

PANC Study (Pancreatitis: A National Cohort Study): national cohort study examining the first 30 days from presentation of acute pancreatitis in the UK

PANC Study Collaborative

Correspondence to: Marianne Hollyman, Somerset NHS Foundation Trust, Musgrove Park Hospital, Taunton, TA1 5DA, UK (e-mail: Marianne.hollyman@ somersetft.nhs.uk)

Members of the PANC Study Collaborative are co-authors of this study and are listed under the heading Collaborators.

Collaborative Research

Presented at the Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland Conference, Liverpool, UK, 2022 (in the BJS Prize session).

Abstract

Background: Acute pancreatitis is a common, yet complex, emergency surgical presentation. Multiple guidelines exist and management can vary significantly. The aim of this first UK, multicentre, prospective cohort study was to assess the variation in management of acute pancreatitis to guide resource planning and optimize treatment.

Methods: All patients aged greater than or equal to 18 years presenting with acute pancreatitis, as per the Atlanta criteria, from March to April 2021 were eligible for inclusion and followed up for 30 days. Anonymized data were uploaded to a secure electronic database in line with local governance approvals.

Results: A total of 113 hospitals contributed data on 2580 patients, with an equal sex distribution and a mean age of 57 years. The aetiology was gallstones in 50.6 per cent, with idiopathic the next most common (22.4 per cent). In addition to the 7.6 per cent with a diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis, 20.1 per cent of patients had a previous episode of acute pancreatitis. One in 20 patients were classed as having severe pancreatitis, as per the Atlanta criteria. The overall mortality rate was 2.3 per cent at 30 days, but rose to one in three in the severe group. Predictors of death included male sex, increased age, and frailty; previous acute pancreatitis and gallstones as aetiologies were protective. Smoking status and body mass index did not affect death.

Conclusion: Most patients presenting with acute pancreatitis have a mild, self-limiting disease. Rates of patients with idiopathic pancreatitis are high. Recurrent attacks of pancreatitis are common, but are likely to have reduced risk of death on subsequent admissions.

Introduction

Acute pancreatitis is a common, yet complex, emergency surgical presentation with an incidence of 56 cases per 100 000 people per year in the UK¹. The varied aetiologies of pancreatitis mean individuals present from a large range of demographics with a wide spectrum of severity, from mild symptoms to severe disease. Fortunately, as most cases are mild and self-limiting, patients can be managed safely by generalists, supporting the patient in the form of analgesia, fluid balance, and nutrition until the patient's condition sufficiently resolves. The aetiology, while easily apparent in some, is elusive in others; high idiopathic pancreatitis rates (greater than 20 per cent) are felt to be a sign of insufficient searching for a cause, rather than a true phenomenon².

Recent changes to the grading of pancreatitis severity have focused on the need for additional organ support as a truer reflection of severity, rather than simply assessing the degree of pancreatic necrosis^{3–5}. Most epidemiological papers stating severity and mortality rates are based on populations before this definition changed.

Although management of pancreatitis is supportive for the majority, the variation in aetiology and unpredictability of the severity mean that the management of pancreatitis has significant regional, hospital, and even individual clinician inter-variability, despite a number of guidelines issued by international professional bodies^{1,6–9}. The aim of this national, multicentre, prospective cohort study was to assess the current population of patients presenting with acute pancreatitis, to understand trends of presentation and care, and effect on patient outcome across the UK.

Methods

Study approach

This study was a prospective cohort study and was reported according to the STROBE guidelines for observational studies¹⁰. Ethical approval was not required as only routine, observational data were collected and patient clinical management was not altered in any way.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb O}$ The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of BJS Society Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Received: August 12, 2022. Revised: December 01, 2022. Accepted: January 04, 2023

Site recruitment

Hospitals were recruited from across the UK, using trainee-led research collaboratives and national surgical organizations such as the Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland (ASGBI), the Association of Upper Gastrointestinal Surgery (AUGIS), and the Pancreatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland (PSGBI). Any hospital that provides emergency surgical services was eligible to participate. A consultant Principal Investigator was required to register the study at their local hospital to secure Caldicott approval for data sharing, and to supervise a team of up to three trainees or allied healthcare professionals.

Data collection

Data were collected from patients presenting between 1 March and 30 April 2021. Patients aged greater than or equal to 18 years presenting with acute pancreatitis, diagnosed as per the revised Atlanta criteria, were eligible for inclusion³. This was defined as meeting two of three criteria: abdominal pain suggestive of pancreatitis; serum amylase or lipase level greater than three times the upper limit of normal; or characteristic findings on imaging³. Patients transferred from another hospital after their initial acute presentation were excluded. Once screened for eligibility, local teams entered the anonymized patient data into Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap, http://www.project-redcap.org/¹¹. All patients were followed up for 30 days after their date of presentation.

Sites failing to submit patients throughout the study interval or achieving less than 95 per cent data completion were excluded from the study. Two centres were excluded before analysis for failing to meet these criteria.

Classification of pancreatitis severity

Grades of severity of pancreatitis were based on the revised Atlanta classification³; pancreatitis severity was stratified as mild, moderately severe, and severe. Mild acute pancreatitis was defined as the absence of organ failure or local or systemic complications. Moderately severe acute pancreatitis was characterized by transient organ failure that resolved within 48 h and/or local or systemic complications without persistent organ failure. Severe acute pancreatitis was defined as persistent organ failure lasting longer than 48 h.

Outcome measures

Basic admission variables were recorded for all patients, including demographic data, co-morbidities using the Charlson Co-morbidity Index (CCI)¹², details of initial assessment, investigations, and treatment. Initial baseline parameters such as heart rate and blood pressure were recorded using National Early Warning Score 2 (NEWS2)¹³. Patients were followed up to assess the types and frequency of imaging and intervention they received over the initial 30 days post-presentation. Outcomes of interest included admission to critical care, referral to a tertiary specialty unit, necessity for enteral or parenteral nutrition support, length of hospital stay, aetiology of the pancreatitis, and 30-day mortality rates.

Statistical analysis

Differences across groups were tested using the Pearson χ^2 test for categorical variables and using the Kruskal–Wallis test for continuous variables. All numerical data are reported as median (interquartile range (i.q.r.)) unless otherwise stated. Missing data are presented for all categorical variables. Multilevel logistic regression models were constructed to account for case mix

(differing patient and disease characteristics), with population stratification by hospital incorporated as random intercepts with constrained gradients.

Models were constructed using the following principles: variables associated with outcome measures in previous studies were accounted for; demographic variables were included in model exploration; population stratification by hospital and country of residence was incorporated as random effects; all first-order interactions were checked and included in final models if found to be influential (reaching statistical significance or resulting in a 10 per cent or greater change in the odds ratio (OR) of the explanatory variable of interest); and final model selection was done using a criterion-based approach by minimizing the Akaike information criterion and discrimination determined using the C-statistic (area under the receiver operator curve). Effect estimates are presented as ORs and 95 per cent confidence intervals (c.i.). All analyses were performed using R (version 4.1.1), using the finalfit and tidyverse packages.

