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ABSTRACT

Metallurgy is the art and science of working metals, separating them from other substances and removing impurities. This paper is concerned with the Black metallurgists on whose art and science the intensive industries; military bases; and maritime networks of British enslaver colonialism in eighteenth-century Jamaica depended. To engage with these metallurgists on their own terms, the paper brings together oral histories and material culture with archives, newspapers, and published works. By focusing on the practices and priorities of Jamaica’s Black metallurgists, the significance and reach of their work begins to be uncovered. Between 1783 and 1784 financier turned ironmaster, Henry Cort, patented a process of rendering scrap metal into valuable bar iron. For this ‘discovery’, economic and industrial histories have lauded him as one of the revolutionary makers of the modern world. This paper shows how the myth of Henry Cort must be revised with the practices and purposes of Black metallurgists in Jamaica, who developed one of the most important innovations of the industrial revolution for their own reasons.

Introduction

We saw a great workshop with many furnaces where they made anchors, caskets, and every sort of marine equipment. There were so many [B]lack workers at their forge that you would think them cyclopes in Vulcan’s cave. Finally we saw their innumerable big and fine caskets in four large buildings also missiles, shields, breastplates, mortars, small cannons, bows, lances, and everything well made and plentiful. I say nothing of other equipment which is dispersed on ships on every sea. Nuremberg’s stores are nothing in comparison. How much lead, copper, saltpetre, and sulphur!

Hieronymus Münzer [1494-5]

When the Feldkirch physician and trader, Hieronymus Münzer, visited Lisbon in late November 1494, he saw for himself that some of the most advanced ironworking technology in Europe depended on the skill of Black metallurgists. The king of Portugal had the best of everything, and that meant Black artisans in the royal ironworks. This paper is concerned with a group of 76 Black metallurgists who
ran another enslaver’s foundry, established nearly three centuries later just west of Morant Bay, Jamaica. There is a significant and rapidly growing literature on the contributions of artisans and healers of African descent to innovations historically credited to white practitioners and institutions in Northern Europe and North America. This paper identifies the Black metallurgists in Jamaica as the authors of one of the most significant innovations of the British industrial revolution, but this identification is only possible because the paper engages with the practices and purposes of those Black metallurgists on their own terms. Over two decades ago, David Edgerton called for historians of technology to shift their attention from innovation to use. Among the many important implications of this shift, he highlighted the potential to change whose history gets promoted – to move beyond the clamour of patents and priority claims that serve hegemonic groups; and, perhaps, in doing so, to identify truly innovative ideas and applications based on use. Between 1783 and 1784, British financier turned ironmaster, Henry Cort, patented a process of rendering scrap metal into valuable bar iron that has been celebrated as one of the most important innovations in the making of the modern world. Here, the concern is the 76 Black metallurgists in Jamaica, who developed the process for which Cort took credit.

To understand what these metallurgists were doing, it is necessary to engage with some of the many different material, technical and conceptual resources they drew upon. By 1780, 842,724 documented individuals had been trafficked from Africa to Jamaica alone. Of those, one in six were transported via the Bight of Benin; more than one in five via the Bight of Biafra; and almost one in three via the Gold Coast. The names denote the principal regions targeted by British enslavers, but not the complexity and reach of the systems that brought people to these coasts or the skills and knowledge they carried with them. In focusing on these regions, British enslavers targeted some of the most significant iron working cultures in world history. The first section of the paper introduces some of the history and significance of pre-1800 iron working in these regions. Section two documents the political and economic structure of iron working in eighteenth-century Jamaica, both in the regions under British colonial rule and slavery, and in the autonomous regions under Maroon sovereignty. These first two sections provide necessary context and resources to understand section three, which sets out the activities of the 76 Black metallurgists who ran a white enslaver’s foundry just west of Morant Bay, Jamaica. Sections four and five then, respectively, consider how Henry Cort came to learn about the Black metallurgists’ activities in Jamaica while based in the Solent region of England’s Channel coast, and how he was able to present their innovation as his own.

Black Jamaicans came from many different places and experiences. As Vincent Brown has so compellingly shown in his scholarship on the coordinated political resistance of enslaved people in Jamaica, their powerful political communions were hard won through negotiation across many different languages, practices and conceptual frameworks. This paper argues that the innovation for which Cort took credit was one such communion, forged by the 76 Black metallurgists making connections across their many different expressions of heritage and experience. Responding to but never determined by their conditions, these Black metallurgists
developed one of the most important innovations of the industrial revolution for their own purposes.9

**The principal African iron working heritages among Black Jamaicans pre-1800**

By the time Münzer visited the royal ironworks in Lisbon in 1494, Europeans were already bringing large quantities of copper manillas, cowries and cloth to African coasts, seeking to imitate pre-existing currency forms and trade for African captives.10 While these currencies could be exchanged as they were, African artisans often transformed or incorporated them into artworks and tools that articulated changing experiences and identities – not least the rise of powerful states alongside and entangled with European enslavement and traffic of African people. The Benin bronzes are a famous example. From at least the sixteenth century onwards, Edo craftsmen in the Oba’s palace melted African copper currencies together with their poor-quality European imitations,11 to cast depictions that included reference to the new European presence and influence among the scenes of the kingdom’s history.12 Other bronzes featured stylised cowrie shells. In these ways craftsmen used both material and form to express changing experience.13

The incorporation of copper and cowries has been identified with a range of artistic, economic, and ritual uses. Less recognised, however, is the place of iron in these articulations. The Benin bronzes are perhaps the most famous example of the artistic expression of the cross-cultural encounter of the Atlantic trade, but the most powerful of these forms were not only made of prestige materials like copper and cowries. In the busts of Benin royalty, the pupils of the eyes are inlaid with iron to connect living royalty to departed ancestors, to deify their person and embody in them the power to protect or to destroy (Figure 1).14 The ritual altar rings of the Benin palace have iron in their core.15 It is significant that the material of base purposes, from agricultural tools to shackles and chains, was also the material of highest ritual; just as it is significant that the industrial-scale expansion of these base uses was accompanied by the cautious application of small quantities of iron to expressions of transcendental power. Across West and Central Africa, iron materials often had the potential to embody both principles of the sacred and of the profane, while the skill of the metallurgist was to separate the two.16 For many such groups, iron was not a material of prestige in the same way as cowries or even as copper. Iron was a material of power.17

Reaching deep into the iron-rich Togo Hills of Ghana’s Northern Volta Region are some of the most extensive pre-colonial iron mines in Africa. The Mawu, Lolobi and Santrokofoi who occupy these hills are linguistically and culturally distinct from the Akan and other surrounding groups. Yet in common with other ironworking societies in southern Ghana, and the major iron industry centres of neighbouring Bassar, Togo, they hold differing expressions of the concept that to manage iron is to separate the sacred from the defiled.18 These, or closely related groups, once mined the hills from settlements distributed across the Volta region. However, from the mid-seventeenth century they began to leave for the safety of the mines. The growing lowland states continued to provide a market for their intensive iron industries, but the expansionary wars that accompanied this demand had entered a new phase. Prior to this point, gold had been the eponymous Gold Coast’s principal export, and the frequent conflicts between its polities had been short-lived.19 But this changed in the second half of the
seventeenth century with a succession of major conflicts between different states corresponding with the Gold Coast, the Bight of Benin and the Bight of Biafra. Europeans and above all the British fuelled these wars, distributing weapons to all sides so they could profit from the turmoil.\textsuperscript{20} As enslaved people overtook gold as the principal export, settlements became targets for raiding parties seeking captives to sell. Of the specialised ironworking societies of the Volta region, the Mawu, Lolobi and Santrokofi people who left for the hills between 1650 and 1750 were those who got away.\textsuperscript{21}

