



OPEN ACCESS

Differentiating glaucoma from chiasmal compression using optical coherence tomography: the macular naso-temporal ratio

Iris Kleerekooper,^{1,2,3} Siegfried K Wagner ,^{4,5} S Anand Trip,^{1,6} Gordon T Plant,⁷ Axel Petzold ,^{1,2,3} Pearse A Keane ,^{4,5} Anthony P Khawaja^{4,5}

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjo-2023-323529>).

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Siegfried K Wagner, NIHR Moorfields Biomedical Research Centre, London EC1V 2PD, UK; s.wagner@ucl.ac.uk

Received 6 March 2023

Accepted 6 June 2023

ABSTRACT

Background/aims The analysis of visual field loss patterns is clinically useful to guide differential diagnosis of visual pathway pathology. This study investigates whether a novel index of macular atrophy patterns can discriminate between chiasmal compression and glaucoma.

Methods A retrospective series of patients with preoperative chiasmal compression, primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) and healthy controls. Macular optical coherence tomography (OCT) images were analysed for the macular ganglion cell and inner plexiform layer (mGCIPL) thickness. The nasal hemi-macula was compared with the temporal hemi-macula to derive the macular naso-temporal ratio (mNTR). Differences between groups and diagnostic accuracy were explored with multivariable linear regression and the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC).

Results We included 111 individuals (31 with chiasmal compression, 30 with POAG and 50 healthy controls). Compared with healthy controls, the mNTR was significantly greater in POAG cases ($\beta=0.07$, 95% CI 0.03 to 0.11, $p=0.001$) and lower in chiasmal compression cases ($\beta=-0.12$, 95% CI -0.16 to -0.09 , $p<0.001$), even though overall mGCIPL thickness did not discriminate between these pathologies ($p=0.36$). The mNTR distinguished POAG from chiasmal compression with an AUC of 95.3% (95% CI 90% to 100%). The AUCs when comparing healthy controls to POAG and chiasmal compression were 79.0% (95% CI 68% to 90%) and 89.0% (95% CI 80% to 98%), respectively.

Conclusions The mNTR can distinguish between chiasmal compression and POAG with high discrimination. This ratio may provide utility over-and-above previously reported sectoral thinning metrics. Incorporation of mNTR into the output of OCT instruments may aid earlier diagnosis of chiasmal compression.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ Individuals with chiasmal compression frequently experience delayed diagnosis owing to non-specific visual disturbance at an early stage.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ This report suggests that an optical coherence tomography-based index, the macular naso-temporal ratio, can discriminate between individuals with primary open angle glaucoma and chiasmal compression.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

⇒ The macular nasotemporal ratio is a novel easy to implement metric, which can aid early detection of chiasmal compression.

likely convey important diagnostic clues, which can be obscured in overall retinal thickness measures.^{4,5}

Individuals with chiasmal compression develop direct retrograde degeneration of the decussating fibres in the anterior visual pathway, which causes predominant atrophy of the nasal macular ganglion cell and inner plexiform layer (mGCIPL),^{6–10} giving rise to the ‘half-moon’ sign.⁴ Clinically, this is associated with the typical perimetric finding of bitemporal hemianopia. In contrast, glaucoma is generally more likely to present with visual field defects in the nasal region,¹¹ often presenting as an altitudinal ‘nasal step’ and corresponding to atrophy of the temporal hemimacular field.¹² Structural changes in inner retinal thickness, as observed with OCT, can precede perimetric defects in chiasmal compression,^{2 13 14} depending on test settings³ and are associated with postsurgical visual recovery.^{15–19} General limitations of perimetry include the high variability of results,^{20–22} differences in approach of examiners, learning effects and test failure due to tiredness or reduced attention (reviewed in Petzold *et al*²¹).