Results

Data were collected from 113 centres on 2580 patients over the 2-month study interval. Nearly one in five (465) did not have a rise in amylase or lipase to indicate pancreatitis as a diagnosis; the diagnosis was made using a combination of clinical history and imaging. In 80 patients (3.1 per cent) the diagnosis was made more than 48 h from presentation.

Most patients presented with mild acute pancreatitis (71.2 per cent), as per revised Atlanta classification, with just over 1 in 20 (145/2580) classified as having severe pancreatitis (*Table 1*).

Patient factors

There was an equal split between male and female patients (1293: 1287), with a median age of 57 years. Males presented more frequently with moderate or severe acute pancreatitis than females (P < 0.001). Differences in BMI, smoking status, and alcohol consumption between the groups are displayed in *Table* 1. There were proportionally more patients living with multiple co-morbidities, frailty, or advanced diabetes in the severe acute pancreatitis group. Over a quarter of patients had previous pancreatitis. A history of previous pancreatitis appeared to be protective of severe pancreatitis during a subsequent attack (20.7 per cent recurrent pancreatitis rate in the mild group *versus* 12.8 per cent in the severe group; P = 0.018).

Initial assessment

Patients who went on to develop moderate or severe pancreatitis were seen to present with a higher NEWS2, white cell count, urea level, and creatinine level (*Table 2*). C-reactive protein was increased on admission in those patients with increased severity, although the difference was more pronounced at 48 h.

Management in the first 48 h

Within the first 48 h of admission, over a third of patients had been prescribed antibiotics, with the most common reason given being suspected infection, followed by prophylaxis, with proven infection only accounting for less than 1 in 20 cases, as seen in *Table 3*.

Oral analgesia was tolerated and effective for managing pain in around half of all patients. Oral opiates were the most common choice of analgesia (1985, 76.9 per cent); non-steroidal anti-inflammatories (NSAIDs) were not commonly used (111).

Table	1	Patient	factors
-------	---	---------	---------

			Total, n – 2580	Р		
		Mild, <i>n</i> = 1836 (71.2)	Moderate, n = 596 (23.1)	Severe, <i>n</i> = 148 (5.7)	n = 2560	
Age (years), median (i.g.r.)		56.0 (40.0–72.0)	56.5 (43.0–73.0)	65.0 (54.0–78.0)	57.0 (41.0–72.0)	<0.001
Sex						<0.001
Male		855 (46.6)	337 (56.5)	101 (68.2)	1293 (50.1)	
Female		981 (53.4)	259 (43.5)	47 (31.8)	1287 (49.9)	
$BMI (kg/m^2)$	<18.0	27 (1.5)	11 (1.8)	2 (1.4)	40 (1.6)	0.759
(8/)	18.0-24.9	527 (28.7)	182 (30.5)	43 (29.1)	752 (29.1)	
	25 0-29 9	570 (31 0)	177 (29 7)	38 (25 7)	785 (30.4)	
	>30	672 (36.6)	213 (35 7)	61 (41 2)	946 (36 7)	
	Missing	40 (2 2)	13 (2 2)	4 (2 7)	57 (2 2)	
Smoking status	Never smoked	1049 (57 1)	288 (48 3)	74 (50 0)	1411 (54 7)	0.001
billoking blatab	Current Smoker	392 (21 4)	160 (26.8)	32 (21.6)	584 (22.6)	0.001
	Fx-smoker	348 (19.0)	139 (23.3)	35 (23.6)	522 (20.2)	
	Missing	47 (2 6)	9 (1 5)	7 (4 7)	63 (2.4)	
Alcohol consumption	None	825 (44 9)	252 (42 3)	55 (37.2)	1132 (43.9)	<0.001
(units/week)	1–14	673 (36 7)	193 (32.4)	48 (32 4)	914 (35 4)	20.001
(unite), week)	15-35	129 (7.0)	59 (9 9)	18 (12 2)	206 (8 0)	
	>35	173 (9.4)	88 (14 8)	21 (14 2)	282 (10.9)	
	Missing	36 (2.0)	4 (0 7)	6 (4 1)	46 (1.8)	
Diabetic	None or diet controlled	1631 (88.8)	520 (87.2)	122 (82.4)	2273 (88.1)	0.052
	Tablet or insulin controlled	204 (11.1)	75 (12.6)	26 (17.6)	305 (11.8)	
	Missing	1 (0.1)	1 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.1)	
Charlson Co-morbidity	0	2.0 (0.0–3.5)	2.0 (0.0-4.0)	3.0 (2.0–5.0)	2.0 (0.0-4.0)	<0.001
Frailty state	Non-frail	1478 (80 5)	447 (75 0)	93 (62 8)	2018 (78.2)	<0.001
Trainty State	Pre-frail	164 (8 9)	65 (10.9)	18 (12 2)	247 (9.6)	20.001
	Frail	175 (9 5)	83 (13.9)	36 (24 3)	294 (11 4)	
	Missing	19 (1 0)	1 (0 2)	1 (0 7)	21 (0.8)	
History of pancreatitis	No	1320 (71.0)	421 (70.6)	122(82.4)	1863 (72.2)	0.028
matory of panereaulus	Yes (acute)	380 (20 7)	119 (20.0)	19 (12 8)	518 (20.1)	0.020
	Yes (known chronic)	134 (7 3)	56 (9.4)	7 (4 7)	197 (7.6)	
	Missing	2 (0 1)	0 (0 0)) (T.)) 0 (0 0)	2 (0 1)	
	1411221118	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.1)	

Values are n (%) unless otherwise indicated. i.q.r., interquartile range.

Table 2 Initial assessment

		Total, <i>n</i> = 2580	Р		
	Mild, n = 1836 (71.2)	Moderate, <i>n</i> = 596 (23.1)	Severe, n = 148 (5.7)		
NEWS2 on admission	1.0 (0.0-2.0)	1.0 (0.0–3.0)	2.0 (1.0-4.0)	1.0 (0.0-2.0)	<0.001
Highest NEWS2 in first 48 h	2.0 (1.0–3.0)	3.0 (2.0–5.0)	6.0 (4.0–8.0)	2.0 (1.0–4.0)	< 0.001
White cell count (x 10 ⁹ /L)	11.6 (8.9–14.6)	14.1 (10.7–18.0)	15.8 (11.6–19.6)	12.3 (9.3–15.8)	< 0.001
Urea (mmol/L)	5.0 (3.7–6.5)	5.2 (3.9–7.5)	7.7 (5.4–11.2)	5.1 (3.8–6.9)	< 0.001
Creatinine (µmol/L)	71.0 (59.0–86.0)	74.0 (60.0–95.0)	108.5 (76.2–167.8)	72.0 (60.0–89.5)	< 0.001
CRP on admission (mg/dl)	10.0 (3.5–37.0)	20.7 (5.0–102.0)	23.0 (5.0–127.0)	12.0 (4.0–51.0)	< 0.001
Highest CRP value in first 48 h (mg/dl)	76.5 (16.0–171.0)	211.5 (108.0–301.1)	286.0 (179.4–343.0)	113.0 (25.6–229.0)	<0.001

Values are median (i.q.r.) unless otherwise indicated. NEWS2, National Early Warning Score 2; CRP, C-reactive protein; i.q.r., interquartile range.