Northern Igboland is considered the cradle of ironworking in Nigeria, and one of the regions where the concept of ironworking knowledge as the skill to purify the sacred from polluting influences was most strongly developed.\textsuperscript{22} It is also among the areas that were most intensively raided for Europe’s human trade. An estimated 75 percent of the people transported from the Bight of Biafra to the Americas between 1640 and 1800 were taken from Igboland, with the majority from this northern region most renowned for its ironworking skill.\textsuperscript{23} Against this onslaught, communities developed diverse strategies of

\textbf{Figure 1.} Thought to represent Queen Mother Idia, the eyes of this bust are inlaid with iron. Brass commemorative head, Edo, Benin City, early sixteenth century. British Museum No. Af1897,1011.1. Courtesy of the Trustees of the British Museum (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0).
resistance. As with the people of the Volta region of Ghana, moving on was one tactic. Still others found ways to stay – forming vigilante militias; planting poison to trap and destroy raiding parties; and deploying medicine associated with the work of smiths to prevent incursions.

From villages and industrial works to royal palaces, the European appetite for African people was understood as a sickness. The priest-king of Nri laid down a powerful curse upon the raiders; while the Oba’s court, decorated with the cast copper wealth of the Euro-African trade and under the iron gaze of former kings and queens, recalled an ancient prediction that the Benin kings would die at the hands of a European.

When Europe’s transatlantic human trade hit the Gold Coast relatively late, the reaction was no less marked. African traders responded by making bars of iron ‘the standard coin’ against which all European trade was valued. This market shift ensured significant regulatory systems. With iron bars the standard, European enslavers found themselves ‘inforced [sic] to make use of their [African] Smiths, to cut [the bars] to proportion’. The use of iron called for an African metallurgist to manage the pathology of this trade.

The West and West-Central African regions most targeted by Britain are notable for their linguistic diversity. Yet across the many Bantoid languages of West-Central Africa, including the Kongo River catchment; Cameroon; and extending into Nigeria, use of the proto-Bantu stem word *-túd-* ‘to forge’ and its derivative *-túdi-* ‘smith’ are almost ubiquitous. In Iboland, West Africa, smiths and traders were also linguists mastering multiple languages in reflection of the long distances they travelled. Further west, it has been suggested that the Akan language served as a means of communication among associations of itinerant traders of diverse ethnic origins, contributing to the consolidation of Akan economic and political power in this period. As the human trade dominated the markets of the Gold Coast and the Bights of Benin and Biafra, the words that dominated negotiations in shared languages; the words that captures heard in snatches of intelligible conversation; and the words they could use to begin to forge political communions across diverse heritages were often words of iron and its working.

In 1657, the British East India Company began to ship extraordinary quantities of iron to Fort Cormanyn on the Gold Coast. A survey of substantial slag deposits suggests that specialised iron-producing centres on the coast, such as Nsadwer Bosomtwi, stopped smelting ore around this time. Instead, these coastal metallurgists began to transform the European iron, separating out the sacred and the profane to make blades of ritual and war alongside the shackles of the human trade. The Royal African Company took over Britain’s African trade from the East India Company in 1662. By 1708, they were shipping 52,900 iron bars to the Gold Coast in a single year. Writing from Southampton in 1711, the French enslaver, Jean Barbot, updated his 1688 account of the African trade for the British market, warning of the discernment of Gold Coast traders who followed the expert judgment of their smiths to accept only the best quality iron. His revision suggested this connoisseurship was a new development, but the East India and Royal African Company records show otherwise. With its poor-quality domestic iron production, Britain had relied on imported Swedish iron to meet these metallurgists’ high standards since at least the 1650s, while frequent letters from Company factors show they could only sell the best quality branded iron without
flaws. With other trade goods, counterfeit was a regular part of Company profits, even involving the sale of bales of scrap iron concealed under a coating of brass. But with iron, the fine judgment of African smiths kept the Company at the mercy of continental markets. On 7 January 1724, the Company shipped 20,269 bars to Whydah and Sierra Leone, but just three months later struggled to find even 1,800 bars of the requisite quality for their Cape Coast Castle, paying a significant premium to be able to complete the modest order.

West and Central African traders could afford to be discerning: their own iron was simply better. The same influx of European iron that saw Gold Coast iron industries focus on smithing prompted a massive expansion in all stages of production further inland. From the highlands of the Northern Volta Region of Ghana and Togo, to Igboland and Western Cameroon, monumental furnace complexes produced and exported iron of superior quality to Europe. While many existing sites increased production, many more new sites were established as smiths proliferated and travelled, adapting modes of expression in response to new experiences as they did their technology and skills. The famous eighteenth-century abolitionist, Olaudah Equiano, came from one such smithing settlement in Igboland. Just as European accounts admired the sixteenth-century bronzes of the Oba’s palace that expressed the cross-cultural encounter in melted copper, they wondered at the ritual swords of the Gold Coast – forged in iron from different continents. By the 1730s, the presence of iron in the British Gold Coast trading forts was much reduced, but for Akan people, the significance of the metal was every bit as salient.

The Asante rose to power on the Gold Coast towards the end of the seventeenth century, when the iron standard of the human trade dominated. For this fiscal military state, swords (afena singular; mfena plural) were masterpieces of the ironworker’s art and among the most important ritual expressions. To hold Bosomuru, the sacred sword of Osei Tutu, taken from Onoo Adu Gyamfi and consecrated after his defeat, was to rule the Asante. But Osei Tutu’s successor, Opoku Ware I, instituted a new sword that took even greater significance under his reign. Oral history relates that when Opoku Ware I was born in 1700, ‘his right hand stood upright shaking all the time’ until the mythological priest advisor, Komfo Anokye, ‘ordered that a sword should be forged for the baby, and he showed them with what assembly of materials that sword should be made’. Forged at the height of the iron standard for the human trade, the ‘assembly of materials’ in Opoku’s sword spoke to the presence and significance of European iron just as the Benin bronzes spoke to the lives spent in European copper. The sword was named Mpensɔnɔnɔnɔ, meaning ‘responsibility’. Marking Opoku’s destiny, oral history narrates that only ‘when [Mpensɔnɔnɔ] was forged and put in the tight hand of the baby his hand ceased to shake’. Opoku Ware’s sword and its ability to articulate meaning played a crucial role in establishing his legitimacy after Osei Tutu’s death in 1717. The strongest of Asante state oaths (ntamkese) derive their power from recalling past events of such pain and dread that they must not be spoken aloud. To bring grief to presence without putting it into words, Komfo Anokye made Opoku address Bosomuru and Mpensɔnɔnɔ, invoking the status of the iron sword’s material embodiment and bringing to presence the heterogeneous political and material relations articulated in the legend of its forging. From Opoku Ware’s enstoolment in 1720, Mpensɔnɔnɔ was used for the most powerful oaths of allegiance, where vassals pledged their lives for the king of kings (the asantehene), and
the asantehene pledged his life for the kingdom. The detail of a miniature sword next to Mpohponson’s hilt instantiated the fractal relation of Opoku’s oath with respect to the oaths of allegiance taken by vassals, but it was the iron of the blade that connected Mpohponson to the polity. Speaking in avoidances and allusions recalled the unutterable pain of Osei Tutu’s death in enemy ambush, but as the asantehene stood for his people, so the grief of losing him also stood for the grief of their losses generally. Minor oaths (ntam) are drunk rather than sworn. Europeans reported that these drinking oaths were used in the human trade to bind captives and to seal their exchange for iron bars with European enslavers, a testament to how European commodification distorted complex pre-existing forms of obligation and peonage. In 1719, several Asante villages were enslaved by rival forces in the region. When Opoku spoke his great oath just months later, Mpohponson’s blade embodied and brought to presence the unspeakable pain of all the lives pledged on the iron.