The widespread availability of OCT in eye clinics and community optometric practices mean that macular thickness pattern analysis could potentially improve detection of chiasmal compression. In this study, a comprehensive measure of macular atrophy distribution was created by comparing the nasal and temporal mGCIPL thickness through the macular

INTRODUCTION

The most relevant predictor of good visual outcome in chiasmal compressive lesions is early surgery.¹ Visual symptoms in chiasmal compression can remain unnoticed by patients until the deficit is dense or affects central vision.² The risk for delayed diagnosis and poor outcome is recognised in clinical practice and is particularly relevant for glaucoma services monitoring the visual field.³ Similar to patterns of visual field defects, patterns of macular atrophy on optical coherence tomography (OCT)



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Kleerekooper I, Wagner SK, Trip SA, *et al*. *Br J Ophthalmol* Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/bjo-2023-323529

naso-temporal ratio (mNTR). The aim was to quantify the diagnostic value of the mNTR in distinguishing two common causes of optic nerve damage, chiasmal compression and glaucoma.

METHODS

Participants

Patients with preoperative chiasmal compressive lesions and primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) were identified retrospectively through a structured query language search of the electronic health record at Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust in London, United Kingdom. More concretely, for patients with chiasmal compression, the search extracted patients (1) with the term 'chiasmal', 'pituitary' or 'bitemporal hemianopia' in their letters and (2) cross-referenced with those who had a previous macular Heidelberg OCT scan. All clinical records and images were then manually validated by clinicians for cases with MRI-confirmed chiasmal compression and available preoperative macular OCT scans of sufficient quality. For POAG cases, the search extracted patients (1) with the term 'POAG' or 'glaucoma' in their clinical letters, (2) attending glaucoma clinics at MEH and (3) cross-referenced with those who had a previous macular Heidelberg OCT scan. Clinical records and images were then manually validated by clinicians in reverse date order (ie, most recent search results were validated first) for those who had a diagnosis of POAG made by a consultant ophthalmologist specialising in glaucoma, until 30 suitable cases were included. We excluded patients with any ocular or retinal disease other than POAG, or any central nervous system lesions (such as stroke or neoplasm) outside of the chiasmal region. All healthy control participants were examined and scanned by one coauthor (AP) and part of previously reported cohort.^{23 24}

Ethics

This study of retrospective routinely collected data was approved by the Moorfields Eye Hospital Institutional Review Board (Health Research Authority reference: 20/HRA/2158) and the analysis of control data by the Amsterdam University Medical Centre Institutional Review Board (reference: 2010/336). All control subjects gave written informed consent.

OCT scan acquisition

OCT scans carried out as part of routine clinical care were retrospectively identified for patients with POAG and chiasmal compression. Macular volume scans of both eyes obtained with Spectralis SD-OCT (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) that passed OSCAR-IB quality control criteria were included.²⁵

For healthy controls, the OCT measurements were performed prospectively with a Spectralis SD-OCT with the eye-tracking function enabled, using acquisition software V.6.7.13.0. Macular volume scans (1024 A-scans, 37 B-scans volume = 15 × 15°, automatic real-time function = 25) centred on the fovea with the high-resolution setting enabled were performed, with subsequent scans performed on follow-up mode.

Image analysis

All B-scans were auto-segmented using Heidelberg Eye Explorer (V.6.15.7.0), followed by manual correction where required. Presence of microcystic macular oedema (MMO) was determined visually as described.²⁶ The macular ganglion cell layer and inner plexiform layer were segmented. Compound mGCIPL thickness was calculated. Mean layer thicknesses were computed within a 4 × 4 square grid centred on the fovea. The thicknesses

in the inferior-nasal (IN), superior-nasal (SN), inferior-temporal (IT) and superior-temporal (ST) quadrants were combined to derive the 'global macular thickness', while the two nasal quadrants comprised the 'nasal hemi-macular thickness' and the two temporal quadrants comprised the 'temporal hemi-macular thickness'. The mNTR was created by dividing the nasal macular thickness by the temporal macular thickness. Atrophy occurring exclusively or to a greater extent nasally would be associated with a reduced mNTR, while atrophy occurring exclusively or to a greater extent temporally would be associated with an increased mNTR. This is analogous to the nasal temporal ratio, which already exists for the peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer in the 'neuro' protocol of the Heidelberg Spectralis. In addition, the NI/NS and the NI/TS ratios were calculated, as chiasmal compression is known to preferentially affect the NI quadrant first.²⁷