Imaging

Ultrasonography was performed in three of five patients, most commonly performed during day 1 of admission (*Table 4*). In those who did not undergo ultrasonography, 482 (18.6 per cent) had known gallstones and 119 (4.6 per cent) had previously undergone a cholecystectomy.

On average, patients with moderate or severe pancreatitis had one CT scan. However, 31.2 per cent had two or more CT scans over the first 30 days of presentation; 39.4 per cent of mild cases underwent at least one CT scan. Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) scans were performed in two in five patients, but less commonly in severe pancreatitis, even when death was accounted for (*Table 4*). MRCP scans were performed within a median of 3 days from admission.

Aetiology

The relationship between patient characteristics and aetiologies (alcohol, gallstones, and idiopathic) can be seen in Table 5. The most common aetiology was gallstones (1306/2580). No aetiology

Table 3 Management in first 48 h

		Severity of pancreatitis			Total,	Р
		Mild, n = 1836 (71.2)	Moderate, n = 596 (23.1)	Severe, n = 148 (5.7)	n = 2580	
Antibiotics prescribed in	No	1306 (71.1)	316 (53.0)	53 (35.8)	1675 (64.9)	<0.001
first 48 h after admission	Yes - prophylactically	172 (9.4)	54 (9.1)	21 (14.2)	247 (9.6)	
	Yes - for suspected infection	286 (15.6)	183 (30.7)	56 (37.8)	525 (20.3)	
	Yes - for proven infection	63 (3.4)	40 (6.7)	18 (12.2)	121 (4.7)	
	Missing	9 (Ò.5)	3 (0.5)	0 (0.0)	12 (0.5)	
Urinary catheter inserted	No	1512 (82.4)	348 (58.4)	36 (24.3)	1896 (73.5)	< 0.001
in first 48 h	Yes	303 (16.5)	243 (40.8)	112 (75.7)	658 (25.5)	
	Missing	21 (1.1)	5 (Ò.8)	0 (0.0)	26 (1.0)	
Oral intake tolerated on	No	60 (3.3)	54 (9.1)	31 (20.9)	145 (5.6)	< 0.001
admission	Placed Nil By Mouth	127 (6.9)	52 (8.7)	16 (10.8)	195 (7.6)	
	Fluids only	471 (25.7́)	221 (37.1)	58 (39.2)	750 (29.1)	
	Fluids and diet	1154 (62.9)	259 (43.5)	42 (28.4)	1455 (56.4)	
	Missing	24 (1.3)	10 (1.7)	1 (0.7)	35 (1.4)	
Oral analgesia tolerated	Yes	1020 (55.6)	211 (35.4)	25 (16.9)	1256 (48.7)	< 0.001
0	No - ineffective	693 (37.7) [°]	323 (54.2)	93 (62.8)	1109 (43.0)	
	No - cannot tolerate oral	86 (4.7)	55 (9.2)	28 (18.9)	169 (6.6)	
	Missing	37 (2.0)	7 (1.2)	2 (1.4)	46 (1.8)	

Values are n (%).

Table 4 Imaging (excluding those who died)

		Severity of pancreatitis			Total,	Р
		Mild, n = 1826	Moderate, n = 583	Severe, n = 97	n = 2580	
Ultrasound was performed	Yes	1127 (62.0)	320 (55.3)	49 (50.5)	1496 (60.0)	0.002
-	No	688 (37.9)	259 (44.7)	48 (49.5)	995 (39.9)	
	Missing	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.1)	
MRCP performed	Yes	785 (43.2)	205 (35.4)	29 (29.9)	1019 (40.9)	<0.001
-	No	967 (53.2)	359 (62.0)	68 (70.1)	1394 (55.9)	
	Requested (not performed)	65 (3.6)	15 (2.6)	0 (0.0)	80 (3.2)	
Endoscopic ultrasound was	No	1759 (96.8)	565 (97.6)	93 (95.9)	2417 (97.0)	0.229
performed	Yes	21 (1.2)	5 (0.9)	3 (3.1)	29 (1.2)	
-	Requested (not performed)	34 (1.9)	9 (1.6)	0 (0.0)	43 (1.7)	
	Missing	3 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.0)	4 (0.2)	
Patients receiving CT during	0	1092 (60.1)	66 (11.4)	7 (7.2)	1165 (46.7)	<0.001
admission	1	675 (37.1)	356 (61.5)	32 (33.0)	1063 (42.6)	
	2	37 (2.0)	97 (16.8)	29 (29.9)	163 (6.5)	
	>2	7 (0.4)	59 (10.2)	27 (27.8)	93 (3.7)	
	Missing	6 (0.3)	1 (0.2)	2 (2.1)	9 (0.4)	
Time between admission and first CT performed (days)	Median (i.q.r.)	1.0 (0.0–2.0)	1.0 (0.0–2.0)	1.0 (0.0–2.0)	1.0 (0.0–2.0)	0.234
Findings on first CT	Normal	150 (20.9)	29 (5.7)	8 (9.1)	187 (14.2)	<0.001
6	Interstitial pancreatitis	566 (78.7)́)	483 (94.3)	80 (90.9)	1129 (85.6)	
	Missing	3 (0.4)	0 (Ò.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (0.2)	

Values are n (%) unless otherwise indicated. MRCP, magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography; i.q.r., interquartile range.

was identified in 22.9 per cent of patients within the first 30 days; the full list of aetiologies can be seen in *Table S1*.

Alcohol accounted for less than one in five presentations of acute pancreatitis (452/2580) and was more prevalent in males (*Table 5*). Over half of those with alcohol-induced pancreatitis also smoked, as opposed to only one in eight in the gallstone pancreatitis group. Only 59.7 per cent of alcohol-induced pancreatitis cases were classed as mild pancreatitis.

Idiopathic pancreatitis was seen equally between the sexes. The recurrence rate was high in the idiopathic group, with one in four having previously had at least one attack of acute pancreatitis.

Patients with gallstones identified as the main aetiology were more likely to be older, female, and more co-morbid than those with alcohol as an aetiology or those classed idiopathic. Median amylase levels were higher in gallstone pancreatitis.

Management of gallstone pancreatitis

Of the 1306 patients with gallstone pancreatitis, 34 patients had undergone a previous cholecystectomy, and, despite having undergone 'definitive treatment', pancreatitis had been caused by retained stones.

Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) was performed in 204 patients, of which 164 (80.4 per cent) had bile duct stones found, 39 had the procedure as definitive treatment for gallstones with sphincterotomy, and a further one had suspected stones, but nil found at time of procedure.