The political and economic structure of iron working in eighteenth-century Jamaica

When the newly appointed commander-in-chief of the fleet, Sir Chaloner Ogle, wrote from Port Royal, Jamaica, in May 1733, railing against ‘all Iron Works done by the People of this Island running the Government to vast Expense’, he referred to the contract system that underpinned much of the infrastructure of plantation production in the West Indies. In this system a master workman would undertake a commission and then sub-contract the work to ‘his own people’: Black artificers both free and enslaved; and to Black artificers whose skilled working time he bought from another enslaver. At the time Ogle wrote, the British were in open war with the Maroons – Black fugitives from enslavement who had forged free autonomous communities in the interior of the island. But in the uneasy peace that followed the Maroons’ famous victory in 1739, Black metallurgists were also recruited from Maroon towns.

It was Jamaica’s Leeward Maroons, under the leadership of Kojo (Cudjoe), who first forced the British to treaty in 1739. Just a few years later, a white observer reported on Kojo’s Leeward Maroons that

[they forge their Own Iron Work, making Knives, Cutlasses, Heads of Lances, Bracelets ~ Rings & variety of other kind of necessaries; they have Bellows, made of Wood about six feet high & 16 inches thro’ which they make hollow and an hole at the Bottom thro’ which the Air Passes to the Fire, having for that purpose two [Maroons] who (with two more) are always working them up & down the hollow Wood by which means they have manufactured fire.]

Taking the shackles, chains and agricultural implements of the enslavement system, Maroon metallurgists remanufactured them into ritual objects with the most powerful practical purpose. The knives and cutlasses that the observer reported were afana. The Maroon word for a machete that is both the most mundane tool and mediator of the most dangerous sacred rites, afana directly recalls the Akan word for sword, ‘afena’.

At the point they forced the British to treaty, the Leeward Maroons were themselves on the brink of starvation. Under these desperate conditions, Kojo accepted terms to act on behalf of the British against external invaders, against Maroons who had not yet come to terms and against fugitives from enslavement, and so defined the terms that other
Maroon groups could negotiate. They were co-opted as enforcers of enslavement and enacted in their polity the warring principles of the sacred and the profane materially embodied in the iron of their weapons.

After the peace treaties of 1739 and 1740, British colonisers increasingly sought to infiltrate the autonomy of Jamaican Maroons and encroach onto Maroon land, expanding their extractive reach. While sugar became Britain’s most valuable import, Jamaica became the most valuable of its American sugar islands; and with this expansion there came a hunger for iron. The two were bound together. Ferrous metal was not just the currency of the human trade, and the shackles and chains that held people in bondage, but was also the agricultural implements and machinery of plantation production, from hoes and cutlasses to the great grooved iron rollers that crushed the sugar cane. Above all, the sugar cane. The intensity of sugar production consumed more iron and more Black skill than any other plantation crop. While coffee or indigo were grown with fewer than a dozen hands, the cultivation of sugar saw hundreds bound to a single estate, more cutlasses, more chains, more crushing iron rollers. The skill of Jamaica’s Black metallurgists in the ‘formal reshaping and functional repurposing’ of imported iron products has been identified as among the most important material cultures of colonial plantations. But this retooling cannot be understood without attention to metallurgy’s many meanings and purposes in the Caribbean context. In Jamaica, the material science of metallurgy was also a political science. Transforming iron was a powerful force within Jamaican Maroons’ political organization; and from when Taíno, African and Afro-Caribbean fugitives from enslavement first forged Maroon communities, this culture of transformative remanufacture was always also in interaction with geopolitical forces.

By the earlier eighteenth century, iron was of such inflated value in the West Indies that it was usual practice for aging merchantman vessels to be broken up and sold on their arrival. The surplus made on the sale of ferrous scrap was so substantial it could buy and fit out new ships of equal build. British vessels were in essence mobile stores of this surplus-making metal. Through the period of the Atlantic trade, the famously ‘wooden walls’ of maritime Britain were increasingly held together with iron. Knees – the angular brackets supporting the framework of a ship – were wrought for new builds, and retrofitted in the maintenance of existing vessels. Iron hoops stayed the casks, and great iron nails, well over a foot long, held in place the copper sheathing ubiquitous among vessels of all European nations engaged in the human traffic. Artillery pieces were made almost exclusively of cast iron, while blocks of slag and voyage iron served as both ballast and resource. Since 1720, vessels in breach of British customs and excise regulations on distilled sugar were liable to be seized, broken up and sold. In 1779, amid a critical escalation of tensions with American and European powers, this regulation was extended to all vessels seized by the customs and excise and not wanted for service. The word ‘remanufacture’ originated in the eighteenth century in reference to the remnants of a plantation product: used tea leaves, tinged, rolled and dried for re-sale. By the early 1800s, the term had come to specify the recycling of scrap iron sold by dealers in marine stores.

The scale of remanufacture meant the massive imports of Baltic iron went beyond even the re-exported bars and manufactured articles catalogued in the business papers of iron traders. Import metrics miss the ballast, hoops, nails, and
knees that were the material of making surplus in an economy based on remanufacture. Nor can the organisation and distribution of the remanufacture economy be understood through listing commercial and government foundries and forges. To understand the patterns of iron production and use in eighteenth century Jamaica, an island economy and infrastructure focused on sugar, it is first necessary to understand the framework of colonial legislation in which they developed.

In 1719, the British government ruled that,

none of the plantations should manufacture iron wares of any kinds, out of any sows, pigs, or bars whatsoever, under certain penalties. . . [and that] no forge, going by water, or other work whatsoever, should be erected in any of the plantations for making sows, pigs, or cast-iron into rod or bar-iron. 77

Though only a kind of crude cast iron called 'pig iron', plantation iron was made with timber and crop residues and, according to the Lieutenant Governor of Virginia, 'mixed at the forge with the English [metal]' using the skill of enslaved Black artificers it could be transformed into material 'as tough and good as the Sweedish [sic] iron'. 78 But the threat that a plantation iron industry, built on Black skills and knowledge, 79 might outcompete Britain in manufactured wares and bar iron was too great. In 1750 Britain's protectionist parliament reacted by passing an act banning 'the erection of any mill or other engine for slitting or rolling of iron; or any plateing [sic] forge to work with a hammer: or any furnace for making steel in any of [his Majesty's colonies in America]', subsequently reinforced by restrictions on the movement of artisans and export of machinery. Known as the 'Iron Act', this colonial legislation is generally only considered in relation to the history of the United States. Yet it contributed to the legislative framework of the Americas more generally. The same colonial legislation that made and protected great iron foundries and mills in Britain suppressed their development in the Americas and interacted with the demands of sugar production and the dependence on Black skill to shape a very different organisation and distribution in Jamaica.