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using R and Rstudio (RStudio Team 2021, <http://www.rstudio.com/>). Continuous variables were described by means and SD, and categorical variables by counts and percentages. Distributions of continuous variables and dichotomous variables across diagnosis groups (POAG, chiasmal compression and healthy controls) were inspected visually and tested with the Kruskal-Wallis and Fisher exact tests, respectively. We corrected for multiple comparisons of the post hoc analysis (Dunn Test) by adjusting p values using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. To investigate whether the mNTRs differed between the two diagnostic and control groups, a multivariable linear regression analysis was performed adjusted for age, sex and overall mGCIPL thickness. Performance of the mNTR and the overall mGCIPL thickness in discriminating glaucoma and pituitary lesions were analysed by plotting receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and calculating the associated area under the curve (AUC) and associated 95% CIs using bootstrapping methods. AUC of different ROC curves was compared with the DeLong method. Optimal cut-off values were calculated using the Youden Index. To account for inter-eye correlations, the mean value of the two eyes for each subject was calculated and analysed.²⁸ A sensitivity analysis was performed on the eyes with the thinner and thicker mGCIPL overall thickness separately. Statistical significance threshold was set at $p < 0.050$.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics table

	Chiasmal compression	POAG	Control	Test P value
N	31	30	50	
Female sex, N (%)	15 (48%)	12 (40%)	14 (28%)	0.162*
Age, mean (SD)	49.5 (13.4)	62.6 (16.6)	42.4 (12.3)	<0.001†
mGCIPL thickness μm , mean (SD)	267 (48)	249 (50)	340 (28)	<0.001†
mNTR, mean (SD)	0.90 (0.09)	1.08 (0.07)	1.02 (0.03)	<0.001†
IN/SN ratio, mean (SD)	1.00 (0.06)	0.96 (0.07)	0.98 (0.04)	0.057†
IN/ST ratio, mean (SD)	0.93 (0.10)	1.03 (0.09)	1.01 (0.04)	<0.001†
*Results of the Fisher exact test.				
†Results of Kruskal-Wallis test.				
IN/SN, inferiornasal/superionasal; IN/ST, inferiornasal/superiotemporal; mNTR, macular naso-temporal ratio; POAG, primary open-angle glaucoma.				




Figure 1 Dot plots showing macular naso-temporal ratio (mNTR) and macular ganglion cell and inner plexiform layer (mGCIPL) thickness across groups in (A) and (B) respectively. P values represent results of post-hoc evaluation of intergroup differences with the Dunn-test, adjusted for multiple comparisons through the Benjamini-Hochberg method. Horizontal lines represent medians.

RESULTS

Participants

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the included cohort. A total of 31 subjects with preoperative chiasmal tumours, 30 patients with POAG and 50 healthy controls were included (online supplemental figure). The causes of chiasmal compression were pituitary macroadenoma (n=24), suprasellar meningioma (n=2), unspecified suprasellar mass (n=2), chiasmal glioma (n=2) and craniopharyngioma (n=1). Sex did not statistically differ between groups. All but one of the patients with chiasmal compression went on to have surgical resection of the compressing lesion, which occurred between 1 month and 4 years after the analysed OCT scan was acquired (median: 5 months). Age differed significantly between the three groups (all $p<0.050$). mGCIPL thickness was significantly lower in glaucoma and pituitary lesion compared with healthy controls (both $p<0.001$). However, there was no significant difference in overall mGCIPL thickness between subjects with POAG and chiasmal compression ($p=0.36$) (figure 1A and 1B). As far as these data were available (for 31 chiasmal compression cases and 17 POAG cases), visual acuity was similar for chiasmal compression cases compared with POAG, with a median of 6/6 for POAG and 6/9 for chiasmal compression. These data seem to suggest a relatively similar severity of disease in both groups.

mNTR across groups

The mNTR was significantly lower in chiasmal compression compared with POAG ($p<0.001$). Compared with healthy controls, the mNTR was significantly decreased for subjects with chiasmal compression and significantly increased in subjects with POAG (both $p<0.001$) (figure 1). The mNTR was not associated with age ($r=0.00$, $p=0.377$).

