; ESA-
treated, M-HD;
n = 303, 1:1 | ROXA 70 or 100 mg TIW ^d
vs DPO QW,
24 weeks | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 18–24:
ROXA: -0.04 g/dl
DPO: -0.03 g/dl
Difference: -0.02 (-0.18, 0.15) g/dl | Hb within target range (10–12
g/dl) during weeks 18–24 ^f :
ROXA: 79.3%
DPO: 83.4% |
| HIMALAYAS ⁴⁶
(<u>NCT02052310</u>);
Global
FibroGen, Inc. | R, OL, AC, ESA-naïve
and ESA-limited use
(≤3 weeks),
I-DD; n = 1043, 1:1 | ROXA 70-100mg TIW ^{9, j} vs
epoetin alfa, 52 weeks | EMA endpoint, ^h first 24 weeks:
ROXA: 88.2%
Epoetin alfa: 84.4%
Difference: 3.5 (-0.7, 7.7)%
FDA endpoint, ⁱ weeks 28-52:
ROXA: 2.57 g/dl
Epoetin alfa: 2.36 g/dl
LSMD: 1.18 (0.08, 0.29) g/dl* | Hb at target (10-12 g/dl), first 24
weeks (US second. endpoint):
ROXA: 84.3%
Epoetin alfa: 79.5%
ΔHb, weeks 28-52 (EU second.
endpoint):
ROXA: 2.62 g/dl
Epoetin alfa: 2.44 g/dl* |
| PYRENEES ⁴⁷
(NCT02278341); | R, OL, AC, ESA-
treated, M-DD; | ROXA 100-200 mg TIW ^d
vs ESA (epoetin alfa or | Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 28-36:
ROXA: 0.43 g/dl | Hb within target range (10-12
g/dl) at weeks 28 to 36: |

| Europe
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | n = 838 (836 treated),
1:1 | DPO), 52–104 weeks | ESA: 0.19. g/dl
LSMD: 0.23 (0.13, 0.34) g/dl*
Difference in mean ΔHb, weeks 28-52:
ROXA: 0.36 g/dl
ESA: 0.19 g/dl
LSMD: 0.17 (0.082, 0.261) g/dl* | ROXA: 84.2%
Epoetin alfa: 82.4% | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| ROCKIES ⁴⁸
(<u>NCT02174731</u>);
Global
AstraZeneca | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated, M-DD and I-
DD (n = 416);
n = 2133, 1:1 | ROXA 70-200 mg TIW ^{d, j}
for ESA-treated and 70 or
100 mg TIW ^g for ESA-
naïve vs epoetin alfa,
52-164 weeks | Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 28-52:
ROXA: 0.77 g/dl
Epoetin alfa: 0.68 g/dl
LSMD: 0.09 (0.01, 0.18) g/dl* | Proportion of time with Hb ≥ 10
g/dl during weeks 28–52:
ROXA: 79%
Epoetin alfa: 76% | |
| SIERRAS ⁴⁹
(<u>NCT02273726</u>);
United States
FibroGen, Inc. | RAS ⁴⁹ R, OL, AC; ESA-ROXA 7002273726);treated, M-DD and I-vs epoetingd StatesDD (n=71); total n =Gen, Inc.741, 1:1 | | Difference in mean ∆Hb, weeks 28-52:
ROXA: 0.39 g/dl
Epoetin alfa: -0.09 g/dl
LSMD: 0.48 (0.37, 0.59) g/dl* | Hb target range: 10-12 g/dl
Hb ≥10 g/dl, weeks 28-52:
ROXA: 66.1%
Epoetin alfa: 58.6% | |
| Vadadustat (Akebia Therapeutics; Otsuka Pharmaceuticals) | | | | | |
| Nangaku <i>et al</i> ., 2021 ⁵⁰
(<u>NCT03439137</u>);
Japan | R, DB, AC; ESA-
treated, M-HD;
n = 323. 1:1 | VADA 300 mg QD, then
adjusted to 150, 450 or
600 mg QD vs DPO,
52 weeks | Difference in mean Hb, weeks 20-24:
VADA: 10.61 g/dl
DPO: 10.65 g/dl
LSMD: -0.05 g/dl (-0.26 to 0.17) | Hb within target range (10–12
g/dl) at weeks 24 and 52:
VADA: 75.4 and 75.7%
DPO: 75.7 and 86.5% | |
| INNO₂VATE ⁵¹
(<u>NCT02865850</u>);
Global | R, DB, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated; I-DD;
n = 369, 1:1 | VADA 300 mg QD, then
adjusted to 150, 450 or
600 mg vs DPO,
116 weeks | Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 24-36:
VADA: 1.26 g/dl
DPO: 1.58 g/dl
LMSD: g/dl -0.31 (-0.53, -0.10)
Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 40-52 ^k :
VADA: 1.42 g/dl
DPO: 1.50 g/dl
LSMD: -0.07 (-0.34, 0.19) g/dl | Hb target range: US, 10-11 g/dl /
non-US, 10-12 g/dl;
Hb at target, weeks 24-36:
VADA: 43.6%
DPO: 56.9%
Hb at target, weeks 40-52:
VADA: 39.8%
DPO: 41.0% | |
| INNO₂VATE ⁵¹
(<u>NCT02892149</u>);
Global | R, DB, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated; M-DD;
n = 3554, 1:1 | VADA 300 mg QD, then
adjusted to 150, 450 or
600 mg vs DPO,
116 weeks | Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 24-36:
VADA: 0.19 g/dl
DPO: 0.36 g/dl
LSMD: - 0.17 (-0.23, -0.10) g/dl
Difference in mean Δ Hb, weeks 40-52 ^k :
VADA: 0.23 g/dl
DPO: 0.41 g/dl
LSMD: -0.18 (-0.25, -0.12) g/dl | Hb target range: US, 10-11 g/dl /
non-US, 10-12 g/dl;
Hb at target, weeks 24-36:
VADA: 49.2%
DPO: 53.2%
Hb at target, weeks 40-52:
VADA: 44.3%
DPO: 50.9% | |
| Adapted from Haase. ⁹ Fundin
AC, active-controlled; DAPR
erythropoietin-stimulating age
maintenance/stable dialysis (| ng sources are indicated
O, daprodustat; DB, dout
ent; FAS, full analysis set
(HD and PD); M-HD, main | either with drug name or with
ble-blind; DESI, desidustat; D
;; Hb, hemoglobin; HD, hemo
ntenance/stable hemodialysis | n individual studies. 95% confidence intervals
PO, darbepoetin alfa; ENARO, enarodustat;
dialysis; I-DD, incident dialysis (HD and PD);
s; MOLI, molidustat; LSMD, least-squares mo | s are shown in brackets.
EOT, end of treatment; ESA,
; I-HD, incident hemodialysis; M-DD,
ean difference; NC, non- | |
| The International Society of Nephrology (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms) | | | | | |

Kidney International

comparative; NR, not reported; OL, open-label; PBO, placebo; PC, placebo-controlled; PD, peritoneal dialysis; QD, once daily; QW, once weekly; R, randomized, ROXA, roxadustat; TIW, three times weekly; VADA, vadadustat. ESA-naïve is defined as no use of ESA for a study-defined period of time prior to start of study. ^a starting dose, then titrated to maintain target Hb levels (right column). ^b proportion of patients with Hb in target range reported as secondary outcomes in most studies. ^c depending on study, starting dose is based on either recent Hb measurements or weight or both. ^d initial dose according to prior ESA dose. ^e Weight-based dosing (100 mg for > 45 to 60 or 120 mg for \geq 60 kg), adjusted to maintain Hb levels of 10–12 g/dl. ^f all patients, full analysis set. ^g dosed at 70 mg for weight of 45 to 70 kg; 100 mg for weight of >70-160. ^h EMA: For the European Union's European Medicines Agency (EMA), the primary efficacy endpoint was Hb response defined as Hb ≥ 11.0 g/dl and an Hb increase from baseline by ≥ 1.0 g/dl in any patient with baseline Hb >8.0 g/dl, or an increase from baseline by ≥ 2.0 g/dl in any patient with baseline Hb ≤ 8.0 g/dl at two consecutive visits separated by at least 5 days during the first 24 weeks of treatment without rescue therapy (i.e., RBC transfusion, ESA or IV iron administration) prior to Hb response. ⁱ FDA: For the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the primary efficacy endpoint was the mean change in Hb from baseline to the average Hb level during the evaluation period (defined as Weeks 28–52), regardless of rescue therapy. 2 g/dl. ^j titrated to achieve a Hb level of 11 g/dl and to maintain Hb levels of 10–12 g/dl. ^k key secondary endpoint. The International Society of Nephrology (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms)