Even after Ogle significantly expanded the works at Port Royal and Lynch's Island, 80 they continued to be operated by contract, depending on the skill of sub-contracted Black artificers. 81 Meanwhile, estates included works for their own maintenance, to be leased or to take on contracts from other estates. In the 1760s, Richard Taylor advertised his services, including 'anything . . . in the Foundery way', from the estate of John Gardiner in Jamaica 82; while in the 1780s George Cameron, previously of St Elizabeth's, advertised from Deane's Valley Estate, Westmoreland. 83 John Stewart, in Savanna-la-Mar, proposed 'to engage with any Gentleman to visit estates, or pens, regularly once a month and always', and further to offer free farrier-work for 'at those who favor him with their . . . Foundery Business'. 84 On the death of enslaver William Tait, his house and land in Jamaica were sold together with 'an extensive business in the Blacksmith and Coppersmith line, and 35 [Black] blacksmiths, coppersmiths and domestics'. 85 Newspaper notices and the Journals of the House of Assembly give a glimpse of the commercial smiths and foundries that operated throughout the island to take the old-iron infrastructure of dockyards and plantations – ballast, boilers, hoops, chains, and knees – and render them again into workable material. 86 Even iron-guns were purchased as 'old-iron', to be 'melted down and cast into some kind of metallic ware at an air furnace'. 87
These same traces of use expose the colonial dependence on the skill of Black metallurgists, such as Scotland and Fortune, enslaved to John Graat’s forge in the Parish of St James, and Cornwall, to a works in Portland. Survey of metal-working advertisements in accessible newspapers for established businesses or sale of old concerns reveals a very significant number of cases of those following a shop-based model on a scale permitted by British colonial policy. But this evidence represents only a small fraction of the range of smiths and foundries at work. The notice of Smith & Logan’s foundry in Alley, Vere, took over the ‘Foundry business’ formerly run by Thomas Cockburn ‘in all their branches’. Yet there is no advertisement for Cockburn’s works in the earlier accessible newspapers or notice of its closing and sale. Meanwhile, the contract system Ogle faced in 1733 still dominated production five decades later when the newly appointed Lieutenant Governor of Jamaica, Archibald Campbell, sought to reorganise the way the military works were paid for and run, denouncing their expense and poor management.

Just as for Ogle; for the British-colonised Caribbean; and for sugar production generally, iron produced under the contract system depended on the skill of Black metallurgists, free, enslaved and Maroon. To measure Jamaican iron production in terms of monolithic works and foundries, imports of pigs or exports of manufactured articles is to miss the system of remanufacture and to misunderstand the meaning of these transformations. Just as smiths in West and Central Africa transformed European iron to take on new properties and purposes, Black metallurgists in Jamaica saw in old iron hardware the potential to make new materials and novel applications. It is these patterns of use, which help reveal the full implications of the rise and fall of ‘Reeder’s Pen’, a lucrative foundry established just west of Morant Bay in 1772.

The Black metallurgists’ innovation

John Reeder arrived in Jamaica circa 1770, in the company of a ‘West India Proprietor’ named ‘Bailey’, likely William Baillie, a major St. Thomas enslaver. ‘Not long after his arrival’, the House of Assembly sought to erect a works on the island that would supply boilers and rollers for the manufacture of sugar and so sever the dependency on imported British apparatus enforced through British colonial policy. Bailey identified Reeder as young, monied and active, and recommended him for the job. Reeder initially declined, pointing out that he was ‘quite ignorant of such a business’ and lacked the necessary capital. In response, the Assembly ‘proposed to advance him £3,000 currency on Bond’ thereby assuring their support for this contravention of colonial policy. Reeder began to erect a foundry. The works included three buildings, respectively, of 66 ft by 33 ft; 63 ft by 47 ft; and 66 ft by 38 ft with reinforced walls ‘14 inches thick’ and ‘best hard timber’; ‘a large crane strongly bound with iron’; ‘Four forges containing about 3000 bricks each and two ditto containing about 20,000’; a water wheel; at least two reverberatory furnaces (a furnace where the metal being heated is not in direct contact with the fuel, also called air furnaces); and rolling mills, for which supplying water was the significant preoccupation of the lease. At the same time, Reeder sent to England to engage 60 white artificers for the instruction of Black metallurgists in using this specialist machinery, but rapidly found their services unnecessary. Within a few years, the Black metallurgists were ‘sufficiently acquainted with the business for Reeder to dismiss all the
white men but two & a perfect foundry was established where not only sugar utensils were made but cannon manufactured'.

In 1774, as the British government sought to use restrictive tariffs to secure its dominance over American colonies, the Assembly formalised its subversion of the Iron Act and awarded the £3,000 bond. Two years later, with North American colonies in revolt against British colonial rule and an unofficial source of imported utensils jeopardised, Reeder began to extract ore for smelting. As already mentioned, in the critical escalation of this and other geopolitical tensions, all vessels seized by the customs and excise and not wanted for service were broken up and sold for remanufacture. By 1781, amid this sea of scrap and plantation iron, the skill of Black metallurgists in running the multiple reverberatory furnaces of Reeder’s Pen was producing ‘bar iron’, and turning a clear profit of £4,000 a year, equivalent to a relative annual income of £7.4 million in 2020 sterling.

Writing long after the completion of the ‘Great Foundry [sic]’ and with its success not only proven but having exceeded all expectations, Reeder recalled three principal objections to establishing such a monolithic foundry in Jamaica: the ‘want of coals’; the ‘difference of labour’; and the ‘want of iron’. In each, he found an advantage.

Coals may be imported from Wales & Newcastle at a lower price than they are sold at in London. The advantages the manufacturer has here which he has not in England are the low price of wood and Charcoal with Loam on the spot …

The difference of labour … is obviated by my being possessed of [Black metallurgists] sufficient, many of which are perfect in every branch of the Iron Manufactory.

While, in answer to the objection that Jamaica lacked the iron for a manufactory, Reeder wrote:

more than three thousand tons are in Jamaica useless to its owners, but was there not a pound, pig iron may be obtained from the ore on easier terms than it can be in England from the abundance of wood being near to the ore which is very rich.

Reeder distinguished between ‘the ore’ he extracted and smelted into that kind of pig known as plantation iron; and the ‘three thousand tons’ of scrap metal, which the ‘perfect’ skill of Black metallurgists in Jamaica had remanufactured into ‘barr [sic] iron’ for his profit.

In 1782, with the island under martial law, Reeder’s foundry was dismantled by order of the emergency lieutenant governor, General Archibald Campbell. A year later, in 1783, Reeder claimed that ‘the engines and implements’ together with ‘the necessary artificers’ had cost him ‘thirty thousand pounds’. In 1788 the Assembly’s representative in Britain, Stephen Fuller, recommended Reeder value ‘the Expences of the Works’ at £15,000 st[erlin]g only; deducting the first cost and the occasional work of 30 white artificers together with 76 [Black metallurgists] (which he estimates at £7,000 st[erlin]g) as being principally employed in carrying on the operations of the Foundry, equivalent to a labour cost of £11.2 million in 2020. Reeder only followed Fuller’s advice in part. In a petition to the House of Commons supported by Fuller, he deducted the first cost and occasional work to bring thirty thousand down to ‘twenty two thousand pounds sterling’ for the completion of the iron foundry, but refused to forgo the cost of assembling his huge workforce of skilled Black metallurgists that would have further
reduced the value of the foundry to Fuller’s suggested 15,000. This gives a sense of the sheer scale of African and Afro-Caribbean skill on which Reeder’s foundry depended. Here are just some of those Black metallurgists’ names before baptism: Devonshire; Mingo; Mingo’s son; Friday; Captain Jack; Matt; George; Jemmy; Jackson; Will; Bob; Guy; Kofi (Cuffee); and Kwasi (Quashie).