Multivariable linear regression

Multivariable linear regression adjusted for sex, age and overall mGCIPL thickness identified that the mNTR was significantly lower for subjects with chiasmal compression ($= -0.12$, 95% CI

-0.16 to -0.09 , $p<0.001$) and significantly higher for subjects with POAG ($=0.07$, 95% CI 0.03 to 0.11, $p=0.001$), compared with controls. The IN/SN ratio did not significantly differ across the groups, and the IN/ST ratio was significantly reduced in chiasmal compression ($= -0.08$, 95% CI -0.13 to -0.04 , $p<0.001$) but showed no associations with POAG (table 2).

Exploration of diagnostic accuracy

Given the significant results in multivariable linear regression analysis, the mNTR was taken forward for analysis with ROC curves (figure 2). The AUCs for the mNTR were 89.0% (95% CI 80 to 98%) and 79.0% (95% CI 68 to 90%) when comparing healthy controls with chiasmal compression and POAG, respectively, and 95.3% (95% CI 90% to 100%) for comparing POAG and chiasmal compression directly.

Table 2 Multivariable linear regression analyses

	mNTR		IN/SN ratio		IN/ST ratio	
	Mean both eyes		Mean both eyes		Mean both eyes	
	Est	P value	Est	P value	Est	P value
Controls	–	–	–	–	–	–
POAG	0.07	0.001	–0.02	0.185	0.02	0.476
Chiasmal compression	–0.12	<0.001	0.02	0.295	–0.08	<0.001
Age	–0.00	0.377	0.00	0.476	0.00	0.437
Sex	–0.01	0.986	–0.02	0.044	–0.03	0.104
Mean mGCIPL thickness μm	0.00	0.698	0.00	0.482	0.00	0.515

Multivariable linear regression analyses showing the distribution of inter-eye mean macular nasotemporal ratio (mNTR), the inferonasal/superonasal (IN/SN) ratio and inferonasal/superiotemporal (IN/ST) ratio across controls, glaucoma and chiasmal compression cases. The analysis is adjusted for age, sex and mean overall mGCIPL thickness. Significant results are shown in bold. mGCIPL, macular ganglion cell and inner plexiform layer; POAG, primary open-angle glaucoma.




Figure 2 Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. (A) shows the ROC curves visualising the diagnostic properties of the macular naso-temporal ratio (mNTR) (in blue) and overall macular ganglion cell and inner plexiform layer (mGCIPL) thickness (in red) when distinguishing eyes affected by chiasmal compression and primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG). (B) and (C) show the ROC curves visualising the diagnostic properties of the mNTR (in blue) and overall mGCIPL thickness (in red) when distinguishing healthy controls (HC) from eyes affected by chiasmal compression and POAG, respectively.

Overall mGCIPL thickness had poor performance when discriminating between POAG and chiasmal compression, with an AUC of 60.0% (95% CI 45% to 75%). mGCIPL thickness did have AUCs of 95.0% (95% CI 90% to 100%) and 91.9% (95% CI 85% to 99%) when distinguishing controls from POAG and chiasmal compression, respectively. When discriminating controls from cases with either chiasmal compression or POAG, the AUC was 94.1% (95% CI 89% to 99%).

When distinguishing chiasmal compression from POAG, an optimal diagnostic mNTR threshold of <0.99 was identified, which was associated with a specificity of 100% and a sensitivity of 84%. When comparing with healthy controls, a mean mNTR threshold of <0.96 was associated with a specificity of 100% and a sensitivity of 77% in identifying chiasmal compression and an NTR threshold of >1.06 was associated with a specificity of 88% and a sensitivity of 60% in identifying glaucoma. An mGCIPL thickness of <309 μm was associated with a specificity of 89% and a sensitivity of 89% for distinguishing controls from cases with either chiasmal compression or POAG (online supplemental table).

There were three patients with chiasmal compression who had no visual symptoms and had no appreciable abnormalities on Goldman visual fields. These patients had a mNTR of 0.91, 0.96 and 1.07.

Qualitative image evaluation

Figure 3 shows examples of thickness maps of a typical healthy control (A), three patients with chiasmal compression (B–D) and two patients with POAG (E–F). For patients with chiasmal compression and POAG a preferential nasal and temporal atrophy pattern can be visually appreciated, respectively. These patterns with associated changes in the mNTR can be observed both for eyes that are in the early (figure 3C,E) and in the advanced stages (figure 3D,F) of disease. MMO was observed in the retinal scans of three patients with pituitary lesions (9.7%) and none of the glaucoma patients (figure 3G).