Table 4: Iron parameters from phase 3 HIF-PHI clinical trials in non-dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease

| Study;
Location | Entry criteria | Iron strategy | Iron utilization | Changes in markers of iron metabolism |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Daprodustat (GlaxoSmithK | (line) | | | |
| ASCEND-ND ²¹
(<u>NCT02876835</u>);
Global
N=3872 | ESA naïve and Hb 8-
10 g/dl
or ESA treated and
Hb 8-11 g/dl
eGFR <60
ml/min/1.73 m ²
Hb <10 g/dl
Ferritin >100 ng/ml
TSAT >20% | Iron starting criteria: ferritin
≤100 ng/ml or TSAT ≤20%
Iron stopping criteria:
ferritin ≥800 ng/ml and
TSAT ≥20% or TSAT
≥40%
Route of iron
administration based on
local clinical practice | <i>IV iron</i>
13% in HIF-PHI vs. 11% in
ESA between weeks 36-48 | Hepcidin: decreased from median (IQR) 105.6 (61.7-165.9) to 82.7 (43.0-142.4) ng/m in HIF-PHI vs. 105.3 (61.2-169.8) to 120.1 (66.5-201.1) ng/ml in ESA TSAT: 30.0% (24.0-37.0) to 29.0 (22.0-35.0) in HIF-PHI vs. 29.0% (23.0-36.0) to 32.0 (24.0-41.0) in ESA Ferritin: Median (IQR) 267.0 (164.0-456.0) to 240.0 (135.0-425.0) ng/ml in HIF-PHI vs. 275.0 (171.0-449.0) to 262.0 (150.5-447.5) ng/ml in ESA TIBC: 45.0 (40.0-50.0) to 50.0 (45.0-55.0) mmol/l in HIF-PHI vs. 44.0 (40.0-49.0) to 44.0 (39.0-49.0) mmol/l in ESA Iron: 13.0 (10.0-16.0) to 14.0 (11.0-17.0) mmol/l in HIF-PHI vs. 13.0 (10.0-16.0) to 14.0 (11.0-17.0) |
| <i>Roxadustat</i> (FibroGen Inc. | ; Astellas Pharma, Inc. | ; AstraZeneca) | | |
| ALPS ²⁹
(<u>NCT01887600</u>);
Europe
Astellas Pharma, Inc.
N=594 | eGFR <60
ml/min/1.73 m²
ESA naïve
Ferritin ≥30 ng/ml
TSAT ≥5% | Oral iron recommended
IV iron as rescue if Hb
<8.5 g/dl and ferritin <100
ng/ml or TSAT <20% | Not reported | <u>Hepcidin</u> : decreased from 37.9 (36.6) to 24.6
(30.1) mg/l in HIF-PHI and from 41.2 (37.6) to
39.4 (37.8) mg/l in placebo
<u>Ferritin</u> : 112.6 ng/ml (IQR 76.8-198.6 to 82.8
ng/ml (IQR 48.0-170.1) in HIF-PHI and from
111.6 ng/ml (IQR 78.2-205.3) to 100.2 ng/ml
(IQR 66.5-182.1) in ESA
<u>TIBC</u> : increased in HIF-PHI but not ESA |
| ANDES ³⁰
(<u>NCT01750190</u>);
Global (no European sites)
FibroGen Inc.
N=922 | ESA naïve
eGFR <60
ml/min/1.73 m²
Hb ≤10 g/dl
Ferritin ≥30 ng/ml
TSAT ≥5% | Oral iron encouraged
IV iron rescue | % receiving IV iron
2.5% HIF-PHI vs. 4.9%
placebo; HR 0.39 (95% CI
0.15-0.81) | <u>Hepcidin</u> : -22.1 (80.9) mg/l in HIF-PHI and 3.
(80.9) mg/l in placebo; LSM difference of -
25.7 μg/l (95% CI -38.5 to -12.9).
<u>TIBC</u> : increased in HIF-PHI and decreased ir
placebo; LSM difference 38.65 μg/dl (95% CI
31.9-45.5)
<u>TSAT</u> : LSM difference -0.1%, 95% CI (-2.0,
1.7)
<u>Iron</u> : LSM difference 8.3 mg/l (95% CI 2.9,
13.6) |
| | The Inte | ernational Society of Nephrolog | y (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cr | ns) |

| Ferritin: LSM difference -57.5 ng/ml (95% | CI - |
|---|------|
| 92.8, -22.3) | |

| 3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11 | OLYMPUS ³¹
(<u>NCT02174627</u>);
Global
AstraZeneca
N=2781 | ESA naïve
eGFR <60
ml/min/1.73 m ²
Mean of 2 recent Hb
\leq 10 g/dl
Ferritin \geq 50 ng/ml
TSAT \geq 15% | Oral iron allowed without
restriction and
recommended
IV iron if patients intolerant
or unresponsive to oral
iron and Hb <8.5 g/dl and
ferritin <100 µg/l or TSAT
<20% | Receipt of IV iron
4.3% HIF-PHI, 7.9% placebo;
HR 0.41 (95% Cl 0.29, 0.56)
Receipt of oral iron
46.5% HIF-PHI vs. 46.5%
placebo | <u>Hepcidin</u> : LSM difference -45.4 ng/ml (95% Cl
56.2, 34.5)
<u>Ferritin</u> : difference -54.6 mg/l (95% Cl -71.7, -
37.4)
<u>TSAT</u> : difference -0.6% (95% Cl -1.3, 0.2)
<u>TIBC</u> : difference 34,6 μg/dl (95% Cl 31.3,
37.9)
<u>Iron</u> : difference 7.7 mg/dl (95% Cl 5.8, 9.6) | |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| 12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 | DOLOMITES ³²
(<u>NCT02021318</u>);
Europe
Astellas Pharma, Inc
N=616 | ESA naïve
eGFR <60
ml/min/1.7 3m²
Mean of 2 recent Hb
≤10.5 g/dl | Oral iron recommended in
HIF-PHI and IV iron
allowed if inadequate Hb
response after at least 2
dose increases or
maximum dose limit
reached and iron
deficiency or intolerance to
oral iron
Oral or IV iron required if
ferritin <100 ng/ml or
TSAT <20% in ESA | <i>IV iron</i>
6.2% HIF-PHI, 12.7% ESA
Monthly dose 34.7 (30.0) mg
HIF-PHI and 69.6 (67.3) ESA
(among those receiving)
<i>Oral iron</i>
Bivalent: 43.7% HIF-PHI,
49.8% ESA;
Trivalent: 35.3% HIF-PHI,
44.7% ESA | <u>Ferritin</u> : change from baseline at week 52: -
93.1 (521.4) pmol/I HIF-PHI vs72.4 (459.3)
pmol/I ESA
<u>TSAT</u> : 1.3% (11.8) HIF-PHI vs. 5.2 (13.2)
<u>Iron</u> : 1.1 (5.9) mmol/I HIF-PHI vs. 2.2 (6.8)
pmol/I ESA | |
| 26
27 | Vadadustat (Akebia Therap | peutics; Otsuka Pharm | aceuticals) | | | |
| 28
29
30
31
32
33 | PRO ₂ TECT ³⁴
(<u>NCT02648347</u>);
Global
N=1751 | ESA naïve
eGFR ≤60
ml/min/1.73 m ²
Hb <10 g/dl
Ferritin ≥100 ng/ml
TSAT ≥20% | Iron supplementation
encouraged to maintain
ferritin ≥100 ng/ml or
TSAT ≥20% | Not reported | Not reported | |
| 34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41 | PRO ₂ TECT ³⁴
(<u>NCT02648347</u>);
Global
N=1725 | ESA treated
eGFR ≤60
ml/min/1.73 m ²
Hb 8-11 g/dl in US or
9-12 non-US
Ferritin ≥100 ng/ml
TSAT ≥20% | Iron supplementation
encouraged to maintain
ferritin ≥100 ng/mL or
TSAT ≥20% | Not reported | Not reported | |
| 42
43
44
45
46
47 | The International Society of Nephrology (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms) | | | | | |

Table 5: Iron parameters from phase 3 HIF-PHI clinical trials in dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease

| 2
3 | Study;
Location | Entry criteria | Iron strategy | Iron utilization | Changes in markers of iron metabolism | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 4
5
6 | Daprodustat (GlaxoSm | ithKline) | | | | |
| 7
8
9
10
11
12 | ASCEND-D ³⁷
(<u>NCT02879305</u>);
Global
Prevalent dialysis
N=2964 | ESA users
ferritin >100 ng/ml
TSAT >20% | Iron supplementation
protocol to maintain ferritin
100-800 ng/ml and TSAT
20-40% | Mean monthly IV dose
139.2 (171.1) to 90.8 (SE 3.3)
mg HIF-PHI vs. 137.4 (174.7)
to 99.9 (SE 3.3) mg ESA
<u>Difference</u> : -9.1 mg (95% CI -
18.4, 0.2) | <u>Hepcidin</u> : decreased more in HIF-PHI than
ESA
<u>TIBC</u> : increased more in HIF-PHI than ESA
<u>Ferritin</u> : slight decrease in both groups
<u>TSAT</u> : decreased slightly in both groups | |
| 13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21 | ASCEND-ID ³⁶
(<u>NCT03029208</u>);
Global
Incident Dialysis
N=312 | ESA naïve
ferritin >100 ng/ml
TSAT >20% | Iron starting criteria: ferritin
≤100 ng/ml or TSAT ≤20%
Iron stopping criteria:
ferritin ≥800 ng/ml and
TSAT ≥20% or TSAT
≥40%
Route of iron
administration based on
local clinical practice | 159.3 (207.1) to 142 (161) mg
HIF-PHI vs. 180.1 (209.9) to
128 (137) mg ESA
<u>Difference</u> : 19.4 mg/mo (95%
CI -11.0, 49.9) | Hepcidin: decreased from 112.6 ng/ml (IQR
76.8-198.6) to 82.8 ng/ml (IQR 48.0-170.1) in
HIF-PHI and from 111.6 ng/ml (IQR 78.2-
205.3) to 100.2 ng/ml (IQR 66.5-182.1) in
ESA
<u>TIBC</u> : increased in HIF-PHI but not ESA
<u>Ferritin</u> : decreased in both groups
<u>TSAT</u> : decreased in both groups
<u>Iron</u> : stable in both groups | |
| 22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43 | ASCEND-TD ³⁸
(NCT03400033);
Global
Prevalent HD
N=407 | ESA treated
Hb 8-11.5 g/dl
Ferritin >100 ng/ml
TSAT >20% | Iron was administered if
ferritin ≤100 ng/ml or
TSAT ≤20%
Iron was stopped if: ferritin
>800 ng/ml and TSAT
>20% or TSAT >40% | % receiving IV iron
<u>Weeks 28-52</u> : 38% in HIF-PHI
vs. 40% in ESA
<u>Weeks 1-52</u> : 51% HIF-PHI vs.
51% ESA
<u>Mean monthly dose</u>
<u>Weeks 28-52</u> : 104.9 (222.5)
mg HIF-PHI vs. 103.1 (244.7)
mg ESA
<u>Weeks 1-52</u> : 99.0 (187.1) HIF-
PHI vs. 104.4 (210.8) ESA
<u>Mean treatment difference</u> : -
8.1 (95% CI -45.7, 29.4) | <u>Hepcidin</u>: declined at a similar rate in both arms during the trial. <u>TIBC</u>: increased in HIF-PHI by week 4 and remained higher than ESA throughout the trial. <u>Ferritin</u>: declined at a similar rate in both arms during the trial. <u>TSAT</u>: similar between groups throughout the trial <u>Iron</u>: increased in HIF-PHI by week 4 and remained higher than ESA throughout the trial. | |
| 44
45
46
47 | | The International Society of Nephrology (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms) | | | | |
| | Roxadustat (FibroGen In | nc.; Astellas Pharma, In | c.; AstraZeneca) | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
|)
1
5
7
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1 | HIMALAYAS ⁴⁶
(<u>NCT02052310</u>);
Global
FibroGen, Inc
Incident dialysis
N=1043 | ESA use for ≤3
weeks
Mean of last 2 Hb
≤10 g/dl
ferritin ≥100 ng/ml
TSAT ≥20% | Oral iron encouraged; IV
iron allowed if Hb
response inadequate and
ferritin ≤100 ng/ml and
TSAT <20% | % receiving IV iron
<u>Weeks 28-52</u> : 83.7% HIF-PHI
vs. 85.4% ESA
<i>Mean monthly IV dose</i>
Difference -4.4 (95% CI -20.7,
12.0) mg
Mean monthly oral dose 290.7
(95% CI -463.2, 1044.5) mg | $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{Hepcidin}:} -64.8 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -74.3, -55.3) \ \text{mg/l} \\ \overline{\text{HIF-PHI}} \ \text{vs.} -54.1 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -63.4, -44.7) \ \text{mg/l} \\ \overline{\text{ESA};} \ \text{difference} -10.7 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -23.2, 1.77) \\ \overline{\text{mg/l}} \\ \underline{\text{Ferritin:}} -191.3 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -234.4, -148.2) \ \text{ng/ml} \\ \overline{\text{HIF-PHI}} \ \text{vs.} -130.0 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -172.9, -87.2) \\ \overline{\text{ng/ml}} \ \text{ESA}; \ \text{difference} -61.3 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -117.0, -5.6) \ \text{ng/ml} \\ \underline{\text{TSAT}:} -2.7\% \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -3.9, -1.5) \ \text{HIF-PHI} \ \text{vs.} -2.2\% \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -3.4, -1.1) \ \text{ESA}; \ \text{difference} -0.5\% \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -2.0, 1.1) \\ \underline{\text{TIBC}:} \ 37.7 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -33.3, 42.1) \ \text{mg/dl} \ \text{HIF-PHI} \\ \overline{\text{vs.}} \ 1.7 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -2.7, 6.0) \ \text{mg/dl} \ \text{ESA}; \\ \overline{\text{difference}} \ 36.1 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -30.2, 41.9) \ \text{mg/dl} \\ \underline{\text{Iron:}} \ 2.1 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -1.2, 5.5) \ \text{mg/dl} \ \text{HIF-PHI} \ \text{vs.} \\ -4.7 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -8.0, -1.5) \ \text{mg/dl} \ \text{ESA}; \ \text{difference} \\ 6.9 \ (95\% \ \text{Cl} -2.4, 11.3) \ \text{mg/dl} \\ \end{array}$ |
| 20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30 | PYRENEES ⁴⁷
(<u>NCT02278341</u>);
Europe
Astellas Pharma, Inc.
Prevalent HD
N=3188 | ESA users
ferritin ≥100 ng/ml
TSAT ≥20% | For patients on HIF-PHI,
oral iron was permitted. IV
iron was allowed only if Hb
did not respond
adequately after 2
consecutive dose increase
or if the maximum dose
was reached and ferritin
<100 ng/ml or TSAT <20%
or the patient was
intolerant to oral iron | Mean monthly IV dose
HIF-PHI: 21.6 mg
ESA: 53.5 mg
Difference: -31.9 (95% CI -
41.4, -22.4) | Hepcidin: -32.7 (42.3) HIF-PHI vs17.5
(47.3) ESA at week 52
Ferritin: lower in HIF-PHI and TSAT levels
similar; exact changes not reported
<u>TIBC</u> : 10.0 (8.8) mmol/I HIF-PHI vs. 2.7 (6.4)
mmol/I ESA
Iron: -0.3 (7.4) mmol/I HIF-PHI vs1.2 (6.3)
mmol/I ESA |
| 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 | ROCKIES ⁴⁸
(<u>NCT02174731</u>);
Global
AstraZeneca
Prevalent dialysis
N=2133 | ESA naïve and Hb
<10 g/dl or
ESA user and Hb
<12 g/dl
Ferritin ≥100 ng/ml
TSAT ≥20% | Oral iron permitted in both
groups.
In HIF-PHI, IV iron
permitted if Hb did not
increase sufficiently after
≥2 doses and ferritin <100
ng/ml or TSAT <20% | Mean monthly IV dose
58.7 HIF-PHI vs. 91.4 mg ESA
Oral iron use
20.7% HIF-PHI vs. 18.0% ESA | Hepcidin: -45.0 (95% CI -57.5, -32.5) ng/ml
HIF-PHI vs16.8 (95% CI -29.2, -4.4) ng/ml
ESA; difference: -18.2 (95% CI -42.0, -14.5)
ng/ml
<u>TSAT</u> : -1.9% (95% CI -2.8, -1.1) HIF-PHI vs
2.4% (95% CI -3.3, -1.6) ESA; difference:
0.5% (95% CI -0.4, 1.5)
<u>Ferritin</u> : -104.5 (95% CI -126.2, -82.8) mg/l
HIF-PHI
vs41.2 (95% CI -62.1, -20.3) ESA;
difference -63.3 (95% CI -87.4, -39.2)
<u>TIBC</u> : 35.0 (95% CI 31.8, 38.2) mg/dI HIF-PHI
vs2.4 (95% CI -5.5, 0.7) mg/dI ESA; |
| 45
46
47 | | The Int | ernational Society of Nephrolog | y (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cm | ns) |

| 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13 | SIERRAS ⁴⁹
(NCT02273726);
United States
FibroGen, Inc.
Prevalent HD
N=741 | ESA users
Ferritin ≥100 ng/ml
TSAT ≥20% | Oral iron encouraged
IV iron if oral not tolerated
or if iron deficient | <i>Mean monthly IV dose</i>
17.1 (53.4) mg HIF-PHI vs.
37.0 (106.8) mg ESA
Difference: -20.1 (95% CI -
33.8, -6.45) | difference 37.4 (95% CI 33.8, 41.0)
<u>Iron</u> : 6.6 (95% CI 4.5, 8.7) mg/dl HIF-PHI vs
5.5 (95% CI -7.6, -3.5) mg/dl ESA; difference
12.1 (95% CI 9.8, 14.5) mg/dl
<u>Hepcidin</u> : decreased in both groups;
difference: -19.12 (95% CI -39.52, 1.28)
<u>Ferritin</u> : decreased in both groups; difference:
-41.71 (95% CI -96.51, 13.09) ng/ml
<u>Iron</u> : increased in roxadustat; difference: 6.33
(95% CI 2.20, 10.45) mg/dl
<u>TSAT</u> : decreased in both groups; difference:
2.18% (95% CI 0.16, 4.20) |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| 14
15 | Vadadustat (Akebia Thera | peutics; Otsuka Pharma | aceuticals) | | |
| 16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23 | INNO ₂ VATE ⁵¹
(<u>NCT02865850</u>);
Global
Prevalent dialysis
N=3554 | ESA users and ESA-
naïve
Hb 8-11 mg/dl in US
or 9-12 mg/dl in non-
US
ferritin ≥100 ng/ml | Encouraged iron
supplementation to
maintain ferritin ≥100
ng/ml or TSAT ≥20% | Not reported | <u>Hepcidin</u> : 193.9 (140.1) ng/ml to 137.4
(119.9) ng/ml in HIF-PHI vs. 190.4 (135.9) to
158.2 (123.4) in ESA
<u>Ferritin</u> : 846.8 (562.7) to 787.3 (550.2) ng/ml
in HIF-PHI vs. 840.7 (538.5) to 828.9 (565.8)
ng/ml in ESA
<u>TSAT</u> : 38.1% (13.5) to 34.1% (21.4) in HIF-
PHI vs. 37.6% (13.2) to 36.6% (14.3) in ESA |
| 24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
22 | INNO ₂ VATE ⁵¹
(<u>NCT02865850</u>);
Global
Incident dialysis
N=369 | Hb 8-11 mg/dl
ferritin ≥100 ng/ml
TSAT ≥20% | Encouraged iron
supplementation to
maintain ferritin ≥100
ng/ml or TSAT ≥20% | Not reported | <i>Changes from baseline to weeks 40-52</i>
<u>Hepcidin</u> : 122.4 (109.5) to 95.7 (72.1) ng/ml in
HIF-PHI vs. 126.9 (111.2) to 101.1 (95.6) in
ESA
<u>Ferritin</u> : 469.7 (316.9) to 555.5 (453.2) ng/ml
in HIF-PHI vs. 527.8 (401.1) to 559.4 (458.5)
ng/ml in ESA
<u>TSAT</u> : 31.3% (9.5) to 33.1% (12.0) in HIF-PHI
vs. 34.2% (12.7) to 35.6% (13.8) in ESA |
| 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 | | | rnational Society of Nephrology | y (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cm | rs) |
| 46
47 | | | | | |

...

.