Of the 1272 patients that were eligible for a cholecystectomy, 441 (34.7 per cent) had a cholecystectomy performed within 30 days and for 275 (21.6 per cent) no decision had been made by the time of discharge regarding whether they would proceed to

Table 5 Relationship between aetiology and patient characteristics

		Main cause of acute pancreatitis			Total, <i>n</i> = 2337†	Р
		Alcohol, n = 452 (19.3)	Gallstones, n = 1306 (55.9)	Idiopathic, n = 579 (24.8)	-	
Age (years), median (i.q.r. Sex)	47.0 (38.0–55.0)	61.0 (45.2–75.0)	62.0 (46.0–73.5)	57.0 (42.0–72.0)	<0.001 <0.001
Male		332 (73.5)	545 (41.7)	291 (50.3)	1168 (50.0)	
Female		120 (26.5)	761 (58.3)	288 (49.7)	1169 (50.0)	
BMI (kg/m ²)	<18.0	10 (2.2)	13 (1.0)	9 (1.6)	32 (1.4)	<0.001
	18.0-24.9	189 (41.8)	308 (23.6)	177 (30.6)	674 (28.8)	
	25.0-29.9	142 (31.4)	398 (30.5)	176 (30.4)	716 (30.6)	
	>30	98 (21.7)	561 (43.0)	204 (35.2)	863 (36.9)	
	Missing	13 (2.9)	26 (2.0)	13 (2.2)	52 (2.2)	
Smoking status	Never smoked	113 (25.0)	831 (63.6)	327 (56.5)	1271 (54.4)	< 0.001
Sinoining Status	Current smoker	257 (56 9)	164 (12 6)	113 (19 5)	534 (22.8)	10.001
	Ex-smoker	72 (15 9)	278 (21 3)	126 (21.8)	476 (20.4)	
	Missing	10 (2 2)	33 (2 5)	13 (2.2)	56 (2.4)	
Alcohol consumption	None	42 (9 3)	661 (50 6)	293 (50.6)	996 (42 6)	<0.001
(units/week)	1_14	83 (18.4)	516 (39 5)	222 (20.0)	827 (35.4)	\U.UU
(units/ week)	15_35	99 (21 9)	58 (4 4)	41 (7 1)	198 (8 5)	
	1J-JJ	225 (49.8)	41 (3 1)	9 (1 6)	275 (11.8)	
	Missing	225 (45.6)	30 (2.3)	9 (1.0) 8 (1.4)	27 J (11.8) A1 (1.8)	
Diabetec	None or diet	116 (92.0)	1154 (2.3)	503 (86 0)	2072 (88 7)	0 030
Diabetes	controlled	410 (92.0)	1154 (00.4)	505 (80.9)	2075 (88.7)	0.050
	Tablet or insulin controlled	36 (8.0)	150 (11.5)	76 (13.1)	262 (11.2)	
	Missing	0 (0,0)	2 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.1)	
Charlson Co-morbidity Index, median (i.g.r.)		1.0 (0.0–2.0)	2.0 (0.0–4.0)	2.0 (1.0-4.0)	2.0 (0.0–4.0)	<0.001
History of pancreatitis	No	220 (48.7)	1089 (83.4)	391 (67.5)	1700 (72.7)	<0.001
5 I	Yes (acute)	136 (30.1)	190 (14.5)	139 (24.0)	465 (19.9)	
	Yes (known chronic)	95 (21.0)	26 (2.0)	49 (8.5)	170 (7.3)	
	Missing	1 (0 2)	1 (0 1)	0 (0 0)	2 (0 1)	
Amvlase* (II/I)	Median (i.a.r.)	419.0 (167.2-	1403 0 (672 8-	926.0 (324.5-	1071 0 (415 0-2477 0)	<0.001
Thinylase (0/L)	meanan (n.q.n.)	1186.0)	2695 2)	2572 0)	10/1.0 (115.0 21/7.0)	NO.001
I enoth of stay (days)	Median (i.a.r.)	4 5 (3 0_8 0)	60(30-90)	4 0 (3 0-7 0)	5 0 (3 0-8 0)	~0.001
Severity of pancreatitis	Mild	270 (59 7)	972 (74 4)	433 (74.8)	1675 (71 7)	<0.001
Severity of partereaties	Moderate	150 (33.2)	275 (21.1)	111 (19 2)	536 (22.9)	<0.001
	Sovere	22 (7 1)	27 J (21.1) 59 (4 5)	35 (6 0)	126 (5 4)	
30 day outcome	Discharged	JZ (7.1) 110 (02 7)	1216 (92.1)	538 (0.0)	2173 (93.0)	0 1 4 7
so-day outcome	Still admitted	12 (2 0)	EO (2 9)	14 (2 4)	2173 (93.0)	0.147
	Transforred to	13 (2.3) 6 (1.3)	20 (3.0) 7 (0.5)	14 (2.4)	// (<i>3.3)</i> 17 (0.7)	
	other hearital	0 (1.5)	/ (0.5)	4 (0.7)	17 (0.7)	
	Died	11 (0 1)	77 (7 1)	(21)(2)(2)		
	Missing	11 (2.4)	27 (Z.1) C (O E)	21 (3.0)	ンゴ (Z.5) 11 (0 E)	
	wissing	3 (0.7)	o (U.S)	2 (0.3)	11 (0.5)	

Values are n (%) unless otherwise indicated. *Eight sites used lipase rather than amylase. +Other aetiologies excluded. i.q.r., interquartile range.

cholecystectomy in the future. In addition, 161 (12.7 per cent) were deemed unfit, or declined surgery.

Of those with recurrent gallstone pancreatitis, 173 of the 184 patients still had their gallbladder in situ at the time of the subsequent presentation (94.0 per cent).

Outcomes

At 30 days, the majority of patients had been discharged (93.0 per cent), 3.3 per cent remained as inpatients (which equated to one in five when focusing on those classed as severe), and 0.7 per cent were transferred to a tertiary centre. Further information can be seen in *Table* 6.

The median length of stay was 4 days for mild cases, 8 days for moderate cases, and 17 days for severe cases. Only 150 patients had an admission of less than 2 days. Of the severe cases, 60.1 per cent required intensive care unit (ICU) admission, with a median stay of 7 days.

Nine per cent of patients who had been discharged were readmitted within 30 days of presentation; 3.6 per cent had recurrent pancreatitis in this time.

Overall, 30-day mortality rate was 2.3 per cent, increasing to one in three in the severe group.

Risk prediction

A multivariable model, clustering patients by hospital, demonstrated that age, frailty, and aetiologies, including alcohol and post-ERCP pancreatitis (PEP), increase the risk of 30-day mortality rate. BMI and smoking status did not have any effect on death, and therefore were removed from the model. Recurrent pancreatitis was shown to be protective of severity of disease. Results are presented in Fig. 1 and Tables S2 and S3.

Discussion

Acute pancreatitis is a common surgical presentation in the UK, and affects a wide range of patients, with varying aetiologies and varying outcomes. Most cases recorded by this study were mild; depending on aetiology, there was a one in four chance of recurrence of pancreatitis, but, importantly, subsequent attacks of pancreatitis were likely to be less severe.