DISCUSSION

This study suggests that the mNTR is a novel OCT metric which may contribute to distinguishing chiasmal compression from atrophy due to POAG, as it is reduced in chiasmal compression but increased in POAG. This is based on the pattern of macular inner retinal layer atrophy,⁵ and far superior to the global mGCIPL thicknesses which were not discriminatory. These data indicate that regional macular atrophy patterns can be easily quantified and convey important diagnostic clues that are obscured by overall retinal thickness measures.

Consistent with our findings, case series have reported preferential nasal atrophy of the mGCIPL thickness in patients with chiasmal compression^{29,30} and temporal atrophy in glaucoma.^{11,12} The presence of binasal atrophy of the inner retinal layers in patients with chiasmal compression has been described qualitatively before,^{29,30} and it has been shown that nasal mGCIPL thickness is more sensitive than temporal thickness when identifying chiasmal compression.³¹ The finding of predominant nasal atrophy has been called the ‘half-moon sign’.⁴ Importantly, this pattern can also be detected in patients with POAG who later also develop compression of the chiasm. This study demonstrates that a comprehensive measure of regional retinal atrophy, being the mNTR, can distinguish chiasmal compression, POAG and controls. Retrograde degeneration due to chiasmal compression is thought to affect the inferior nasal quadrant predominantly initially, as pituitary adenomas usually compress the optic chiasm from below.^{13,27} However, atrophy in this specific quadrant, as identified through the IN/SN and the IN/ST ratios, was not or less related to pathology in this cohort. The mNTR appears to have superior diagnostic accuracy compared with analysis of regional patterns of the retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL), based on limited data.³²

Although the atrophy pattern seen in glaucoma does not respect the vertical meridian, it has been described before that the temporal hemi-macula is generally more severely affected.^{11,12} This was also identified in these data, with the mNTRs being higher in eyes of




Figure 3 Examples of optical coherence tomography (OCT) scans in healthy controls, pituitary lesions and primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG). Example ganglion cell layer thickness maps of a typical healthy control (A) three patients with chiasmal lesions (B–D) and two patients with POAG (E) and (F) are shown. The square grid with naso-superior, naso-inferior, temporo-superior and temporo-inferior quarters is shown. The macular naso-temporal ratio (mNTR) is given in white. G shows an example of a patient with chiasmal compression showing nasal microcystic macular oedema. IN, inferior-nasal; IT, inferior-temporal; SN, superior-nasal; ST, superior-temporal.

patients with POAG compared with controls. The preferential temporal atrophy pattern associated with POAG is opposite to the nasal pattern seen in chiasmal compression, giving the mNTR excellent discriminative properties for distinguishing the two disorders.

Furthermore, these data showed that the overall mGCIPL thickness had a higher sensitivity for distinguishing healthy eyes

from eyes affected by either chiasmal compression or POAG, but the mNTR had a greater specificity for distinguishing between POAG and chiasmal compression as causes of optic nerve damage. Comprehensive analysis of overall mGCIPL thickness and mNTR may optimise the diagnostic value of structural OCT data in optic nerve injury. Reduced overall mGCIPL thickness

is highly sensitive to identifying the presence of optic nerve damage, which makes it an advantageous screening tool. Direct retrograde degeneration causes appreciable mGCIPL atrophy within 1 month of onset, as has been described in optic neuritis.³³

There are other advantages when using retinal thickness metrics, compared with perimetry, when trying to identify chiasmal compression. OCT is quicker, more reproducible and less affected by patient-related factors as already discussed.²¹ Most importantly, mGCIPL atrophy may be more sensitive to picking up pituitary lesions compared with visual field defects, as it has been identified in a number of pituitary adenoma patients without abnormalities on perimetry.^{2 13 14} However, it should be noted that there are also rare cases where there is bitemporal hemianopia on perimetry without OCT abnormalities. Perimetry and OCT metrics should be used jointly to optimise diagnostic accuracy. Chiasmal compression cases in this cohort were identified at an eye hospital and almost all had visual symptoms with bitemporal hemianopia on perimetry. Therefore, the sensitivity and generalisability of the mNTR in early-stage patients without visual symptoms are still to be established. Patients with optic nerve changes due to chiasmal compression are sometimes initially thought to have glaucoma^{34 35} and the mNTR may be a particularly valuable diagnostic tool in this population.