Reeder himself observed that the 76 Black metallurgists who ran the foundry had their own expressions of value that spoke to experiences remembered and new identities being forged. West and Central African currencies embodied many different forms of value, from tokens of exchange and practical uses to ritual and artistic expression. Alongside the cowries and copper and iron bars, these societies often used currencies in the form of bundles of manufactured iron goods. Bride wealth presentations might take the form of bundles of identical iron hoes in a promise of industry and bounty, or of identical delicate iron sculptures in praise of the bride’s beauty. But when exchanges forged an alliance in the face of impending war and marked that there would be struggle ahead, West and Central African societies often made bundles of iron blades (Figure 2). All these forms might be used as they were – for agriculture, for prestige, and for war – but they could also be reforged, even hammered together to take on new value.

Iron and sugar mills have been understood as serving different technical functions. The crushing action of the sugar mill’s grooved rollers separated the cane into two parts: the juice and the husk. By contrast the smooth rollers of the traditional European metal mill flattened and made uniform the iron sheet passed through them. But in the Atlantic world of the African diaspora these European classificatory conventions were challenged, and sugar and iron shared much overlapping conceptual space. Just as

Figure 2. Bundles of iron rods/currency blades, Fang, Bene. Museum Purchase, Huntington Frothingham Wolcott Fund, 1920. Courtesy of the Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology, Harvard University, 20-29-50/B2167.
Gold Coast metallurgists separated bars of European iron into polluting shackles and sacred blades, Black Jamaicans bound to sugar plantations fed bundles of cane into grooved rollers that separated the juice of enslaver values from the husks Black insurgents lit when they burned enslaver infrastructure to the ground.\textsuperscript{123} When West and Central African societies tied iron blades in currency bundles to forge alliances between divergent groups, they marked the impending struggle. So too in Jamaica: tying sugar cane in bundles forged a common experience between differing African heritages and marked an injustice to be repaid with just war. The Black metallurgists who ran John Reeder’s foundry saw its old European technology in the light of their present experiences and living histories. They were not bound by European classificatory conventions and their practices and purposes were their own. They tied scrap iron in bundles like sugar cane, heated the bundles in the reverberatory furnace, and then fed them through grooved rollers like those found in a sugar mill. In doing so, they transformed scrap metal into valuable bar iron.

**The Black metallurgists’ actions, through which Henry Cort learned of the innovation**

Except for Kwasi, the names of the Black metallurgists who ran Reeder’s foundry come from the records of two group baptism events that took place on 2 July and 31 December 1780, respectively. Devonshire took on the name William Baillie; Mingo, Malcolm Laing; Mingo’s son, Nathaniel Reeder; Friday, John Reeder; Captain Jack, John Reeder; Matt, Samuel James; George, Thomas Champneys Gooding; Jemmy, Henry Gallimore; Jackson, Robert Jackson\textsuperscript{124}; Will, Charles Spencer; Bob, David Bryce; Guy, John Reeder; and Kofi, Mark Sinclair.\textsuperscript{125} The description of these events indicates that these individuals were enslaved and suggests that the two group baptisms cannot be considered a matter of their free choice.\textsuperscript{126} By contrast, Kwasi was baptised alone on Christmas day, the only christening to take place in St. Thomas in the East that day and was described not as ‘property’ or ‘belonging to’, but as a Windward Maroon from Nanny Town who took the name John Reeder.\textsuperscript{127} All three baptism events took place the same year the foundry was described as having attained perfection; and no other equivalent events associated with the white John Reeder were recorded before or after.

Kenneth Bilby’s work has shown how a repertoire of ritual songs record Windward Maroon sacred histories through the performance of the traditional ceremony of Kromanti Play. The ritual name, Kromanti, recalls ‘Coromanteses’: once a British designation for captives of diverse heritages traded via Fort Cormantyn on the Gold Coast, but subsequently reclaimed by Black Jamaicans forging powerful political communions that fought back against British enslavement.\textsuperscript{128} Central to Kromanti Play is an instrument known as the *adawo* or ‘iron’, which usually consists of the blade of a machete (*afana*) and a small piece of scrap metal. As Bilby explains, almost any metal object can be adapted and used, so long as it resonates sufficiently. Working the weapon and the scrap together, the instrument takes on a paralinguistic capability: in skilled hands, iron will ‘speak’. In the living history of Kromanti Play, white men set an African smith to making handcuffs and shackles to trap the Maroons. But when the Maroons came, the African smith took up the iron that was meant to shackle them and instead used it to ‘speak’
a warning in a shared African language.\textsuperscript{129} Within this science,\textsuperscript{130} playing the iron transformed shackles and chains into a warning to preserve Maroon freedom.

The songs of Kromanti Play are particular to Kwasi’s Nanny Town Maroons, but they record how iron was made to speak for a broader purpose: to forge political communions. Oathing played a central role in Gold Coast politics – from the minor oaths (\textit{ntam}) taken through a drink to the major oaths (\textit{ntamkesee}) sworn by speaking in allusions with a sacred sword (\textit{afena}) to express pain at events that cannot be put into words. These oaths increase over time as new histories and new swords are added.\textsuperscript{131} Such that scholars of the Asante have argued that \textit{ntamkesee} must be understood as a ‘fasces or a bundle of oaths’,\textsuperscript{132} recalling the bundles of blades through which a fighting-alliance might be forged. When Bilby undertook a sacred oath transforming him ‘from a categorical enemy to a conditional friend’, the ordeal instantiated the complex ways in which Kwasi’s Nanny Town Maroons adapted the material and social relations of \textit{ntamkesee} and \textit{ntam} and articulated them with new experiences in the diaspora. The ordeal culminated in a blade being placed inside Bilby’s mouth, ‘sharp side in’ while sweet fluid made of sugar and water was poured down his throat.\textsuperscript{133} This single act combined the minor oaths that are made by drinking and the major oaths that are sworn to an iron blade in pain and dread that must not be put into words; the iron bundles forged into blades and the cane bundles crushed into sweet juice; the sacred and the profane. Here, African heritages were reinterpreted to take on new significance and applications. Recognising this is crucial to understanding how Henry Cort learned of John Reeder’s foundry: through a revolution led by a freedom-fighter who carried a powerful protection that the British called ‘Obi’.

The first published account of the insurgents was a proclamation by the governor of Jamaica in early August 1780 warning that ‘above 40 men, and about 18 women [fugitives]’ have formed a settlement in the recesses of Four-mile Wood in St David’s’. The British identified the revolutionaries as ‘chiefly Congos’ and under the leadership of a man called ‘Bristol, alias three-fingered Jack’. The revolutionary leader known as Three-Finger Jack may well have come from Congo, but the British enslavers marked him out as such to alienate him from the political communions of Black Jamaicans where Akan cultural referents often dominated.\textsuperscript{134} Citing the terms of the treaties, the governor denounced the insurgents and called for the Maroons to be ‘sent out against them’.\textsuperscript{135}

Over the subsequent months, newspapers from Jamaica to Ireland reported tales of Three-Finger Jack,\textsuperscript{136} and with every new report of Jack’s resistance, the reputation of the powerful protection that the British called ‘Obi’ grew among Black and white Jamaicans alike.\textsuperscript{137} The threat posed by Jack and his science so concerned the Assembly that in early December 1780 they announced a reward of one hundred pounds with a further five pounds for each man belonging to his group.\textsuperscript{138} On 23 December 1780, it was announced an enslaved man christened John Fouracres had been killed attempting Jack’s capture.\textsuperscript{139} In response, the Assembly increased the bounty, offering two hundred pounds for the revolutionary leader.\textsuperscript{140} Even against this onslaught, Jack’s science protected him and his reputation grew. Until on 27 January 1781, following a desperate struggle, Jack was killed by a Windward Maroon named John Reeder.\textsuperscript{141}

As already noted, Kwasi was a Maroon employed by the white enslaver, John Reeder, for his metallurgical expertise. Though Kwasi did not know his exact age, local papers reported him saying he was ‘a stout boy at the first peace with the
Maroons in the year 1739’, placing him around the age of 45 when he recorded ‘Nanny Town’ in the Parish register.\textsuperscript{142} Government records usurped the names of Maroon towns with colonial monikers,\textsuperscript{143} but Kwasi asserted the Maroon name even as he gave up his own to take on the name of the foundry’s owner. Other Maroons found favour with the colonial authorities by taking an anglicized Christian name and surname, such as Edward Crawford, leader of the eponymous Crawford Town from around 1746.\textsuperscript{144} But it is Kwasi’s reasons that are so significant here.