.. .

| Study;
Location
Sponsor | Study design; No.
of patients,
randomization | Treatment, starting dose, ^a study duration | Primary outcome hazard ratio; non-
inferiority margin (95% confidence
interval) | Other outcome hazard ratios (95% confidence interval) |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| Daprodustat (GlaxoSmithK | (line) | | | |
| ASCEND-ND ²¹
(<u>NCT02876835</u>);
Global | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated;
n = 3872, 1:1 | DAPRO 2-4 mg QD ^b for
ESA-naïve and 1-4 mg
QD ^c for ESA-users vs
DPO, 148 weeks | First occurrence of adjudicated MACE
(composite of death, nonfatal
myocardial infarction, or nonfatal
stroke): HR 1.03, 95% CI 0.89-1.19
Noninferiority margin: HR 1.25 | On-treatment MACE: HR 1.40, 95% CI 1.17-1.68 MACE or hospitalization for heart failure: HR 1.09, 95% CI 0.95-1.24 MACE or thromboembolic event: HR 1.06, 95% CI 0.93-1.22 All-cause death: HR 1.03, 95% CI 0.87-1.20 |
| Roxadustat (FibroGen Inc. | ; Astellas Pharma, In | ic.; AstraZeneca) | | |
| ALPS ²⁹
(<u>NCT01887600</u>);
Europe
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, DB, PC; ESA-
naïve;
n = 594, 2:1 | ROXA 70 or 100 mg
TIW ^d vs PBO,
104 weeks | CLieh. | MACE+ (composite of death, nonfatal
myocardial infarction, nonfatal stroke,
unstable angina and hospitalization for
heart failure): HR 1.07, 95% CI 0.93-1.2 |
| ANDES ³⁰
(<u>NCT01750190</u>);
Global (no European sites)
FibroGen Inc. | R, DB, PC; ESA-
naïve;
n = 922, 2:1 | ROXA 70 or 100 mg
TIW ^d vs PBO,
52 weeks | Pooled analysis of ALPS, ANDES,
OLYMPUS: time to first MACE
(composite of death, nonfatal
myocardial infarction, or nonfatal
stroke): HR 1.10, 95% CI 0.96-1.27 | MACE, on treatment + 7d: HR 1.38, 95%
CI 1.11-1.70)
Myocardial infarction: HR 1.29, 95% CI
0.90-1.85 |
| OLYMPUS ³¹
(<u>NCT02174627</u>);
Global
AstraZeneca | R, DB, PC; ESA-
naïve;
n = 2781, 1:1 | ROXA 70 mg TIW vs
PBO, 164 weeks | Noninferiority margin: HR 1.30 | Stroke: HR 1.25, 95% CI 0.82-1.90
Unstable angina: HR 0.56, 95% CI 0.22-
1.42
Congestive heart failure: HR 0.93, 95% (|
| | | | _ | 0.75-1.16
All-cause death: HR 1.08, 95% CI 0.93-
1.26 |

| PRO ₂ TECT ³⁴
(<u>NCT02648347</u>);
Global | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve;
n = 1751, 1:1 | VADA 300 mg QD, then
adjusted to 150, 450 or
600 mg QD vs DPO,
168 weeks | (Pooled analysis of ESA-naive and
ESA-treated subjects)
Time to first MACE (composite of death
from any cause, nonfatal myocardial
infarction, or nonfatal stroke): HR 1.17
(1.01 to 1.36) | MACE plus hospitalization for either hea
failure or a thromboembolic event HR
1.11, 95% CI 0.97 -1.27
Death from cardiovascular causes: HR
1.01, 95% CI 0.79-1.29 |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| | | | Noninferiority margin: HR 1.25 (USA)
and HR 1.30 (EMA) | Death from any cause: HR 1.09, 95% C 0.93-1.27 |
| | | | | Composite of death from cardiovascular
causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, c
nonfatal stroke: HR 1.16, 95% CI 0.95-
1.42 |
| PRO ₂ TECT ³⁴
(<u>NCT02680574</u>);
Global | R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated;
n = 1725, 1:1 | VADA 300 mg QD, then
adjusted to 150, 450 or
600 mg QD vs DPO,
168 weeks | | |
| Adapted from Haase. | | | | ntervals are shown in brackets. |
| Adapted from Haase. ⁹
AC, active-controlled; D
stimulating agent; Hb, H
open-label; PBO, place
defined as no use of ES | DAPRO, daprodustat; DB, on
nemoglobin; HR, hazard ra
bo; PC, placebo-controlled
SA for a study-defined period | double-blind; DPO, darbepoe
tio; LSMD, least-squares me
t; QD, once daily; R, random
od of time prior to start of stu | etin alfa; EBP, epoetin beta pegol; EOT, en
ean difference; maint., maintenance; NC, no
ized; ROXA, roxadustat; TIW, three times v
idy. | ntervals are shown in brackets.
d of treatment; ESA, erythropoiesis-
on-comparative; NR, not reported; OL,
weekly; VADA, vadadustat. ESA-naïve is |
| Adapted from Haase. ⁹
AC, active-controlled; E
stimulating agent; Hb, H
open-label; PBO, place
defined as no use of ES
^a starting dose, then titr
^b starting dose based of
weight and eGFR.
^c starting dose based o
^d weight-based dosing: | DAPRO, daprodustat; DB, o
nemoglobin; HR, hazard ra
bo; PC, placebo-controlled
SA for a study-defined perior
rated to maintain target Hb
n baseline Hb level; for NC
n prior ESA dose.
70 mg for weight of 45 to < | double-blind; DPO, darbepoe
tio; LSMD, least-squares me
d; QD, once daily; R, random
od of time prior to start of stu
levels (right column).
T02964936, Akizawa <i>et al.</i> , | etin alfa; EBP, epoetin beta pegol; EOT, en
ean difference; maint., maintenance; NC, no
ized; ROXA, roxadustat; TIW, three times v
idy.
2020,8 starting dose is based on an algorit | htervals are shown in brackets.
d of treatment; ESA, erythropoiesis-
on-comparative; NR, not reported; OL,
weekly; VADA, vadadustat. ESA-naïve is
hm that included 2 baseline Hb levels, |
| Adapted from Haase. ⁹
AC, active-controlled; E
stimulating agent; Hb, H
open-label; PBO, place
defined as no use of ES
^a starting dose, then titr
^b starting dose based of
weight and eGFR.
^c starting dose based o
^d weight-based dosing: | DAPRO, daprodustat; DB, o
nemoglobin; HR, hazard ra
bo; PC, placebo-controlled
SA for a study-defined perio
rated to maintain target Hb
n baseline Hb level; for NC
n prior ESA dose.
70 mg for weight of 45 to < | double-blind; DPO, darbepoe
tio; LSMD, least-squares me
d; QD, once daily; R, random
od of time prior to start of stu
levels (right column).
T02964936, Akizawa <i>et al.</i> , | 2020,8 starting dose is based on an algorit | htervals are shown in brackets.
d of treatment; ESA, erythropoiesis-
on-comparative; NR, not reported; OL,
weekly; VADA, vadadustat. ESA-naïve is
hm that included 2 baseline Hb levels, |
| Adapted from Haase. ⁹
AC, active-controlled; E
stimulating agent; Hb, h
open-label; PBO, place
defined as no use of ES
^a starting dose, then titr
^b starting dose based of
weight and eGFR.
^c starting dose based o
^d weight-based dosing: | APRO, daprodustat; DB, of
nemoglobin; HR, hazard ra
bo; PC, placebo-controlled
SA for a study-defined perior
ated to maintain target Hb
n baseline Hb level; for NC
n prior ESA dose.
70 mg for weight of 45 to < | double-blind; DPO, darbepoe
tio; LSMD, least-squares me
d; QD, once daily; R, random
od of time prior to start of stu
levels (right column).
T02964936, Akizawa <i>et al.</i> ,
70 kg; 100 mg for ≥70 kg. | 2020,8 starting dose is based on an algorit | htervals are shown in brackets.
d of treatment; ESA, erythropoiesis-
on-comparative; NR, not reported; OL,
weekly; VADA, vadadustat. ESA-naïve is
hm that included 2 baseline Hb levels, |

| Study;
Location
Sponsor | Study design; No.
of patients,
randomization | Treatment, starting dose, ^a study duration | Primary outcome hazard ratio; non-
inferiority margin (95% confidence
interval) | Other outcome hazard ratios (95% confidence interval) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Daprodustat (GlaxoSn | nithKline) | | | |
| ASCEND-ID ³⁶
(<u>NCT03029208</u>);
Global | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated (limited
exposure <6
weeks), I-DD; n =
312, 1:1 | DAPRO 1-4 mg QD ^b vs
DPO, 52 weeks | Exploratory analysis: first occurrence of
adjudicated MACE (composite of death
from any cause, non-fatal myocardial
infarction or non-fatal stroke): n=19
(12%) DAPRO vs n=15 (10%) DPO
absolute rate difference/100 PYs 2.41
(95% CI-4.61 to 9.43) | The first occurrence of MACE or a
hospitalization for heart failure: n=24
(15%) DPO vs. n=18 (12%) DPO
Adjusted mean difference in systolic BP:
-0.09 mm Hg (95% CI, -4.72 to 4.53);
diastolic BP: 1.99 mm Hg (95% CI, -0.85
to 4.82) |
| | | | Non-inferiority margin: N/A (not
designed or powered as a non-
inferiority trial) | |
| ASCEND-D ³⁷
(<u>NCT02879305</u>);
Global | R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated, M-DD;
n = 2964, 1:1 | DAPRO 4-12 mg QD ^c vs
ESA (epoetin alfa for
HD, DPO for PD,
52 weeks | Adjudicated MACE (composite of death
from any cause, nonfatal myocardial
infarction, or nonfatal stroke): HR 0.93,
95% CI 0.81-1.07
Non-inferiority margin: HR 1.25 | MACE or thromboembolic event: HR
0.88, 95% CI 0.78-1.00
MACE or hospitalization for heart failure:
HR 0.97, 95% CI 0.85-1.11 |
| ASCEND-TD ³⁸
(<u>NCT03400033</u>);
Global | R, DB, AC; ESA-
treated, M-DD;
n = 407, 2:1 | DAPRO 8-24 mg TIW ^c
adjusted to dose range
of 2-48 mg TIW vs
epoetin alfa, 52 weeks | First occurrence of adjudicated MACE:
Absolute rate difference per 100
person-years (95% CI) 2.3 (-4.4, to 9.0) | Worsening hypertension (<i>post-hoc</i>):
DAPRO vs. Epoetin: Relative risk 0.83
(0.50 to 1.39) |
| Roxadustat (FibroGen | Inc.; Astellas Pharma, In | ic.; AstraZeneca) | | |
| Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2019 ⁴²
(<u>NCT02652806</u>);
China
FibroGen, Inc. | R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated; M-DD;
n = 304, 2:1 | ROXA 100 or 120 mg
TIW ^d vs epoetin alfa,
26 weeks | Cardiac disorders: ROXA n=5 (2.5%)
and epoetin alfa n=1 (1.0%) | Vascular disorders: ROXA n=2 (1.0%) and
epoetin alfa n=0 |
| | The Ir | nternational Society of Nephro | ology (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms) | |