While it is well-established that binasal inner retinal thinning respecting the vertical meridian occurs with chiasmal compression, we believe that the mNTR is an easily quantified metric that can be routinely presented to clinicians. In high-volume clinical scenarios which are focused on glaucoma care, for example, the qualitative finding of binasal thinning may be overlooked. An mNTR outside of a normal range can prompt a more detailed examination of the OCT as well as other examination findings.

Diagnostic accuracy for the mNTR was not perfect. For five chiasmal compression cases, the mNTR value exceeded 1.0. One of these cases had the lowest mGCIPL thickness in our cohort, suggesting severe atrophy. On the other hand, four cases had relatively less mGCIPL atrophy with a median of 320 (range: 261–381) compared with chiasmal compression cases overall (median 267). The case with the highest mNTR had no visual loss, but was diagnosed due to systemic symptoms that were caused by a prolactin secreting pituitary adenoma.

The association of MMO with structural lesions in the anterior visual pathways is well documented, and its presence should therefore prompt brain imaging.³⁶ Here, MMO was observed in nearly 10% of patients with chiasmal compression, which is consistent with the 10.7% (3/28) reported before.³⁶ MMO was located in the nasal area where also the most prominent mGCIPL atrophy was observed, in line with the presumed pathogenesis of MMO being a retrograde maculopathy.⁶ In glaucoma, MMO is typically absent.²⁶ Dedicated brain imaging was not performed systematically in one report on the exceptional rare occurrence of MMO in individuals with glaucoma and substantial optic atrophy.³⁷

Limitations of this work include the retrospective design without longitudinal follow-up, the limited sample size and the discussed selection bias towards chiasmal compression patients with visual symptoms. These findings will have to be replicated in a larger cohort to further ascertain our conclusions.

CONCLUSION

Macular thickness maps can visualise retinotopic differences in atrophy patterns. The present study demonstrates that the mNTR a quantitative metric for patterns of hemi-macular atrophy which expands on earlier descriptions ('half-moon' sign), achieving

high diagnostic accuracy for separating glaucoma from chiasmal compression. Due to the high-dimensionality of macular thickness maps, these data may provide excellent source data for the development of pattern recognition artificial intelligence algorithms that could facilitate early detection of optic nerve disease in the future.

Author affiliations

- ¹Department of Neuroinflammation, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, University College London, London, UK
- ²Department of Neurophthalmology, Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
- ³Dutch Expertise Centre for Neuro-ophthalmology & MS Centre, Departments of Neurology and Ophthalmology, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam, Netherlands
- ⁴Institute of Ophthalmology, University College London, London, UK
- ⁵NIHR Moorfields Biomedical Research Centre, Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, London, UK
- ⁶University College London Hospitals (UCLH) NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
- ⁷Department of Brain Repair and Rehabilitation, Institute of Neurology, University College London, London, UK

Twitter Pearse A Keane @pearsekeane

Contributors IK, SW, PAK, AP and AK conceived and designed the project. IK and SW acquired the data. IK analysed the data. All authors were involved in interpreting the data. IK drafted the manuscript, which was critically revised by SW, SAT, GP, AP, PAK and AK. All authors have approved the final version. IK is overall guarantor of the study, accepts full responsibility for the work and the conduct of the study, had access to the data, and controlled the decision to publish.

Funding IK has been funded through the postdoctoral research fund of the ECTRIMS. SKW is funded through a Medical Research Council Clinical Research Training Fellowship (MR/TR000953/1). PK is supported by a Moorfields Eye Charity Career Development Award (R190028A) and a UK Research & Innovation Future Leaders Fellowship (MR/T019050/1). SAT receives support from the UCLH Biomedical Research Centre. APK is supported by a UKRI Future Leaders Fellowship (MR/T040912/1), an Alcon Research Institute Young Investigator Award and a Lister Institute Fellowship.