Benjamin Moseley, a white physician who knew the individuals involved and witnessed the party return having killed Jack, is considered to have written the only accurate contemporary account of Jack as part of his 1799 \textit{Treatise on Sugar}.\textsuperscript{145} In it, he described how the sick would apply to an ‘Obi’ man or woman whose skill it was to apprehend spiritual agents for a cure. To do this, the Obi-man or -woman would treat the body of the afflicted person in a process Moseley called ‘rough magnetising’, where an iron bar is struck to take on magnetic influence.\textsuperscript{146} In the medicine of West-Central African \textit{minkisi} (power figures, plural), iron shards were driven into the wooden body of the \textit{nkisi} (power figure, singular) to resolve social transgressions in the material itself.\textsuperscript{147} Moseley described an inversion of this process in the Jamaican context, whereby the ‘Obi-man or woman ‘brings out an old rusty nail . . . from the [afflicted] part; and the patient is well the next day’. According to Moseley, Jack’s protection was such a science. Through material practices he was able to apprehend spiritual agents and ally their power and agency to his purposes. It was prophesised that only ‘\textit{white} Obi’ could defeat him.

Kwasi chose to be baptised not only to protect himself from Jack’s ‘Obi’, as the British put it, but to use ‘\textit{white} Obi’ against him.\textsuperscript{148} The death of the enslaved man John Fouracres had shown that a western name was not in itself sufficient to protect from Jack’s science. The power of Reeder’s name for Kwasi was not its whiteness or Christian ritual, but in its metallurgy. The foundry that was run by the Black metallurgists and through their skill had the power to transform properties and relations, also carried Reeder’s name. Nor did Kwasi get baptised on any day. Christmas in Jamaica was a time for carnival of the ‘spirit-regarding’ kind,\textsuperscript{149} a time for the reversal of power relations where the spirit of Jon Conny, an Akan war lord who had once held significant power on the Gold Coast, would ride the science-man in leading the dance.\textsuperscript{150} As the enslaver Edward Long had reported just six years before:\textsuperscript{151}

In the towns, during the Christmas holidays . . . several tall robust fellows dressed up in grotesque habits, and a pair of ox-horns on their heads sprouting from the top of a horrid sort of vizor or mask, which about the mouth is rendered very terrific with large boar tusks. The masquerade carrying a wooden sword in his hand, is followed with a numerous crowd of drunken women, who refresh him frequently with a sup of aniseed water, whilst he dances at every door, bellowing out John Conn/ with great vehemence, so that, with the liquor and the exercise, most of them are thrown into dangerous fevers.\textsuperscript{152}

In Jamaica, objects named ‘John’ or variants of the sound, such as \textit{jun-}; and \textit{jan-}, belong to the spirit of Jon Conny.\textsuperscript{153} When Kwasi took John Reeder’s name on Jon Conny’s day and led the procession in the hunt for Jack, he took possession of the foundry’s spiritual power. Moseley saw Kwasi carry the trophies of his victory over Jack to Kingston, ‘a vast concourse’ following in his wake. Within days the news was all over the island.\textsuperscript{154}
Two months later, master of the ship Abby, John Cort, arrived in Kingston.\textsuperscript{155} He had been there when the Assembly announced the reward for Three-Finger Jack in August 1780,\textsuperscript{156} and now traded news of British scandals for the dramatic story of Jack’s death just as he exchanged the shoes, fine clothes, mahogany furniture, curled hair and candles of Lancaster for the sugar, coffee, rum, cotton, and \textit{lignum vitae} of Jamaica.\textsuperscript{157} Later that same year he was returning from Jamaica in convoy,\textsuperscript{158} when the Abby was separated and taken off course. ‘[L]eaky, sickly and short of provisions’, they abandoned their intended destination of Lancaster. By dint of ‘incessant pumping’, with just ‘three days bread’ to spare, they weighed in at Portsmouth,\textsuperscript{159} where John Cort’s ‘cousin’,\textsuperscript{160} Henry, ran a struggling ironworks.

When John Cort arrived in Portsmouth, he found his cousin an imminent bankrupt, deep in debt, surrounded by heaps of Admiralty scrap iron and no way to work it without incurring further losses.\textsuperscript{161} As Henry Cort watched his investments sink in the heaps of rusted scrap, he heard the latest news from Jamaica: the dramatic death of Jack at the hands of a baptised Maroon, christened John Reeder after a foundry where a team of 76 Black metallurgists had developed an ingenious way to turn scrap metal into valuable bar iron. He learned of John Reeder’s foundry, turning a clear profit of £4,000 a year,\textsuperscript{162} equivalent to a relative annual income of £7.4 million in 2020 sterling.\textsuperscript{163}

\textbf{Henry Cort’s patents, Britain’s enslaver capitalism, and John Reeder’s foundry}

As a young man, Henry Cort inherited a ‘private fortune’ from his father who was ‘extensively involved in trade at Lancaster’.\textsuperscript{164} Britain’s fourth largest port for the human trade, the enslavement system has been described as Lancaster’s ‘staple’ and ‘life-blood’.\textsuperscript{165} Cort and his family members were embedded in this system. His older cousin and patron, Jane Cort of Lancaster, accumulated property and capital investing in the human trade and enslavement. Her brother and his son were Lancaster-based West Indies merchants, together with their cousin shipmaster of the Abby, John Cort.\textsuperscript{166}

Family money and connections made through Britain’s enslaver capitalism bought young Henry Cort a lucrative job in finance age 16; a partnership age 21; and his own firm by the age of just 24. After twenty years banking for high-powered officers, including the King of England’s brother and several other admirals,\textsuperscript{167} he took over the ironworks of one of his naval officer clients in 1775. Located on the Solent region of England’s Channel coast, the works were a substantial operation supplying ironmongery to Portsmouth, one of the six Royal Navy dockyards; and closely connected to Southampton, where some decades earlier Jean Barbot had updated his account of the African trade for the British market’s focus on iron.\textsuperscript{168} But five years later, as John Reeder’s foundry in Jamaica reached perfection, Cort was struggling to break even. In 1780, he was approached by one of the Commissioners of the Navy Victualling Board, James Kirke, to take on a new contract making mast hoops for the Admiralty. Cort noted that he only had water-powered forge hammers but would be prepared to erect the rolling and slitting mills necessary for making mast hoops ‘if he were assured of proper encouragement’. The contract was agreed on 1 October 1780, and, to build the required mills, Cort borrowed substantial sums from another powerfully connected Navy pay agent, Adam Jellicoe.\textsuperscript{169}
Cort had imagined a lucrative monopoly, either converting new iron into smooth sheets and slitting those sheets into the strips that the shipyard would bend into hoops; or otherwise trading new strips already rolled and slit in other works. But over the months that followed it became apparent that the realities of the contract were not what he had hoped. Far from making a quick profit, he had committed to receive the Admiralty’s scrap and exchange it for new. By January 1781, Cort found himself surrounded by ‘extraordinarily large quantities of old iron hoops’ and ‘not an opportunity of working them in any ordinary way without incurring a great loss’. Reaching this impasse alone had already lost him nearly £10,000, equivalent to a relative cost of £1.3 million in 2020. Now heavily in debt to Adam Jellicoe, Cort agreed to take Jellicoe’s son, Samuel, into partnership as security.\(^{170}\)