| 1
2
3
4
5 | Akizawa <i>et al</i> ., 2020 ⁴³
(<u>NCT02779764,</u>
<u>NCT02780141</u>);
Japan
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, OL, NC; I-HD
(ESA-naïve, n =
75) and M-HD
(>12 weeks, ESA-
treated); n = 239 | ESA-naïve: ROXA 50 or
70 mg TIW ^b , 24 weeks
ESA-treated: ROXA 70
or 100 mg TIW ^c ,
52 weeks | MACE – not reported | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| 6
7
8
9
10
11 | Akizawa <i>et al</i> ., 2020 ⁴⁴
(<u>NCT02780726</u>);
Japan
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, OL, NC; ESA-
naïve (n = 13) and
ESA-treated, PD
(> 4 weeks);
n = 56 | ROXA 50 or 70 mg TIW ^b
(ESA-naïve) or ROXA 70
or 100 mg TIW ^c (ESA-
treated), 24 weeks | MACE – not reported | |
| 12
13
14
15
16 | Akizawa <i>et al</i> ., 2020 ⁴⁵
(<u>NCT02952092</u>);
Japan
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, DB, AC; ESA-
treated, M-HD;
n = 303, 1:1 | ROXA 70 or 100 mg
TIW ^c vs DPO QW,
24 weeks | Cardiac disorders: ROXA n=5 (3.3%),
DPO n=4 (2.6%) | Vascular disorders: ROXA n=5 (3.3%),
DPO n=1 (0.7%) |
| 17
18
19
20
21 | HIMALAYAS ⁴⁶
(<u>NCT02052310</u>);
Global
FibroGen, Inc. | R, OL, AC, ESA-
naïve and ESA-
limited use
(≤3 weeks),
I-DD; n = 1043, 1:1 | ROXA 70-100 mg TIW ^{e, f}
vs epoetin alfa, 52 weeks | Pooled analysis of HIMALAYAS,
PYRENEES, ROCKIES, and SIERRAS | Arteriovenous fistula thrombosis: ROXA
n=39 (7.5%) vs n=21 (4.1%)
Pooled analysis of HIMALAYAS, |
| 22
23
24
25
26 | PYRENEES ⁴⁷
(<u>NCT02278341</u>);
Europe
Astellas Pharma, Inc. | R, OL, AC, ESA-
treated, M-DD;
n = 838 (836
treated), 1:1 | ROXA 100-200 mg TIW ^c
vs ESA (epoetin alfa or
DPO), 52–104 weeks | MACE (myocardial infarction, stroke,
and all-cause mortality)
HR 1.09, 95% CI 0.95–1.26; | PYRENEES, ROCKIES, and SIERRAS:
MACE plus congestive heart failure or
unstable angina requiring hospitalization:
HR 0.98, 95% CI 0.86–1.11 |
| 20
27
28
29
30
31 | ROCKIES ⁴⁸
(<u>NCT02174731</u>);
Global
AstraZeneca | R, OL, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated, M-DD and
I-DD (n = 416);
n = 2133, 1:1 | ROXA 70-200 mg TIW ^{c, f}
for ESA-treated and 70
or 100 mg TIW ^e for ESA-
naïve vs epoetin alfa,
52-164 weeks | Noninferiority margin: HR 1.30 | All-cause mortality: HR 1.13, 95% Cl
0.95–1.34 |
| 32
33
34
35
36 | SIERRAS ⁴⁹
(<u>NCT02273726</u>);
United States
FibroGen, Inc. | R, OL, AC; ESA-
treated, M-DD and
I-DD (n=71); total
n = 741, 1:1 | ROXA 70-200 mg TIW ^{c, f}
vs epoetin alfa, 52
weeks | | |

| Vadadustat (Akebia Thei | apeutics; Otsuka Phar | maceuticals) | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| Nangaku <i>et al</i> ., 2021 ⁵⁰
(<u>NCT03439137</u>);
Japan | R, DB, AC; ESA-
treated, M-HD;
n = 323. 1:1 | VADA 300 mg QD, then
adjusted to 150, 450 or
600 mg QD vs DPO,
52 weeks | Cardiovascular event, cardiac failure
VADA: 13 (8.0%), DPO 15 (9.3%) | Retinal disorder: VADA 21 (13.0%), DPC 16 (9.9%) |
| INNO₂VATE ⁵¹
(<u>NCT02865850</u>);
Global | R, DB, AC; ESA-
naïve and ESA-
treated; I-DD;
n = 369, 1:1 | VADA 300 mg QD, then
adjusted to 150, 450 or
600 mg vs DPO,
116 weeks | Pooled analysis of I-DD and M-DD
trials MACE (myocardial infarction,
stroke, and all-cause mortality): HR
0.96, 95% CI 0.83 – 1.11 | MACE plus hospitalization for heart failu
or thromboembolic event: HR 0.96; 95%
CI, 0.84 to 1.10. |
| INNO ₂ VATE ⁵¹ | R, DB, AC; ESA- | VADA 300 mg QD, then | Non-inferiority margin: HR 1.25 | Death from cardiovascular causes: HR 0.96; 95% CI, 0.77 to 1.20. |
| (<u>NC102892149);</u>
Global | naïve and ESA-
treated; M-DD;
n = 3554, 1:1 | adjusted to 150, 450 or
600 mg vs DPO,
116 weeks | | All-cause death: HR 0.95; 95% Cl, 0.81 1.12. |
| | | 64 | - | Composite of death from cardiovascular
causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, o
nonfatal stroke: HR 0.95; 95% CI, 0.80 to
1.14. |
| Adapted from Haase. ⁹ Fu
AC, active-controlled; DAF
erythropoietin-stimulating a
hemodialysis; M-DD, main
NC, non-comparative; NR
randomized, ROXA, roxad
start of study.
^a starting dose, then titrate
^b depending on study, star
^c initial dose according to
^d Weight-based dosing (10
^e dosed at 70 mg for weigh
^f titrated to achieve a Hb le | nding sources are indica
PRO, daprodustat; DB, da
agent; FAS, full analysis
itenance/stable dialysis (
, not reported; OL, open-
lustat; TIW, three times v
ed to maintain target Hb le
ting dose is based on eit
prior ESA dose.
00 mg for > 45 to 60 or12
nt of 45 to 70 kg; 100 mg
evel of 11 g/dl and to mai | ted either with drug name of
buble-blind; DESI, desidust
set; Hb, hemoglobin; HD, r
HD and PD); M-HD, mainte
label; PBO, placebo; PC, p
veekly; VADA, vadadustat.
evels (right column).
her recent Hb measuremen
to mg for \geq 60 kg), adjusted
for weight of >70-160.
ntain Hb levels of 10–12 g/ | or with individual studies. 95% confidence
at; DPO, darbepoetin alfa; ENARO, enarch
nemodialysis; HR, hazard ratio; I-DD, incide
enance/stable hemodialysis; MOLI, molidu
lacebo-controlled; PD, peritoneal dialysis;
ESA-naïve is defined as no use of ESA for
ints or weight or both. | intervals are shown in brackets.
odustat; EOT: end of treatment; ESA,
dent dialysis (HD and PD); I-HD, incident
ustat; LSMD, least-squares mean difference
; QD, once daily; QW, once weekly; R,
or a study-defined period of time prior to |
| | The In | iternational Society of Nephr | ology (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms) | |

Table 8: Research recommendations

- Determine whether HIF-PHIs have an impact on progression of CKD based on severity of baseline disease, presence of proteinuria/albuminuria, or the cause of CKD
- Understand if hemoglobin targets should be the same when using HIF-PHIs versus ESAs for patients with ND-CKD and DD-CKD
- Conduct of additional trials to understand the need for iron <u>supplementation and the</u> <u>appropriate iron dosing strategy</u> with the use of HIF-PHIs, along with identification of iron targets during treatment
- Assess long-term safety for specific populations such as children, older adults, kidney transplant recipients, patients with PKD or acute kidney injury in future HIF-PHI studies
- Identification of novel biomarkers that can be used to monitor the safety of HIF-PHIs
- Ascertain variability in the risk of MACE and thrombosis with respect to region of the world, patients characteristics/subpopulations, Hb target, or rate of Hb correction
- Perform future studies to understand the effect of HIF-PHIs on HRQoL and patient-centered outcomes
- Determine whether HIF-PHIs are effective in patients with ESA hyporesponsiveness or in immunosuppressed populations, including those with kidney transplants
- Obtain longer term safety data (e.g., post-market surveillance) for HIF-PHI on risk for *de novo* cancer or progression of malignancy, retinopathy, and other potential adverse effects
- In regions where HIF-PHIs are available, comparative cost-effectiveness analysis should be conducted between these agents and ESAs

4

5

13

REFERENCES

9. Haase VH. Hypoxia-inducible factor-prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors in the treatment of anemia of chronic kidney disease. Kidney Int Suppl (2011) 2021; 11: 8-25.