Competing interests SAT reported receiving nonfinancial support and/or personal fees from Biogen Inc, Merck Serono, Novartis International AG, F. Hoffmann–La Roche AG, and Teva Pharmaceuticals, and involvement with clinical trials run by Biogen Inc and Sanofi Genzyme. AP reported being a member of the steering committee for the Optical Coherence Tomography in MS study (Novartis International AG) and the SC Zeiss OCTA Angio-Network; receiving consulting fees for performing ocular coherence tomography quality control for the PASSOS study (Novartis International AG); receiving grant support for the RECOVER trial from University College San Francisco, the RESTORE trial from a Dutch private foundation, and the nimodipine trial from Fight for Sight; receiving nonfinancial support from Moorfields Eye Hospital; institutional research funding from the NIHR; speaker fees from Heidelberg Spectralis Lecture at Heidelberg Academy; and research funding from UK Biobank Eye and Vision Consortium outside the submitted work. PK has acted as a consultant for DeepMind, Roche, Novartis, Apellis, and BitFount and is an equity owner in Big Picture Medical. He has received speaker fees from Heidelberg Engineering, Topcon, Allergan, and Bayer. APK has consulted for Abbvie, Aerie, Google Health, Novartis, Reichert, Santen, Thea.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study involves human participants and was approved by Moorfields Eye Hospital Institutional Review Board (Health Research Authority reference: 20/HRA/2158) and Amsterdam University Medical Centre Institutional Review Board (reference: 2010/336). All control subjects gave written informed consent. Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement No data are available.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Siegfried K Wagner <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4915-4353>