Table 6 Outcomes

			Severity of pancre	Total, n – 2580	Р	
		Mild, n = 1836 (71.2)	Moderate, <i>n</i> = 596 (23.1)	Severe, n = 148 (5.7)	n = 2580	
30-day outcome	Discharged Still admitted Transferred to other hospital Died Missing	1789 (97.4) 21 (1.1) 7 (0.4) 9 (0.5) 10 (0.5)	537 (90.1) 37 (6.2) 5 (0.8) 13 (2.2) 4 (0.7)	58 (39.2) 30 (20.3) 9 (6.1) 51 (34.5) 0 (0.0)	2384 (92.4) 88 (3.4) 21 (0.8) 73 (2.8) 14 (0.5)	<0.001
Length of stay (days), median (i.q.r.)	0	4.0 (3.0–7.0)	8.0 (5.0–13.0)	17.0 (10.2–24.8)	5.0 (3.0–8.0)	<0.001
ICU admission	No Yes Missing	1824 (99.3) 9 (0.5) 3 (0.2)	543 (91.1) 52 (8.7) 1 (0.2)	59 (39.9) 89 (60.1) 0 (0.0)	2426 (94.0) 150 (5.8) 4 (0.2)	<0.001
Time spent in ICU (days), median (i.q.r.)	0	3.0 (1.0-8.0)	3.0 (2.0–5.2)	7.0 (3.8–20.2)	5.0 (2.0–13.0)	<0.001
Cholecystectomy performed*	Performed during admission Performed after discharge Not performed within 30 days of admission	254 (26.4) 124 (12.9) 584 (60.6)	33 (12.2) 25 (9.3) 211 (78.1)	3 (5.1) 2 (3.4) 54 (91.5))	290 (22.4) 151 (11.7) 849 (65.7	<0.001
Unplanned readmission	Missing Readmission unrelated to pancreatitis	5 (0.3) 32 (3.3)	1 (0.2) 9 (3.3)	0 (0.0) 1 (1.7)	6 (0.2) 42 (3.3)	0.788
	Readmission for recurrence of pancreatitis	34 (3.5)	12 (4.4)	1 (1.7)	47 (3.6)	0.558
	Readmission for pancreatitis complication	17 (1.8)	8 (3.0)	5 (8.5)	30 (2.3)	0.003

Values are n (%) unless otherwise indicated. i.q.r., interquartile range; ICU, intensive care unit. *Denominator is number of patients with gallstone pancreatitis, who have not previously undergone a cholecystectomy.

In terms of diagnosis, nearly one in five patients did not have a diagnostic raised amylase or lipase level, and were therefore diagnosed using a combination of history and imaging. National UK guidelines mandate that acute pancreatitis be diagnosed within 48 h². Failure to diagnose using blood markers and delays in access to CT scanning may have contributed to not all patients receiving their diagnosis of acute pancreatitis within the first 48 h of presentation. Only eight centres included in this study use serum lipase to diagnose acute pancreatitis. Although there is no single diagnostic test that would prevent imaging being necessary in some patients, serum lipase has advantages over serum amylase, including a higher sensitivity and a larger diagnostic window, potentially reducing the amount of imaging required for diagnosis^{14,15}. For this reason lipase is recommended as the blood marker of choice; despite this, the majority of trusts do not have routine access to this test².

In line with the current literature, gallstones were the primary aetiology, accounting for over 50 per cent of cases¹⁶. The number of cases labelled as idiopathic is higher than previously recommended, with the aetiology of pancreatitis still unknown in over 22 per cent of patients 30 days after diagnosis. The use of advanced imaging modalities such as MRCP and endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) is expected to raise proven aetiology to over 80 per cent of cases^{2,17}. This data set demonstrates that, despite International Association of Pancreatology (IAP)/American Pancreatic Association (APA) guidelines regarding the need to utilize EUS in patients with idiopathic pancreatitis, EUS remains infrequently utilized in the UK, and, for the small number who it had been requested, there were delays to test (60 per cent of those awaiting EUS had not had their investigation by 30 days from presentation)⁸. Overall, when accounting for death, patients with severe acute pancreatitis had fewer imaging investigations to define aetiology than those in the less severe

group. This may reflect a lack of opportunity, or that the search for an aetiology is more likely to be overlooked, when the focus has been taken to organ support.

Contrary to current guidelines, 34 per cent of acute pancreatitis patients were prescribed antibiotics within the first 48 h, a third of which were prescribed prophylactically; this is well before pancreas necrosis is normally identified and other infections will have not yet been confirmed^{1,2}. The prophylactic administration of antibiotics when there is no clear source of infection has not been shown to reduce either morbidity rate or death in acute pancreatitis¹⁸. Indeed, there are concerns that the early use of prophylactic antibiotics may lead to antibiotic-resistant infected pancreatic necrosis and their use should be avoided¹⁹. It has not been routinely recommended in recent guidance⁸. It is difficult to pick out the subtleties of decision-making in these patients, and whether other factors, such as concerns over potential development of cholangitis, rather than just infected necrosis, may have swayed the decision-making. It is important to note that antibiotics are recommended in severe necrotizing pancreatitis, as this can decrease the risk of infected necrosis, sepsis, or need for surgery. However, use of antibiotics within the first 48 h that this study reflects does not encompass pancreatic necrosis and should be reappraised in further studies²⁰.

CT scans were performed in over half the patients presenting with pancreatitis. The majority of scans were performed within the first day of admission, suggesting that the focus is on confirming the diagnosis rather than assessing the extent of pancreatitis damage, which is normally not assessable until several days into the disease course²¹. CT scanning for diagnostic purposes was required in around 20 per cent of cases due to the lack of a significant rise in the biochemical markers, but it may call into question the need for a proportion of the other scans still done at this stage, despite adequate ability to



Fig. 1 Multilevel logistic regression model for predictors of death after hospital admission for pancreatitis

ERCP, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography.

diagnose the patient from history and blood results. Access to early scanning in the emergency department may have led to scans being ordered empirically before biochemical markers were available to guide the diagnosis, leading to the high number of scans requested around admission. This change in practice may either lead to peri-pancreatic complications being missed due to false reassurance of early scans, or an unnecessary radiation dose in patients needing to undergo a repeat scan later in their clinical course.

The vast majority of patients were successfully managed in non-specialist centres, with less than 1 per cent requiring transfer to tertiary centres within the first 30 days. Indications for transfer were not recorded, but other factors such as access to dialysis may have also affected the need to transfer in some cases, rather than specific pancreatitis expertise. The recent NCEPOD (National Confidential Enquiry into Patient Outcome and Death) guidance has suggested that focus should be in strengthening pancreatic networks between specialist centres and surrounding, non-specialist centres; the data suggest only a very small percentage of patients are discussed with tertiary centres, and even less require transfer²². This is in keeping with the proportion of patients who have mild or moderate pancreatitis and improve without intervention.

At presentation, several patient factors were identified to be potential predictors of death, including male sex, age, and frailty. Raised BMI, which has been thought to be an indicator of poor prognosis, was not found to affect death in our series. Some studies have reported there may be a paradox, that, despite a worse systemic inflammatory response in this patient group, obesity has not clearly been shown to be an independent indicator of death²³. Other studies that show death associated with an increased BMI state in their limitations that the complexities of co-morbidities and demographics are not taken into consideration and so cannot conclude if it is an independent risk factor²⁴.