- Nangaku M, Hamano T, Akizawa T, et al. Daprodustat Compared with Epoetin Beta Pegol for Anemia in Japanese Patients Not on Dialysis: A 52-Week Randomized Open-Label Phase 3 Trial. Am J Nephrol 2021; 52: 26-35.
- Singh AK, Carroll K, McMurray JJV, et al. Daprodustat for the Treatment of Anemia in Patients Not
 Undergoing Dialysis. N Engl J Med 2021; 385: 2313-2324.
- Agrawal D, Varade D, Shah H, et al. Desidustat in Anemia due to Non-Dialysis-Dependent Chronic Kidney Disease: A Phase 3 Study (DREAM-ND). Am J Nephrol 2022; 53: 352-360.
- Akizawa T, Nangaku M, Yamaguchi T, et al. A Phase 3 Study of Enarodustat in Anemic Patients with CKD not Requiring Dialysis: The SYMPHONY ND Study. Kidney Int Rep 2021; 6: 1840-1849.
- 24. Yamamoto H, Nobori K, Matsuda Y, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Molidustat for Anemia in ESA-Naive
 Nondialysis Patients: A Randomized, Phase 3 Trial. Am J Nephrol 2021; 52: 871-883.
- 23
 24
 25. Yamamoto H, Nobori K, Matsuda Y, et al. Molidustat for Renal Anemia in Nondialysis Patients Previously Treated with Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agents: A Randomized, Open-Label, Phase 3 Study. Am J Nephrol 2021; 52: 884-893.
- 27
 28. Chen N, Hao C, Peng X, et al. Roxadustat for anemia in patients with kidney disease not receiving dialysis. N Engl J Med 2019; 381: 1001-1010.
- Akizawa T, Yamaguchi Y, Otsuka T, et al. A Phase 3, Multicenter, Randomized, Two-Arm, Open-Label
 Study of Intermittent Oral Dosing of Roxadustat for the Treatment of Anemia in Japanese Erythropoiesis Stimulating Agent-Naive Chronic Kidney Disease Patients Not on Dialysis. Nephron 2020; 144: 372-382.
- Akizawa T, Iwasaki M, Otsuka T, et al. Phase 3 Study of Roxadustat to Treat Anemia in Non-Dialysis Dependant CKD. Kidney Int Rep 2021; 6: 1810-1828.
- Shutov E, Sulowicz W, Esposito C, et al. Roxadustat for the treatment of anemia in chronic kidney disease patients not on dialysis: a Phase 3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study (ALPS). Nephrol Dial Transplant 2021; 36: 1629-1639.
- 42
 43
 44
 30. Coyne DW, Roger SD, Shin SK, et al. Roxadustat for CKD-related Anemia in Non-dialysis Patients. Kidney Int Rep 2021; 6: 624-635.
- 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 49
 40
 41
 41
 42
 43
 44
 44
 45
 45
 45
 46
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 <
- Barratt J, Andric B, Tataradze A, et al. Roxadustat for the treatment of anaemia in chronic kidney disease
 patients not on dialysis: a Phase 3, randomized, open-label, active-controlled study (DOLOMITES).
 Nephrol Dial Transplant 2021; 36: 1616-1628.
- 33. Nangaku M, Kondo K, Kokado Y, et al. Phase 3 Randomized Study Comparing Vadadustat with
 Darbepoetin Alfa for Anemia in Japanese Patients with Nondialysis-Dependent CKD. J Am Soc Nephrol
 2021; 32: 1779-1790.
- St. Chertow GM, Pergola PE, Farag YMK, et al. Vadadustat in Patients with Anemia and Non-Dialysis Dependent CKD. N Engl J Med 2021; 384: 1589-1600.

60

- 35. Akizawa T, Nangaku M, Yonekawa T, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Daprodustat Compared with 2 Darbepoetin Alfa in Japanese Hemodialysis Patients with Anemia: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Phase 3 Trial. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2020; 15: 1155-1165.
- 5 Singh AK, Cizman B, Carroll K, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Daprodustat for Treatment of Anemia of 36. 6 Chronic Kidney Disease in Incident Dialysis Patients: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Intern Med 7 2022; 182: 592-602. 8

3

4

12

16

34

54

58 59

- 9 37. Singh AK, Carroll K, Perkovic V, et al. Daprodustat for the Treatment of Anemia in Patients Undergoing 10 Dialysis. N Engl J Med 2021; 385: 2325-2335. 11
- Coyne DW, Singh AK, Lopes RD, et al. Three Times Weekly Dosing of Daprodustat versus Conventional 38. 13 Epoetin for Treatment of Anemia in Hemodialysis Patients: ASCEND-TD: A Phase 3 Randomized, 14 15 Double-Blind, Noninferiority Trial. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2022; 17: 1325-1336.
- 17 39. Gang S, Khetan P, Varade D, et al. Desidustat in Anemia due to Dialysis-Dependent Chronic Kidney 18 Disease: A Phase 3 Study (DREAM-D). Am J Nephrol 2022; 53: 343-351. 19
- 20 40. Akizawa T, Nangaku M, Yamaguchi T, et al. A Phase 3 Study of Enarodustat (JTZ-951) in Japanese 21 Hemodialysis Patients for Treatment of Anemia in Chronic Kidney Disease: SYMPHONY HD Study. 22 Kidney Dis (Basel) 2021; 7: 494-502. 23
- 24 41. Akizawa T, Yamada T, Nobori K, et al. Molidustat for Japanese Patients With Renal Anemia Receiving 25 Dialysis. Kidney Int Rep 2021; 6: 2604-2616. 26
- 27 42. Chen N, Hao C, Liu BC, et al. Roxadustat Treatment for Anemia in Patients Undergoing Long-Term 28 Dialysis. N Engl J Med 2019; 381: 1011-1022. 29
- 30 43. Akizawa T, Ueno M, Shiga T, et al. Oral roxadustat three times weekly in ESA-naive and ESA-converted 31 patients with anemia of chronic kidney disease on hemodialysis: Results from two phase 3 studies. Ther 32 Apher Dial 2020; 24: 628-641. 33
- 35 Akizawa T, Otsuka T, Reusch M, et al. Intermittent Oral Dosing of Roxadustat in Peritoneal Dialysis 44. 36 Chronic Kidney Disease Patients with Anemia: A Randomized, Phase 3, Multicenter, Open-Label Study. 37 Ther Apher Dial 2020; 24: 115-125. 38
- 39 45. Akizawa T, Iwasaki M, Yamaguchi Y, et al. Phase 3, Randomized, Double-Blind, Active-Comparator 40 (Darbepoetin Alfa) Study of Oral Roxadustat in CKD Patients with Anemia on Hemodialysis in Japan. J 41 Am Soc Nephrol 2020; 31: 1628-1639. 42
- 43 46. Provenzano R, Shutov E, Eremeeva L, et al. Roxadustat for anemia in patients with end-stage renal 44 disease incident to dialysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2021; 36: 1717-1730. 45
- 46 Csiky B, Schomig M, Esposito C, et al. Roxadustat for the Maintenance Treatment of Anemia in Patients 47. 47 with End-Stage Kidney Disease on Stable Dialysis: A European Phase 3, Randomized, Open-Label, 48 Active-Controlled Study (PYRENEES). Adv Ther 2021; 38: 5361-5380. 49
- 50 48. Fishbane S, Pollock CA, El-Shahawy M, et al. Roxadustat Versus Epoetin Alfa for Treating Anemia in 51 Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease on Dialysis: Results from the Randomized Phase 3 ROCKIES 52 Study. J Am Soc Nephrol 2022; 33: 850-866. 53
- 55 49. Charytan C, Manllo-Karim R, Martin ER, et al. A Randomized Trial of Roxadustat in Anemia of Kidney 56 Failure: SIERRAS Study. Kidney Int Rep 2021; 6: 1829-1839. 57
 - The International Society of Nephrology (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms)

Kidney International

- 50. Nangaku M, Kondo K, Ueta K, et al. Efficacy and safety of vadadustat compared with darbepoetin alfa in Japanese anemic patients on hemodialysis: a Phase 3, multicenter, randomized, double-blind study. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2021; 36: 1731-1741.
- ⁴
 ⁵
 ⁵
 ⁶
 ⁵
 ⁵
 ⁵
 ⁶
 ⁶
 ⁶
 ⁶
 ⁶
 ⁶
 ⁷
 ⁶
 ⁷
 ⁷
 ⁷
 ⁸
 ⁷
 ⁸
 ⁸
 ⁹
 ⁹

to peer peries only

Supplemental Table 1: Availability of HIF-PHIs (as of April 25, 2023)

| HIF-PHI | Approval status by countries/regions |
|-------------|--|
| Daprodustat | Japan, United States |
| Desidustat | India |
| Enarodustat | Japan |
| Molidustat | Japan |
| Roxadustat | China, Chile, Egypt, European Union, Japan, Kuwait, Saudi Arabia, South
Africa, South Korea, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom |
| Vadadustat | European Union, Japan, Korea |
| | |

Supplemental Table 2: Drug-drug interactions of HIF-PHIs

| Daprodustat (metabolized mainly h | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| CYP2C8 inhibitors | These drugs increase circulating levels of daprodustat by inhibition of CYP2C8 |
| Clonidoarel | |
| Trimethonrim | |
| • mineulopiini | |
| Rifampicin | Rifampicin decreases circulating levels of daprodustat by induction of CYP2C8 |
| Enarodustat | |
| Phosphate binders | These drugs decrease absorption of enarodustat. |
| Sevelamer | |
| Bixalomer | |
| Lanthanum carbonate | |
| | |
| Drugs containing polycations (e.g., | |
| drugs containing calcium, iron, | |
| magnesium, aluminum etc.) | |
| Molidustat | |
| HIV protease inhibitors | These drugs increase circulating levels of molidustat by inhibition of UGT1A1. |
| Atazanavir | |
| Ritonavir | |
| I opinavir and ritonavir | |
| | |
| Tyrosine kinase inhibitors | |
| Sorafenib | |
| Frlotinib | |
| Lilotinib | |
| | |
| Tranilaet | |
| ITAIIIIASt | |
| Drugs containing polycations (e.g., | These drugs decrease absorption of molidustat. |
| drugs containing calcium, iron, | |
| magnesium, aluminum etc.) | |
| | |
| Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, 1 | UGI1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; roxadustat inhibits BCRP and |
| Phosphate binders | These drugs decrease absorption of royadustat |
| Sovelamer | |
| | |
| | |
| Drugs containing polyestions (o.c. | |
| drugs containing polycations (e.g., | |
| uruys containing Calcium, Iron, | |
| HMC CoA roductoco inhibitoro | Poyadustat increases circulating lovels of HMC CoA reductees inhibitors by |
| | inhibition of OATD1R1/RCDD |
| | |
| Kosuvastatin | |
| Atorvastatin, etc. | |
| Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 | Probenecid increases circulating levels of roxadustat by inhibition of UGT/OAT |
| inniditor) | Other UGT or UAT inhibitors include: teriflunomide (UAT1/UAT3), valproate |
| | (UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. |
| Gemtibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 | Gemtibrozil increases circulating levels of roxadustat by inhibition of |
| inniditor) | UYPZU8/UATP1B1. Utner UYP2U8 or UATP1B1 inhibitors include: cyclospori |
| | UCATETET), ciopidogrei (CYP2C8). Rifampicin is a CYP2C8 inducer. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| The Internatio | nal Society of Nephrology (http://www.isp-online.org/site/cms) |
| i ne internatio | nal society of Nephrology (http://www.isn-online.org/site/cms) |