Axel Petzold <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0344-9749>

Pearse A Keane <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9239-745X>

REFERENCES

- Peter M, De Tribolet N. Visual outcome after Transsphenoidal surgery for pituitary adenomas. *Br J Neurosurg* 1995;9:151–7.
- Blanch RJ, Micieli JA, Oyesiku NM, et al. Optical coherence tomography retinal ganglion cell complex analysis for the detection of early Chiasmal compression. *Pituitary* 2018;21:515–23.
- Petzold A, Plant GT. Failure to detect bitemporal field defects due to Chiasmal compression on a screening Perimetry protocol. *Neuro-Ophthalmology* 2000;24:357–61.
- Petzold A. Three red lines for pattern recognition-based differential diagnosis using optical coherence tomography in clinical practice. *J Neuroophthalmol* 2021;41:385–98.
- Nij Bijvank J, Mailllette de Buy Wenniger L, de Graaf P, et al. Clinical review of Retinopathy. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2023;107:304–12.
- Mahroo OA, Shalchi Z, Kisimbi J, et al. Re: Abegg et al.: Microcystic macular edema: retrograde Maculopathy caused by optic neuropathy (Ophthalmology 2014;121:142–9). *Ophthalmology* 2014;121.
- Mühlemann F, Grabe H, Fok A, et al. Homonymous Hemiatrophy of ganglion cell layer from Retrochiasmal lesions in the visual pathway. *Neurology* 2020;94:e323–9.
- Jindahra P, Petrie A, Plant GT. The time course of retrograde Trans-synaptic degeneration following occipital lobe damage in humans. *Brain* 2012;135(Pt 2):534–41.
- Kaushik M, Fraser CL. Optical coherence tomography in compressive lesions of the anterior visual pathway. *Ann Eye Sci* 2020;5:15.
- Donaldson L, Margolin E. Visual fields and optical coherence tomography (OCT) in neuro-Ophthalmology: structure-function correlation. *J Neurol Sci* 2021;429:118064.
- Chen M-J, Yang H-Y, Chang Y-F, et al. Diagnostic ability of macular ganglion cell asymmetry in Preperimetric glaucoma. *BMC Ophthalmol* 2019;19:12.
- Hwang YH, Jeong YC, Kim HK, et al. Macular ganglion cell analysis for early detection of glaucoma. *Ophthalmology* 2014;121:1508–15.
- Yum HR, Park SH, Park H-Y, et al. Macular ganglion cell analysis determined by Cirrus HD optical coherence tomography for early detecting Chiasmal compression. *PLoS One* 2016;11.
- Chou Y, Zhang B, Gan L, et al. Clinical efficacy of optical coherence tomography in Sellar mass lesions: a meta-analysis. *Pituitary* 2020;23:733–44.
- Monteiro MLR, Hokazono K, Fernandes DB, et al. Evaluation of inner retinal layers in eyes with temporal hemianopic visual loss from Chiasmal compression using optical coherence tomography. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2014;55:3328–36.
- Sun M, Zhang Z, Ma C, et al. Quantitative analysis of retinal layers on three-dimensional spectral-domain optical coherence tomography for pituitary adenoma. *PLoS ONE* 2017;12.
- Moon JS, Shin SY. Segmented retinal layer analysis of Chiasmal compressive optic neuropathy in pituitary adenoma patients. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2020;258:419–25.
- Lee G-I, Park K-A, Oh SY, et al. Parafoveal and Peripapillary perfusion predict visual field recovery in Chiasmal compression due to pituitary tumors. *J Clin Med* 2020;9.
- Park SH, Kang MS, Kim SY, et al. Analysis of factors affecting visual field recovery following surgery for pituitary adenoma. *Int Ophthalmol* 2021;41:2019–26.
- Newkirk MR, Gardiner SK, Demirel S, et al. Assessment of false positives with the Humphrey field Analyzer II perimeter with the SITA algorithm. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2006;47:4632–7.
- Petzold A, Wattjes MP, Costello F, et al. The investigation of acute optic neuritis: A review and proposed protocol. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2014;10:447–58.
- Harding GF, Wild JM, Robertson KA, et al. Separating the retinal electrophysiologic effects of vigabatrin: treatment versus field loss. *Neurology* 2000;55:347–52.
- Balk LJ, Sonder JM, Srijbis EMM, et al. The physiological variation of the retinal nerve fiber layer thickness and macular volume in humans as assessed by spectral domain-optical coherence tomography. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2012;53:1251–7.
- Balk LJ, Oberwahrenbrock T, Uitdehaag BMJ, et al. Physiological variation of retinal layer thickness is not caused by hydration: a randomised trial. *J Neurol Sci* 2014;344:88–93.
- Tewarie P, Balk L, Costello F, et al. The OSCAR-IB consensus criteria for retinal OCT quality assessment. *PLoS One* 2012;7.
- Burggraaff MC, Trieu J, de Vries-Knoppert WAEJ, et al. The clinical spectrum of Microcystic macular edema. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2014;55:952–61.
- Bynke H. A Swedish thesis of 1903 dealing with bitemporal Hemianopsia. *Neuro-Ophthalmology* 1999;21:123–9.
- Cameron JR, Albrecht P, Cruz-Herranz A, et al. The APOSTEL recommendations for reporting quantitative optical coherence tomography studies. *Neurology* 2016;87.
- Zehnder S, Wildberger H, Hanson JVM, et al. Retinal ganglion cell topography in patients with visual pathway pathology. *J Neuroophthalmol* 2018;38:172–8.
- Jørstad ØK, Wigers AR, Marthinsen PB, et al. Could optical coherence tomography add to the history of the pituitary Incidentaloma. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2019;97:e1157–8.
- Akashi A, Kanamori A, Ueda K, et al. The detection of macular analysis by SD-OCT for optic Chiasmal compression neuropathy and Nasotemporal overlap. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2014;55:4667–72.
- Tonagel F, Wilhelm H, Kelbsch C, et al. Characteristics of Peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer atrophy in glaucoma, optic nerve sheath meningioma, and Sphenoid wing meningioma. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2022;260:577–81.
- Gabilondo I, Martínez-Lapiscina EH, Fraga-Pumar E, et al. Dynamics of retinal injury after acute optic neuritis. *Ann Neurol* 2015;77:517–28.
- Karl D, Gillan SN, Goudie C, et al. Giant Prolactinoma mimicking low-tension glaucoma at presentation. *BMJ Case Rep* 2015;2015:2–5.
- Drummond SR, Weir C. Chiasmal compression Misdiagnosed as normal-tension glaucoma: can we avoid the pitfalls. *Int Ophthalmol* 2010;30:215–9.
- Pott JWR, de Vries-Knoppert WAEJ, Petzold A. The prevalence of Microcystic macular changes on optical coherence tomography of the macular region in optic nerve atrophy of non-neuritis origin: A prospective study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2016;100:216–21.
- Murata N, Togano T, Miyamoto D, et al. Clinical evaluation of Microcystic macular edema in patients with glaucoma. *Eye* 2016;30:1502–8.