This study has shown a significantly higher rate of PEP leading to death than other causes of pancreatitis. This is despite the model taking into account this patient group as commonly elderly and frailer than other patient groups. One factor contributing to this may be patients with milder PEP may be either not identified at all, or may be managed under medical teams without referral to surgeons, the teams that commonly manage acute pancreatitis in Great Britain and Ireland (and predominately identifying patients for this study). The overall mortality rate of 12 per cent seen in this paper is higher than previously stated (4.4 per cent), but there has been reported a trend towards increased incidence of PEP over time, despite accepted interventions such as rectal diclofenac to reduce the incidence²⁵. Overall, PEP accounted for only just over 2 per cent of cases in this study, so a small difference in mortality rate was magnified by the small sample size, and therefore it would be useful to look at this group more closely in a larger cohort.

With respect to the use of ERCP as definitive treatment for gallstone pancreatitis, there was a small proportion of patients who underwent sphincterotomy in the absence of choledocholithiasis. There is a lack of consensus in the current literature regarding prophylactic sphincterotomy in patients with gallstone pancreatitis in the absence of stones within the common bile duct²⁶. A supporting recommendation is seen in guidance published within the UK two decades ago, and, without a clear consensus to refute this practice, this recommendation is still a common part of definitive management². Conflicting recommendations suggest that sphincterotomy should not be performed in the absence of choledocholithiasis or cholangitis due to the increased risk of the procedure^{27,28}. Other studies recommend sphincterotomy as definitive treatment in patients who are deemed unfit for cholecystectomy²⁹ and as an indication for gallbladder drainage³⁰.

This study has confirmed that previous episodes of pancreatitis are protective of subsequent severe attacks, with those with a previous attack being half as likely to develop severe pancreatitis compared with those experiencing their first episode³¹. Although this does not mitigate against the fact that all should be done to remove a trigger, where possible, it will be reassuring to patients and clinicians to understand that risks are lower for any subsequent episodes when making decisions on timing of cholecystectomy.

This study is limited by the short follow-up of patients, necessitated by the large amount of data collection over many sites; it is acknowledged that a proportion of patients with severe pancreatitis may die from this acute disease process, and this is not captured by our data set. The primary aim of our study was to collect a snapshot of current practice in acute pancreatitis, and by focusing too closely on the long-term outcomes of the small section of patients with more complex disease, this risked limiting the engagement of hospitals in providing us with good-quality short-term data. The lack of longer follow-up does not provide the true picture of investigations undertaken on those with idiopathic disease, and the extent of interventions on those with cholelithiasis.

In terms of other limitations, data were collected during the COVID pandemic, which may have affected practice in management of patients, especially in the timing of cholecystectomy. It is unclear how the ongoing pressures on health services will affect patient access to care in the years to come, and whether a delay in further investigation and treatment may become more normalized.

Acute pancreatitis has a low 30-day death, which is dramatically higher, up to one in three, in those who require organ support for more than 48 h. Patients who are male, elderly, and living with frailty are likely to have a higher risk of death; those with gallstone pancreatitis or those with previous pancreatitis have a lower death rate. More work needs to be done to understand how variation in practice between clinicians and centres affects patient outcomes.