Later, Cort’s son Richard would claim that his father had no idea the money he was borrowing came from public funds and not from Adam Jellicoe’s own accounts.\(^{171}\) But protestations of ignorance surrounded the early nineteenth century enquiry into the embezzlement,\(^{172}\) and Cort knew Jellicoe’s business better than he knew the work of an iron foundry – it had been his own for almost two decades.\(^{173}\) In October 1780, Cort had needed assurance before taking on the Admiralty contract.\(^{174}\) In November 1781, the news of a Jamaican foundry that turned scrap metal into valuable bar iron appears to have assured him of another way to change his fortune, because after his cousin’s visit Cort did what he knew best, and borrowed more money.

Between 3 March and 3 May 1782, the British government imposed martial law on Jamaica.\(^{175}\) In this period, Lieutenant Governor Archibald Campbell ordered John Reeder’s foundry to be destroyed out of concern it might be ‘employed towards the reduction of the island by a powerful enemy at that time daily expected’.\(^{176}\) While the agent for Jamaica referred to the threat presented by ‘the united powers of France, Spain & Holland’,\(^{177}\) Campbell himself identified a further even more substantial threat, internal to the island. According to his survey, if armed men took up positions in the mountains, as Jack had and the Maroons maintained, they would become ‘formidable’. Black skill had made the foundry, and now the threat of Black retribution saw Campbell order it broken up by ‘Black pioneers’.\(^{178}\) Reeder’s reverberatory furnaces were ‘demolished’; his ‘works levelled with the ground’; his machinery for making ‘barr [sic] iron’ rendered ‘totally useless’\(^{179}\); and anything ‘that might be of use to the Enemy … carried on board his Majesty’s ships’\(^{180}\), absorbed into a maritime infrastructure that transported unused Naval stores and equipment, from Jamaica to the Naval base in Portsmouth,\(^{181}\) where Cort operated.

Before he entered the iron trade, many of Cort’s high-powered clients held posts in the Caribbean, such as William Burnaby, commander-in-chief of the West India squadron and Jamaica station 1763–6.\(^{182}\) An agent and attorney with Cort’s contacts in Jamaica was valuable to an officer with a West Indies posting. These connections would help ensure they received a good rate and their portion of the money from any prizes – the equipment, vehicles, vessels and cargo captured during armed conflict.\(^{183}\) John Cort himself shipped prize cargoes of goods captured off Jamaica to England.\(^{184}\) In 1783, Henry Cort would claim that the innovation for which he took credit was the product of ‘great study, labour, and expence [sic], in trying a variety of experiments and making many discoveries’.\(^{185}\) Yet no account has been found of what the alleged study, labour or experiments might have involved.\(^{186}\) When questioned, years on, as to the costs involved he ‘seems not to have known’.\(^{187}\) But Cort’s financial records for 1782 document that, after his cousin’s visit in
November 1781, Henry borrowed a total of £27,000 from Jellicoe.\textsuperscript{188} This was an outlay comparable to the great Jamaican works which John Reeder valued at £30,000 in total and £22,000 without the first cost and occasional work.\textsuperscript{189} John Reeder’s foundry was dismantled and loaded onto ships between 3 March and 3 May 1782, and it was not until 14 December 1782, with the £27,000 laid out, that Cort was able to declare to ‘brother projector’ and steam engineer James Watt of Boulton & Watt that he had ‘found out some grand secret in the making of Iron’.\textsuperscript{190}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeline of events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 1780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 1780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 1780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 1781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 1781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov/Dec 1781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 1782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 1782</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 7 January 1783, Cort applied for a patent to cover what he had ‘found out’. The patent specified different techniques of ‘bundling’ scrap iron; bringing the bundles to ‘welding heat’ in ‘a common air furnace, usually denominated a balling furnace’; and then forging them under the hammer or fining them through rollers. For commentators then and since, the striking innovation of the 1783 patent specification was its focus on scrap iron, and where Cort followed careful bundling and heating with feeding the bundles through ‘grooved rollers’. Under the grooved rollers ‘iron decayed or eaten by rust ... will produce exceedingly good iron without any other process’; while ‘Pig and sow metal, commonly called cast iron, and old cast iron ... is perfectly refined’. In this way,

> the worst ordinary iron ... being passed through the simple operation [sic], becomes instantly of a good quality ... pieces of impure iron heated in the same manner, and passed through the rollers together, become at once welded into one solid body, and meiorated into good tough iron.'\textsuperscript{191}

According to a contemporary of Cort’s and fellow iron master, ‘[a]ttempts had been made to roll round iron in hollowed turned in rollers, but never to roll bar iron in
grooved roll, which is very different’. 192 Yet here were rollers grooved like those in the sugar mills, fed with old mast hoops cut and folded like bundles of sugar cane. Cort had ‘found out’ how to transform bundles of scrap into valuable bar iron. But John Reeder’s now dismantled works had achieved this mechanical alchemy years before, with scrap iron, reverberatory furnace and rolling mills, 193 and the skill of the 76 Black metallurgists, including Devonshire; Mingo; Mingo’s son; Friday; Captain Jack; Matt; George; Jemmy; Jackson 194; Will; Bob; Guy; Kofi 195; and Kwasi. 196

In February the following year, Cort elaborated on the use of the air furnace described in the earlier, 1783 specification with a first Scottish patent and a second English patent. 197 The kind of indirect heat produced by the air furnace and its effect on the bundles had been important in his first patent, where the bundles were specified as heated to ‘welding heat’ (i.e. a white heat where distinct pieces will cohere). But in this second English patent he went into greater detail, describing how the metallurgist would heat the metal until molten and then stir the liquid metal through an aperture in the bottom of the furnace door. By stirring, the metallurgist would shift a surface crust of iron and expose impurities that would gradually burn off with the heat. As the impurities burnt off, the melting point of the iron would rise so that it solidified, ready to be scooped into lumps of the desired size and drawn out the furnace door. 198

That this process was widely known is even indicated in Cort’s first, 1783 patent, where he explained that ‘a common air furnace’ was ‘usually denominated a balling furnace’ – ‘balling’ describing the transformation of the metal. 199 What Cort and subsequent commentators claimed to be innovative was the specific combination of processes brought together in bundling scrap metal and crude pig iron; heating it in an air furnace that burned raw pit coal; and then passing it through grooved rollers to produce valuable bar iron. 200 Yet the Black metallurgists of John Reeder’s foundry had at least two reverberatory furnaces 201 (what Cort principally referred to as an air furnace) that they heated by burning raw pit coal. 202 They had a rolling mill 203; and they had grooved rollers. 204 And by applying their ‘perfect’ metallurgy to this combination of technology, they had been transforming scrap metal and crude pig iron into valuable bar iron before Cort even entered the iron business. 205 In his second, 1784 patent Cort claimed priority and the exclusive right to ‘make, use, exercise and vend my said Invention’ not only within England, Wales and England’s northern border, but ‘also in all the Colonies and Plantations abroad’. 206 The patent was a colonial monopoly. The culture of remanufacture in Jamaica was not only the source of the idea and application he took but the assurance that the monopoly would be lucrative. 207