| Vadadustat (substrate of OAT1 an | d OAT3; vadadustat inhibits BCRP and OAT3) |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Drugs containing polycations (e.g., | These drugs decrease absorption of vadadustat. |
| drugs containing calcium, iron, | |
| magnesium, aluminum etc.) | |
| Probenecid | Probenecid increases circulating levels of vadadustat by inhibition of OAT1/OAT3 |
| Drugs that serve as substrates of | Vadadustat increases circulating levels of these drugs by inhibition of BCRP. |
| 3CRP | |
| Simvastatin | |
| Rosuvastatin | |
| Atorvastatin | |
| Salazosulfanyridine | |
| Calazooanapynanio | |
| Drugs that serve as substrates of | Vadadustat increases circulating levels of these drugs by inhibition of OAT3. |
| OAT3 | |
| Furosemide | |
| Methotrexate | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | Approval status by countries/regions |
|-------------|--|
| Daprodustat | Japan. United States |
| Desidustat | India |
| Enarodustat | Japan |
| Molidustat | Janan |
| Roxadustat | China, Chile, Egypt, European Union, Japan, Kuwait, Saudi Arabia, Sout |
| Vadadustat | European Union, Japan, Korea |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Supplemental Table 24: Drug-drug interactions of HIF-PHIs

| Daprodustat (metabolized mainly by CYP2C8) CYP2C8 inhibitors • Clopidogrel • Trimethoprim Rifampicin Rifampicin Rifampicin Phosphate binders • Sevelamer • Bixalomer • Lanthanum carbonate Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) Molidustat HIV protease inhibitors • Ritonavir • Ritonavir • Ritonavir • Ritonavir • Nilotinib Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; OAT110AT3 (rugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) Rose drugs decrease absorption of roxadu • Sevelamer • Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) RMG-CoA reductase inhibitors • Sinvastatin • Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) • Rosuvastatin • Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid i | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| CYP2C8 inhibitors These drugs increase circulating levels of days Rifampicin Rifampicin decreases circulating levels of days Rimpicin Rifampicin decreases circulating levels of days Enarodustat These drugs decrease absorption of enarod Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer These drugs decrease absorption of enarod Use containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs increase circulating levels of magnetize Nolidustat These drugs increase circulating levels of magnetize HIV protease inhibitors Atazanavir Ritonavir Lopinavir and ritonavir Tyrosine kinase inhibitors Sorafenib Eriotinib Filotinib Nilotinib Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadur Rosadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; OAT11) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadur Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitors Roxadustat increases circulating levels of Hinhibitors include: teriflur (UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Probenecid (UCYP2C8, OATP1B1 Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro Other UGT or OAT inhibibitors include: teriflur (UGT). Rifampicin | | | | | |
| Clopidogrel Trimethoprim Rifampicin Rifampicin Rifampicin Rifampicin decreases circulating levels of da Enarodustat Phosphate binders Sevelamer Elaxadomer Lanthanum carbonate Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) Rotonavir Lopinavir and ritonavir Tyrosine kinase inhibitors Sorafenib Ertotinib Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) Roxadustat increases circulating levels of H inhibitor Notore UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur (UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 Cohar (CYP2C8) Riffamito inhibitor) | aprodustat by inhibition of CYP2C | | | | |
| Trimethoprim Rifampicin Rifampicin Rifampicin Rifampicin Rifampicin decreases circulating levels of de Enarodustat Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer Lanthanum carbonate Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) Rosadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) Rosadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) Rosadustat increases circulating levels of H inhibition of OATP1B1/BCRP. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Comparison of the and of the comparison of the and of the comparison of t | | | | | |
| Rifampicin Rifampicin decreases circulating levels of de Enarodustat Phosphate binders Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer Lanthanum carbonate Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs increase circulating levels of m Molidustat HIV protease inhibitors Atazanavir A Atazanavir These drugs increase circulating levels of m Atazanavir Fitonavir Lopinavir and ritonavir Tyrosine kinase inhibitors Sorafenib Sorafenib Erlotinib Nilotinib Tranilast These drugs decrease absorption of molidus Drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Proge containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu Bixalomer Simvastatin Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro Netwastatin Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor | | | | | |
| Enarodustat These drugs decrease absorption of enarod Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer Lanthanum carbonate Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs increase circulating levels of m Moldustat These drugs increase circulating levels of m HIV protease inhibitors Atazanavir • Ritonavir These drugs increase circulating levels of m • Sorafenib Erlotinib • Erlotinib Nilotinib Tranilast These drugs decrease absorption of molidus Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; OATP1B1) Phosphate binders • Sevelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu MG-CoA reductase inhibitors Simvastatin • Sinvastatin Roxadustat increases circulating levels of H • Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro Other UR | aprodustat by induction of CYP2C | | | | |
| Enarodustat Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer Lanthanum carbonate Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) Molidustat HIV protease inhibitors Atazanavir Ritonavir Lopinavir and ritonavir Tyrosine kinase inhibitors Sorafenib Erlotinib Nilotinib Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT;
OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc. RMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Simvastatin Atorvastatin,
Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro
Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: Eriflur
(UGT), Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. | | | | | |
| Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer Lanthanum carbonate Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs increase circulating levels of m Molidustat HIV protease inhibitors Atazanavir • Atazanavir • Ritonavir These drugs increase circulating levels of m • Lopinavir and ritonavir • Lopinavir and ritonavir These drugs decrease absorption of molidus Tranilast Tranilast These drugs decrease absorption of molidus Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; OATP1B1) Data decrease absorption of roxadu Phosphate binders • Sevelamer • Bixalomer • Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors • Simvastatin • Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflum (UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 Gemfibrozil increases circu | | | | | |
| Sevelamer Bixalomer Lanthanum carbonate Drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) Molidustat HIV protease inhibitors Atazanavir Ritonavir Lopinavir and ritonavir Tyrosine kinase inhibitors Sorafenib Erlotinib Nilotinib Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer Proge containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Simvastatin Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Rese Grugs circulating levels of ro OAT inhibitors include: teriflur (UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. | ustat. | | | | |
| Bixalomer Lanthanum carbonate Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) HIV protease inhibitors Atazanavir Ritonavir Lopinavir and ritonavir Tyrosine kinase inhibitors Sorafenib Ertotinib Nilotinib Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT;
OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Simvastatin Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor) Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor) Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor) | | | | | |
| Lanthanum carbonate Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) Molidustat HIV protease inhibitors Atazanavir Ritonavir Lopinavir and ritonavir Tyrosine kinase inhibitors Sorafenib Ertotinib Nilotinib Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Sievelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxaduse is sevelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Simvastatin Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid (ICYP2C8, OATP1B1 inhibitor) Cother UGT or OAT inhibitors includer: teriflur (UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 inhibitor) | | | | | |
| Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs increase circulating levels of m Molidustat These drugs increase circulating levels of m HIV protease inhibitors Atazanavir Ritonavir Lopinavir and ritonavir Tyrosine kinase inhibitors Sorafenib Erlotinib Nilotinib Tranilast These drugs decrease absorption of molidus Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadure Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; OATP1B1) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadure Prosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer These drugs decrease absorption of roxadure Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadure HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Simvastatin Roxadustat increases circulating levels of H inhibition of OATP1B1/BCRP. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro OAT inhibitors include: teriflur (UGT). Rifampticin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT (OATP1B1). clonidoret (CYP2C8). CAT < | | | | | |
| Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs increase circulating levels of m HIV protease inhibitors • Atazanavir • Ritonavir • Lopinavir and ritonavir Tyrosine kinase inhibitors • Sorafenib • Erlotinib • Erlotinib • Nilotinib • Nilotinib Tranilast These drugs decrease absorption of molidus Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu Phosphate binders • Sevelamer • Bixalomer These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu Phosphate binders • Sevelamer • Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) Roxadustat increases circulating levels of Hi inhibition of OATP1B1/BCRP. HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors • Simvastatin • Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro CYP2C8/OATP1B1 Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro CYP2C8/OATP1B1 Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro CYP2C8/ | | | | | |
| Grugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs increase circulating levels of m HIV protease inhibitors Atazanavir • Atazanavir These drugs increase circulating levels of m • Ritonavir Erlotning • Sorafenib Erlotnib • Erlotnib Filtonavir • Nilotinib Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of molidus Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; OATP1B1) Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu PMG-CoA reductase inhibitors • Simvastatin Roxadustat increases circulating levels of H inhibition of OATP1B1/BCRP. • Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro CYP2C8/OATP1B1 • Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UCT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro CYP2C8/OATP1B1. • Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro CYP2C | | | | | |
| Magnesium, aluminum etc.) Imagnesium, aluminum etc.) Molidustat HIV protease inhibitors Atazanavir Ritonavir Lopinavir and ritonavir Tyrosine kinase inhibitors Sorafenib Erlotinib Nilotinib Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT;
OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Bixalomer Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) RMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Simvastatin Rosuvastatin,
e Atorvastatin,
hibitor) Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor) Probenecid (UCT, P2C8, OATP1B1
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor) | | | | | |
| Mondustat HIV protease inhibitors Atazanavir Ritonavir Lopinavir and ritonavir Tyrosine kinase inhibitors Sorafenib Erlotinib Nilotinib Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of molidus Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT;
OATP1B1) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadus Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer These drugs decrease absorption of roxadus Prospate binders These drugs decrease absorption of roxadus Sevelamer Sinvastatin Bixalomer Roxadustat increases circulating levels of Hi
inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro
Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor) Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro
CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT
(OATP1B1) | | | | | |
| Atazanavir Atazanavir Ritonavir Lopinavir and ritonavir Tyrosine kinase inhibitors Sorafenib Erlotinib Nilotinib Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT;
OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of molidus Rosadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT;
OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Simvastatin Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro
Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, DATP1B1 | olidustat by inhibition of LICT141 | | | | |
| Ritonavir Ritonavir Lopinavir and ritonavir Tyrosine kinase inhibitors Sorafenib Erlotinib Nilotinib Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Simvastatin Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Rosmutatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) | | | | | |
| Lopinavir and ritonavir Lopinavir and ritonavir Tyrosine kinase inhibitors Sorafenib Erlotinib Nilotinib Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of molidus These drugs decrease absorption of molidus These drugs decrease absorption of molidus Tranilast Drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu Sevelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Simvastatin Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid (ICYP2C8, OATP1B1 increases circulating levels of ro Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur (UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. | | | | | |
| Tyrosine kinase inhibitors Sorafenib Erlotinib Nilotinib Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of molidus Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT;