Collaborators

Angeliki Kosti, Aditya Borakati, Aarti Varma, Aayush Gupta, Abdalla Mustafa, Abdul Hakeem, Abdul Quddus, Abdullah Bin Sahl, Abhijeet Beniwal, Abidemi Adesuyi, Ada Maria Krzak, Adam Brooks, Adam Frampton, Adam Gadhvi, Adam Talbot, Ahmed Elnogoomi, Ahmed Mahgoub, Ahmed Naqvi, Ahmed Pervez, Ahmed Salman Bodla, Ahmed Taha, Ahmed Tawfik, Aishwarya Prabhu, Aiysha Puri, Ajay Belgaumkar, Ajay Gupta, Alan McCrorie, Alasdair Findlay, Albert Healey, Alexandra De Prendergast, Alexia Farrugia, Alexios Dosis, Alfie Adiamah, Ali Sallam, Alicia Wong, Alison Bradley, Allie Martin, Alma Collins, Altaf Awan, Amanda Bond, Amanda Koh, Amar Kourdouli, Ameet G. Patel, Amenah Dhannoon, Amjad Khalil, Amlan Banerjee, Amnah Khan, Amr Elserafy, Amro Alamassi, Amy Owen, Anastasia Benjafield, Andrea Zuccarrelli, Andreas Luhmann, Andrew Jones, Andrew Kennedy-Dalby, Andrew M. Smith, Anil Kaul, Anil Kumar, Annabelle White, Annalie Baker, Annamaria Minicozzi, Antonio Bardoli, Antonio Leyte Golpe, Antonio Manzelli, Aran Sivakumar, Arin Saha, Arjun Shajpal, Artemisia Lango, Arthur Cotton, Ashitha Nair, Ashley Brown, Ashok Menon, Ashutosh Tandon, Asma Afza, Asma Hassan, Awad Shamali, Ayesha Khalid, Azel Regan, Balasubramanian Piramanayagam, Bankole Oyewole, Basil Ibrahim, Ben Murphy, Bethan Clayton, Bethan Jenkins, Bhaskar Kumar, Blazej Rybinski, Bo Yuan Khor, Brian. R Davidson, Bryony Lees, Callum Blacklock, Callum Johnstone, Camila Hidalgo Salinas, Carine Boven, Caroline Wolstenholme, Carven Chin, Catherine Gilmore, Catherine Sharp, Cerys Walker, Chad Harris, Chaitra Khanna, Chanoka Ferguson, Charis Kyriakides, Charlotte Bee, Chelise Currow, Chetan Parmar, Chris Collins, Christopher Halloran, Chris J. Smart, Christodoulos Neophytou, Christopher Delaney, Chukwuemeka Anele, Claire Heugh, Clarisa Thian Puay Choh, Cleo Kenington, Craig Wyatt, Cynthia-Michelle Borg, Damian Mole, Danaradja Arumugam, Dariusz Gunia, Darren Porter, David Berry, David Griffith, David Hou, David Longbotham, David Mitton, David Strachan, Davide Di Mauro, Dawit Worku, Deborah Heaphy, Declan Dunne, Denise Yeung, Devika Arambepola, Dhya Al Leswas, Dimitri J. Pournaras, Dimitrios Damaskos, Dina Saleh, Dixon Osilli, Douglas Pearman, Douglas Whitelaw, Ehsan Ul Haq, Eleanor Mack, Eleanor Spurring, Elias Jamieson, Elisa Lenzi, Elizabeth Gemmill, Emanuele Gammeri, Emil Bota, Emily Britton, Emily Farrow, Emily Lloyd, Emily Moran, Emmanuel Itobi, Eoin Craig, Ermal Tanaka, Ezzat Chohda, Fahad Ullah Muhammad, Fahed Youssef, Farah Roslan, Farhat Amir, Farid Froghi, Filippo Di Franco, Francesco Abbadessa, Francesco DiMaggio, Ganga Gurung, Gemma Faulkner, George Choa, George Kerans, George N. Davis, Georgios Galanopoulos, Georgios Karagiannidis, Gerard McCabe, Ghazaleh Mohammadi-Zaniani, Ghulam Nawaz, Gijs Van Boxel, Giles Bond-Smith, Gillian M. Tierney, Girivasan Muthukumarasamy, Grace Grey, Grace Wong, Guy Finch, Hamad Khan, Hannah Bourne, Hannah Javanmard-Emamghissi, Hannah Murray, Hannah Rottenburg, Hannah Wright, Hany Khalil, Harry V. M. Spiers, Hazem Bashiti, Hiba Shanti, Husam Ebied, Hwei Jene Ng, Hytham K. S. Hamid, Hyun Kim, Iain Wilson, Ilayaraja Rajendran, Ioannis Gerogiannis, Ishaan Patel, Islam El-Abbassy, Isobel Burridge, Jade Caldwll, Jamaall Jackman, James Clark, James Duncan, James Milburn, James O'Kelly, James Olivier, James Rink, James Royle, Jason Rai, Javed Latif, Jawad Ahmad, Jed Maliyil, Jenna Carr, Jennifer Coles, Jennifer McGarry, Jeyakumar Apollos, Jie Lim, Joanna Gray, Joel Thomas, John Bennett, John Findlay, John Spearman, John Young, Jonathan N. Lund, Joseph Meilak, Joshua Alfred, Joshua Welsh, Juen Hao Chan, Julia Martin, Kamlesh Patel, Kar Yeung Kenneth Ko, Karl Isand, Kasra Razi, Kasturi Sarathy, Katarzyna Powezka, Kate Foster, Katerina Peleki, Katharine Bevan, Katherine Fox, Katie Edwards, Katy Larsen, Kayleigh Spellar, Ke En Oh, Keh Kong, Keiran Brown, Keith J. Roberts, Keith Seymour, Kevin Beatson, Kevin Etherson, Kevin Willis, Kulbir Mann, Kulsoom Nizami, Kunal Rajput, Lauren Lavery, Lauren Sawdon, Lawrence Nip, Layal Al-Hamed, Leah Fagan, Leo Watton, Alexander Les Saint-Grant, Liam Convie, Louis-Pierre Girard, Lucy Huppler, Luke Marsh, Luke Seretny, Lydia Newton, Mahfooz Buksh, Mahmoud Sallam, Malayil Mathew, Manju Nadh Prasanth, Manu Nayar, Manuk Wijeyaratne, Marianne Hollyman, Marina Ransome, Mariuca Popa, Mark Galea, Mark Taylor, Martha Gismondi, Martin Michel, Martin Wadley, Marwa Al-Azzawi, Mary Claxton, Matta Kuzman, Matthew Bonomaully, Matthew Newman, Mayank Bhandari, Michael Courtney, Michael Jones, Michael Rarity, Michael Wilson, Mohamed Ebraheem, Mohamed Elnaghi, Mohamed Saleem Noor Mohamed, Mohammed Al-Hijaji, Mohammed Al-Rashedy, Mohammed Kaif Qayum, Mohammed Zourob, Mohannad Gaber, Milind Rao, Muhammad Ariful Islam, Muhammad Umair Rashid, Muneeb Zafar, Mushal Naqvi, Mustafa Nabeel Ahmad, Muwaffaq Telfah, Nabeel Merali, Nabih Hanbali, Nadia Gulnaz, Nagappan Kumar, Najam Husain, Natarajan Angamuthu, Navanith Murali, Naveed Kirmani, Nazrin Assaf, Neel Doshi, Nehal Sureshkumar Shah, Nersheranjeet Basra, Neville Menezes, Nick Dai, Nicolaas Schuijtvlot, Nisheeth Kansal, Nnaemeka Chidumije, Nuha Yassin, Olaitan Babalola, Olamide Oyende, Olatoyosi Williams, Olga Pawlik, Olivia O'Connor, Omar Abdel Jalil, Ondrej Ryska, Osborne Vaz, Panchali Sarmah, Panduka Jayawardena, Panna Patel, Patrick Hart, Paul Cromwell, Paul Manby, Paul Marriott, Paul Needham, Paula Ghaneh, Pawan Kumar Dhruva Rao, Peter Eves, Peter O. Coe, Peter May-Miller, Peter Szatmary, Philip Ireland, Pooja Seta, Prabhu Ravi, Pradeep Janardhanan, Pradeep Patil, Pritesh Mistry, Priya Heer, Puja Patel, Quentin Nunes, Quratul Ain, Rachael Clifford, Rachel Brindle, Rachel Xue Ning Lee, Rachel Qian Hui Lim, Rafid Rahman, Rahul Mohan Kumar, Raimundas Lunevicius, Rajarshi Mukherjee, Rajiv Lahiri, Rami Behmida, Ramprasad Rajebhosale, Raphael Levy, Raunag Chhabra, Raymond Oliphant, Rebecca Freeman, Rebecca M. Jones, Rema Elkalbash, Rhiannon Brignall, Richard Bell, Richard Byrom, Richard W Laing, Rikhilroy Patel, Robert Buhain, Robert Clark, Robert Sutton, Roberto Presa, Roger Lawther, Roshni Patel, Roxanna Zakeri, Ruchir Mashar, Rui Wei, Ryan Baron, Sadia Tasleem, Safwan Shafeegue Kadambot, Saima Azam, Saj Wajed, Sakhawat Ali, Samantha Body, Samerah Saeed, Samik Bandyopadhyay, Samy Mohamed, Sanjay Pandanaboyana, Sapna Hassasing, Sarah Dyer, Sarah Small, Sarangan Seeralakandapalan, Sathyaseelan Arumugam, Saurav Chakravartty, Seok Ling Ong, Setthasorn Zhi Yang Ooi, Shahani Nazir, Shahbaz Zafar, Shahram Shirazi, Shameena Bharucha, Majid, Shehzad Ahmed, Shenbaga Shaukat Kumar Rajamanickam, Sherif Albalkiny, Sherwin Ng, Shihab Chowdhury, Shuker Yahia, Siddhartha Handa, Simon Fallis, Simon Fisher, Simon Jones, Simon Phillips, Smrthi Mitra, Somaiah Aroori, Sonam Thanki, Sophie Rozwadowski, Sophie Tucker, Soraya Conroy, Sowrav Barman, Srushti Bhat, Stephen McCallion, Stephen R. Knight, Stergios Tezas, Stijn van Laarhoven, Stuart Cowie, Sudhi Rao, Sujeewa Sellahewa, Sumbal Bhatti, Sumesh Kaistha, Susan J. Moug, Susannah Argyropoulos, Suvi Virupaksha, Tabitha Difford, Tamanna Shikh-Bahaei, Tamer Saafan, Tammy Lo, Tania Magro, Tanzeela Gala, Tarek Katbeh, Tejinderjit Athwal, Terence Lo, Tessa Fraser, Theophilus Anyomih, Thomas J. G. Chase, Thomas Walker, Thomas Ward, Tom K. Gallagher, Tom Richardson, Tom Wiggins, Uzair Ali, Varun Patnam, Venkatesh Kanakala, Victoria Beynon, Victoria E. Hudson, Victoria Morrison-Jones, Vijay Korwar, Virginia Massella, Vishal Parekh, Vivian Ng, Wei Hann Toh, Wei Toh, William Hawkins, William Cambridge, William Harrison, Yan Yu Tan, Yasser Abdul Aal, Yogeshkumar Malam, Zaher Toumi, Ziad Al Khaddar, Zoe Bleything.