In 1784, as Cort’s second English patent was enrolled, politician and vocal supporter of enslavement, John Baker Holroyd, declared ‘our knowledge of the Iron trade seems hitherto to have been in its infancy’. In direct reference to the loss of the American war and newly founded United States of America, he described the so-called ‘Cort process’ as being ‘more advantageous to Britain than Thirteen Colonies’. 208 Through Cort’s patent, former colonies were to become markets for British manufactures and America’s revolution to inaugurate a new paradigm for British extraction. 209 Cort made the Holroyd quotation the first testimonial of his promotional campaign material. 210

In late August 1789, Cort’s financial partner Adam Jellicoe died suddenly, and the partnership was discovered to have been founded on £39,676 of embezzled Navy funds. 211 The Navy Board immediately set about seizing the property and goods of the
banker-cum-ironmaster, including his patents.\textsuperscript{212} By 26 September, Cort had filed for bankruptcy. Friends helped him pay debts and secure a small pension, and in their efforts launched the myth of the heroic inventor.\textsuperscript{213} Two years after Cort died in May 1800, his children acquired land in Berbice under the name ‘Kort & Company’.\textsuperscript{214} From at least 1803, Cort’s son William was established as an enslaver there, with William’s older brother Henry and the younger Frederick joining him by 1805.\textsuperscript{215}

\section*{Conclusion}

EuroAmerican accounts have often described the combination of processes for which Cort took credit as one of the most important innovations in the making of the modern world. But the theft of this combination from Black metallurgists in Jamaica who developed it was not the central concern of this paper. The principal ambition of this paper has been to engage with the practices and purposes of some of those Black metallurgists on their own terms.

The first section introduced some of the most significant ironworking societies in world history\textsuperscript{216}: regions corresponding with the Gold Coast and the Bights of Benin and Biafra. European traders brought huge quantities of Baltic bar iron to meet changing regional trade-good preferences.\textsuperscript{217} But rather than displace domestic production, this massive influx of European iron prompted a massive expansion in the production of superior quality iron from the highlands of the Northern Volta Region of Ghana and Togo, to Igboland and Western Cameroon. Meanwhile smithing lineages proliferated and travelled, remanufacturing European iron into new forms and to take on new properties. In the concepts and practices of these regions and the ideas they exchanged, iron had often embodied both principles of the sacred and of the profane. Against the onslaught of Europe’s human trade, working iron was a means of expression to forge fighting alliances, heal sickness and express grief.

Black Jamaicans articulated these living histories with their experiences in the diaspora, and in doing so made new forms of meaning. The second section introduced some of the conditions of those articulations. The intensive industries; military bases; and maritime networks of British enslaver colonialism in Jamaica relied on the skill of Black metallurgists to remanufacture European ballast and scrap. Yet the same skill that maintained this system also empowered its overthrow, as Maroons transformed chains and shackles into weapons of resistance, forcing the British to treaty in 1739–40.

After the peace treaties, sugar production on the island was massively expanded and with it the demand for iron. The third section introduced some of the 76 Black metallurgists who ran a foundry named after the white enslaver, John Reeder, and whose perfect skill transformed scrap metal and crude ore into best bar iron and spectacular profits. This section described how individuals such as Devonshire; Mingo; Mingo’s son; Friday; Captain Jack; Matt; George; Jemmy; Jackson; Will; Bob; Guy; Kofi; and Kwasi drew on their living histories and diaspora experiences to forge new expressions of identity. It introduced the significance of bundling iron blades, an approach that was often used to forge fighting alliances between West and Central African societies; how sugar cane in Jamaica was bundled like this iron; and iron in Jamaica was bundled and rolled like this sugar cane. In 2019, Lydia Gibson described how Accompong Town Leeward Maroons today grow sugar cane around sacred sites where spiritual and human
Two hundred and forty years before, iron and sugar also shared this conceptual space to embody principles of both the sacred and the profane.

The fourth section showed how preparations carried out by Kwasi to defeat a freedom fighter known as Three-Finger Jack were expressions of this power. One of the 76 Black metallurgists of John Reeder’s foundry, Kwasi was a Windward Maroon from Nanny Town, a community whose living histories spoke to Akan heritages, while Jack was the leader of 58 fugitives from enslavement who the British identified as from the Kongo region of Central Africa. In the material expressions of power and agency that led to Jack’s death at Kwasi’s hand, performing iron played a crucial role. Much important work has been done on the famous colonial pantomime that Jack’s story became and how this pantomime was reclaimed in subsequent decades, not least by Black actor and playwright Ira Aldridge in 1830, and by Jamaica’s Little Theatre Movement in the 1980s.219 Here, Kwasi’s baptism and the vital living histories Bilby has documented make it possible to inform interpretation of the significance of the events leading up to Jack’s death on Kwasi’s terms220; events that made the name of the foundry, ‘John Reeder’, the talk of the island.

When bad weather separated the Jamaica convoy and took ship’s master John Cort off course to Portsmouth where his cousin Henry Cort ran a failing ironworks, he brought the talk of the island with him. Historians have often sought to understand Henry Cort in a lineage of British ironmasters. Section five places him in his proper context: the financial networks of British enslaver capitalism. In doing so it becomes possible to understand the significance of the destruction of John Reeder’s foundry. Too great a threat to British colonial rule and to its industrial monopoly, the foundry was razed to the ground; the Black metallurgists’ innovative combination of processes patented in Britain under Cort’s name.

Kwasi received a government pension from the British and became Captain of the Charles Town Maroons. He lived well into his eighties, respected and renowned, and when he died in Hannah’s Town in 1816, it was reported in newspapers across Jamaica, England and Scotland.221 This international celebrity was not just for Kwasi but also because of Jack. Moseley, the white physician who saw the Maroons’ return, had included the events as part of his 1799 Treatise on Sugar. Within a year of the treatise’s publication, a pantomime debuted in London; and Philadelphia the following year. With abolition of the trade the popular hypocrisy of the day, British theatres made Jack into a Robin Hood, led astray by malign forces. Threatened by the Haitian revolution, American theatres made him a caricature villain. Each presented the enslaver as enlightened benefactor; and Kwasi as an enslaved Christian granted freedom.222 Performances became like Cort’s patents, false mirrors for imperial eyes to picture themselves as they built their institutional lies.

The Cunu Cunu Pass is a steep ravine-punctured trail through Windward Maroon territory, and along it is a spot called ‘Three Finger Woman Tumble’, named for the fugitive who escaped down the precipice with her baby on her back while her baby’s father died fighting a party of Windward Maroons. According to Windward Maroon oral history, the baby’s father was Three-Finger Jack, but the story of a Maroon woman and her baby who escaped a party of British soldiers in the same way and place has been joined with that of Jack to form living history like a bundle of oaths that speaks with iron what cannot otherwise be put into words. A plaque on the road between Kingston and St. Thomas memorializes
Jack, and how he died fighting to preserve his freedom and the freedom of others, but the site, Three Finger Woman Tumble, marks how she and his baby lived. Black metallurgists in eighteenth century Jamaica transformed iron for their own purposes, and Windward Maroon histories record the significance in their own ways.
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