OATP1B1) Phosphate binders These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu Sevelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Roxadustat increases circulating levels of H
inhibitor) Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro
Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor) Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro
OYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT
(OATP1B1). condorerel (CYP2C8) Rifampia | | | | | |
| Tyrosine kinase inhibitors Sorafenib Sorafenib Erlotinib Erlotinib Nilotinib Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of molidus Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Sevelamer Phosphate binders Sevelamer These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu Bixalomer These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Simvastatin Roxadustat increases circulating levels of Hi inhibition of OATP1B1/BCRP. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur (UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 inhibitor) Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro OYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT (OATP1B1). | | | | | |
| Sorafenib Erlotinib Nilotinib Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT;
OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) NMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Simvastatin Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor) Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor) Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor) CYP2C8, OATP1B1 Cohre UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro
CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT
(OATP1B1). (Dipidogrel (CYP2C8). Rifampic | | | | | |
| Erlotinib Nilotinib Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT;
OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Simvastatin Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor) Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro
Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor) Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor) Atorvastatin [CYP2C8, OATP1B1] Conter UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro
CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT
(OATP1B1). clonidogrel (CYP2C8). Rifempic | | | | | |
| Nilotinib Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT;
OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Simvastatin Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor) Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor) Probenecid (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro
Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor) | | | | | |
| Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of molidus Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Phosphate binders Sevelamer • Bixalomer These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decreases absorption of roxadu HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors • Simvastatin • Atorvastatin, etc. Rosadustat increases circulating levels of Hinhibitor) Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur (UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 inhibitor) Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur (UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. | | | | | |
| Tranilast Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of molidus Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; OATP1B1) OATP1B1 Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadus HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Simvastatin Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur (UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 inhibitor) Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels or ro CYP2C8 or OAT (OATP1B1). Chonidogrel (CYP2C8). Rifampici | | | | | |
| Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.)These drugs decrease absorption of molidusRoxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT;
OATP1B1)These drugs decrease absorption of roxadusPhosphate binders
• Sevelamer
• BixalomerThese drugs decrease absorption of roxadusDrugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.)These drugs decrease absorption of roxadusHMG-CoA reductase inhibitors
• Simvastatin
• Atorvastatin, etc.Roxadustat increases circulating levels of HI
inhibitor)Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor)Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro
Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer.Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor)Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro
CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT
(OATP1B1), clopidogrel (CYP2C8). Rifampic | | | | | |
| Drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of molidulation
(substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT;
OATP1B1) Phosphate binders These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu • Sevelamer These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu • Bixalomer These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Roxadustat increases circulating levels of HI
inhibitor) Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor) Roxadustat increases circulating levels of ro
Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor) Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro
CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT | | | | | |
| and go containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; OATP1B1) Phosphate binders These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu • Sevelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Roxadustat increases circulating levels of HI inhibition of OATP1B1/BCRP. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur (UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT (OATP1B1), clopidogrel (CYP2C8). Rifampici | stat. | | | | |
| Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT;
OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Sevelamer Bixalomer These drugs decrease absorption of roxadus Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) These drugs decreases circulating levels of HI inhibition of OATP1B1/BCRP. HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Simvastatin Atorvastatin, etc. Roxadustat increases circulating levels of ro Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur (UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 inhibitor) Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT (OATP1B1), clopidogrel (CYP2C8). Rifampicin | | | | | |
| Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; OATP1B1) Phosphate binders Sevelamer • Sevelamer These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu • Bixalomer These drugs decrease absorption of roxadu Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) Roxadustat increases circulating levels of Hi inhibition of OATP1B1/BCRP. HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Roxadustat increases circulating levels of Hi inhibition of OATP1B1/BCRP. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur (UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 inhibitor) Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT (OATP1B1), clopidogrel (CYP2C8), Rifampici | | | | | |
| OATP1B1) Phosphate binders • Sevelamer • Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors • Simvastatin • Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 inhibitor) Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 inhibitor) Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 inhibitor) CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT (OATP1B1). clopidogrel (CYP2C8). Rifampici | Roxadustat (substrate of CYP2C8, UGT1A9, BCRP, OATP1B1, OAT1 and OAT; roxadustat inhibits BCRP and | | | | |
| Sevelamer Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g., drugs containing calcium, iron, magnesium, aluminum etc.) HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Simvastatin Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 finition) Rest utugs decrease absorption of rowadu interval and the second se | stat | | | | |
| Bixalomer Bixalomer Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Simvastatin Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro
Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor) Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro
CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT
(OATP1B1), clopidogrel (CYP2C8), Rifampici | 5.61. | | | | |
| Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.) HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors • Simvastatin • Rosuvastatin • Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor) Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor) Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor) Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor) Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor) | | | | | |
| Drugs containing polycations (e.g.,
drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.)Roxadustat increases circulating levels of H
inhibition of OATP1B1/BCRP.HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors
• Simvastatin
• Rosuvastatin
• Atorvastatin, etc.Roxadustat increases circulating levels of H
inhibition of OATP1B1/BCRP.Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor)Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro
Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer.Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor)Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro
Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. | | | | | |
| drugs containing calcium, iron,
magnesium, aluminum etc.)Roxadustat increases circulating levels of HHMG-CoA reductase inhibitors
• Simvastatin
• Atorvastatin, etc.Roxadustat increases circulating levels of HProbenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor)Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro
Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer.Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor)Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro
Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. | | | | | |
| magnesium, aluminum etc.)HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors
• Simvastatin
• Atorvastatin, etc.Roxadustat increases circulating levels of H
inhibition of OATP1B1/BCRP.Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor)Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro
Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer.Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor)Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro
Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer.Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor)Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro
Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. | | | | | |
| HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors Roxadustat increases circulating levels of H • Simvastatin inhibition of OATP1B1/BCRP. • Rosuvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur (UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 inhibitor) CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT (OATP1B1). clopidogrel (CYP2C8). Rifampici | | | | | |
| Simvastatin Rosuvastatin Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probencid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur (UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 inhibitor) Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT (OATP1B1), clopidogrel (CYP2C8), Rifampicines (CYP2C8), Rif | MG-CoA reductase inhibitors by | | | | |
| Rosuvastatin Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 inhibitor) CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT (OATP1B1), clopidogrel (CYP2C8), Rifampio | | | | | |
| Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor) Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1 inhibitor) Atorvastatin, etc. Probenecid increases circulating levels of ro Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur (UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT (OATP1B1), clopidogrel (CYP2C8), Rifampic | | | | | |
| Probenecid (UGT, OAT1/OAT3
inhibitor)Probenecid increases circulating levels of rc
Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer.Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor)Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro
CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT
(OATP1B1), clopidogrel (CYP2C8), Rifampic | | | | | |
| inhibitor)Other UGT or OAT inhibitors include: teriflur
(UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer.Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor)Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro
CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT
(OATP1B1), clopidogrel (CYP2C8), Rifamping | xadustat by inhibition of UGT/OA | | | | |
| Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor) (UGT). Rifampicin is an UGT inducer. Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro
CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT
(OATP1B1), clopidogrel (CYP2C8), Rifampicin | nomide (OAT1/OAT3), valproate | | | | |
| Gemfibrozil (CYP2C8, OATP1B1
inhibitor) Gemfibrozil increases circulating levels of ro
CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT
(OATP1B1), clopidogrel (CYP2C8), Rifampio | | | | | |
| INHIBITOR) CYP2C8/OATP1B1. Other CYP2C8 or OAT
(OATP1B1), clopidogref (CYP2C8), Rifampin | xadustat by inhibition of | | | | |
| L (DATP1B1), clopidoarel (CYP2C8), Ritambi | P1B1 inhibitors include: cyclospoi | | | | |
| | cin is a CYP2C8 inducer. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| 1 | Vadadustat (substrate of OAT1 and OAT3; vadadustat inhibits BCRP and OAT3) | |
|----------|--|---|
| 2 | Drugs containing polycations (e.g., | These drugs decrease absorption of vadadustat. |
| с
4 | drugs containing calcium, iron, | |
| 4 | magnesium, aluminum etc.) | |
| 5 | Probenecid | Probenecid increases circulating levels of vadadustat by inhibition of OAT1/OAT3. |
| 6
7 | Drugs that serve as substrates of BCRP | Vadadustat increases circulating levels of these drugs by inhibition of BCRP. |
| 8 | Simvastatin | |
| 9 | Rosuvastatin | |
| 10 | Atorvastatin | |
| 11
12 | Salazosulfapyridine | |
| 12 | Drugs that serve as substrates of | Vadadustat increases circulating levels of these drugs by inhibition of OAT3. |
| 14 | OAT3 | |
| 15 | Furosemide | |
| 16
17 | Methotrexate | |
| 18 | C | |
| 19
20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 25
24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27
28 | | |
| 29 | | |
| 30 | | |
| 31
22 | | |
| 33 | | |
| 34 | | |
| 35
26 | | |
| 30
37 | | |
| 38 | | |
| 39
40 | | |
| 40
41 | | |
| 42 | | |
| 43 | | |
| 44 | | |
| 45
46 | | |
| 47 | | |
| 48 | | |
| 49 | | |
| 50
51 | | |
| 52 | | |
| 53 | | |
| 54 | | |
| 55
56 | | |
| 50
57 | | |
| 58 | | |
| 59 | | |