Funding

The authors have no funding to declare.

Acknowledgements

With thanks to University College London (UCL) for use of REDCap, and support from the Association of Upper Gastrointestinal Surgeons (AUGIS), the Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland (ASGBI), the Pancreatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland (PSGBI), and the GUTS UK charity.

The study was designed by Angeliki Kosti, Aditya Borakati, Hannah Javanmard-Emamghissi, Blazej Rybinski, Farid Froghi, Richard W. Laing, Peter O. Coe, Susan J. Moug, Gillian M. Tierney, Paul Marriott, Jonathan N. Lund, Keith J. Roberts, Brian R. Davidson, Andrew M. Smith and Marianne Hollyman.

REDCap was run by Aditya Borakati and data analysis was performed by Stephen R. Knight. Write up was performed by Angeliki Kosti, Hannah Javanmard-Emamghissi, Stephen R. Knight, Blazej Rybinski, Susan J. Moug, Gillian M. Tierney, Paul Marriott and Marianne Hollyman.

Disclosure

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at BJS Open online.

Data availability

Data sharing requests will be considered by the management group upon written request to the corresponding author.

References

- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Pancreatitis (NICE Guideline NG104). 2018. www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng104
- Working Party of the British Society of Gastroenterology, Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland, Pancreatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, Association of Upper GI Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland. UK guidelines for the management of acute pancreatitis. Gut 2005;54(Suppl 3):iii1–iii9
- Banks PA, Bollen TL, Dervenis C, Gooszen HG, Johnson CD, Sarr MG et al. Classification of acute pancreatitis—2012: revision of the Atlanta classification and definitions by international consensus. Gut 2013;62:102–111
- Sarri G, Guo Y, Iheanacho I, Puelles J. Moderately severe and severe acute pancreatitis: a systematic review of the outcomes in the USA and European Union-5. BMJ Open Gastroenterol 2019; 6:e000248
- Rashid MU, Hussain I, Jehanzeb S, Ullah W, Ali S, Jain AG et al. Pancreatic necrosis: complications and changing trend of treatment. World J Gastrointest Surg 2019;11:198–217
- Tenner S, Baillie J, DeWitt J, Vege SS. American College of Gastroenterology guideline: management of acute pancreatitis. Am J Gastroenterol 2013;108:1400–1415
- Crockett SD, Wani S, Gardner TB, Falck-Ytter Y, Barkun AN, Crockett S et al. American Gastroenterological Association Institute guideline on initial management of acute pancreatitis. Gastroenterology 2018;154:1096–1101
- Working Group IAP/APA Acute Pancreatitis Guidelines. IAP/APA evidence-based guidelines for the management of acute pancreatitis. *Pancreatology* 2013;13(Suppl 2):e1–e15

- Toh SKC, Phillips S, Johnson CD. A prospective audit against national standards of the presentation and management of acute pancreatitis in the South of England. Gut 2000;46:239–243
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Bull World Health Organ 2007;85:867–872
- Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377–381
- Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. J Chronic Dis 1987;40:373–383
- Royal College of Physicians. National Early Warning Score (NEWS)
 Standardising the Assessment of Acute-Illness Severity in the NHS. Updated Report of a Working Party 2017. 2021
- Rompianesi G, Hann A, Komolafe O, Pereira SP, Davidson BR, Gurusamy KS. Serum amylase and lipase and urinary trypsinogen and amylase for diagnosis of acute pancreatitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017;4:CD012010
- Lippi G, Valentino M, Cervellin G. Laboratory diagnosis of acute pancreatitis: in search of the Holy Grail. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci 2012; 49:18–31
- Forsmark C, Baillie J. AGA Institute technical review on acute pancreatitis. Gastroenterology 2007;132:2022–2044
- 17. Lee JK, Enns R. Review of idiopathic pancreatitis. World J Gastroenterol 2007;**13**:6296–6313
- Ding N, Sun Y-H, Wen L-M, Wang J-H, Yang J-H, Cheng K et al. Assessment of prophylactic antibiotics administration for acute pancreatitis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Chin Med J (Engl) 2020;133:212–220
- de Waele JJ, Vogelaers D, Hoste E, Blot S, Colardyn F. Emergence of antibiotic resistance in infected pancreatic necrosis. Arch Surg 2004;139:1371–1375
- Ukai T, Shikata S, Inoue M, Noguchi Y, Igarashi H, Isaji S et al. Early prophylactic antibiotics administration for acute necrotizing pancreatitis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Hepato-Biliary-Pancreat Sci 2015;22:316–321

- Spanier BWM, Nio Y, van der Hulst RWM, Tuynman HARE, Dijkgraaf MGW, Bruno MJ. Practice and yield of early CT scan in acute pancreatitis: a Dutch observational multicenter study. Pancreatology 2010;10:222–228
- O'Reilly DA, McPherson SJ, Sinclair MT, Smith N. 'Treat the Cause': the NCEPOD report on acute pancreatitis. Br J Hosp Med (Lond) 2017;78:6–7
- Premkumar R, Phillips ARJ, Petrov MS, Windsor JA. The clinical relevance of obesity in acute pancreatitis: targeted systematic reviews. *Pancreatology* 2015;15:25–33
- Dobszai D, Mátrai P, Gyöngyi Z, Csupor D, Bajor J, Eross B et al. Body-mass index correlates with severity and mortality in acute pancreatitis: a meta-analysis. World J Gastroenterol 2019; 25:729–743
- Mutneja HR, Vohra I, Go A, Bhurwal A, Katiyar V, Tejeda EP et al. Temporal trends and mortality of post-ERCP pancreatitis in the United States: a nationwide analysis. Endoscopy 2021;53: 357–366
- McAlister VC, Davenport E, Renouf E. Cholecystectomy deferral in patients with endoscopic sphincterotomy. *Cochrane Database* Syst Rev 2007;4:CD006233
- 27. Schepers NJ, Hallensleben NDL, Besselink MG, Anten MGF, Bollen TL, da Costa DW et al. Urgent endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography with sphincterotomy versus conservative treatment in predicted severe acute gallstone pancreatitis (APEC): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2020;**396**:167–176
- 28. Goong HJ, Moon JH, Lee YN, Choi HJ, Choi SY, Choi MH et al. The role of endoscopic biliary drainage without sphincterotomy in gallstone patients with cholangitis and suspected common bile duct stones not detected by cholangiogram or intraductal ultrasonography. Gut Liver 2017;11:434–439
- Schulz C, Schirra J, Mayerle J. Indications for endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography and cholecystectomy in biliary pancreatitis. Br J Surg 2020;107:11–13
- Köksal AŞ, Eminler AT, Parlak E. Biliary endoscopic sphincterotomy: techniques and complications. World J Clin Cases 2018;6:1073–1086
- Lee PJW, Bhatt A, Holmes J, Podugu A, Lopez R, Walsh M et al. Decreased severity in recurrent versus initial episodes of acute pancreatitis. Pancreas 2015;44:896–900